# Local pressure for confined systems

Paolo Malgaretti<sup>\*</sup> and Markus Bier<sup>†</sup>

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Heisenbergstrasse 3, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany and Institute for Theoretical Physics IV, University of Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

(Received 3 November 2017; published 2 February 2018)

We derive a general closed expression for the local pressure exerted onto the corrugated walls of a channel confining a fluid medium. When the fluid medium is at equilibrium, the local pressure is a functional of the shape of the walls. It is shown that, due to the intrinsic nonlocal character of the interactions among the particles forming the fluid, the applicability of approximate schemes such as the concept of a surface of tension or morphometric thermodynamics is limited to wall curvatures that are small compared to the range of particle-particle interactions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.97.022102

## I. INTRODUCTION

In a variety of scenarios, such as biological systems [1], micro- and nanofluidic circuitry [2], and energy-harvesting devices [3], physical systems are composed of a fluid medium confined by means of solid or liquid interfaces. Clearly, the confining walls affect both the dynamics and the steady states of the fluid medium due to the additional interactions between the fluid phase and the boundaries. For example, droplet formation [4], electric charge accumulation in confined electrolytes [5], and active particle accumulation in microchannels [6] are driven by the effective interactions between the otherwise unbounded fluid medium and the confining walls. To grasp the effect of the presence of confining walls on the dynamics of confined fluids, attention has been focused up to now on the case of fluid media embedded between parallel plates or in channels with constant cross sections. However, many experimentally relevant cases are not covered by these geometries. For example, porous materials, microfluidic devices, or biological scenarios are characterized by larger cavities that alternate with narrow bottlenecks. This inhomogeneity in the confining space couples with the dynamics of the confined systems, possibly leading to novel scenarios. Indeed, electrolytes confined in varying section pores have been observed to undergo novel regimes [7-11] that are absent in the corresponding unbound scenarios. Similarly, both passive [12–17] and active [18–22] colloidal particles as well as polymers [23–27] display confinement-induced dynamical regimes when embedded within varying section channels. To unravel the general mechanisms responsible for these diverse phenomena, it is of primary importance to understand the physical origin of the effective coupling between the fluid medium and the confining walls. Accordingly, insight into such coupling can be provided by knowledge of the dependence of local intensive thermodynamic quantities, e.g., pressure, on the local geometry of the confining walls. Indeed local

imbalances in such quantities will lead to net local fluxes that are tuned by the geometry of the confining walls. Alternatively, imposing the equilibrium condition, i.e., constancy of intensive thermodynamic variables, will provide insight into the rearrangement of the fluid medium under inhomogeneous confining conditions.

In the following, we derive a framework capable of capturing the local dependence of thermodynamic variables of the embedded fluid medium, and we derive a general expression for the local pressure exerted onto the confinement. Toward that end, the fluid medium is described in terms of density functional theory (DFT), which is, in principle, exact [28] and for which numerous considerably precise approximation schemes have been developed in the past [29–33]. Accordingly, our closed expression for the local pressure is exact within this framework.

The structure of the text is the following. In Sec. II we define the model (Sec. II A), set up the DFT approach (Sec. II B), and derive a closed expression for the local pressure (Sec. II C). To demonstrate the application of the general form of the local pressure derived in Sec. II, we discuss our results in the context of the concept of a surface of tension and in comparison with the approach of morphometric thermodynamics in Sec. III. Finally, in Sec. IV we give some concluding remarks.

#### **II. GENERAL FORMALISM**

## A. Model

As sketched in Fig. 1, consider in the three-dimensional Euclidean space an *s*-component mixture that is confined by two hard walls,

$$\mathcal{W}_{+}[h_{+}] := \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid z > h_{+}(x) \}, \qquad (1a)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{-}[h_{-}] := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z < -h_{-}(x)\}, \qquad (1b)$$

where the *positive* real functions  $h_{\pm} : \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$  describe the wall shapes. The particles of species  $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$  possess a spherical hard core of radius  $R_{\alpha} \ge 0$ , and, due to the hard walls  $\mathcal{W}_{+}[h_{+}]$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{-}[h_{-}]$ , they are confined to

<sup>\*</sup>malgaretti@is.mpg.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>bier@is.mpg.de



FIG. 1. Illustration of the system under study. Particles (depicted as disks) are confined to the interior of a channel whose upper and lower walls are located, respectively, at  $z = h_+(x)$  and  $z = -h_-(x)$ . Due to their radius  $R_{\alpha}$ , the volume accessible to particles of species  $\alpha$  is given by  $z \in [-H_{\alpha}^-(x), H_{\alpha}^+(x)]$ . A particle of species  $\alpha$  located at position  $(x, \pm H_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x))$  touches the wall at the point  $(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]), h_{\pm}(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}])))$ .

the interior of the accessible volume  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}[h] := \{(x, y, z) \in$  $\mathbb{R}^3 \mid -H_{\alpha}^-(x,[h_-]) < z < H_{\alpha}^+(x,[h_+])$  with the positive real functions  $H^{\pm}_{\alpha}[h_{\pm}]: \mathbb{R} \to (0,\infty)$ . Here and in the following,  $h := (h_+, h_-)$  is an abbreviation of the set of both wall shape functions  $h_+$  and  $h_-$ . To ensure that the functions  $H^{\pm}_{\alpha}[h_{\pm}]$ , which describe the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}[h]$  of the accessible volume  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}[h]$ , are well-defined, the radii of curvature  $R_{\rm w}^{\pm}(x,[h_{\pm}]) := [1 + h_{\pm}'(x)^2]^{3/2} / h_{\pm}''(x)$  of the walls must be larger than the radius of all particles:  $|R_{w}^{\pm}(x)| > R_{\alpha}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ . Besides the hard-core interactions, additional fluid-fluid and fluid-wall interactions can be present. The structure of the fluid is described in terms of the number density profiles  $\rho_{\alpha}$  of species  $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ , which, due to the translational invariance of the system along the y direction, can be assumed to depend only on x and z. The hard-core interaction precludes particles of species  $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$  to be found outside the accessible volume  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ , i.e.,  $\varrho_{\alpha}(x,z) = 0$  for (x, y, z) / $\in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}[h].$ 

