First Direct Measurement of the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ Cross Section

K. A. Chipps,^{1,*} D. W. Bardayan,² J. C. Blackmon,³ K. Y. Chae,⁴ U. Greife,¹ R. Hatarik,⁵ R. L. Kozub,⁶ C. Matei,⁷

B. H. Moazen,⁴ C. D. Nesaraja,^{2,4} S. D. Pain,^{2,4} W. A. Peters,⁵ S. T. Pittman,⁴ J. F. Shriner, Jr.,⁶ and M. S. Smith²

¹Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado 80401, USA

²Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

³Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

⁵Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901, USA

⁶Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, Tennessee 38505, USA

⁷Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, USA

(Received 3 September 2008; published 14 April 2009)

The rate of the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction is important in various astrophysical events. A previous ${}^{17}F(p,p){}^{17}F$ measurement identified a 3⁺ state providing the strongest resonance contribution, but the resonance strength was unknown. We have directly measured the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction using a mixed beam of ${}^{17}F$ and ${}^{17}O$ at ORNL. The resonance strength for the 3⁺ resonance in ${}^{18}Ne$ was found to be $\omega\gamma = 33 \pm 14(\text{stat}) \pm 17(\text{syst})$ meV, corresponding to a γ width of $\Gamma_{\gamma} = 56 \pm 24(\text{stat}) \pm 30(\text{syst})$ meV. An upper limit on the direct capture of $S(E) \leq 65$ keV b was determined at an energy of 800 keV.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.152502

In novae, hydrogen gas accretes onto a white dwarf star, causing a thermonuclear runaway and creating large quantities of the hot-CNO cycle nuclei ¹³N, ^{14,15}O, and ^{17,18}F [1]. The isotope ¹⁸F is produced mainly through β decay of ¹⁸Ne and proton capture on ¹⁷O, and destroyed via the charged-particle reaction ${}^{18}F(p,\alpha){}^{15}O$ as well as via β decay to ¹⁸O. The 511 keV annihilation γ rays from ¹⁸F β decay could be directly observable by gamma-ray telescopes, because the longer half-life of 18 F (~110 min) allows it to survive until the nova envelope becomes more transparent [2]. However, the amount of ¹⁸F produced is uncertain, in part because of the unknown ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ rate. At lower temperatures, the reaction sequence ${}^{17}\text{F}(e^+\nu_e){}^{17}\text{O}(p,\alpha){}^{14}\text{N}(p,\gamma){}^{15}\text{O}(e^+\nu_e){}^{15}\text{N}$ takes place, which contributes to the observed overabundance of ¹⁵N in novae ejecta [2]; novae are thought to be important contributors to galactic ¹⁵N abundances. At temperatures above about 0.2 GK (depending on density), the reaction chain ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne(e^+\nu_e){}^{18}F$ becomes important, increasing production of ¹⁸F and altering the ratio of ¹⁸F/¹⁷F abundances.

During an x-ray burst, the α -p chain is initiated through the reaction sequence ${}^{14}O(\alpha, p){}^{17}F(p, \gamma){}^{18}Ne(\alpha, p){}^{21}Na$ [3], increasing the rate of energy generation by 2 orders of magnitude [4] and allowing for rp-process synthesis of nuclei even heavier than iron [5]. During the preburst phase of an x-ray burst event, two peaks in energy production are seen, one being "caused by ... the conversion of ${}^{16}O$ into ${}^{15}O$ initiated by two subsequent proton capture reactions which depends on the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ rate" [6]; in all of the burst scenarios considered, between 10% and 100% of the total flux will proceed through the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction [6]. Thus, the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction is crucial to preburst energy generation. PACS numbers: 25.40.Ny, 26.30.-k, 26.50.+x, 27.20.+n

The understanding of these astrophysical scenarios is linked to the understanding of the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction, but the proton capture rate had never been measured directly. A low energy 3^+ state in ${}^{18}Ne$, which dominates the resonant capture cross section at the temperatures typical of the astrophysical scenarios described above, was predicted based on a known mirror state in ${}^{18}O[7]$. A ${}^{17}F(p,p)$ study was the first to conclusively observe the "missing" 3^+ state in ${}^{18}Ne$ (see Refs. [2,3] and references therein). The resonance was located at an energy of 599.8 keV above the proton threshold, with a total width of $\Gamma =$ 18 ± 2 keV. However, both the resonance strength and direct capture cross section thought to dominate at nova temperatures [3,8] remained experimentally unknown.

