Small scale quasigeostrophic convective turbulence at large Rayleigh number

Tobias G. Oliver,¹ Adrienne S. Jacobi,¹ Keith Julien,² and Michael A. Calkins ¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA ²Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

(Received 8 March 2023; accepted 22 August 2023; published 12 September 2023)

A numerical investigation of an asymptotically reduced model for quasigeostrophic Rayleigh-Bénard convection is conducted in which the depth-averaged flows are numerically suppressed by modifying the governing equations. At the largest accessible values of the Rayleigh number Ra, the Reynolds number and Nusselt number show evidence of approaching the diffusion-free scalings of $Re \sim RaE/Pr$ and $Nu \sim Pr^{-1/2}Ra^{3/2}E^2$, respectively, where E is the Ekman number and Pr is the Prandtl number. For large Ra, the presence of depth-invariant flows, such as large-scale vortices, yield heat and momentum transport scalings that exceed those of the diffusion-free scaling laws. The Taylor microscale does not vary significantly with increasing Ra, whereas the integral length scale grows weakly. The computed length scales remain O(1) with respect to the linearly unstable critical wave number; we therefore conclude that these scales remain viscously controlled. We do not find a point-wise Coriolis-inertia-Archimedean (CIA) force balance in the turbulent regime; interior dynamics are instead dominated by horizontal advection (inertia), vortex stretching (Coriolis) and the vertical pressure gradient. A secondary, subdominant balance between the Archimedean buoyancy force and the viscous force occurs in the interior and the ratio of the root mean square (rms) of these two forces is found to approach unity with increasing Ra. This secondary balance is attributed to the turbulent fluid interior acting as the dominant control on the heat transport. These findings indicate that a pointwise CIA balance does not occur in the high Rayleigh number regime of quasigeostrophic convection in the plane layer geometry. Instead, simulations are characterized by what may be termed a *nonlocal* CIA balance in which the buoyancy force is dominant within the thermal boundary layers and is spatially separated from the interior Coriolis and inertial forces.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.8.093502

I. INTRODUCTION

Convection plays an important role in the dynamics of many planets and stars, where it serves as the power source for sustaining the magnetic fields of the planets [1-4] and the Sun [5]. Convection may also be a possible driving mechanism for the observed large-scale zonal winds (see, e.g., Ref. [6]) and vortices (see, e.g., Ref. [7]) on the giant planets. The flows in these natural systems are strongly forced and turbulent and can be constrained by the Coriolis force. Studying rotationally constrained convective turbulence is therefore important for improving our understanding of such systems. However, experimental [8–11] and numerical [12–14] investigations have difficulty accessing this parameter regime due to the extreme scale separation that characterizes the dynamics. Asymptotic models play an important role in this regard since they allow for significant computational savings by eliminating physically unimportant dynamics while retaining the dominant force balance that is thought to be representative of natural systems. In particular, the asymptotic model for rapidly rotating convection in a planar geometry has been used to advance our understanding of this system [15] and shows excellent agreement with the results of DNS where

an overlap of the parameter space is possible [16,17]. Nevertheless, the behavior of the system in the dual limit of strong buoyancy forcing *and* rapid rotation is still not completely understood [18]. In the present work we use numerical simulations of this asymptotic model for investigating the scaling behavior of rotating convective turbulence at previously inaccessible parameter values.

Rayleigh-Bénard convection, consisting of a fluid layer of depth H confined between plane parallel boundaries, is a canonical system used for studying buoyancy-driven flows. The two boundaries have temperature difference ΔT and a constant gravitational field of magnitude g points perpendicular to the boundaries. The Rayleigh number

$$Ra = \frac{g\alpha \Delta T H^3}{\nu \kappa} \tag{1}$$

provides a nondimensional measure of the buoyancy force. Here, α is the thermal expansion coefficient, ν is the kinematic viscosity, and κ is the thermal diffusivity. In most systems of interest, the flow is strongly driven such that $Ra \gg Ra_c$, where Ra_c is the critical Rayleigh number for the onset of convection. In the presence of a background rotation with angular frequency Ω , the resulting convective dynamics are considered rotationally constrained, or quasigeostrophic (QG), when viscous forces and inertia are both small relative to the Coriolis force. In nondimensional terms, quasigeostrophy is characterized by small Rossby and Ekman numbers, respectively defined by

$$Ro = \frac{U}{2\Omega H}, \quad E = \frac{\nu}{2\Omega H^2},\tag{2}$$

where U is a characteristic flow speed. In addition, the Reynolds number is given by Re = UH/v = Ro/E; QG turbulence is characterized by $Re \gg 1$, and therefore $E \ll Ro \ll 1$. As an example, for the Earth's outer core, estimates suggest $Ro = O(10^{-7})$, $E = O(10^{-15})$, and $Re = O(10^8)$ (see, e.g., Ref. [3]).

An inverse kinetic-energy cascade is known to occur in QG convection [18–22]. In the periodic plane layer geometry, the inverse cascade gives rise to a depth-invariant large-scale vortex (LSV) that tends to equilibrate with lateral dimensions comparable to the horizontal size of the simulation domain. The LSV can then dynamically influence the underlying small scale convection. Previous studies have shown that the relative amount of kinetic energy contained in the LSV and the small scale convection depends nonmonotonically on the forcing amplitude [18,22]. In addition, the characteristic speed of the LSV depends linearly on the aspect ratio of the simulation domain [18,23]. Although such coupling between the large and small scale dynamics is directly relevant to natural systems, it nevertheless complicates efforts to understand the strongly forced asymptotic regime of the small-scale convection.

Momentum and heat transport are characterized by Re and the Nusselt number Nu, respectively. Understanding the scaling of these two quantities in the high Rayleigh number regime of QG convection is vital for relating model output to natural systems. QG convection dynamics are conveniently specified by the asymptotic combination

$$\widetilde{Ra} = RaE^{4/3},\tag{3}$$

which we refer to as the reduced Rayleigh number. With this rescaling, the onset of convection occurs at $\widetilde{Ra} \approx 8.7$, and the onset of turbulence occurs when $\widetilde{Ra} \gtrsim 40$ [19]. Previous work has found heat transport data that is consistent with the diffusion-free scaling, $Nu \sim \widetilde{Ra}^{3/2}Pr^{-1/2}$, in the turbulent regime [12,24], where $Pr = \nu/\kappa$ is the Prandtl number. However, recent investigations that allow for the amplitude of the LSV to fully saturate have found a stronger scaling for Nu [18], which might suggest that, although the small-scale convection approaches $Nu \sim \widetilde{Ra}^{3/2}Pr^{-1/2}$, the interaction with the LSV provides an additional enhancement of heat transport. A similar diffusion-free scaling for the flow speeds is given by $Re \sim RaE/Pr$, implying a rotational free-fall velocity $U_{\rm rff} = g\alpha \Delta T/2\Omega$. Recent studies of convection in a spherical geometry support this behavior [25].

However, similar to their heat transport findings, Ref. [18] find that the Reynolds number scales more strongly than the diffusion-free scaling.

A defining property of turbulence is the presence of a broad range of length scales within the flow field. In spectral space this range of scales translates into a broadband kinetic-energy spectrum. The spectrum of nonrotating turbulence broadens via a forward cascade in which there is a net transfer of energy from low wave numbers to high wave numbers. A consequence of this transfer of energy is that the length scale at which viscous dissipation is dominant becomes ever smaller as *Re* increases (see, e.g., Ref. [26]).

