Possibility of Precise Measurement of the Cosmological Power Spectrum with a Dedicated Survey of 21 cm Emission after Reionization

Abraham Loeb¹ and J. Stuart B. Wyithe²

¹ Astronomy Department, Harvard University, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA
² School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia *School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia*

(Received 10 January 2008; revised manuscript received 23 February 2008; published 22 April 2008)

Measurements of the 21 cm line emission by residual cosmic hydrogen after reionization can be used to trace the power spectrum of density perturbations through a significant fraction of the observable volume of the Universe. We show that a dedicated 21 cm observatory could probe a number of independent modes that is 2 orders of magnitude larger than currently available, and enable a cosmic-variance limited detection of the signature of a neutrino mass ~ 0.05 eV. The evolution of the linear growth factor with redshift could also constrain exotic theories of gravity or dark energy to an unprecedented precision.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.161301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.161301) PACS numbers: 98.80.Es, 95.55.Jz, 95.85.Bh

Recently, there has been much interest in the feasibility of mapping the three-dimensional (3D) distribution of cosmic hydrogen through its resonant spin-flip transition at a rest-frame wavelength of 21 cm [\[1,](#page-3-0)[2](#page-3-1)]. Several experiments are currently being constructed (such as MWA [[3\]](#page-3-2), LOFAR $[4]$ $[4]$, PAPER $[5]$ $[5]$, 21CMA $[6]$) and more ambitious designs are being planned (SKA [\[7](#page-3-6)]) to detect the theoretically predicted emission signal.

Measurements of the power spectrum of 21 cm brightness fluctuations could constrain the initial conditions from inflation as well as the nature of dark matter and dark energy. The 21 cm fluctuations are expected to simply trace the primordial power spectrum of matter density perturbations either before the first galaxies had formed (at redshifts $z \ge 20$) [\[8,](#page-3-7)[9](#page-3-8)] or after reionization (1 $\le z \le$ 6)—when only dense pockets of self-shielded hydrogen (such as damped Ly α systems) survive [\[10,](#page-3-9)[11\]](#page-3-10). During the epoch of reionization, the fluctuations are mainly shaped by the topology of ionized regions $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$, and thus depend on astrophysical details. However, even during this epoch, the line-of-sight anisotropy of the 21 cm power spectrum due to peculiar velocities can in principle be used to separate the implications for fundamental physics from the unknown details of the astrophysics $[12,15]$ $[12,15]$ $[12,15]$ $[12,15]$ $[12,15]$. In what follows, we will focus our discussion on the postreionization epoch $[10,11]$ $[10,11]$, which offers two advantages. First, it is least contaminated by the Galactic synchrotron foreground [whose brightness temperature scales with the redshift under consideration as $(1 + z)^{2.6}$ [\[1\]](#page-3-0)]. Second, because the UV radiation field is nearly uniform after reionization, it should not imprint any large-scale features on the 21 cm power spectrum that would mimic cosmological signatures. On large spatial scales the 21 cm sources are expected to have a linear bias analogous to that inferred from galaxy redshift surveys. Since a 21 cm survey maps the global hydrogen distribution without resolving individual galaxies, the 21 cm bias is expected to be modest compared to surveys that select for the brightest galaxies at the same redshifts.

In general, cosmological surveys are able to measure the power spectrum of primordial density fluctuations $P(k)$ to a precision that is ultimately limited by cosmic variance, namely, the number of independent Fourier modes that fit within the survey volume. 21 cm observations are advantageous relative to existing data sets because they access a 3D volume instead of the 2D surface probed by the cosmic microwave background (CMB), and they extend to a sufficiently high redshift (well beyond the horizon of galaxy redshift surveys [\[16\]](#page-3-14)) where most of the comoving volume of the observable Universe resides. At these high redshifts, small-scale modes are still in the perturbative (linear growth) regime where their analysis is straightforward. The expected 21 cm power extends down to the pressuredominated (Jeans) scale of the cosmic gas, which is orders of magnitude smaller than the comoving scale at which the CMB anisotropies are damped by photon diffusion [[8\]](#page-3-7).

