# **Active motion on curved surfaces**

Pavel Castro-Villarreal<sup>1,\*</sup> and Francisco J. Sevilla<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Facultad de Ciencias en Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Carretera Emiliano Zapata, Kilómetro 8, Rancho San Francisco, 29050 Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México*

<sup>2</sup>*Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000, Ciudad de México, México*

(Received 13 December 2017; published 3 May 2018)

A theoretical analysis of active motion on curved surfaces is presented in terms of a generalization of the telegrapher equation. Such a generalized equation is explicitly derived as the polar approximation of the hierarchy of equations obtained from the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation of active particles diffusing on curved surfaces. The general solution to the generalized telegrapher equation is given for a pulse with vanishing current as initial data. Expressions for the probability density and the mean squared geodesic displacement are given in the limit of weak curvature. As an explicit example of the formulated theory, the case of active motion on the sphere is presented, where oscillations observed in the mean squared geodesic displacement are explained.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.97.052605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.052605)

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

*Active matter* is the term coined to those systems composed of *self-propelled* or *active* particles (capable of converting the locally absorbed energy from their environment into motion) that find themselves in intrinsically out-of-equilibrium conditions. On the one hand, there has been great interest in the collective properties that emerge in these out-of-equilibrium systems, for instance, the coherent motion that emerges from alignment interactions between self-propelled particles which give rise to wonderful patterns observed in systems such as flocks of birds, groups of ants, bacterial colonies, schools of fishes [\[1\]](#page-10-0), even in aggregates of nonliving matter like thermal active colloids [\[2\]](#page-10-0), among others. Interesting out-ofequilibrium collective phenomena emerge even from simple interaction rules, as those originally considered by Vicsek *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-10-0), and described theoretically by the use of continuum field theories by Toner and Tu in the 1990s [\[4\]](#page-11-0), ideas that still are being developed extensively [\[5,6\]](#page-11-0). Recent studies have considered the inclusion of spatial and alignment interactions among active particles [\[7\]](#page-11-0), while the complete phase diagram of the Vicsek *et al.* model has been recently interpreted in terms of a gas-liquid transition [\[8\]](#page-11-0). Furthermore, in some cases it has been pointed out that self-propulsion is not a necessary intrinsic property to explain the emergence of collective motion [\[9\]](#page-11-0).

One of the topics that is being currently investigated is the one that considers the effects of spatial heterogeneity on the dynamics of active particles, as occurs when the particle motion is limited by a curved surface, or constrained to move on it. It turns out that the processes of the last kind abound in biology. For instance, the embryonic developmental processes can be thought of as a collective cellular movement controlled by a curved surface, the embryonic sac; the cell movement on the development of a corneal growing; the transport of blood cell

through the vascular system; the flocking birds that migrates into different regions of the earth; etc. As a consequence, the importance of the effects of geometric and/or topological features of the embedding space on the diffusion of active particles must be emphasized.

In a broad way, it is generally possible to distinguish the local effects of the embedding manifold curvature on the transport properties of active particles from the global ones due to the topology. This means, in particular, that the curvature effects will be revealed locally in a neighborhood of a given point, especially in the short-time regime of the particle dynamics. Topological effects on the other hand, will be manifested in the opposite limit, i.e., in the longtime regime. The effects of curvature have been observed in the dynamics of single self-propelled particles inside curved (convex and nonconvex) walls, where the probability density function depends strongly on the curvature of the confining surface [\[10–12\]](#page-11-0). In addition, the coupling of the geometric shape of single obstacles or microcomponents with an active fluid induces on them a characteristic dynamics of active behavior exhibiting the importance of the geometric aspects of the swimmers [\[13\]](#page-11-0). There is also interest in the definition of the so-called swimming pressure where the combined effects of interaction among self-propelled particles and the wall shape of the container are important [\[14,15\]](#page-11-0). Meanwhile, a theoretical framework that considers the effects of the curvature of a convex surface on the dynamics of interacting active particles has been proposed [\[16\]](#page-11-0). Further, it has been recently shown that the collective motion of systems composed of interacting polar active particles confined to ellipsoidal surfaces exhibit the formation of swirling patterns around surface points of constant curvature, making clear the effects of a curved substrate on collective motion [\[17\]](#page-11-0). Similarly, it has been shown that active apolar fluids experience a curvatureinduced spontaneous active flow when confined on a curved surface [\[18\]](#page-11-0).

On the other hand, the effects of topology have been pinpointed in different recent studies. In Ref. [\[19\]](#page-11-0) the

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: pcastrov@unach.mx

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: fjsevilla@fisica.unam.mx

<span id="page-1-0"></span>emergence of out-of-equilibrium spatiotemporal patterns has been analyzed as the consequence of the combination of active matter and topological constraints. It turns out that the topology of the sphere is determinant for the appearance of an oscillating dynamics in active nematic films confined onto the sphere surface. Similarly, the topology of the sphere plays a key roll on the emergence of collective patterns of motion in a system of interacting self-propelled particles confined to move on the surface of the sphere  $[20,21]$ . This has been explored recently in Ref. [\[22\]](#page-11-0) on the basis of a generalization of the continuum Toner-Tu model for an active polar fluid confined to an arbitrary curved surface, where it is shown that the presence of curvature induces a gap in the sound mode spectrum at short wave vectors, leading to a flocking band structure with nontrivial topology.

In the general theoretical setting, the overdamped kinematics of an active Brownian particle is described by a pair of Langevin-like equations, one for the particle's position and the other for the swimming direction [\[1\]](#page-10-0). A coarse-grained description only in terms of the probability density of the particle's position leads to a Smoluchowski-like equation which, in the open Euclidean space and in the long-time regime, is well approximated by the so-called the telegrapher equation (TE) [\[23–25\]](#page-11-0). Such an equation accounts for persistent Brownian motion if coherent initial distributions are avoided in order to maintain the positiveness of the probability density  $[26]$ , i.e., it is valid only in the diffusive regime. Notwithstanding this, it has been proved that the TE provides the *whole and exact* time dependence of the mean squared displacement of a self-propelled particle [\[23,24\]](#page-11-0), not like this the time dependence of higher moments which are well approximated by the TE only in the long-time regime.

The TE has been widely studied since it describes transport phenomena in many different contexts. It was introduced in the middle of the last century to take into account the effects of persistence in the one-dimensional trajectories of random walkers that propagate at finite speed [\[27,28\]](#page-11-0). The straightforward generalization of the telegrapher equation to dimensions larger than 1 must be taken with care if its solutions must be interpreted as a probability density. Indeed the solutions of the TE can have negative values in the short-time regime as pointed out in Refs. [\[26,29\]](#page-11-0). The origin of this feature can be traced back to the wake effects of the wavelike behavior of the solutions in that specific time regime. This contrasts with the transport properties of an ensemble of noninteracting persistent random walkers that move in continuous two-dimensional space  $[23]$ , for which it is clear that for times shorter than the persistence time, no wake effects are apparent and a sharp front of particles that move almost in a ballistic way is observed. One can anticipate that the same issue of positiveness of the TE solutions in the open Euclidean space will also appear on high-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, however as in the former case, there is an appropriate parameter region where probability density is positive [\[23,26\]](#page-11-0).

In this paper a theory of diffusion of active particles on curved surfaces is presented. The theoretical framework starts with Langevin equations for the kinematic state of an active particle confined to move on a curved surface. After deriving the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation, we develop a fluctuating hydrodynamiclike description for a

collection of noninteracting active particles on the surface. This description is given through a hierarchy of coupled equations for the hydrodynamic field tensors, i.e., for the scalar particle density  $\rho$ , the polarization vector field  $\mathbb{P}^a$ , the second rank tensor or nematic field  $\mathbb{Q}^{ab}$ , etc. [\[7\]](#page-11-0). By use of the standard *polar approximation*, which consists of the truncation of the hierarchy of equations to close them up by retaining only the particle density and the polarization vector field, we show that  $\rho$  satisfies a generalization of the TE to curved surfaces. The general covariance of the resulting TE is exploited in order to study the curvature effects appearing in the particle density, and particularly in the mean squared geodesic-displacement (MSGD). The calculation is performed by the use of the local frame provided by the Riemann normal coordinates (RNCs) [\[30\]](#page-11-0). Finally, we consider the surface of a sphere as the underlying curved manifold, as an application of our general results.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the Langevin equations of motion for an active particle confined to a curved surface. The Fokker-Planck equation associated to the stochastic equations is derived in order to build a standard hydrodynamics description for the system. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0) we discuss the general aspects regarding the evolution of the particle density on the surface, when the polar approximation is enforced. In Sec. [IV,](#page-4-0) we provide analytical expressions in the limit of weak curvature. In addition, the mean-square displacement is studied under the same circumstances. In Sec. [V,](#page-5-0) we give an explicit application of our theoretical framework to a system of active particles diffusing on the sphere. In the final Sec. [VI,](#page-7-0) we give our concluding remarks and perspectives of this work.

