Subcritical Crack Growth: The Microscopic Origin of Paris' Law

André P. Vieira,¹ José S. Andrade, Jr.,^{2,3} and Hans J. Herrmann^{2,3}

¹Departamento de Engenharia Metalúrgica e de Materiais, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Campus do Pici,

60455-760 Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil

²Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Ceará, 60451-970 Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil

³Computational Physics, IfB, ETH-Hönggerberg, Schafmattstrasse 6, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

(Received 1 February 2008; published 15 May 2008)

We investigate the origin of Paris' law, which states that the velocity of a crack at subcritical load grows like a power law, $da/dt \sim (\Delta K)^m$, where ΔK is the stress-intensity-factor amplitude. Starting from a damage-accumulation function proportional to $(\Delta \sigma)^{\gamma}$, $\Delta \sigma$ being the stress amplitude, we show analytically that the asymptotic exponent *m* can be expressed as a piecewise-linear function of the exponent γ , namely, $m = 6 - 2\gamma$ for $\gamma < \gamma_c$, and $m = \gamma$ for $\gamma \ge \gamma_c$, reflecting the existence of a critical value $\gamma_c =$ 2. We perform numerical simulations to confirm this result for finite sizes. Finally, we introduce bounded disorder in the breaking thresholds and find that below γ_c disorder is relevant, i.e., the exponent *m* is changed, while above γ_c disorder is irrelevant.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.195503

PACS numbers: 62.20.mm, 46.50.+a, 64.60.av

In 1963 Paris and Erdogan [1] postulated that under fatigue loading a subcritical crack grows with a velocity that increases with the stress-intensity factor, or equivalently the crack length, as a power law with an empirically determined exponent m. Numerous experiments showed that this law is valid over at least 3 orders of magnitude for a very wide spectrum of materials [2]. The Paris law (also known as the Paris-Erdogan law) had huge implications in engineering since it allowed us to predict the residual lifetime of loaded materials quantitatively. Today this law constitutes part of basic knowledge and is taught in elementary courses on mechanics [3]. Although there have been attempts to derive the Paris law in terms of geometrical and crack-tip damage-accumulation models (see, e.g., Ref. [3] and references therein), no work has been capable of establishing a firm connection between the Paris exponent and microscopic parameters. It is the aim of our Letter to present analytical and numerical calculations relating the Paris exponent m to the local damage-accumulation law. This constitutes a micro-macro derivation of the Paris law.

For bodies under cyclic load with stress range $\Delta \sigma$, subcritical fatigue crack growth follows the Paris law [1],

$$\frac{da}{dt} \sim (\Delta K)^m = C(\Delta \sigma \sqrt{a})^m, \tag{1}$$

where *a* is the crack half-length, $\Delta K \sim \Delta \sigma \sqrt{a}$ is the stressintensity factor range, and *m* is a material-dependent exponent. Integration over time leads to the Basquin law [4], $t_f \sim (\Delta \sigma)^{-m}$, which relates the lifetime t_f (or equivalently the number of loading cycles to failure) to the stress amplitude. Let us note that while the Basquin law applies to high-cycle fatigue with an exponent *m* depending on the material structure, at low-cycle fatigue the corresponding empirical relation, $t_f \sim (\Delta \epsilon)^{-\lambda}$, where $\Delta \epsilon$ is the plastic deformation, is called the Coffin-Manson law and has an exponent λ that is remarkably close to 2, at least for polycrystalline single-phased metals [5]. Recent work [6] has shown that, in the rupture of fiber-bundle models subject to fatigue damage governed by damage-accumulation functions of the form $(\Delta \sigma)^{\gamma}$, the Basquin law is verified with an exponent given by the damage-accumulation exponent γ . This brings the question as to whether it is possible to establish a direct connection between a microscopic damage-accumulation form and the Paris law, via the corresponding exponents. Here, the term "microscopic" denotes the micrometer rather than the atomic (nanometer) length scale.