Altogether, the above factors make 21 cm surveys an ideal cosmological probe of fundamental physics [[17\]](#page-3-15). To illustrate this point, we show in this Letter that a dedicated 21 cm observatory would enable a determination of the matter power spectrum at redshifts $z \leq 6$ to an unprecedented precision. In our numerical examples, we adopt the standard set of cosmological parameters [\[18\]](#page-3-16).

*Number of Modes.—*The limitation of existing redshift surveys of galaxies [\[19,](#page-3-17)[20\]](#page-3-18) is apparent in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) which plots the comoving volume of the Universe out to a redshift *z* as a function of *z*. State-of-the-art galaxy redshift surveys, such as the spectroscopic sample of luminous red galaxy (LRGs) in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) [[16\]](#page-3-14), extend only out to $z \sim 0.5$ (over $\sim 10\%$ of the sky) and probe $\sim 0.01\%$ of the observable Universe.

The CMB fluctuations probe a thin shell on the 2D surface of the sky. The number of modes with a comoving wave number $k \equiv 2\pi/\lambda$ between *k* and $k + dk$ that fit on

FIG. 1. The fraction of the total comoving volume of the observable Universe that is available up to a redshift *z*.

this 2D surface is $dN_{\text{CMB}} = \pi k d k [\mathcal{A}/(2\pi)^2]$, where $A = D^2 d\Omega$, *D* is the comoving distance to the last scattering surface at $z \sim 10^3$, and $d\Omega$ is the solid angle of the survey field. Redshift surveys of galaxies and 21 cm surveys probe a 3D comoving volume V and potentially access a larger number of modes, dN_{3D} = $2\pi k^2 d k[\mathcal{V}/(2\pi)^3].$

Figure [2](#page-1-1) compares $N_{\text{CMB}} = (k/10)dN_{\text{CMB}}/dk$ with $N_{3D} = (k/10)dN_{3D}/dk$, for future 21 cm surveys after reionization [\[10\]](#page-3-9). The CMB data set is assumed to cover a fraction $f_{\text{sky}} = 0.65$ of the sky (excluding the region

FIG. 2. The number of modes *N* within a wave number bin of width $\Delta k = k/10$ centered on *k* that are available in different cosmological surveys. The thick dashed gray line corresponds to the spectroscopic LRG sample of SDSS [\[16\]](#page-3-14), while the thick solid line (marked HRG for ''high redshift galaxies'') corresponds to a future spectroscopic survey at $2.5 < z < 3.5$ covering 1000 square degrees with a comoving galaxy density equal to the LRG sample. The thick dark line corresponds to a CMB data set with $f_{\rm sky} = 0.65$. The thin lines show the number of modes accessible in a 21 cm survey (including the limit on large-scale modes due to foreground removal [\[12\]](#page-3-11)) covering $f_{sky} = 0.65$ within a redshift range spanning a factor of 3 in $(1 + z)$, and centered on $z = 1.5$, 3.5, and 6.5. For $z \le 1.5$, we have truncated the curves at $k = 0.2$ Mpc⁻¹ to illustrate the smaller range of k accessible within the linear regime at lower redshifts [\[33\]](#page-3-20).

around the Milky Way galaxy). For comparison, we also show the corresponding number of modes within the same *k* interval in the spectroscopic LRG sample of SDSS [[16\]](#page-3-14), which covers \sim 3700 square degrees out to $z \sim 0.5$ or a volume of $V = 0.72h^{-3} \text{ Gpc}^3$ (where $h \approx 0.7$ is the Hubble constant in units of $100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$).

