# *XXZ***-Ising model on the triangular kagome lattice with spin 1 on the decorated trimers**

Chengkang Zhou,<sup>1</sup> Yuanwei Feng,<sup>1</sup> Jiawei Ruan,<sup>1,2</sup> and Dao-Xin Yao<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Material and Technologies, School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China* <sup>2</sup>*National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, School of Physics and Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

(Received 3 December 2017; revised manuscript received 28 June 2018; published 20 July 2018)

We consider the triangular kagome *XXZ*-Ising model (TKL *XXZ*-Ising model) formed by inserting small triangles ("a-trimers") with *XXZ* spin-1 inside the triangles of the kagome lattice ("b-trimers"). It is a mixed spin system and can be solved exactly by transforming into the kagome lattice with the general transformation method for decorated spin systems. In the absence of an external field, we integrate out the quantum spins of the a-trimers and map the TKL model to the kagome Ising model exactly. We obtain the full phase diagram and their zero-temperature entropies (e.g.,  $s_{\text{max}} = 5.48895$  per unit cell is given for the phase with the maximum entropy). When an external field is applied, 20 phases are found due to the quantum fluctuations of a-trimers. Moreover, the high spins in the a-trimers can lead to a stable quantized growth of the magnetization process in the Heisenberg limit.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.98.012127](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.012127)

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Introducing quantum fluctuations into a classical model has both fundamental and practical importance for finding new quantum phases at low temperature. Especially, it can lead to a multitude of new quantum phases and nontrivial phase transitions in frustrated systems with a large ground state degeneracy, for example, the tetramer-dimer and dimermonomer phases in the frustrated Heisenberg diamond chain [\[1\]](#page-12-0) or kagome loop gas in the triangle kagome lattice [\[2\]](#page-12-0). It is known that these unusual phases are the result of the interplay between quantum fluctuations and geometric frustrations [\[3\]](#page-12-0). Since the degenerate states in such systems have the same energy levels and all perturbations are singular, any linear combination of the classically degenerate states is a candidate for new quantum ground states [\[4\]](#page-12-0). Moreover, this effect plays an important role in frustrated mixed spin systems, which includes both spin-1*/*2 and higher spins. Sorts of classical degenerate states exist in such spin systems.

Theoretical interest in mixed spin systems has been increasing in recent years. Most of the mixed spin systems are constructed by inserting high spin decorated parts in the standard Ising spin systems. For instance, the high spin decorated parts in a diamond chain exhibits outstanding magnetization properties [\[5\]](#page-12-0). Among them, the triangle kagome lattice (TKL) is a typical structure formed by inserting small triangles into the large triangles in the kagome lattice (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). It was found in  $Cu_9X_2$ (cpa)<sub>6</sub> · xH<sub>2</sub>O in the 1990s [\[6–9\]](#page-12-0). Previous researches have revealed that the TKL *XXZ*-Ising model with the spin-1*/*2 on the decorated trimers can be solved exactly  $[2,4,6-16]$ . However, in the presence of mixed spin case, the TKL *XXZ*-Ising model calls for farther investigations.

In this paper, we investigate the TKL *XXZ*-Ising model decorated by the spin-1 trimers (spin-1 TKL model). By comparing the pure spin-1*/*2 and the mixed spin cases, we give a picture of how the phase diagram of the TKL *XXZ*-Ising model evolves when the decorated spins turn higher.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give the Hamiltonian of the TKL *XXZ*-Ising model decorated by the spin-1 trimers and introduce the transformation method. In Sec. [III,](#page-2-0) we discuss the zero temperature phase diagram without the external field and compare with the spin-1*/*2 TKL case. In Sec.[IV,](#page-5-0) we present the zero temperature phase diagram in the presence of a finite magnetic field and discuss the effect of the higher spin decorated parts. In Sec. [V,](#page-8-0) we present our final discussion and conclusion.

## **II. MODEL AND METHOD**

The TKL *XXZ*-Ising model has two different kinds of sublattices, which are the a-trimers (the red triangle in Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) and the b-trimers (the blue triangle in Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). In this model, we consider that the exchange couplings between the a-spins (the spins in the a-trimers) are of the *XXZ* type, and the couplings between the neighboring a-spins and b-spins (the spins in the b-trimers) are of the Ising type. The Hamiltonian of the spin-1

One of the most important way to study decorated spin system is the general transformation method. It was first introduced by Fisher in the 1950s [\[17\]](#page-12-0) and developed in recent years [\[18,19\]](#page-12-0). And it has been widely applied in studying the decorated spin system, in both one [\[20–22\]](#page-12-0) and two [\[23–26\]](#page-12-0) dimensions. With this method, the TKL *XXZ*-Ising model remains solvable when changing the decorated parts with higher spins, which makes it serve as an ideal candidate for observing the effects of quantum fluctuations in the mixed spin systems with geometric frustrations.

<sup>\*</sup>yaodaox@mail.sysu.edu.cn

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. The *XXZ*-Ising model of the triangular kagome lattice (TKL) formed by introducing small triangles ["a-trimers," red (dark)] with *XXZ* spins on the kagome lattice ["b-trimers," blue (light)] with Ising spins.

TKL model is given by

$$
H = -J_a^{xy} \sum_{\text{ai}, \text{aj}\in a} \left( S_{\text{ai}}^x S_{\text{aj}}^x + S_{\text{ai}}^y S_{\text{aj}}^y \right) - J_a^z \sum_{\text{ai}, \text{aj}\in a} S_{\text{ai}}^z S_{\text{aj}}^z - J_{ab}^z \sum_{\text{ai}\in a, \text{bi}\in b} S_{\text{ai}}^z S_{\text{bi}}^z - h \sum_{\text{ai}\in a} S_{\text{ai}}^z - h \sum_{\text{bi}\in b} S_{\text{bi}}^z, \tag{1}
$$

in which the spins carry  $S = 1/2$  and 1 for the b-spins and the a-spins respectively.  $J_{ab}^z$  denotes the Ising coupling between the a-spins and the b-spins.  $J_a^z$  ( $J_a^{xy}$ ) is the coupling of the a-spins in the *z* (*x* and *y*) direction, respectively. *h* is the applied external field. We set  $|J_{ab}^z|$  to be unit of energy in the following analysis. The Hamiltonian (1) can be written as a sum of the hexamers (see Fig. 2), which is

$$
H = \sum_{n} H_n,
$$
 (2)

$$
H_n = -J_a^{xy} \sum_{\text{ai,aj}\in a} \left( S_{\text{ai}}^x S_{\text{aj}}^x + S_{\text{ai}}^y S_{\text{aj}}^y \right) - J_a^z \sum_{\text{ai,aj}\in a} S_{\text{ai}}^z S_{\text{aj}}^z
$$

$$
-J_{ab}^z \sum_{\text{ai}\in a, \text{bi}\in b} S_{\text{ai}}^z S_{\text{bi}}^z - h \sum_{\text{ai}\in a} S_{\text{ai}}^z - \frac{h}{2} \sum_{\text{bi}\in b} S_{\text{bi}}^z. \tag{3}
$$



FIG. 2. A hexamer contains three spins on the a-sublattice and three spins on the b-sublattice. Each b-spin is shared by two hexamers



FIG. 3. The effective trimer after transformation.  $L_0^0$  corresponds to the coefficient of effective background energy,  $L_1^1$ ,  $L_2^1$ , and  $L_3^1$ correspond to the coefficients of one spin coupling,  $L_4^2$ ,  $L_5^2$ , and  $L_6^2$ correspond to the coefficients of two spins coupling,  $L_7^3$  correspond to the coefficients of three spin coupling (not shown in Fig. 3).