In order to address this question, we consider a linear crack of length 2a, in a two-dimensional medium subject to a transverse external stress σ_0 exerted very far from the crack, as depicted in Fig. 1. We model the medium as composed of small elements connected by stiff elastic springs, with separation δr . In the continuum limit, and within linear elasticity theory, the transverse stress at a point along the crack line, a distance *x* from the midpoint of the crack, is given by [7]

$$\sigma(x;a) = \sigma_0 \frac{x}{\sqrt{x-a}\sqrt{x+a}}.$$
 (2)

Close to the crack tip $(x \simeq a)$, the stress diverges as $\sigma(x; a) = K/\sqrt{2\pi(x-a)}$, defining the stress-intensity-factor $K = \sigma_0 \sqrt{\pi a}$.

We assume that the medium is under cyclic load, with an external stress varying between σ_{\min} and σ_{\max} , leading to a stress-intensity-factor range $\Delta K = (\sigma_{\max} - \sigma_{\min})\sqrt{\pi a}$. We further assume that fatigue damage is the sole factor driving crack growth, which happens only along the crack line [8]. Specifically, the half-length of the crack increases by δr when the accumulated damage at the crack tip reaches a threshold value F_{thr} . Damage increments are assumed to be given by



FIG. 1. A very thin crack of length 2a, propagating along the dashed line in a planar medium subject to a stress σ_0 at infinity. Point *P* is at a distance *x* from the crack center.

$$\delta F(x;a) = f_0 \delta t(a) [\Delta \sigma(x;a)]^{\gamma}, \tag{3}$$

where $\delta t(a)$ is the number of cycles during which the crack has length 2a, f_0 is a constant related to the time scale, and $\Delta \sigma(x; a)$ is calculated from Eq. (2) with σ_0 varying between σ_{\min} and σ_{\max} . The heuristic [9] power-law dependence of the damage increment can be justified by invoking concepts of self-similarity [10], and γ can be seen as a stress-amplification exponent [11].

The accumulated damage at point x when the crack has length 2a is given by

$$F(x;a) = F(x;a - \delta r) + \delta F(x;a).$$
(4)

Since the half-length of the crack increases from $a - \delta r$ to a when the accumulated damage $F(a + \delta r; a)$ reaches F_{thr} , it follows from Eqs. (3) and (4) that

$$\delta t(a) = \frac{F_{\text{thr}} - F(a + \delta r; a - \delta r)}{f_0 [\Delta \sigma(a + \delta r; a)]^{\gamma}}.$$
(5)

Here, in order to avoid the appearance of infinities, we assume that the spring attached to an element at position x experiences a stress given by $\sigma(x + \delta r; a)$. To a first approximation, this is consistent with the fact that linear elasticity theory must break down in the immediate vicinity of the crack tip, giving rise to a fracture process zone or plastic zone [3,12].

Numerical iteration of the above equations reveals a time dependence of the crack length 2a which, for large values of a, reproduces the Paris law, Eq. (1), with a γ -dependent exponent m, as shown in the inset in Fig. 2. Notice that m (as determined from the slopes of the log-log plots) seems to reach a minimum value for $\gamma \approx 2$. This can be explained as a result of the competition between damage accumulation mostly around the crack tip, which happens for $\gamma \gg 1$, and uniform damage along the whole



FIG. 2 (color online). Inset: Log-log plot of the time derivative of the crack half-length a, as a function of a/a_0 , for various values of the damage exponent γ . In each case, the time scale was adjusted so that da/dt approaches unity as a approaches the initial value $a_0 = 100\delta r$. Main panel: Dependence of the Paris exponent m on γ , for different values of the system size L. The dashed curve corresponds to Eq. (6).

crack line, which dominates as γ approaches zero. The existence of this minimum is confirmed by calculations of m as a function of γ , obtained by power-law fits of da/dt versus a, using various system sizes L. As shown in the main panel in Fig. 2, there are strong finite-size effects around $\gamma_c = 2$. As $L \rightarrow \infty$, the minimum in each curve shifts slowly towards m = 2 at $\gamma_c = 2$, suggesting an asymptotic form

$$m = \begin{cases} 6 - 2\gamma, & \text{for } \gamma < \gamma_c, \\ \gamma, & \text{for } \gamma > \gamma_c. \end{cases}$$
(6)