21 cm observatories that are currently under construction [such as the Murchison Wide-Field Array (MWA)] will survey only a few percent of the sky and process only \sim 15% of the available frequency range (bandpass). In this Letter, we consider future 21 cm surveys that would potentially cover $f_{\text{sky}} = 0.65$ with a processed frequency bandwidth spanning a redshift range of a factor of 3 in $(1 + z)$ centered on $z = 1.5$, $z = 3.5$, and $z = 6.5$ [[21](#page-3-19)]. With an array design based on MWA in which the effective area of each tile of 16 dipole antennas equals its physical area, the value of $f_{\text{sky}} = 0.65$ corresponds to \sim 33 correlated primary beams or fields.

*Results.—*The fractional uncertainties in the 21 cm power spectrum P_{21} for a cosmic-variance limited survey, power spectrum P_{21} for a cosmic-variance limited survey,
 $(\Delta P_{21}/P_{21}) = 1/\sqrt{N}$, are presented in the inset of Fig. [3](#page-1-2) (straight lines). Also shown in both the inset and main panel are the noise curves for observations using a design based on the so-called MWA5000 experiment, which is

FIG. 3. The fractional change in the amplitude of the power spectrum owing to the presence of a massive neutrino (horizontal gray lines, asymptoting towards a constant at high *k* values). The case shown, $f_v = 0.004$, corresponds to $m_v = 0.05$ eV. For comparison, the limits imposed by cosmic variance on measurements of the power spectrum from the SDSS-LRG and a future 1000 square degree galaxy survey at $2.5 < z < 3.5$ are marked by the thick dashed and solid gray lines, respectively. The *U*-shaped error curves correspond to an all-sky 21 cm survey $[f_{sky} = 0.65$ over a redshift range spanning a factor of 3 in $(1 +$ *z*)] with MWA5000 and a $10³$ h integration per field (line styles for $z = 1.5, 3.5, 6.5$ as in Fig. [2\)](#page-1-1). The noise is plotted in bins of size $\Delta k/k = 0.1$. The inset shows these results on logarithmic axes that span a larger dynamic range of achievable precision. The straight thin lines in the inset show the cosmic-variance uncertainty in the power-spectrum measurement owing only to the number of available modes. For $z \leq 1.5$, we have truncated the curves at $k = 0.2$ Mpc⁻¹ to illustrate the smaller range of k accessible within the linear regime at lower redshifts [\[33\]](#page-3-20).

assumed to have 10 times the collecting area of MWA (as described in Refs. [\[12](#page-3-11)[,22\]](#page-3-21)). MWA5000 would be cosmicvariance limited in an integration time of $\sim 10^3$ hours at wave numbers near $k \sim 0.1$ Mpc⁻¹. Since the dipoles of each antenna tile look at $\sim \pi$ steradians, simultaneous processing of multiple primary beams would allow a survey of area $f_{\rm sky} = 0.65$ at 10^3 hours of integration per pointing within a few years. In computing the thermal noise of the observatory, we have adopted a model for the bias factor b_{21} of the 21 cm sources $(b_{21}^2 \equiv P_{21}/P)$ from Ref. [[10](#page-3-9)]. The noise curves include a limit on largescale modes due to foreground removal. Current estimates for MWA show that foreground removal should be effective for modes over a frequency range ≤ 6 MHz, which is $\frac{1}{4}$ of the total 24 MHz processed bandwidth [\[12](#page-3-11)]. However, improvements on this range would provide access to a larger *N* as well as to lower-*k* modes. In Fig. [3](#page-1-2) and subsequently, we assume a scenario in which the foreground can be removed on scales of up to $\frac{1}{12}$ of the total processed bandwidth, namely $\frac{1}{12} \times (\sqrt{3} - 1/\sqrt{3})$ [1400 MHz/(1 + $[z] = 30[(1 + z)/4.5]^{-1}$ MHz.