#### **B.** Density functional theory

Without restriction of generality, the grand-canonical density functional describing the fluid is given by

$$\beta\Omega[\varrho,h] = \mathcal{A}[\varrho,\underline{H}^+[h_+],\underline{H}^-[h_-],h]$$
(2)

with the auxiliary functional

$$\mathcal{A}[\underline{\varrho}, \underline{J}^+, \underline{J}^-, h] = L_y \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \int_{-J_{\alpha}^-(x)}^{J_{\alpha}^+(x)} dz \varrho_{\alpha}(x, z) \{ f_{\alpha}[\varrho_{\alpha}(x, z)] - \beta \mu_{\alpha} + \beta V_{\alpha}(x, z, [h]) \} + \beta F^{\text{ex}}[\underline{\varrho}, \underline{J}^+, \underline{J}^-], \quad (3)$$

where  $\underline{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_s)$  denotes the set of all density profiles and similarly for other quantities, and  $L_y$  is the thickness of the system along the y direction. In Eq. (3),  $V_{\alpha}(x, z, [h])$  and  $\mu_{\alpha}$  are, respectively, the particle-wall interaction in excess of the hard-core interaction for particles of species  $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  and the chemical potential. Note that  $V_{\alpha}(x,z,[h])$  depends explicitly on the shapes  $h_+$  and  $h_-$  of the confining walls. The reference system is described by the free-energy density per particle  $f_{\alpha}(\varrho_{\alpha})$ , which equals exactly  $\ln(\varrho_{\alpha}\Lambda_{\alpha}^3) - 1$  with the thermal wavelength  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$  for the case of an ideal gas, but which also allows for a description within a local density approximation (LDA). In the present work, the free-energy functional  $F^{\text{ex}}$  in excess of the reference functional is chosen to be of the rather general form

$$\beta F^{\text{ex}}[\underline{\rho}, \underline{J}^{+}, \underline{J}^{-}] = -L_{y} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{n}=1}^{s} \int dx_{1} \\ \times \int_{-J_{\alpha_{1}}^{-}(x_{1})}^{J_{\alpha_{1}}^{+}(x_{1})} dz_{1} \cdots \int dx_{n} \int_{-J_{\alpha_{n}}^{-}(x_{n})}^{J_{\alpha_{n}}^{+}(x_{n})} dz_{n} c_{\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{n}}^{(0)} \\ \times (x_{1}, z_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, z_{n}) \varrho_{\alpha_{1}}(x_{1}, z_{1}) \cdots \varrho_{\alpha_{n}}(x_{n}, z_{n}).$$
(4)

All weighted density approximations (WDAs), including the fundamental measure theories (FMTs), are of this form. However, gradient expansions, such as the well-known squaregradient approximation within the Cahn-Hilliard approach, cannot be represented in the form of Eq. (4).

Given some wall shapes *h*, the equilibrium number density profiles  $\rho^{eq}[h]$  are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$0 = \frac{\delta\beta\Omega}{\delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,z)}[\underline{\varrho}^{\mathrm{eq}}[h],h]$$
  
=  $\frac{\delta\mathcal{A}}{\delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,z)}[\underline{\varrho}^{\mathrm{eq}}[h],\underline{H}^{+}[h_{+}],\underline{H}^{-}[h_{-}],h]$  (5)

for all  $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$  and  $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}[h]$ .

#### C. Deformation work and local pressure

When the fluid medium is at equilibrium, or in some nonequilibrium steady state, the conditions of the system, composed of the walls and the fluid medium, are such that the state of the fluid medium, represented by the density profiles  $\varrho$ , is determined by the shapes of the walls  $h_{\pm}$  alone:  $\varrho = \varrho^*[h]$ . In such a scenario, one obtains from Eq. (2) the effective wall Hamiltonian on the function space of all wall shapes  $h = (h_{\pm}, h_{-})$ ,

$$\beta \Xi[h] := \beta \Omega[\varrho^*[h], h], \tag{6}$$

which represents the energy of the system for given wall shapes *h*.

In the following, we restrict ourselves to the case of the fluid medium being at equilibrium, i.e.,  $\underline{\rho}^* = \underline{\rho}^{eq}$ , where the equilibrium state is given by Eq. (5). In such a condition, Eq. (6) reads

$$\beta \Xi[h] = \beta \Omega[\varrho^{\text{eq}}[h], h], \tag{7}$$

and the degrees of freedom of the fluid medium are accounted for in terms of the equilibrium state  $\rho^{eq}[h]$ .

Accordingly, as is shown in detail in Appendix A, the work needed to deform the walls  $h = (h_+, h_-)$  by  $\delta h = (\delta h_+, \delta h_-)$  is given by

$$\delta\beta \Xi[h,\delta h] = L_{y} \int dx' \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sum_{t \in \{\pm\}} \left[ -\partial_{x'} K_{\alpha}^{t}(x',[h_{t}]) \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} (x,t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]),[h])^{2} f_{\alpha}' (\varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} (x,t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]),[h])) \right|_{x=K_{\alpha}^{t}(x',[h_{t}])} \\ + \int dx \int_{-H_{\alpha}^{-}(x,[h_{-}])}^{H_{\alpha}^{+}(x,[h_{+}])} dz \, \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x,z,[h]) \frac{\delta\beta V_{\alpha}}{\delta h_{t}(x')} (x,z,[h]) \right] \delta h_{t}(x'),$$
(8)

where [compare Eq. (A10) in Appendix A]

$$K_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x',[h_{\pm}]) := x' + \frac{R_{\alpha}h'_{\pm}(x')}{\sqrt{1 + h'_{+}(x')^{2}}}.$$
(9)