The process by which spectral broadening occurs in QG convection is less clear. In the limit $E \rightarrow 0$, linear theory shows that the onset of convection occurs on a length scale of size $\ell = O(E^{1/3})$. This length scale arises because the viscous force facilitates convection by simultaneously perturbing the geostrophic force balance and relaxing the Taylor-Proudman constraint. Understanding which length scales emerge in the strongly nonlinear regime is important for characterizing QG convective turbulence. Previous studies in spherical geometry suggest that the length scale varies with the Rossby number as $\ell \sim Ro^{1/2}$ (see, e.g., Ref. [25]), which is thought to arise from the so-called Coriolis-inertia-Archimedean (CIA) balance [27]. In terms of the reduced Rayleigh number this scaling is equivalent to $\ell \sim E^{1/3} (\tilde{Ra}/Pr)^{1/2}$ (see, e.g., Ref. [28]). Recent experimental work using water as the working fluid has found a slightly weaker scaling than $\ell \sim Ro^{1/2}$ [10]; this same investigation and a numerical study of convection-driven dynamos [14] find that the correlation length scale of the vorticity is approximately constant with increasing \tilde{Ra} . It is presently unknown whether this behavior persists in the limit of large \tilde{Ra} .

In the present investigation we report on the results of numerical simulations of the QG model of rotating convection in which the depth-invariant flows are suppressed. This suppression is done to isolate the asymptotic behavior of the small-scale convection in the absence of the LSV and to simulate the largest accessible values of \tilde{Ra} in an attempt to identify asymptotic trends in the scaling behavior of various flow quantities. In Sec. II we provide an overview of the QG model and numerical methods. Results and conclusions are given in Secs. III and IV, respectively.

II. METHODS

A. Governing equations

In the present investigation we employ a modified version of the asymptotically reduced form of the governing equations given by Ref. [29]. When nondimensionalized using the small-scale viscous diffusion speed ν/ℓ_{ν}^* , where $\ell_{\nu}^* = HE^{1/3}$, and temperature scale ΔT , these equations take the form

$$\partial_t \zeta + J[\psi, \zeta] - \gamma \langle J[\psi, \zeta] \rangle - \partial_Z w = \nabla_{\perp}^2 \zeta, \qquad (4)$$

$$\partial_t w + J[\psi, w] + \partial_Z \psi = \frac{Ra}{Pr} \vartheta + \nabla_{\perp}^2 w,$$
(5)

$$\partial_t \vartheta + J[\psi, \vartheta] + w \partial_Z \overline{\Theta} = \frac{1}{Pr} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \vartheta, \tag{6}$$

$$\partial_Z(\overline{w\vartheta}) = \frac{1}{Pr} \partial_Z^2 \overline{\Theta},\tag{7}$$

where *t* is time, the Cartesian coordinate system is denoted by (x, y, Z), the Jacobian operator is defined by $J[\psi, A] = \partial_x \psi \partial_y A - \partial_y \psi \partial_x A$ for some scalar field A, γ is a constant, the angled brackets appearing in equation (4) denote an average over the depth (*Z*), and the horizontal Laplacian operator is denoted by $\nabla_{\perp}^2 = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$. The vertical components of vorticity and velocity are denoted by ζ and w, respectively, ψ is the geostrophic stream function, and ϑ is the fluctuating temperature. The vorticity and stream function are related via $\zeta = \nabla_{\perp}^2 \psi$. The mean temperature is denoted by $\overline{\Theta}$, where the overline represents a horizontal average. We note that $\overline{\Theta} = O(1)$ and $\vartheta = O(E^{1/3})$ in the asymptotic expansion.

TABLE I. Details of the numerical simulations in which depth-invariant flows are suppressed. The number of physical space grid points in each respective direction is specified by $N_x \times N_y \times N_z$, the time step size is denoted by Δt , and the horizontal domain size, as specified by the integer number of critical horizontal wavelengths, is denoted by *n*. The asymptotically rescaled Reynolds number is $\tilde{R}e$, Nu is the Nusselt number, and the integral length scale, Taylor microscale and Kolmogorov scale are denoted by ℓ_I , ℓ_T , and ℓ_K , respectively. All length scales are normalized by the critical wavelength $\lambda_c \approx 4.815$.

Ra	$N_x imes N_y imes N_Z$	Δt	п	Ñе	Nu	ℓ_I	ℓ_T	ℓ_K
10	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	5.0×10^{-4}	10	0.592	1.259	1.081	1.076	0.899
12	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	0.921	1.602	1.165	1.146	0.687
15	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	1.405	2.132	1.266	1.203	0.554
20	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	2.531	3.349	1.35	1.219	0.437
25	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	4.247	5.269	1.41	1.191	0.364
30	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	10	6.008	7.349	1.495	1.185	0.319
40	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	10	9.556	11.61	1.655	1.159	0.266
50	$432 \times 432 \times 144$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	10	13.09	16.3	1.793	1.119	0.233
60	$576 \times 576 \times 144$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	10	15.58	19.81	1.75	1.035	0.215
70	$576 \times 576 \times 180$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	17.91	22.88	1.676	0.958	0.201
80	$576 \times 576 \times 192$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	20.38	26.25	1.723	0.937	0.187
100	$648 \times 648 \times 288$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	26.99	35.66	1.921	0.938	0.164
120	$768 \times 768 \times 324$	1.0×10^{-4}	10	34.66	46.90	2.199	0.955	0.146
140	$720 \times 720 \times 324$	$1.0 imes 10^{-4}$	10	43.22	60.81	2.407	0.963	0.131
160	$768 \times 768 \times 360$	1.0×10^{-4}	10	54.05	77.65	2.725	0.995	0.119
180	$810 \times 810 \times 384$	5.0×10^{-5}	10	63.12	95.73	2.829	0.991	0.109
200	$960 \times 960 \times 450$	5.0×10^{-5}	10	69.91	110.8	2.796	0.965	0.102
220	$960 \times 960 \times 480$	$2.5 imes 10^{-5}$	10	82.18	135.0	3.0	0.983	0.095
240	$1125 \times 1125 \times 540$	$2.5 imes 10^{-5}$	10	89.00	150.8	2.968	0.962	0.090
260	$1200\times1200\times600$	2.0×10^{-5}	10	95.81	165.7	2.988	0.948	0.086
280	$1200\times1200\times675$	2.0×10^{-5}	10	104.6	184.7	3.028	0.941	0.083
100	$324 \times 324 \times 288$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	5	26.37	34.38	1.662	0.926	0.165
100	$480 \times 480 \times 288$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	7	26.66	35.21	1.879	0.937	0.164
100	$768 \times 768 \times 288$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	12	26.78	35.52	2.06	0.948	0.164
100	$972 \times 972 \times 288$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	15	26.93	36.92	3.908	1.142	0.164
100	$1296\times1296\times288$	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	20	27.11	35.92	1.943	0.937	0.163
40	$192 \times 192 \times 96$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	5	9.364	10.96	1.640	1.169	0.271
40	$288 \times 288 \times 96$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	7	9.25	11.12	1.650	1.166	0.269
40	$480 \times 480 \times 96$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	12	9.561	11.46	1.686	1.172	0.267
40	$576 \times 576 \times 96$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	15	9.447	11.41	1.666	1.168	0.267
40	$768 \times 768 \times 96$	$2.5 imes 10^{-4}$	20	9.564	11.47	1.729	1.178	0.266

The constant γ is either one or zero. When $\gamma = 0$ the above equations are identical to those used in many previous investigations (see, e.g., Ref. [18]). For simulations in which the depth-averaged flow is suppressed we set $\gamma = 1$; in this case a depth-average of equation (4) yields the diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \langle \zeta \rangle = \nabla_\perp^2 \langle \zeta \rangle \tag{8}$$

so that the depth-averaged vorticity (stream function) trivially decays to zero as $t \to \infty$. In practice, simulations in which the depth-averaged flow is suppressed were initialized from states in which $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$.

