Simple theory of viscosity in liquids

Jean Bellissard*

School of Mathematics and School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA and Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, 48149 Münster, Germany

Takeshi Egami

Department of Materials Science and Engineering and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA and Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

a oan Mage Hanonai Eaboraiory, oan Mage, tennessee 57651, 65.

(Received 20 June 2018; published 21 December 2018)

A simplistic model of atomic dynamics in liquid, based on the concept of dynamic fluctuations in local atomic connectivity, is proposed to elucidate the temperature variation of the viscosity of a material in its liquid phase. Within the simplifications and hypothesis made to define the model, it is possible to explain the crossover from a simple liquid to a cooperative liquid and covariance of viscosity near the glass transition temperature. The model is a mechanical analog of the Drude model for the electric transport in metal.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.98.063005

I. INTRODUCTION

Viscosity, η , of a liquid near the melting point is of the order of 10^{-3} Pas, whereas the glass transition is defined by η reaching 10¹² Pa s [1]. The origin of this rapid change in viscosity over a relatively small range of temperature is crucially related to the unsolved problem regarding the nature of the glass transition [2,3]. In spite of numerous attempts to explain its origin [4-9] there is no broad agreement on this subject. Whereas much attention is currently focused on the behavior of highly viscous supercooled liquids, in our view the most important feature of the viscosity is the crossover from the Arrhenius to super-Arrhenius behavior which occurs at a temperature, T^* , much higher than the glass transition temperature, T_g . Kivelson *et al.* noted that the temperature dependence of the activation energy is universal if T^* is used to scale temperature [6]. The functional form of the universal behavior explains quite well the measured viscosity of a large number of metallic alloy liquids [10]. Once the temperature dependence of the viscosity becomes super-Arrhenius, the activation energy keeps increasing with decreasing temperature, and it is a natural course of action that viscosity diverges at a finite temperature. The glass transition is merely a consequence of this crossover. Therefore, in this line of argument the crucial element for understanding the glass transition is not the glass transition itself but the nature and origin of this crossover phenomenon.

Kivelson *et al.* proposed that T^* is the freezing temperature of a phase which the liquid never reaches because of frustration [6]. Because of its intrinsic frustration long-range order of such a phase cannot be achieved, but short-range dynamic fluctuations into this phase become more prevalent as temperature is lowered, resulting in increased viscosity and ultimate jamming into a glassy state. An example of the frustrated phase is the icosahedral state [4,11]. Whereas a quasicrystal is a solid with icosahedral symmetry, it is a stoichiometric crystalline compound in six dimensions which is formed only for a number of specific compositions [12]. For a liquid with a composition other than these specific compositions the icosahedral order is geometrically frustrated [4], and cannot form long-range order. But the particular local order of the frustrated phase depends on composition. For instance, for polydispersed hard-sphere colloids the fluctuating phase is a mixture of the icosahedral phase and the face-centered-cubic phase [13]. However, the hypothesis that T^* is the freezing temperature of the frustrated phase has not been confirmed.

On the other hand different interpretations of the crossover phenomenon were proposed based upon the idea that the atomic dynamics become more collective below T^* [14,15]. Such crossover is observed in the colloidal system as well, as a function of colloid density, from the noninteracting colloid below the critical density to the interacting colloid above [15,16]. In our view the crossover occurs when the mean free path of the shear phonon, $\xi = c_T \tau_M$, where c_T is the velocity of a transverse phonon and τ_M is the Maxwell relaxation time, becomes shorter than the mean atomic distance, and the bulk of shear phonons is localized [17]. In other words above the crossover temperature, $T_A = T^*$, the structure is fluctuating so fast that phonons cannot propagate and become overdamped. Only below T_A atoms can interact via phonons and atomic dynamics becomes cooperative. In this paper we propose a simple model to quantify this dynamic crossover phenomenon based on the dynamics of an atomic connectivity network. We define the structure of liquid in terms of the atomic connectivity network [18], and describe its dynamics by the action of cutting and forming the atomic bond [19]. The crossover occurs as a consequence of competition between phonons and bond cutting. This model is a mechanical analog of the Drude model for the electric transport in metal. The paper presented

2470-0045/2018/98(6)/063005(9)

^{*}jeanbel@math.gatech.edu

here is a small brick added to the knowledge, hoping that it will open the door to a more accurate theory liable to explain the mystery of glass transition. However, several evidences point toward this model providing some universal results: (a) it explains the crossover phenomenon, (b) it introduces a parameter that permits us to distinguish between strong and fragile glasses, and (c) it explains the precipitous increase in viscosity below the crossover temperature. In addition, while we are first motivated by the study of bulk metallic glasses, it could be relevant in other areas, such as colloids [20], usual glassy materials in their liquid phase, or even quantum fluids [21].

II. MODEL

A. Structure and dynamics of liquid

Liquid and glass have no symmetry in the atomic structure. Thus, it is difficult to describe the structure and relate it to properties, even when we know the positions of all atoms at time t, $R_i(t)$. Experimentally the only the pair density correlation function (PDF), g(r), can be readily obtained through the Fourier transformation of the structure function, S(Q), which can be determined by diffraction [22]. However, the PDF is a one-dimensional function, and does not have sufficient informational content to determine a three-dimensional (3D) structure. To describe the three-dimensional structure usually a model is constructed using a phenomenological interatomic potential, and the results are analyzed in terms of the Voronoi polyhedra [18,23], which is an example of the Delone set [24,25], as discussed in Appendix A. The atomic connectivity is defined by atoms which share a Voronoi face. The state of atomic connectivity is expressed in terms of the Delone graph.