Equation (8) is an expression of the work  $\beta \Xi[h, \delta h]$  required to deform the walls, whose shape is described by  $h = (h_+, h_-)$ , such that *h* is changed by  $\delta h$ . It is of the form

$$\delta \Xi[h, \delta h] = -L_y \int dx \Big[\beta P_z^+(x, [h]) \,\delta h_+(x) + \beta P_z^-(x, [h]) \,\delta h_-(x)\Big],\tag{10}$$

where

$$\beta P_{z}^{t}(x,[h]) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \left[ \partial_{x} K_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]) \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} \left( x', t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x',[h_{t}]),[h] \right)^{2} f_{\alpha}' \left( \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} \left( x', t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x',[h_{t}]),[h] \right) \right) \Big|_{x'=K_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}])} - \int dx' \int_{-H_{\alpha}^{-}(x',[h_{-}])}^{H_{\alpha}^{+}(x',[h_{1}])} dz' \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x',z',[h]) \frac{\delta\beta V_{\alpha}}{\delta h_{t}(x)} (x',z',[h]) \right]$$
(11)

is the local force along the positive (t = +) or negative (t = -) z direction per unit area projected onto the axis of the channel that the fluid exerts on the walls at  $(x, y, th_t(x))$ . Using as a reference system in Eq. (3) the ideal gas with free energy per particle  $f_{\alpha}(\varrho_{\alpha}) = \ln(\varrho_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\alpha}^{3}) - 1$ , one obtains  $\varrho_{\alpha}^{2} f_{\alpha}'(\varrho_{\alpha}) = \varrho_{\alpha}$ , so that Eq. (11) simplifies to

$$\beta P_{z}^{t}(x,[h]) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \left[ \partial_{x} K_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]) \varrho_{\alpha}^{\text{eq}} \left( K_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]), t H_{\alpha}^{t} \left( K_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]),[h_{t}] \right),[h] \right) - \int dx' \int_{-H_{\alpha}^{-}(x',[h_{-}])}^{H_{\alpha}^{+}(x',[h_{+}])} dz' \varrho_{\alpha}^{\text{eq}}(x',z',[h]) \frac{\delta \beta V_{\alpha}}{\delta h_{t}(x)}(x',z',[h]) \right].$$
(12)

The rotation invariance of  $P_z^t$  (see Appendix B) allows one to determine the local force  $P_x^t(x, [h])$  along the *x* direction per unit area projected onto the axis of the channel that the fluid exerts on the walls at  $(x, y, th_t(x))$ . By definition, the force exerted on a surface element of the wall at point  $(x, y, th_t(x))$ with rectangular projection of size dx and  $L_y$  onto the *x*-*y* plane is given by  $(P_x^t(x, [h]), tP_z^t(x, [h]))L_y dx$ . As the force is a vectorial quantity, it transforms upon rotation  $T(\varphi)$  with the rotation matrix  $\mathbb{T}(\varphi)$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_x^t(\tilde{x}, [\tilde{h}]) \\ t P_z^t(\tilde{x}, [\tilde{h}]) \end{pmatrix} L_y d\tilde{x} = \mathbb{T}(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} P_x^t(x, [h]) \\ t P_z^t(x, [h]) \end{pmatrix} L_y dx.$$
(13)

Substituting  $z = th_t(x)$  in Eq. (B1) and forming the differential of the first component, one obtains the expression  $d\tilde{x} = [\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi th'_t(x)]dx$ . Using this relation as well as Eq. (B3), one infers from the second component in Eq. (13) the expression  $P_x^t(x,[h]) = -h'_t(x)P_z^t(x,[h])$ , and hence the force onto a surface element of the wall [see Eq. (13)] is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_x^t(x,[h])\\ t P_z^t(x,[h]) \end{pmatrix} L_y dx = P_z^t(x,[h]) \begin{pmatrix} -h_t'(x)\\ t \end{pmatrix} L_y dx$$
$$= P_z^t(x,[h]) \mathbf{n}_t(x,[h_t]) d\mathcal{A}, \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_t(x, [h_t]) = (-h'_t(x), t)/\sqrt{1 + h'_t(x)^2}$  is the outer normal vector of the wall at  $(x, y, h_t(x))$ , and  $d\mathcal{A} = L_y\sqrt{1 + h'_t(x)^2}dx$  is the size of the surface element. Therefore, in agreement with the intuitive expectation that a fluid in equilibrium cannot sustain shear, the force that the fluid medium is exerting onto the walls acts in the normal direction. Moreover, the quantities  $P_z^t$  in Eqs. (11) and (12) represent the force per wall area, i.e., the *local pressure*. Therefore, Eqs. (11) and (12) can be seen as a local version of the so-called "contact theorems," which, so far, consider effectively the averages of the wall density, either due to the symmetry at regularly formed walls [34–38] or as explicit averages along arbitrarily shaped walls [39].

## **III. DISCUSSION**

# A. Sum rules

Some general statements concerning the local pressures  $P_z^{\pm}(x, [h])$  can be inferred directly from the expression for the mechanical work, Eq. (10), without the need to determine the equilibrium density profiles  $\rho_{\alpha}^{\text{eq}}$ . The work required to induce the deformation  $\delta h = (\delta h_+, \delta h_-)$  of the channel walls in general reads

$$\delta \Xi = -p\delta \mathcal{V} + \bar{\gamma}_{+}\delta \mathcal{A}_{+} + \bar{\gamma}_{-}\delta \mathcal{A}_{-}, \qquad (15)$$

where  $\delta V$  and  $\delta A_{\pm}$  are, respectively, the changes in volume and in surface areas due to the deformation  $\delta h$  of the walls, p is the bulk pressure, and  $\bar{\gamma}_{\pm}$  are the associated interfacial tensions. For the special case of deformations  $\delta h$  leaving the size of the surface areas unchanged,

$$\delta \mathcal{V} \neq 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\delta \mathcal{A}_{+} = 0,$$

the total work depends solely on the "bulk" contribution  $\sim \delta V$  on the right-hand side of Eq. (15). Comparing Eq. (15) to Eq. (10) under these conditions, one infers the sum rule

$$\int dx (P_z^{\pm}(x,[h]) - p) \,\delta h_{\pm}(x) = 0. \tag{17}$$

In particular, when the walls are deformed uniformly, i.e.,  $\delta h_{\pm}(x)$  does not depend on the position x along the channel, one obtains from Eq. (17) the sum rule

$$\int dx (P_z^{\pm}(x, [h]) - p) = 0, \qquad (18)$$

i.e., the *average* pressure equals the bulk pressure, *p*.

