

# Exact law for homogeneous compressible Hall magnetohydrodynamics turbulence

N. Andrés,<sup>1</sup> S. Galtier,<sup>1,2</sup> and F. Sahraoui<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LPP, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Univ. Paris-Sud, Observatoire de Paris, Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Universités, PSL Research University, F-91128 Palaiseau, France

<sup>2</sup>Département de Physique, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France



(Received 28 July 2017; published 22 January 2018)

We derive an exact law for three-dimensional (3D) homogeneous compressible isothermal Hall magnetohydrodynamic turbulence, without the assumption of isotropy. The Hall current is shown to introduce new flux and source terms that act at the small scales (comparable or smaller than the ion skin depth) to significantly impact the turbulence dynamics. The law provides an accurate means to estimate the energy cascade rate over a broad range of scales covering the magnetohydrodynamic inertial range and the sub-ion dispersive range in 3D numerical simulations and in *in situ* spacecraft observations of compressible turbulence. This work is particularly relevant to astrophysical flows in which small-scale density fluctuations cannot be ignored such as the solar wind, planetary magnetospheres, and the interstellar medium.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.97.013204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.013204)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Fully developed plasma turbulence theories are crucial to understand astrophysical flows that include the solar wind, the interstellar medium (ISM) and accretion flows (see, e.g., [1–3]). Due to the complexity and randomness of turbulent flows, exact mathematical results about turbulence are very few in the literature. The most important one that was derived for homogeneous incompressible magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence is the so-called 4/3 law. It relates the turbulent fluctuations at given scale  $\ell$  to the rate by which energy (or other invariants of motion) is dissipated into the system [4–6]. This exact law has been widely used to quantify the energy cascade rate in solar wind turbulence [7–10], to predict the decay of MHD turbulence [11], and to determine scaling exponents in measurements and numerical simulations of turbulence through the extended self-similarity method [12,13]. However, those works are only valid for incompressible flows and limited to the MHD scales, and therefore ignore the role of density fluctuations and do not capture any small-scale effect. By small-scale effects we refer to the terms in the generalized Ohm's law that allow one to describe time and spatial scales that are comparable or smaller than the ion gyroperiod and skin depth  $d_i = c/\omega_{pi}$  (where  $c$  is the speed of light and  $\omega_{pi}$  is the ion plasma frequency). At those scales the MHD description breaks down, and the first-order correction that can be considered in a fluid description of plasmas is the so-called Hall current, yielding the Hall MHD (HMHD) model (see, e.g., [14]). While this model remains incomplete, at least because it ignores kinetic effects such as the Landau damping or the cyclotron resonances, it does, however, provide a useful framework to investigate fundamental features of sub-ion scale plasma dynamics [15–22].

Another improvement to the existing exact law models that needs to be achieved is to include density fluctuations  $\delta n$ . Indeed, while compressible fluctuations in the solar wind are generally weak ( $\delta n/n \sim 10\%-20\%$ ) and represent only

a small fraction of the total MHD fluctuations, which are essentially incompressible and Alfvénic [23–25], other astrophysical media, e.g., planetary magnetosheaths and the ISM, exhibit higher plasma compressibility ( $\delta n/n \sim 50\%-100\%$ ) [25–28]. Moreover, even in the solar wind, the incompressibility assumption can totally fail to describe sub-ion scales physics. This is because Alfvén wave turbulence, which is incompressible at MHD scales, transitions into kinetic Alfvén wave (KAW) turbulence in the sub-ion scales where density (and pressure) fluctuations become important and couple to the increasing parallel magnetic fluctuations as the energy cascade approaches the ion scales [29–33]. Since KAW turbulence is thought to be the main channel by which energy flows into the sub-ion scales [19,30,31,34,35], it is important to develop theoretical models that incorporate density fluctuations as an essential ingredient of turbulence. Recently, a significant step has been achieved by deriving exact law for compressible isothermal MHD turbulence (CMHD) [36]. This model has been applied to *in situ* spacecraft data in the fast and slow solar wind and in the terrestrial magnetosheath to investigate the role of density fluctuations in the turbulence dynamics at the MHD scales. In particular, it has been shown that plasma compressibility enhances both the energy cascade rate and the turbulence anisotropy with respect to the incompressible model [24,25,37]. This provided new clues to explain the long-standing problem of the solar wind heating [36,38]. However, the role of density fluctuations in the sub-ion scales remains unexplored because of the lack of similar exact models that cover those small scales.