The Delone graph describes the state of atomic connectivity at a time. We now consider how it evolves with time to describe its dynamics. The action of changing the connectivity of the Delone graph, by cutting one bond and forming a new one, is called Pachner moves, discussed in Appendix B [24–27]. Such a move requires local atomic rearrangement which squeezes atoms and increases the potential energy. It represents a move from one local equilibrium to another, crossing over an energy barrier. In terms of the energy landscape it corresponds to crossing a saddle point [7]. In hightemperature liquid the action of cutting or forming a bond was shown to be the elementary process of excitation [15,19], and was named the "anankeon" [19]. A typical Pachner move represents a bond exchange and involves two anankeons. They happen at unpredictable times, so that their dynamic is more efficiently described in terms of a Markov process. Hence the corresponding stress felt by each atom is constantly varying under the stress of circumstances, a concept that can be translated by the word "anagkeia" in Greek. Associated with this concept was the Greek goddess Ananke, expressing fate or destiny, due to uncontrollable forces, constraints, and necessity, hence the name "anankeon" associated with these stress-induced unpredictable atomic moves.

B. Toy model

What happens in the liquid state? Phonons still exist in liquids as in solids. However, phonons with short wavelengths, comparable to interatomic distance, are strongly damped in the liquid phase at high temperature. Whenever the typical time scale characterizing the anankeon dynamics is comparable to the phonon period, the phonon has no time to oscillate and it is overdamped. The present model investigates this damping more quantitatively. To proceed, the same intuition will be followed as in the original paper of Drude on electronic transport in metals [28,29]. In order to make the model computable, it will be assumed that it describes the mechanical fate of a given atom.

(i) As long as the topology of atomic connectivity remains unchanged, this atom is located near the minimum of a local potential well, and it will oscillate, harmonically, with a frequency given by the local curvature of the well.

(ii) Then at random times (Poissonian distribution) the atom jumps, finding itself, after the jump in a new potential well, with a new local curvature and a new initial location relative to the new equilibrium position and a new initial velocity (phase-space position).

Hence, the curvature of the potential well (expressed in terms of the frequency of oscillation) will be considered as a random variable with a fixed average and a given covariance δ , which will be shown to be the most important parameter. Similarly, the new relative initial phase-space position, after the jump, will also be chosen randomly according to a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. To make the model even more computable, it will be assumed that only one degree of freedom of oscillation is allowed (the local Einstein model). It will be seen that, even though this model is so simplistic, it exhibits a transition between two time scales as δ decreases. The computation of correlation functions, like viscosity, shows that this change of scale may affect the viscosity in an essential way.

C. Anankeons in glass: Shear transformation zone theory

The deformation behavior of metallic glass was successfully described by the shear transformation zone (STZ) theory, proposed by Argon [30] and extended by Langer and coworkers [31-34]. The idea is that during plastic deformation the local groups of atoms form droplets, the STZ, in which the solid really behaves like a liquid for a short time. Using the density of the STZ as a variable, Langer and coworkers proposed a system of effective equations at the macroscopic scale that are modeling the behavior of the material under stress with a good accuracy. At the atomic scale numerical simulation shows that these STZ's are the result of a cascade or avalanche of many atomic swaps, namely, of anankeons [35], starting at a random site, called the germ. The anankeon involves only two atoms which define the bond to be cut or formed, whereas the STZ involves many (30-300) atoms [36,37]. The initial anankeon action induces other anankeons in its neighborhood, because of the disruption created by the propagation of the stress induced by such swaps. The cascade looks like a local catastrophic event, occurring during a very short time, leading to the building of the local liquidlike droplets. The time scale representing the time necessary to create an STZ is, however, long in comparison with the typical time scale of the anankeon. Thus, the cascade is not a collective phenomenon, but simply a succession of separate

anankeon events induced by the stress field created by the preceding deformation events. A model for such a cascade of events was proposed in Refs. [35,38].

III. LIQUIDS AT HIGH TEMPERATURE AS A PERFECT ANANKEON GAS

In our approach the structure of glass or liquid is described by the Delone graph which specifies the topology of atomic connectivity. The Delone graph, however, does not have an energy scale, whereas in order to calculate thermodynamic properties we need the knowledge of the energy scale. A convenient way to introduce the energy scale to the Delone graph is to use the concept of the atomic-level stresses [39]. The atomic-level stress tensor for an atom $i, \bar{\sigma}_i$, is defined by

$$\sigma_i^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{V_i} \sum_j f_{ij}^{\alpha} r_{ij}^{\beta}, \tag{1}$$

where α and β refer to Cartesian indices, V_i is the local atomic volume of atom *i*, and f_{ij}^{α} and r_{ij}^{β} are the α and β components of force and distance between atoms *i* and *j* [40,41]. Its trace gives the atomic-level pressure,

$$p_i = \frac{1}{3} \left(\sigma_i^{xx} + \sigma_i^{yy} + \sigma_i^{zz} \right), \tag{2}$$

whereas five other combinations give the shear, or deviatory, stresses, τ_{ni} , n = 1-5. The origin of the atomic-level stress is the atomic-level misfit between the size of the atom and the size and shape of the atomic site [39]. For instance, if the atom is larger than the site in which it is placed it is under compressive pressure. Thus, they are directly connected to the topology of the neighboring atoms which defines the size and shape of the atomic site. For instance, the pressure is linearly related to the number of nearest neighbors, the coordination number [42].