For comparison, we also show the noise curves for the SDSS-LRG survey (thick gray line), including the effects of Poisson shot noise [\[23\]](#page-3-22), $(\Delta P_{gal}/P_{gal}) =$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $n_{\text{gal}} = 46748/(0.72h^{-3}) \text{ Gpc}^{-3}$ and a bias factor of $b_{\text{gal}} =$ 2 [[16](#page-3-14)]. We find that the potential 21 cm constraints on the matter power spectrum are 1–2 orders of magnitude better than a low-redshift galaxy survey like SDSS-LRG.

As an example for the potential use of a 21 cm survey, we show in Fig. [3](#page-1-2) the expected relative changes in the amplitude of the power spectrum owing to the presence of a massive neutrino [[24](#page-3-23)]. At wave numbers much larger than the neutrino free-streaming wave number $[k_{fs}]$ than the neutrino free-streaming wave number $k_{fs} = 0.1 \Omega_m(0) h \sqrt{f_\nu} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, the suppression of the power spectrum is given by [\[25](#page-3-24)[,26\]](#page-3-25)

$$
\frac{P(k, f_{\nu})}{P(k, f_{\nu} = 0)} = (1 - f_{\nu})^3 \left[1.9 \times 10^5 g(0) \Omega_m(0) \frac{f_{\nu}}{N_{\nu}} \right]^{-6f_{\nu}/5},
$$
\n(1)

where $g(z) \approx \Omega_m^{0.2} / [1 + 0.003 (\Omega_\Lambda / \Omega_m)^{4/3}]$ is the growth function of the gravitational potential for matter and vacuum density parameters of $\Omega_m(z) = \left[1 + \Omega_{\Lambda}/\Omega_m(0)\right]$ + $[z(3)]^{-1}$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = (1 - \Omega_m)$ [[27](#page-3-26)]; $f_{\nu} \equiv [\Omega_{\nu}(0)/\Omega_m(0)] =$ $0.08N_{\nu}(m_{\nu}/1 \text{ eV})$ is the present-day mass fraction of the matter density carried by N_{ν} neutrino species of particle mass m_{ν} . In this Letter we conservatively assume a nondegenerate hierarchy of neutrino masses with $N_{\nu} = 1$ and m_v denoting the largest mass eigenstate. Figure [3](#page-1-2) shows the case corresponding to $f_v = 0.004$ ($m_v = 0.05$ eV).

While Fig. [3](#page-1-2) indicates that the cosmic variance in a galaxy redshift survey (such as the SDSS-LRG survey) is sufficiently small to detect the suppression of power at $k \gg$

 k_{fs} due to a neutrino mass of $m_{\nu} = 0.05$ eV, uncertainties in other cosmological parameters and parameter degeneracies reduce this sensitivity by an order of magnitude [\[24](#page-3-23)[,28\]](#page-3-27). Thus, in order to avoid possible systematic offsets between the power-spectrum amplitude observed by different techniques (such as galaxy surveys, CMB maps, and Ly α forest data) at different *k* values, it is desirable for the 21 cm survey to be self-contained and cover a sufficiently broad range of wave numbers that probe the curvature of the neutrino effect in Fig. [3.](#page-1-2) For this to be achieved, the removal of the Galactic synchrotron foreground would need to be effective over large frequency intervals of up to \sim 30 $[(1 + z)/4.5]$ ⁻¹ MHz. Foreground removal across such intervals would provide access to the required range of scales over which a constant offset (in the form of a linear bias factor) would not affect the m_{ν} measurement. The desired wave number to be reached by foreground removal corresponds to the scale where cosmic variance is larger than the change induced by a massive neutrino. Currently, the detailed properties of the foreground are not well measured. The feasibility of foreground removal over a broad frequency interval will remain uncertain until data from the first generation of 21 cm observatories are analyzed.