Note that the Hamiltonian of each hexamer [Eq. (3)] commutes with each other. And each a-spin appears just in one hexamer. Therefore, the eigenvector of each hexamer has the form as

$$
|\text{hexamer}\rangle = |S_{\text{bi}}^{z}, S_{\text{bj}}^{z}, S_{\text{bk}}^{z}\rangle \otimes |S_{\text{ai}}^{z}, S_{\text{aj}}^{z}, S_{\text{ak}}^{z}\rangle. \tag{4}
$$

Hence, the decorated trimers are localized, and it is reasonable to trace over all the a-spins for each hexamer. The partition function of each hexamer is given by

$$
Z(S_{b1}^{z}, S_{b2}^{z}, S_{b3}^{z}) = \text{Tr}e^{-\beta H_{n}(\hat{S}_{ai}, S_{bi}^{z})}.
$$
 (5)

The trace can be evaluated by diagonalizing Hamiltonian Eq. (3) for each configuration of the enclosing b-spins. When considering the  $C_3$  symmetry in the spin-1 TKL model  $(Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) = Z(\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow, h) = Z(\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow, h)$ , there are only four different configurations: *Z*(↑↑↑*, h*), *Z*(↓↓↓*, h*), *Z*(↓↑↑*, h*), and  $Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow, h)$ . We give their explicit form in Appendix [A.](#page-8-0)

Since it is just a function of the b-spins, it is available to transform the hexamer into an effective trimer, which contains only the b-spins (see Fig. 3). According to the general transformation method for the decorated spin systems [\[18,19\]](#page-12-0), the Hamiltonian of the effective trimer can be assumed as

$$
H'_{n} = -L_{0}^{0} - L_{1}^{1} \sigma_{b1}^{z} - L_{2}^{1} \sigma_{b2}^{z} - L_{3}^{1} \sigma_{b3}^{z} - L_{4}^{2} \sigma_{b1}^{z} \sigma_{b2}^{z} - L_{5}^{2} \sigma_{b2}^{z} \sigma_{b3}^{z} - L_{6}^{2} \sigma_{b1}^{z} \sigma_{b3}^{z} - L_{7}^{3} \sigma_{b1}^{z} \sigma_{b2}^{z} \sigma_{b3}^{z}.
$$
 (6)

Here we use  $\sigma_{\rm bi}^z = \pm 1$  for consistency with the Ising model literature.  $L_0^0$  stands for the parameter of the effective background energy.  $L_1^1$ ,  $L_2^1$ , and  $L_3^1$  represent the coefficients of each b-spin coupling with the effective fields.  $L_4^2$ ,  $L_5^2$ , and  $L_6^2$  are the coefficients of two b-spins' effective couplings.  $L_7^3$  carries the coefficient of three b-spins' effective coupling. Since the effective trimer is classical, the partition function of each b-spin's configuration can be written as

$$
Z(\sigma_{b1}^{z}, \sigma_{b2}^{z}, \sigma_{b3}^{z}) = \exp[-\beta H'_{n}(\sigma_{b1}^{z}, \sigma_{b2}^{z}, \sigma_{b3}^{z})].
$$
 (7)

To keep the partition function,  $Z(S_{b1}^z, S_{b2}^z, S_{b3}^z) =$  $Z(\sigma_{b1}^z, \sigma_{b2}^z, \sigma_{b3}^z)$  when they share the same b-spin configuration. Hence,

$$
Z(S_{b1}^{z}, S_{b2}^{z}, S_{b3}^{z}) = \exp[-\beta H'_{n}(\sigma_{b1}^{z}, \sigma_{b2}^{z}, \sigma_{b3}^{z})].
$$
 (8)

As a result, the effective couplings can be expressed by the partition functions of each hexamer. To give their formulas, it <span id="page-2-0"></span>is more convenient to take the logarithm of both sides of Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-0) [\[18\]](#page-12-0),

$$
\ln[Z] = V^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes V^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes V^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta L, \tag{9}
$$

where

$$
\ln[Z] = \begin{pmatrix}\n\ln[Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)] \\
\ln[Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)] \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta L = \begin{pmatrix}\n\beta L_0^0 \\
\beta L_3^1 \\
\beta L_2^2 \\
\beta L_3^2 \\
\beta L_4^2 \\
\beta L_4^2 \\
\beta L_4^3 \\
\beta L_4^3 \\
\beta L_4^3 \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)
$$
\n
$$
V^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)
$$

Here (↑↑↑), for instance, represents one possible configuration of the b-spins. Finally, the effective couplings can be expressed as

$$
\beta L = \begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1\n\end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\ln[Z]} \tag{12}
$$

In the TKL model, we can simplify the effective couplings with its  $C_3$  symmetry, which is

$$
\ln(Z_a) = \beta L_0^0 = \ln[[Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)]^{\frac{1}{8}}\times [Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow, h)]^{\frac{3}{8}}],
$$
(13)

$$
\beta h_b = \beta L_1^1 = \beta L_2^1 = \beta L_3^1
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{8}$  ln  $\left[ \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)}{Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow, h)} \right],$  (14)

$$
\beta J_{bb} = \beta L_4^2 = \beta L_5^2 = \beta L_6^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{8} \ln \left[ \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)}{Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h)} \right],
$$
 (15)

$$
\beta J_{bbb} = \beta L_7^3 = \frac{1}{8} \ln \left[ \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h)^3}{Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow, h) Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)^3} \right]. \quad (16)
$$

It is worth noting that  $h_b$  should be doubled when considering the whole model since each b-spin is shared by two hexamers. Eventually, the Hamiltonian of the effective trimer becomes

$$
H'_{n}(\sigma_{bi}^{z}) = -\ln(Z_{a})/\beta - h_{b} \sum_{\text{bi}\in b} \sigma_{bi}^{z} - J_{bb} \sum_{\langle \text{bi}, \text{bj} \rangle} \sigma_{bi}^{z} \sigma_{bj}^{z} - J_{bbb} \sum_{\langle \text{bi}, \text{bj}, \text{bk} \rangle} \sigma_{bi}^{z} \sigma_{bj}^{z} \sigma_{bi}^{z}.
$$
 (17)

With Eq.  $(17)$ , the spin-1 TKL model can be exactly mapped to the classical kagome model with an extra three-spin coupling. Consequently, we can obtain the zero-temperature ground state of the b-spins in the usual manner, which is searching for the lowest energy state of each unit cell. We present the phase diagram and discuss the effect of the interplay between the quantum fluctuations and the geometric frustrations in the spin-1 TKL model in the following sections.

#### **III. ZERO FIELD**

#### **A. Mapping to the kagome Ising model**

Due to the time-reversal symmetry  $(Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) = Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow,$ −*h*), *Z*(↓↑↑*, h*) = *Z*(↑↓↓*,* −*h*)), the effective couplings of the spin-1 TKL model can be farther simplified as

$$
H'_{n} = -\ln(Z_{a})/\beta - J_{bb} \sum_{\text{bi},\text{bj}\in b} \sigma_{\text{bi}}^{z} \sigma_{\text{bj}}^{z}, \tag{18}
$$

in which

$$
Z_a = Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)^{\frac{1}{4}} Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)^{\frac{3}{4}},\tag{19}
$$

$$
\beta J_{bb} = \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[ \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)}{Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)} \right],\tag{20}
$$

$$
\beta h_b = \beta J_{bbb} = 0. \tag{21}
$$

After the transformation, the TKL model is mapped to the kagome Ising model exactly, in which all the parameters (*Jbb* and  $Z_a$ ) are the functions of the original couplings  $(J_a^z, J_a^z, J_a^z)$ and  $J_a^{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}}$ ).

### **B. Free energy and entropy**

Since the spin-1 TKL model has been mapped to the kagome Ising model, it is rational to compute the partition function, free energy, internal energy, and entropy per unit cell of the spin-1 TKL model by applying the exact solution of the kagome Ising model [\[27,28\]](#page-12-0). For convenience, we define the  $f$  as  $f = \ln(Z)$ associating with free energy. Then in the spin-1 TKL model, it can be written as a sum of *f* from the effective kagome Ising model and from the a-trimers,

$$
f_{\text{TKL}}(J_a^{xy}, J_a^z, J_{ab}^z) = f_b(\beta J_{bb}) + 2f_a,
$$
 (22)

in which  $f_a = \ln(Z_a)$ . The factor 2 in Eq. (22) comes from the fact that one unit cell of the spin-1 TKL model contains one b-trimer and two a-trimers (see Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0), which is different from the hexamers.

The internal energy per unit cell is

$$
u_{\text{TKL}} = -\frac{d f_{\text{TKL}}}{d \beta}
$$
  
=  $-\frac{d f_b}{d \beta} - 2\frac{d f_a}{d \beta}$   
=  $u_b + u_a$ , (23)

where

$$
u_a = -2\frac{df_a}{d\beta} = \frac{u_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} + 3u_{\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow}}{2},\tag{24}
$$

$$
u_b = -\frac{df_b}{d\beta} = \frac{u_{\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow} - u_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}}{4} u_{\text{kag}}(\beta J_{bb}).\tag{25}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 4. A unit cell is shown as the blocked area.