In the present paper, using the full three-dimensional (3D) compressible Hall MHD (CHMHD) set of equations, we derive an exact law for fully developed homogeneous isothermal turbulence. The law considers three important aspects of turbulence that should fill existing gaps in the current fluid models of compressible turbulence in magnetized plasmas: density fluctuations, the Hall current that controls some of the physics at sub-ion scales, and spatial anisotropy due to the

mean magnetic field. The new exact law derived here provides a robust means to compute the amount of the total (compressible) energy that sinks from the MHD inertial range into the sub-ion scales where it is eventually dissipated.

## II. COMPRESSIBLE HALL MHD MODEL

The 3D CHMHD equations correspond to the momentum equation for the velocity field  $\mathbf{v}$ , the induction equation for the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$ , and the continuity equation for the scalar density  $\rho$ . In addition to that, we consider the differential Gauss's law and the divergenceless equation for the current density  $\mathbf{J} = (c/4\pi)\nabla \times \mathbf{B}$ . Alternatively to  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{J}$ , we will use the compressible Alfvén velocity  $\mathbf{v}_A \equiv \mathbf{B}/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$  and the compressible electric density  $\mathbf{J}_c \equiv \mathbf{J}/\rho$ . Therefore, the CHMHD set of equations can be cast as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathbf{v} &= -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_A - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(P + P_M) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{v}_A \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) + \mathbf{D}_k + \mathbf{F}_k,\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathbf{v}_A &= -(\mathbf{v} - \lambda \mathbf{J}_c) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_A + \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{v} - \lambda \mathbf{J}_c) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathbf{v}_A}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \lambda \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c) + \mathbf{D}_m,\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

$$\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla \rho = -2\rho(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \nabla \rho = -\rho(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c), \quad (5)$$

where we have defined the dimensionless ion inertial length  $\lambda \equiv d_i/L_0$ , where  $L_0$  is a characteristic length scale, the

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}') &= -\nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}') \rho \mathbf{v}] + \nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}') \rho \mathbf{v}_A] - \nabla' \cdot [(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}) \rho \mathbf{v}'] + \nabla' \cdot [(\mathbf{v}'_A \cdot \mathbf{v}) \rho \mathbf{v}'_A] \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot (P \mathbf{v}') - \nabla \cdot (P_M \mathbf{v}') - \frac{\rho}{\rho'} \nabla' \cdot (P' \mathbf{v}) - \frac{\rho}{\rho'} \nabla' \cdot (P'_M \mathbf{v}) + \rho(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}')(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}_A) - \rho(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A)(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) - \rho(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A)(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) - \rho(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A)(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) + d_k + f_k,\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\rho \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) &= -\nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rho \mathbf{v}] + \nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rho \mathbf{v}_A] - \nabla' \cdot [(\mathbf{v}'_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \rho \mathbf{v}'] + \nabla' \cdot [(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \rho \mathbf{v}'_A] \\ &\quad + \lambda \{\nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rho \mathbf{J}_c] - \nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rho \mathbf{v}_A] + \nabla' \cdot [(\mathbf{v}'_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \rho \mathbf{J}'_c] - \nabla' \cdot [(\mathbf{J}'_c \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \rho \mathbf{v}'_A]\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A)(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A)(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') + \rho(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A)(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}_A) \\ &\quad - \rho(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A)(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) + \lambda \left\{ \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A)(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c) + \frac{\rho}{2} (\mathbf{v}'_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A)(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J}'_c) - (\mathbf{v}'_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \nabla' \cdot (\rho \mathbf{J}'_c) \right\} \\ &\quad - \lambda \{(\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}_A) + (\mathbf{J}'_c \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \nabla' \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}'_A)\} + d_m,\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