Alternatively, when the deformation  $\delta h$  is such that the surface areas are modified with the volume left unchanged,

$$\delta \mathcal{V} = 0,$$
  
(19)  
$$\delta \mathcal{A}_{\pm} \neq 0,$$

the mechanical work is due to the terms  $\sim \delta A_{\pm}$  on the righthand side of Eq. (15). Accordingly, comparing Eq. (15) to Eq. (10), one infers the sum rule

$$\int dx \left[ P_z^{\pm}(x, [h]) - \bar{\gamma}_{\pm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{h'_{\pm}(x)}{\sqrt{1 + h'_{\pm}(x)^2}} \right) \right] \delta h_{\pm}(x) = 0.$$
(20)

In the case of a planar channel,  $h(x) = (h_0, h_0)$ ,  $h_0 > 0$ , the second term in the integrand of Eq. (20) vanishes. Hence the mechanical work needed to deform a flat channel is at least quadratic in the deformations  $\delta h$ , which is not captured by the linear-response functions  $P_z^{\pm}(x, [h])$ .

## **B.** Noninteracting particles

An application of the main result Eqs. (11) and (12) to calculate the local pressure requires knowledge of the equilibrium density profiles  $\rho_{\alpha}^{eq}$ , usually from solving the Euler-Lagrange equations (5). In actual applications, the latter task can be a challenging, typically numerical, problem. However, in order to highlight some important consequences of Eq. (12), the following discussion is focused on computationally simple cases of a single-particle species (s = 1) in a semi-infinite system [ $h_{-}(x) = \infty$ ] for a vanishing excess external potential ( $V_{\alpha} = 0$ ). Under these conditions, only the local pressure onto the upper wall is relevant, so that Eq. (12) takes the form

$$\beta P_{z}(x,[h]) = \left(1 + \frac{R}{R_{w}(x,[h])}\right) \times \varrho^{eq}(K(x,[h]), H(K(x,[h]),[h]),[h]),$$
(21)

where Eq. (A11) has been used, and the indices  $\alpha$  and + have been suppressed.

As a first case, consider a single-component fluid of noninteracting particles (U = 0) for which the equilibrium number density  $\rho^{eq}(x,z) = \beta p$ , p being the pressure of the particle reservoir, is uniform inside the accessible volume. Upon rearrangement, one obtains from Eq. (21)

$$\beta p - \beta P_z(x,[h]) = \beta p R \frac{1}{-R_w(x,[h])}.$$
(22)

The fraction on the right-hand side of Eq. (22) represents the wall curvature, which is positive (negative) at concave (convex) portions of the wall when viewed from inside the fluid. Equation (22) shows that pointlike particles (R = 0) imply  $p = P_z(x, [h])$ , i.e., the local pressure,  $P_z(x, [h])$ , always equals the bulk pressure, p. In contrast, for finite-sized particles (R > 0) such as hard spheres, the local pressure is not constant at walls of nonuniform curvature [see Eq. (22)], and it exceeds the bulk pressure when  $R_w > 0$  (convex wall), whereas the opposite holds for  $R_w < 0$  (concave wall). Next we compare our result Eq. (22) to the concept of *surface of tension* and to *morphometric thermodynamics*.

## 1. Surface of tension

At first glance, Eq. (22) is similar to Laplace's law. However, to make the comparison more strict, one has to locate the surface of tension (SOT) and to specify the corresponding interfacial tension in Eq. (22). Toward that end, we note that, in general, the interfacial tension is defined as

$$\beta \gamma = \frac{\beta \Omega[\varrho^{\text{eq}}[h], [h]] + \beta p \tilde{V}}{\tilde{A}}, \qquad (23)$$

where  $\Omega[\varrho^{\text{eq}}[h], [h]]$  is the grand-canonical potential of the system (enclosing an accessible volume V),  $-p\tilde{V}$  is the grandcanonical potential of a uniform system with bulk pressure p inside the conventional volume  $\tilde{V}$ , and  $\tilde{A}$  is the area of the dividing surface. For a cylindrical wall with uniform radius of curvature  $|R_w|$ , the interfacial tension with respect to the dividing surface of radius  $\tilde{R} > 0$  is

$$\beta\gamma(\tilde{R}) = \frac{-\beta p(|R_{\rm w}| - R)^2 + \beta p\tilde{R}^2}{2\tilde{R}},\qquad(24)$$

and therefore by subtracting Eq. (24) from Eq. (22), one obtains

$$\beta p - \beta P_z - \frac{\beta \gamma(\widetilde{R})}{\widetilde{R}} = \frac{\beta p R}{|R_w|} + \frac{\beta p}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{|R_w| - R}{\widetilde{R}} \right)^2 - 1 \right].$$
(25)

The SOT is defined by that value  $\tilde{R} = R_{SOT}$  for which the right-hand side of Eq. (25) vanishes, i.e., with respect to which Laplace's law is valid exactly [40]. The SOT is a function of the wall curvature  $1/|R_w|$  and of the size of the fluid particles R:

$$R_{\rm SOT} = \frac{|R_{\rm w}| - R}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2R}{|R_{\rm w}|}}}.$$
 (26)

Notice that the SOT is defined only for  $R < 2|R_w|$ , whereas Eq. (21) has been derived under the weaker constraint

 $R < |R_w|$ . Using Eq. (26) in Eq. (24), one obtains the curvature dependence of the interfacial tension  $\beta \gamma(R_{SOT})$  at the SOT.

#### 2. Morphometric thermodynamics

In recent years, several publications on the properties of fluids in contact with geometrically shaped walls advocated for an approach termed "morphometric thermodynamics" (MT) [41–44]. The basic hypothesis of MT is that any thermodynamic quantity can be expressed as a linear combination of four geometric measures, namely volume, surface area, integrated mean curvature, and integrated Gaussian curvature, with intensive coefficients, which depend only on the thermodynamic state of the system [41]. Whereas the framework of the surface of tension allows for a curvature dependence of the interfacial tension, the intensive coefficients within MT are strictly independent of the geometrical features of the system. If applicable, MT is a very efficient method to characterize fluids in complicated confinements. However, in recent years several indications have been found that MT is in general merely an approximation [45-47]. For the present fluid of noninteracting particles, the interfacial tension  $\gamma_{geo}$  of a planar wall with respect to the geometrical wall surface is given by  $\beta \gamma_{\text{geo}} = \beta p R$ , as the fluid particles cannot approach the wall closer than R. Hence Eq. (22) takes the morphometric form

$$\beta p - \beta P_z(x,[h]) = \frac{\beta \gamma_{\text{geo}}}{-R_w(x,[h])}.$$
(27)

Note, however, that here the MT result is achieved for planar interfacial tensions only if the dividing surface is the geometrical wall surface. Any other choice of the dividing surface will lead to additional terms in Eq. (27) that are not in agreement with the MT approach.

