Thermoelectric and Magnetothermoelectric Transport Measurements of Graphene

Yuri M. Zuev,¹ Willy Chang,² and Philip Kim²

¹Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

².
²Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

(Received 7 December 2008; published 6 March 2009)

The conductance and thermoelectric power (TEP) of graphene is simultaneously measured using a microfabricated heater and thermometer electrodes. The sign of the TEP changes across the charge neutrality point as the majority carrier density switches from electron to hole. The gate dependent conductance and TEP exhibit a quantitative agreement with the semiclassical Mott relation. In the quantum Hall regime at a high magnetic field, quantized thermopower and Nernst signals are observed and are also in agreement with the generalized Mott relation, except for strong deviations near the charge neutrality point.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.096807](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.096807) PACS numbers: 73.63.-b, 65.80.+n, 73.22.-f

Recent research on graphene, a zero-mass, zero-gap, ambipolar material system, has focused on its unique electronic properties [1]. Although there have been theoretical investigations of thermal and thermoelectric properties of this two-dimensional material [2–8], only an indirect measurement of the thermal conductivity [9] employing Raman spectroscopy has been reported recently, and thermoelectric transport has yet to be explored experimentally. In general, the thermoelectric power (TEP) is of great interest in understanding electronic transport due to its extreme sensitivity to the particle-hole asymmetry of a system [10,11]. Measurement of the thermoelectric properties of graphene can thus elucidate details of the electronic structure of the ambipolar nature of graphene that cannot be probed by conductance measurements alone. Furthermore, employing the semiclassical Mott relation [10], one can verify the validity of the Boltzmann approach to transport in graphene by comparing the simultaneously measured conductance and TEP at different chemical potentials, similar to the experiment on carbon nanotubes [12]. The application of a magnetic field in addition to a thermal gradient provides a valuable experimental tool to investigate magnetothermoelectric effects, such as the Nernst effect in two-dimensional electronic systems in the quantum Hall (QH) regime [13–16].

In this Letter, we first discuss the temperature and carrier density dependence of the TEP measured in mesoscopic graphene samples, and its relation with the Mott formula. We then discuss the magnetothermopower measurement where we observe unusual quantized TEP in the presence of the QH effect.

Graphene samples are fabricated using the mechanical exfoliation method on 300 nm $SiO₂/Si$ substrate. The graphene carrier density is adjusted with applied gate voltage to the degenerately doped Si substrate. Electrodes are defined with standard electron beam lithography, followed by Ti/Au $(3/30 \text{ nm})$ evaporation and liftoff processes. The TEP measurement technique was described in detail elsewhere [12]. In brief, a controlled temperature gradient ΔT is applied to the sample by a microfabricated heater while the resulting thermally induced voltage ΔV is measured by the voltage probes to acquire the TEP, $S =$ $-\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta T}$ (see the upper inset of Fig. 1 for device layout). Local temperature variations are measured with two metal fourprobe microthermometers. Oxygen plasma etching is used to isolate the graphene flake electrically from the heater electrode. We use Raman spectroscopy and QH measure-

FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Conductivity and (b) TEP of a graphene sample as a function of V_g for $T = 300$ K (square), 150 K (circle), 80 K (up triangle), 40 K (down triangle), and 10 K (diamond). Upper inset: Scanning electron microscopy image of a typical device, the scale bar is $2 \mu m$. Lower inset: TEP values taken at $V_g = -30$ V (square) and -5 V (circle). Dashed lines are linear fits to the data.

ments in order to verify that our samples are single layer graphene [17,18]. Devices are annealed in a vacuum cryostat to 400 K in order to improve mobility and electronhole symmetry. We measure both the two-terminal mesoscopic conductance G utilizing the outer electrodes and the four-terminal conductivity σ employing the Hall bar geometry. To measure the TEP we apply a low frequency ac signal to the heater electrode and detect the resulting 2ω thermovoltage from the outer electrodes as we change the graphene carrier density with the backgate. To stay in the linear response regime the condition $\Delta T \ll T$ is always satisfied.