$$\partial_t(\rho e') = -\nabla' \cdot (\rho e' \mathbf{v}') - \nabla' \cdot (\rho e' \mathbf{v}) - \nabla' \cdot (P \mathbf{v}') + \rho e'(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'), \quad (10)$$

where we have defined the dissipation and forcing correlation functions as  $d_k = \mathbf{D}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}' + \mathbf{D}'_k \cdot \mathbf{v}$ ,  $f_k = \mathbf{F}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}' + \mathbf{F}'_k \cdot \mathbf{v}$  and  $d_m = \mathbf{D}_m \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A + \mathbf{D}'_m \cdot \mathbf{v}_A$ , respectively. The small-scale contributions to this derivation come from the terms proportional to  $\lambda$ .

Let us focus first on the terms related to the CMHD results reported previously in the literature [36,39]. In particular, let us consider the terms that involve the divergence of fourth- and third-order variables [see first line in Eqs. (8)–(10)]. Assuming homogeneous turbulence [41,43], i.e.,  $\langle \nabla' \cdot (\cdot) \rangle = \nabla_\ell \cdot \langle \cdot \rangle$ ,  $\langle \nabla \cdot (\cdot) \rangle = -\nabla_\ell \cdot \langle \cdot \rangle$ , and  $\langle \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha' \rangle$  (with  $\alpha$  any scalar function), the fourth- and third-order terms (and the prime versions) can be grouped as

$$-\nabla_\ell \cdot \langle (R_E + R'_E) \delta \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\ell \cdot \langle [(\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} + \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + 2\delta e \delta \rho)] \delta \mathbf{v} \rangle - E'(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) - E(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'), \quad (11)$$

$$\nabla_\ell \cdot \langle (R_H + R'_H) \delta \mathbf{v}_A \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\ell \cdot \langle [(\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}] \delta \mathbf{v}_A \rangle + H'(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) + H(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A), \quad (12)$$

where we have introduced the usual increment  $\delta\alpha \equiv \alpha' - \alpha$ , the density-weighted cross-helicity  $H(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \rho(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_A)$ , and the associated two-point correlation function  $R_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \equiv \rho(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A + \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}')/2$ . We can identify two types of terms, the so-called *flux* and *source* terms.

Defining the source terms  $S_1$  (proportional to  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$ ) and  $S_2$  (proportional to  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A$ ), from Eqs. (8)–(10) (and their prime versions), we can group them as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \left\langle \left( R'_E - \frac{R'_B + R_B}{2} \right) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \left( R_E - \frac{R_B + R'_B}{2} \right) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \langle [ (R_H - R'_H) - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) ] (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle [ (R'_H - R_H) - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) ] (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we have defined the two-point correlation function associated with magnetic energy  $R_B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \equiv \rho \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A/2$ . We have also introduced the local mean value of a variable  $\alpha$  as  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha' + \alpha)/2$ .

On the other hand, let us consider the terms that involve the magnetic and the plasma pressure. In particular, these terms can be cast as

$$-\langle \nabla \cdot (P + P_M) \mathbf{v}' \rangle - 2\langle \nabla' \cdot (P \mathbf{v}') \rangle = \langle (P_M - P) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') \rangle, \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\left\langle \frac{\rho}{\rho'} \nabla' \cdot (P' + P'_M) \mathbf{v} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \left( e' + \frac{u_A'^2}{2} \right) [\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v})] \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle \frac{\beta^{-1}}{2} \nabla' \cdot (e' \rho \mathbf{v}) \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Finally, combining the different contributions, the dynamical equation for  $\langle R_E + R'_E \rangle$  can be cast as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle R_E + R'_E \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\ell \cdot \left\langle [(\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} + \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + 2\delta e \delta \rho) \delta \mathbf{v} - [\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + \delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A)] \delta \mathbf{v}_A \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\lambda[(\overline{\rho \mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A}) \times \delta \mathbf{v}_A - \delta[\mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A] \times \overline{\rho \mathbf{v}_A}] \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left( e' + \frac{u_A'^2}{2} \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \left( e + \frac{u_A^2}{2} \right) \nabla' \cdot (\rho' \mathbf{v}') \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \left( R'_E - \frac{R'_B + R_B}{2} - E' + \frac{P'_M - P'}{2} \right) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \left( R_E - \frac{R_B + R'_B}{2} - E + \frac{P_M - P}{2} \right) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left[ \left[ R_H - R'_H - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) + H' + \lambda \delta \rho \frac{\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \mathbf{v}_A'}{2} \right] (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) + \left[ R'_H - R_H - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) + H - \lambda \delta \rho \frac{\mathbf{J}_c' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A}{2} \right] (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \langle (R_B - R'_B) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c) + (R'_B - R_B) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J}'_c) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta^{-1} \nabla' \cdot (e' \rho \mathbf{v}) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (e \rho' \mathbf{v}') \rangle + \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

