# **Scaling properties of** *d***-dimensional complex networks**

Samuraí Brito,<sup>1,\*</sup> Thiago C. Nunes,<sup>2,†</sup> Luciano R. da Silva,<sup>2,3,‡</sup> and Constantino Tsallis<sup>3,4,5,6,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*International Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Campus Universitário,*

*Lagoa Nova, Natal-RN 59078-970, Brazil*

<sup>2</sup>*Departamento de Física Teórica e Experimental, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, RN, 59078-900, Brazil*

<sup>3</sup>*National Institute of Science and Technology of Complex Systems, Brazil*

<sup>4</sup>*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil*

<sup>5</sup>*Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, New Mexico 87501, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Complexity Science Hub Vienna, Josefstaedter Strasse 39, A 1080 Vienna, Austria*

(Received 4 October 2018; published 3 January 2019)

The area of networks is very interdisciplinary and exhibits many applications in several fields of science. Nevertheless, there are few studies focusing on geographically located *d*-dimensional networks. In this paper, we study the scaling properties of a wide class of *d*-dimensional geographically located networks which grow with preferential attachment involving Euclidean distances through  $r_{ij}^{-\alpha_A}$  ( $\alpha_A \geq 0$ ). We have numerically analyzed the time evolution of the connectivity of sites, the average shortest path, the degree distribution entropy, and the average clustering coefficient for  $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and typical values of  $\alpha_A$ . Remarkably enough, virtually all the curves can be made to collapse as functions of the scaled variable  $\alpha_A/d$ . These observations confirm the existence of three regimes. The first one occurs in the interval  $\alpha_A/d \in [0, 1]$ ; it is non-Boltzmannian with very-longrange interactions in the sense that the degree distribution is a *q* exponential with *q* constant and above unity. The critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$  that emerges in many of these properties is replaced by  $\alpha_A/d = 1/2$  for the *β* exponent which characterizes the time evolution of the connectivity of sites. The second regime is still non-Boltzmannian, now with moderately-long-range interactions, and reflects in an index *q* monotonically decreasing with  $\alpha_A/d$ increasing from its critical value to a characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$ . Finally, the third regime is Boltzmannianlike (with  $q \approx 1$ ) and corresponds to short-range interactions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.99.012305](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.99.012305)

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Networks are everywhere, from the Internet to social networks. We are living in the network age and the emergence of more and more related research is natural. The theory of networks has applications in a diversity of scientific fields, such as medicine [\[1\]](#page-5-0), cosmology [\[2\]](#page-5-0), quantum information theory [\[3\]](#page-5-0), and social networks [\[4\]](#page-5-0). For a long time, it was diffusely believed that the statistics governing complex networks was only the Boltzmann–Gibbs (BG) one. However, in 2005 the connection between networks and nonextensive statistical mechanics started to be explored  $[5-7]$ , and it is presently very active [\[8–14\]](#page-5-0).

In the literature, systems with long-range interactions are characterized by paired potentials that decay slowly with the distance. A potential of the form  $1/r^{\alpha}$  is typically said to be long-range if  $0 \le \alpha \le d$ , where *d* is the spatial dimension of the system. Some examples of such potentials are gravitational systems, two-dimensional hydrodynamic systems, two-dimensional elastic systems, charged systems, and dipole systems. Unlike the case of classical systems with shortrange interactions (usually described within BG statistics), where many results are well understood, there is a lack of complete knowledge about the dynamic and statistical properties of systems with long-range interactions (for which BG statistics fails). In this sense, many theories have been proposed to understand the systems that interact at longrange, and *q* statistics has shown satisfactory results for this regime [\[15–20\]](#page-5-0).