In this work, we study 10 different graphene samples with mobility ranging from 1000 to 7000 $\text{cm}^2/\text{V s}$. Figures [1\(a\)](#page-0-0) and [1\(b\)](#page-0-0) show simultaneously measured σ and TEP as a function of applied gate voltage V_g for a representative graphene device in the temperature range of 10–300 K. As it was shown previously [1,19], $\sigma \propto V_g$ away from the charge neutrality point (CNP). The conductivity becomes minimum at the CNP, corresponding to $V_g = V_D$, where $V_D \approx 0$ V for this particular device. The peak value of TEP reaches $\sim 80 \mu \text{V/K}$ at room temperature in the majority of devices measured. Notably, the sign of the TEP, which indicates the sign of the majority charge carrier, changes from positive to negative as V_g crosses the CNP. The electron-hole asymmetry observed in the conductance and also in the TEP is most likely due to charged impurities in the oxide substrate [19,20] or the formation of a $p-n$ junction at the electrode contacts [21]. Universal conductance fluctuations are observed in the conductance for $T \leq 30$ K and are quantitatively correlated to the oscillations in the TEP, as we will show later.

While σ decreases as T increases, the magnitude of the TEP increases monotonically as T increases. The inset of Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-0-0) shows a linear temperature dependence of TEP at two representative gate voltages, $V_g = -30$ V and $V_g =$ -5 V. The linearity of the TEP in T suggests that the mechanism for thermoelectric generation is diffusive thermopower. Phonon-drag components of the thermopower, which usually appear in the form of a nonmonotonic temperature dependence of TEP [22], are not present here, which is consistent with weak electron-phonon coupling in graphene [23,24].

Within the Boltzmann formulation, the Seebeck coefficient S, equivalent to the TEP, represents the entropy transported per unit charge. This thermodynamic relation provides us with a qualitative understanding of the overall behavior of the observed $S(V_g)$ as V_g tunes the carrier density *n* and thus the Fermi energy E_F of the graphene samples. Far away from the CNP, the electronic system is highly degenerate and the entropy transported in the channel is proportional to the number of thermally activated carriers over the degenerate Fermi sea, leading to $S \sim$ T/E_F . Close to the charge neutrality point, however, the electron gas becomes nondegenerate and both electrons and holes are thermally populated. Here the TEP gains opposite contributions from electrons and holes, so $|S|$ decreases as $|n|$ decreases. However, the nondegenerate limit is difficult to achieve in most of the experimental temperature range since the electron-hole puddle formation near the charge neutrality point yields a finite local density $>10^{11}$ cm⁻² [25], corresponding to ≈ 100 K of energy broadening.

For a quantitative understanding of the TEP data, we now employ the semiclassical Mott relation [10,11]. In mesoscopic graphene samples, this relation can be tested by tuning E_F using V_g :

$$
S = -\frac{\pi^2 k_B^2 T}{3|e|} \frac{1}{G} \frac{dG}{dV_g} \frac{dV_g}{dE} \bigg|_{E=E_F},
$$
 (1)

where *e* is the electron charge and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. This formula can be evaluated by numerically differentiating the measured G with respect to V_g and considering $\frac{dV_g}{dE_F}(V_g) = \frac{\sqrt{|e|}}{C_g \pi}$ $C_g \pi$ $\frac{2}{\hbar v_F} \sqrt{|\Delta V_g|}$, where $\Delta V_g =$ $V_g - V_D$, h is Planck's constant, the Fermi velocity $v_F =$ 10^6 m/s, and the gate capacitance $C_g = 115 \text{ aF}/\mu \text{m}^2$ in our device geometry [18]. A comparison between the measured TEP and that predicted by the Mott formula is plotted in Fig. 2 for temperatures $T = 15, 40,$ and 200 K, where we find excellent agreement at lower temperatures. We note that Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is in the form of the mesoscopic formula [26] where the conductance includes the contact resistance [27]. At higher temperatures, local thermal equi-

FIG. 2 (color online). Measured TEP values (thick red lines) and TEP values calculated with the Mott formula (thin black lines) using Eq. ([1\)](#page-1-0) as a function of applied gate voltage for $T = 200$ K (a), 40 K (b), and 15 K (c).

librium is established, and the mesoscopic form Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) using G becomes less accurate, as it is already seen in the 200 K data in Fig. [2.](#page-1-1) Such deviation grows at higher temperatures. Recent theoretical work [7] predicts that in graphene the enhanced inelastic scattering time of carriers in the presence of electron-electron interactions yields TEP that deviates strongly from the Mott relation. Further experimental work with higher mobility samples (in current samples disorder dominates transport even at room temperature) is needed to elucidate this nondegenerate, high temperature limit of TEP in graphene.

