From Decay to Complete Breaking: Pulling the Strings in $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills Theory

M. Pepe¹ and U.-J. Wiese^{2,3}

¹INFN, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Edificio U2, Piazza della Scienza 3, 20126 Milano, Italy

²Center for Research and Education in Fundamental Physics, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Bern University,

Sidlerstraße 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

³Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zürich, Schafmattstraße 32, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

(Received 30 January 2009; published 11 May 2009)

We study $\{2Q + 1\}$ strings connecting two static charges Q in $(2 + 1)$ D SU(2) Yang-Mills theory. While the fundamental $\{2\}$ string between two charges $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ is unbreakable, the adjoint $\{3\}$ string connecting two charges $Q = 1$ can break. When a *[A]* string is stretched beyond a critical length it decays connecting two charges $Q = 1$ can break. When a $\{4\}$ string is stretched beyond a critical length, it decays into a $\{2\}$ string by gluon pair creation. When a $\{5\}$ string is stretched, it first decays into a $\{3\}$ string, which eventually breaks completely. The energy of the screened charges at the ends of a string is well described by a phenomenological constituent gluon model.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.191601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.191601) PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha, 12.38.Aw, 12.38.Gc

Studies of the strings connecting two static color charges provide valuable insights into the physics of confinement in $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theories. The properties of the string connecting a static quark-antiquark pair with charges in the fundamental $\{N\}$ and $\{\bar{N}\}$ representations are described by a low-energy effective bosonic string theory. While the string tension σ determines the quark-antiquark potential $V(r) = \sigma r$ at asymptotic distances, the massless modes corresponding to transverse fluctuations of the string give rise to the universal Lüscher term proportional to $1/r$ [1,2], as well as to a diverging string thickness proportional to log^r [3]. The effective string theory also makes detailed predictions for the excited states of the string [4]. Lattice gauge theory provides us with a powerful tool for investigating the string dynamics using Monte Carlo simulations. In this way, the linearly rising quark-antiquark potential has been calculated at large distances [5]. By developing a highly efficient multilevel simulation technique $[6]$, Lüscher and Weisz have studied the universal $1/r$ term in the quark-antiquark potential at large distances [7].

In theories with dynamical fundamental charges, the confining string connecting two static color charges can break due to the creation of dynamical charge-anticharge pairs which screen the external static sources. Numerical evidence for charge screening was obtained in a lattice gauge-Higgs model in which the dynamical fundamental charges are scalars [8]. Direct evidence for string breaking was first observed in the $SU(2)$ gauge-Higgs model [9,10] and later also in the $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ gauge-Higgs model [11]. While the fundamental string is unbreakable in $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory, the string connecting static adjoint charges can break due to pair creation of dynamical gluons. This effect has been investigated in Refs. [12–18]. Numerical evidence for string breaking in lattice QCD with dynamical quarks has been observed in Ref. [19]. The center symmetry of $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory is $\mathbb{Z}(N)$. Consequently, each $SU(N)$ representation and hence each external static charge can be characterized by its N-ality $k =$ $\{0, 1, \ldots, N - 1\}$. Strings connecting external charges with N-ality $k \neq 0$ are known as k strings. These strings are unbreakable and have a k-dependent string tension, which may or may not be proportional to the Casimir operator of the corresponding representation [20,21].

Since it is easiest to simulate numerically, in this Letter we study the dynamics of strings in $(2 + 1)D SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory which has the center $\mathbb{Z}(2)$. Other theories in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions or with other gauge groups are expected to show similar behavior. Here we investigate the strings connecting two static charges Q in the $SU(2)$ representation $\{2Q + 1\}$, which we refer to as $\{2Q + 1\}$ strings, not to be confused with k strings. The $\{2Q + 1\}$ strings with integer Q have $k = 0$ and will eventually break at large distances, while the $\{2Q + 1\}$ strings with halfinteger Q have $k = 1$ and are unbreakable. At asymptotic distances, all $\{2Q + 1\}$ strings connecting half-integer charges have the same tension σ as the fundamental $\{2\}$ string. Since $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory has no dynamical fundamental charges, the static charges Q at the two ends of a $\{2Q + 1\}$ string can be screened only by dynamical gluons. When a pair of gluons is created from the vacuum, the external sources are screened and thus reduced to Q – 1. As a consequence, the $\{2Q + 1\}$ string decays to a ${2Q - 1}$ string and abruptly reduces its tension accordingly [22,23]. While some numerical evidence for string decay was presented in Ref. [24], using the multilevel simulation technique of Ref. [6], we are able, for the first time, to investigate string decay in detail.