In 2016, we studied a *d*-dimensional network model where the interactions are short- or long-ranged depending on the choice of the parameter  $\alpha_A \geq 0$ . The results that were obtained reinforced the connection between nonextensive statistical mechanics and the networks theory [\[13\]](#page-5-0). In that work, we found some quantities which present a universal behavior with respect to the particular variable  $\alpha_A/d$  and observed the existence of three regimes. In the first one; namely,  $0 \leq$  $\alpha_A/d \leq 1$ , *q* is constant and larger than unity, characterizing a non-Boltzmannian regime with very-long-range interactions. In the second one, *q* monotonically decreases as  $\alpha_A/d$  increased from its critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$  to a characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$ . The third regime, above this characteristic value [\[21\]](#page-5-0), is Boltzmannian-like  $(q \simeq 1)$  and corresponds to short-range interactions. For the  $\beta$  exponent (defined here below) the behavior is somewhat different: a first regime is exhibited for  $0 \le \alpha_A/d \le 1/2$ , a second regime appears between  $\alpha_A/d = 1/2$  and a characteristic value once again close to 5, and a third regime, Boltzmannian-like with  $q \approx 1$ ,

<sup>\*</sup>samuraigab@gmail.com.br

<sup>†</sup> thiago.cris@yahoo.com.br

<sup>‡</sup> luciano@fisica.ufrn.br

<sup>§</sup>tsallis@cbpf.br

between this value and infinity; it cannot be excluded that the purely Boltzmannian behavior only occurs for  $\alpha_A/d \to \infty$ .

Our model was constructed through two stages: the number of the sites increases at time and the connections between the sites follow a preferential attachment rule, given by

$$
\Pi_i \propto k_i {r_i}^{-\alpha_A}.\tag{1}
$$

Each newly created site can connect to *m* others. In the present work, all results were obtained for  $m = 1$ . The growth of the network starts with one site at the origin, and then, we stochastically locate a second site (and then a third, a fourth, and so on up to *N*) through the *d*-dimensional isotropic distribution

$$
p(r) \propto \frac{1}{r^{d+\alpha_G}} \quad (\alpha_G > 0; d = 1, 2, 3, 4),
$$
 (2)

where  $r \geqslant 1$  is the Euclidean distance from the newly arrived site to the center of mass of the pre-existing system. For more details see Ref. [\[13\]](#page-5-0). This network is characterized by three parameters  $\alpha_A$ ,  $\alpha_G$ , and *d*, where  $\alpha_A$  controls the importance of the distance in the preferential attachment rule,  $\alpha_G$  is associated with the geographical distribution of the sites, and *d* is the dimension of the system.

The connectivity distribution was the only property studied in the previous work. Our results showed that the degree distribution of this model is very well described by the *q*-exponential functions that emerge from nonexten-sive statistical mechanics [\[22–24\]](#page-5-0); more precisely,  $P(k) \sim$  $e_q^{-k/\kappa}$   $\forall$  ( $\alpha_A$ ,  $\alpha_G$ , *d*), with  $e_q^z \equiv [1 + (1 - q)z]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$ . The relation between *q* and *γ* (the exponent of the asymptotic power law) is given by  $\gamma \equiv 1/(q-1)$  (see Ref. [\[5\]](#page-5-0) for more details). When  $\alpha_A = 0$  we recover the Barabási-Albert (BA) model [\[25\]](#page-5-0) with  $q = 4/3$  ( $\gamma = 3$ ). Remarkably enough, our previous results showed that the index *q* and *κ* exhibit universal behavior with respect to the scaled variable  $\alpha_A/d$  ( $\forall d$ ).

Motivated by the results in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-5-0), in the present work we are interested in investigating, for the same network model, other possible universal behavior with respect to the same scaled variable  $\alpha_A/d$ . Besides that, we also are interested in verifying the existence of the same three regimes that we have previously observed. We have analyzed the exponent  $\beta$ , which is associated with the time evolution of the connectivity of sites, the average shortest path  $\langle l \rangle$ , the degree distribution entropy  $S_q$ , and the average clustering coefficient  $\langle C \rangle$ . Along the lines of Ref. [\[13\]](#page-5-0), in order to analyze these properties, we choose the typical value  $\alpha_G = 2$  and vary the parameters  $(\alpha_A, d)$ .