Simulations are performed for the range $10 \le \widetilde{Ra} \le 280$ with Pr = 1. Details of the numerical simulations are provided in Tables I and II. The equations are solved using a de-aliased pseudospectral method in which the flow variables are expanded as Chebyshev polynomials in the vertical

Ĩa	$N_x \times N_y \times N_Z$	Δt	п	Яe	Nu	ℓ_I	ℓ_T	ℓ_K
20	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	5×10^{-4}	10	3.541	4.01	2.048	1.189	0.414
30*	$128 \times 128 \times 64$	5×10^{-4}	10	7.219	7.960			
40	$384 \times 384 \times 96$	5×10^{-4}	10	10.59	11.79	1.705	1.023	0.272
60*	$256 \times 256 \times 96$	1×10^{-4}	10	16.82	19.96			
80	$576 \times 576 \times 192$	1×10^{-4}	10	24.68	30.92	2.291	0.928	0.186
120	$648 \times 648 \times 324$	1×10^{-4}	10	41.4	58.2	2.805	0.974	0.140
160	$768 \times 768 \times 384$	5×10^{-5}	10	59.40	98.06	2.792	0.919	0.118
200	$960\times960\times450$	5×10^{-5}	10	84.21	146.2	3.032	0.943	0.098

TABLE II. Details of the numerical simulations for cases in which depth-invariant flows are not suppressed. See Table I for specifics. Runs denoted with an asterisk (*) are from Ref. [18].

dimension and Fourier series in the horizontal dimensions. A third-order-accurate implicit-explicit Runge-Kutta scheme is used to advance the equations in time. Further details on the code can be found in Ref. [30].

As a demonstration of the approach for suppressing the depth-averaged flow, Fig. 1 shows the temporal evolution of the volume averaged kinetic-energy density for two sample simulations with $\widetilde{Ra} = 100$. The simulation in which the depth-averaged flow is suppressed (maintained) is denoted by $\gamma = 1$ ($\gamma = 0$). Both simulations were initialized with identical initial conditions. We compute the depth-averaged (barotropic, KE_{bt}) and vertical (KE_Z) kinetic-energy densities, respectively defined as

$$KE_{bt} = \frac{1}{2A} \int [\langle u \rangle^2 + \langle v \rangle^2] dA, \quad KE_Z = \frac{1}{2V} \int w^2 dV, \tag{9}$$

where the horizontal velocity components are denoted by $(u, v) = (-\partial_y \psi, \partial_x \psi)$, A is the area of a horizontal cross section and V is the volume. We observe a clear exponential decay of KE_{bt}



FIG. 1. Temporal evolution of the depth-averaged (barotropic, thick lines) and vertical (thin lines) kineticenergy densities for two simulations with $\tilde{Ra} = 100$, illustrating the effect of suppressing the depth-averaged component of the flow. The green and blue data correspond to the model where the depth-averaged flow is suppressed ($\gamma = 1$) and maintained ($\gamma = 0$), respectively. Both models were initialized from the same initial state. The dotted red line is the expected, long-time scaling for the suppressed model where $k_c = 1.3048$ is the critical wave number.

when $\gamma = 1$; the predicted decay rate for the dipolar vortex is shown for comparison and excellent agreement is observed.

B. Diagnostic quantities

Heat and momentum transport are quantified with the Nusselt number Nu and the asymptotically reduced Reynolds number \tilde{Re} , respectively. The Nusselt number is defined by

$$Nu = 1 + Pr\langle w\vartheta \rangle,\tag{10}$$

where the angled brackets denote a volume and time average. The asymptotically rescaled Reynolds number is defined based on the vertical component of the velocity field such that

$$\widetilde{Re} = E^{1/3}Re = \sqrt{\langle w^2 \rangle}.$$
(11)

For future reference, the relationship

$$\frac{\widetilde{Ra}}{Pr^2}(Nu-1) = \varepsilon_u, \quad Nu = \varepsilon_\theta \tag{12}$$

can be derived from the governing equations under the condition $\gamma = 0$ or if the initial condition is such that $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$ [19], where the viscous and thermal dissipation rates are defined by, respectively,

$$\varepsilon_{u} = \langle |\nabla_{\perp} w|^{2} \rangle + \langle \zeta^{2} \rangle, \quad \varepsilon_{\theta} = \langle |\nabla_{\perp} \theta|^{2} \rangle + \langle (\partial_{Z} \overline{\Theta})^{2} \rangle.$$
(13)

The integral scale, Taylor microscale, Kolmogorov scale, and temperature integral scale are calculated for each simulation and defined by, respectively,

$$\ell_I = \frac{\int k^{-1} \widehat{E}_u(k) dk}{\int \widehat{E}_u(k) dk}, \quad \ell_T = \left(\frac{\int \widehat{E}_u(k) dk}{\int k^2 \widehat{E}_u(k) dk}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \ell_K = \varepsilon_u^{-1/4}, \quad \ell_I^\vartheta = \frac{\int k^{-1} \widehat{E}_\vartheta(k) dk}{\int \widehat{E}_\vartheta(k) dk}, \quad (14)$$

where the time- and depth-averaged kinetic energy (temperature variance) spectrum is denoted by $\widehat{E}_u(k)$ [$\widehat{E}_{\vartheta}(k)$], and the horizontal wave number vector is $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ with modulus $k = (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{1/2}$.

The Kolmogorov scale is often interpreted as the scale at which viscosity dominates and the turbulent kinetic energy is dissipated into heat [31]. The integral scale and Taylor microscale are respectively interpreted as measures of the correlation length of turbulent motions and the intermediate length scale at which fluid viscosity significantly affects the dynamics of turbulent motions [26]. With these interpretations in mind, the relative ordering $\ell_I > \ell_T > \ell_K$ holds. Furthermore, for rotating convection, viscosity is a required ingredient in destabilizing a rotating fluid subject to an adverse temperature gradient [32]. This implies that convective motions are inherently influenced by viscosity and, as a consequence, the Taylor microscale (assuming the physical interpretation holds) is tied to the linear instability scale such that we anticipate $\ell_T = O(1)$. The integral scale for the temperature is interpreted as the scale at which the fluid is forced by buoyancy.

III. RESULTS

A. Influence of simulation domain size

The presence of an inverse cascade complicates our understanding of rotating convection since the flow speeds associated with the LSV are known to grow linearly with the horizontal dimension of the simulation domain size [18]. This linear dependence is tied to the fact that the inverse cascade is halted solely by the viscous force acting on the domain scale [23]. While we eliminate the LSV (and all depth-invariant motions) in the current investigation, it is nevertheless important to determine the domain size that allows for convergence of key statistical quantities. Towards this end, a series of simulations with $\tilde{Ra} = 40$ and $\tilde{Ra} = 100$ were performed for varying domain sizes. Here we scale the horizontal dimension of the simulation domain in integer multiples (*n*) of the



FIG. 2. Various flow quantities as a function of horizontal domain size (as characterized by the number *n* of critical horizontal wavelengths) for $\widetilde{Ra} = 100$ (\blacksquare) and $\widetilde{Ra} = 40$ (\blacktriangle). Error bars denote the standard deviation of globally averaged quantities. (a) Nusselt number; (b) Reynolds number; (c) integral length scale (ℓ_I) and Taylor microscale (ℓ_T).

critical horizontal wavelength, $\lambda_c \approx 1.3048$. The effective resolution (i.e., number of grid points per critical wavelength) was held approximately constant as the domain size was varied. Figure 2 shows the sensitivity of Nu, \tilde{Re} , and the length scales ℓ_I and ℓ_T as a function of n. We find that Nu, \tilde{Re} , and ℓ_T show no significant sensitivity to domain size with $n \ge 10$, which is consistent with Ref. [18]. The integral scale and the Taylor microscale also show little sensitivity to the box size beyond n = 10. The focus of the present investigation is to reach the largest computationally affordable values of \tilde{Ra} ; for this reason we choose n = 10 for all of the data that is presented in later sections.

B. Heat and momentum transport

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the compensated values $Nu/\widetilde{Ra}^{3/2}$ and $\widetilde{Re}/\widetilde{Ra}$, respectively. As previously mentioned, these scalings are derived from CIA theory. For the "No LSV" data we find that both compensated quantities exhibit steep initial increases up to $\widetilde{Ra} = 50$, followed by decreasing values up to $\widetilde{Ra} = 80-100$. The Nusselt number shows a trend that scales somewhat stronger than $Nu \sim \widetilde{Ra}^{3/2}$ in the range $100 < \widetilde{Ra} < 220$. For $\widetilde{Ra} > 220$ we find scaling behavior



FIG. 3. Heat and momentum transport for all cases: (a) compensated Nusselt number $Nu/\tilde{Ra}^{3/2}$; (b) compensated reduced Reynolds number, \tilde{Re}/\tilde{Ra} . Blue data points are from simulations with a nonzero barotropic component (LSV). Data with open symbols in panels (a) and (b) shows the compensation required to flatten Nu and \tilde{Re} for the LSV data.