Here we define  $u_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} = [E_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} \exp(-\beta E_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow})]/Z$ , for in-stance, which agrees with Ref. [\[2\]](#page-12-0). And  $u_{\text{kag}}(\beta J_{bb})$  is the internal energy per unit cell of the effective kagome Ising model. Finally, it becomes

$$
u_{\text{TKL}} = \frac{u_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} + 3u_{\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow}}{2} + \frac{u_{\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow} - u_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}}{4} u_{\text{kag}}(\beta J_{bb}). \tag{26}
$$

The entropy per unit cell is

$$
s_{\text{TKL}} = f_{\text{TKL}} + \beta u_{\text{TKL}}.\tag{27}
$$

Since  $u_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow}$  and  $u_{\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow}$  are dominated by the lowest energy of each hexamer at zero temperature, we can compute the free energy and entropy of the spin-1 TKL model with the exact solutions of the kagome Ising model [\[27–29\]](#page-12-0). In the meanwhile,  $\beta J_{bb}$  serves as the most important effective coupling since it is the decisive parameter in the kagome Ising model.

### **C. Phase diagram at zero temperature and ground state properties**

Since the Hilbert space of the hexamers can be divided into a-spins' space and b-spins' space [see Eq.  $(4)$ ], the best way to present its phase diagram is investigating the states of the b-spins and the a-spins, respectively.

For the b-spins,  $\beta J_{bb}$  determines their behaviors. When  $T \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta J_{bb}$  becomes

$$
\beta J_{bb} = \frac{1}{4} \bigg[ \ln \left( \frac{D_1}{D_2} \right) + \beta [E_0(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow) - E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)] \bigg]. \tag{28}
$$

Here  $E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)(E_0(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow))$  and  $D_1(D_2)$  denote the ground state energy and the ground state degeneracy of each hexamer when the b-spin's configuration is  $(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)((\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow))$  at zero temperature, respectively. We also define *D* as the degeneracy of each hexamer in the following discussion. Since  $\beta \to \infty$ when  $T \to 0$ , the sign of  $\beta J_{bb}$  is determined by the competition between  $E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  and  $E_0(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ .

For the a-spins, we describe their ground states by calculating

$$
S_{\text{atot}}^{z} = \sum_{\text{ai}\in a} S_{\text{ai}}^{z},\tag{29}
$$



FIG. 5. The energy levels of each hexamer when the b-spin configuration is (↑↑↑) (dotted blue line) or (↓↑↑) (dotted red line) with  $J_a^z = 0.2$ ,  $J_{ab}^z = -1$ ,  $h = 0$  and different  $J_a^{xy}$  in Fig. 5. We also highlight the lowest ground state energy level of each b-spin's configuration with the full lines and point out each phase with the same marks as in Fig. 6.

in which  $S_{ai}^z$  stands for the a-spins in the same hexamer. Since  $[S^z_{\text{atot}}, H] = 0$ ,  $S^z_{\text{atot}}$  is compatible with the Hamiltonian.

By investigating  $S_{\text{atot}}^z$  and the energy level of each hexamer (Fig. 5 for instance), we present the phase diagram at zero temperature in Fig. 6 and Table [I.](#page-4-0) The phase diagram can be divided into two major regions according to the sign of  $\beta J_{bb}$ , which are Region P for positive and Region N for negative (see



FIG. 6. Phase diagram at  $T = 0$  is identified by the energy of each hexamer when  $h = 0$  and  $J_{ab}^z = -1$ . The phase diagram is divided into two main regions by the sign of  $\beta J_{bb}$ , which are denoted as Region P (for  $\beta J_{bb}$  is positive) and Region N (for  $\beta J_{bb}$  is negative). We mark the phases in Region N as I and V and the phases in Region P as II, III, IV, VII, and VI. We also mark some of the highly degenerate points and plot their effective  $\beta J_{\text{bb}}$  as functions of temperature

<span id="page-4-0"></span>TABLE I. The effective  $\beta J_{\text{bb}}$ ,  $S_{\text{atot}}^z$  and degeneracy *D* of each phase for  $h = 0$  at zero temperature.

	$\beta J_{\rm bb}$	$S_{\text{atot}}^z$	D	Phase
Region N	$-\infty$			Antiferromagnetic phase
Region N	including:			
Phase I	$-\infty$	0	1	Antiferromagnetic phase
Phase V	$-\infty$	$_{0}$	2	Antiferromagnetic phase
Region P	$\infty$			Ferrimagnetic phase
Region P	including:			
Phase II	$\infty$	$-1$	1	Ferrimagnetic phase
Phase III	$\infty$	$-2$	1	Ferrimagnetic phase
Phase IV	$\infty$	$-3$	1	Ferrimagnetic phase
Phase VI	$\infty$	$-1$	2	Ferrimagnetic phase
Phase VII	$\infty$	$-2$	2	Ferrimagnetic phase

Fig. [6\)](#page-3-0). The boundaries between these regions are denoted as BL:II, BL:III, and BL:IV (see Table II) according to the phases on each side of them. Also, Table  $II$  gives the entropies of these phase boundaries at zero temperature. Note that the BP:I keeps the highest entropy, which satisfies the intuition that the entropy of a system at transition lines or dots should be higher than that of the surrounding phases.

In Region P, the ground state energies of each hexamer obey  $E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow) < E_0(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ , and  $\beta J_{bb}$  tends to infinity. In this case, the b-spins have a perfect ferromagnetic long-range order because  $\beta J_{bb}$  exceeds the critical point of the ferromagnetic kagome Ising model  $\left[\beta J_{\text{kag}} - \left(\ln[3 + \sqrt{12}]\right)/4\right]$  [\[28\]](#page-12-0). Moreover, this region is divided into five phases corresponding to different states of the a-trimers. Their eigenvectors is given in Appendix [B.](#page-10-0) Although there is a ferromagnetic order for the b-spins, Region P is in the ferrimagnetic phases since  $S_{\text{atot}}^z < 0$ . Last, when  $J_a^z$  increases,  $S_{\text{atot}}^z$  decreases to  $-3$  gradually in Region P.

In Region N, the ground state energies obey  $E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  >  $E_0(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ , meaning that  $\beta J_{bb}$  tends to negative infinity. It leads to an antiferromagnetic phase for the b-spins [\[4\]](#page-12-0). This region can also be divided into two phases, but both of them correspond to  $S_{\text{atot}}^z = 0$ .

At the boundaries between Region P and Region N, the ground state energies are equal,  $E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow) = E_0(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ . In addition,  $E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  and  $E_0(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  can also be equal in BL:I, BP:I, and BP:II (see Table II). In these cases, the value of  $\beta J_{bb}$ depends on the ratio of the degeneracies *D*1*/D*2. For different boundaries, the possible values of  $\beta J_{bb}$  can be positive (BL:III,

TABLE II. The  $\beta J_{\text{bb}}$  and entropy per site of the TKL model for phase boundaries when  $h = 0$  at zero temperature. All of them have the finite correlation length.

Boundary	Boundary about	$\beta J_{\rm bh}$	$s_0/9$
BL: I	Phase I and Phase V	$(1/4)$ ln $(3/5)$	0.407945
BL:II	Phase I and Phase II	$\theta$	0.231049
BL:III	Phase V and Phase VI	$(1/4)$ ln 2	0.280644
BL:IV	Phase V and Phase VII	$(1/4) \ln 2$	0.280644
BP: I	Phase I, V, and IV	$(1/4)$ ln $(7/5)$	0.609883
BP:II	Phase V, VI, and VII	$(1/4)$ ln 4	0.356169



FIG. 7. The effective  $\beta J_{\text{bh}}$  does not tend to infinity in some of the highly degenerate points at  $T = 0$ . We plot some of their effective  $\beta J_{\text{bb}}$  as functions of temperature here. The blue (middle dashed) line is for point a in Fig. [6](#page-3-0) with  $J_a^z = -0.5$ ,  $J_a^{xy} = 0$ , the orange (lower dashed) one is for point b with  $J_a^z = -3$ ,  $J_a^{xy} = 0$ , the green (upper dashed) one is for point c with  $J_a^z = -0.259402$ ,  $J_a^{xy} = -1$ , the red (solid) one is for point d with  $J_a^z = -0.223591$ ,  $J_a^{xy} = 2$ .

BL:IV, BP:I, BP:II) and negative (BL:I) or even zero (BL:II) (see Fig. 7) at zero temperature, and most of them are not monotonic with temperature.

Last, Fig. [8](#page-5-0) gives the finite-temperature phase diagram as a function of  $J_a^{xy}$ ,  $J_a^z$ , and critical temperature  $T_c$  by investigating the critical point of the effective model  $\left[\beta J_{\text{kag}}\right] = (\ln[3 + \frac{1}{2})$  $\sqrt{12}$ ])/4]. This fits with the intuition that the disordered phases should become the largest part of the phase diagram when the temperature increases. Moreover, the ferrimagnetic phases with lower  $S_{atot}^z$  have a higher critical temperature of the spontaneous order. Actually, higher decorated spins in the TKL model can cause a stronger effective coupling between the b-spins.