#### **II. RESULTS**

### **A. Time evolution of the connectivity of sites**

One of the most common analyses that are done in networks theory is to verify how the degree of a site changes in time. This property is usually referred to as *connectivity time evolution* and it usually follows the behavior

$$
k_i(t) \propto \left(\frac{t}{t_i}\right)^{\beta}, \text{ where } t_i \leq t. \tag{3}
$$

We analyzed the time evolution of the connectivity of sites for typical values of  $\alpha_A$  and  $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$  (see Fig. 1). To do that,



FIG. 1. Time evolution of the connectivity of the site  $i = 10$ in a log-log plot for different values of  $\alpha_A$  and  $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . The figure sublabels refer to (a)  $\alpha_A = 0$ , (b)  $\alpha_A = 2$ , (c)  $\alpha_A = 3$ , (d)  $\alpha_A = 5$ , (e)  $\alpha_A = 6$ , and (f)  $\alpha_A = 8$ . We can see that  $k_i \propto$  $(t/t_i)^{\beta(\alpha_A,d)}$  where  $\beta(\alpha_A,d)$  is the asymptotic slope of the curves. For  $\alpha_A = 0$ , independent of the dimension, we recover the BA model with  $\beta = 1/2$ , and when  $\alpha_A \rightarrow \infty$  the dimension does not matter either.

we choose the site  $i = 10$  (the result is independent of *i*), and then we compute the time evolution of its connectivity. All simulations were made for  $10^5$  sites and  $10^3$  samples.

We observe that the dynamic exponent  $\beta$  is not constant, in discrepancy with its value for the BA model: *β* decreases with  $\alpha_A$  and increases with *d* [see Fig. [2\(a\)\]](#page-2-0). Moreover, we notice that  $\beta$  exhibits universal curves with respect to the scaled variable  $\alpha_A/d$ . When  $\alpha_A/d \ge 0$  up to the critical value  $\alpha_A/d =$ 1*/*2, the system is in the same universality class of the BA model with  $\beta = 1/2$  and it is in the non-Boltzmannian verylong-range interactions regime (*q* is constant above unity). From  $\alpha_A/d > 1/2$  on,  $\beta < 1/2$  and decreases nearly exponentially with  $\alpha_A/d$  down to the value 0.11 for  $\alpha_A/d \approx 5$ . For  $\alpha_A/d$  above this value up to infinity,  $\beta$  remains practically constant, indicating a Boltzmannian-like regime (with  $q \simeq 1$ ). It cannot be excluded that the terminal value of  $\beta$  is achieved only at the limit  $\alpha_A/d \to \infty$  [see Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-2-0).

#### **B. Average shortest path length**

The *average shortest path length* is a concept, in network theory, defined as the average number of steps along the shortest paths for all possible pairs of sites of the network. In real networks, a short path makes it easier to transfer information and can reduce costs. Mathematically, the average shortest path length is defined by

$$
\langle l \rangle = \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i < j} d_{ij},\tag{4}
$$

where  $d_{ij}$  is the shortest path (smaller number of edges) between the sites  $i$  and  $j$ . We have computed the average shortest path length  $\langle l \rangle$  for typical values of  $\alpha_A$  and  $\forall d$ .

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (a)  $\beta$  decreases with  $\alpha_A$  and increases with *d*. (b) As we can see, from the rescaling  $\alpha_A \rightarrow \alpha_A/d$ , all the curves of  $\beta$  collapse, and three regions clearly emerge. The first one is from  $\alpha_A/d = 0$ up to the critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1/2$ ,  $\beta = 1/2$ . The second regime, from the critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1/2$  up to the characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$ , *β* decreases nearly exponentially. When  $\alpha_A/d \gtrsim 5$ , *β* reaches a terminal value  $\beta \simeq 0.11$ , and the Bolzmannian-like regime is achieved. The simulations have been run for  $10<sup>3</sup>$  samples and  $N = 10^5$ .