Theoretical shell model calculations predicted a partial γ width for this state of 25 ± 16 [9] or 33 meV [10], but the value had never been experimentally measured. The uncertainty quoted by García et al. [9] was based upon experimental lower limits of the mirror transition in ¹⁸O, but no account of the inherent uncertainties in the theory is made. Because of the lack of experimental information, Iliadis et al. [11] assigned an estimated uncertainty to the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction rate in the region of nova temperatures of an order of magnitude. Theoretical predictions of widths and reaction rates can often be incorrect by orders of magnitude [12–15], however, making direct experimental measurements crucial [16]. As the resonant reaction rate depends sensitively upon Γ_{ν} , it is a critical parameter to measure. Only a few states in ¹⁸Ne will have a significant contribution to the resonant cross section, the 3^+ resonance being expected to dominate. Once the properties of these states, as well as the direct capture component, are well known, the reaction rate can be calculated.

⁴University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

Using a ¹⁷F beam produced at the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility (HRIBF) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ proton capture reaction was measured directly using the Daresbury Recoil Separator (DRS). A mixed beam of ¹⁷F (typically \sim 35%–70% of the total) and stable ¹⁷O was produced and accelerated into a differentially pumped, windowless hydrogen gas target (WGT) [17] at 4 Torr, similar to a design used by the NABONA Collaboration [18]. Monitoring of the beam current was achieved using two methods. First, doubly collimated silicon surface barrier detectors inside the central disk of the gas target detected protons elastically scattered by the beam. From the measured yield of scattered protons, the ¹⁷F beam rate could be deduced after correcting for purity, which was monitored continuously from the "leaky" beam that was transmitted through the DRS. Second, an additional beam sampling setup, composed of two plastic scintillator paddles on either side of a pneumatically controlled actuator that was installed just above the beam line, was used. The pneumatic actuator repeatedly moved a metal plate into the beam for a short period in order to sample the beam current, then retracted the plate between the two scintillator paddles (located outside of the beam line vacuum) for a longer period to count the ¹⁷F decays. The two annihilation 511 keV photons detected in coincidence in the plastic scintillators were the characteristic signature of the decay of a radioactive ¹⁷F beam particle implanted on the plate.

Both beam current normalization methods were calibrated by correlating their count rates to a measurement of the rate of fluorine nuclei in the ionization chamber at the focal plane. The charge state fractions of the ¹⁷F were measured after exiting the WGT by tuning selectively through the DRS and counting with the ionization chamber, to determine the ratio of charge state 3+ to the full beam current. The DRS was then tuned to transmit the q =3+ beam to the focal plane while the beam monitors counted, so a calibration between ${}^{17}F^{3^+}$ (and thus total 17 F) and beam monitor count rate was found, without requiring knowledge of, for example, the total solid angle of the silicon detectors, the Rutherford scattering cross section, or the efficiency of the plastic scintillators. With two separate methods for beam current normalization, however, a systematic uncertainty had to be introduced to account for the difference in the resonance strength values derived from each method.

For the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction in inverse kinematics, the resulting ${}^{18}Ne$ nuclei were very forward focused, with a maximum recoil cone of only 0.443° in the laboratory frame. Both the ${}^{18}Ne$ recoils and the unreacted ${}^{17}F$ and ${}^{17}O$ of the beam exited the WGT into the DRS [19,20]. The DRS was tuned to transmit the ${}^{18}Ne$ recoils unobstructed to the focal plane, where they were detected unambiguously in a gas-filled ionization chamber containing three anodes. By plotting the energy loss of the particles versus total energy, the recoils of interest were easily distinguished from the scattered beam.