The stress tensor has six components for each atom; however, because of the identity $f_{ij} = -f_{ji}$, the total number of free components is 3*N*, equal to the positional degree of freedom. For this reason the equipartition theorem holds for the stress in liquid [43,44]:

$$\frac{V\langle p^2 \rangle}{2B} = \frac{V\langle \tau_n^2 \rangle}{2G} = \frac{k_B T}{4},\tag{3}$$

where B and G are the bulk and shear moduli and Vis the atomic volume. The distribution of local stress is Gaussian [45]. In the liquid state the atomic-level stresses fluctuate with time because the neighbors are dynamic. In high-temperature liquid phonons are overdamped, and the mechanism of structural change is anankeon excitation. Therefore, a high-temperature liquid can be modeled as a free gas of anankeons. The degree of freedom associated with each anankeon will be given in terms of an atomic stress tensor. Their Gaussian distribution observed in the numerical results can be interpreted in terms of a Gibbs state describing the thermal equilibrium in a statistical mechanical approach. If the atomic stress is uncorrelated, it becomes possible to compute the partition function Z(T;N) of a volume of liquid containing N atoms. Note, however, that an anankeon excitation affects the stress tensors at two atoms involved in each atomic bond. Thus, the part of the partition function regarding the potential

energy is $Z(T; N) = Z_1(T)^{N/2}$, where

$$Z_1(T) = \int e^{-\beta(p^2/2B + \sum_n \tau_n^2/2G)} d\sigma, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}.$$
 (4)

The domain of integration is the space of all real symmetric $d \times d$ matrices, representing a possible stress tensor. This Gaussian integral is easy to compute, giving $Z_1(T) =$ $\mathbb{Z}T^{d^{(d+1)/4}}$, where \mathbb{Z} is a constant depending on *B* and *G*, but independent of the temperature. The Clausius entropy is given by $S = k_B \ln[Z(N;T)]$, leading to the following expression of the contribution of the potential energy to the heat capacity, Cv, $p = c_d Nk_B/2$, where $c_d = d(d+1)/4$, which is 3 in three dimensions. Adding the contribution from the kinetic energy, Cv, $k = c_d N k_B/2$, we obtain the total specific heat, $Cv = c_d Nk_B$. Accordingly the system follows a law of Dulong-Petit, just as the contribution of phonons in crystal. However, the physical origin is different in liquid, because the main degree of freedom associated with atoms is the atomic stress. Hence a liquid at high temperatures can be seen as a perfect gas of anankeons. This prediction gave a satisfactory answer to the experimental observation [46] of the saturation of the heat capacity at high temperature.

IV. PHONON-ANANKEON INTERACTION

What happens at lower temperature, below the crossover temperature? The following model will show that as the temperature decreases the vibration modes are constraining the shape of local clusters, so as to change the viscosity is a nontrivial way.

A. Time scales

Because the dynamics plays such an important role in explaining the difference between solids and liquids, the various relevant time scales ought to be discussed. The most elementary is τ_{LC} , where LC stands for "local configuration," representing the time required between two consecutive Pachner moves [14]. It corresponds to the anankeon relaxation time. The next time scale is called Maxwell relaxation time $\tau_M = \eta/G_{\infty}$ [47] where η is the viscosity and G_{∞} is the high frequency shear modulus. The Maxwell relaxation time represents the time scale below which the system behaves like a solid and beyond which it can be considered as a liquid. Through classical as well as *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulation on various metallic liquids it was found that $\tau_M = \tau_{LC}$ at high temperatures [17]. They obey the Arrhenius law:

$$\tau_M = \tau_{\rm LC} = \tau_\infty e^{W/k_B T}.$$
 (5)

However, below the crossover temperature, $T_A(=T^*)$, the equality $\tau_M = \tau_{\rm LC}$ breaks down, and τ_M becomes super-Arrhenius, whereas $\tau_{\rm LC}$ remains Arrhenius [48]. Near the glass transition point, there is a significant discrepancy between τ_M and $\tau_{\rm LC}$. This is the discrepancy that the present model is going to describe. It seems natural, then, to choose $\tau_{\rm LC}$ as the basic time scale for modeling.

B. Toy model for anankeon-phonon interaction

There are two modes of dynamics for the atomic-level stresses. At high temperatures it is dominated by phonons. To

describe phonons we adopt the Einstein model, and assume $\omega_{E,i}$ is the local Einstein frequency of the atom *i*. Below T_A local configurational dynamics takes over [49]. For simplicity we also use the same Einstein model, but the values of $\{\omega_{E,i}\}$ decrease rapidly with decreasing temperature. Around an atom anankeon excitation occurs randomly with the average interval of τ_{LC} . Thus, the distribution of the time interval between anankeon excitation should have an exponential distribution. Then the probability that anankeon is excited within the time τ is

$$P(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm LC}} \int_0^\tau e^{-t/\tau_{\rm LC}} dt.$$
 (6)

Anankeon excitation involving the bond between atoms *i* and *j* changes the atomic-level stress tensor of the atom *i* and *j*, $\overline{\sigma}_i$ and $\overline{\sigma}_j$, and $\omega_{E,i}$ and $\omega_{E,j}$ by $\Delta \omega_{i,n}$ and $\Delta \omega_{j,n}$. Here *n* denotes the sequence of anankeon excitation $(-\infty < n < \infty)$. It is reasonable to assume that $\Delta \omega_{i,n}$ is also a random variable. Its average and variance are given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \omega_{E,i}, \quad \Delta \omega = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (\Delta \omega_{i,n})^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (7)

C. Viscosity

The viscosity is given by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem as [47]

$$\eta = \frac{V}{k_B T} \int C_{\sigma}(t) dt, \qquad (8)$$

where *V* is the volume of the liquid, *T* is the temperature, and C_{σ} is a shear stress correlation function:

$$C_{\sigma}(t) = \langle \sigma^{xy}(0)\sigma^{xy}(t) \rangle.$$
(9)