FIG. 4. (a) Various measures of the rms fluctuating temperature vs \widetilde{Ra} ; (b) compensated fluctuating temperature; (c) mean temperature gradient vs \widetilde{Ra} . The vertical averaging range is indicated with subscripts in panels (a) and (c).

that may be consistent with the CIA scaling, although the range over which this occurs is admittedly limited. The Reynolds number for the No-LSV data scales more strongly than the CIA scaling in the range $80 < \tilde{Ra} < 200$; we find that $\tilde{Re} \sim \tilde{Ra}^{1.334}$ fits the data well in this region of parameter space. For $\tilde{Ra} > 200$, we find a trend that is consistent with $\tilde{Re} \sim \tilde{Ra}$.

Note that the value of $\widetilde{Ra} \approx 80$ coincides with the point at which the amplitude of the LSV reaches a maximum relative to the small scale convection [18]. Interestingly, we find that both the No-LSV cases and the LSV cases exhibit similar scaling trends over a certain range in \widetilde{Ra} . We find that the removal of the depth-averaged flow yields reduced heat and momentum transport relative to cases in which this component of the flow is present.

With the scalings of $Nu \sim \widetilde{Ra}^{3/2}$ and $\widetilde{Re} \sim \widetilde{Ra}$, equations (10) and (11) indicate that the fluctuating temperature scales as $\vartheta \sim \widetilde{Ra}^{1/2}$. To test this scaling we compute rms values of the fluctuating temperature over various depths of the flow domain: (1) the maximum value taken at the "edge," or inflection point, of the thermal boundary layer; (2) averaged over the entire layer depth $0 \leq Z \leq 1$; and (3) averaged over the mid-depth range 0.4 < Z < 0.6. Figure 4(a) shows these values; Fig. 4(b) shows the compensated values for the thermal boundary layer and entire depth. We find that both the mid-depth and total depth rms values scale with \widetilde{Ra} in the same manner, whereas the thermal boundary layer shows a stronger dependence on \widetilde{Ra} [Fig. 4(b)], suggesting that the relative thinness of the thermal boundary layer translates to an overall weaker influence of this region on the observed scaling of the Nusselt number. From the data, it was difficult to determine the power-law scaling for $\vartheta_{\rm rms}$ in the boundary layer, as results differed significantly depending on the range of data used. For example, fitting the data in Fig. 4(b) for $\widetilde{Ra} > 80$ gives a $\widetilde{Ra}^{0.95}$ relation while fitting over only the last three points ($\widetilde{Ra} > 200$) yields a $\widetilde{Ra}^{0.70}$ scaling. Nevertheless, we conclude that our results for $\vartheta_{\rm rms}$ in the thermal boundary layer are consistent with the theoretically deduced $\widetilde{Ra}^{7/8}$ scaling discussed in Ref. [24]. As previously reported in Ref. [29], we observe saturation of the interior mean temperature gradient with a value of $-\partial_Z \overline{\Theta} \approx 0.4$ -0.5 [Fig. 4(c)].

C. Length scales and flow structure

Kinetic-energy spectra, $\widehat{E}_u(k)$, and temperature variance spectra, $\widehat{E}_{\vartheta}(k)$, are shown in Fig. 5 for a range of Rayleigh numbers. As expected, near the onset of convection for $\widetilde{Ra} = 10$, both $\widehat{E}_u(k)$ and $\widehat{E}_{\vartheta}(k)$ show a well-defined peak near $k/k_{\text{box}} = 10$ corresponding to ten unstable wavelengths in the domain. A broadening of dynamically active wave numbers is observed in both spectra as \widetilde{Ra} is increased. Figure 5(b) shows the kinetic-energy spectra compensated by the Kolmogorov scaling of $k^{-5/3}$, which we find agrees well with the data.

The red dashed curve shows the baroclinic kinetic-energy spectra for a simulation with $\widetilde{Ra} = 200$ that includes the LSV. Comparison of the spectra at $\widetilde{Ra} = 200$ for cases with and without the LSV



FIG. 5. Spectra for select values of \widetilde{Ra} : (a) kinetic-energy spectra vs box normalized wave number; (b) compensated kinetic-energy spectra; (c) temperature variance spectra; (d) compensated temperature variance spectra. Red dashed curves in show spectra for $\widetilde{Ra} = 200$ with the LSV.

indicates that significantly more energy is present in the smallest wave numbers when the LSV is present. This difference, along with the findings reported in Sec. III B, suggests that the presence of more energy in the largest length scales contributes to more efficient heat and momentum transport. The temperature variance spectra shown in Fig. 5(c) corroborate this behavior; with the LSV we find significantly more energy in the $k/k_{\text{box}} = 1$ mode in comparison to the same case without a LSV. We also note that in comparison to $\hat{E}_u(k)$, we find that $\hat{E}_{\vartheta}(k)$ shows a significantly slower decay in amplitude with increasing k, indicating that buoyancy forcing in the vicinity of the critical wave number remains important even at very large values of \tilde{Ra} . The compensated temperature variance spectra shown in Fig. 5(d) illustrates this slow decay where an empirical scaling of $k^{-2/5}$ flattens the spectra in the intermediate wave number range.

Various length scales of the velocity field can be computed from the kinetic-energy spectra. Definitions for the integral length scale, the Taylor microscale and the Kolmogorov length scale in terms of the kinetic-energy spectrum and vorticity (dissipation) are given in equation (14). Each of these quantities are plotted in Fig. 6(a) for all simulations. We also plot the integral scale ℓ_I^{ϑ} computed from \hat{E}_{ϑ} , which represents a measure of the buoyancy driving scale. For the LSV cases, the length scales are calculated using only the baroclinic kinetic energy for better comparison with the present results. The length scales are shown in units of the critical horizontal wavelength and we find that all length scales are close to this critical wavelength just above the onset of convection



FIG. 6. (a) Length scales versus \widetilde{Ra} for all simulations where ℓ_I is the integral scale, ℓ_T is the Taylor microscale, ℓ_K is the Kolmogorov scale, and ℓ_I^{ϑ} is the integral scale for the fluctuating temperature. In panel (a), open symbols correspond to LSV cases and the light blue line shows the $\widetilde{Ra}^{-1/2}$ scaling for reference. (b) Comparison of computed length scales with linear stability theory. \widetilde{Ra}_{marg} is the marginal stability curve. \widetilde{Ra}_{fast} is the fastest growing mode in the linear stability analysis about the saturated mean temperature profile. For large \widetilde{Ra} , $\widetilde{Ra}_{fast} \sim k^{-8}$. The critical wavelength and critical wave number are denoted (ℓ_c , k_c) = (4.8154, 1.3048).

(i.e., when $\widetilde{Ra} = 10$). Generally, the integral length scale is found to be a slowly increasing function of $\widehat{R}a$ regardless of whether the LSV is present or not. At $\widehat{R}a = 280$ we find that the integral scale is approximately three times the size of the critical wavelength. In comparison with the integral scale, the Taylor microscale shows an initial increase up to Ra = 20 followed by a slight decrease. For $Ra \ge 80$, the average value of the Taylor microscale is $\ell_T = 0.96$ and the standard deviation is 0.02. Both the integral length scale and the Taylor microscale exhibit scaling behavior that is weaker than the $\widetilde{Ra}^{1/2}$ scaling law. The Kolmogorov scale exhibits an obvious decrease with \widetilde{Ra} and, for the parameter regime accessible here, is the only computed length scale that becomes significantly different in value than the critical wavelength. For LSV cases, ℓ_K is found to be slightly smaller in comparison with cases without the LSV; this result is in agreement with the heat transport data which shows LSV cases have larger heat transport and therefore larger viscous dissipation. The integral temperature scale remains O(1) up to Ra = 280, which suggests that the buoyancy forcing scale occurs at a viscous length scale. ℓ_I^{ϑ} reaches a maximum value at $\widetilde{Ra} = 50$, which is coincident with the local maxima for the scaled Nu and \widetilde{Re} data shown in Fig. 3. Around $\widetilde{Ra} \ge 120$, ℓ_I^{ϑ} begins to slowly increase. This behavior is co-incident with a decrease in ℓ_I^ϑ for the LSV cases. It appears that a crossover in ℓ_I^ϑ for cases with the LSV and cases without a LSV occurs around Ra = 180. This result suggests that, at large Ra, the LSV facilitates the buoyancy forcing at smaller scales than those without the LSV.