### **II. ACTIVE MOTION ON CURVED SURFACES**

The kinematic state of an active particle that swims with constant speed  $v_0$  on a two-dimensional curved surface *S* is determined by its position  $x(t)$  and the direction of motion  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{swim}}(t)$ . The particle's position on the surface is described by the two local coordinates  $x^{\mu}(t)$  and  $x^{\nu}(t)$ , which are denoted simply by  $x^a(t)$  with  $a = \mu$ ,  $\nu$ . The self-propulsion director  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{swim}}(t)$ , that changes the position of the particle along the surface, is contained only on the tangential plane to the surface at the point where the particle is located, i.e., it is a two-dimensional *x<sup>a</sup>*-dependent quantity whose dynamics is described by the evolution of the coordinates  $v_{\text{swim}}^a$ , which must satisfy the condition  $v_{\text{swim}}^a(t)v_{\text{swim}}^b(t)g_{ab}[x(t)] = 1$ ,  $g_{ab}[x(t)]$ being the metric tensor that characterizes the Riemannian geometry of the surface. Throughout this paper we will use indistinctly the single symbol  $x(t)$  or  $x^a(t)$  as a shorthand notation to refer to the pair of coordinates  $x^{\mu}(t)$  and  $x^{\nu}(t)$ . The stochastic equations that give the dynamics of the active particle on a curved surface are (see Appendix [A](#page-8-0) for a derivation)

$$
\frac{d}{dt}x^a(t) = v_0 v_{\text{swim}}^a(t),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}v_{\text{swim}}^a(t) = -v_0 v_{\text{swim}}^c(t) v_{\text{swim}}^d(t) \Gamma^a_{cd}
$$
\n(1a)

$$
+\sqrt{2\gamma g[x(t)]}\,v_{\text{swim}}^c(t)\,\epsilon_{cd}\,g^{da}\,\zeta[x(t)],\quad(1b)
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>where Einstein notation, summation over repeated indexes, is assumed.  $\gamma$  denotes the strength of the active fluctuations that affects the direction of motion and has units of time<sup>-1</sup>, while  $g[x(t)] = det{g_{ab}[x(t)]}.$  Notice that together with  $v_0$ , the characteristic length scale,  $L = v_0/\gamma$ , measures the average distance that an active particle travels along a given direction and is called the *persistent length*. *ζ* [*x*(*t*)] is a scalar noise that depends explicitly on the particle state through the projection of the three-dimensional vector  $\zeta(t)$  along **N**[ $x$ <sup>*a*</sup>(*t*)].  $\zeta(t)$  dictates the time evolution of the swimming direction and its entries are Gaussian white noise with zero mean.  $N[x^a(t)]$  is a local unitary normal vector to the tangential plane located at the particle position  $x^a(t)$  on the surface.  $g^{a\bar{b}}$  and  $\epsilon_{ab}$  denote the inverse of the metric tensor and the two-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor, respectively, while  $\Gamma^a{}_{cd}$  denotes the Christoffel symbols. All these tensors encompass the geometrical data of the intrinsically curved surface. Particularly, for a flat surface, where one has  $\Gamma^a_{cd} = 0$  and  $g_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ , the above stochastic equations [\(1\)](#page-1-0) reduce to the equations studied in Ref. [\[23\]](#page-11-0). A slightly different approach that considers the interaction between active particles confined on a curved surface is presented in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-11-0).

By following standard methods [\[31\]](#page-11-0), it is straightforward to show that the one-particle probability density

$$
P(x, v, t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \prod_{a} \delta[x^{a} - x^{a}(t)] \right. \\ \times \delta[v^{a} - v_{\text{swim}}^{a}(t)] \Bigg\rangle, \tag{2}
$$

satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation

$$
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} \left[ (g^{ab} v^2 - v^a v^b) \frac{\partial P}{\partial v^b} \right] - \nabla_a (v_0 v^a P) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} (v_0 v^c v^d \Gamma^a_{cd} P),
$$
\n(3)

where  $v^2 = v^a v^b g_{ab} = 1$  by consistency with the fact that the swimming direction is a vector of length  $1$  (see Appendix  $\bf{B}$ ) and  $\nabla_a$  denotes the covariant derivative compatible with the metric  $g_{ab}$ . In Eq. (2),  $\langle \cdots \rangle$  symbolizes the average over the realizations of the active fluctuations *ζ* [*x*(*t*)]. Equation (3) takes into account, in an explicit manner, the effects of the surface curvature on the dynamics of an active particle constrained to move on that surface. The first term of such an equation accounts for the internal fluctuations on the direction of motion that occurs on the tangent plane located at the particle location on the surface. The second term gives the drift term on the surface due to the self-propulsion, while the third one accounts for the constrained motion to the surface as is evidenced by the appearance of the Christoffel symbols. Notice that strictly speaking, *P*(*x,v,t*) depends conditionally also on the initial values of the position and velocity, not written explicitly for the sake of simplicity.

The drift term in Eq. (3) hinders the obtention of an exact solution, however, a thorough analysis can be carried out along different methods [\[23,24,32,33\]](#page-11-0). We follow the standard coarse-graining procedure to give a fluctuatinglike hydrodynamic description of Eq. (3) [\[16,32,34\]](#page-11-0) in terms of a hierarchy of coupled equations for the hydrodynamic tensor fields. These tensor fields are defined through a multipolar expansion of the single-particle probability density  $P(x, v, t)$ as

$$
P(x,v,t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_r(x,t) \tau^r(v),
$$
 (4)

where  $\mathbb{H}_{r}(x,t)\tau^{r}(v)$  denotes the contraction of the hydrodynamic tensor field of rank r,  $\mathbb{H}_r(x,t)$  with the tensor of the same rank  $\tau^r(v)$ . The set of tensors  $\{\tau^r(v)\}$  forms an orthogonal basis, thus, the hydrodynamic tensor fields are calculated by mean of the projection

$$
\mathbb{H}_{r}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2^{r}} \int d^{2}v \,\tau^{r}(v) \, P(x,v,t). \tag{5}
$$

With the firsts elements of such a basis,

$$
\tau^0(\nu) = 1,\tag{6a}
$$

$$
\tau^a(v) = 2v^a,\tag{6b}
$$

$$
\tau^{ab}(v) = 4\left(v^a v^b - \frac{1}{2}g^{ab}\right),\tag{6c}
$$

$$
\tau^{abc}(v) = 8\left(v^a v^b v^c - \frac{1}{4}g^{ab} v^c - \frac{1}{4}g^{bc} v^a - \frac{1}{4}g^{ca} v^b\right),\tag{6d}
$$

we have that the first hydrodynamic tensors, the probability density  $\rho(x,t)$ , the polarization field  $\mathbb{P}^{a}(x,t)$ , the nematic order parameter  $\mathbb{Q}^{ab}(x,t)$ , and the third rank tensor field  $\mathbb{R}^{abc}(x,t)$ , are given explicitly by

$$
\rho(x,t) \equiv \mathbb{H}^0(x,t) = \int d^2v P(x,v,t), \tag{7a}
$$

$$
\mathbb{P}^{a}(x,t) \equiv \mathbb{H}^{a}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{2}v \,\tau^{a}(v) P(x,v,t), \quad (7b)
$$

$$
\mathbb{Q}^{ab}(x,t) \equiv \mathbb{H}^{ab}(x,t) = \frac{1}{4} \int d^2v \,\tau^{ab}(v) P(x,v,t), \quad (7c)
$$

$$
\mathbb{R}^{abc}(x,t) \equiv \mathbb{H}^{abc}(x,t) = \frac{1}{8} \int d^2v \tau^{abc}(v) P(x,v,t). \quad (7d)
$$

The orthonormal basis chosen enforces some restrictions in these hydrodynamic quantities, for instance, it can be proved that nematic order parameter is traceless with respect to the metric tensor, i.e.,  $g_{ab} \mathbb{Q}^{ab} = 0$ . In the following, we briefly depict how the hierarchy of equations for these hydrodynamic quantities emerge from Eq.  $(3)$  [\[16\]](#page-11-0).

After the integration over the velocity domain on both sides of the Fokker-Planck equation (3), the change in time of  $\rho(x,t)$  is related with the polarization field in the continuitylike equation

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla_a(v_0 \mathbb{P}^a),\tag{8}
$$

where  $v_0 \mathbb{P}^a$  can be interpreted as the probability current on the surface. The evolution equations for the hydrodynamic tensor fields  $\mathbb{P}^{a}(x,t)$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}^{ab}(x,t)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{abc}(x,t)$ , etc., are obtained after multiplying Eq. (3) by  $\tau^a$ ,  $\tau^{ab}$ ,  $\tau^{abc}$ , etc., and integrate over the whole velocity domain. Special care must be taken with such evaluations due to the particular way the covariant <span id="page-3-0"></span>derivative acts on the rank-*k* tensors [\[35\]](#page-11-0). It can be shown that the Christoffel symbols disappear explicitly in the equation for  $\mathbb{P}^a$ , namely

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbb{P}^a}{\partial t} = -\gamma \mathbb{P}^a - \frac{v_0}{2} \nabla^a \rho - v_0 \nabla_b \mathbb{Q}^{ab}.
$$
 (9)

The evolution equation for the nematic order tensor field  $\mathbb{Q}^{ab}(x,t)$  can be written in terms of the polarization field, and a traceless three rank tensor field  $\mathbb{R}^{abc}(x,t)$  [\[16\]](#page-11-0), to say

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbb{Q}^{ab}}{\partial t} = -4\gamma \mathbb{Q}^{ab} - \frac{v_0}{4} \mathbb{T}^{ab} - v_0 \nabla_c \mathbb{R}^{abc},\tag{10}
$$

where  $\mathbb{T}^{ab}$  denotes the traceless rank-2 tensor  $-g^{ab}\nabla_c\mathbb{P}^c$  +  $(\nabla^a \mathbb{P}^b + \nabla^b \mathbb{P}^a)$ . The evolution equations for  $\mathbb{R}^{abc}(x,t)$ , and higher order hydrodynamic fields can be obtained by a similar procedure. Initial data for the each of the hierarchy equations is obtained consistently from the initial data.