## B. Fully developed turbulence

To obtain the exact law valid in the inertial range, we adopted the usual assumption for fully developed turbulence, where an asymptotic stationary state is expected to be reached [42,43]. Assuming an infinite (kinetic and magnetic) Reynolds number with a statistical balance between forcing and dissipation, from Eq. (20) we obtain the exact law for CHMHD turbulence as

$$\begin{aligned} -2\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\ell \cdot \langle [(\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} + \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + 2\delta e \delta \rho) \delta \mathbf{v} - [\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + \delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A)] \delta \mathbf{v}_A \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\lambda[(\overline{\rho \mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A}) \times \delta \mathbf{v}_A - \delta[\mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A] \times \overline{\rho \mathbf{v}_A}] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left( e' + \frac{u_A'^2}{2} \right) \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \left( e + \frac{u_A^2}{2} \right) \nabla' \cdot (\rho' \mathbf{v}') \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \left( R'_E - \frac{R'_B + R_B}{2} - E' + \frac{P'_M - P'}{2} \right) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \left( R_E - \frac{R_B + R'_B}{2} - E + \frac{P_M - P}{2} \right) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta^{-1} \equiv u_A^2/2c_s^2$ . We can identify two types of source terms, those proportional to  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$  and those proportional to  $\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v})$ . Furthermore, a  $\beta$ -dependent term, which is the product of variables evaluated at the points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x}'$ , is identified.

The new CHMHD contributions are the terms proportional to  $\lambda$  in the induction equation (9). Let us consider the fluxlike terms [second line in Eq. (9)]. Using the main properties for homogeneous turbulence, the Hall terms can be cast as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_r \cdot \langle -(\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rho \mathbf{J}_c + (\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rho \mathbf{v}_A \rangle \\ = \nabla_r \cdot \langle \rho (\mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A) \times \mathbf{v}'_A \rangle, \\ \nabla_r \cdot \langle -(\mathbf{v}'_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \rho \mathbf{J}'_c + (\mathbf{J}'_c \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \rho \mathbf{v}'_A \rangle \\ = \nabla_r \cdot \langle \rho (\mathbf{J}'_c \times \mathbf{v}'_A) \times \mathbf{v}_A \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where we have used the vector triple product identities (see, e.g., [40]). Adding these two terms and their prime versions, the fluxlike compressible Hall contribution can be cast as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}^{\text{HMHD}} &= 2 \langle \bar{\rho} [(\mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A) \times \mathbf{v}'_A - (\mathbf{J}'_c \times \mathbf{v}'_A) \times \mathbf{v}_A] \rangle \\ &= 2[(\overline{\rho \mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A}) \times \delta \mathbf{v}_A - \delta[\mathbf{J}_c \times \mathbf{v}_A] \times \overline{\rho \mathbf{v}_A}]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, from Eq. (9) we note two types of sourcelike terms [fourth line in Eq. (9)], those proportional to  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A$  and those proportional to  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c$ . After straightforward ordering and using the main properties for homogeneous turbulence, the compressible Hall source terms  $S^{\text{HMHD}}$  can be grouped as,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\text{HMHD}} &= \left\langle \delta \rho \frac{\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \mathbf{v}_A}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) - \delta \rho \frac{\mathbf{J}'_c \cdot \mathbf{v}_A}{2} (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \frac{R_B - R'_B}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c) + \frac{R'_B - R_B}{2} (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J}'_c) \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