## C. Interacting particles

Whereas nonvanishing particle-particle interactions ( $U \neq 0$ ) are typically too complicated to be treated analytically, the effect of tuning on the interaction is accessible in the limit of small interactions. Indeed, introducing the auxiliary interaction potential  $U_{\eta}(\mathbf{r}) := \eta U(\mathbf{r})$  for  $\eta \in [0,1]$ , a closed expression for the local pressure can be obtained in the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  via a Taylor expansion of Eq. (21) about the noninteracting case,  $\eta = 0$ . At first order in  $\eta$ , from Eq. (21), one obtains

$$\left. \left( \frac{d}{d\eta} \beta P_z(x, [U_\eta, h]) \right) \right|_{\eta=0} = \left( 1 + \frac{R}{R_w(x, [h])} \right) \\ \times \left( \frac{d}{d\eta} \varrho^{\text{eq}}(K(x, [h]), H(K(x, [h]), [h]), [U_\eta, h]) \right) \right|_{\eta=0}.$$
(28)

Upon taking the derivative of the Euler-Lagrange equation (5) with respect to the interaction potential and using the general relation [28]

$$\frac{\delta F^{\text{ex}}[\varrho, U]}{\delta U(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')} = \frac{1}{2} \varrho(\mathbf{r}) \varrho(\mathbf{r}') g(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', [\varrho, U]), \qquad (29)$$

one can derive

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\eta}\varrho^{\mathrm{eq}}(K(x,[h]),H(K(x,[h]),[h]),[U_{\eta},h])\right)\Big|_{\eta=0}$$
$$= -(\beta p)^{2} \int dx' \int dy' \int_{-\infty}^{H(x',[h])} dz'\beta U(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'), \quad (30)$$

where  $\mathbf{r} = (K(x, [h]), y, H(K(x, [h]), [h]))$  and  $\mathbf{r}' = (x', y', z')$ . In light of Eq. (30), it is plausible that, due to the integral over the accessible volume, one obtains a complicated nonlocal dependence of the local pressure on the shape of the walls upon switching on a nonlocal interaction between the fluid particles. Moreover, due to the nonlocal dependence of the right-hand side of Eq. (28) on the shape of the contact surface [see Eq. (30)], for walls with nonconstant curvature  $1/R_{\rm w}(x,[h])$  and for nonlocal interactions  $U(\mathbf{r})$ , the pressure difference  $p[U] - P_z^{\pm}[x, U, h]$  is a functional of the whole wall shape h and not only a function of the local wall curvature  $1/R_{\rm w}^{\pm}(x,[h_{\pm}])$ . Hence, for arbitrary wall shapes and nonlocal interactions, neither MT nor the concept of a SOT is applicable exactly. The range of wall curvatures, for which MT and the SOT can be applied as approximations, is decreasing upon increasing the range of the interactions inside the fluid.

#### 1. Morphometric thermodynamics

For the case of uniform curvature  $1/|R_w|$  considered above, and for the switching on of a square-well or square-shoulder interaction  $U(\mathbf{r}) = U_0 \Theta(R_0 - |\mathbf{r}|)$  with strength  $U_0$  and range  $R_0 \neq 0$ , one obtains

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\eta}\left(\beta p[U_{\eta}] - \beta P_{z}[U_{\eta}] - \frac{\beta \gamma_{\text{geo}}[U_{\eta}]}{|R_{w}|}\right)\right]\Big|_{\eta=0}$$
$$= \frac{\pi (\beta p)^{2} \beta U_{0} R_{0}^{6}}{384|R_{w}|^{3}} + R_{0}^{3} O^{5}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{|R_{w}|}, \frac{R}{|R_{w}|}\right).$$
(31)

Whereas Eq. (31) can be rewritten in the form of Laplace's law by means of an appropriate definition of the SOT, it is impossible to achieve the morphometric form for  $\beta U_0 \neq$ 0 and  $R_0 \neq 0$ . The latter statement is justified as follows: the nonvanishing term  $\sim 1/|R_w|^3$  on the right-hand side is forbidden within the MT approach since it is of higher power in the wall curvature. Absorbing this term into an interfacial tension with respect to some dividing surface (characterized by  $\overline{R}$ ) different from the geometrical wall surface is possible, however this would generate an additional term  $\sim 1/|R|^2$ , which is also forbidden within MT. One can conclude that for switching on a nonlocal interaction, i.e., with  $R_0 \neq 0$ , MT cannot be expected to be strictly applicable, and the best approximation of MT is achieved with the geometrical wall surface as a dividing interface within which corrections to MT are  $\sim 1/|R_w|^3$  and  $\sim 1/|R|^2$  otherwise.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

We have derived a general formula for the dependence of the local pressure onto the walls of a channel with varying cross section [see Eq. (11)]. Such a closed formula has been extracted from the mechanical work [Eq. (7)] needed to induce a local

deformation of the shape of the wall confining a fluid medium and under the condition of equilibrium of the fluid medium. In such a scenario, we have identified the contribution to the mechanical work due to the local pressure, and we have derived a general formula, Eq. (11), for the dependence of the local pressure onto the walls of a channel with varying cross section. This result applies to the wide class of fluid media that can be described by density functionals with excess contributions of the form Eq. (4).

In the case of purely hard walls, the local pressure depends only on the curvatures of the walls and on the densities at the surfaces of contact. As expected, for pointlike noninteracting particles, the mechanical work is due solely to the bulk pressure. In contrast, for finite-sized particle, such as hard-spheres, and/or interacting particles, we have identified the contributions to the mechanical work stemming from the interfacial tension. In particular, for noninteracting finite-sized particles confined by a constant-curvature wall (e.g., a semicylinder), we have derived a closed formula for the local pressure. This has allowed us to derive the dependence of the interfacial tension upon the choice of the dividing surface [encoded in  $\widetilde{R}$  in Eq. (24)], and to identify the surface of tension (SOT) as the dividing surface for which the Laplace law is recovered. Interestingly, the SOT does not coincide with the wall surface. For noninteracting particles, our result is in agreement with morphometric thermodynamics (MT) [41].