Notice that Eqs.  $(8)$  and  $(9)$  can be combined through the explicit elimination of the polarization field to obtain

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{v_0^2}{2} \Delta_g \rho + v_0^2 \nabla_a \nabla_b \mathbb{Q}^{ab},\tag{11}
$$

where  $\Delta_g$  is the so-called Laplace-Beltrami operator, given explicitly in generalized coordinates by

$$
\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \sqrt{g(x)} g^{ab}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}.
$$
 (12)

With the probability density function,  $\rho(x,t)$ , at hand, we look at the expectation values of physical observables,  $O(x)$ , like the mean squared geodesic displacement. The expectation values are defined in the standard fashion by

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}[x(t)] \rangle = \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \mathcal{O}(x) \rho(x, t). \tag{13}
$$

It is noteworthy to mention that in the open Euclidean space one is able to obtain the exact time dependence for the MSGD directly from the Eq. (11). Indeed, it can be shown straightforwardly from (11) that  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle = \langle [x - x_0]^2 \rangle$  satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle s^2(t) \rangle + \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \langle s^2(t) \rangle = \frac{v_0^2}{2}
$$

$$
\times \int d^2x [\rho \nabla^2 + 2 \mathbb{Q}^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b] s^2.
$$
 (14)

The last integral can be evaluated by noticing that  $\nabla^2 s^2 = 4$ , and  $\nabla_a \nabla_b s^2 = 2\delta_{ab}$ , therefore the term proportional to  $\mathbb{Q}^{ab} \delta_{ab}$ vanishes by the symmetry of the nematic tensor. With these considerations we have

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\langle s^2(t)\rangle + \gamma \frac{d}{dt}\langle s^2(t)\rangle = 2v_0^2,\tag{15}
$$

whose solution with initial conditions  $\langle s^2(t=0) \rangle = 0$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}$   $\langle s^2(t=0) \rangle = 0$  gives the exact expression [\[23\]](#page-11-0)

$$
\langle s^2(t) \rangle = 4D[t - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})/\gamma], \qquad (16)
$$

where  $D = v_0^2/2\gamma$  is the effective diffusion constant.

In the next section the polar approximation is considered. In this approximation the nematic order tensor field and the higher order tensor fields as well are assumed fast variables and approximately homogeneous over the points of the curved surface. Thus, the second term in the right-hand side of Eq.  $(11)$ can be neglected leading to the so-called telegrapher equation.

## **III. POLAR APPROXIMATION: TELEGRAPHER EQUATION**

Our main interest is in getting an approximated equation for the zero-rank hydrodynamic field  $\rho(x,t)$ , which gives the probability density of finding a particle located at the coordinates *x* independently of its swimming direction *v*. It is customary to truncate the infinite hierarchy of equations of the last section to withhold only the polarization field  $\mathbb{P}^a$ and disregard the contribution of higher multipole terms. This procedure simplifies the calculations by neglecting a whole lot of information, the payoff, only some quantities (as the mean-squared displacement in the two-dimensional Euclidean space) are well described by such an approximation [\[24\]](#page-11-0). Nonetheless, the approximation gets better the longer the time regime of the description since the information from higher rank tensors turns out negligible. Indeed, as can be seen from Eqs. (9) and (10), the polarization field is damped out as  $e^{-\gamma t}$ , while the nematic order parameter tensor is damped out faster as  $e^{-4\gamma t}$ . The higher the rank of the tensor in consideration, the faster it is damped out, as has been exhibited in the case of active motion on the plane analyzed in Ref. [\[23\]](#page-11-0). In physical grounds, if the active particle is diffusing on the surface of a one-piece manifold, it is expected that as time passes, the density becomes uniform on the surface, i.e.,  $\rho(x,t) \to \Omega_M^{-1}$ , where  $\Omega_{\text{M}}$  is the area of the manifold's surface. Thus, any inhomogeneity of the density at short times is induced by the contribution of higher multipoles of the hierarchy.

Under these considerations we have that, in the polar approximation,  $\rho(x,t)$  satisfies the non-Euclidean version of the so-called telegrapher equation

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{v_0^2}{2} \Delta_g \rho.
$$
 (17)

The telegrapher equation has received much attention in different contexts [\[28\]](#page-11-0) that consider the flat geometry of space, however, to our knowledge, little or nothing has been said about the effects of intrinsic curvature on the transport properties described by Eq. (17).

The formal solution to Eq.  $(17)$  can be found by expanding  $\rho(x,t)$  in a complete set of eigenfunctions,  $\{\Psi_I(x)\}\text{, of the}$ Laplace-Beltrami operator  $-\Delta_g$ . This method is valid for arbitrary one-piece (compact) manifolds M, the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and manifolds that result from the direct product

TABLE I. In this table we show the type of manifolds that we are considering and the corresponding identification of the index, sum, and eigenfunctions.

Manifold	Index	Sum	Eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{g}$
M $\mathbb{R}^d$ $M \times \mathbb{R}$	(I,p)	$\int d^d p$ $\sum_{I} \int dp$	$\Psi_I(x)$ $\exp(i p \cdot x)/(2\pi)^{d/2}$ $\Psi_I(x)$ exp $(ipz)/\sqrt{2\pi}$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>of these, namely,  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$  (see Table [I\)](#page-3-0). Thus we have

$$
\rho(x,t|x') = \sum_{I} a_{I}(x',t)\Psi_{I}(x),
$$
\n(18)

where we have explicitly stated that the dependence on the initial value  $x'$  and the coefficients  $a_I(x',t)$  are given by (see Appendix [C\)](#page-9-0)

$$
a_I(x',t) = \sum_{i=\pm} \bar{K}_I^{(i)}(t) A_{i,I}(x').
$$
 (19)

 $\bar{K}_I^{\pm}(t)$  are the Green functions,

$$
\bar{K}_I^{\pm}(t) = \pm \frac{\exp\left[\alpha_{\pm}(v_0^2 \lambda_I^2 / 2)t\right]}{\alpha_{\pm}(v_0^2 \lambda_I^2 / 2) - \alpha_{\pm}(v_0^2 \lambda_I^2 / 2)},
$$
\n(20)

that correspond to the two independent solutions of the characteristic equation associated to [\(17\)](#page-3-0), which is equivalent to the second order differential equation of a damped harmonic oscillator.  $A_{i,I}(x')$  are functions of  $x'$  only and are determined from the initial data. The symbol  $\lambda_i^2 \geq 0$ , that has physical dimensions of length<sup>−</sup>2, denotes the discrete set of eigenvalues of  $-\Delta_g$ , that correspond to the eigenfunctions *Ψ*<sub>*I*</sub>(*x*). The functions  $α_{\pm}(ω) = -\frac{γ}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{γ^2}{4} - ω^2}$  are derived in Appendix  $C$ , where  $\gamma$  is the inverse of the persistence time.

If the following initial data are chosen,

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \rho(x, t | x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta^{(2)}(x - x'), \tag{21a}
$$

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial \rho(x, t | x')}{\partial t} = 0,
$$
\n(21b)

namely, if a pulse on the surface starts to propagate with vanishing initial flux, the coefficients  $A_{\pm,I}(x')$  can be computed explicitly and after substitution in (19) and some rearrangements in  $(18)$  we have

$$
\rho(x,t|x') = \sum_{I} G\left(\frac{\gamma t}{2}, \frac{2v_0^2}{\gamma^2} \lambda_I^2\right) \Psi_I^{\dagger}(x') \Psi_I(x), \qquad (22)
$$

where the function  $G(v, w)$  is given explicitly as

$$
G(v, w) = e^{-v} \left[ \cosh(v\sqrt{1 - w}) + \frac{\sinh(v\sqrt{1 - w})}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right].
$$
 (23)

This function embodies the time evolution that characterizes the telegrapher equation; indeed, notice that for each eigenvalue  $\lambda_i^2$ , for which  $(2v_0^2/\gamma^2)\lambda_i^2 > 1$ , *G* shows an oscillatory behavior associated to the wavelike propagation originated by the second order time derivative that appears in the telegrapher equation. The solution for the case of flat space is recovered as can be checked with the explicit solutions presented in Refs. [\[26,36\]](#page-11-0). Note, also, in this case that the particle density satisfies the boundary behavior  $\rho(x,t|x') \to 0$  when  $|x| \to \infty$ .

Notice that two characteristic length scales appear in this, so far, general analysis. One of these scales characterizes the persistence of active motion and we refer to it as the persistence length, denoted with *L* and given by the product of the swimming speed  $v_0$  times the persistence time  $\gamma^{-1}$ , i.e.,  $L = v_0/\gamma$ . The other length scale characterizes the particular surface under consideration and can be chosen, without loss of generality, as the squared root of the inverse of the first positive

eigenvalue, namely  $R = 1/$  $\sqrt{\lambda_1^2}$  (this is warranted under the assumption of the compactness of the manifold, for which the zero eigenvalue is associated to the constant eigenfunction). Any of these two characteristic lengths can be picked out as the length scale in the system, and the ratio between them, *R/L*, serves as a parameter that compares the effects of curvature to those of persistence in the diffusion process of an active particle on the surface.