In terms of the atomic-level stresses, Eq. (1) [40],

$$\sigma^{xy}(t) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i} V_i \sigma_i^{xy}(t).$$
(10)

Therefore,

$$C_{\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{i,j} V_i V_j \langle \sigma_i^{xy}(0) \sigma_j^{xy}(t) \rangle.$$
(11)

Using the approximation in Ref. [49],

$$C_{\sigma}(t) = \frac{\nu}{V^2} \sum_{i} (V_i)^2 \left\langle \sigma_i^{xy}(0) \sigma_i^{xy}(t) \right\rangle, \tag{12}$$

where $\nu \approx 2$. In the liquid state the thermal average is equal for all atoms. Thus,

$$C_{\sigma}(t) = \nu \langle \sigma_i^{xy}(0) \sigma_i^{xy}(t) \rangle.$$
(13)

We will show that $C_{\sigma}(t) \sim \exp(-t/\tau_M)$. For this purpose we compute the Laplace transform:

$$\tilde{L}C_{\sigma}(\varsigma) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\varsigma} C_{\sigma}(t) dt.$$
(14)

Detailed derivation is given in Ref. [50] and summarized in Appendix C. The result is

$$\tilde{L}C_{\sigma}(\varsigma) = \frac{\tau a_2(\varsigma)}{1 - a_2(\varsigma)e^{-(\hat{p}_r^2 + 4/r^2)}},$$
(15)

where

$$a_{2}(\varsigma) = \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + \tau\left(\varsigma + i2\omega_{j-1}\right)} \right\rangle \simeq \frac{1}{1 + \tau\varsigma} + O\left(\frac{1}{\left(1 + \tau\varsigma\right)^{2}}\right).$$
(16)

To calculate $a_2(\zeta)$ explicitly we need to know the distribution of $\{\omega_j\}$. Here for simplicity we assume that $\{\omega_j\}$ are distributed evenly within the range of $\omega \pm \sqrt{3}\delta$. Then,

$$a_{2}(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{i4\sqrt{3}z} \ln\left\{\frac{1 + \tau(\varsigma + 2i\omega) + i2\sqrt{3}z}{1 + \tau(\varsigma + 2i\omega) - i2\sqrt{3}z}\right\},$$
 (17)

and $z = \delta \tau$. The value of z varies with temperature. At high temperatures the system samples a wide space of the potential energy landscape (PEL), so that the range of $\{\omega_j\}$, $\Delta \omega$, is large, resulting in a value of z of the order of unity. As the system is cooled it gets settled in certain local basins in the PEL, and the dynamics becomes more cooperative, dominated by configurational fluctuations because phonons are averaged out over the time τ . Thus, the values of $\{\omega_j\}$ and δ decrease rapidly with decreasing temperature. Thus, cooling the system results in reducing the value of z. Now using the polar coordinates in the complex plane,

$$\rho e^{i\theta} = 1 + \tau \operatorname{Re}(\varsigma) + i2\sqrt{3}z, \qquad (18)$$

$$a_2(\varsigma) = \frac{\theta}{2\sqrt{3}z}, \quad \tan \theta = \frac{2\sqrt{3}z}{1 + \tau \operatorname{Re}(\varsigma)}.$$
 (19)

Thus, the solution critically depends on the value of z. If $2\sqrt{3}z \ge \pi/2$,

$$\tau_M = \tau_{\rm LC},\tag{20}$$

whereas, if $2\sqrt{3}z < \pi/2$,

$$\frac{\tau_{\rm LC}}{\tau_M} = 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{3}z}{\tan(2\sqrt{3}z)}.$$
 (21)

The value of z varies with temperature, and

$$z = z_c = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{3}} \tag{22}$$

defines the viscosity crossover temperature $T_A = T^*$. Above T_A Eq. (20) holds, whereas below T_A Eq. (21) applies.

V. INTERPRETING THE RESULTS

A. Crossover condition

Above T_A phonons are overdamped [17], so that, at the critical value of z, $\omega \tau = 1$. Then from Eq. (22) the crossover

condition is given by

$$\left. \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} \right|_c = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{3}} \approx 0.45.$$
(23)

The phonon frequency ω depends on volume through the Grüneisen formula:

$$\frac{d\ln\omega}{d\ln V} = \gamma. \tag{24}$$

The Grüneisen constant is about 2 for many materials. Now for metallic systems with random close packed structure the coordination number N_C is close to 12 (~4 π [51]). Therefore the volume strain, $\varepsilon_v = \Delta \ln V$, for changing the coordination number N_C by $\frac{1}{2}$ is [39,52]

$$\varepsilon_v^{\rm crit} = 0.11,\tag{25}$$

thus activation of the anankeon which changes N_C by unity will result in the volume strain of $2\varepsilon_v^{\text{crit}} = 0.22$. Therefore, the change in ω due to anankeon excitation is

$$\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega} = \Delta \ln \omega = 2\gamma \varepsilon_v^{\text{crit}} = 0.44, \qquad (26)$$

for $\gamma = 2$, quite close to the value in Eq. (23). This agreement illustrates the reasonableness of the result expressed in Eq. (21).