|

$$+ \left\langle \left[ R_H - R'_H - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) + H' + \lambda \delta \rho \frac{\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A}{2} \right] (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) + \left[ R'_H - R_H - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) + H - \lambda \delta \rho \frac{\mathbf{J}'_c \cdot \mathbf{v}_A}{2} \right] (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \right\rangle \\ + \frac{\lambda}{2} \langle (R_B - R'_B)(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c) + (R'_B - R_B)(\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J}'_c) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta^{-1} \nabla' \cdot (e' \rho \mathbf{v}) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (e \rho' \mathbf{v}') \rangle, \quad (21)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the energy cascade (or dissipation) rate. Equation (21) is the main result of the present paper. This equation gives an exact relation for fully developed homogeneous CMHD turbulence that is valid in the MHD inertial range and the sub-ion scales. It generalizes previous exact results [36,39,43] by including plasma compressibility, spatial anisotropy, and the Hall effect. Equation (21) gives an accurate mathematical means that can be used to estimate the energy cascade rate of turbulence over a broad range of scales in the inertial and sub-ion (dispersive) ranges without the assumption of isotropy.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

The exact law (21) provides a result that should hold as long as the energy injection rate balances the energy dissipation rate in CHMHD turbulence. In other words, Eq. (21) only requires that dissipation terms get off all the power injected by the forcing terms. In a compact form, expression (21) can be sketched as

$$-2\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\ell \cdot (\mathbf{F}^{\text{MHD}} + \lambda \mathbf{F}^{\text{HMHD}}) \\ + (S^{\text{MHD}} + \lambda S^{\text{HMHD}}) + S_H^{\text{MHD}} + M_\beta^{\text{MHD}}, \quad (22)$$

where the terms with the superscript MHD are those present in the exact law for CMHD turbulence [36,39], while the terms with the superscript HMHD represent the new small-scale contributions due to the Hall effect. It is worth mentioning that we recover here the four types of terms reported recently in Andrés and Sahraoui [39] for CMHD turbulence,

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{MHD}} \equiv \langle [(\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} + \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + 2\delta e \delta \rho)] \delta \mathbf{v} \\ - [\delta(\rho \mathbf{v}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_A + \delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \delta(\rho \mathbf{v}_A)] \delta \mathbf{v}_A \rangle, \quad (23)$$

$$S^{\text{MHD}} \equiv \langle [R'_E - \frac{1}{2}(R'_B + R_B)] (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \\ + [R_E - \frac{1}{2}(R_B + R'_B)] (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') \\ + \langle [(R_H - R'_H) - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{v}_A)] (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \\ + [(R'_H - R_H) - \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A)] (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rangle, \quad (24)$$

$$S_H^{\text{MHD}} \equiv \left\langle \left( \frac{P'_M - P'}{2} - E' \right) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \right. \\ \left. + \left( \frac{P_M - P}{2} - E \right) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}') \right\rangle \\ + \langle H' (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) + H (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}'_A) \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left( e' + \frac{u_A'^2}{2} \right) [\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v})] \right. \\ \left. + \left( e + \frac{u_A^2}{2} \right) [\nabla' \cdot (\rho' \mathbf{v}')] \right\rangle, \quad (25)$$

$$M_\beta^{\text{MHD}} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \langle \beta^{-1} \nabla' \cdot (e' \rho \mathbf{v}) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (e \rho' \mathbf{v}') \rangle, \quad (26)$$