Figure [3](#page-1-2) illustrates that foreground removal to \sim 30[(1 + z / 4.5 ⁻¹ MHz would be sufficient to detect the modification of the power spectrum due to the minimum neutrino mass of 0.047 ± 0.01 eV indicated by the latest atmospheric neutrino data [\[25,](#page-3-24)[29\]](#page-3-28) at all spatial scales where the effect is larger than cosmic variance. The advantage of the large survey volume is evident since it allows the modification of shape to be measured in addition to the suppression detected by the SDSS-LRG survey (the latter being cosmic-variance limited on scales where the shape is mea-sured). In a follow-up paper [[30](#page-3-29)], we will address the level of degeneracy with other cosmological parameters. Already, Fisher-matrix studies [\[12,](#page-3-11)[31\]](#page-3-30) have demonstrated the improved capabilities of 21 cm observations during the epoch of reionization, where contamination from astrophysical sources needs to be removed through the angular dependence of the 21 cm power spectrum [\[15\]](#page-3-13).

A 21 cm survey measures the modulation in the cumulative 21 cm emission from a large number of galaxies, as its coarse angular and redshift resolution is not capable of resolving the 21 cm sources individually [[10](#page-3-9),[22](#page-3-21)]. The damped $Ly\alpha$ systems, which contain most of the hydrogen mass in the Universe at $z \leq 6$, are expected to be hosted by abundant low mass galaxies [[32](#page-3-31)] and thus have a weak bias relative to the underlying matter distribution on large spatial scales. This weak bias is not expected to introduce a feature to the power spectrum that is degenerate with the neutrino signature (as would be the case prior to reionization). Although the comoving wave number at which nonlinear evolution becomes important increases from $k \sim 0.1h^{-1}$ Mpc⁻¹ at $z = 0.3$ to $\sim 0.5h^{-1}$ Mpc⁻¹

at $z = 3$ [[33](#page-3-20)], the constraints on m_v can be potentially improved by accounting for the related nonlinear effects [\[34\]](#page-3-32).

By measuring the evolution of the growth factor with redshift to the exquisite precision implied by Fig. [3,](#page-1-2) it would also be possible to constrain alternative theories of gravity or dark energy well beyond the capabilities of existing data sets [[35](#page-3-33)]. The evolution of the growth factor would be limited by the knowledge of the bias of the 21 cm sources, b_{21} . The limit on the uncertainty in growth factor would satisfy $\left[d \ln g / d \ln(1 + z)\right] \approx \left[d \ln b_{21} / d \ln(1 + z)\right]$.

Finally, we note that a precise $P(k)$ measurement at multiple redshifts would also allow us to determine the redshift evolution of the baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAO) in the 21 cm power spectrum $[10,36]$ $[10,36]$ $[10,36]$ $[10,36]$ $[10,36]$. The BAO scale constitutes a standard ruler [[33](#page-3-20),[37](#page-3-35)] that can be used to measure the equation of state of the dark energy [\[11](#page-3-10)[,22\]](#page-3-21), constrain $1 - \Omega_{\text{tot}}$, and further remove degeneracies between m_{ν} and other cosmological parameters [\[38\]](#page-3-36).

*Hardware.—*The required 21 cm observatory could be similar in antenna design to the planned MWA but would require expanded collecting area and computer resources to account for the increased cross-correlation requirements and the analysis of multiple beams. A suitable observatory would contain 10 times the number of tiles in MWA. The computational load increases as the square of the number of tiles in a telescope, and linearly with both the amount of processed bandpass and the number of cross-correlated primary beams. Thus, an all-sky survey over a frequency range covering a factor of 3 in $(1 + z)$ requires an overall improvement by $\sim 10^4$ in computer power relative to MWA [\[39\]](#page-3-37).

We thank M. McQuinn and M. Zaldarriaga for helpful comments. We also thank the Harvard-Australia foundation for funding a visit of A. L. to Australia, during which this work was performed.