When the fluid particles are interacting among themselves, the expression for the local pressure becomes more involved. However, an insight into the corrections to MT can be derived for weakly interacting particles, for which the expression for the local pressure can be obtained by expanding about the noninteracting case. In such a scenario, our results show that the MT approach breaks down [Eq. (31)] in that additional contributions with a non-MT form appear as corrections to the Laplace equation.

Finally, for arbitrary wall shapes and nonlocal interactions the local pressure does not depend locally on the wall curvature, so that schemes such as the concept of a surface of tension or morphometric thermodynamics are merely approximations whose applicability is limited to ranges of small wall curvatures, which decrease upon increasing the range of the interactions inside the fluid.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P.M. thanks Erio Tosatti for pinpointing the problem under study and for useful discussions. Moreover, we are grateful to S. Dietrich for valuable comments.

## APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF Eq. (8)

According to Eq. (7) in Sec. II C of the main text, the work needed to deform the walls  $h = (h_+, h_-)$  by  $\delta h = (\delta h_+, \delta h_-)$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \delta\beta \Xi[h,\delta h] &= \int dx \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \int_{-J_{\alpha}^{-}(x)}^{J_{\alpha}^{+}(x)} dz \, \frac{\delta\mathcal{A}}{\delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,z)} [\underline{\varrho}^{\mathrm{eq}}[h], \underline{J}^{+}, \underline{J}^{-}, h] \, \delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,z,[h,\delta h]) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sum_{t \in \{\pm\}} \left( \frac{\delta\mathcal{A}}{\delta J_{\alpha}^{t}(x)} [\underline{\varrho}^{\mathrm{eq}}[h], \underline{J}^{+}, \underline{J}^{-}, h] \delta J_{\alpha}^{t}(x) + \frac{\delta\mathcal{A}}{\delta h_{t}(x)} [\underline{\varrho}^{\mathrm{eq}}[h], \underline{J}^{+}, \underline{J}^{-}, h] \, \delta h_{t}(x) \right) \Big|_{\underline{J}^{\pm} = \underline{H}^{\pm}[h_{\pm}]} \\ &= \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sum_{t \in \{\pm\}} \left[ \left( L_{y} \varrho_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{eq}}(x, t J_{\alpha}^{t}(x), [h]) \left( f_{\alpha} \left( \varrho_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{eq}}(x, t J_{\alpha}^{t}(x), [h]) \right) - \beta\mu_{\alpha} + \beta V_{\alpha} \left( x, t J_{\alpha}^{t}(x), [h] \right) \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\delta\beta F^{\mathrm{ex}}}{\delta J_{\alpha}^{t}(x)} [\underline{\varrho}^{\mathrm{eq}}[h], \underline{J}^{+}, \underline{J}^{-}] \right) \, \delta J_{\alpha}^{t}(x) + L_{y} \int_{-J_{\alpha}^{-}(x)}^{J_{\alpha}^{+}(x)} dz \, \varrho_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{eq}}(x, z, [h]) \int dx' \, \frac{\delta\beta V_{\alpha}}{\delta h_{t}(x')} (x, z, [h]) \, \delta h_{t}(x') \right] \Big|_{\underline{J}^{\pm} = \underline{H}^{\pm}[h_{\pm}]}, \end{split}$$
(A1)

where Eqs. (3) and (5) have been used. In particular, the Euler-Lagrange equation (5) can be written in the form

$$L_{y}\left(f_{\alpha}\left(\varrho_{\alpha}^{\text{eq}}(x,z,[h])\right) - \beta\mu_{\alpha} + \beta V_{\alpha}(x,z,[h])\right) = -L_{y}\varrho_{\alpha}^{\text{eq}}(x,z,[h])f_{\alpha}'\left(\varrho_{\alpha}^{\text{eq}}(x,z,[h])\right) - \frac{\delta\beta F^{\text{ex}}}{\delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,z)}[\underline{\varrho}^{\text{eq}}[h],\underline{H}^{+}[h_{+}],\underline{H}^{-}[h_{-}]]$$
(A2)

so that Eq. (A1) is given by

$$\delta\beta \Xi[h,\delta h] = \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sum_{t\in\{\pm\}} \left[ \delta H_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t},\delta h_{t}]) \left( -L_{y}\varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x,tH_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]),[h])^{2} f_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x,tH_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]),[h]) \right) \\ -\varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x,tH_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]),[h]) \frac{\delta\beta F^{ex}}{\delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,tH_{\alpha}^{t}(x,[h_{t}]))} [\underline{\varrho}^{eq}[h],\underline{H}^{+}[h_{+}],\underline{H}^{-}[h_{-}]] \\ + \frac{\delta\beta F^{ex}}{\delta J_{\alpha}^{t}(x)} [\underline{\varrho}^{eq}[h],\underline{H}^{+}[h_{+}],\underline{H}^{-}[h_{-}]] \right) + L_{y} \int_{-H_{\alpha}^{-}(x,[h_{-}])}^{H_{\alpha}^{+}(x,[h_{1}])} dz \, \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x,z,[h]) \int dx^{\prime} \, \frac{\delta\beta V_{\alpha}}{\delta h_{t}(x^{\prime})} (x,z,[h]) \, \delta h_{t}(x^{\prime}) \bigg],$$
(A3)

where we have substituted  $J^{\pm}_{\alpha}(x) = H^{\pm}_{\alpha}(x, [h_{\pm}])$ . From Eq. (4) one obtains

$$\frac{\delta\beta F^{\text{ex}}}{\delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,z)}[\underline{\varrho},\underline{J}^{+},\underline{J}^{-}] = -L_{y} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\dots,\alpha_{n-1}=1}^{s} \int dx_{1} \int_{-J_{\alpha_{1}}^{-}(x_{1})}^{J_{\alpha_{1}}^{+}(x_{1})} dz_{1} \cdots \int dx_{n-1} \int_{-J_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{-}(x_{n-1})}^{J_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{+}(x_{n-1})} dz_{n-1} \times c_{\alpha_{1},\dots,\alpha_{n-1}\alpha}^{(0)}(x_{1},z_{1},\dots,x_{n-1},z_{n-1},x,z)\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}(x_{1},z_{1})\cdots\varrho_{\alpha_{n}}(x_{n-1},z_{n-1})$$
(A4)