B. Glass transition

At low temperatures below the crossover temperature atomic dynamics become more correlated and cooperative. Therefore, the anankeons become correlated, and the assumption of a random sequence which led to Eq. (21) breaks down. For instance, when two atoms are surrounded by a glassy solid, breaking of a bond creates a strong back stress field around the atoms which tries to restore the bond. In other words, unlike the liquid above the crossover, the system now has a memory and behaves like an anelastic solid. Consequently, the effective value of z becomes smaller. It is difficult to estimate how z varies with temperature, but it should go to zero at the glass transition because the system is no longer dynamic. Therefore, the overall change in ω due to the localized anankeon is of the order of $1/N_C$. For small values of z,

$$\frac{\tau_M}{\tau_{\rm LC}} = 1 / \left(1 - \frac{2\sqrt{3}z}{\tan(2\sqrt{3}z)} \right) = \frac{1}{4z^2} + \dots$$
 (27)

Thus, τ_M diverges at $T_g(z = 0)$, as expected. Strictly speaking, therefore, T_g is the ideal kinetic glass transition temperature where τ_M diverges rather than the conventional glass transition temperature where τ_M becomes comparable to the experimental time scale. Also this result is based upon the lowest order term in Eq. (16). In deeply supercooled liquid we will need to take higher order terms which make the results more complicated.

C. Fragility

Angell classified liquids into fragile and strong liquids, by plotting viscosity in the logarithmic scale against T_g/T , the so-called Angell plot [1]. In a very strong liquid, such as silica,

the Arrhenius temperature dependence persists well above the glass transition up to very high temperatures. In our model this means $z > z_c$ up to high temperatures. This is consistent with our model [52] in which the critical strain increases with decreasing N_C . Whereas there is no simple way to estimate the value of z, because the square of the phonon frequency is proportional to the local elastic constant C which scales with N_C , we may argue that

$$z = \frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\Delta C}{C}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_C}}.$$
 (28)

For $N_C = 4$ we obtain z = 0.5, higher than the critical value z_c . Because silicate has an open structure cutting one bond does not affect other bonds even below T_A ; there is no back stress effect. Thus, the value of z should remain high through the supercooled state down to low temperatures, resulting in the Arrhenius behavior of viscosity with no crossover to the super-Arrhenius behavior.

D. Comparison with simulation

It is difficult to estimate how z depends on temperature. At the moment theories are not available to make such estimates, and it will require extensive simulation effort to do so. On the other hand, it is possible to gain some insight through the comparison of Eq. (21) with the results of simulation in Ref. [17]. We found that scaling of z by

$$1 - \frac{z}{z_c} = \left(\frac{1-t}{1-t_g}\right)^{\gamma},\tag{29}$$

where $t = T/T^*$, $t_g = T_g/T^*$, $T^* = 1.3T_A$, and $\gamma = 4$, of Eq. (22) fits well to the simulation data as shown in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Plot of $\tau_{\rm M}/\tau_{\rm LC}$ against T/T_A . Symbols denote simulations by various models from Ref. [17]. The line is given by Eq. (21) with the temperature scaling of Eq. (29).

Because the physical meaning of this scaling is unclear, this agreement merely suggests that our model captures some features of the crossover phenomenon in spite of its simplicity. Here $T^* > T_A$, but this merely reflects the ambiguity of defining T_A from the viscosity data through the deviation from the Arrhenius behavior.

VI. CONCLUSION

The origin of glass transition has long been debated, without satisfactory resolution. Whereas many research works focus on the glass transition itself, it is equally important to examine the crossover behavior of viscosity in a liquid at high temperatures. Below the crossover temperature, T_A , cooperative atomic dynamics leads to super-Arrhenius temperature dependence of viscosity, culminating inevitably in the glass transition. In our view this crossover occurs because of the competition between phonons and local configurational fluctuations represented by the action of cutting or forming atomic connectivity, called anankeons. In this paper we propose a simplistic model of atomic dynamics in liquid, based on the concept of dynamic fluctuations in local atomic connectivity (anankeons), to elucidate the viscosity crossover phenomenon in the liquid phase. With this model it is possible to explain the crossover from a simple liquid to cooperative liquid and the divergence of viscosity near the glass transition temperature. The model is a mechanical analog of the Drude model for the electric transport in metal.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.B. was support by NSF Grant No. DMS-1160962. T.E. was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division.

APPENDIX A: DELONE HYPOTHESIS AND THE ERGODIC PARADOX

An instantaneous snapshot of the atomic arrangement can be described as a set of points L, representing the position of atomic nuclei. With a very good approximation L is efficiently described as a Delone set. Namely, it is characterized by two length scales $0 < r_{\rm R} < 1$ defined as follows: (i) any open Euclidean ball of radius r contains at most one point of Land (ii) any closed Euclidean ball of radius R contains at least one point of L. The first condition is equivalent to having a minimum distance 2r between any pair of distinct atoms. The second condition is equivalent to forbidding holes a diameter larger than 2R. However, the principles of equilibrium statistical mechanics and the ergodicity with respect to translations contradict such a hypothesis. This is the content of the socalled ergodicity paradox [26]. This is because in the infinite volume limit the ergodic theorem implies that with probability 1, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, somewhere in the atomic configuration two atoms are at a distance shorter than ε . Similarly, with probability 1, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, somewhere in the atomic configuration there is a hole of size larger than $1/\varepsilon$. However, such local arrangements are rare, so that dynamically their lifetimes are so short that they are unobservable. Hence restricting the

atomic configurations to make up a Delone set is a reasonable assumption called the Delone hypothesis.