### **D. Physical explanation and comparison with the spin-1***/***2 TKL model**

To explain how the quantum fluctuations cause these quantum phases, we consider  $J_a^{xy} = 0$  first. There is a phase transition point between the disordered phase and the ordered phase, which is  $J_a^z = -0.5$  (see Fig. [6\)](#page-3-0). Each hexamer is nondegenerate in the ordered phase while it is eightfold degenerate in the disordered phase, which are  $D = 3$  for the b-spins configuration ( $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ ) with  $S^z_{\text{atot}} = -1$  and  $D = 5$  for  $(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  with  $S_{\text{atot}}^{\overline{z}} = \pm 1$ , 0. However, it is 12-fold degenerate at the phase transition point, which is higher than the sum of the degeneracies in the disordered phase and the ordered phase. Such a difference comes from an intermediate state  $[(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ with  $S<sub>atot</sub><sup>z</sup> = -2$ ] at the phase transition point.

When we consider  $J_a^{xy}$ , quantum fluctuations appear and several phases emerge.  $J_a^{xy}$  causes this evolution in two different ways. First, it introduces the fluctuation of  $S_{\text{atot}}^z$ . Second, it influences the values of  $\beta J_{bb}$ , which may change the ground state of the b-spins.

As a result, in the disordered phase, the energy degeneracy between ( $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ ) and ( $\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$ ) vanishes when we consider  $J_a^{xy}$ . And  $(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  with  $S^z_{\text{atot}} = 0$  is favored. Then it evolves into

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 8. Finite-temperature phase diagram of the spin-1 TKL model with the  $|J_{ab}^z| = 1$  as the unit of energy. (a) The finite temperature phase diagram and (b) the critical temperature  $T_c$  as a function of  $J_a^{xy}$  when  $J_a^z$  runs from  $-0.5$  to 2.

( $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ ) with  $S^z_{\text{atot}} = -1$  as  $J^z_a$  increases. Furthermore, at the phase transition point  $(J_a^z = -0.5, J_a^{xy} = 0)$ , the intermediate state above  $[(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  with  $S^z_{\text{atot}} = -2]$  becomes stable intermediate phase III or phase VII (see Fig. [6\)](#page-3-0). The appearance of these intermediate phases is due to the decrease of quantum fluctuations and the disentanglement of the a-trimers. Finally, as  $J_a^z$  increases, the spin-1 TKL model changes from the antiferromagnetic phase with  $S_{\text{atot}}^z = 0$  to the ferrimagntic phase with  $S_{\text{atot}}^z = -1$ . Then, in the ferrimagntic case,  $S_{\text{atot}}^z$ of each hexamer decreases from −1 to −3 step-by-step. This leads to some small magnetization plateaus.

Looking at further details, the sign of  $J_a^{xy}$  also makes a difference. We list both the eigenvector and the spin-configuration schematic diagrams of these ferrimagnetic phases in Appendix [B](#page-10-0) (see Fig. [12\)](#page-11-0). When  $J_a^{xy}$  is positive, the a-trimers stay in the singlet trimerized states in both phase II and phase III. When

 $J_a^{xy}$  is negative, the a-trimers tend to be in a dimerized state. In phase VII, two a-spins become a dimer in each a-trimer. Moreover, in phase VI, it is an anisotropic trimerized state which can be viewed as a two-step dimerizing. In this case, two of the a-spins become a dimer. Then this dimer dimerizes with the last a-spin in each a-trimer. As result, this trimerized state leads to a twofold degeneracy to each hexamer.

Compared to the pure spin-1*/*2 TKL model, the spin-1 TKL model has an antiferromagnetic effective coupling for the bspins, which makes the geometric frustration of the b-spins play a much more important role and causes a much larger area of the disordered phases in its phase diagram.

### **IV. FINITE EXTERNAL FIELD IN THE ZERO TEMPERATURE LIMIT**

## **A. Mapping to the kagome Ising model with the three-spin coupling**

We now consider the spin-1 TKL model with a finite magnetic field, which is parallel to the axis of the b-spins. The transformation method above is also applicable to this case. As a result of the time-reversal symmetry breaking, the odd spin effective coupling terms in the effective Hamiltonian cannot vanish. Finally, it becomes

$$
H'_{n} = -\ln(Z_{a})/\beta - J_{bb} \sum_{\text{bi},\text{bj}\in b} \sigma_{\text{bi}}^{z} \sigma_{\text{bj}}^{z}
$$

$$
-J_{bbb} \sum_{\text{bi},\text{bj},\text{bk}\in b} \sigma_{\text{bi}}^{z} \sigma_{\text{bj}}^{z} \sigma_{\text{bk}}^{z} - h_{b} \sum_{\text{bi}\in b} \sigma_{\text{bi}}^{z}, \qquad (30)
$$

in which

$$
Z_a = [Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)]^{\frac{1}{8}} [Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h)]^{\frac{3}{8}},
$$
\n(31)

$$
\beta J_{bb} = \frac{1}{8} \ln \left[ \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)}{Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow, h)} \right],\tag{32}
$$

$$
\beta J_{bbb} = \frac{1}{8} \ln \left[ \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h)^3}{Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow, h) Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)^3} \right],
$$
(33)

$$
\beta h_b = \frac{1}{8} \ln \left[ \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)}{Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow, h)} \right].
$$
 (34)

In this case, the parameters in the effective model  $(Z_a, h_b,$ *Jbb*, and *Jbbb*) are the functions of the original couplings in the spin-1 TKL model  $(J_{ab}^z, J_a^z, J_a^{xy})$ , and *h*).

### **B. Phase diagram at zero temperature and ground states properties**

Although the odd spin couplings make it hard to obtain a rigorous solution of the model, it is still possible to deduce a full phase diagram of the spin-1 TKL model at zero temperature since its effective model is classical [\[2,4\]](#page-12-0). The phase diagram can be achieved in the usual manner by searching for which bspins configurations of each hexamer keeps the lowest energy. These energies can be written as

$$
E(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow) = -\ln(Z_a)/\beta - 3J_{bb} - J_{bbb} - 3h_b, \tag{35}
$$

$$
E(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow) = -\ln(Z_a)/\beta - 3J_{bb} + J_{bbb} + 3h_b, \tag{36}
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
E(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow) = -\ln(Z_a)/\beta + J_{bb} + J_{bbb} - h_b, \qquad (37)
$$

$$
E(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow) = -\ln(Z_a)/\beta + J_{bb} - J_{bbb} + h_b. \tag{38}
$$

Here we obtain the ground state of the spin-1 TKL model by finding the ground state of each hexamer numerically for each



FIG. 9. The phase diagram of the TKL model with spin-1 decorated trimers at zero temperature identified by calculating the energy of each hexamer when the spin-1 TKL model is in its classical limit  $(J_a^{xy} = 0, J_{ab}^z = -1, \text{ and } T = 0)$  and Heisenberg limit  $(J_a^z = J_a^{xy},$  $J_{ab}^z = -1$ , and  $T = 0$ ).

TABLE III. The energy of the hexamers at some points in each phase with  $J_a^z = J_a^{xy}$ ,  $J_{ab}^z = -1$  and different *h* in Fig. 9(b). We also highlight (the underlined numbers) which configuration of b-spins has the lowest energy in each phase at zero temperature.

Phase	$J_a^{xy} = J_a^z$ h		$E(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ $E(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ $E(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)$ $E(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow)$		
Phase I	$-3$	$\sim$ 1	$-9.75$ $-9.46005$ $-8.25$		$-8.96005$
Phase II	$-2$		$3.552 -9.216 -9.03515 -6.44$		$-7.664$
Phase III	$-1.184$		$3.856 - 8.604 - 8.39904 - 8.124$		$-8.052$
Phase IV	5	5	$-30.75$ $-30.25$ $-29.25$		$-29.75$
Phase V	$-1.2$		$3.4$ $-7.35$ $-7.37237$ $-7.05$		$-6.95$
Phase VI	2		$2 -10.5 -11.5 -13.5$		$-12.5$
Phase VII	$-1$	1.2	$-3.9$ $-4.16246$ $-3.5$		$-3.9$
Phase VIII	$-1$	0.3	$-3.225 -3.55619 -3.075$		$-3.40619$
Phase IX	$-0.64$		$0.544 - 2.328 - 2.67641$	$-2.68$	$-2.688$
Phase X	$-0.48$	0.32.	$-1.88$ $-2.19117$ $-2.4$		$-2.2$

combination of parameters. When the ground state energy of the b-spin configuration ( $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ ) or ( $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$ ) is favored, the spin-1 TKL model is in ferromagnetic phase or ferrimagnetic phase, which depends on the a-trimer states. For the  $(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  or  $(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow)$ case, the macroscopic ground state of its effective model can be achieved by enumerating the ways of tilling the corresponding effective trimers in the kagome plane, which is equivalent to placing dimers on the bonds of a honeycomb lattice [\[2,4\]](#page-12-0).