where the *flux* terms  $\mathbf{F}^{\text{MHD}}$ , which can be written as the local divergence of increments, correspond to the nonlinear cascade of energy across different scales (see, e.g., [44]). The *source* terms  $S^{\text{MHD}}$  are proportional to the global divergence of the fields  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_A$ , and are related to the dilatation (or contraction) of the plasma. The *hybrid* terms  $S_H^{\text{MHD}}$  can be considered as source- or fluxlike terms, while the  $\beta$ -dependent terms  $M_\beta^{\text{MHD}}$  cannot *a priori* be transformed into flux or source terms. We emphasize that the  $\beta$ -dependent terms are a direct consequence of the gradients of the magnetic pressure in the plasma, and thus have no analogs in hydrodynamic (HD) equations (see [39]). Finally, the Hall term brings two new small-scale contributions that are related to  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_A$  and  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_c$ , and a third fluxlike term proportional to  $\lambda$  that cannot be written as a function of increments (see [42,43]). The Hall effect does not give rise to any hybrid or  $\beta$ -dependent contribution in the exact law (21).

Several known results can be recovered here as particular limits of Eq. (21). For spatial scales much larger than the ion inertial length (i.e.,  $\lambda \ll 1$ ), assuming that kinetic and magnetic fluctuations are of the same order, the terms proportional to  $\lambda$  can be neglected and Eq. (21) reduces to the CMHD exact law previously reported in the literature [36,39]. Furthermore, in the hydrodynamic limit, i.e.,  $\mathbf{v}_A = 0$ , we recover the compressible HD exact result for an isothermal plasma turbulence [43]. Galtier [45] derived the exact law for incompressible HMHD (IHMHD) turbulence, assuming homogeneity and isotropy. Using the velocity, magnetic, and electric current fields, his exact result provided a double scaling relation for large and intermediate scales in the inertial range. Taking the incompressibility limit in Eq. (22), the source, hybrid, and  $\beta$ -dependent terms tend to zero. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{F}^{\text{MHD}}$  tends to the well-known incompressible Yaglom term [46] and the new small-scale contribution reduces to

$$\nabla_\ell \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\text{HMHD}} = -2[\langle \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}' \rangle + \langle \nabla' \cdot (\mathbf{J}' \times \mathbf{B}') \times \mathbf{B} \rangle] \\ = 4\nabla_\ell \cdot \langle (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}' \rangle, \quad (27)$$

where we have used  $\langle \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}' \rangle = \langle \nabla' \cdot (\mathbf{J}' \times \mathbf{B}') \times \mathbf{B} \rangle$  thanks to the isotropy assumption (see [43]). Expression (27) is the Hall contribution to Eq. (52) in Galtier [45] for fully developed IHMHD turbulence.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

In the study of turbulent flows, exact laws provide an essential tool to analyze and understand the nonlinear cascade of energy. The exact law (21) generalizes previous exact results, when small-scale effects and compressibility are taken into account in the description, and when the isotropy assumption is relaxed. The new exact law (21) can be used to verify, in numerical simulations and spacecraft observations, whether a given range of scales is inertial or dissipative [18,19,47] since

the law must hold in the inertial range (far away from the energy injection or dissipative scales).

Considering the difficulty to measure all the terms involved in Eq. (21) from spacecraft observations (in particular, when only single spacecraft data are available [24,25,48]), numerical simulations become crucial in order to evaluate the relative weight of each term in the cascade process [49]. This work has been achieved recently for 3D CMHD simulations [50]. The future simulations of 3D CHMHD (work in progress) would furthermore enlighten the role of the Hall terms (i.e., those proportional to  $\lambda$ ) in the nonlinear cascade of energy toward the sub-ion scales. Other questions that can be explored include the importance of compressibility in the cascade at the sub-ion scale, which can be achieved by comparison with the results from the incompressible HMHD model [45]. These works would highlight separately the importance of both the small-scale effects and compressibility in the HMHD models of turbulence.