APPENDIX B: VORONOI TILING, DELONE GRAPHS, AND LOCAL TOPOLOGY

Given a Delone set *L* and a point $x \in L$, the Voronoi cell V(x) is defined as the set of points in space closer to x than to any other point in L. V(x) can be shown to be the interior of a convex polyhedron containing the open ball centered at x of radius r and contained in the ball centered at x of radius R [24,25]. The closure T(x) of the Voronoi cell is called a Voronoi tile. Two such tiles can only intersect along a common face. In particular two Voronoi cells centered at two distinct points of L do not intersect. The entire space is covered by such tiles, so that the family of Voronoi tiles constitutes a tiling, the Voronoi tiling. The vertices of the Voronoi tiles will be called Voronoi points. Their set L^* is usually called the dual lattice. For a generic atomic configuration, a Voronoi point has not more than d+1 atomic neighbors in space dimension d (3 in the plane and 4 in the space). In particular, the Voronoi points are the center of a ball with d + 1 atoms on its boundary, a property called by Delone (who signed Delaunay) the "empty sphere property" [11]. This means that it is at the intersection of d + 1 Voronoi tiles. The d + 1 atoms located at the center of those tiles are the vertices of a simplex (triangle for d = 2, tetrahedron for d = 3) that generates the so-called Delaunay triangulation.

Two points $x; y \in L$ will be called nearest neighbors whenever their respective Voronoi tiles are intersecting along a facet, namely, a face of codimension 1. The pair $\{x, y\}$ will be called an edge. In practice, though, using physical criteria instead of a geometrical one, an edge might be replaced by the concept of bond, to account for the fact that the atoms associated with x and y are strongly bound [18,23]. The term "strongly" is defined through a convention about the binding energy, so as to neglect bonds between atoms weakly bound to each other. Whatever the definition of an edge, geometrical or physical (bonds), this gives the Delone graph G = (L, E), where L plays the role of the vertices and E denotes the set of edges or of bonds. At this point the Delone graph need not be oriented.

Along a graph, a path is an ordered finite set of edges, each sharing a vertex with its successor or with its predecessor. The number of such edges is the length of the path. The graph distance between two vertices is the length of the shortest paths joining them. A graph ball centered at x of radius nwill be the set of all vertices at graph distance at most nfrom x. Such graph balls correspond to local clusters. Two graph balls are called isomorphic if there is a one-to-one surjective map between their set of vertices, which preserve the graph distance. As a result, if the atomic position is slightly changed locally in space, the graph balls might still be isomorphic. Therefore, the graph balls, modulo isomorphism, are encoding what physicists called the local topology of the atomic configuration. The transition between two graph balls can be described precisely through the concept of Pachner moves [24,25,27]. This concept was created by experts of computational geometry, to describe the deformation of manifolds via a computer. A Pachner move can only occur



FIG. 2. (a) A Pachner move in the plane. (b) A Pachner move in 3D space. In 3D space such a move involves at least five atoms moving quickly to deform the Delaunay triangulation, changing the nature of the Delone graph, namely, the local topology. This number (5) has been seen in numerical simulations [53].

if at least one Voronoi point becomes degenerate, namely, it admits at least d + 2 atomic neighbors at some point during the move. Such moves correspond to a local change in a graph ball, namely, when an edge disappears another may reappear. A Pachner move corresponds exactly to the concept of the anankeon. It can be described using the Delaunay triangulation as explained in Fig. 2. A recent simulation [53] indeed shows that the average number of atoms involved in the saddle point (a midpoint of the Pachner move) is 5 for d = 3.

APPENDIX C: DERIVATION OF EQ. (16) (SEE REF. [50] FOR FULL DETAILS)

We assume that the atomic-level shear stress varies with time in two different ways, by phonon and by anankeon. The phonon dynamics is harmonic, and for simplicity we use one local phonon frequency for each atom, ω_{Ei} (Einstein model).

The anankeon excitation produces local change in the value of ω_{Ei} by $\Delta \omega_{\text{Ei}}$. To describe the temporal evolution of the atomic-level shear stress, $\sigma_i^{xy}(t)$, we define X(t) = (u, v), where $u = \sigma_i^{xy}(t)$ and v = du/dt. We also define f(X) = u. The continuous evolution of X(t) is given by

$$\mathbf{X}(t+dt) = e^{-\omega dt \mathbf{J}} \mathbf{X}(t), \quad -\mathbf{J} = v \partial_u - u \partial_v.$$
(C1)

We now consider a series of anankeon operations at time τ_j , (j = 0, 1, 2, ..., n). By definition $\langle \tau_{n+1} - \tau_n \rangle = \tau_{LC}$. For each anankeon excitation *X* makes a jump:

$$\mathbf{X}(\tau_j + 0) = \mathbf{X}(\tau_j - 0) + \xi_j.$$
(C2)

Therefore,

$$f(\mathbf{X} + \xi) = e^{\xi \cdot \nabla} f(\mathbf{X}), \quad \xi \cdot \nabla = a \partial_u + b \partial_v, \qquad (C3)$$

where $\xi = (a, b)$. Then,

$$f[\mathbf{X}(t)] = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (e^{-(\tau_j - \tau_{j-1})\omega_{j-1}J} e^{\xi_j \cdot \nabla}) e^{-(t - \tau_n)\omega_n J} f[\mathbf{X}(0)].$$
(C4)

Now we break up the Laplace transform, Eq. (15), into time segments of τ_n :

$$\tilde{L}C_{\sigma}(\varsigma) = \nu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle f[\mathbf{X}(0)] \int_{\tau_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} e^{-t\varsigma} f[\mathbf{X}(t)] dt \right\rangle.$$
(C5)

From Eq. (C4),

$$\tilde{L}C_{\sigma}(\varsigma) = \nu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle f[\mathbf{X}(0)] \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(e^{-(\tau_j - \tau_{j-1})\omega_{j-1}J} e^{\xi_j \cdot \nabla} \right) e^{-(t - \tau_n)\omega_n J} f[\mathbf{X}(0)] \right\rangle.$$
(C6)