When  $\alpha_A = 0$  the results are the same as the BA model where  $\langle l \rangle \sim \ln N$  (for *m* = 1), independent of the dimension of the system. We have numerically verified that  $\langle l \rangle$  depends on  $(\alpha_A, d)$ , increasing with  $\alpha_A$  and decreasing with *d* [see Fig.  $3(a)$ ]. Remarkably enough, all the curves can be made to collapse through the scalings  $\alpha_A \to \alpha_A/d$  and  $\langle l \rangle \to \langle l \rangle (1 +$  $\alpha_A/d$ <sup> $-1$ </sup> [see Fig. 3(b)]. Again, we can see the existence of three regimes. The non-Boltzmannian very-long-range interactions go up to the critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ , as we can see in the inset plot [Fig.  $3(b)$ ]. The non-Boltzmannian moderate long-range interactions go to up to the characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$ , as can be seen from the derivative of the collapse curve. And finally, from  $\alpha_A/d \gtrsim 5$  on, the Boltzmannian-like limit is reached.

#### **C. Degree distribution entropy**

The computation of the entropy in complex networks is important to verify the heterogeneity and structure of the



FIG. 3. Shortest path length. (a) We can see that the chemical distance  $\langle l \rangle$  increases with  $\alpha_A$  and decreases with *d*. (b) We can observe that the curves exhibit universality when we rescale the axis replacing  $\alpha_A \to \alpha_A/d$  and  $\langle l \rangle \to \langle l \rangle (1 + \alpha_A/d)^{-1}$ . In the inset plot we show the derivative collapsed curve in order to see more precisely the existence of the three regimes. The critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ , show us the end of the non-Boltzmanninan very-long-range interactions. The second regime, the non-Boltzmannian moderate long-range interactions, go to up the characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$ . Finally, the third regime, from the characteristic value up to  $\alpha_A/d \to \infty$ , we see the Boltzmannian-like behavior characterizing short-range interactions. This results are for  $N = 10^4$  and  $10^3$  samples.

network [\[26\]](#page-5-0). The *degree distribution entropy* measures the quantity of randomness present in the connectivity distribution. In our simulations, it is possible to realize the change of the topology of the network. When  $\alpha_A = 0$  the network is scale-free with an asymptotically power-law connectivity distribution. As we increase the value of  $\alpha_A$  the randomness of the degree distribution also increases. For  $\alpha_A \to \infty$  ( $q \to 1$ ) the network is not scale-free anymore since it presents an exponential degree distribution, in agreement with some results available in the literature [\[27\]](#page-5-0).

We have computed the degree distribution entropy *S* for each value of  $\alpha_A$  and  $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . We computed the *q* entropy  $S_q$  from nonextensive statistical mechanics, and the Boltzmann–Gibbs (BG) entropy S<sub>BG</sub> (alternatively referred to as the Shannon entropy) for the same connectivity distributions studied in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-5-0) (see Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0). The BG entropy was calculated from  $S_{BG} = \sum_k p_k \ln(1/p_k)$ , where  $p_k$  is the probability to find sites with  $k$  degree and the sum is over  $k =$ 1 up to  $k_{\text{max}}$  under the constraint  $\sum_{k} p_k = 1$ . Since we have

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 4. Measure of entropy in complex networks. Comparison between *q* entropy  $S_q$  and the standard entropy  $S_{BG}$ . The BG entropy was calculated in the network by using  $S_{BG} = -k \sum_{k} p_k \ln p_k$  (we used  $k = 1$ ), whereas the *q* entropy was calculated by using  $S_q =$  $-\sum_{k} p_k^q \ln_q p_k$ , where  $p_k$  is the probability of finding a site with connectivity *k* and  $\sum_{k} p_k = 1$ . In the region of long-range interactions we can see that  $S_q$  is very different from  $S_{BG}$ , exhibiting that  $S_q$  is more sensitive for describing this model in this domain. When  $\alpha_A \rightarrow \infty$  (*q*  $\rightarrow$  1) both entropies converge to the same asymptotic behavior. The sublabels refer to (a)  $d = 1$ , (b)  $d = 2$ , (c)  $d = 3$ , and (d)  $d = 4$ .