Figure 9 is the phase diagram when  $J_a^{xy} = 0$ ,  $J_{ab}^{z} = -1$ [Fig. 9(a)] and  $J_a^z = J_a^{xy}$ ,  $J_{ab}^z = -1$  [Fig. 9(b)] at zero temperature. Table III lists the ground state energy of each hexamer at selected points in each phase of Figs.  $9(a)$  and  $9(b)$ . The phase diagram is divided into 8 parts when  $J_a^{xy} = 0$  but 20 in the  $J_a^z = J_a^{xy}$  case. Both of them are symmetric about  $h = 0$ .

The phase diagram Fig.  $9(a)$  is similar to its counterpart in the pure spin-1*/*2 TKL model. However, Fig. 9(b) case is quite different, including the absence of the kagome loop gas phase [\[2\]](#page-12-0) and the presence of some unstable phases (Phase V, Phase IX, and Phase X).

## **C. Physical explanation and the effect of the a-trimer quantum fluctuations**

To explain how these unstable phases come from the quantum fluctuations of the a-trimers, we start with the classical limit  $(J_a^{xy} = 0)$ . In Fig. 9(a) the phase diagram is divided into four different phases when *h* is positive, including saturated ferromagnetic phase (Phase B), ferromagnetic phase with  $S<sub>atot</sub> = 1$  (Phase A), ferrimagnetic phase with  $S<sub>atot</sub> = 3$  (Phase D), and honeycomb dimer phase (Phase C). It is quite similar to the spin-1*/*2 TKL case [\[2\]](#page-12-0). Their boundary conditions can be given by  $2J_a^z + h = 1$  for the boundary between Phase A and Phase B,  $h = 4$  for the boundary between Phase A and Phase C or between Phase B and Phase D, and  $h + 4J_a^z = -2$ for the boundary between Phase C and Phase D.

Figure [10](#page-7-0) tells how the phase diagram evolves with the localized quantum fluctuations of the a-trimers, in which *h* and  $J_{ab}^z$  are constants, and  $J_a^{xy}/J_a^z$  and  $J_a^z$  serve as parameters. We present these phase diagrams with  $J_{ad}^z = -1$  and  $h = 4.5$ in Fig. [10\(a\)](#page-7-0) and  $J_{ad}^z = -1$  and  $h = 0.544$  in Fig. [10\(b\).](#page-7-0) The former contains ordinary stable phases while the latter includes

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

FIG. 10. These figures present the phases changing as  $J_a^{xy}/J_a^z$ increases from 0 to 1. These phase marks here are same as in Fig. [9\(b\).](#page-6-0)  $J_{ad}^z = -1$  and  $h = 4.5$  in Fig. 10(a), while  $J_{ad}^z = -1$  and  $h = 0.544$ in Fig.  $10(b)$ , which is crossing through the unstable Phase IX. The boundary line in the figures is crossing through the transition point in the classical limit with the same values of  $J_{ad}^z$ . These figures present a better view of how phases emerge.

the most unstable phase (Phase IX) in its Heisenberg limit  $(J_a^z = J_a^{xy}).$ 

In Fig. 10(a), the spin-1 TKL model changes into Phase IV (see Table IV) directly in its classical limit as  $J_a^z$  increases. As a result, there is a phase transition at  $J_a^z = -1.75$  and  $J_a^{xy}/J_a^z =$ 0 in Fig. 10(a). When  $J_a^{xy}/J_a^z$  increases, Phase III emerges from its phase transition point. If we set a boundary line crossing this phase transition point, we can see that Phase III evolves along with this boundary line [see Fig.  $10(a)$ ]. Meanwhile, Phase I

TABLE IV. Each phase in Fig. [9](#page-6-0) identified by calculating the configuration of b-spins and  $S^z_{\text{atot}}$  in the ground state of each hexamer.



emerges from the left side of the phase diagram. Eventually, in its Heisenberg limit, the spin-1 TKL model changes from phase I, to Phase II, then to Phase III, and finally to Phase IV. This phase transition corresponds to a gradual disentanglement of the a-trimers microscopically and leads to a stable growth of magnetization plateaus macroscopically as *h* increases (see Fig. 11) [\[30\]](#page-12-0), which is shown in Appendix [B](#page-10-0) and Fig. [13.](#page-11-0)

Unlike the Fig.  $10(a)$  case,  $J_a^z$  already makes the b-spin's ground state change from  $(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$  to  $(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)$  in the classical limit [see Fig.  $10(b)$ ]. We also set a boundary line crossing through the phase transition point in Fig.  $10(b)$ . Around this boundary line, the b-spin's ground state tends to change. First, when  $J_a^{xy}$  increases, it causes the a-trimers to evolve independently, which makes Phase VIII and Phase X emerge. Second, as  $J_a^{\dot{x}\dot{y}}$ increases, Phase VIII and Phase X come close to the boundary line. When they are close enough to each other, Phase IX emerges around the boundary line due to the unstable b-spins ground state around the boundary line. Phase IX can be viewed as an intermediate phase of the phase transition from Phase VIII to Phase X. Finally, in the Heisenberg limit, the b-spin's



FIG. 11. This figure shows the stably growth of magnetization plateaus with *h* running from 4 to 20 when  $J_a^z = J_a^{xy} = -5$ . These phases marked here are the same with those in Fig. [9\(b\).](#page-6-0)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>configuration of the hexamers changes from  $(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow)$ , to  $(\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow)$ , and lastly to  $(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)$  as  $J_a^z$  increases. Similarly, those unstable phases (Phase V, Phase IX, and Phase X) are the intermediate phases in Fig. [9\(b\).](#page-6-0)

## **D. Comparison with the spin-1/2 TKL model and discussion of the higher spin TKL model**

We now compare the phase diagram of the spin-1 TKL model with the spin-1*/*2 case and find that the spin-1 TKL model has a much more diversified phase diagram at zero temperature. These differences mainly come from the richer possible states of the a-trimers under the interplay between its geometric frustration and quantum fluctuations.

In the classical limit, there are four main phases both in the spin-1*/*2 and in the spin-1 cases, including the Saturated Ferrimagnetic phase (↑↑↑), the Honeycomb Dimer Liquid phase (↓↑↑), the Ferrimagnetic phase (↑↑↑)*,* and the Ferrimagnetic phase ( $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$ ). When  $J_a^{\dot{x}y}$  appears, phases emerge from the boundary between these main phases. Last, the spin-1 TKL model has stable magnetization plateaus in the Heisenberg limit. Such an effect can also be obtained in the Heisenberg-Ising diamond chain [\[1,5\]](#page-12-0).

Although it is hard to give an exact picture of how the phase diagram of the TKL model develops when the spins in the a-trimers tend to infinity, it is still possible to give its simple description. First, the four main phases above still exist in their classical limit. These main phases also remain in their Heisenberg limit. Besides, more phases with different a-trimer states emerge from the phase boundaries between these four main phases when we consider higher spins in the a-trimers. This is due to the larger Hilbert space of the decorated trimers. Moreover, when considering higher spins in the a-trimers, some of these phases may appear more compactly around these phase boundaries. Eventually, if the decorated spins tend to infinity, they would tend to become a line or dot with high degeneracy and the phase diagram in the Heisenberg limit at zero temperature should approach its classical limit.

### **V. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we have discussed the TKL *XXZ*-Ising model decorated by the spin-1 a-trimers and computed its phase diagram by transforming into an effective kagome Ising model with or without the three-spin coupling according to the presence of the finite external field. The transformation method is an essentially algebraic method and can be applied even in more general cases.

For  $h = 0$ , the effective model can be simplified with the *C*3 symmetry and the time-reversal symmetry. And the spin-1 TKL model is mapped to the kagome Ising model exactly. In the zero temperature phase diagram, there are two main regions corresponding to the ferromagnetic effective

coupling and antiferromagnetic case respectively. Each main region is divided into several phases corresponding to different entangled states of the a-trimers. Compared to the spin-1*/*2 TKL model, one of the most interesting differences of the spin-1 TKL model is that the spin-1 decorated trimers introduce the antiferromagnetic effective coupling of the b-spins to the TKL model.