We now turn our attention to the magneto-TEP. In the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field, a Lorentz force bends the trajectories of the thermally diffusing carriers to produce the Nernst TEP, i.e., transverse components of the TEP, S_{vx} . For this measurement, we utilize a multiple probe configuration to measure the tensor components of resistivity R_{xx} and R_{xy} and also TEP components S_{xx} and S_{yx} as shown in the inset of Figs. $3(b)$ and $3(c)$. Figure $3(a)$ displays the Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy} = -R_{xy}/[R_{xy}^2 +$ $(WR_{xx}/L)^2$ as a function of magnetic field and gate voltage, where W and L are the width and length of the Hall bar device, respectively. The linear growth of the oscillations of $\sigma_{xy}(V_g)$ with increasing magnetic field clearly indicates

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Hall conductance, (b) longitudinal TEP S_{xx} , and (c) transverse TEP S_{yx} as a function of V_g and B at $T = 10$ K. The insets show the electrode configurations for S_{xx} and S_{yx} measurement, respectively. (d) R_{xx} , (e) R_{xy} , (f) S_{xx} , and (g) S_{yx} as a function of V_g at a fixed magnetic field $B = 8.8$ T and $T = 4.2$ K. The vertical dotted lines indicate filling factors corresponding to $\nu = \pm 2, \pm 6, \pm 10, \pm 14$. All the data are averaged with respect to positive and negative values of magnetic field in order to remove mixing between longitudinal and transverse components.

that Shubnikov–de Hass oscillations are present in graphene as previously observed [17,18]. Remarkably, similar oscillatory features develop in both S_{xx} and S_{yx} as shown in Figs. $3(b)$ and $3(c)$.

In the high field regime, $B \sim 9$ T, fully developed QH effect is observed for filling factors $\nu = \pm 2, \pm 6, \pm 10,$ \pm 14, indicated by the quantized plateaus in R_{xy} and zeros in R_{xx} . Vertical dashed lines in Figs. 3(d)–3(g) indicate boundaries between Landau levels (LLs) where we find S_{xx} and S_{vx} tend to zero since no carriers are available to participate in diffusion. At the Landau level centers $|S_{xx}|$ is maximal and as higher LL are occupied these peaks decrease. Theoretically, this quantized behavior of S_{xx} is expected in the QH regime of 2D electron gas systems [13,16]. In the low temperature limit, the peaks of S_{xx} are predicted to be given by $S_{xx}^{\text{max}} = -\frac{k_B}{e}$ $\frac{\ln 2}{N}$ for clean samples [28], where N in graphene indicates the LL index. Experimentally, thermal and disorder induced broadening of LLs tends to broaden S_{xx} , making the quantized values smaller than the above prediction [15,16]. The observed S_{xx}^{max} shows the quantized $1/N$ trend discussed above, but with a reduced factor, indicating the presence of disorder in our graphene samples.

Further analysis which includes the Hall conductivity tensor and the Nernst TEP is performed by employing the generalized Mott formula that holds for all temperatures and can be applied to the QH regime [13,14]:

$$
S_{ij}^{\text{Mott}} = -\frac{\pi^2 k_B^2 T}{3|e|} \sum_k (\sigma^{-1})_{ik} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial E_F}\right)_{kj},\tag{2}
$$

where σ_{ij} is the conductivity tensor and i, j represent the x and y components. According to Eq. ([2](#page-2-0)), we expect that S_{xx}/T becomes temperature independent in the QH limit where σ_{ij} is temperature independent. Indeed, Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-3-0) shows that the measured S_{xx}/T is rather temperature independent for $T < 20$ K. Above this temperature, however, the oscillation amplitude of S_{xx}/T becomes smaller since thermal activation across the disorder broadened LLs becomes appreciable and the QH effects disappear. Figure [4\(b\)](#page-3-0) shows good agreement between the measured $S_{xx}(S_{yx})$ and the calculated $S_{xx}^{\text{Mott}}(S_{yx}^{\text{Mott}})$, indicating that the semiclassical Mott relation, extended into the QH regime, works well for both holes ($N < 0$) and electrons ($N > 0$). Near the zeroth LL ($N = 0$) at the CNP ($V_g \approx V_D$), however, the measured S_{xx} exhibits a pair of anomalous oscillations that shows a distinct deviation from Eq. ([2\)](#page-2-0). We remark that this pair of S_{xx} peaks near the zeroth Landau level shows opposite TEP polarity, i.e., positive peak on the electron side ($V_g > V_D$) and negative peak on the hole side $(V_e < V_D)$. This pair of opposite polarity oscillations could be indicative of a peculiar QH state stemming from the $N = 0$ LL. It has been speculated that this LL produces a pair of counterpropagating edge states when the Fermi level is at the CNP [29]. In this scenario, the polarity of the inner edge state is opposite to the sign of the bulk