 $P(k) \sim e_q^{-k/\kappa}$ , to each value  $\alpha_A/d$ , a pair of parameters  $(q, \kappa)$ is associated. This enables, in particular, the computation of the *q* entropy  $S_q \equiv \sum_k p_k \ln_q(1/p_k)$  for the same data, where ln<sub>*q*</sub> *z* ≡  $\frac{z^{1-q}-1}{1-q}$  is the inverse of the *q*-exponential function. When  $\alpha_A \to \infty$  ( $q \to 1$ ), both entropies converge to the same asymptotic limit. This result was of course expected since, for  $q = 1$ , the *q* entropy recovers the standard entropy  $S_{BG}$ .

Our results show that there is a region where the two entropies are different. It is known that the BG entropy is not appropriate for systems where long-range interactions are allowed. So, this result provides evidence that  $S_q$  is adequate to describe the interactions in this nonextensive domain. Besides this result, we also studied the dependence of  $S_q$  with both  $(\alpha_A, d)$  and  $\alpha_A/d$ . We verified that, although  $S_q$  depends on  $\alpha_A$  and *d* separately [see Fig. 5(a)], the curves exhibit universal behavior with regard to the scaled variable  $\alpha_A/d$ [see Fig.  $5(b)$ ]. Once again, we clearly see the existence of three regimes. In the first one,  $S_q$  has a constant value up to the critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ . From that value on, the characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$ ,  $S_q$  increases nearly exponentially and then, from  $\alpha_A/d \gtrsim 5$  on, the Boltzmannian-like limit is achieved.

# **D. Average clustering coefficient**

The *average clustering coefficient* is an important measure in the theory of networks and is associated with how the neighbors of a given node are connected to each other. This



FIG. 5. Entropy dependence of  $\alpha_A$  and  $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . (a)  $S_q$ increases with  $\alpha_A$  and decreases with *d*. (b) Once again, we obtain the collapse of  $S_a$  when rescaling  $\alpha_A \rightarrow \alpha_A/d$ . The entropy  $S_a$ has a constant value up to the critical value  $\alpha_A = 1$  and a nearly exponential behavior emerges up to the characteristic value  $\alpha_A \simeq 5$ .

coefficient is defined as follows:

$$
\langle C \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \frac{2n_i}{k_i(k_i - 1)},\tag{5}
$$

where  $k_i$  is the degree of the site  $i$ ,  $n_i$  is the number of connections between the neighbors of the site *i*, and  $k_i(k_1 - 1)/2$ is the total number of possible links between them.

To compute it, we run our network model for  $m = 2$  (because  $\langle C \rangle = 0$  when  $m = 1$ ) and analyzed how  $\langle C \rangle$  changes with both  $(\alpha_A, d)$  and  $\alpha_A/d$ . We see that  $\langle C \rangle$  increases with  $\alpha_A$  and decreases with *d* [see Fig. [6\(a\)\]](#page-4-0). The larger  $\alpha_A$  the more aggregated the network is. In the standard Barabási– Albert model ( $\alpha_A = 0$ ), the clustering coefficient is influenced by the size *N* of the network, such that  $\langle C \rangle$  can be numerically approximated by  $\langle C \rangle \sim N^{-0.75}$  [in later works, Barabási analytically claimed that  $\langle C \rangle \sim (\log N)^2/N$ ; for further details see Ref. [\[28\]](#page-5-0) ]. From this behavior we can see that, in the thermodynamical limit  $(N \to \infty)$ ,  $\langle C \rangle \to 0$ . Thus, we have numerically verified that, when  $N \to \infty$ ,  $\langle C \rangle \to 0$  not only for  $\alpha_A/d = 0$ , but for  $0 \le \alpha_A/d \le 1$  [see the inset plot in Fig.  $6(b)$ ]. We also analyzed how the clustering coefficient changes with *N* and we found that  $\langle C \rangle \sim N^{-\epsilon(\alpha_A, d)}$ . This power-law form was in fact expected since it agrees with the numerical result previously found for the particular case  $\alpha_A = 0$ . However, surprisingly enough, when  $\alpha_A \gtrsim 2d$  the clustering coefficient does not change with *N* anymore (see