When there is a finite external field, only the *C*3 symmetry can be applied to simplify the effective model, which means that we can map the spin-1 TKL model to the kagome Ising model with the three-spin coupling. We give its phase diagram at zero temperature since its effective model is classical [\[2,4,31\]](#page-12-0). For the classical limit  $(J_a^{xy} = 0)$ , there are four main phases, which is similar to the spin-1*/*2 TKL case. However, in the presence of  $J_a^{xy}$ , more phases emerge in the spin-1 TKL model than in the spin-1*/*2 case. Finally, in the Heisenberg limit ( $J_a^{xy} = J_a^z$ ), the spin-1 TKL model has several stable magnetization plateaus which correspond to the gradual disentanglement of the a-trimers.

The higher spins in the decorated a-trimers can give a larger dimension of Hilbert spaces. In the spin-1*/*2 case, the possible values of  $S^z_{\text{atot}}$  are  $\pm 1/2$  and  $\pm 3/2$  in both the classical limit and the Heisenberg limit. However, in the spin-1 case, the possible values of  $S^z_{\text{atot}}$  are  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 3$  in the classical limit but  $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ , and  $\pm 3$  in the Heisenberg limit. This leads to more plentiful states for the a-trimers and more complicated effective couplings. Therefore, the phase diagram becomes more diversified for the spin-1 TKL model compared with the spin-1*/*2 case. This is strong evidence that the quantum fluctuations can create new phases in the highly frustrated spin systems, and help us to understand how the *XXZ*-Ising decorated model evolves into its classical limit when *S* increases for the decorated spins.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank E. W. Carlson, Y. L. Loh, Y.-R. Shu, M. Lake, and N. Raper for useful discussions. This project is supported by NKRDPC-2017YFA0206203, NSFC-11574404, NSFC-11275279, NSFG-2015A030313176, Special Program for Applied Research on Super Computation of the NSFC-Guangdong Joint Fund, Three Big ConstructionsSupercomputing Application Cultivation Projects, and the Leading Talent Program of Guangdong Special Projects.

#### **APPENDIX A**

Here we give the explicit formulas of  $Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)$ ,  $Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow, h)$ *h*),  $Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h)$ , and  $Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow, h)$ . We use the time reversal symmetry to simplify our description, which is given by  $Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) = Z(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow, -h)$  and  $Z(\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) = Z(\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow, -h)$ :

$$
Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, h) = 2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(-4J_{a}^{xy} + 4J_{a}^{z} - 3h)} + 2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(4J_{a}^{xy} + 4J_{a}^{z} - 3h)} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(8J_{a}^{xy} + 4J_{a}^{z} - 3h)} + 2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(4J_{a}^{xy} - 4J_{a}^{z} + 8J_{ab}^{z} + 5h)}
$$
  
+ 
$$
2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(4J_{a}^{xy} - 4J_{a}^{z} - 8J_{ab}^{z} - 11h)} + e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta(4J_{a}^{z} - 4J_{ab}^{z} - 3h)} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(-8J_{a}^{xy} - 4J_{a}^{z} + 8J_{ab}^{z} + 5h)} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(-8J_{a}^{xy} - 4J_{a}^{z} - 8J_{ab}^{z} - 11h)}
$$
  
+ 
$$
e^{\frac{3}{4}\beta(4J_{a}^{z} + 4J_{ab}^{z} + 5h)} + 2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[2J_{a}^{xy} + 2J_{a}^{z} + 4J_{ab}^{z} + h - 2\sqrt{5(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + (J_{a}^{z})^{2} - 2J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}
$$

$$
+2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[2J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}+4J_{ab}^{z}+h+2\sqrt{5(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}-2J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}+2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[2J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}-4J_{ab}^{z}-7h-2\sqrt{5(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}-2J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}{h^{2}+2e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[2J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}-4J_{ab}^{z}-7h+2\sqrt{5(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}-2J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}+e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-4J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}-3h-2\sqrt{28(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}-4J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}{h^{2}+e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-4J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}-3h+2\sqrt{28(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}-4J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}+e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-4J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}-4J_{ab}^{z}-7h-2\sqrt{20(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}+4J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}{h^{2}-e^{\frac{1}{4}\beta[-4J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}-4J_{ab}^{z}-7h-2\sqrt{20(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}+4J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}{h^{2}+e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-4J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}+4J_{ab}^{z}+h-2\sqrt{20(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}+4J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}]}}{h^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
+e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-4J_{a}^{xy}+2J_{a}^{z}+4J_{ab}^{z}+h+2\sqrt{20(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}+(J_{a}^{z})^{2}+4J_{a
$$

$$
Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h) = Z(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow, -h),\tag{A2}
$$

$$
Z(\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow,h) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(-12J_a^z - 4J_{ab}^z - 13h)} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(4J_a^x - 4J_a^z - 4J_{ab}^z - 4J_{ab}^z - 4J_a^z + 4J_{ab}^z + 11h)} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta(4J_a^x - 4J_a^z + 4J_{ab}^z + 7h)}
$$
  
+  $e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-2J_a^x - 4J_a^z + 2J_{ab}^z + 7h - 2\sqrt{-2J_{ab}^zJ_a^x + 9(J_a^x)^z + (J_{ab}^z)^2}] + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-2J_a^x - 4J_a^z + 2J_{ab}^z + 7h + 2\sqrt{-2J_{ab}^zJ_a^x + 9(J_a^x)^z + (J_{ab}^z)^2}]}$   
+  $e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-2J_a^x - 4J_a^z - 2J_{ab}^z - 9h - 2\sqrt{2J_{ab}^zJ_a^x + 9(J_a^x)^z + (J_{ab}^z)^2}] + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[-2J_a^x - 4J_a^z - 2J_{ab}^z - 9h + 2\sqrt{2J_{ab}^zJ_a^x + 9(J_a^x)^z + (J_{ab}^z)^2}]}$   
+  $e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[2J_a^x + 2J_a^z - 2J_{ab}^z - 5h - 2\sqrt{2J_{ab}^zJ_a^x + 5(J_a^x)^z - 2J_{ab}^zJ_a^z + (J_a^z)^2 - 2J_a^xJ_a^z + (J_a^z)^2]}$   
+  $e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[2J_a^x + 2J_a^z - 2J_{ab}^z - 5h + 2\sqrt{2J_{ab}^zJ_a^x + 5(J_a^x)^z - 2J_{ab}^zJ_a^z + (J_a^z)^2 - 2J_a^xJ_a^z + (J_a^z)^2]}$   
+  $e^{-\frac{1}{4}\beta[2J_a^x + 2J_a^z + 2J_a^z + 3h - 2\sqrt{-2J_{ab}^zJ_a^x + 5(J_a^x)^z + 2J_{ab}^zJ_a^z + (J_a^z)^$ 

In Eq. (A3),  $\{x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1\}$  correspond to the roots of a cubic function, which is

$$
x^{3} + a_{1}x^{2} + b_{1}x + c_{1} = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
a_{1} = -8J_{a}^{xy} - 12J_{a}^{z} + 3h
$$
  
\n
$$
b_{1} = -16hJ_{a}^{xy} - 24hJ_{a}^{z} + 64J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 16(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + 48(J_{a}^{z})^{2} - 16(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 3h^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
c_{1} = -8h^{2}J_{a}^{xy} - 12h^{2}J_{a}^{z} + 64hJ_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 16h(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + 48h(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 64(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{a}^{z} - 128J_{a}^{xy}(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 128(J_{a}^{xy})^{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
-64J_{a}^{3z} + h^{3} + 64J_{a}^{z}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 16h(J_{ab}^{z})^{2}.
$$
\n(A5)

The analytical roots for Eq. (A5) can be reached with the general solution to the cubic equation with real coefficients. Meanwhile,  $\{x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2\}$ ,  $\{x_1^3, x_2^3, x_3^3, x_4^3\}$ , and  $\{x_1^4, x_2^4, x_3^4, x_4^4\}$  are the roots of the quartic equations Eqs. (A6), [\(A7\)](#page-10-0), and  $(A8)$ , respectively, which are

$$
x^{4} + a_{2}x^{3} + b_{2}x_{2} + c_{2}x + d_{2} = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
a_{2} = 8J_{a}^{xy} - 12J_{a}^{z} + 4hb_{2} = 24hJ_{a}^{xy} - 36hJ_{a}^{z} - 64J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 112(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + 48(J_{a}^{z})^{2} - 16(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 6h^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
c_{2} = 64J_{a}^{z}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 24h^{2}J_{a}^{xy} - 36h^{2}J_{a}^{z} - 128hJ_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 224h(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + 96h(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 832(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{a}^{z} + 128J_{a}^{xy}(J_{a}^{z})^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
-128(J_{a}^{xy})^{3} - 64(J_{a}^{z})^{3} - 32h(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 4h^{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
d_{2} = 64hJ_{a}^{z}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 512(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 8h^{3}J_{a}^{xy} - 12h^{3}J_{a}^{z} - 64h^{2}J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 112h^{2}(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + 48h^{2}(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 832h(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{a}^{z}
$$
  