and

$$\frac{\delta\beta F^{\text{ex}}}{\delta J_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x)}[\underline{\varrho},\underline{J}^{+},\underline{J}^{-}] = -L_{y} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\dots,\alpha_{n-1}=1}^{s} \int dx_{1} \int_{-J_{\alpha_{1}}^{-}(x_{1})}^{J_{\alpha_{1}}^{+}(x_{1})} dz_{1} \cdots \int dx_{n-1} \int_{-J_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{-}(x_{n-1})}^{J_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{+}(x_{n-1})} dz_{n-1} \\ \times c_{\alpha_{1},\dots,\alpha_{n-1}\alpha}^{(0)}(x_{1},z_{1},\dots,x_{n-1},z_{n-1},x,\pm J_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x))\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}(x_{1},z_{1})\cdots\varrho_{\alpha_{n}}(x_{n-1},z_{n-1})\varrho_{\alpha}(x,\pm J_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x)), \quad (A5)$$

which leads to

$$-\varrho_{\alpha}(x,\pm J_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x))\frac{\delta\beta F^{\text{ex}}}{\delta\varrho_{\alpha}(x,\pm J_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x))}[\underline{\varrho},\underline{J}^{+},\underline{J}^{-}] + \frac{\delta\beta F^{\text{ex}}}{\delta J_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x)}[\underline{\varrho},\underline{J}^{+},\underline{J}^{-}] = 0.$$
(A6)

Note that Eq. (A6) is independent of the microscopic details of the interactions between the fluids molecules. By substituting Eq. (A6) into Eq. (A3), one obtains the final expression for the work necessary to deform the walls  $h_{\pm}$  by  $\delta h_{\pm}$ :

$$\delta\beta \Xi[h_{\pm},\delta h] = L_{y} \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sum_{t \in \{\pm\}} \left[ -\varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} \left( x, t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x, [h_{t}]), [h] \right)^{2} f_{\alpha}^{\prime} \left( \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} \left( x, t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x, [h_{t}]), [h] \right) \right) \delta H_{\alpha}^{t}(x, [h_{t}, \delta h_{t}]) \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{-H_{\alpha}^{-}(x, [h_{-}])}^{H_{\alpha}^{+}(x, [h_{+}])} dz \, \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x, z, [h]) \int dx^{\prime} \, \frac{\delta\beta V_{\alpha}}{\delta h_{t}(x^{\prime})}(x, z, [h]) \, \delta h_{t}(x^{\prime}) \right].$$
(A7)

To express the deformation work  $\delta\beta \equiv [h, \delta h]$  in Eq. (A7) explicitly in terms of the variation  $\delta h_{\pm}$  of the wall shapes  $h_{\pm}$ , the boundaries  $\underline{H}^{\pm}[h_{\pm}]$  of the accessible volume have to be determined as functionals of  $h_{\pm}$ . A particle of species  $\alpha$  located at point  $(x, y, \pm H_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]))$  touches the wall at point  $(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]), y, \pm h_{\pm}(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}])))$  (see Fig. 1). Since particles of species  $\alpha$  have radius  $R_{\alpha}$  and since wall and particles touch each other tangentially, one can readily derive the following relations:

$$x = \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]) + \frac{R_{\alpha}h_{\pm}'(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]))}{\sqrt{1 + h_{\pm}'(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]))^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]) = h_{\pm}(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}])) - \frac{R_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1 + h_{\pm}'(\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}]))^2}}.$$
 (A8)

Variation with respect to  $h_{\pm}$  in Eq. (A8) leads to  $\delta H^{\pm}_{\alpha}(x, [h_{\pm}, \delta h_{\pm}]) = \delta h_{\pm}(\bar{x}^{\pm}_{\alpha}(x, [h_{\pm}]))$ , i.e., upon changing the wall shape, the boundary of the accessible volume changes exactly as the wall shape does at the touching point. Substituting the last expression into Eq. (A7), one obtains

$$\delta\beta\Xi[h,\delta h] = L_{y} \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sum_{t\in\{\pm\}} \left[ -\varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} (x, t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x, [h_{t}]), [h])^{2} f_{\alpha}^{\prime} (\varrho_{\alpha}^{eq} (x, t H_{\alpha}^{t}(x, [h_{t}]), [h])) \delta h_{t} (\bar{x}_{\alpha}^{t}(x, [h_{t}])) + \int_{-H_{\alpha}^{-}(x, [h_{-}])}^{H_{\alpha}^{+}(x, [h_{+}])} dz \, \varrho_{\alpha}^{eq}(x, z, [h]) \int dx^{\prime} \, \frac{\delta\beta V_{\alpha}}{\delta h_{t}(x^{\prime})} (x, z, [h]) \delta h_{t}(x^{\prime}) \right].$$
(A9)

In light of the first relation in Eq. (A8), introducing the inverse maps of  $x \mapsto \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x, [h_{\pm}])$ ,

$$K_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x',[h_{\pm}]) := x' + \frac{R_{\alpha}h'_{\pm}(x')}{\sqrt{1 + h'_{\pm}(x')^2}},\tag{A10}$$

one obtains

$$\partial_{x'} K_{\alpha}^{\pm}(x', [h_{\pm}]) = 1 + R_{\alpha} \frac{h_{\pm}''(x')}{\sqrt{1 + h_{\pm}'(x')^2}} = 1 + \frac{R_{\alpha}}{R_{w}^{\pm}(x', [h_{\pm}])}.$$
(A11)

Then, finally, one can rewrite Eq. (A9) as Eq. (8) in Sec. IIC of the main text.

## APPENDIX B: ROTATION INVARIANCE OF $P_z$

One can show that  $P_z^t$  is invariant upon rotation of the walls in the *x*-*z* plane. Indeed, consider the rotation  $T(\varphi) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2, (x,z) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{z})$  (see Fig. 2) around some center  $(x_0, z_0) \in$   $\mathbb{R}^2$  by an angle  $\varphi$  given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ z_0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{T}(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} x - x_0 \\ z - z_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(B1)



FIG. 2. Illustration of the tilted scenario.