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 6. Clustering coefficient for typical values of  $\alpha_A$  and  $d =$ 1, 2, 3, 4. (a)  $\langle C \rangle$  increases with  $\alpha_A$  but it decreases with *d*. (b) All curves collapse with the rescaling  $\langle C \rangle \rightarrow \langle C \rangle [(\alpha_A + 1)(d +$  $2]$ / $\alpha_A$  and  $\alpha_A \rightarrow \alpha_A/d$ . In the thermodynamical limit  $\langle C \rangle \rightarrow 0$ from  $\alpha_A = 0$  up to the critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ . In the inset plot we show an example for  $d = 1$  and  $\alpha_A = 0.75$ . From the characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$  on we reach the Boltzmannian-like regime.

Fig. 7). Analyzing how  $\epsilon(\alpha_A, d)$  changes with both  $(\alpha_A, d)$ and  $\alpha_A/d$ , we see that this exponent decreases with  $\alpha_A$ , but increases with *d* [see Fig. 8(a)]. Although we did not get collapse for these curves, by rescaling  $\alpha_A \rightarrow \alpha_A/d$  we



FIG. 7. Clustering coefficient as a function of *N* for (a)  $d = 1$ , (b)  $d = 2$ , (c)  $d = 3$ , and (d)  $d = 4$ .  $\langle C \rangle$  decreases with *N*, but increases with  $\alpha_A$ . Interestingly, from  $\alpha_A \sim 2d$  on,  $\langle C \rangle$  does not change any more with *N*.



FIG. 8. Analysis of the exponent  $\epsilon(\alpha_A, d)$ . (a) This graph reminds us the behavior of the maximum Lyapunov exponent [\[29\]](#page-5-0). The exponent  $\epsilon(\alpha_A, d)$  decreases with  $\alpha_A$  and does so faster for smaller *d*. (b) Although we did not get collapse for these curves through the rescaling  $\alpha_A \rightarrow \alpha_A/d$ , we can clearly see that all curves appear to perfectly intersect at  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ . This result strongly indicates a change of regime, with something special occurring at the value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ . When  $\alpha_A/d \gtrsim 2$  we observe that  $\epsilon \to 0$  agrees with the result showed in Fig. 7.

clearly can see that all curves perfectly intersect in  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ , strongly indicating a change of regime [see Fig. 8(b)]. The results found for  $\epsilon(\alpha_A, d)$  are somewhat reminiscent of the  $\kappa(\alpha_A, d)$  exponent associated with the maximal Lyapunov exponent for the generalized Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) model [\[29\]](#page-5-0).

### **III. CONCLUSION**

Our present results reveal an intriguing ubiquity of the variable  $\alpha_A/d$  for the class of networks focused on here, where both topological and metric aspects exist. Surprisingly, the use of this variable indeed provides collapses or quasicollapses for all the properties studied here. Another interesting point is the existence of three, and not only two, regimes. The first one is a non-Boltzmannian regime characterized by very-long-range interactions and it goes from  $\alpha_A/d = 0$  up to the critical value  $\alpha_A/d = 1$ , except for *β* whose critical value turns out to be  $\alpha_A/d = 1/2$ , curiously enough. The second one, from the critical value up to the characteristic value  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$ , is still non-Boltzmannian and corresponds to moderate long-range interactions. The third and last regime, from  $\alpha_A/d \simeq 5$  on, is Boltzmannian-like and <span id="page-5-0"></span>is characterized by short-range interactions. The existence of the intermediate regime has also been observed in classical many-body Hamiltonians; namely, the *α*-generalized XY [16,17] and Heisenberg [18] rotator models as well as the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model [19,20,29–31].