In the limit  $L \ll R$  we have that  $G(\gamma t/2, 2\frac{v_0^2}{\gamma^2} \lambda_1^2)$  can be approximated by  $e^{-\lambda_i^2 Dt}$ , where  $D = v_0^2/2\gamma$  is the well-known effective diffusion coefficient. In this limit the particle density is given by

$$
\rho(x,t|x') \simeq \sum_{I} \exp\left(-\lambda_I^2 Dt\right) \Psi_I^{\dagger}(x') \Psi_I(x), \qquad (24)
$$

which corresponds to the formal solution of the diffusion equation in curved manifolds, i.e.,  $\partial \rho / \partial t = D \Delta_g \rho$ . Notice that in the asymptotic limit  $t \to \infty$ , the dominating term corresponds to the constant function associated to the vanishing eigenvalue  $I = 0$ , and therefore  $\rho \rightarrow |\Psi_0|^2$ . From the normalization condition we have that  $\Psi_0^{\dagger} = \Psi_0 = 1/\sqrt{\Omega_M}$ . The mean squared distance from the initial position  $x'$ ,  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle$ , tends to the constant value  $\int dx^a [d(x|x')]^2/\Omega_M$ , where  $d(x|x')$  denotes the geodesic distance between  $x$  and  $x'$ .

In the opposite limit,  $L \gg R$ , the effects of persistence are important, and  $G(\gamma t/2, 2v_0^2 \lambda_I^2/\gamma^2)$  results into an oscillatory function for each eigenvalue  $\lambda_I > 1/L$ . In particular for  $\gamma t \ll 1$  one has that  $G(\gamma t/2, 2v_0^2 \lambda_I^2/\gamma^2) \simeq \cos(\lambda_I \frac{v_0}{\sqrt{2}}t)$  and therefore

$$
\rho(x,t|x') \simeq \sum_{I} \cos(\lambda_I \, v_0 t/\sqrt{2}) \Psi_I^{\dagger}(x') \Psi_I(x), \tag{25}
$$

which now corresponds to a pulse that propagates on the surface of a compact, curved manifold, that started at  $x'$ , which is a solution of the wave equation,  $\partial^2 \rho / \partial t^2 = (v_0^2 / 2) \Delta_g \rho$ .

### **IV. WEAK CURVATURE APPROXIMATION**

In this section, our goal is to determine an approximation for the probability density function  $\rho(x,t)$  in a neighborhood of a given point of the manifold. This approximation captures the first correction due to the effects of curvature which happens to be linear in the Ricci curvature tensor *Rab* and would serve as a basis to the implementation of computing algorithms to find solutions on arbitrary surfaces.

The procedure used in this paper follows the same techniques originally used in the context of quantum field theory in curved space, developed mainly by DeWitt [\[37\]](#page-11-0) (see Appendix  $C$ ), which goes in analogy with the standard perturbation theory in quantum mechanics. In addition, we use such an approximation for  $\rho(x,t)$ , in order to compute an expression for the mean squared geodesic displacement in the weak curvature regime.

## **A. Probability density function in the neighborhood of a given point on the surface**

For weakly curved surfaces, the probability density function (pdf) around the neighborhood of a given position *x*  <span id="page-5-0"></span>can be approximated as the superposition of the continuous set of eigenfunctions  $\Psi_I(x) = \exp(i p \cdot x) / (2\pi)$  with *p* in  $(-\infty,\infty) \times (-\infty,\infty)$ . Since our interest is in providing the pdf around  $x'$ , it is clear that for weakly enough curved surfaces such pdf can be approximated by its locally flat counterpart and therefore

$$
\rho(x,t|x') = \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)} a(p;x,x',t) e^{ip\cdot x},
$$
 (26)

where the coefficient  $a(p; x, x', t)$  is now given, in analogy with  $(19)$ , by

$$
a(p; x, x', t) = \sum_{i=\pm} \bar{K}^{(i)}(p, x, t) A_i(p, x'). \tag{27}
$$

In this approximation the effects of curvature are encoded in the Green functions  $\bar{K}^{(i)}(p, x, t)$  only, i.e., the curvature decouples explicitly from the complete set of eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The calculations of the Green functions follow the standard procedures used in the perturbation theory in quantum mechanics and are computed explicitly in Appendix  $C$ ; these are given by

$$
\bar{K}^{\pm}(p,x,t) = \pm \frac{g^{-1/4}(x) \exp \{ \alpha_{\pm} [H_0(p)]t \}}{\alpha_{\pm} [H_0(p)] - \alpha_{\pm} [H_0(p)]},\qquad(28)
$$

where  $\alpha_{\pm}(P) = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} - P}$  and

$$
H_0(p) = \frac{v_0^2}{2} \left( p^2 - \frac{R_g(x')}{6} \right),\tag{29}
$$

with  $R_g(x')$  being the well-known scalar curvature (see Appendix  $\hat{C}$ ) evaluated at *x'*. As before,  $A_i(p, x')$  are directly determined from the initial data  $(21)$ , which lead to

$$
\rho(x,t|x') \simeq \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)} e^{ip \cdot (x-x')} g^{-1/4}(x) g^{-1/4}(x')
$$

$$
\times G\left[\frac{\gamma t}{2}, \frac{4}{\gamma^2} H_0(p)\right],
$$
(30)

with the function *G* defined as in  $(23)$ . In order to obtain the linear curvature response we still need to expand the function *G*[ $\frac{\gamma t}{2}$ ,  $\frac{4}{\gamma^2}$ *H*<sub>0</sub>(*p*)] and *g*<sup>1/4</sup>(*x*) linearly in the curvature. This can be achieved by considering the characteristic function of  $\rho(x,t|x')$ , namely  $\tilde{\rho}(p,t) \equiv \langle e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} \rangle$ , given explicitly by the integrand in Eq.  $(30)$ , thus in the weak curvature approximation we have

$$
\widetilde{\rho}(p,t) \simeq G(\gamma t/2, w) + \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{v_0}{\gamma}\right)^4 R_{ab} p^a p^b \frac{\partial^2 G(\gamma t/2, w)}{\partial w^2},\tag{31}
$$

where we must evaluate at  $w = \frac{2v_0^2}{\gamma^2} p^2$ . Using the characteristic function one is able to compute all the moments of the distribution within this approximation.

#### **B. Mean squared geodesic displacement: Weak curvature limit**

The weak curvature limit in the mean-square displacement can be computed using the correlation function  $\langle (x_a - x'_a)(x_b - x'_b) \rangle = -\partial^2 \tilde{\rho}(p,t)/\partial p^a \partial p^b$ , which is calculated with a second derivative of the characteristic function. The structure of this quantity is inherited from the form of  $\rho(\rho,t)$ , that is, within the linear curvature approximation the correlation function displays a known flat expression plus a first correction due to the curvature  $R_{ab}$ , which is multiplied

by the function  $f(z)$  given by

$$
f(z) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ z^2 - 2z + \frac{3}{2} - \left( z + \frac{3}{2} \right) e^{-2z} \right].
$$
 (32)

One can notice that for dimension *d >* 2, correlations between  $(x_a - x'_a)$  and  $(x_b - x'_b)$ , for  $a \neq b$ , may occur depending on the structure of the Ricci tensor *Rab*. However, in the dimension of our interest  $d = 2$ , the Ricci tensor is proportional to the metric tensor  $g_{ab}$  and the scalar curvature  $R_g$ . Furthermore, in  $d = 2$ , the Riemann normal coordinates implies that  $g_{ab}(x') = \delta_{ab}$ , where  $x'$  is the fiducial point. These considerations imply that  $2\langle (x_a - x'_a)(x_b - x'_b) \rangle = \langle s^2(t) \rangle \delta_{ab}$ , where the mean squared geodesic displacement turns out to be

$$
\langle s^2(t) \rangle = \langle s^2(t) \rangle_0 - \frac{32}{3} \left( \frac{D}{\gamma} \right)^2 f\left( \frac{\gamma t}{2} \right) R_g + \cdots, \quad (33)
$$

where the mean squared displacement,  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle_0 =$  $4D[t-(1-e^{-\gamma t})/\gamma]$ , on the flat space was computed previously by one of the authors [\[23\]](#page-11-0). In what follows, we are going to determine the behaviors displayed by the mean squared geodesic displacement (33) in the limiting cases performing in the last section.

For long times,  $\gamma t \gg 1$ , we have  $f(\gamma t/2) \simeq \gamma^2 t^2/16$  which gives the mean squared geodesic displacement,

$$
\langle s^2(t)\rangle \simeq 4Dt - \frac{2}{3}R_g(Dt)^2 + \cdots, \qquad (34)
$$

for a Brownian particle in a curved manifold of scalar curvature  $R_g$ , where *D* is the same effective diffusion coefficient defined above. The first term corresponds to the standard diffusion regime found in a Euclidean space, whereas the second term corresponds to the first correction due to curvature. Further curvature corrections can also be determined using the same procedure [\[38\]](#page-11-0).

For the short-time regime,  $\gamma t \ll 1$ , we have  $f(\gamma t/2) \simeq$  $\gamma^4 t^4/192$ , and then one has the curvature correction to the standard ballistic regime found in flat space given by

$$
\langle s^2 \rangle \simeq v_0^2 t^2 \bigg[ 1 - R_g v_0^2 t^2 \bigg( \frac{1}{72} - \frac{1}{180} \gamma t + \cdots \bigg) \bigg]. \tag{35}
$$

We claim that in an arbitrary local domain  $D \subset M$ , with  $x' \in D$ and scalar curvature  $R_g(x')$ , Eq. (33) describes the crossover from ballistic to diffusive motion on the curved manifold. This is a generalization of the same crossover in Euclidean spaces discovered previously by one of the authors in [\[23\]](#page-11-0).