Because $\{\tau_i\}$ obeys the Poisson statistics,

$$\langle e^{-(\tau_j - \tau_{j-1})A} \rangle = \int_0^\infty e^{-s/\tau - sA} \frac{ds}{\tau} = \frac{1}{1 + \tau A},$$
 (C7)

where $\tau = \langle \tau_i - \tau_{i-1} \rangle = \tau_{LC}$. This is a crucial step in this theory. Then Eq. (C6) becomes

$$\tilde{L}C_{\sigma}(\varsigma) = \nu\tau \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle f[\mathbf{X}(0)] \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \tau(\varsigma + \omega_{j-1}J)} e^{\xi_{j} \cdot \nabla} \right) \frac{1}{1 + \tau(\varsigma + \omega_{n}J)} f[\mathbf{X}(0)] \right\rangle.$$
(C8)

Because ξ_i 's and ω_i 's are statistically independent, averages are

$$\langle e^{\xi_{\mathbf{j}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}}\rangle = e^{k_B T \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2/2m}.$$
(C9)

Defining

$$\mathbf{A}(\varsigma) = \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + \tau(\varsigma + \omega_{j-1}\mathbf{J})} \right\rangle,\tag{C10}$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{L}f(\varsigma) = \nu\tau \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathbf{A}(\varsigma) e^{k_B T \nabla^2 / 2m} \right\}^n \mathbf{A}(\varsigma) f[\mathbf{X}(0)] = \frac{\nu\tau}{1 - \mathbf{A}(\varsigma) e^{k_B T \nabla^2 / 2m}} \mathbf{A}(\varsigma) f[\mathbf{X}(0)].$$
(C11)

Now, using the polar coordinate

$$f_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}) = e^{i\ell\theta} f(|\mathbf{X}|), \tag{C12}$$

and choosing $l = \pm 2$ for the symmetry of shear, we find that the kernel of Eq. (C10), $1/[1 + \tau(\zeta + \omega_{j-1}J)]$, has a pole at

$$\varsigma_{\pm 2} = -\left(\frac{1}{\tau} \pm i2\omega_{j-1}\right). \tag{C13}$$

Thus,

$$a_{\pm 2}(\varsigma) = \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + \tau\left(\varsigma \pm i2\omega_{j-1}\right)} \right\rangle \simeq \frac{1}{1 + \tau\varsigma} + O\left(\frac{1}{(1 + \tau\varsigma)^2}\right).$$
(C14)

To calculate $a_2(\zeta)$ explicitly we need to know the distribution of $\{\omega_j\}$. Here for simplicity we assume that $\{\omega_j\}$ are distributed evenly within the range of $\omega \pm \sqrt{3}\delta$. This assumption leads to Eqs. (18)–(21).

- C. A. Angell, Formation of glasses from liquids and biopolymers, Science 267, 1924 (1995).
- [2] P. W. Anderson, Through the glass lightly, Science 267, 1615 (1995).
- [3] J. S. Langer, The mysterious glass transition, Phys. Today 60(2), 8 (2007).
- [4] D. R. Nelson, Order, frustration, and defects in liquids and glasses, Phys. Rev. B 28, 5515 (1983).
- [5] T. R. Kirkpatrick and P. G. Wolynes, Connections between some kinetic and equilibrium theories of the glass transition, Phys. Rev. A 35, 3072 (1987).
- [6] D. Kivelson, G. Tarjus, X. Zhao, and S. A. Kivelson, Fitting of viscosity: Distinguishing the temperature dependences predicted by various models, Phys. Rev. E 53, 751 (1996).
- [7] P. G. Debenedetti and F. H. Stillinger, Supercooled liquids and the glass transition, Nature (London) 410, 259 (2001).
- [8] G. Tarjus, S. A. Kivelson, Z. Nussinov, and P. Viot, The frustration-based approach of supercooled liquids and the glass transition: A review of critical assessment, J. Phys. Cond. Mat. 17, R1143 (2005).
- [9] W. Götze, *Complex Dynamics of Glass-Forming Liquids* (Oxford University, New York, 2009).
- [10] M. E. Blodgett, T. Egami, Z. Nussinov, and K. F. Kelton, Proposal for universality in the viscosity of metallic liquids, Sci. Rep. 5, 13837 (2015).
- [11] P. J. Steinhardt, D. R. Nelson, and M. Ronchetti, Icosahedral Bond Orientational Order in Supercooled Liquids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1297 (1981).
- [12] A. I. Goldman and K. F. Kelton, Quasicrystals and crystalline approximants, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65, 213 (1993).
- [13] H. Tanaka, T. Kawasaki, H. Shintani, and K. Watanabe, Criticallike behavior of glass-forming liquids, Nat. Mater. 9, 324 (2010).
- [14] Y. S. Elmatad, D. Chandler, and J. P. Garrahan, Corresponding states of structural glass formers, J. Phys. Chem. B 113, 5563 (2009).
- [15] K. S. Schweizer and E. J. Saltzman, Entropic barriers, activated hopping, and the glass transition in colloidal suspensions, J. Chem. Phys. 119, 1181 (2003).