FIG. 4 (color online). (a) Temperature dependence of the S_{xx}/T as a function of V_g at $B = 8.8$ T. (b) Measured S_{xx} (thick red line) and the S_{xx} predicted by the generalized Mott relation (thin black line) at $B = 8.8$ T and $T = 4.2$ K showing deviations near the $N = 0$ LL. Inset: Corresponding S_{yx}^{measured} and S_{yx}^{Mott} .

majority carrier, which may generate the anomalous TEP observed. We also note that an alternative explanation of this feature is possible based on the sample shape dependent two-terminal QH conductance variations near the CNP [30]. In addition, a large enhancement of S_{yx} [inset of Fig. 4(b)] is observed near the CNP, which also deviates strongly from S_{yx}^{Mott} . Such enhancement of the Nernst signal is predicted in conventional 2D electron systems, where the magnitude of S_{yx} strongly depends on the disorder strength [13,14].

In conclusion, we report carrier density dependent measurements of the thermoelectric coefficient in graphene which scales linearly with temperature. The semiclassical Mott relation explains the observed carrier density modulated TEP. In the QH regime at high magnetic field, the tensor components of the TEP show quantized behavior. Because of its sensitivity to electron-hole asymmetry, TEP measurements provide a complementary experimental tool to further study quantum transport phenomena in high mobility graphene samples.

We thank M. S. Foster, I. L. Aleiner, A. F. Young, K. I. Bolotin, and V. V. Deshpande for invaluable discussions. This work is supported by NSF (No. DMR-03-52738), DOE (No. DEFG02-05ER46215), and FENA MARCO grants.

Note added.—During the preparation of this manuscript, we became aware of a related work with similar experimental results [31].

- [1] A. K. Geim et al., Nature Mater. 6, 183 (2007).
- [2] T. Löfwander and M. Fogelström, Phys. Rev. B 76, 193401 (2007).
- [3] T. Stauber, N. M. R. Peres, and F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. B 76, 205423 (2007).
- [4] N. M. R. Peres, J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, and T. Stauber, Phys. Rev. B 76, 073412 (2007).
- [5] B. Dóra and P. Thalmeier, Phys. Rev. B 76, 035402 (2007).
- [6] M. S. Foster and I. L. Aleiner, Phys. Rev. B 77, 195413 (2008).
- [7] M. S. Foster and I. L. Aleiner, Phys. Rev. B 79, 085415 (2009).
- [8] M. Müller, L. Fritz, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 78, 115406 (2008).
- [9] A. A. Balandin et al., Nano Lett. 8, 902 (2008).
- [10] M. Cutler and N. F. Mott, Phys. Rev. 181, 1336 (1969).
- [11] D. K. C. Macdonald, *Thermoelectricity* (Dover, New York, 2006).
- [12] J. P. Small, K. M. Perez, and P. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 256801 (2003).
- [13] M. Jonson and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1939 (1984).
- [14] H. Oji, J. Phys. C 17, 3059 (1984).
- [15] H. Obloh, K. von Klitzing, and K. Ploog, Surf. Sci. 142, 236 (1984).
- [16] P. Streda, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 1, 1025 (1989).
- [17] K. S. Novoselov et al., Nature (London) 438, 197 (2005).
- [18] Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, Nature (London) 438, 201 (2005).
- [19] S. Adam, E. H. Hwang, V. M. Galitski, and S. D. Sarma, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 18 392 (2007).
- [20] V. M. Pereira, J. Nilsson, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 166802 (2007).
- [21] J. Cayssol, B. Huard, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Phys. Rev. B 79, 075428 (2009).
- [22] V. W. Scarola and G. D. Mahan, Phys. Rev. B 66, 205405 (2002).
- [23] K. I. Bolotin, K. J. Sikes, J. Hone, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 096802 (2008).
- [24] E.H. Hwang et al., Phys. Rev. B 77, 115449 (2008).
- [25] J. Martin et al., Nature Phys. 4, 144 (2008).
- [26] U. Sivan and Y. Imry, Phys. Rev. B 33, 551 (1986).
- [27] Near the CNP, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is not applicable because the degenerate limit is not satisfied and because of the presence of electron and hole puddles.
- [28] In graphene, the pseudospin related Berry phase [17,18] introduces an additional phase shift in the magnetooscillation of TEP. This leads to the quantization condition of $S_{xx}^{\text{max}} \sim 1/N$, instead of $S_{xx}^{\text{max}} \sim 1/(N + 1/2)$ originally derived for systems with massive carriers.
- [29] D. A. Abanin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 196806 (2007).
- [30] J. R. Williams et al., arXiv:0810.3397v1.
- [31] P. Wei et al., arXiv:0812.1411.