We consider $(2 + 1)D SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory on a cubic lattice using the standard Wilson plaquette action for link variables in the fundamental representation. The external color charges Q at the two ends of a string are represented by Polyakov loops $\Phi_{Q}(x)$ in the $\{2Q + 1\}$
representation wrapping around the Euclidean time direcrepresentation wrapping around the Euclidean time direc-

tion. The corresponding potential $V_O(r)$ between the static sources is extracted from the Polyakov loop correlator

$$
\langle \Phi_Q(0)\Phi_Q(r) \rangle \sim \exp[-\beta V_Q(r)].\tag{1}
$$

In order to ensure a good projection on the ground state of the string, we have simulated at inverse temperatures as large as $\beta = 64$. Note that we quote all dimensionful
quantities in units of the lattice spacing. The spatial lattice quantities in units of the lattice spacing. The spatial lattice size was $L = 32$, and the bare gauge coupling was chosen as $4/g^2 = 6.0$, which puts the deconfinement phase transition at $\beta_c \approx 4$. While this is a moderate coupling, we are confident that our results remain unchanged at least qualiconfident that our results remain unchanged, at least qualitatively, in the continuum limit. The values of the simulated Polyakov loop correlators range from 10^{-8} to 10^{-135} . Measuring such small signals would be completely impossible without the Lüscher-Weisz multilevel simulation technique. We have slightly refined this method by applying the segmentation of the lattice not only to slabs in time but also to blocks in space. By carefully tuning the parameters of the multilevel algorithm, we have been able to extract the potentials $V_O(r)$ for the {2}, {3}, {4}, and {5} strings. As shown in the top panel of Fig. 1, at distance $r \approx$ 8, the $\{4\}$ string decays, thus reducing its tension to the one of the fundamental $\{2\}$ string. Similarly, the bottom panel

FIG. 1 (color online). Top: Potential $V(r)$ of two static color charges with $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ (squares) and $Q = \frac{3}{2}$ (stars), shifted by a constant for a more convenient comparison of the slopes constant for a more convenient comparison of the slopes. Bottom: The same for $Q = 1$ (squares) and $Q = 2$ (stars). The lines are a fit of the multichannel model to the Monte Carlo data. The horizontal band at $2M_{0,2} = 4.84(2)$ corresponds to twice the mass of a source of charge $Q = 2$ obtained from the measurement of a single Polyakov loop.

shows that, at distance $r \approx 6$, the $\{5\}$ string decays and reduces its tension to the one of the adjoint $\{3\}$ string. Only at $r \approx 10$ the string breaks completely, at about the same distance as the adjoint $\{3\}$ string. Not unexpectedly, the tension of a string is the same, no matter whether it connects screened or unscreened external charges Q.

A fit of the fundamental potential to

$$
V_{1/2}(r) = \sigma r - \frac{\pi}{24r} + 2M + O(1/r^3)
$$
 (2)

works very well and yields the asymptotic string tension $\sigma = 0.06397(3)$. In particular, the Monte Carlo data are in excellent agreement with the predicted coefficient $-\frac{\pi}{24}$ of
the Lüscher term. The "mass" contribution of an external the Lüscher term. The "mass" contribution of an external charge $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ to the total energy of the system is given by $M = 0.109(1)$. This mass itself is not physical because it $M = 0.109(1)$. This mass itself is not physical because it contains ultraviolet divergent pieces. Since string decay occurs at moderate distances, its typical energy scale is not well separated from Λ_{OCD} . Consequently, unlike the string behavior at asymptotic distances, string decay cannot be addressed in a fully systematic low-energy effective string theory. In particular, unlike the string tension σ of the unbreakable fundamental string, the tension σ_0 of an ultimately unstable $\{2Q + 1\}$ string (with $Q \ge 1$) is not defined unambiguously. Here we define σ_o by a fit of the Monte Carlo data to a simple phenomenological model. In this model, we consider the $\{2Q + 1\}$ string as a multichannel system. A channel containing a $\{2Q + 1\}$ string connecting two charges Q, which resulted from screening a larger charge $Q + n$ by n gluons, has the energy

$$
E_{Q,n}(r) = \sigma_Q r - \frac{c_Q}{r} + 2M_{Q,n}.
$$
 (3)

