

Spin-Incoherent Transport in Quantum Wires

W. K. Hew, K. J. Thomas, M. Pepper, I. Farrer, D. Anderson, G. A. C. Jones, and D. A. Ritchie

Cavendish Laboratory, J. J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

(Received 22 December 2007; published 15 July 2008)

When a quantum wire is weakly confined, a conductance plateau appears at e^2/h with decreasing carrier density in zero magnetic field accompanied by a gradual suppression of the $2e^2/h$ plateau. Applying an in-plane magnetic field B_{\parallel} does not alter the value of this quantization; however, the e^2/h plateau weakens with increasing B_{\parallel} up to 9 T, and then strengthens on further increasing B_{\parallel} , which also restores the $2e^2/h$ plateau. Our results are consistent with spin-incoherent transport in a one-dimensional wire.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.036801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.036801)

PACS numbers: 73.21.Hb, 71.70.-d, 72.25.Dc, 73.23.Ad

One of the major developments in the study of one-dimensional (1D) transport in the last decade was the discovery of the 0.7 structure [1]. The 0.7 structure cannot be understood in the framework of one-electron theory and appears to be a consequence of electron spin. Interactions are believed to play a major role in 1D transport: a generic ground state is the Luttinger liquid, where the conductance is suppressed for repulsive interactions. However, it is now understood that charge interactions within the wire are masked in transport measurements, as the device is coupled to noninteracting Fermi-liquid leads where interactions cancel [2–4]. A conductance plateau at $2e^2/h$ is therefore observed at low temperatures irrespective of interaction strength [5]. For low carrier densities, a 1D Wigner crystal with antiferromagnetic coupling is expected [6–8]. Transport in this strongly interacting regime remains largely unexplored, except in 2D systems [9,10].

One important consequence of electron-electron interaction is spin-charge separation, whereby spin and charge modes of the electron propagate at different velocities. Recent theoretical work [8,11–14] explores the regime of the spin-incoherent Luttinger liquid, wherein the exchange coupling J of neighboring electrons becomes weak at low densities such that $J \ll k_B T \ll E_F$ and characteristics distinct from a normal Luttinger liquid are expected. Significantly, the spin modes are mostly reflected in such a regime, giving rise to an additional resistance contribution: conductance is suppressed by a factor of 2 to e^2/h [15]. With strong exchange interaction, $J \gg k_B T$, spin modes transport without scattering, the resulting charge modes giving rise to a conductance of $2e^2/h$, the usual Luttinger-liquid result.

In this Letter we show that, by tuning the confinement potential, a suppression of the $2e^2/h$ conductance plateau accompanied by a plateau at e^2/h is observed as predicted in the spin-incoherent regime. In addition to the usual transport results of noninteracting 1D electrons, we report a transition into a strongly-interacting 1D state as the confinement potential is weakened [16]. A zero-bias anomaly (ZBA) peak, characteristic of ballistic 1D wires, is observed for conductances $G < e^2/h$; the peak does not

split in B_{\parallel} , but is instead suppressed. We show that increasing B_{\parallel} induces a spin-incoherent-to-coherent transition in our system.

Split gates (width $0.7 \mu\text{m}$, length $0.4 \mu\text{m}$) were defined on a GaAs/AlGaAs single heterostructure 300 nm above the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG), which had a mobility $1.85 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ and density $1.53 \times 10^{11}/\text{cm}^2$ after partial illumination. There was a top gate (width $1 \mu\text{m}$) above the split gates, separated by a layer of cross-linked polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) 200 nm thick [17]. Magnetic fields were applied with the sample aligned in-plane, parallel to the current direction. The out-of-plane misalignment was 1.2° , but the additional confinement in the z plane does not affect the 1D dispersion appreciably.

Although the definition of a narrow channel in a 2DEG by means of split gates is a simple technique widely used to study ballistic transport in one dimension [18], the confinement potential is difficult to model. A voltage applied to the gates changes both the width and the carrier density of the 1D wire. Exploring conductances close to pinch-off, we can assume a parabolic confinement which become shallower as the width increases [19]. With our geometry, we define the width of the wire by tuning the split-gate voltage V_{sg} , and the top-gate voltage V_{tg} is then used to deplete the carriers. The two-terminal differential conductance $G = dI/dV_{\text{sd}}$ was measured as a function of V_{tg} using a 33 Hz excitation voltage of $5 \mu\text{V}$ at a base electron temperature of 100 mK.