This can be checked by a finite-size scaling hypothesis,

$$\frac{1}{m(L)-2} = \begin{cases} L^{x} \mathcal{F}_{-}(|\gamma-2|L^{x}), & \text{for } \gamma < \gamma_{c}, \\ L^{x} \mathcal{F}_{+}(|\gamma-2|L^{x}), & \text{for } \gamma > \gamma_{c}, \end{cases}$$
(7)

which, as shown in Fig. 3, is nicely fulfilled by our numerical data with x = 0.089. Both scaling functions behave as $\mathcal{F}_{\pm}(u) \sim u^{-1}$, for $u \gg 1$, in agreement with the suggestion that, in the continuum limit $(L \gg \delta r)$, *m* should be a linear function of γ for both $\gamma < \gamma_c$ and $\gamma > \gamma_c$. We have therefore found evidence that $\gamma = \gamma_c$ is a critical point, associated with the divergence of the stress integral along the crack line. Our conclusion that $m \ge 2$ is fully compatible with values reported for various materials throughout the literature.

The prediction of Eq. (6) is confirmed by an analytical treatment of Eqs. (3)–(5). From the time $\delta t(a_0)$ during which the crack length is $2a_0$, we can calculate the instantaneous damage $F(a_0 + 2\delta r; a_0)$ at the next crack-tip position $a_0 + 2\delta r$, and then recursively determine the damage fraction at the successive crack-tip positions, $G_n \equiv F(a + \delta r; a - \delta r)/F_{\text{thr}}$, from $\delta t(a - \delta r)$ and



FIG. 3 (color online). Scaling plot of *m* versus γ for different values of the system size *L*, showing conformance with Eq. (7). The best data collapse is obtained with x = 0.089.

 $F(a + \delta r; a - 2\delta r)$, with $a = a_0 + n\delta r$. As a result, it is possible to write the recurrence relation

$$G_n + \sum_{k=1}^n g_{n,k} G_{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{n,k},$$
(8)

with $G_0 = 0$ and

$$g_{n,k} = \left[\frac{\Delta\sigma(a_0 + (n+1)\delta r; a_0 + (k-1)\delta r)}{\Delta\sigma(a_0 + k\delta r; a_0 + (k-1)\delta r)}\right]^{\gamma}.$$
 (9)

Notice that $g_{n,k}$ is determined by the ratio between the stress amplitudes at position $n\delta r$ and at the crack tip, when the crack has grown by a distance $2k\delta r$. From Eqs. (2) and (5), it follows that, for $a = a_0 + n\delta r$, with $n \gg 1$,

$$\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{\delta r}{\delta t(a)} \sim \frac{n^{\gamma/2}}{1 - G_n},\tag{10}$$

so that the scaling behavior of the crack growth rate depends on the scaling behavior of G_n . This can be investigated by looking at Eqs. (8) and (9), from which, if $\delta r \ll a_0 \ll n \delta r$, we obtain the asymptotic forms

$$g_{n,k} \simeq \begin{cases} (2\delta r/a_0)^{\gamma/2}, & \text{for } k \ll a_0/\delta r; \\ 2^{\gamma/2}k^{-\gamma/2}, & \text{for } a_0/\delta r \ll k \ll n; \\ (n-k+2)^{-\gamma/2}, & \text{for } k \lesssim n. \end{cases}$$
(11)

It is also easy to show that $g_{n,k}$ has a single minimum at $k_{\min} \simeq n/\sqrt{3}$, so that, as $n \to \infty$, the sum on the right-hand side of Eq. (8) has a power-law divergence $n^{1-\gamma/2}$ for $\gamma < 2$, while it converges to a finite value $C_{\gamma} = \zeta(\frac{1}{2}\gamma) - 1$ for $\gamma > 2$, where $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function. It follows that G_n approaches $C_{\gamma}/(1 + C_{\gamma}) < 1$ for $\gamma > 2$, and from Eq. (10) we immediately see that $m = \gamma$. On the other hand, numerical calculations show that, for $\gamma < 2$, G_n asymptotically approaches unity; although we were not able to derive an analytic expression, it can be readily checked numerically that in this case

$$1 - G_n \sim n^{-3(1 - \gamma/2)},$$
 (12)

leading, when combined with Eq. (10), to $m = 6 - 2\gamma$.