The present work neatly illustrates a fact which is not always obvious to the community working with complex networks; more precisely, those exhibiting asymptotic scalefree behavior. Such networks frequently belong to the realm of applicability of nonextensive statistical mechanics based on nonadditive entropies, and to its superstatistical extensions [32]. Such connections between thermal and geometrical systems are by no means rare in statistical mechanics since the pioneering and enlightening Kasteleyn and Fortuin theorem [33]. In the present scenario, such a connection can be naturally understood if we associate half of each two-body interaction energy between any two sites of the Hamiltonian to each of the connected sites, thus generating, for each node, a degree (number of links) in the sense of networks. Through this perspective, it is no surprise that the degree distribution corresponds to the *q*-exponential function which generalizes

- [1] A. L. Barabási, N. Gulbahce, and J. Loscalzo, [Nat. Rev. Genet.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2918) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2918)**, [56](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2918) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2918).
- [2] M. Boguñá, M. Kitsak, and D. Krioukov, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/9/093031) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/9/093031)**, [093031](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/9/093031) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/9/093031).
- [3] S. Perseguers, M. Lewenstein, A. Acín, and J. I. Cirac, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1665) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1665)**, [539](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1665) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1665).
- [4] G. D. Ferreira, G. M. Viswanathan, L. R. da Silva, and H. J. Herrman, [Phys. A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.02.008) **[499](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.02.008)**, [198](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.02.008) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.02.008).
- [5] D. J. B. Soares, C. Tsallis, A. M. Mariz, and L. R. da Silva, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2004-10467-y) **[70](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2004-10467-y)**, [70](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2004-10467-y) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2004-10467-y).
- [6] S. Thurner and C. Tsallis, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2005-10221-1) **[72](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2005-10221-1)**, [197](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2005-10221-1) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2005-10221-1).
- [7] S. Thurner, [Europhys. News](https://doi.org/10.1051/epn:2005612) **[36](https://doi.org/10.1051/epn:2005612)**, [218](https://doi.org/10.1051/epn:2005612) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1051/epn:2005612).
- [8] J. S. Andrade, H. J. Herrmann, R. F. S. Andrade, and L. R. da Silva, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.018702) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.018702)**, [018702](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.018702) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.018702).
- [9] P. G. Lind, L. R. da Silva, J. S. Andrade, and H. J. Herrmann, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.036117) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.036117)**, [036117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.036117) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.036117).
- [10] [G. A. Mendes, L. R. da Silva, and H. J. Herrmann,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.07.046) Phys. A (Amsterdam, Neth.) **[391](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.07.046)**, [362](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.07.046) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.07.046).
- [11] M. L. Almeida, G. A. Mendes, G. M. Viswanathan, and L. R. da Silva, [Eur. Phys. J. B](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30802-x) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30802-x)**, [38](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30802-x) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30802-x).
- [12] A. Macedo-Filho, D. A. Moreira, R. Silva, and L. R. da Silva, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2013.01.032) **[377](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2013.01.032)**, [842](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2013.01.032) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2013.01.032).
- [13] S. Brito, L. R. da Silva, and C. Tsallis, [Sci. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27992) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27992)**, [27992](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27992) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27992).
- [14] [T. C. Nunes, S. Brito, L. R. da Silva, and C. Tsallis,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aa8198) J. Stat. Mech. [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aa8198) [093402.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aa8198)
- [15] U. Tirnakli and E. P. Borges, [Sci. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23644) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23644)**, [23644](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23644) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23644).
- [16] [L. J. L. Cirto, V. R. V. Assis, and C. Tsallis,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.09.002) *Phys. A* (Amsterdam, Neth.) **[393](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.09.002)**, [286](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.09.002) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.09.002).
- [17] L. J. L. Cirto, A. Rodriguez, F. D. Nobre, and C. Tsallis, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/123/30003) **[123](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/123/30003)**, [30003](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/123/30003) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/123/30003).