Due to the similarity between the computed length scales and the linearly unstable wavelength, we show an alternative presentation of length scales based on comparisons with the marginal stability boundary and the fastest growing linearly unstable modes in Fig. 6(b). The marginal stability boundary is defined by

$$\widetilde{Ra}_{\text{marg}} = k^4 + \pi^2 k^{-2}.$$
(15)

The small and large wave number scalings of k^{-2} and k^4 are also shown, where we note that the former is consistent with CIA theory in that it represents a diffusion-free scaling behavior. We find that none of the computed length scales exhibit scaling behavior that is similar to this diffusion-free trend, though there are ranges of \tilde{Ra} over which these length scales grow faster than the diffusion-free trend.

For any constant (nonzero) mean temperature gradient, a linear stability analysis can be performed to acquire a growth rate $\lambda(k, \tilde{Ra})$. We perform this analysis with the linear eigenfunctions

$$\psi = A e^{\lambda t} e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} \cos(\pi Z), \tag{16}$$

$$[w, \vartheta] = [B, C]e^{\lambda t}e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)}\sin(\pi Z), \tag{17}$$

where A, B, and C are arbitrary constants. Since the integral scale appears to be a viscous length scale, we believe that this choice is somewhat justified. The fastest growing mode is found by taking the global maximum of λ for a given value of \widetilde{Ra} . In the limit of large \widetilde{Ra} , this analysis suggests that the length scale associated with the fastest growing mode scales like $\ell_{\text{fast}} \sim \widetilde{Ra}^{-1/8}$; we find that ℓ_I shows a scaling behavior that is similar to this trend. Note that the scaling behavior of the fastest growing mode is independent of the particular value of $\partial_Z \overline{\Theta}$.

Instantaneous physical space visualizations of the fluctuating temperature and vertical vorticity are shown in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively, for Ra = 40 [Figs. 7(a), 7(d), 8(a), and 8(d)], Ra = 40160 [Figs. 7(b), 7(e), 8(b), and 8(e)], and $\tilde{Ra} = 280$ [Figs. 7(c), 7(f), 8(c), and 8(f)]. For each value of Ra, all visualizations are taken at the same instant in time. Vertical slices are shown in the top row of Figs. 7(a)-7(c) and 8(a)-8(c) and horizontal slices taken from the midplane of the fluid layer are shown in the bottom row of Figs. 7(a)-7(c) and 8(a)-8(c); the dot-dashed lines in Figs. 7(a)-7(c) and 8(a)-8(c) mark the intersection of the vertical and horizontal planes in the top and bottom rows, respectively. Figures 7(d)-7(f) and 8(d)-8(f) show horizontal slices at depths in which $\vartheta_{\rm rms}$ reaches its maximum value, i.e., at the edge of the thermal boundary layer. The visualizations confirm that the characteristic size of the large-scale flow patterns in the fluid interior, as quantified by the integral length scale, is weakly dependent on the Rayleigh number since all three cases show similar large-scale structure. Moreover, these large-scale structures show significant axial coherence even in the absence of depth-averaged flows. Even for Ra = 280, flow structures that span nearly the entire depth can be identified. However, it can be observed in both fields that the vertical length scale of coherence within the interior decreases with increasing Ra. This is discussed in the context of nonlocal CIA theory in the final section. The thermal boundary layer visualizations show evidence of strong spatial correlation between the thermal and vortical fields. While the size of the largest vortical structures remain largely insensitive to Ra, it is observed that the filamentary structures become increasingly finer, which is consistent with the theoretical findings in Ref. [24]. For the vortical field, this fine scale is imprinted into the interior whereas the interior spatial structure of the temperature field is observed to be decorrelated with the boundary. In the next section, we associate this effect to the thermal field behaving as an advective-diffusive scalar stirred by the vortical field and thermally dissipated.

Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show the baroclinic vorticity for $\tilde{Ra} = 160$ where the LSV is retained; these visualizations should be compared with the middle column in Fig. 8 [i.e., Figs. 9(b) and 9(e)]. The presence of the large-scale vortex is apparent even in the baroclinic dynamics in both the midplane and the boundary layer, however the smaller length scales remain similar to those shown in Figs. 8(b) and 8(e).

D. Balances

In an effort to understand the scaling behavior of the various quantities discussed thus far, we compute rms values of all terms appearing in equations (4)–(6). Vertical profiles of these quantities are shown in Fig. 10 for $\widetilde{Ra} = 200$, which is a value that is representative of the turbulent regime. The data shown in each plot are computed by squaring each term in the respective equations, averaging this quantity over the horizontal plane, taking the square root, and then averaging in time. The material derivative D_t is the rms of the sum of all forcing terms with the advective terms subtracted off [i.e., for the vorticity equation (4), $\operatorname{rms}(D_t\zeta) = \operatorname{rms}(\partial_Z w + \nabla_{\perp}^2 \zeta)$]. Note that a logarithmic scale is used on the vertical axis of each figure to illustrate the differences in balances that occur in the interior with those that occur within the thermal boundary layer. We find that



FIG. 7. Snapshots of the fluctuating temperature: (a), (d) $\widetilde{Ra} = 40$; (b), (e) $\widetilde{Ra} = 160$; (c), (f) $\widetilde{Ra} = 280$. Integral temperature scale is given by the black bars. (a)–(c) Top row: x-Z slices; bottom row: x-y midplane slices. The units of x and y are given in number of critical wavelengths. Dot-dashed lines mark the intersection of the two planes (x-Z and x-y). (d)–(f) Horizontal cross sections within the (upper) thermal boundary layer (the corresponding depths are Z = 0.985, 0.9979, and 0.9994 for $\widetilde{Ra} = 40$, 160, and 280, respectively).

advection and the time derivative terms are largest in each of the three equations throughout the fluid layer, which agrees with the results of Ref. [18] for simulations in which depth-invariant flows were present. However, the dynamics are controlled by the material derivative, rather than the time derivative and advection separately. Figure 10(a) shows that $D_t\zeta$ and $\partial_z w$ are nearly identical in magnitude throughout the fluid layer. However, while smaller, the diffusion of vorticity remains comparable in magnitude to these two terms; in the fluid interior (0.5 > Z > 0.1) we find $\operatorname{rms}(\nabla_{\perp}^2 \zeta) \approx 2 \times 10^3$, whereas $\operatorname{rms}(D_t \zeta) \approx 4 \times 10^3$ and $\operatorname{rms}(\partial_z w) \approx 4 \times 10^3$. Within the thermal boundary layer ($Z \leq 10^{-3}$) we find that all terms in the vorticity equation are important, indicating that the large amplitude vortical motions are directly influenced by viscous diffusion at the boundaries.



FIG. 8. Snapshots of the vorticity: (a), (d) $\widetilde{Ra} = 40$; (b), (e) $\widetilde{Ra} = 160$; (c), (f) $\widetilde{Ra} = 280$. Integral scale, Taylor microscale and $(10\times)$ Kolmogorov scale are given by the black bars. Green and purple correspond to cyclonic and anticyclonic flows, respectively.