Transmission studies and tests of the experimental system were performed by measuring various ¹⁸F resonances via the ¹⁷O(p, γ)¹⁸F reaction. The excellent separation of particle groups was demonstrated at the appropriate energy using the 557 keV resonance in ${}^{17}\text{O} + p$ [21], as shown in Fig. 1. Furthermore, the transmission was checked with a measurement of the well-known 1036.5 keV resonance in ${}^{17}O(p, \gamma){}^{18}F$, resulting in a resonance strength of 0.31 ± 0.04 eV, in good agreement with the previously adopted value of 0.36 ± 0.10 eV [21]. Additionally, the expected location of the ¹⁸Ne recoils relative to any unreacted beam in the ionization chamber spectra was determined using ${}^{17}O + {}^{20}Ne$ elastic scattering, where a specific recoil energy was tuned through the DRS. Figure 2 shows the spectrum from the elastic scattering measurement (it should be noted that the ionization chamber gain differs between the spectra in Figs. 1 and 2).

At an off-resonance beam energy of 14.3 MeV, corresponding to 800 keV above the proton threshold, data were recorded in order to determine the experimental background. This also provided an upper limit on the astrophysical S(E) factor for direct capture, since the offresonance energy was selected so as to be far from the broad resonances at 600 and 1178 keV. At this beam energy, the target had a thickness of 16.2 ± 1.6 keV in the center of mass frame, and model predictions [9,10] indicated that the direct capture component should essentially be a constant value of ~3 keV b over this energy range. Based on the yield measured at this energy, an experimental 2σ upper limit on the direct capture was determined to be $S(E) \leq 65$ keV b.

The yield was measured directly at a beam energy of 10.83 MeV, corresponding to the 599.8 keV, astrophysically significant 3⁺ state in ¹⁸Ne, as shown in Fig. 3. Data were recorded with beam currents ranging between $\sim (1-10) \times 10^{6}$ ¹⁷F per second. At this beam energy, the target was 16.6 ± 1.7 keV wide, 92% of the total resonance width. Three different charge states of ¹⁸Ne recoils were measured by tuning each individually through the DRS, in order to experimentally determine the charge state distribution, which provided the raw on-resonance yield. The net yield was calculated by subtracting the $\sim 20\%$



FIG. 1 (color online). Energy loss versus total energy from the ionization chamber for the 557 keV resonance in ${}^{17}\text{O}(p,\gamma){}^{18}\text{F}$.





FIG. 2 (color online). Ionization chamber spectrum for the ¹⁷O + ²⁰Ne scattering measurement with neon recoils indicated, performed in order to determine the location of ¹⁸Ne recoils during the ¹⁷F(p, γ)¹⁸Ne experiment.

background as estimated from the off-resonance measurement (this yield being comprised of both direct capture and experimental background components, assumed to be constant over the relevant energy range), as well as the relatively small estimated yield from the nearby 1⁻ resonance ($\Gamma_{\gamma} = 15 \text{ meV}$) [9]. The total yield due to the 3⁺ resonance was thus determined, which resulted in a resonance strength of $\omega\gamma = 33 \pm 14(\text{stat}) \pm 17(\text{syst})$ meV, where the systematic uncertainty is dominated by the beam current normalization methods. Based on this strength, a partial width $\Gamma_{\gamma} = 56 \pm 24(\text{stat}) \pm 30(\text{syst})$ meV was found, larger than (but within the uncertainties of) the values predicted by model calculations [9,10,22].

The reaction rate calculation has been improved by utilizing the newly measured experimental γ width from this work, the resonance energy and total width previously determined [3], and information on two other narrow resonances near this 3⁺ state [9]; the resonance parameters are listed in Table I. The direct capture contribution was calculated from the García *et al.* model prediction [9] for consistency with the previously adopted rate [3], though newer predictions also agree to within uncertainty [10,23]. Because the strength of the resonance was higher than previously predicted, the reaction rate has been determined



FIG. 3 (color online). Energy loss versus total energy from the ionization chamber for the 599.8 keV resonance; ¹⁸Ne recoils are indicated by the black circle.

TABLE I. Resonance parameters used for the reaction rate calculation.