## **V. ACTIVE MOTION ON THE SURFACE OF THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL SPHERE** *S***<sup>2</sup>**

As an example of the direct application of the general theory given in the previous sections, we analyze in this one the motion of an active particle moving on the surface of a sphere of radius *R*. This particular example has been discussed recently, by the use of numerical simulations, in Ref. [\[39\]](#page-11-0). The kinematic state of the two-dimensional (2D) active particle on the sphere <span id="page-6-0"></span>can be described using Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) specialized to the case of the sphere. In this case, there is a further simplification since one can choose a coordinate system where the metric tensor of  $S^2$  is diagonal.

The Riemannian geometry of the sphere  $S<sup>2</sup>$  is encoded in the metric tensor, which for the standard spherical coordinates  $x^{\theta} = \theta$  and  $x^{\varphi} = \varphi$ , the polar and azimuthal angles, respectively, have the following elements:  $g_{\theta\varphi} = g_{\varphi\theta} = 0$ ,  $g_{\theta\theta} = R^2$ , and  $g_{\varphi\varphi} = R^2 \sin^2 \theta$ . In these coordinates the components of the swimming direction  $\hat{v}_{\text{swim}}$  are denoted with  $v^{\theta}$  and  $v^{\phi}$ , and the Christoffel symbols are explicitly given by  $\Gamma^a{}_{\theta\theta} =$  $\Gamma^{\theta}{}_{\theta\varphi} = \Gamma^{\varphi}{}_{\varphi\varphi} = 0$  with  $a = \theta, \varphi$ ,  $\Gamma^{\varphi}{}_{\theta\varphi} = \cot \theta$ , and  $\Gamma^{\theta}{}_{\varphi\varphi} = \pi^{\varphi}{}_{\theta\varphi}$  $-\sin\theta\cos\theta$  and the determinant of the metric tensor is *g* =  $R^4 \sin^2 \theta$ . With these particular values, we have that Eq. [\(1b\)](#page-1-0) can be written explicitly as

$$
\frac{d}{dt}v_{\text{swim}}^{\theta} = v_0(v^{\varphi})^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta - \sqrt{2\gamma} R \sin \theta v^{\varphi} \zeta [x(t)],
$$
\n(36a)  
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt}v_{\text{swim}}^{\varphi} = -v_0 v_{\text{swim}}^{\theta} v_{\text{swim}}^{\varphi} 2 \cot \theta + \sqrt{2\gamma} R v_{\theta} \zeta [x(t)],
$$
\n(36b)

where the state-dependent noise  $\zeta[x(t)]$  is explicitly given by  $\zeta_1(t)$  sin  $\theta(t)$  cos  $\varphi(t) + \zeta_2(t)$  sin  $\theta(t)$  sin  $\varphi(t) + \zeta_3(t)$  cos  $\theta(t)$ . As a consequence of the constancy of the swimming speed, the  $v_{\text{swim}}^a$ 's are not independent and are related by  $[Rv_{\text{swim}}^{\theta}(t)]^2 + [R \sin \theta v_{\text{swim}}^{\phi}(t)]^2 = 1$ , therefore only one degree of freedom is needed. It is convenient to choose that degree of freedom as the swimming angle  $\Theta(t)$ , such that in terms of this, the components of the swimming direction are  $v_{\text{swim}}^{\theta}(t) = \cos \Theta(t)/R$  and  $v_{\text{swim}}^{\varphi}(t) = \sin \Theta(t)/R \sin \theta(t)$ . With these considerations we have that the Langevin equations that give the trajectories of an active particle on the surface of a sphere are given by

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\theta(t) = \frac{v_0}{R}\cos\Theta(t),\tag{37a}
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t) = \frac{v_0}{R} \frac{\sin \Theta(t)}{\sin \theta(t)},
$$
\n(37b)

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\Theta(t) = -\frac{v_0}{R}\sin\Theta(t)\cot\theta(t) + \sqrt{2\gamma}\zeta[x(t)].
$$
 (37c)

In contrast with the corresponding equations for an active particle that diffuse in a two-dimensional Euclidean plane (see for instance those given in  $[23]$ ), two effects due to the sphere curvature can be identified, namely, the first term in the right-hand side of Eq.  $(37c)$  that accounts for the intrinsic curvature of the sphere and second, the state dependent nature of the active fluctuations which leads to multiplicative noise.

The Fokker-Planck equation for the one-particle distribution function

$$
P(\theta, \varphi, \Theta, t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \prod_{a} \delta[x^{a} - x^{a}(t)] \delta[\Theta - \Theta(t)] \right\rangle,
$$

associated to Eqs. (37), is given, after a straightforward calculation following the method in Sec.  $II$ , by

$$
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \gamma \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \Theta^2} - \frac{v_0 \cos \Theta}{R \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta P) \n- \frac{v_0 \sin \Theta}{R \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} P + \frac{v_0}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} (\sin \Theta \cot \theta P), \quad (38)
$$

where the arguments of *P* have been omitted for the sake of simplicity. We now follow the same procedure used in Ref. [\[23\]](#page-11-0), and we employ the following expansion:

$$
P(\theta, \varphi, \Theta, t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{in\Theta} e^{-\gamma n^2 t} p_n(\theta, \varphi, t), \tag{39}
$$

where the expansion coefficients  $p_n(\theta, \varphi, t)$  satisfy the following hierarchy equations:

$$
\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial t} = -\frac{v_0}{2R} e^{-\gamma t} \sum_{\sigma=-1}^1 e^{-2\sigma \gamma n \, t} \hat{\ell}_{\sigma,n} \, p_{n+\sigma}, \tag{40}
$$

where the operators  $\hat{\ell}_{\sigma,n}$ ,  $\sigma = \pm 1$ , are given explicitly by

$$
\hat{\ell}_{\sigma,n} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta + \sigma i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) + \sigma n \cot \theta. \tag{41}
$$

The diffusion of free active particles on the sphere is given by the exact solution of the hierarchical equation  $(40)$ , which is unknown in the most general case. We explore such a solution in the polar approximation as is discussed in the next section, leaving the analysis of the effects of higher Fourier modes in the expansion (39) to be presented elsewhere in a future paper.

### **Active motion on the sphere** *S***2: Polar approximation**

In the polar approximation, the first three Fourier modes, namely  $p_0(\theta, \varphi, t)$  and  $p_{\pm 1}(\theta, \varphi, t)$ , are retained in such a way that the hierarchical equation  $(40)$  is reduced to a closed system of equations; after elimination of the modes  $p_{\pm 1}(\theta, \varphi, t)$  we have that  $p_0(\theta, \varphi, t)$  satisfies the spherical telegrapher equation

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} p_0 + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_0 = \frac{v_0^2}{2R^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right] p_0(\theta, \varphi, t), \quad (42)
$$

where we have used that  $\hat{\ell}_{-1,0}\hat{\ell}_{+1,-1} + \hat{\ell}_{+1,0}\hat{\ell}_{-1,+1}$  results into two times the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_g$  in spherical coordinates; more precisely

$$
\hat{\ell}_{-1,0}\hat{\ell}_{+1,-1} + \hat{\ell}_{+1,0}\hat{\ell}_{-1,+1} = 2
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right].
$$
\n(43)

As before, we make the identification  $p_0(x,t) \equiv \rho(x,t|x')$ .

As is well known, the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere correspond to those given by the spherical harmonics  $Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$  with eigenvalues  $l(l + \varphi)$  $1)/R^2$ , with  $l = 0, 1, \ldots$  and  $m = -l, \ldots, l$ . If the initial probability distribution corresponds to a pulse with zero velocity in the north pole, the azimuthal invariance allows us to write the <span id="page-7-0"></span>solution in the following manner:

$$
\rho(\theta,\varphi,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi R^2} G\left[\frac{\gamma t}{2}, 2\frac{v_0^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{l(l+1)}{R^2}\right] P_l(\cos\theta),\tag{44}
$$

where  $P_l(\cos \theta)$  denotes the Legendre polynomial of degree *l*. Notice the explicit appearance of the ratio  $R/L = R/(v_0/\gamma)$ , which measures the competence between the effects of curvature and the effects of persistence. If the persistence length is much smaller than the curvature radius,  $L/R \rightarrow 0$ , the well-known solution of diffusion on the sphere,

$$
\rho(\theta,\varphi,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi R^2} e^{-Dl(l+1)t/R^2} P_l(\cos\theta), \qquad (45)
$$

is recovered, with the effective diffusion constant  $D = v_0^2/2\gamma$ .

#### *Mean squared geodesic displacement on S***<sup>2</sup>**

Coincident with the initial data previously chosen, the geodesic displacement  $s(t)$  is  $R\theta(t)$  and correspondingly the mean square geodesic displacement,  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle$  is given by  $R^2\langle\theta^2(t)\rangle$ . A differential equation for the mean square geodesic displacement can be obtained directly from Eq. [\(42\)](#page-6-0), namely

$$
\frac{d^2\langle s^2(t)\rangle}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{d\langle s^2(t)\rangle}{dt} = v_0^2 \left\{ 1 + \left\langle \frac{s(t)}{R} \cot\left[\frac{s(t)}{R}\right] \right\rangle \right\}.
$$
 (46)

In contrast with its counterpart in the two-dimensional Eu-clidean manifold [\(15\)](#page-3-0), the last equation is not closed in  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle$ but coupled in a high nonlinear way with higher moments of  $\sum_{n}(-1)^{n}2^{2n}B_{2n}z^{2n}/(2n)!$ , where  $B_{n}$  are the Bernoulli num $s(t)$ , however, by the use of the Taylor expansion of  $z \cot z =$ bers, we have in the limit of weak curvature, i.e.,  $v_0/\gamma \ll R$ , that the right-hand side of Eq. (46) is  $2v_0^2[1 - \frac{1}{6R^2}(s^2) - \cdots]$ . By retaining only the first correction proportional to *R*−<sup>2</sup> and recalling that the Ricci scalar curvature is  $R_g = 2/R^2$ , the solution to the last equation coincides with the mean square geodesic displacement given in Eq. [\(33\)](#page-5-0).