- B. Alberts, A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, *Molecular Biology of the Cell* (Garland Science, Oxford, 2007).
- [2] T. Duncombe, A. Tentori, and A. Herr, Nat. Rev. Mol. Biol. 16, 554 (2015).
- [3] Z. L. Wang and W. Z. Wu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 51, 11700 (2012).
- [4] G. Bakker, Ann. Phys. 331, 35 (1908).
- [5] J. Frenkel, Phil. Mag. 33, 297 (1917).
- [6] L. Rothschild, Nature (London) 198, 1221 (1963).
- [7] E. Kalman, I. Vlassiouk, and Z. Siwy, Adv. Mater. 20, 293 (2008).
- [8] P. Malgaretti, I. Pagonabarraga, and J. M. Rubi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 128301 (2014).
- [9] N. Laohakunakorn and U. F. Keyser, Nanotechnol. 26, 275202 (2015).
- [10] D. V. Melnikov, Z. K. Hulings, and M. E. Gracheva, Phys. Rev. E 95, 063105 (2017).
- [11] A. L. Dubov, T. Y. Molotilin, and O. I. Vinogradova, Soft Matter 13, 7498 (2017).
- [12] D. Reguera and J. M. Rubí, Phys. Rev. E 64, 061106 (2001).
- [13] L. Dagdug, A. M. Berezhkovskii, Y. A. Makhnovskii, V. Y. Zitsereman, and S. Bezrukov, J. Chem. Phys. 134, 101102 (2011).
- [14] L. Dagdug, M.-V. Vazquez, A. M. Berezhkovskii, V. Y. Zitserman, and S. M. Bezrukov, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 204106 (2012).
- [15] D. Reguera, A. Luque, P. S. Burada, G. Schmid, J. M. Rubi, and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 020604 (2012).
- [16] U. Marini Bettolo Marconi, P. Malgaretti, and I. Pagonabarraga, J. Chem. Phys. 143, 184501 (2015).
- [17] P. Malgaretti, I. Pagonabarraga, and J. M. Rubi, J. Chem. Phys. 144, 034901 (2016).
- [18] R. Di Leonardo, L. Angelani, D. Dell'Arciprete, G. Ruocco, V. Iebba, S. Schippa, M. P. Conte, F. Mecarini, F. De Angelis, and E. Di Fabrizio, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **107**, 9541 (2010).
- [19] P. Malgaretti, I. Pagonabarraga, and J. M. Rubi, Phys. Rev. E 85, 010105(R) (2012).
- [20] P. K. Ghosh, V. R. Misko, F. Marchesoni, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 268301 (2013).

with the rotation matrix

$$\mathbb{I}(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi\\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R}). \tag{B2}$$

Hence, the point  $(x,th_t(x))$  at the wall of the original system is rotated by  $T(\varphi)$  to the point  $(\tilde{x},t\tilde{h}_t(\tilde{x}))$  at the wall of the rotated system (see Fig. 2). As the two-dimensional Lebesgue-Borel measure  $dx \,\delta h_t(x)$  on the right-hand side of Eq. (10) is invariant upon rotation, i.e.,  $dx \,\delta h_t(x) = d\tilde{x} \,\delta \tilde{h}_t(\tilde{x})$ , and as the work required to deform the walls is a scalar quantity, so is  $P_t^t$ :

$$P_{z}^{t}(\tilde{x}, [\tilde{h}]) = P_{z}^{t}(x, [h]).$$
 (B3)

- [21] M. Sandoval and L. Dagdug, Phys. Rev. E 90, 062711 (2014).
- [22] P. Malgaretti and H. Stark, J. Chem. Phys. 146, 174901 (2017).
- [23] C. T. A. Wong and M. Muthukumar, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 154903 (2008).
- [24] N. Nikoofard and H. Fazli, Phys. Rev. E 83, 050801(R) (2011).
- [25] S. Nedelcu and J.-U. Sommer, J. Chem. Phys. 138, 104905 (2013).
- [26] V. Bianco and P. Malgaretti, J. Chem. Phys. 145, 114904 (2016).
- [27] A. V. Datar, M. Fyta, U. M. B. Marconi, and S. Melchionna, Langmuir 33, 11635 (2017).
- [28] R. Evans, Adv. Phys. 28, 143 (1979).
- [29] P. Tarazona, Mol. Phys. 52, 81 (1984).
- [30] P. Tarazona, Phys. Rev. A **31**, 2672 (1985).
- [31] Y. Rosenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 980 (1989).
- [32] R. Roth, R. Evans, A. Lang, and G. Kahl, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 12063 (2002).
- [33] R. Wittmann, M. Marechal, and K. Mecke, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 28, 244003 (2016).
- [34] J. L. Lebowitz, Phys. Fluids 3, 64 (1960).
- [35] D. Henderson, L. Blum, and J. L. Lebowitz, J. Electroanal. Chem. **102**, 315 (1979).
- [36] J. R. Henderson, Mol. Phys. 50, 741 (1983).
- [37] R. Podgornik, J. Phys. Chem. 97, 3927 (1993).
- [38] J. P. Mallarino, G. Téllez, and E. Trizac, Mol. Phys. 113, 2409 (2015).
- [39] P. J. Upton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2300 (1998).
- [40] J. Rowlinson and B. Widom, *Molecular Theory of Capillarity* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989).
- [41] P.-M. König, R. Roth, and K. R. Mecke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 160601 (2004).
- [42] R. Roth, Y. Harano, and M. Kinoshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 078101 (2006).
- [43] H. Hansen-Goos, R. Roth, K. Mecke, and S. Dietrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 128101 (2007).
- [44] M. E. Evans and R. Roth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 038102 (2014).
- [45] B. B. Laird, A. Hunter, and R. L. Davidchack, Phys. Rev. E 86, 060602 (2012).
- [46] H. Hansen-Goos, J. Chem. Phys. 141, 171101 (2014).
- [47] A. Reindl, M. Bier, and S. Dietrich, Phys. Rev. E 91, 022406 (2015).