It is difficult to measure the electron density of a quantum wire precisely. We have estimated the density by transverse-field magnetic depopulation [20] to be $n_{1\text{D}} \sim 1 \times 10^5/\text{cm}$ for single subband occupancy in the wc regime (defined in Fig. 1), satisfying $n_{1\text{D}} \ll 1/a_B$, where a_B is the effective Bohr radius. With this density, we obtain $J/k_B \sim 2.2 \text{ mK}$ and $E_F/k_B \sim 1.6 \text{ K}$ according to [15], which is consistent with the inequality $J \ll k_B T \ll E_F$.

Figure 1 shows differential conductance $G(V_{\text{tg}})$ measured by sweeping V_{tg} at fixed V_{sg} . In general, moving from right to left, i.e., making V_{sg} more positive and V_{tg} more negative, the channel widens as confinement weak-




FIG. 1 (color online). Differential conductance $G(V_{tg})$ measured at $T = 50$ mK with fixed V_{sg} , ranging from -1.7 V on the right-hand side to -0.52 V on the left-hand side. A structure evolves at e^2/h on the left-hand side accompanied by the suppression of the $2e^2/h$ degenerate plateau. Moving from right to left *sc*, *ic*, and *wc* mark the strong-, intermediate-, and weak-confinement regimes described in the text. The arrow at the bottom of the figure indicates the onset of a plateau at e^2/h , corresponding to the arrow shown in Fig. 2.

ens, and carrier density decreases. The subband energies were measured (not shown) in different regimes: the spacing between the first and second subband decreases from 1.2 to 0.7 meV across the plot right to left. On the right, where V_{sg} is more negative, the plateaux are better quantized. This region is referred to as the strongly confined (*sc*) regime in this Letter. Moving to the left, we cross over to a weakly confined (*wc*) regime through an intermediate confinement (*ic*) regime. In the *ic* regime, we already observe a gradual degradation and lowering of the $2e^2/h$ quantized plateau. The $2e^2/h$ quantized plateau disappears eventually in the *wc* regime, leaving a strong structure at e^2/h . We note that this behavior is only observed at low densities. Although the $2e^2/h$ plateau vanishes, suppressed higher-index plateaux persist in the *wc* regime. This figure shows the three regimes with distinct characteristics. For a higher starting 2D carrier density, the *wc* and *ic* will show different behavior. The suppression of the $2e^2/h$ plateau may still be observed, but is not accompanied by a e^2/h plateau. As the density is increased, this distinction disappears, and *sc* characteristics prevail throughout.

Figure 2 shows a gray-scale plot of dG/dV_{tg} obtained by numerically differentiating $G(V_{tg})$ for different V_{sg} . In general, light lines correspond to the risers between plateaux and dark lines to the plateaux. Moving diagonally up, the dark lines shrink as V_{sg} increases, reflecting a shortening of plateaux or decreasing subband spacings. For higher-index subbands, the light and dark lines remain




FIG. 2 (color online). Gray-scale plot of transconductance dG/dV_{tg} . Gradual suppression of the $2e^2/h$ plateau and strengthening of the e^2/h plateau are marked by a thin light line bridging the two adjacent light lines at the bottom. *Inset*: Schematic diagram of the device (not to scale) showing the split gates (*sg*) and top gate (*tg*) separated by a dielectric layer (*PMMA*).

distinct for the full range of V_{sg} . For the last subband, in addition to the shrinking dark line corresponding to the $2e^2/h$ plateau, a thin dark line appears at $V_{sg} = -1.05$ V, marking the formation of a e^2/h plateau. As V_{sg} is increased further, the thin line grows, eventually becoming the fully-developed e^2/h plateau.

Figure 3 shows the magnetic-field dependence of the e^2/h plateau. The plateau remains at e^2/h with increasing $B_{||}$, typically a sign of the ferromagnetic state. However, we see a weakening of the plateau as $B_{||}$ increases to 9 T, which would not be expected of such a state. The plateau




FIG. 3 (color online). Differential conductance $G(V_{tg})$ in the *wc* regime at fixed $B_{||}$, incremented from 0 to 16 T in steps of 1 T. The e^2/h plateau initially weakens up to 9 T, but no appreciable change in the quantized value is observed.

regains strength upon further increasing B_{\parallel} . The initial weakening of the plateau may be attributed to the decreasing stability of spin-incoherence with increasing field up to 9 T, whereupon the system undergoes a transition to spin coherence, and the strengthening plateau seen at higher fields is the usual spin-polarized plateau at e^2/h . It is noteworthy that the transition field of $B_{\parallel} \approx 9$ T corresponds to a Zeeman energy $\sim 250 \mu\text{eV}$. That such a large field, on the order of E_F , is required to polarize the spins is quite remarkable.