For $\gamma > \gamma_c = 2$, in the light of Basquin's law, the prediction $m = \gamma$ is compatible with the result obtained in Ref. [6], stating that the Basquin-law exponent is given by the damage exponent γ . This is no longer valid for $\gamma < \gamma_c$. However, the models studied in Ref. [6] involve randomness in both fatigue and stress thresholds as additional ingredients.

In order to investigate the effects of disorder on the Paris exponent, we introduce a distribution of values of the fatigue thresholds with lower (upper) cutoff F_1 (F_2), so that each lattice point has a local threshold $F_1 \leq F_{thr}(x) \leq$ F_2 . An obvious consequence of the disorder is that, depending on the disorder strength and on the damage exponent γ , it is possible that a point far from the crack tip reaches its local fatigue threshold *before* a point closer to the crack tip. This leads to the occurrence of rupture avalanches, in much the same way as in fiber-bundle models (see, e.g., Ref. [13]).

Conditions for the appearance of avalanches can be derived from the analytical approach presented above. Avalanches will occur if, for some crack length 2a, the local threshold at position $a + \delta r$ is less than the accumulated damage at that position when the crack had length $2(a - \delta r)$, i.e., $F_{\text{thr}}(a + \delta r) < F(a + \delta r; a - \delta r)$. As the fatigue thresholds are no longer the same for all points, Eq. (8) ceases to be valid. However, $F(a + \delta r; a - \delta r)$ can still be written as a linear combination of the thresholds of the points closer to the crack center, with coefficients related to the stress ratios $g_{n,k}$. In the absence of any previous avalanches, and for $\gamma > \gamma_c = 2$, the value of $F(a + \delta r; a - \delta r)$ is limited by F_2 times the asymptotic value of G_n , i.e., $F(a + \delta r; a - \delta r) \leq F_2 C_{\gamma}/(1 + C_{\gamma})$. Since $F_1 \leq F_{\text{thr}}(a + \delta r)$, we conclude that avalanches will occur if

$$F_1 - F_2 \frac{C_{\gamma}}{1 + C_{\gamma}} \le 0 \Rightarrow \frac{F_1}{F_2} \le \frac{C_{\gamma}}{1 + C_{\gamma}}.$$
 (13)

On the other hand, for $\gamma < \gamma_c$, any finite amount of disorder leads to the occurrence of avalanches.

Confirmation of this prediction, as well as further information on the effects of disorder, can be obtained from numerical calculations. In order to analyze the results of those calculations, we integrate the Paris law to obtain

$$1 - (a_0/a)^{m/2 - 1} = Bt, (14)$$

where *B* is a *m*-dependent constant related to the inverse rupture time. Introducing fatigue thresholds uniformly distributed between F_1 and F_2 , numerical iteration of Eqs. (2) and (3)—modified to accommodate local thresholds—shows that, for $\gamma > \gamma_c$, plots of $1 - (a_0/a)^{m/2-1}$ for large times (not shown) remain straight lines, with the same value of $m = \gamma$ as in the absence of disorder; however,



FIG. 4 (color online). Rescaled plots of the crack length for $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and various ratios $f = F_1/F_2$ between the minimum and maximum values of the fatigue thresholds. Main panel: rescaling as given by Eq. (14). Inset: rescaling with an exponent $1.14 \neq \frac{1}{2}m - 1$. All curves were obtained for system size $L = 2^{15}$ and averaged over 500 disorder realizations.

for sufficiently small values of $f = F_1/F_2$, the coefficient *B* becomes disorder dependent.

For $\gamma < \gamma_c$, we confirm the occurrence of avalanches for any amount of disorder. Also, as shown in Fig. 4 (main panel), the prediction of Eq. (14) is no longer verified, indicating that the Paris exponent *m* is actually modified by the introduction of disorder [14]. The new exponent *m'*, which recovers the linear behavior predicted by Eq. (14), is almost independent of the ratio *f*, for sufficiently strong disorder, as also shown in Fig. 4 (inset), and we have checked that in this limit the ratio (m' - 2)/(m - 2) is independent of γ , being given approximately by 0.76.