From the observational viewpoint, the exact law (21) can be used to estimate the energy cascade rate of turbulence over a broad range of scales that span both the inertial and sub-ion (dispersive) ranges without the assumption of isotropy. This work is very timely, in particular because of the availability of *in situ* spacecraft data from the recently launched multispacecraft NASA/MMS (Magnetospheric MultiScale) mission [51], which provides us with unprecedented high time resolution of the plasma data. The MMS data should allow us to measure the terms involved in Eq. (21) with a sufficient time resolution

to probe into the sub-ion scales. For instance, the electric current involved in the exact law (21) can be estimated locally through the plasma data (i.e.,  $\mathbf{J} \propto n_i \mathbf{v}_i - n_e \mathbf{v}_e$ ). Furthermore, the data measured simultaneously from the four spacecraft should allow us to estimate the spatial gradients involved in the exact law, possibly without the need of using the Taylor hypothesis, which might be invalid at the sub-ion scales. If the total cascade rate that would be estimated from spacecraft data can be split into two distinct contributions coming from the MHD and sub-ion scales, i.e.,  $\varepsilon^{\text{MHD}}$  and  $\varepsilon^{\text{HMHD}}$ , respectively, then the difference between the two energy fluxes  $\delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\text{MHD}} - \varepsilon^{\text{HMHD}}$  should provide a first estimation of the energy that is dissipated into ion heating regardless of the actual kinetic process involved in the dissipation. The present results and their expected applications are likely to bring new constraints on the existing theoretical models of sub-ion scale compressible turbulence in magnetized plasmas.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.A. is supported through an École Polytechnique Postdoctoral Fellowship and by LABEX Plas@Par through a grant managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), as part of the program “Investissements d’Avenir” under the reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02. N.A., S.G., and F.S. acknowledge financial support from Programme National Soleil-Terre (PNST).

- 
- [1] R. Kulsrud and W. P. Pearce, *Astrophys. J.* **156**, 445 (1969).
  - [2] A. A. Schekochihin, S. C. Cowley, W. Dorland, G. W. Hammett, G. G. Howes, E. Quataert, and T. Tatsuno, *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **182**, 310 (2009).
  - [3] R. Bruno and V. Carbone, *Living Rev. Solar Phys.* **10**, 2 (2013).
  - [4] S. Chandrasekhar, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **204**, 435 (1951).
  - [5] H. Politano and A. Pouquet, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, R21 (1998).
  - [6] H. Politano and A. Pouquet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **25**, 273 (1998).
  - [7] W. H. Matthaeus, G. P. Zank, C. W. Smith, and S. Oughton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3444 (1999).
  - [8] C. W. Smith, K. Hamilton, B. J. Vasquez, and R. J. Leamon, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **645**, L85 (2006).
  - [9] L. Sorriso-Valvo, R. Marino, V. Carbone, A. Noullez, F. Lepreti, P. Veltri, R. Bruno, B. Bavassano, and E. Pietropaolo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 115001 (2007).
  - [10] F. Sahraoui, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 026402 (2008).
  - [11] M. Wan, S. Oughton, S. Servidio, and W. H. Matthaeus, *J. Fluid Mech.* **697**, 296 (2012).
  - [12] R. Benzi, S. Ciliberto, R. Tripiccione, C. Baudet, F. Massaioli, and S. Succi, *Phys. Rev. E* **48**, R29 (1993).
  - [13] S. Grossmann, D. Lohse, and A. Reeh, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 5473 (1997).
  - [14] L. Turner, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **14**, 849 (1983).
  - [15] D. Biskamp, E. Schwarz, and J. F. Drake, *Phys. Plasmas* **4**, 1002 (1997).
  - [16] R. J. Leamon, C. W. Smith, N. F. Ness, W. H. Matthaeus, and H. K. Wong, *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* **103**, 4775 (1998).
  - [17] D. O. Gómez, S. M. Mahajan, and P. Dmitruk, *Phys. Plasmas* **15**, 102303 (2008).
  - [18] O. Alexandrova, J. Saur, C. Lacombe, A. Mangeney, J. Mitchell, S. J. Schwartz, and P. Robert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 165003 (2009).
  - [19] F. Sahraoui, M. L. Goldstein, P. Robert, and Y. V. Khotyaintsev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 231102 (2009).
  - [20] N. Andrés, L. N. Martin, P. Dmitruk, and D. O. Gómez, *Phys. Plasmas* **21**, 072904 (2014).
  - [21] N. Andrés, C. Gonzalez, L. N. Martin, P. Dmitruk, and D. O. Gómez, *Phys. Plasmas* **21**, 122305 (2014).
  - [22] N. Andrés, P. Dmitruk, and D. O. Gómez, *Phys. Plasmas* **23**, 022903 (2016).
  - [23] K. G. Klein, G. G. Howes, J. M. TenBarge, and J. J. Podesta, *Astrophys. J.* **785**, 138 (2014).
  - [24] L. Z. Hadid, F. Sahraoui, and S. Galtier, *Astrophys. J.* **838**, 9 (2017).
  - [25] L. Z. Hadid, F. Sahraoui, S. Galtier, and S. Y. Huang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (to be published).
  - [26] F. Sahraoui, G. Belmont, L. Rezeau, N. Cornilleau-Wehrlin, J. L. Pinçon, and A. Balogh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 075002 (2006).
  - [27] L. Z. Hadid, F. Sahraoui, K. H. Kiyani, A. Retinò, R. Modolo, P. Canu, A. Masters, and M. K. Dougherty, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **813**, L29 (2015).
  - [28] G. Zank, S. Du, and P. Hunana, *Astrophys. J.* **842**, 114 (2017).
  - [29] F. Sahraoui, G. Belmont, and M. L. Goldstein, *Astrophys. J.* **748**, 100 (2012).