A gray-scale plot of this data is shown in Fig. 4, where the Zeeman splitting of 1D subbands $N \geq 2$ is characterized by diverging pairs of light lines from an apex at zero field. At $V_{\text{tg}} = -0.69$ V, there is a sharp light line and at $V_{\text{tg}} = -0.65$ V, there is a broad “foggy” line: the former corresponds to the riser to the e^2/h plateau and the latter to a shallow change of slope between e^2/h and $2e^2/h$. The sharp light line bifurcates as B_{\parallel} increases beyond 9 T, representing spin coherence with Zeeman splitting. In strongly confined 1D wires, Zeeman splitting results in diverging pairs of transconductance peaks with a V shape rather than the Y shape we see here. The stem of the Y shrinks as N increases, thereby reducing the threshold for transition into coherent transport. For large B_{\parallel} , spin is well defined and the $2e^2/h$ plateau appears at 14 T, whereas farther down the stem, spin becomes increasingly ill defined and eventually the incoherent regime takes over, with




FIG. 4. Gray-scale plot of dG/dV_{tg} with B_{\parallel} . Diverging pairs of light lines for $V_{\text{tg}} > -0.62$ V indicate Zeeman splitting for subbands $N \geq 2$. The sharp light line on the left-hand side at $V_{\text{tg}} = -0.69$ V corresponds to spin-incoherent electrons. It appears to be the spin-split branch of $N = 1$; however, note that at 9 T, a branch comes out to the right of this line, at which point the transport becomes spin coherent.

a reduction in conductance to e^2/h . It is difficult to infer what happens to the broad foggy line that lies close to the spin-down (\downarrow , low energy) branch of $N = 2$ subband. It appears to merge with the $N = 2$ spin-down branch, evolving together thereafter.

In previous measurements [21–24], a zero-field structure at e^2/h was ascribed to spin polarization. We note that, in these results, a structure at e^2/h was also accompanied by a plateau at $2e^2/h$, which means a diverging pair of transconductance peaks corresponding to spin \downarrow and spin \uparrow with B_{\parallel} . We measured several samples in the current study, and, in all cases, the structure at e^2/h was observed at low densities and weak-confinement strengths.

Although it has been predicted that the ballistic quantization of conductance in a quantum wire may be lost at low densities if the Fermi wavelength becomes comparable with the wire length [25], the restoration of the quantized plateau at $2e^2/h$ in a high in-plane magnetic field reassures us that this is not the case here. The constancy of the plateau at e^2/h indicates that transmission is near unity as a high B_{\parallel} would otherwise halve its value.

The simultaneous weakening of the $2e^2/h$ plateau with the appearance of an e^2/h plateau and the unusual magnetic-field dependence of the e^2/h plateau give us confidence that our results differ from that of a spin-polarized state. We believe that this is a clear signature of suppression of the spin modes, and our system has attained the spin-incoherent Luttinger-liquid regime. We also stress that there is no degradation of the higher-index plateaux.

Figure 5(a) shows $G(V_{\text{sd}})$ with well-defined ZBA conductance peaks for $G < e^2/h$ and significantly different behavior for $G > e^2/h$. The magnetic-field dependence of the ZBA is shown in Fig. 5(b); unlike previous other work [26], there is no splitting of the ZBA with increasing B_{\parallel} , the peak fully suppressed above 7 T. Figure 6 shows the




FIG. 5 (color online). (a) $G(V_{\text{sd}})$ showing a zero-bias anomaly peak for $G < e^2/h$ and its suppression above e^2/h . (b) $G(V_{\text{sd}})$ measured at fixed B_{\parallel} . The ZBA shows no discernible splitting up to $B_{\parallel} = 7$ T, beyond which the peak is completely suppressed.