The exact time dependence of  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle$  can be obtained by the use of  $(44)$ , which is given explicitly by

$$
\langle s^2(t) \rangle = \frac{R^2}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) g_{\theta^2}(l) G \left[ \frac{\gamma t}{2}, \frac{2L^2}{R^2} l(l+1) \right], \quad (47)
$$

where  $g_{\theta^2}(l)$  denotes the projection of  $\theta^2$  onto the Legendre polynomial of degree *l*, i.e.,

$$
g_{\theta^2}(l) = \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \, \sin \theta \, P_l(\cos \theta) \, \theta^2,\tag{48}
$$

and whose explicit dependence on *l* has been given in Ref. [\[40\]](#page-11-0). As before, *L* denotes the persistent length.

The time dependence of the mean squared geodesic displacement, given in Eq. (47), is shown in Fig. [1](#page-8-0) for some particular values of the ratio *R/L*. As can be observed in the figure, an active particle confined to the sphere exhibits two conspicuously different behaviors whenever *R/L* is larger or smaller than 2 (left panel). On the one hand, for  $R/L \le 2$ , the mean squared geodesic displacement starts growing quadratically with time (ballistic regime) in contrast to the linear grow for standard diffusion (attained in the  $R/L \gg 1$ regime for times larger than  $\gamma^{-1}$ ; see the right panel). The

explicit time dependence of  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle$  in the ballistic regime can be written as  $v_{\text{eff}}^2 t^2$ , with  $v_{\text{eff}}$  an effective swimming speed defined through  $v_{\text{eff}}^2 = \eta^2 v_0^2/8$ , where the constant  $\eta^2 = -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l(l +$  $1(2l + 1)g_{\theta^2}(l) > 0$ . In addition, the mean squared geodesic displacement reaches the asymptotic value  $(\pi^2 - 4)/2$  nonmonotonically exhibiting oscillations (left panel), contrary to the case  $R/L > 2$  for which such behavior is monotonic. These oscillations have been pointed out in the numerical analysis of Apaza and Sandoval [\[39\]](#page-11-0), though in there, the authors consider translational fluctuations in addition to rotational ones. The physical meaning of such oscillatory behavior is clear: The initial pulse in the north pole of the sphere starts to propagate with speed  $v_0$  in all directions forming a sharp ring that sweeps the sphere surface (and in general the surface of a compact manifold) a number of times that depends on the ratio *R/L*. Indeed, this is confirmed by the estimation of the time at which the first maximum of the oscillations appears, which roughly corresponds to the time at which the particles reach the south pole of the sphere *t*south; a simple calculation leads the south pole of the sphere  $t_{\text{south}}$ ; a simple calculation reads<br>to  $t_{\text{south}} \approx (2\sqrt{2}\pi/\sqrt{\eta^2}) R/v_0$  that gives  $\gamma t_{\text{south}} \approx 0.5878$  for  $R/L = 0.25$ . As time passes the ring becomes thicker due to fluctuations, persistence effects become negligible, and the distribution turns uniform in the asymptotic limit. For large values of the  $R/L$  the effects of persistence are damped out leading to a standard diffusive regime.

### **VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND PERSPECTIVES**

In this paper, we have analyzed the diffusion of noninteracting active particles confined to move on a curved surface. On the one hand, Langevin equations that consider explicitly the effects of curvature and active motion are provided. By the use of the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation, we built the standard stochastic hydrodynamic hierarchy of equations that couple the particle density  $\rho$ , the polarization field  $\mathbb{P}^a$ , the nematic tensor  $\mathbb{Q}_{ab}$ , etc. Of particular importance, the commonly used polar approximation was considered. Such approximation consists in truncating the hierarchy of equations retaining only up to the polarization field and disregarding higher order tensors. The approximation is valid in the longtime regime when the nematic tensor can be considered as a fast variable and homogeneous over the surface. As consequence of the approximation, it was shown that the conserved particle density obeys a generalization of the telegrapher equation in curved surfaces, where the Laplace operator in Euclidean space is replaced by the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator that considers the intrinsic curvature of the surface.

The main consequences of the generalization of the telegrapher equation to curved manifolds were discussed. On the one hand, a general solution is given for compact manifolds in terms of a expansion on the discrete set of eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. In the short-time regime, the provided solution corresponds to the solution of the wave equation in curved surfaces that characterizes wavelike propagation. In the weak-curvature limit, such propagation is reminiscent of the propagation in the plane, i.e., with propagation speed *v*0, and wake effects are markedly observed. Interestingly, however, for arbitrary values of curvature, the signal propagation is realized at an effective speed that depends on the surface curvature. In a local domain, we have studied

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Time dependence of the dimensionless mean squared geodesic displacement  $\langle s^2(r) \rangle / R^2 = \langle \theta^2(t) \rangle$  for different values of the ratio of the sphere radius *R* to the persistent length *L*. In the left panel,  $R/L = 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0,$  and 5.0, the effects of persistence are marked by the  $t^2$  dependence and by the oscillations around the asymptotic value  $\langle \theta^2(t) \rangle = (\pi^2 - 4)/2$ , that characterizes the uniform distribution on the whole sphere (dotted lines). In the right panel  $R/L = 10, 20$ , and 100, the effects of persistence are diminished and  $\langle s^2(t) \rangle$  starts exhibiting the standard linear dependence for times larger than  $\gamma^{-1}$ . The thick-gray lines mark the linear *t* and quadratic  $t^2$  time dependence.

the effects of curvature in the probability density function as well as in the mean squared geodesic displacement.

If initial data corresponding to a pulse with vanishing current is chosen, the pulse turns with time into a ringlike structure that propagates with the effective speed and for compact surfaces and for small enough ratios *R/L*, the ring structure recurs with time that lead to oscillations in the mean squared geodesic displacement. This is clearly exhibited in Sec. [V](#page-5-0) in the case of the sphere.

In the regime for which the persistence length is much smaller than the curvature that characterizes the surface,  $L/R \rightarrow 0$ , the solution to the generalized telegrapher equation [\(17\)](#page-3-0) is close to the solution of the diffusion equation on curved manifolds with an effective diffusion constant  $D = v_0^2/2\gamma$ , i.e., the second order derivative with respect to time in Eq. [\(17\)](#page-3-0) can be disregarded. In this regime it is shown that the MSGD coincides with that previously obtained for passive Brownian particles in curved space [\[38\]](#page-11-0). On the contrary, in the persistent regime, it is shown how the MSGD has a ballistic behavior; in particular, we provide corrections to this behavior when the effects of curvature and diffusive effects begin to be relevant.

The results presented in this study can be extended along several directions. Among these are the realization of a systematic study of the dynamics of active particles in a sphere beyond the polar approximation, and the inclusion of passive fluctuations on the translational degree of freedom of the model can be treated in the same way to obtain analytical results. In particular, this situation could be subjected to an experimental scrutiny as has been the case for passive Brownian particles on the sphere [\[41\]](#page-11-0). Another natural extension can be developed to include the effects of curvature in continuous mean field models similar to those of Toner and Tu hydrodynamic equations. Also, we can derive the hydrodynamic equations of Brownian particles with alignment interaction in curved space. Finally, the methods and results proposed in the present work allow us to propose a step further to develop simulation algorithms to study active particles with alignment

interaction in different surfaces such as ellipsoids, tori, catenoids, etc.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

F.J.S. acknowledges support from DGAPA-UNAM through Grant No. PAPIIT-IN114717. P.C.V. acknowledges financial support by CONACyT Grant No. 237425 and PROFOCIE-UNACH 2016 and 2017.

## **APPENDIX A: LANGEVIN EQUATIONS FOR ACTIVE PARTICLES MOVING ON SURFACES**

The starting point in the derivation of Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is the following pair of equations:

$$
\ddot{x}^c + \Gamma^c{}_{ab}\dot{x}^a\dot{x}^b = \frac{\mu}{m}\mathbf{e}^c \cdot \left[ -\mathbf{e}_b \dot{x}^b + v_0 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{swim}}(t) \right], \quad \text{(A1a)}
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{swim}}(t) = \sqrt{2\gamma} \,\boldsymbol{\zeta}(t) \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{swim}}(t),\tag{A1b}
$$

where the superscripts  $a,b,c$  label the particular local coordinate used, *m* is the mass of the particle, and  $\mu$  is the dragging coefficient of the friction force exerted by the surface on the particle. {**e***a*} form a set of linearly independent local vectors at the position of the particle on the surface. Equation  $(A1a)$ corresponds to the equation of motion of particle of mass *m* moving on a surface [\[40\]](#page-11-0) subject to a linear friction force (first term in squared parentheses) and to self-propulsion force (second term). Equation (A1b), on the other hand, accounts for the internal dynamics of the self-propelling "swimming force," that accounts for the stochastic rotations of  $\hat{v}_{\text{swim}}(t)$  in the tangent plane on the surface at the position of the particle, and *ζ* (*t*) is a three-dimensional vector whose entries correspond to Gaussian white noise with zero mean and unit variance.