For the vertical momentum equation balances shown in Fig. 10(b) we find that $D_t w$ and $\partial_Z \psi$ are of nearly identical magnitude in the fluid interior, whereas the buoyancy force and diffusion terms are comparable in magnitude and the smallest of all terms in the interior. These results show that the vertical pressure gradient acts as the dominant driver of vertical motion in the interior. In the thermal boundary layer we find that all terms in the vertical momentum equation become comparable in magnitude, although as a consequence of impenetrability, diffusion remains the smallest of all terms. Figure 10(c) shows a tendency in the interior for the horizontal material advection of fluctuating temperature to be balanced by horizontal thermal diffusion, whereas all terms become comparable in magnitude within the thermal boundary layer.

Figure 11 show ratios of rms values of various terms in the vertical momentum and vertical vorticity equations as function of \widetilde{Ra} . The rms values are the depth-averaged values of vertical profiles similar to those shown in Fig. 10(b). We find that the ratio of the vertical viscous force



FIG. 9. Snapshots of the baroclinic vorticity from a case in which the depth-averaged flow is retained ($\gamma = 0, \widetilde{Ra} = 160$). Note the presence of the dipolar large-scale vortex (LSV) in the bottom of panel (a) and in panel (b).

to the buoyancy force approaches unity as \widetilde{Ra} is increased. Given the scaling behavior of the rms fluctuating temperature $\vartheta_{\rm rms}$ [as shown in Fig. 4(a)], this data indicates that the viscous force increases at a rate faster than $\widetilde{Ra}^{1/2}$ until unity is reached in the force balance. This balance between the viscous force and the buoyancy force is attributed to the observation that the fluid interior controls heat transport in the large Rayleigh number regime of rapidly rotating convection [24]. The ratios of the viscous force to the pressure gradient force and the diffusion of vertical vorticity to vortex stretching are slowly decreasing functions of \widetilde{Ra} . From our data, while it appears that the magnitude of the globally averaged influence of viscosity is subdominant from that of the pressure gradient or vortex stretching in the limit of large \widetilde{Ra} , it is unclear whether asymptotic subdominance holds for $\widetilde{Ra} \to \infty$.



FIG. 10. Logarithmic vertical profiles of rms values of each term in the governing equations for $\widetilde{Ra} = 200$: (a) vertical vorticity; (b) vertical momentum; (c) fluctuating temperature.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The QG equations (4)–(7) represent the asymptotic low Rossby number limit of the buoyantly forced Navier-Stokes equations. Simulations of these equations were performed in which the depth-invariant flows were entirely suppressed. The suppression was done to isolate the dynamics of the small scale convection and to enable simulations at previously inaccessible values of \tilde{Ra} . A comparison was made with data from previously published simulations of the asymptotic model in which large amplitude, depth-invariant flows (e.g., LSVs) were present. This comparison has allowed for additional insight into the physics of small scale, QG convective turbulence, which drives the inverse kinetic energy cascade in this system. Asymptotically reduced Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers up to $\tilde{Ra} = 280$ and $\tilde{Re} \approx 100$ were simulated, which represents the most extreme parameter regime accessed to date for QG convection in either the plane layer or spherical geometry. Recent DNS studies have reached small scale Reynolds numbers up to $\tilde{Re} \approx 33$ in the



FIG. 11. Select ratios of rms forces from vertical momentum and vorticity equations. In the vertical momentum equation, the ratio of diffusion to buoyancy approaches unity. The ratio of diffusion to the pressure gradient (vortex stretching) in the vertical momentum (vorticity) equation is a slowly decreasing function of \widetilde{Ra} for large \widetilde{Ra} .

plane layer [14,33], and up to $\tilde{Re} \approx 24$ in a spherical shell geometry [34]. Reference [25] used a nonasymptotic two-dimensional model in the equatorial region of a full sphere to reach $\tilde{Re} \approx 20$. For context, estimates suggest that characteristic flow speeds in the Earth's outer core yield reduced Reynolds numbers of $\tilde{Re} \approx 10^3 - 10^4$, clearly indicating that it is necessary to understand the physics of QG convection at large values of the reduced parameters.

A subset of simulations were performed in which the horizontal dimensions of the simulation domain were systematically varied. In agreement with previous studies of nonrotating convection (see, e.g., Ref. [35]), we find that globally averaged statistics, such as the Nusselt number and the Reynolds number, converge rapidly for horizontal dimensions that are larger than ten critical horizontal wavelengths (Fig. 2). The calculated length scales remained approximately constant across the different domain sizes.

Our investigation finds evidence that in the absence of depth-invariant flows both the heat and momentum transport approach asymptotic scaling regimes for large Rayleigh numbers (Fig. 3), though these scaling regimes occur only at the largest accessible values of Ra. When generalized to nonunity Prandtl numbers, these scalings are $Nu \sim Pr^{-1/2}Ra^{3/2}$ and $Re \sim Ra/Pr$. When recast in terms of large-scale quantities these scalings become $Nu \sim Pr^{-1/2}Ra^{3/2}E^2$ and $Re \sim RaE/Pr$, which represent diffusion-free scalings when interpreted on the large domain scale [28].

The simulations that include depth-invariant flows exhibit heat and momentum transport that is more efficient than the aforementioned diffusion-free scalings [18]. This diffusion dependence is of interest when considering applications to geophysical and astrophysical systems in which large-scale flows, such as zonal jets and vortices, are present.

Kinetic-energy spectra and temperature variance spectra were computed for all values of \widetilde{Ra} (Fig. 5).

We find evidence of a Kolmogorov-like subrange in which the kinetic-energy spectra scales with the horizontal wave number as $k^{-5/3}$. However, since we find that the inertial scale is a viscous scale, we believe that this subrange is distinct from the classical picture of a Kolmogorov inertial subrange. The kinetic energy spectra show that there is a build up of energy at smaller wave numbers as $\tilde{R}a$ is increased, although the energy contained in these larger scale structures remains comparable to that contained in scales similar to the critical wavelength. The temperature variance spectra exhibit similar behavior, indicating that the system remains forced on a length scale that is comparable to the critical wavelength at the highest Rayleigh number investigated.

The evolution of various length scales in the system was investigated for varying Ra. We find that the integral length scale ℓ_I increases with Ra, but at a rate that is slower than the diffusion free scaling $\propto (Ra/Pr)^{1/2}$ suggested by a CIA balance. The Taylor microscale remains nearly constant with increasing Ra, whereas the Kolmogorov length scale decreases with increasing Ra. The temperature integral scale ℓ_I^ϑ was was found to behave similarly to the integral scale, albeit with a more pronounced peak at Ra = 50. Both integral length scales show increases for Ra > 100. One of the key findings of this investigation is that all of these length scales remain comparable to the linearly unstable critical wavelength that emerges at the onset of convection. We note that the scaling behavior of the integral scale and Taylor microscale are consistent with recent laboratory experiments of rotating convection [10] and a numerical study of rotating convection-driven dynamos in the plane layer [14]. These findings provide evidence that the broadening of the length scales in rotating convective turbulence is an extremely slow function of the Rayleigh number, and occurs in a fundamentally different manner in comparison to nonrotating turbulence. In particular, studies of nonrotating convection find that both the integral length scale and the Taylor microscale decrease rapidly with increasing Rayleigh number (see, e.g., Ref. [36]).

An explanation for the aforementioned contrast to the nonrotating case resides in the fact that viscosity is a required ingredient in destabilizing a rotating fluid subject to an adverse temperature gradient in the presence of the Taylor-Proudman constraint [32]. Even in the turbulent regime, the Taylor-Proudman constraint is relaxed on the length scale associated with unit *Ro*. In rapid rotation, this is a viscous length which scales as $Ek^{1/3}$. Convective motions are therefore inextricably

influenced by viscosity and, by definition, the Taylor microscale is tied to the linear instability scale $\ell_{\nu}^* = E^{1/3}H$. We propose an alternate scaling motivated by linear theory. For a fixed mean temperature gradient, we find that the most unstable mode grows with \widetilde{Ra} like k^{-8} , suggesting a length scale of $\ell \sim \widetilde{Ra}^{1/8}$. Our data [Fig. 6(b)] for the integral scales at large \widetilde{Ra} seem to agree with this scaling better than the dissipation-free scale predicted by CIA theory. This connection to linear theory is demonstrated by comparisons between the computed lengths and the marginal stability curve [Fig. 6(b)]. We note that the latter scaling resides at the long wavelength marginal stability boundary for convective onset. The comparisons with linear theory in which a mean temperature profile with gradient $\partial_Z \overline{\Theta} = -1$ might remain pertinent in the nonlinear regime given that the observed nonlinear profile saturates with a gradient ≈ -0.5 [Fig. 4(c)]. The permissible convectively unstable length scales within the bulk thus adhere to the same analytic structure as deduced from the marginal stability at linear onset.