Here c_Q is the coefficient of a subleading $1/r$ correction which does not necessarily assume the asymptotic Lüscher value $-\frac{\pi}{24}$. The mass $M_{Q,n}$ describes the contribution of an
original charge $Q + n$ that has been screened to the value original charge $Q + n$ that has been screened to the value Q by *n* gluons. Just as the mass $M = M_{1/2,0}$, the masses $M_{O,n}$ themselves are not physical, because they again contain ultraviolet divergent contributions. However, the mass differences $\Delta_{Q,n} = M_{Q-1,n+1} - M_{Q,n}$ are physical since the divergent pieces then cancel. The $\{3\}$ and $\{4\}$ strings are described by the two-channel Hamiltonians H_1 and $H_{3/2}$, while the $\{5\}$ string is described by the three-channel Hamiltonian H_2 , with

$$
H_1(r) = \begin{pmatrix} E_{1,0}(r) & A \\ A & E_{0,1}(r) \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
H_{3/2}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} E_{3/2,0}(r) & B \\ B & E_{1/2,1}(r) \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
H_2(r) = \begin{pmatrix} E_{2,0}(r) & C & 0 \\ C & E_{1,1}(r) & A \\ 0 & A & E_{0,2}(r) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (4)

Here A , B , and C are decay amplitudes which we assume to

FIG. 2 (color online). Top: Forces $F(r)$ that the $\{2\}$ and $\{4\}$ strings exert on the external charges $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ (squares) and $Q = \frac{3}{2}$
(stars) respectively. Bottom: The same for the {3} and {5} strings (stars), respectively. Bottom: The same for the $\{3\}$ and $\{5\}$ strings connecting external charges $Q = 1$ (squares) and $Q = 2$ (stars) connecting external charges $Q = 1$ (squares) and $Q = 2$ (stars), respectively. The lines represent the fit of the multichannel model to the Monte Carlo data.

be *r*-independent. The potential $V_Q(r)$ is the energy of the ground state of H_Q . Figure 2 compares the forces $F(r) =$ $-dV(r)/dr$ in the {2}, {3}, {4}, and {5} string cases with the results of the multichannel model. The tensions σ_O listed in Table I have been determined by a fit to the Monte Carlo data. The simple model works rather well. It is interesting to note that the ratios σ_0/σ do not obey Casimir scaling; i.e., they are not equal to $4Q(Q+1)/3$. The masses $M_{Q,n}$ are listed in Table II. Remarkably, within the error bars, the mass differences $\Delta_{Q,0} = M_{Q-1,1} - M_{Q,0}$ all take the same value $M_G = 0.65(5)$, independent of Q. We interpret M_G as a constituent gluon mass which in units of the string tension takes the value $M_G/\sqrt{\sigma} = 2.6(2)$. It should be pointed out that in contrast to the string tension M_G is pointed out that, in contrast to the string tension, M_G is not unambiguously defined. It just results from the fit parameters of the phenomenological model. The value $\Delta_{1,1} = M_{0,2} - M_{1,1} = 0.71(3)$ indicates that the addition of a second constituent gluon costs an energy slightly larger than M_G . Interestingly, the mass of two constituent gluons $2M_G/\sqrt{\sigma} = 1.3(1)$ is close to the 0⁺ glueball mass
 $M_{\odot}/\sqrt{\sigma} = 1.198(25)$ obtained in Ref. [25] at the same $M_{0^+}/\sqrt{\sigma} = 1.198(25)$ obtained in Ref. [25] at the same
value of the bare coupling M_{\odot} also sets the distance scale value of the bare coupling. M_G also sets the distance scale for string decay and string breaking. A leading order estimate for the distance at which the $\{4\}$ string decays

TABLE I. Fitted values of the string tensions σ_Q . The ratio σ_Q/σ , with $\sigma = \sigma_{1/2}$, is compared with the value $4Q(Q+1)/3$ representing Casimir scaling.