We consider the overdamped limit, that is, the limit for which inertial effects can be neglected, consequently the lefthand side of Eq. (A1a) is identical to zero which directly leads

<span id="page-9-0"></span>to  $(1a)$ . We also ignore any possible Brownian contribution due to external thermal fluctuations.

The change in time of  $\hat{v}_{\text{swim}}(t)$  involves the change in time of the local coordinate system, and therefore the change of the local basis {**e***a*}. The variation of the local coordinate system upon small movements on the surface is accounted for by the Weingarten-Gauss formulas,  $(\partial/\partial x^b)$ **e**<sub>*a*</sub> −  $\Gamma^c{}_{ba}$ **e**<sub>*c*</sub> = −  $K_{ab}$ **N**, where  $K_{ab}$  denotes the components of the second fundamental form of the surface, namely,  $K_{ab} = \mathbf{e}_a \cdot (\partial/\partial x^b) \mathbf{N}$ . Thus the left-hand side of  $(A1b)$  can be written as

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{swim}}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}v_{\text{swim}}^a \mathbf{e}_a + v_{\text{swim}}^a(\Gamma^c{}_{ba}\mathbf{e}_c - K_{ab}\mathbf{N})\frac{dx^b}{dt}.
$$
\n(A2)

Since the dynamics occurs in the tangent plane we project Eq.  $(A1b)$  into it, thus the left-hand side becomes

$$
\frac{dv_{\text{swim}}^a}{dt} + v_0 v_{\text{swim}}^a v_{\text{swim}}^b \Gamma^c{}_{ba},\tag{A3}
$$

where [\(1a\)](#page-1-0) has been used to replace  $dx^b/dt$ . The projection of the right-hand side of the equation,  $\sqrt{2\gamma\zeta} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{swim}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_d$ , can be written as

$$
\sqrt{2\gamma} \sqrt{g} v_{\text{swim}}^f \epsilon_{fd} \zeta \cdot \mathbf{N}.
$$
 (A4)

These considerations lead straightforward to Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

#### **APPENDIX B: CONDITION OF CONSISTENCY**  $v^2 = 1$

Proof of 
$$
v^2 = 1
$$

Here we show that the random variables  $v^a$  in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0) satisfy the condition  $v^2 = v^a v^b g_{ab} = 1$ . To this purpose we consider the change in time of the expectation value of  $v^2$ , that is,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\langle v^2 \rangle = \int d\mu v^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(x, v, t), \tag{B1}
$$

where  $d\mu = \sqrt{g}d^2xd^2v$  denotes the measure of the phase space. By use of the Fokker-Planck equation [\(3\)](#page-2-0) and after integrating by parts one has that and  $\Lambda^{ab} = g^{ab}v^2 - v^a v^b$ ,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\langle v^2 \rangle = 2 \int d\mu \left[ \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial v^b} (g^{ab} v^2 - v^a v^b) v_a - 2v_0 v^a v^b v^c \Gamma_{abc} \right] P(x, v, t),
$$
\n(B2)

where the identities

$$
\frac{\partial g^{cd}}{\partial x^a} = -g^{bd} g^{ec} \frac{\partial g_{be}}{\partial x^a}, \frac{\partial g_{bc}}{\partial x^a} = \Gamma_{bca} + \Gamma_{cba}
$$
 (B3)

have been used. Finally, notice that  $(g^{ab}v^2 - v^a v^b)v_a = 0$  and  $v^a v^b v^c \Gamma_{abc} = 0$ , then one has that  $\langle v^2 \rangle$  is a constant, which can be chosen to be 1 by a simple scaling argument. Since  $\langle v^2 \rangle = 1$ , it means that

$$
\int d\mu(v^2 - 1)P(x, v, t) = 0,
$$
 (B4)

which implies that  $v^2 = 1$ , since  $P(x, v, t)$  is a positive function in the whole phase-space domain.



## FIG. 2. Shown is one of the two possible integration contours  $\Gamma$ to evaluate the integral in Eq.  $(C2)$ . These contours are symmetric respect to the imaginary axis and they enclose the poles  $\alpha_+$  and  $\alpha_-$ , respectively.

### **APPENDIX C: GREEN FUNCTIONS FOR THE CURVED TELEGRAPHER EQUATION**

In this section, our goal is to determined the Green function  $K(x, x', t)$  that satisfies the equation

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{v_0^2}{2} \Delta_g\right) K(x, x', t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta(x - x') \delta(t).
$$
\n(C1)

Now, in order to find a formal solution for  $K(x, x', t)$  we assume the existence of a complete set of eigenfunctions  $\{\Psi_I\}$  and corresponding eigenvalues −*λI* of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_g$  [\[42\]](#page-11-0). Using the completeness relation  $\delta(x - x') / \sqrt{g} =$  $\sum_{I} \Psi_{I}^{\dagger}(x') \Psi_{I}(x)$  and a Fourier decomposition in the time variable  $K(x, x', t) = \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} e^{iEt} K(x, x', E)$  one can express the Green function as follows:

$$
K(x, x', t) = \sum_{I} \Psi_{I}^{\dagger}(x') \Psi_{I}(x) \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{e^{zt}}{z^{2} + \gamma z + \frac{v_{0}^{2}}{2}\lambda_{I}},
$$
\n(C2)

where integration in the *E* variable has been replaced by an equivalent integration along the semicircle contour  $\Gamma$  in the complex plane (see Fig. 2). We can identify the poles

$$
\alpha_{\pm}(\omega) = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \omega},\tag{C3}
$$

where  $\omega = (v_0^2/2)\lambda_I$ .

<span id="page-10-0"></span>The complex integration gives the two independent solutions

$$
\bar{K}^{(\pm)}(x, x', t) = \pm \sum_{I} \frac{\Psi_{I}^{\dagger}(x')\Psi_{I}(x)e^{\alpha_{\pm}(v_{0}^{2}\lambda_{I}/2)t}}{\alpha_{+}(v_{0}^{2}\lambda_{I}/2) - \alpha_{-}(v_{0}^{2}\lambda_{I}/2)}.
$$
 (C4)

Using these Green functions the pdf can be obtained spanned in the Green functions as follows:

$$
\rho(x,t) = \int d^d y \sqrt{g} \sum_{i=+,-} [K^{(i)}(x,y,t)A_i(y,x')].
$$
 (C5)

Now, we substitute  $A_i(y, x') = \sum_I A_{i,I}(x') \Psi_I(y)$  and (C4) into  $(C5)$ . In addition, we use the orthogonal relation  $\int d^d y \sqrt{g} \Psi_I^{\dagger}(y) \Psi_I(y) = \delta_{II'}$ . All these considerations allow us to prove Eq.  $(18)$ .

### **Green functions for the curved telegrapher equation in the weak curvature regime**

By the use of the same methods originally implemented in the context of quantum field theory on curved spaces [\[37,43\]](#page-11-0), we now determine the Green function  $K(x, x', t)$  in the weak curvature regime. We first performed a change  $K(x, x', t) =$ *g*<sup>−1/4</sup>(*x*) $\bar{K}(x, x', t)g^{-1/4}(x')$ . In addition, the term  $1/\sqrt{g}$  that multiplies by the Dirac delta appearing in the corresponding Green equation [\(C1\)](#page-9-0) is separated as  $g^{-1/4}(x)g^{-1/4}(x')$ . Thus, using these changes of variables the resulting Green equation can be rewritten as

$$
\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{H}\right] \bar{K}(x, x', t) = \delta(x - x')\delta(t),\qquad\text{(C6)}
$$

where  $\hat{H}(x)$  is defined in terms of the Laplace-Beltrami operator as  $-\frac{v_0^2}{2} g^{1/4}(x) \Delta_g g^{-1/4}(x)$ , which after use of the explicit definition of  $\Delta_g$  given in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-0),  $\hat{H}(x)$  can be rewritten in terms of the operator  $\hat{p}_a = -i\partial/\partial x^a$  as [\[44\]](#page-11-0)

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{v_0^2}{2} [\delta^{ab} \hat{p}_a \hat{p}_b + \hat{p}_a [(g^{ab} - \delta^{ab}) \hat{p}_b] + V(x)] \tag{C7}
$$

with

$$
V(x) = -g^{-1/4}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \left[ \sqrt{g(x)} \, g^{ab}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} g^{-1/4}(x) \right].
$$
 (C8)

Before attempting to do any approximation let us take the Fourier transform in the time variable of Eq.  $(C6)$ . Let  $\bar{K}(x, x', E)$  be the Fourier transform of the Green function where  $E$  is the conjugate Fourier variable of time, then Eq.  $(C6)$ can be written as

$$
(-E^2 + i\gamma E + \hat{H})\bar{K}(x, x', E) = \delta(x - x').
$$
 (C9)

Thus the Green function can be written as the matrix elements of the resolvent operator  $\hat{K} = [-E^2 + i\gamma E + \hat{H}]^{-1}$  as

$$
\bar{K}(x, x', t) = \langle x | \hat{K} | x' \rangle.
$$
 (C10)

We now take advantage of the spatial covariance of the Laplace-Beltrami operator in the telegrapher equation, in order to use Riemann normal coordinates (RNCs) around the point

[1] T. Vicsek and A. Zafeiris, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004) **[517](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004)**, [71](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004).

[2] R. Golestanian, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.038303) **[108](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.038303)**, [038303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.038303) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.038303).