FIG. 6 (color online). Dependence of the e^2/h plateau on the lattice temperature. There is overall thermal averaging, a 0.7 structure evolves at higher T . Inset: Temperature dependence of G at various carrier densities (V_{tg}); the top trace is for the midpoint of the plateau.

temperature dependence of the e^2/h plateau, which thermally smears as $k_B T$ approaches the Fermi energy, while a 0.7 structure appears at $T > 1$ K. A previous study of a spin-polarized e^2/h state in a magnetic field showed a continuous rise in the conductance structure at e^2/h towards a 0.7 structure with increasing T [27]. The present result is different in this respect as well: inset in Fig. 6 are detailed plots of the temperature dependence at various fixed V_{tg} ; there is an initial decrease in conductance observed for $T < 1$ K, above which it rises, in agreement with the evolution of 0.7 structure at higher T . It is noteworthy that the 0.7 structure emerges without an accompanying ZBA, nor is there a low-temperature plateau at $2e^2/h$ from which it evolves. Moreover, the absence of Zeeman splitting in the ZBA peak, quite possibly a signature of spin-incoherent transport in our system, discounts the Kondo effect as a possible explanation.

As a final remark, we note that a small transverse field $B_z = 0.35$ T reestablishes the $2e^2/h$ plateau. It is possible that the transverse field provides additional confinement, Lorentz force separating electrons of opposite momenta to the channel edges, restoring spin coherence.

In conclusion, a quantum wire at low carrier density shows a conductance plateau at e^2/h in zero magnetic field, accompanied by a suppression of the $2e^2/h$ plateau. As the confinement is strengthened, the standard $2e^2/h$ quantization of conductance (as described by the noninteracting model) is recovered, the regime in which quantum

wires have largely been measured by us and by others previously. On the other hand, the low-density effects reported here are consistent with the predictions of spin-incoherent Luttinger-liquid theory.

We thank K. A. Matveev for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the EPSRC. W. K. H. acknowledges support from the Cambridge Commonwealth Trust and from St. John's College. K. J. T. received support from the Royal Society.

-
- [1] K. J. Thomas, J. T. Nicholls, M. Y. Simmons, M. Pepper, D. R. Mace, and D. A. Ritchie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 135 (1996).
 - [2] D. L. Maslov and M. Stone, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R5539 (1995).
 - [3] V. V. Ponomarenko, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R8666 (1995).
 - [4] I. Safi and H. J. Schulz, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R17040 (1995).
 - [5] S. Tarucha, T. Honda, and T. Saku, *Solid State Commun.* **94**, 413 (1995).
 - [6] H. J. Schulz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1864 (1993).
 - [7] L. I. Glazman, I. M. Ruzin, and B. I. Shklovskii, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 8454 (1992).
 - [8] K. A. Matveev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 106801 (2004).
 - [9] P. Glasson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 176802 (2001).
 - [10] V. J. Goldman, M. Santos, M. Shayegan, and J. E. Cunningham, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2189 (1990).
 - [11] G. A. Fiete and L. Balents, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 226401 (2004).
 - [12] K. A. Matveev, A. Furusaki, and L. I. Glazman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 096403 (2007).
 - [13] G. A. Fiete, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 801 (2007).
 - [14] V. V. Cheianov and M. B. Zvonarev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 176401 (2004).
 - [15] K. A. Matveev, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 245319 (2004).
 - [16] J. S. Meyer, K. A. Matveev, and A. I. Larkin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 126404 (2007).
 - [17] W. K. Hew *et al.*, *Physica (Amsterdam)* **40E**, 1645 (2008).
 - [18] T. J. Thornton, M. Pepper, H. Ahmed, D. Andrews, and G. J. Davies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 1198 (1986).
 - [19] S. E. Laux, D. J. Frank, and F. Stern, *Surf. Sci.* **196**, 101 (1988).
 - [20] K. F. Berggren, T. J. Thornton, D. J. Newson, and M. Pepper, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 1769 (1986).
 - [21] K. J. Thomas *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, R13365 (2000).
 - [22] K. S. Pyshkin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 15842 (2000).
 - [23] D. J. Reilly *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 246801 (2002).
 - [24] R. Crook, J. Prance, K. J. Thomas, S. J. Chorley, I. Farrer, D. A. Ritchie, M. Pepper, and C. G. Smith, *Science* **312**, 1359 (2006).
 - [25] L. I. Glazman, G. B. Lesovik, D. E. Khmel'nitskii, and R. I. Shekhter, *JETP Lett.* **48**, 238 (1988).
 - [26] S. M. Cronenwett *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 226805 (2002).
 - [27] K. J. Thomas *et al.*, *Physica (Amsterdam)* **12E**, 708 (2002).