	σ _O	σ_{0}/σ	$4Q(Q+1)/3$
1/2	0.06397(3)		
	0.144(1)	2.25(2)	8/3
3/2	0.241(5)	3.77(8)	
っ	0.385(5)	6.02(8)	

into the {2} string is $r \approx 2M_G/(\sigma_{3/2}-\sigma_{1/2})=7.3(6)$, while the distance at which the $\{3\}$ and the $\{5\}$ strings ultimately break is estimated to be around $r \approx 2M_G/\sigma_1$ = $9.0(7)$.

String decay can be viewed as a quantum analogue of the classical process of strand rupture in a cable consisting of a bundle of strands. When such a cable is stretched further and further, individual strands eventually rupture, thereby abruptly reducing the tension of the cable. While strand rupture is well-known in the material science of centimeter-thick steel cables with a tension of about $10⁵$ Newton, we have seen that a similar process occurs for the confining strings in non-Abelian gauge theories which have about the same tension but are 13 orders of magnitude thinner. Whether a strand picture may correctly describe the actual anatomy of decaying $\{2Q + 1\}$ strings is an interesting question that will require further investigations which go beyond the scope of the present Letter.

It would be interesting to investigate string decay and string breaking for other $SU(N)$ gauge theories. In $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills theory, the $\{3\}$ string connecting a quark in the $\{3\}$ with an antiquark in the $\{\overline{3}\}$ representation is unbreakable, while the $\{8\}$ string connecting two adjoint sources can break by pair creation of gluons. When a $\{6\}$ string is stretched, the external source in the ${6}$ representation will eventually be screened to a $\{3\}$ by a gluon. The corresponding string decay should be analogous to the decay of the $\{4\}$ string in $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory discussed above. In analogy to the $\{5\}$ string in $SU(2)$, the $\{10\}$ string in $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills theory is expected to decay to an adjoint f8g string, before it breaks completely at larger distances. In QCD with dynamical quarks, strings can also decay by quark-antiquark pair creation. Because of its $\mathbb{Z}(4)$ center symmetry, $SU(4)$ Yang-Mills theory has two distinct unbreakable strings, connecting external charges either in

TABLE II. Fitted values of the mass $M_{Q,n}$ of an original charge $Q + n$ that has been screened to the value Q by n gluons, together with the differences $\Delta_{Q,n} = M_{Q-1,n+1} - M_{Q,n}$.

Q	$M_{O,0}$	$M_{Q-1,1}$	$M_{O-2.2}$	$\Delta_{O.0}$	$\Delta_{Q-1,1}$
1/2	0.109(1)	\cdots	\cdots	\cdots	.
	0.37(3)	1.038(1)	\cdots	0.67(3)	\cdots
3/2	0.72(5)	1.32(5)	\cdots	0.60(5)	\cdots
2	1.04(3)	1.71(3)	2.42(1)	0.67(3)	0.71(3)

the $\{4\}$ and $\{\bar{4}\}$ or in the $\{6\}$ representation. For external sources with nontrivial N-ality, one then expects cascades of string decays down to the $\{4\}$ string for $k = 1, 3$ and down to the $\{6\}$ string for $k = 2$.

Studying gauge groups other than $SU(N)$ would also be interesting. For example, all $Sp(N)$ gauge theories have the same center $\mathbb{Z}(2)$. The first Lie group in this sequence is $Sp(1) = SU(2) = spin(3)$, while the second is $Sp(2) =$ spin(5), the universal covering group of $SO(5)$. In $Sp(2)$ Yang-Mills theory, only the fundamental $\{4\}$ string is absolutely stable. As usual, the adjoint $\{10\}$ string can break by pair creation of gluons. The representation $\{5\}$ is centerneutral. Since in $Sp(2)$

$$
\{5\} \otimes \{10\} = \{5\} \oplus \{10\} \oplus \{35\},\tag{5}
$$

a single gluon can screen a charge $\{5\}$ only to a $\{10\}$ or a $\{35\}$. We expect the unstable $\{5\}$ string to have a smaller tension than the adjoint $\{10\}$ string or the $\{35\}$ string. In that case, the $\{5\}$ string will break in one step by the creation of four gluons, without any intermediate string decay.