E = (1 - V)	I^{π} Γ_{π} (k	$(\mathbf{a}V) = \Gamma (\mathbf{m}\mathbf{a}V)$
$E_{\rm cm}$ (keV)	- p (-	$\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) = \Gamma_{\gamma} (\Pi(\mathbf{v}))$
$597 \pm 5^{a} \\ 599.8 \pm 2^{b} \\ 665 \pm 5^{a} $	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1^{-} & 0.1 \\ 3^{+} & 18 \pm \\ 0^{+} & 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} a & 15 \pm 3^{a} \\ 2^{b} & 56 \pm 38^{c} \\ 0^{a} & 1.0 \pm 0.2^{a} \end{array}$

^aFrom Ref. [9].

^bFrom Ref. [3].

^cCurrent work.

to be faster than the previously calculated rate [3] above nova temperatures, by a maximum factor of ~1.8 around a temperature of 1 GK; however, between ~0.3–0.4 GK the resonant contribution does play a role in nova nucleosynthesis. Below ~0.3 GK, we find direct capture is likely to still dominate the reaction rate. Most significant to this measurement was the reduction of the orders of magnitude uncertainty in the resonant contribution due to lack of experimental information [11] to <55% for the temperature range $0.1 \le T_9 \le 1.0$, which has a significant impact on both nova and x-ray burst model calculations. Figure 4 shows the experimentally measured 3⁺ component, in addition to the theoretical predictions for the direct capture and resonant reaction rates.

The rate was parametrized according to the Thielemann *et al.* analytic form [24] over the temperature range of $0.1 \le T_9 \le 1.0$ using an online suite of computational tools [25]. The coefficients calculated from the resulting parametrization are listed in Table II. The nova nucleosynthesis of several isotopes has been investigated using the updated ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ rate by using the framework available through the Computational Infrastructure for Nuclear Astrophysics [25]. A "postprocessing" approach was utilized (as in Ref. [8]), following a full reaction rate network with 169 isotopes, from ${}^{1}H$ to ${}^{54}Cr$, through time profiles of



FIG. 4 (color online). Reaction rates over the temperature range $0.1 \le T_9 \le 1.0$ for this work (both 3⁺ contribution and total), with the shaded red band displaying the uncertainties from this work, as well as the previous 3⁺ rate contribution based on the resonance parameters from Bardayan *et al.* [3]. The predicted direct capture component previously used [9] is also shown.

TABLE II.	Rate	parametrization	based /	on the	Thielemann	et al.	[24]	analytical form
-----------	------	-----------------	---------	--------	------------	--------	------	-----------------

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
<i>a</i> ₁₁	$0.275778 imes 10^2$	a_{21}	$-0.784708 imes 10^{1}$
a ₁₂	$-0.495969 imes 10^{1}$	a ₂₂	$-0.323504 imes10^{-1}$
a_{13}	$-0.213249 imes 10^2$	a ₂₃	-0.142191×10^{2}
a_{14}	$-0.230774 imes 10^{0}$	a ₂₄	$0.340647 imes 10^2$
a_{15}	$0.917931 imes 10^{0}$	a_{25}	$-0.165698 imes 10^2$
a_{16}	$-0.440377 imes 10^{-1}$	a_{26}	$0.248116 imes 10^{1}$
<i>a</i> ₁₇	-0.736014×10^{1}	<i>a</i> ₂₇	-0.213376×10^{1}

density and temperature in one of 28 radial, onedimensional, hydrodynamic "zones" of nova outbursts (see Ref. [25] and references therein). With the exception of ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$, reaction rates used were the same as in Ref. [8]. Calculations with the parametrized rate indicate that the abundance of ¹⁸F in the hottest zones of 1.35 solar mass white dwarf nova are increased by a factor of 1.6 over the previously adopted rate. Within the hottest zone, varying the previous resonant contribution by a factor of 10 resulted in a spread of as much as 15-16 times in the final abundance of ¹⁸F. Using the rate derived in this work, with the measured uncertainties in the resonant contribution, resulted in a spread of only $\sim 2.4X$, a large improvement in constraining the final abundances. The impact on x-ray bursts is potentially even greater, due to the higher temperatures involved; calculations of the ignition phase which included a reaction network of 298 isotopes coupled to exhaustive hydrodynamics (as described in more detail by Roberts et al. [26]) indicate that the abundances of ¹⁷O and ¹⁷F can be altered by an order of magnitude or more, with reductions in uncertainty from a factor of roughly 100 to a factor of ~ 5 .

The ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction rate is critical to our understanding of novae and x-ray bursts, but the reaction had never been measured directly. Using a mixed ¹⁷F and ¹⁷O beam from the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility, a windowless hydrogen gas target was bombarded and the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ reaction products separated with the Daresbury Recoil Separator. Based on this directly measured proton capture, a direct capture S(E) factor upper limit was determined, as well as a resonance strength for the astrophysically important 599.8 keV state stronger than originally predicted. Experimental knowledge of this resonance strength provides a tremendous improvement upon the uncertainties introduced by a theoretically inferred strength and was key in constraining the reaction rate of ¹⁷F proton capture near energies found in novae and x-ray bursts. Additional measurements, such as determination of the ${}^{17}F(p,\gamma){}^{18}Ne$ direct capture cross section and the ¹⁸F(p, α)¹⁵O reaction cross section, are needed to further reduce uncertainties in ¹⁸F production in novae.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725. This work was also supported in part by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-FG03-93ER40789 with the Colorado School of Mines and No. DE-FG02-96ER40990 with Tennessee Technological University.

*Current address: Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6354, USA.

- S. A. Glasner, E. Livne, and J. W. Truran, Astrophys. J. 475, 754 (1997).
- [2] D. W. Bardayan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 45 (1999).
- [3] D. W. Bardayan et al., Phys. Rev. C 62, 055804 (2000).
- [4] M. Wiescher, H. Schatz, and A.E. Champagne, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 356, 2105 (1998).
- [5] H. Schatz et al., Phys. Rep. 294, 167 (1998).
- [6] M. Wiescher, J. Gorres, and H. Schatz, J. Phys. G 25, R133 (1999).
- [7] M. Wiescher, J. Gorres, and F. K. Thielemann, Astrophys. J. 326, 384 (1988).
- [8] S. Parete-Koon et al., Astrophys. J. 598, 1239 (2003).
- [9] A. García et al., Phys. Rev. C 43, 2012 (1991).
- [10] M. Dufour and P. Descouvemont, Nucl. Phys. A730, 316 (2004).
- [11] C. Iliadis et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 142, 105 (2002).
- [12] H. Herndl et al., Phys. Rev. C 52, 1078 (1995).
- [13] T. Rauscher and F.K. Thielemann, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 75, 1 (2000).
- [14] T. Rauscher et al., Astrophys. J. 429, 499 (1994).
- [15] A. Couture et al., Phys. Rev. C 77, 015802 (2008).
- [16] M. S. Smith and K. E. Rehm, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 51, 91 (2001).
- [17] R. Fitzgerald, Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, 2005.
- [18] F. Strieder et al., Z. Phys. A 355, 209 (1996).
- [19] A.N. James *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 267, 144 (1988).
- [20] R. Fitzgerald et al., Nucl. Phys. A748, 351 (2005).
- [21] C. Rolfs, A.M. Charlesworth, and R.E. Azuma, Nucl. Phys. A199, 257 (1973).
- [22] R. Sherr and H. T. Fortune, Phys. Rev. C 58, 3292 (1998).
- [23] R. Chatterjee, J. Okolowicz, and M. Ploszajczak, Nucl. Phys. A764, 528 (2005).
- [24] F. K. Thielemann, M. Arnould, and J. Truran, in *Advances in Nuclear Astrophysics*, edited by E. Vangioni-Flam *et al.* (Editions Frontières, Gif-sur-Yvette, 1987), p. 525.
- [25] M.S. Smith et al., Proc. Sci., NIC-IX (2006) 180.
- [26] L.F. Roberts, W.R. Hix, M.S. Smith, and J.L. Fisker, Proc. Sci., NIC-IX (2006) 202.