\n
$$
+128hJ_{a}^{xy}(J_{a}^{z})^{2} - 128h(J_{a}^{xy})^{3} - 64h(J_{a}^{z})^{3} - 1536(J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 1536(J_{a}^{xy})^{4} - 16h^{2}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + h^{4}, \qquad (A6)
$$

$$
x^4 + a_3x_3 + b_3x^2 + c_3x + d_3 = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
a_3 = 4J_a^{xy} - 8J_a^z + 4J_{ab}^z + 20hb_3 = 16J_a^{xy}J_{ab}^z - 32J_a^zJ_{ab}^z + 60hJ_a^{xy} - 120hJ_a^z - 32J_a^{xy}J_a^z - 112(J_a^{xy})^2 + 16(J_a^{z})^2
$$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
+60hJ_{ab}^{z} - 16(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 150h^{2}
$$
\n
$$
c_{3} = 160hJ_{a}^{xy}J_{ab}^{z} - 320hJ_{a}^{z}J_{ab}^{z} - 64J_{a}^{xy}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} - 128(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} - 128J_{ab}^{z}J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} + 64(J_{a}^{z})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} + 300h^{2}J_{a}^{xy} - 600h^{2}J_{a}^{z}
$$
\n
$$
-320hJ_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 1120h(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + 160h(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 576(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{a}^{z} + 64J_{a}^{xy}(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 128(J_{a}^{xy})^{3} + 300h^{2}J_{ab}^{z}
$$
\n
$$
-160h(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} - 64(J_{ab}^{z})^{3} + 500h^{3}
$$
\n
$$
d_{3} = 400h^{2}J_{a}^{xy}J_{ab}^{z} - 800h^{2}J_{a}^{z}J_{ab}^{z} - 320hJ_{a}^{xy}(J_{a}^{z})^{2} - 640h(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} - 640hJ_{ab}^{z}J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} + 320h(J_{a}^{z})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} - 256J_{a}^{xy}(J_{ab}^{z})^{3}
$$
\n
$$
+256(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} - 512(J_{a}^{xy})^{3}J_{ab}^{z} + 768J_{ab}^{z}(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{a}^{z} + 256J_{ab}^{z}J_{a}^{xy}(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 500h^{3}J_{a}^{xy} - 1000h^{3}J_{a}^{z} - 800h^{2}J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}
$$
\n
$$
-2800h^{2}(J_{a}
$$

$$
x^{4} + a_{4}x^{3} + b_{4}x^{2} + c_{4}x + d_{4} = 0
$$
\n
$$
a_{4} = 4J_{a}^{xy} - 8J_{a}^{z} - 4J_{ab}^{z} - 12h
$$
\n
$$
b_{4} = -16J_{a}^{xy}J_{ab}^{z} + 32J_{a}^{z}J_{ab}^{z} - 36hJ_{a}^{xy} + 72hJ_{a}^{z} - 32J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 112(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} + 16(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 36hJ_{ab}^{z} - 16(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 54h^{2}
$$
\n
$$
c_{4} = 96hJ_{a}^{xy}J_{ab}^{z} - 192hJ_{a}^{z}J_{ab}^{z} - 64J_{a}^{xy}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 128(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} + 128J_{ab}^{z}J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} - 64(J_{a}^{z})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} + 108h^{2}J_{a}^{xy} - 216h^{2}J_{a}^{z} + 192hJ_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z}
$$
\n
$$
+ 672h(J_{a}^{xy})^{2} - 96h(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 576J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} + 64J_{a}^{xy}(J_{a}^{z})^{2} + 128(J_{a}^{xy})^{3} - 108h^{2}J_{ab}^{z} + 96h(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} + 64(J_{ab}^{z})^{3} - 108h^{3}
$$
\n
$$
d_{4} = -144h^{2}J_{a}^{xy}J_{ab}^{z} + 288h^{2}J_{a}^{z}J_{a}^{z}J_{ab}^{z} + 192hJ_{a}^{xy}(J_{ab}^{z})^{2} - 384h(J_{a}^{xy})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} - 384hJ_{a}^{z}J_{a}^{xy}J_{a}^{z} + 192h(J_{a}^{z})^{2}J_{ab}^{z} + 256J_{a}^{xy}(J_{
$$

All of them can be solved analytically by applying the general solution to the quartic equation with real coefficients. In practice, it is more convenient to find these roots numerically.

 $\sqrt{ }$ 

## **APPENDIX B**

The hexamer eigenvectors in ordered phases are listed as follows. With Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0) we express these eigenvectors as  $|\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \rangle_b \otimes |\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \rangle_a$ , for instance, where  $\uparrow$  donates  $S_b^z = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\downarrow$ stands for  $S_a^z = -1$ . Here are the eigenvectors (and schematic figures in Fig. [12\)](#page-11-0) in the ferrimagnetic phases in Fig. [6,](#page-3-0) with the same phase numbers in Table [I:](#page-4-0)

For Phase IV,

$$
|IV\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes |\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow\rangle_a, E_{IV} = -1 - 3J_a^z.
$$
 (B1)

For Phase III,

$$
|\text{III}\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|0 \downarrow \downarrow\rangle_a + |\downarrow 0 \downarrow\rangle_a + |\downarrow \downarrow 0\rangle_a),
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{\text{III}} = -2 - J_a^z - 2J_a^{xy}.
$$
 (B2)

For Phase VII,

$$
|\text{VII}\rangle_1 = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\downarrow \downarrow 0\rangle_a - |0 \downarrow \downarrow\rangle_a),
$$
  
\n
$$
|\text{VII}\rangle_2 = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\downarrow 0 \downarrow\rangle_a - |0 \downarrow \downarrow\rangle_a),
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{\text{VII}} = -2 - J_a^z + J_a^{xy}.
$$
 (B3)

For Phase II,

$$
|\text{II}\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [\cos \theta_{\text{II}} (|\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow\rangle_a + |\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow\rangle_a + |\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle_a)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sin \theta_{\text{II}} (|00 \downarrow\rangle_a + |0 \downarrow 0\rangle_a + |\downarrow 00\rangle_a)],
$$
  

$$
E_{\text{II}} = \frac{1}{2} [-2 + J_a^z - 2J_a^{xy} - \sqrt{(J_a^z)^2 + 4J_a^z J_a^{xy} + 20(J_a^{xy})^2}],
$$
(B4)

where  $\arctan \theta_{\text{II}} = \frac{J_a^z + 2J_a^{xy} + \sqrt{(J_a^z)^2 + 4J_a^z J_a^{xy} + 20(J_a^{xy})^2}}{4J_a^{xy}}$ . For Phase VI,

$$
|\text{VI}\rangle_1 = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\sin \theta_{\text{VI}} (|0 \downarrow 0)_a - |00 \downarrow\rangle_a)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \cos \theta_{\text{VI}} (|\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle_a - |\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow\rangle_a)],
$$
  
\n
$$
|\text{VI}\rangle_2 = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\cos \theta_{\text{VI}} (|\downarrow 00\rangle_a - |0 \downarrow 0\rangle_a)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \sin \theta_{\text{VI}} (|\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow\rangle_a - |\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle_a)],
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{\text{VI}} = \frac{1}{2} [-2 + J_a^z + J_a^{xy} - \sqrt{(J_a^z)^2 - 2J_a^z J_a^{xy} + 5(J_a^{xy})^2}],
$$
  
\n(B5)

where  $\arctan \theta_{\text{V11}} = \frac{J_a^z - J_a^{xy} + \sqrt{(J_a^z)^2 - 2J_a^z J_a^{xy} + 5(J_a^{xy})^2}}{2J_a^{xy}}$ <br>  $\arctan \theta_{\text{V12}} = \frac{-J_a^z + J_a^{xy} + \sqrt{(J_a^z)^2 - 2J_a^z J_a^{xy} + 5(J_a^{xy})^2}}{2J_a^{xy}}.$ and

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

FIG. 12. We plot the schematic diagrams of the spin configuration of each hexamer when there is no external field (Fig. [6\)](#page-3-0). For instance, the arrow  $\uparrow$  represents  $S = 1$  or  $S = 1/2$ . The red (thick or dashed) lines are the entangled relationships of the a-spins. (a) The spin configuration when the model is in Phase IV in Fig. [6.](#page-3-0) Panels (b) and (d) denote the trimerized states in Phase II and Phase III, respectively. (c) The ordinary dimerized state in Phase VII, and (e) the anisotropic trimerized state in Phase VI. The anisotropic trimerized state can be viewed as a two-step dimerizing. The thick line is for the first step dimerizing, and the dashed line denotes the second step dimerizing.