Finally, it would be interesting to investigate the importance of the center for the phenomenon of string decay. The exceptional group $G(2)$ is the simplest Lie group with a trivial center. Still, $G(2)$ Yang-Mills theory confines color (although without an asymptotic string tension) [26]. Furthermore, it has a first-order deconfinement phase transition [27,28]. In fact, as we have discussed in the context of $Sp(N)$ Yang-Mills theories, the order of the deconfinement phase transition is controlled by the size of the gauge group and not by the center $[29]$. In $G(2)$ Yang-Mills theory, even a charge in the fundamental $\{7\}$ representation can be screened by gluons in the adjoint $\{14\}$ representation. As a result, there are no unbreakable strings. Since in $G(2)$

$$
\{7\} \otimes \{14\} = \{7\} \oplus \{27\} \oplus \{64\},\tag{6}
$$

a single gluon can screen a charge $\{7\}$ only to a $\{27\}$ or a ${64}$. In $G(2)$ Yang-Mills theory, approximate Casimir scaling has been verified for unstable strings including the $\{27\}$ and the $\{64\}$ strings $[30]$. As a consequence, the fundamental $\{7\}$ string is stable against decay due to the creation of a single pair of gluons. The same is true even for processes involving four gluons. Based on the group theory of $G(2)$, we expect the fundamental $\{7\}$ string to break due to the simultaneous creation of six gluons, without any intermediate string decay.

Using the Lüscher-Weisz multilevel algorithm, studying string decay in $SU(3)$, $SU(4)$, $Sp(2)$, $G(2)$, and other Yang-Mills theories is interesting and definitely feasible. One may also ask whether string decay can be studied analytically in supersymmetric theories.

M. P. acknowledges useful discussions with F. Gliozzi and J. Greensite. This work is supported in part by funds provided by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds (SNF). The ''Center for Research and Education in Fundamental Physics'' at Bern University is supported by the ''Innovations- und Kooperationsprojekt C-13'' of the Schweizerische Universitätskonferenz (SUK/CRUS).

- [1] M. Lüscher, K. Symanzik, and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B173, 365 (1980).
- [2] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys.**B180**, 317 (1981).
- [3] M. Lüscher, G. Münster, and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. **B180**, 1 (1981).
- [4] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2004) 014.
- [5] G. S. Bali and K. Schilling, Phys. Rev. D 46, 2636 (1992).
- [6] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2001) 010.
- [7] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 049.
- [8] W. Bock et al., Z. Phys. C 45, 597 (1990).
- [9] F. Knechtli and R. Sommer, Phys. Lett. B 440, 345 (1998); Nucl. Phys. B590, 309 (2000).
- [10] O. Philipsen and H. Wittig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4056 (1998).
- [11] F. Gliozzi and A. Rago, Nucl. Phys. **B714**, 91 (2005).
- [12] G.I. Poulis and H.D. Trottier, Phys. Lett. B 400, 358 (1997).
- [13] P. W. Stephenson, Nucl. Phys. **B550**, 427 (1999).
- [14] O. Philipsen and H. Wittig, Phys. Lett. B 451, 146 (1999).
- [15] S. Kratochvila and P. de Forcrand, Nucl. Phys. **B671**, 103 (2003).
- [16] P. de Forcrand and O. Philipsen, Phys. Lett. B 475, 280 (2000).
- [17] K. Kallio and H.D. Trottier, Phys. Rev. D 66, 034503 (2002).
- [18] J. Greensite, K. Langfeld, S. Olejnik, H. Reinhardt and T. Tok, Phys. Rev. D 75, 034501 (2007).
- [19] G. S. Bali, H. Neff, T. Dussel, T. Lippert, and K. Schilling, Phys. Rev. D 71 114513 (2005).
- [20] P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. **B200**, 381 (1982).
- [21] J. Ambjorn, P. Olesen, and C. Peterson, Nucl. Phys. **B240**, 189 (1984).
- [22] A. Armoni and M. Shifman, Nucl. Phys. **B671**, 67 (2003).
- [23] F. Gliozzi, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2005) 063.
- [24] L. Del Debbio, H. Panagopoulos, and E. Vicari, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2003) 034.
- [25] H. Meyer and M. Teper, Nucl. Phys. **B668**, 111 (2003).
- [26] K. Holland, P. Minkowski, M. Pepe, and U.-J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. B668, 207 (2003).
- [27] M. Pepe, Proc. Sci., LAT2005 (2006) 017; Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 153, 207 (2006).
- [28] M. Pepe and U.-J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. **B768**, 21 (2007).
- [29] K. Holland, M. Pepe, and U.-J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. **B694**, 35 (2004).
- [30] L. Liptak and S. Olejnik, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074501 (2008).