Congruent with the lack of agreement between the measured integral length scale and that predicted by CIA theory, the force balances also do not show evidence of a CIA balance within the fluid interior, as might be predicted from scaling arguments. Instead we find a trend indicating that this balance is never approached as the system becomes more strongly forced since there is a subdominant balance between the buoyancy force and viscous diffusion of vertical momentum in the interior. The ratio of the rms of these two forces approaches unity as \tilde{Ra} is increased, which we attribute to the fact that the fluid interior controls heat transport in rapidly rotating convection (see, e.g., Ref. [24]). The buoyancy force is strongest within the thermal boundary layer and comparable to all other forces, including viscous forces, in that region. Moreover, the strong, horizontal, vortical flows that are present at the boundary are balanced entirely by viscosity. Convective overturning motions in the interior are driven primarily by vertical pressure gradients, and vortical motions are driven by vortex stretching. However, neither of these forces are sources of potential-energy injection which must then be provided from within the boundary regions.

A possibility for the departure from a CIA balance is that there is no buoyancy term in the vorticity equation (4) because gravity and rotation are antiparallel in this geometry. However, we might still expect a balance between buoyancy and the material derivative in the vertical momentum equation, which we do not observe. Nevertheless, it would be of interest to determine if the angle between the gravity and rotation vectors plays a role in the scaling behavior and balances that are observed in simulations. Such an investigation would be important for relating the results of plane layer and spherical simulations (see, e.g., Ref. [37]).

If we accept that the Nu and Re scalings are trending towards the dissipation-free scaling provided by CIA theory, then there appears to be a juxtaposition between global transport laws and the observed integral length scaling. This apparent contradiction can be resolved by analyzing force balances within the fluid interior and boundary layers. Indeed, balances in the governing equations can often be used to derive various scaling laws for the Rayleigh number dependence of flow length scales. Towards this end we have computed the magnitudes of the various terms appearing in the governing equations of the asymptotic model (Fig. 10). In agreement with previous simulations with depth-invariant flows, we find that, within the interior, the turbulent regime is predominantly characterized by the passive horizontal advection of each of the flow quantities (vertical vorticity, vertical velocity, and fluctuating temperature). Details of the evolution of the flow quantities are best viewed by following fluid elements in a Lagrangian framework. This captures the hierarchy of secondary forces which drive the horizontal material advection, as defined by D_t . We find that the following analysis is best pursued utilizing a dimensional approach, followed by a reconversion to dimensionless quantities. Considering the vertical momentum and vorticity equations in dimensional form, we find

$$D_{t^*}^* w^* \sim \partial_{Z^*} p^* > g \alpha \theta^* \sim \nu \nabla_{\perp}^{*2} w^*, \tag{18}$$

$$D_{t^*}^* \zeta^* \sim 2\Omega \partial_{Z^*} w^* > \nu \nabla_{\perp}^{*2} \zeta^*.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

We therefore find an interior Coriolis-inertial (CI) balance in which the geostrophic pressure gradient and vortex stretching forces are the dominant secondary forces in the hierarchy. Furthermore, the rms buoyancy and rms viscous forces are comparable in magnitude and are the smallest of all terms. We note that these balances are consistent with those found in DNS studies of rotating convection [13] and rotating convection-driven dynamos [14], and they imply that interior forcing and viscous dissipation play a subdominant role in the dynamics. Consequently, the observed dynamics must be driven externally by buoyant Archimedean forces arising within the thermal boundary layer.

To summarize, we observe what may be termed a *nonlocal* CIA balance where the action of the Archimedean buoyancy force occurring within the thermal boundary layers is spatially separated from the interior Coriolis and inertial forces. It can now be shown that this finding resolves the dichotomy of not finding a diffusion-free integral scale ℓ_I^* as predicted by a local CIA balance. Assuming velocities achieve rotational free-fall, as presently observed, and that pressure is the geostrophic stream function, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{u}^* \sim U_{\rm rff} = \frac{g\alpha\,\Delta T}{2\Omega}, \quad p^* \sim 2\Omega U_{\rm rff}\ell_I^*, \quad \zeta^* \sim U_{\rm rff}/\ell_I^*, \tag{20}$$

it follows from the interior CI balance given in (18) and (19) that

$$\partial_{Z^*} \sim \frac{1}{h^*}, \quad D_{t^*}^* \sim 2\Omega \frac{\ell_I^*}{h^*}.$$

$$(21)$$

Here $h^* < H$ is the vertical correlation height of interior convective motions. Viscous processes are estimated via $\nabla_{\perp}^{*2} \sim (1/\ell_d^*)^2$ where ℓ_d^* denotes the (optimal) dissipation length scale. With these findings the subdominance and equivalence of buoyancy and viscous forcing in (18) and (19) imply respectively

$$h^* < \frac{2\Omega}{\nu} \ell_I^* \ell_d^{*2}, \quad \frac{\theta^*}{\Delta_T} \sim \frac{\nu}{2\Omega \ell_d^{*2}}.$$
(22)

We note that $\theta^* = E^{1/3} \Delta_T \theta$ in the QG regime and that the boundary forced interior must also induce nondimensional temperature fluctuations $\theta \sim (\tilde{R}a/Pr)^{1/2}$ capable of transporting the dissipationfree heat flux in a manner consistent with the exact relation for the thermal dissipation rate (13) [see Fig. 4(a)]. Equation (22) can be reformulated nondimensionally as

$$h < \ell_I \ell_d^2, \quad \ell_d = \theta^{-1/2} \sim \left(\frac{\widetilde{Ra}}{Pr}\right)^{-1/4},$$
(23)

with nondimensional length scales $h = h^*/H$, $\ell_I = \ell^* I/\ell_{\nu}^*$, and $\ell_d = \ell^* d/\ell_{\nu}^*$, where *I* and *d* are nondimensional coefficients; recall $\ell_{\nu}^* = E^{1/3}H$. Most importantly, we note that the absence of a local Archimedean force in the interior balance places no dissipation-free restrictions on the scaling of the injection scale, which is consistent with the simulated observation $\ell_I \propto \widetilde{Ra}^{1/8}$. It now follows that $h \leq O(\widetilde{Ra}^{-3/8})$, indicating a shortening of vertical correlation length with increasing \widetilde{Ra} as evident in Figs. 7 and 8. The interior force balances for the fluctuating temperature obeys

$$D_{t^*}^{\theta*}\theta^* \sim w^* \partial_{Z^*} \overline{\Theta}^* \sim \kappa \nabla_{\perp}^{*2} \theta^*, \tag{24}$$

such that, unlike the momentum field, material advection (or stirring) of the temperature field is balanced by dissipation (mixing). This can be observed by comparing the spatial morphologies of the midplane snapshots for temperature and vorticity in which the former quantity exhibits broader structures due to enhanced diffusion.

The results presented in this study highlight the nontrivial behavior of rapidly rotating convection and provide a foundation for comparison with DNS and experiment in both the plane-layer and spherical geometries. By removal of the LSV, our study was able to investigate the origin of the inverse cascade, and our results demonstrate how rotating convection departs from the theory of isotropic, homogenous turbulence. Most significantly, we have shown that diffusion-free forcebalance arguments, even in the regime of large *Re*, provide an incomplete picture of the convective dynamics and are insufficient when attempting to identify the pertinent length scales in the flow. Instead, our investigations reveal that the interior, despite controlling the global momentum and heat transport, is forced externally by the boundary layers. Therefore, we conclude that QG dynamics at large Rayleigh number should not be considered diffusion free.