When  $h \neq 0$ , we give the eigenvectors, ground state energy of each hexamer (schematic figures in Fig. 13) and magnetization of each unit cell in those ordered phases in Fig. [9\(b\)](#page-6-0) (Phases I, II, III, and IV in Table [IV\)](#page-7-0):

$$
|\mathbf{I}\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|0 \uparrow \downarrow\rangle_a + |\uparrow \downarrow 0\rangle_a + |\downarrow 0 \uparrow\rangle_a
$$

$$
-|\uparrow 0 \downarrow\rangle_a - |0 \downarrow \uparrow\rangle_a - |\downarrow \uparrow 0\rangle_a),
$$

$$
E_{\mathbf{I}} = \frac{3}{4} (h - 4J_a^z),
$$

$$
m_{\mathbf{I}} = \frac{3}{2}.
$$
(B6)

Each a-trimer in Phase I is in a singlet trimerized state. Then

$$
|\Pi\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle_a - |0 \uparrow 0\rangle_a + |\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_a),
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{\Pi} = \frac{1}{4} (4 - 7h + 8J_a^z),
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{\Pi} = \frac{7}{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
|\Pi I\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0 \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_a - |\uparrow \uparrow 0\rangle_a),
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{\Pi I} = \frac{11}{2}.
$$
  
\n(B8)

In Phase II and Phase III, the a-trimers are in the dimerized state. We don't give their  $C_3$  symmetry counterparts here, which cause the macroscopic degeneracy. Then

$$
|\text{IV}\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_b \otimes |\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle_a,
$$
  
\n
$$
E_{\text{IV}} = \frac{3}{4}(-4 + 5h + 4J_a^z),
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{\text{IV}} = \frac{15}{2}.
$$
 (B9)

The a-trimers in Phase IV are in the classical state. The spin-1 TKL model meets its saturation magnetization in Phase IV



FIG. 13. We plot the schematic diagrams of the spin configuration of each hexamer in the ordered phases when  $h \neq 0$  (Fig. [9\)](#page-6-0). Also, the arrow  $\uparrow$  represents  $S = 1$  or  $S = 1/2$  and the red (thick or dashed) lines are the entangled relationships of the a-spins. (a) The spin configuration when the model is in Phase I in Fig. [9,](#page-6-0) which is a singlet trimerized state. (b, c) The dimerized states in Phase II and Phase III, respectively. (d) The classical state in Phase IV. As *h* increases, the spin arrangement of each hexamer changes from (a) to (b) and (c) and finally to (d), which is a step-by-step disentangled process.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>As *h* increases, the spin-1 TKL model changes from Phase I to Phase IV [see Fig.  $9(b)$ ]. These phase transitions correspond to that each a-trimer develops from the trimerized

state, to the dimerized state, and finally to the classical state. It is also responsible for the stable magnetization plateaus (see Fig. [11\)](#page-7-0).

- [1] K. Takano, K. Kubo, and H. Sakamoto, [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/8/35/009) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/8/35/009)**, [6405](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/8/35/009) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/8/35/009).
- [2] D. X. Yao, Y. L. Loh, E. W. Carlson, and M. Ma, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024428) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024428)**, [024428](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024428) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024428).
- [3] C. Lhuillier and G. Misguich, Frustrated quantum magnets, in *High Magnetic Fields: Applications in Condensed Matter Physics and Spectroscopy*, edited by C. Berthier, L. P. Lévy, and G. Martinez (Springer, Berlin, 2001), pp. 161–190.
- [4] R. Moessner, S. L. Sondhi, and P. Chandra, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4457) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4457)**, [4457](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4457) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4457).
- [5] L. Canova, J. Strecka, and T. Lucivjansky, [Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.5488/CMP.12.3.353) **[12](https://doi.org/10.5488/CMP.12.3.353)**, [353](https://doi.org/10.5488/CMP.12.3.353) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.5488/CMP.12.3.353).
- [6] S. Maruti and L. W. ter Haar, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.357006) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.357006)**, [5949](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.357006) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.357006).
- [7] [M. Gonzalez, F. Cervantes-Lee, and L. W. ter Haar,](https://doi.org/10.1080/10587259308054973) Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Sci. Technol. **[233](https://doi.org/10.1080/10587259308054973)**, [317](https://doi.org/10.1080/10587259308054973) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/10587259308054973).
- [8] S. Ateca, S. Maruti, and L. W. T. Haar, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(95)00041-0) **[147](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(95)00041-0)**, [398](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(95)00041-0) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(95)00041-0).
- [9] M. Isoda, H. Nakano, and T. Sakai,[J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.81.053703) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.81.053703)**, [053703](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.81.053703) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.81.053703).
- [10] R. Moessner and S. L. Sondhi, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224401) **[63](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224401)**, [224401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224401) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224401).
- [11] R. Moessner and S. L. Sondhi, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.064411) **[68](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.064411)**, [064411](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.064411) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.064411).
- [12] Y. L. Loh, D. X. Yao, and E. W. Carlson, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.224410)**[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.224410)**, [224410](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.224410) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.224410).
- [13] J. Zheng and G. Sun, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.052408) **[71](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.052408)**, [052408](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.052408) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.052408).
- [14] D. Yamamoto, G. Marmorini, and I. Danshita, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.127203)* **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.127203)**, [127203](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.127203) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.127203).
- [15] Y. L. Loh, D. X. Yao, and E. W. Carlson, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134402)**[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134402)**, [134402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134402) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134402).
- [16] [J. Strecka, L. Canova, M. Jascur, and M. Hagiwara,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024427) Phys. Rev. B **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024427)**, [024427](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024427) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.024427).
- [17] M. E. Fisher, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.113.969) **[113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.113.969)**, [969](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.113.969) [\(1959\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.113.969).
- [18] O. Rojas, J. S. Valverde, and S. M. De Souza, [Physica A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.12.063) **[388](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.12.063)**, [1419](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.12.063) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.12.063).
- [19] J. Strecka, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2010.07.030) **[374](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2010.07.030)**, [3718](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2010.07.030) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2010.07.030).
- [20] D. A. Antonosyan, S. Bellucci, and V. Ohanyan, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.014432) **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.014432)**, [014432](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.014432) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.014432).
- [21] L. Galisova, [Phys. Status Solidi B](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201248260) **[250](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201248260)**, [187](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201248260) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201248260).
- [22] [N. Ananikian, J. Strecka, and V. Hovhannisyan,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2014.06.015) Solid State Commun. **[194](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2014.06.015)**, [48](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2014.06.015) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2014.06.015).
- [23] J. Oitmaa and M. Keppert, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/35/16/103) **[35](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/35/16/103)**, [L219](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/35/16/103) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/35/16/103).
- [24] S. Hanks, T. Datta, and J. Oitmaa, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.062143) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.062143)**, [062143](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.062143) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.062143).
- [25] [S. Chunfeng, K. Xiangmu, and Y. Xunchang,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/45/3/035) Commun. Theor. Phys. **[45](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/45/3/035)**, [555](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/45/3/035) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/45/3/035).
- [26] J. Strecka and J. Cisarova, [Physica A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.07.057) **[392](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.07.057)**, [5633](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.07.057) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.07.057).
- [27] K. Kano and S. Naya, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/10.2.158) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/10.2.158)**, [158](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/10.2.158) [\(1953\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/10.2.158).
- [28] I. Syozi, [Progr. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/6.3.306) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/6.3.306)**, [306](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/6.3.306) [\(1951\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/6.3.306).
- [29] R. Liebmann, Two-dimensional frustrated Ising systems, in *Statistical Mechanics of Periodic Frustrated Ising Systems* (Springer, Berlin, 1986), pp. 26–108.
- [30] J. Čisárová, F. Michaud, F. Mila, and J. Strečka, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.054419)* **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.054419)**, [054419](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.054419) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.054419).
- [31] [H. Ishikawa, T. Okubo, Y. Okamoto, and Z. Hiroi,](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.043703) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **[83](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.043703)**, [043703](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.043703) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.043703).