We have been able to derive analytically and confirm numerically the Paris law and found that its exponent m is a function of the damage exponent γ describing the microscopic damage-accumulation law. To our surprise we discovered that $\gamma_c = 2$ is a critical point characterized by a scaling law and a critical exponent which separates two regimes with different linear functions $m(\gamma)$. In addition we also studied the role of disorder and found again that $\gamma_c = 2$ plays a special role: disorder is relevant below it and irrelevant above it. Our results can have far-reaching consequences in the understanding and control of subcritical crack propagation. On one hand the discovered relation between the damage and the Paris exponents, which in principle could be checked experimentally, could help to predict lifetimes of samples by studying the velocity of small cracks. As we found, the value $\gamma_c = 2$ is very special. For example, to avoid the influence of disorder one must try to stay above γ_c . Finally, it is important to mention that the exponent γ of the damage-accumulation law is material dependent and could therefore play a central role in the engineering design to increase the robustness and optimize the mechanical performance of the system. The derivation of power-law damageaccumulation functions from atomistic processes would be of much interest, and represents a big challenge for future work.

We would like to thank Stefano Zapperi for helpful discussions and the Brazilian agencies CNPq, CAPES, FINEP, and FUNCAP for financial support. H. J. H. thanks the Max Planck prize.

- [1] P.C. Paris and F. Erdogan, J. Basic Eng. 85, 528 (1963).
- [2] T.C. Lindley, C.E. Richards, and R.O. Ritchie, Metall. Met. Form. 43, 268 (1976); R.P. Wei, J. Eng. Mater. Technol. 100, 113 (1978); R.J. Allen, G.S. Booth, and T. Jutla, Fatigue Fract. Eng. Mater. Struct. 11, 45 (1988); 11, 71 (1988); K.J. Mach *et al.*, J. Exp. Biol. 210, 2231 (2007).
- [3] S. Suresh, *Fatigue of Materials* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1998), 2nd ed.; J. Schijve, Eng. Fract. Mech. **11**, 169 (1979).
- [4] O.H. Basquin, Proc. Am. Soc. Testing Mater. 10, 625 (1910).
- [5] Y. Brechet, T. Magnin, and D. Sornette, Acta Metall. Mater. 40, 2281 (1992); D. Sornette, T. Magnin, and Y. Brechet, Europhys. Lett. 20, 433 (1992).
- [6] F. Kun *et al.*, J. Stat. Mech. (2007) P02003; F. Kun *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 094301 (2008).
- [7] M. P. Marder, *Condensed Matter Physics* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000).
- [8] This assumption must clearly break down as the maximum stress-intensity factor approaches the critical value K_c at which the crack becomes unstable, and which is strongly dependent on the material composition. In the case of rapid crack growth our approximation is not valid anymore since inertia effects will play a dominant role. In this regime, the Paris law itself is no longer verified.
- [9] The damage increment given by Eq. (3) intends to mimic, at the micrometer scale, the various atomistic processes leading to mechanical fatigue crack growth. Although an analogue of the Paris law has been observed in samples subject to stress corrosion fatigue, our approach should not be expected to model the physicochemical mechanisms at work during corrosion. For a discussion of these points see, e.g., B. Lawn, *Fracture of Brittle Solids* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1993), 2nd ed.; I. O. Ojala *et al.*, J. Geophys. Res. **108**, 2268 (2003).
- [10] G. I. Barenblatt and L. R. Botvina, Izv. Acad. Sci. USSR, Mech. Solids 44, 161 (1983).
- [11] D. Sornette and J. V. Andersen, Europhys. Lett. 74, 778 (2006).
- [12] M. J. Alava, P. K. V. V. Nukala, and S. Zapperi, Adv. Phys. 55, 349 (2006).
- [13] S. Pradhan, A. Hansen, and P. C. Hemmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 125501 (2005).
- [14] This distinction between the effects of the disorder for $\gamma < \gamma_c$ and $\gamma > \gamma_c$ is reminiscent of the Harris criterion for the relevance of disorder on the critical behavior of ferromagnetic models [A.B. Harris, J. Phys. C 7, 1671 (1974)], according to which disorder may change the critical exponents of the system only if the uniform specific heat exponent α is positive.