- [30] J. J. Podesta and J. M. TenBarge, *J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys.* **117**, A10106 (2012).
- [31] C. Salem, G. Howes, D. Sundkvist, S. Bale, C. Chaston, C. Chen, and F. Mozer, *Astrophys. J., Lett.* **745**, L9 (2012).
- [32] K. H. Kiyani, S. C. Chapman, F. Sahraoui, B. Hnat, O. Fauvarque, and Y. V. Khotyaintsev, *Astrophys. J.* **763**, 10 (2013).
- [33] N. Andrés, P. Clark di Leoni, P. D. Mininni, P. Dmitruk, F. Sahraoui, and W. H. Matthaeus, *Phys. Plasmas* **24**, 102314 (2017).
- [34] S. D. Bale, P. J. Kellogg, F. S. Mozer, T. S. Horbury, and H. Reme, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 215002 (2005).
- [35] C. H. K. Chen, S. Boldyrev, Q. Xia, and J. C. Perez, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 225002 (2013).
- [36] S. Banerjee and S. Galtier, *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 013019 (2013).
- [37] S. Banerjee, L. Z. Hadid, F. Sahraoui, and S. Galtier, *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **829**, L27 (2016).
- [38] B. J. Vasquez, C. W. Smith, K. Hamilton, B. T. MacBride, and R. J. Leamon, *J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys.* **112**, A07101 (2007).
- [39] N. Andrés and F. Sahraoui, *Phys. Rev. E* **96**, 053205 (2017).
- [40] S. Galtier, *Introduction to Modern Magnetohydrodynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2016).
- [41] G. K. Batchelor, *The Theory of Homogeneous Turbulence* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1953).
- [42] N. Andrés, P. D. Mininni, P. Dmitruk, and D. O. Gómez, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 063202 (2016).
- [43] S. Galtier and S. Banerjee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 134501 (2011).
- [44] S. Oughton, K. H. Radler, and W. H. Matthaeus, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 2875 (1997).
- [45] S. Galtier, *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 015302 (2008).
- [46] A. S. Monin and A. M. Yaglom, *Statistical Fluid Mechanics: Mechanics of Turbulence* (MIT, Cambridge, MA, 1975), Vol. 2.
- [47] W. Matthaeus and M. Velli, *Space Sci. Rev.* **160**, 145 (2011).
- [48] S. Banerjee and S. Galtier, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 033120 (2016).
- [49] P. D. Mininni and A. Pouquet, *Phys. Rev. E* **80**, 025401 (2009).
- [50] N. Andrés, F. Sahraoui, S. Galtier, L. Z. Hadid, P. Dmitruk, and P. Mininni (unpublished).
- [51] J. L. Burch, T. E. Moore, R. B. Torbert, and B. L. Giles, *Space Sci. Rev.* **199**, 5 (2016).