*x* . This coordinate frame is particularly useful in the case of a weak curvature regime since one of the following expansions are valid [\[30\]](#page-11-0) for the metric tensor  $g_{ab}(x)$  and  $\sqrt{g(x)}$ :

$$
g_{ab}(x) = \delta_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} R_{acdb}(x')(x - x')^{c}(x - x')^{d} + \cdots, (C11)
$$

$$
\sqrt{g(x)} = 1 - \frac{1}{6} R_{ab}(x')(x - x')^{a}(x - x')^{b} + \cdots, \quad (C12)
$$

where standardly the Riemann curvature tensor is  $R_{abcd} = g_{af} R_{bcd}^f$ ,  $R_{bcd}^a = \partial_c \Gamma_{bd}^a - \partial_d \Gamma_{bd}^a + \Gamma_{cs}^a \Gamma_{bd}^s - \Gamma_{ds}^a \Gamma_{bc}^s$ <br>and  $R_{ab} = R_{acb}^c = g^{cd} R_{acbd}$  is the Rici tensor.

Using these expressions and the corresponding ones for the inverse metric tensor  $g^{ab}(x)$  as well as for the determinant of the metric  $g(x)$ , one has the following approximation for the  $H$ 

$$
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_I, \tag{C13}
$$

where the unperturbed part  $\hat{H}_0$  is given by

$$
\hat{H}_0 = \frac{v_0^2}{2} \bigg[ \delta^{ab} \hat{p}_a \hat{p}_b - \frac{R_g}{6} \bigg],\tag{C14}
$$

with  $R_g = g^{ab} R_{ab}$  being the scalar curvature and the perturbing part being

$$
\hat{H}_I = -\frac{1}{3} R_{acdb} \hat{p}^a y^c y^d \hat{p}^b. \tag{C15}
$$

The standard perturbation theory used in quantum mechanics allows us to write the resolvent operator as the following expansion:

$$
\hat{K} = \hat{K}_0 - \hat{K}_0 \hat{H}_I \hat{K}_0 + \cdots, \qquad (C16)
$$

where the unperturbed resolvent operator  $\hat{K}_0$  is defined by

$$
\hat{K}_0 = [-E^2 + i\gamma E + \hat{H}_0]^{-1}, \qquad (C17)
$$

and can be referred to as the "free" resolvent operator. Due to the antisymmetric nature of the Riemann tensor (see for instance [\[45\]](#page-11-0)) it can be proved that  $\langle x|\hat{K}_0\hat{H}_I\hat{K}_0|x'\rangle = 0$  and therefore, to the lowest nontrivial approximation in the curvature, only the free resolvent operator contributes. With this consideration the Green function can be written as

$$
\bar{K}(x, x', t) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{ip \cdot (x - x')} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{e^{zt}}{z^2 + \gamma z + H_0(p)},
$$
\n(C18)

where the complete set of eigenstates of  $\hat{p}_a$ ,  $\{|p_a\rangle\}$ , for which  $\langle x^a | p_b \rangle = \delta_{ab} e^{ix^a p_a}$  and  $H_0(p) = \frac{v_0^2}{2} (p^2 - \frac{R_g}{6})$ , has been used. The dependence in time is recovered by the integration on the complex plane *z*, along the semicircle contour  $\Gamma$  (see Fig. [2\)](#page-9-0), from which we obtain

$$
\bar{K}^{\pm}(x, x', t) = \pm \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{ip \cdot (x - x') + \alpha_{\pm}[H_0(p)]t}}{\alpha_{\pm}[H_0(p)] - \alpha_{\pm}[H_0(p)]}, \tag{C19}
$$

where  $\alpha_{\pm}(P) = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{2} - P}$  corresponds to the poles of the integrand in Eq. (C18).

[3] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1226) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1226)**, [1226](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1226) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1226).

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[4] J. Toner and Y. Tu, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4326) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4326)**, [4326](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4326) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4326).
- [5] S. Ramaswamy, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104101) **[1](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104101)**, [323](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104101) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104101).
- [6] M. C. Marchetti, J. F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. B. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao, and R. A. Simha, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1143) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1143)**, [1143](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1143) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1143).
- [7] F. D. C. Farrell, M. C. Marchetti, D. Marenduzzo, and J. Tailleur, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.248101) **[108](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.248101)**, [248101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.248101) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.248101).
- [8] A. P. Solon, J.-B. Caussin, D. Bartolo, H. Chaté, and J. Tailleur, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.062111) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.062111)**, [062111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.062111) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.062111).
- [9] V. Dossetti and F. J. Sevilla, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.058301) **[115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.058301)**, [058301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.058301) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.058301).
- [10] Y. Fily, A. Baskaran, and M. F. Hagan, [Soft Matter](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SM00975D) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SM00975D)**, [5609](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SM00975D) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SM00975D).
- [11] Y. Fily, A. Baskaran, and M. F. Hagan, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.012125) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.012125)**, [012125](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.012125) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.012125).
- [12] Y. Fily, A. Baskaran, and M. F. Hagan, [Eur. Phys. J. E](https://doi.org/10.1140/epje/i2017-11551-3) **[40](https://doi.org/10.1140/epje/i2017-11551-3)**, [61](https://doi.org/10.1140/epje/i2017-11551-3) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epje/i2017-11551-3).
- [13] S. A. Mallory, C. Valeriani, and A. Cacciuto, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.032309) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.032309)**, [032309](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.032309) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.032309).
- [14] F. Smallenburg and H. Löwen, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.032304) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.032304)**, [032304](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.032304) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.032304).
- [15] N. Nikola, A. P. Solon, Y. Kafri, M. Kardar, J. Tailleur, and R. Voituriez, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.098001) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.098001)**, [098001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.098001) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.098001).
- [16] Y. Fily, A. Baskaran, and M. F. Hagan,  $arXiv:1601.00324v2$  $arXiv:1601.00324v2$ .
- [17] [S. Ehrig, J. Ferracci, R. Weinkamer, and J. W. C. Dunlop,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.062609) *Phys.* Rev. E **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.062609)**, [062609](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.062609) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.062609).
- [18] R. Green, J. Toner, and V. Vitelli, [Phys. Rev. Fluids](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.104201) **[2](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.104201)**, [104201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.104201) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.104201).
- [19] F. C. Keber, E. Loiseau, T. Sanchez, S. J. DeCamp, L. Giomi, M. J. Bowick, M. C. Marchetti, Z. Dogic, and A. R. Bausch, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254784) **[345](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254784)**, [1135](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254784) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254784).
- [20] R. Sknepnek and S. Henkes, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.022306) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.022306)**, [022306](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.022306) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.022306).
- [21] S. Henkes, M. C. Marchetti, and R. Sknepnek, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.042605) **[97](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.042605)**, [042605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.042605) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.042605).
- [22] S. Shankar, M. J. Bowick, and M. C. Marchetti, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.031039) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.031039)**, [031039](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.031039) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.031039).
- [23] F. J. Sevilla and L. A. G. Nava, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.022130) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.022130)**, [022130](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.022130) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.022130).
- [24] F. J. Sevilla and M. Sandoval, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.052150) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.052150)**, [052150](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.052150) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.052150).
- [25] F. J. Sevilla, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.062120) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.062120)**, [062120](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.062120) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.062120).
- [26] J. M. Porra, J. Masoliver, and G. H. Weiss, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.7771) **[55](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.7771)**, [7771](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.7771) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.7771).
- [27] S. Goldstein, [Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmam/4.2.129) **[4](https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmam/4.2.129)**, [129](https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmam/4.2.129) [\(1951\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmam/4.2.129).
- [28] J. Dunkel and P. Hänggi, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2008.12.001) **[471](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2008.12.001)**, [1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2008.12.001) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2008.12.001).
- [29] S. Godoy and L. S. García-Colín, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.2127) **[55](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.2127)**, [2127](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.2127) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.2127).
- [30] L. P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997).
- [31] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, International Series of Monographs on Physics, 3rd ed. (Oxford University Press, New York, 1996).
- [32] M. E. Cates and J. Tailleur, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/20010) **[101](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/20010)**, [20010](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/20010) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/20010).
- [33] M. J. Schnitzer, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.48.2553) **[48](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.48.2553)**, [2553](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.48.2553) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.48.2553).
- [34] J. J. Duderstadt and W. R. Martin, *Transport Theory* (Wiley, New York, 1979), Vol. 1.
- [35] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, Topology, and Physics*, Graduate Student Series in Physics, 2nd ed. (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, Philadelphia, 2003).
- [36] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (McGraw Hill Book Company Inc., New York, Toronto, London, 1953), Vol. 1.
- [37] B. S. DeWitt, *Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1965).
- [38] P. Castro-Villarreal, [J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2010/08/P08006) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2010/08/P08006) [P08006.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2010/08/P08006)
- [39] L. Apaza and M. Sandoval, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.022606) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.022606)**, [022606](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.022606) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.022606).
- [40] P. Castro-Villarreal, A. Villada-Balbuena, J. M. Méndez-[Alcaraz, R. Castañeda-Priego, and S. Estrada-Jiménez,J. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4881060) Phys. **[140](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4881060)**, [214115](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4881060) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4881060).
- [41] [Y. Zhong, L. Zhao, P. M. Tyrlik, and G. Wang,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b01721) J. Phys. Chem. C **[121](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b01721)**, [8023](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b01721) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b01721).
- [42] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics No. 115, 2nd ed. (Academic, Orlando, San Diego, New York, 1984).
- [43] D. T. L. Parker, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, 1st ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, 2009).
- [44] D. O'Connor, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: The Effective Action and Finite Size Effects*(University of Maryland, College Park, 1985).
- [45] P. Castro-Villarreal and R. Ruiz-Sánchez, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.125432) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.125432)**, [125432](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.125432) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.125432).