Several open questions are apparent from this investigation, including: Does the geostrophic regime accessed in this study accurately represent the flow regime for $\widetilde{Ra} \to \infty$? If not, does a new, higher- \widetilde{Ra} regime exist in which the impact of molecular dissipation is diminished? To what extent does domain geometry impact the ultimate scaling theory? Investigating these questions remains challenging to laboratory studies and DNS given present difficulties in investigating broad ranges of the extreme geostrophic parameter regime.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from the National Science Foundation through Grant No. EAR-1945270 (TGO, MAC), SPG-1743852 (TGO, MAC), DMS-2009319 (KJ), and DMS-2308338 (KJ). The simulations were conducted on the Summit and Stampede2 supercomputers. Summit is a joint effort of the University of Colorado Boulder and Colorado State University, supported by NSF Awards No. ACI-1532235 and No. ACI-1532236. The Stampede2 supercomputer at the Texas Advanced Computing Center was made available through allocation PHY180013 from the Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Coordination Ecosystem Services & Support (ACCESS) program, which is supported by National Science Foundation Grants No. 2138259, No. 2138286, No. 2138307, No. 2137603, and No. 2138296.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

- S. Stanley and G. A. Glatzmaier, Dynamo models for planets other than earth, Space Sci. Rev. 152, 617 (2010).
- [2] C. A. Jones, Planetary magnetic fields and fluid dynamos, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 43, 583 (2011).
- [3] P. H. Roberts and E. M. King, On the genesis of the Earth's magnetism, Rep. Prog. Phys. 76, 096801 (2013).
- [4] J. M. Aurnou, M. A. Calkins, J. S. Cheng, K. Julien, E. M. King, D. Nieves, K. M. Soderlund, and S. Stellmach, Rotating convective turbulence in Earth and planetary cores, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 246, 52 (2015).
- [5] P. Charbonneau, Solar dynamo theory, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 52, 251 (2014).
- [6] M. Heimpel, T. Gastine, and J. Wicht, Simulation of deep-seated zonal jets and shallow vortices in gas giant atmospheres, Nat. Geosci. 9, 19 (2016).
- [7] L. Siegelman, P. Klein, A. P. Ingersoll, S. P. Ewald, W. R. Young, A. Bracco, A. Mura, A. Adriani, D. Grassi, C. Plainaki *et al.*, Moist convection drives an upscale energy transfer at Jovian high latitudes, Nat. Phys. 18, 357 (2022).
- [8] R. E. Ecke and J. J. Niemela, Heat Transport in the Geostrophic Regime of Rotating Rayleigh-Bénard Convection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 114301 (2014).
- [9] J. S. Cheng, S. Stellmach, A. Ribeiro, A. Grannan, E. M. King, and J. M. Aurnou, Laboratory-numerical models of rapidly rotating convection in planetary cores, Geophys. J. Int. 201, 1 (2015).
- [10] M. Madonia, A. J. A. Guzmán, H. J. H. Clercx, and R. P. J. Kunnen, Velocimetry in rapidly rotating convection: Spatial correlations, flow structures and length scales ^(a), Europhys. Lett. **135**, 54002 (2021).
- [11] T. Vogt, S. Horn, and J. M. Aurnou, Oscillatory thermal-inertial flows in liquid metal rotating convection, J. Fluid Mech. 911, A5 (2021).

- [12] T. Gastine, J. Wicht, and J. Aubert, Scaling regimes in spherical shell rotating convection, J. Fluid Mech. 808, 690 (2016).
- [13] A. J. Aguirre Guzmán, M. Madonia, J. S. Cheng, O. Ostilla-Mónico, H. J. H. Clercx, and R. P. J. Kunnen, Force balance in rapidly rotating Rayleigh-Benard convection, J. Fluid Mech. 928, A16 (2021).
- [14] M. Yan and M. A. Calkins, Asymptotic behaviour of rotating convection-driven dynamos in the plane layer geometry, J. Fluid Mech. 951, A24 (2022).
- [15] K. Julien, E. Knobloch, and J. Werne, A new class of equations for rotationally constrained flows, Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn. 11, 251 (1998).
- [16] S. Stellmach, M. Lischper, K. Julien, G. Vasil, J. S. Cheng, A. Ribeiro, E. M. King, and J. M. Aurnou, Approaching the Asymptotic Regime of Rapidly Rotating Convection: Boundary Layers versus Interior Dynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 254501 (2014).
- [17] M. Plumley, K. Julien, P. Marti, and S. Stellmach, The effects of Ekman pumping on quasi-geostrophic Rayleigh-Bénard convection, J. Fluid Mech. 803, 51 (2016).
- [18] S. Maffei, M. J. Krouss, K. Julien, and M. A. Calkins, On the inverse cascade and flow speed scaling behaviour in rapidly rotating Rayleigh–Bénard convection, J. Fluid Mech. 913, A18 (2021).
- [19] K. Julien, A. M. Rubio, I. Grooms, and E. Knobloch, Statistical and physical balances in low Rossby number Rayleigh-Bénard convection, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 106, 392 (2012).
- [20] A. M. Rubio, K. Julien, E. Knobloch, and J. B. Weiss, Upscale Energy Transfer in Three-Dimensional Rapidly Rotating Turbulent Convection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 144501 (2014).
- [21] B. Favier, L. J. Silvers, and M. R. E. Proctor, Inverse cascade and symmetry breaking in rapidly rotating Boussinesq convection, Phys. Fluids 26, 096605 (2014).
- [22] C. Guervilly, D. W. Hughes, and C. A. Jones, Large-scale vortices in rapidly rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection, J. Fluid Mech. 758, 407 (2014).
- [23] J. A. Nicoski, M. Yan, and M. A. Calkins, Quasistatic magnetoconvection with a tilted magnetic field, Phys. Rev. Fluids 7, 043504 (2022).
- [24] K. Julien, E. Knobloch, A. M. Rubio, and G. M. Vasil, Heat Transport in Low-Rossby-Number Rayleigh-Bénard Convection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 254503 (2012).
- [25] C. Guervilly, P. Cardin, and N. Schaeffer, Turbulent convective length scale in planetary cores, Nature (London) 570, 368 (2019).
- [26] S. B. Pope, Turbulent Flows (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [27] C. A. Jones, Thermal and compositional convection in the outer core, in *Treatise on Geophysics*, edited by G. Schubert (Elsevier, 2015), Vol. 8, pp. 115–159.
- [28] J. M. Aurnou, S. Horn, and K. Julien, Connections between nonrotating, slowly rotating, and rapidly rotating turbulent convection transport scalings, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 043115 (2020).
- [29] M. Sprague, K. Julien, E. Knobloch, and J. Werne, Numerical simulation of an asymptotically reduced system for rotationally constrained convection, J. Fluid Mech. 551, 141 (2006).
- [30] P. Marti, M. A. Calkins, and K. Julien, A computationally efficient spectral method for modeling core dynamics, Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst. 17, 3031 (2016).
- [31] G. K. Vallis, Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- [32] S. Chandrasekhar, Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability (Oxford University Press, UK, 1961).
- [33] M. Yan and M. A. Calkins, Strong large scale magnetic fields in rotating convection-driven dynamos: The important role of magnetic diffusion, Phys. Rev. Res. 4, L012026 (2022).
- [34] N. Schaeffer, D. Jault, H.-C. Nataf, and A. Fournier, Turbulent geodynamo simulations: a leap towards Earth's core, Geophys. J. Int. 211, 1 (2017).
- [35] R. J. A. M. Stevens, A. Blass, X. Zhu, R. Verzicco, and D. Lohse, Turbulent thermal superstructures in Rayleigh-Bénard convection, Phys. Rev. Fluids 3, 041501(R) (2018).
- [36] M. Yan, S. M. Tobias, and M. A. Calkins, Scaling behaviour of small-scale dynamos driven by Rayleigh– Bénard convection, J. Fluid Mech. 915, A15 (2021).
- [37] T. Gastine and J. M. Aurnou, Latitudinal regionalization of rotating spherical shell convection, J. Fluid Mech. 954, R1 (2023).