the Boltzmann–Gibbs weight within thermal statistics. The fact that in both of these geometrical and thermal systems, the scaled variable  $\alpha_A/d$  plays a preponderant role becomes essentially one and the same feature. Mathematically based contributions along such lines would be more than welcome. Last but not least, it would surely be interesting to understand how come the critical point of the *β* exponent differs from the all the critical points that we studied here. This is somewhat reminiscent of the two-dimensional XY ferromagnetic model with short-range interactions for which nearly all properties exhibit a singularity at the *positive* temperature of Kosterlitz and Thouless [34,35], whereas the order-parameter critical point occurs at *zero* temperature.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We gratefully acknowledge partial financial support from CAPES, CNPq, Funpec, Faperj (Brazilian agencies) and the Brazilian ministries MEC and MCTIC. We thank the High Performance Computing Center at UFRN for providing the computational facilities to run the simulations.

- [18] [L. J. L. Cirto, L. S. Lima, and F. D. Nobre,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2015/04/P04012) J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2015/04/P04012) [P04012.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2015/04/P04012)
- [19] H. Christodoulidi, C. Tsallis, and T. Bountis, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/40006) **[108](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/40006)**, [40006](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/40006) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/40006).
- [20] [H. Christodoulidi, T. Bountis, C. Tsallis, and L. Drossos,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aa4f0e) J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aa4f0e) [123206.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aa4f0e)
- [21] By the *characteristic value* we mean a value above which *q* is noticeably close to one, whatever strictly speaking the numerical evidence that we have, suggest that the Botzmannian limit is only achieved for  $\alpha_A/d \to \infty$ .
- [22] C. Tsallis, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429) **[52](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429)**, [479](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429) [\(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429).
- [23] C. Tsallis, *Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics: Approaching a Complex World* (Springer, New York, 2009).
- [24] C. Tsallis and L. J. L. Cirto, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2487-6) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2487-6)**, [2487](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2487-6) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2487-6).
- [25] A. L. Barabási and R. Albert, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.509) **[286](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.509)**, [509](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.509) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.509).
- [26] T. G. Lewis, *Network Science: Theory and Applications* (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2011).
- [27] J. Ozik, B. R. Hunt, and E. Ott, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.026108) **[69](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.026108)**, [026108](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.026108) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.026108).
- [28] A. L. Barabási, *Network Science* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016).
- [29] D. Bagchi and C. Tsallis, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.062213) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.062213)**, [062213](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.062213) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.062213).
- [30] D. Bagchi and C. Tsallis, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.01.057) **[381](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.01.057)**, [1123](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.01.057) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.01.057).
- [31] D. Bagchi and C. Tsallis, [Phys. A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.09.098) **[491](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.09.098)**, [869](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.09.098) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.09.098).
- [32] C. Beck and E. G. D. Cohen, [Phys. A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(03)00019-0) **[322](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(03)00019-0)**, [267](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(03)00019-0) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(03)00019-0).
- [33] P. W. Kasteleyn and C. M. Fortuin, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. (Suppl.) **26**, 11 (1969).
- [34] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, [J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/6/7/010) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/6/7/010)**, [1181](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/6/7/010) [\(1973\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/6/7/010).
- [35] C. Tsallis, [Nuovo Cimento B](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02728617) **[34](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02728617)**, [411](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02728617) [\(1976\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02728617).