- [16] M. Maiti and M. Schmiedeberg, Ergodocity breaking transition in a glassy soft sphere system at small but non-zero temperatures, Sci. Rep. 8, 1837 (2018).
- [17] T. Iwashita, D. M. Nicholson, and T. Egami, Elementary Excitations and Crossover Phenomenon in Liquids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 205504 (2013).
- [18] J. D. Bernal, A geometrical approach to the structure of liquids, Nature (London) 183, 141 (1959).
- [19] T. Egami, Elementary excitation and energy landscape in simple liquids, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 28, 1430006 (2014).
- [20] P. D. Schall, A. Weitz, and F. Spaepen, Structural rearrangements that govern flow in colloidal glasses, Science 318, 1895 (2007).
- [21] W. Dmowski, S. O. Diallo, K. Lokshin, G. Ehlers, G. Ferré, J. Boronat, and T. Egami, Observation of dynamic atom-atom correlation in liquid helium in real space, Nat. Commun. 8, 15294 (2017).
- [22] B. E. Warren, *X-Ray Diffraction* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969).
- [23] J. D. Bernal, The Bakerian lecture, 1962: The structure of liquids, Proc. R. Soc. London 280, 299 (1964).
- [24] F. Aurenhammer and R. Klein, Voronoi diagrams, in *Hand-book of Computational Geometry* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000), pp. 201–290.
- [25] F. Aurenhammer, R. Klein, and D. T. Lee, Voronoi Diagrams and Delaunay Triangulations (World Scientific, Singapore, 2013).
- [26] J. Bellissard, Delone sets and material science: A program, in *Mathematics of Aperiodic Order*, edited by J. Kellendonk, D. Lenz, and J. Savinien, Progress in Mathematics Vol. 309 (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2015).
- [27] U. Pachner, P. L. homeomorphic manifolds are equivalent by elementary shellings, Eur. J. Combin. 12, 129 (1991).
- [28] P. Drude, Zur Elektronentheorie der Metalle, Ann. Phys. 306, 566 (1900).
- [29] P. Drude, Zur Elektronentheorie der Metalle, Ann. Phys. 308, 369 (1900).
- [30] A. S. Argon, Plastic deformation in metallic glasses, Acta Metall. 27, 47 (1979).

- [31] M. L. Falk and J. S. Langer, Dynamics of viscoplastic deformation in amorphous solids, Phys. Rev. E 57, 7192 (1998).
- [32] J. S. Langer and L. Pechenik, Dynamics of shear-transformation zones in amorphous plasticity: Energetic constraints in a minimal theory, Phys. Rev. E 68, 061507 (2003).
- [33] J. S. Langer, Dynamics of shear-transformation zones in amorphous plasticity: Formulation in terms of an effective disorder temperature, Phys. Rev. E 70, 041502 (2004).
- [34] J. S. Langer, Shear-transformation-zone theory of deformation in metallic glasses, Scr. Mater. 54, 375 (2006).
- [35] Y. Fan, T. Iwashita, and T. Egami, Crossover from Localized to Cascade Relaxations in Metallic Glasses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 045501 (2015).
- [36] J. D. Ju, D. Jang, A. Nwankpa, and M. Atzmon, An atomically quantized hierarchy of shear transformation zones in a metallic glass, J. Appl. Phys. **109**, 053522 (2011).
- [37] D. Pan, A. Inoue, T. Sakurai, and M. W. Chen, Experimental characterization of shear transformation zones for plastic flow of bulk metallic glasses, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 14769 (2008).
- [38] Y. Fan, T. Iwashita, and T. Egami, Energy landscape-driven nonequilibrium evolution of inherent structure in disordered material, Nat. Commun. 8, 15417 (2017).
- [39] T. Egami, Atomic level stresses, Progr. Mater. Sci. 56, 637 (2011).
- [40] T. Egami, K. Maeda, and V. Vitek, Structural defects in amorphous solids: A computer simulation study, Phil. Mag. A 41, 883 (1980).
- [41] M. Born and K. Huang, Dynamical Theory of Crystal Lattices (Clarendon, Oxford, 1954).

- [42] T. Egami and D. Srolovitz, Local structural fluctuations in amorphous and liquid metals: A simple theory of the glass transition, J. Phys. F 12, 2141 (1982).
- [43] S.-P. Chen, T. Egami, and V. Vitek, Local fluctuations and ordering in liquid and amorphous metals, Phys. Rev. B 37, 2440 (1988).
- [44] V. A. Levashov, R. S. Aga, J. R. Morris, and T. Egami, Equipartition theorem and the dynamics of liquids, Phys. Rev. B 78, 064205 (2008).
- [45] D. Srolovitz, K. Maeda, V. Vitek, and T. Egami, Structural defects in amorphous solids: Statistical analysis of a computer model, Philos. Mag. A 44, 847 (1981).
- [46] H. S. Chen and D. Turnbull, Evidence of a glass-liquid transition in a gold, germanium silicon alloy, J. Chem. Phys. 48, 2560 (1968).
- [47] J.-P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids*, 3rd ed. (Academic, New York, 2006).
- [48] T. Iwashita and T. Egami, Local energy landscape in simple liquids, Phys. Rev. E 90, 052307 (2014).
- [49] V. A. Levashov, J. R. Morris, and T. Egami, The origin of viscosity as seen through atomic level stress correlation function, J. Chem. Phys. 138, 044507 (2013).
- [50] J. V. Bellissard, Anankeon theory and viscosity of liquids: A toy model, arXiv:1708.06624v1 (2017).
- [51] T. Egami and S. Aur, Local atomic structure of amorphous and crystalline alloys: Computer simulation, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 89, 60 (1987).
- [52] T. Egami, Universal criterion for metallic glass formation, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 226–228, 261 (1997).
- [53] Y. Fan, T. Iwashita, and T. Egami, How thermally induced deformation starts in metallic glass, Nat. Commun. 5, 5083 (2014).