- [1] S. R. Furlanetto, S. P. Oh, and F. H. Briggs, Phys. Rep. **433**, 181 (2006).
- [2] R. Barkana and A. Loeb, Rep. Prog. Phys. **70**, 627 (2007).
- [3] http://www.haystack.mit.edu/ast/arrays/mwa/.
- [4] http://www.lofar.org/.
- [5] http://astro.berkeley.edu/dbacker/EoR/.
- [6] http://web.phys.cmu.edu/past/.
- [7] http://www.skatelescope.org/.
- [8] A. Loeb and M. Zaldarriaga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 211301 (2004).
- [9] A. Lewis and A. Challinor, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 083005 (2007).
- [10] S. Wyithe and A. Loeb, arXiv:0708.3392.
- [11] T.-C. Chang, U.-L. Pen, J. B. Peterson, and P. McDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 091303 (2008).
- [12] M. McQuinn, O. Zahn, M. Zaldarriaga, L. Hernquist, and S. R. Furlanetto, Astrophys. J. **653**, 815 (2006).
- [13] M. G. Santos, A. Amblard, J. Pritchard, H. Trac, R. Cen, and A. Cooray, arXiv:0708.2424.
- [14] I. T. Iliev, G. Mellema, U.-L. Pen, and P.R. Shapiro, arXiv:0712.1356.
- [15] R. Barkana and A. Loeb, Astrophys. J. Lett. **624**, L65 (2005).
- [16] D. J. Eisenstein *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **633**, 560 (2005); N. Padmanabhan *et al.*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **378**, 852 (2007).
- [17] M. Kleban, K. Sigurdson, and I. Swanson, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 (2007) 9.
- [18] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **170**, 377 (2007).
- [19] M. Tegmark *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 123507 (2006).
- [20] Ø. Elgarøy and O. Lahav, Phys. Scr. **T127**, 105 (2006).
- [21] The factor of 3 in $(1 + z)$ corresponds to the largest frequency bandwidth over which a low-frequency dipole antenna has suitable sensitivity.
- [22] J. S. B. Wyithe, A. Loeb, and P. M. Geil, arXiv:0709.2955 [Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. (to be published)];
- [23] We ignore Poisson fluctuations in the 21 cm power spectra since the contributing galaxies are expected to be of much lower mass than LRGs, and there should be a large number of them per resolution element of the survey.
- [24] W. Hu, D. J. Eisenstein, and M. Tegmark, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5255 (1998).
- [25] J. Lesgourgues and S. Pastor, Phys. Rep. **429**, 307 (2006).
- [26] S. Hannestad, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **56**, 137 (2006).
- [27] L.A. Kofman, N.Y. Gnedin, and N.A. Bahcall, Astrophys. J. **413**, 1 (1993).
- [28] U. Seljak, A. Slosar, and P. McDonald, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10 (2006) 14; A. Slosar, P. McDonald, and U. Seljak, New Astron. Rev. **51**, 327 (2007).
- [29] M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. **6**, 122 (2004).
- [30] E. Visbal, A. Loeb, and J. S. B. Wyithe (to be published).
- [31] Y. Mao, M. Tegmark, M. McQuinn, M. Zaldarriaga, and O. Zahn, arXiv:0802.1710.
- [32] A. M. Wolfe, E. Gawiser, and J. X. Prochaska, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **43**, 861 (2005).
- [33] H.-J. Seo and D. J. Eisenstein, Astrophys. J. **633**, 575 (2005).
- [34] S. Saito, M. Takada, and A. Taruya, arXiv:0801.0607.
- [35] S. Wang, L. Hui, M. May, and Z. Haiman, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 063503 (2007).
- [36] R. Barkana and A. Loeb, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **363**, L36 (2005).
- [37] C. Blake and K. Glazebrook, Astrophys. J. **594**, 665 (2003).
- [38] S. Hannestad and Y.Y.Y. Wong, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 7 (2007) 4.
- [39] The cost of the antenna hardware is expected to be in the range of hundreds of million of dollars, an order of magnitude higher than for MWA. Improved telescope designs [[31](#page-3-30)] and advances in computer technology could help to realize our projected 21 cm observatory.