ယ္ကာ

Collisional model of energy dissipation in three-dimensional granular impact

Cacey Stevens Bester* and Robert P. Behringer

Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA (Received 30 October 2016; published 22 March 2017)

We study the dynamic process occurring when a granular assembly is displaced by a solid impactor. The momentum transfer from the impactor to the target is shown to occur through sporadic, normal collisions of high force carrying grains at the intruder surface. We therefore describe the stopping force of the impact through a collisional-based model. To verify the model in impact experiments, we determine the forces acting on an intruder decelerating through a dense granular medium by using high-speed imaging of its trajectory. By varying the intruder shape and granular target, intruder-grain interactions are inferred from the consequent path. As a result, we connect the drag to the effect of intruder shape and grain density based on a proposed collisional model.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.95.032906](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.032906)

I. INTRODUCTION

The seemingly simple occurrence of a solid object impacting a bed of sand remarkably exposes the truly complex dual nature of granular media. In addition to the upward splash of displaced grains, the response of the granular target is to cause the intruding object to abruptly stop as its momentum is carried away through the material. There has been significant effort to understand the way by which momentum is transferred to granular media, beginning over a century ago [\[1\]](#page-6-0). Studies of penetration into dry granular media have expanded significantly to focus on crater formation [\[2–](#page-6-0)[8\]](#page-7-0) and proposed governing force laws [\[9–14\]](#page-7-0). Additionally, a complete comprehension of granular impact has a natural connection to navigation on grainy surfaces [\[15,16\]](#page-7-0), geomorphology, and astrophysical craters [\[17–20\]](#page-7-0). However, even with such stimulating work, the grain-scale details of force transmission during granular impact have not been fully resolved [\[21\]](#page-7-0).

An empirical force law has been established to broadly describe this process. It represents the stopping force due to granular media as the sum of depth-dependent static force $f(z)$ and velocity-dependent inertial drag $h(z)\dot{z}^2$, such that the force acting on the intruder is given by

$$
F = m\ddot{z} = mg - f(z) - h(z)\dot{z}^{2},
$$
 (1)

where *mg* is the gravitational force (*m* is the intruder mass and $g = 9.8 \text{m/s}^2$, \dot{z} is the intruder velocity, and $h(z)$ gives the inertial drag coefficient [\[9\]](#page-7-0). These terms highlight the dual nature of granular media. The intruder motion is used to determine the form of the stopping force law, where the dynamics are governed by inertial drag over much of the deceleration. Nonetheless there lacks a connection of the experimental relation to its physical origin, which would resolve remaining controversy to explain the inertial drag [\[20,21\]](#page-7-0).

Clark *et al.* [\[22\]](#page-7-0) notably explored granular impact via twodimensional (2D) experiments by using photoelastic grains as the target, whereby the granular response could be visualized. They showed that the momentum is lost from an intruding disk through rapidly fluctuating collisions at the disk surface with clusters of high-force-carrying grains. The clusters form chainlike pathways that carry away momentum. The observations

are connected to the inertial drag of the stopping force by way of a collisional model [\[23,24\]](#page-7-0); a novel microscopic explanation of 2D granular impact.

Here we extend the collisional model to three-dimensional granular impact occurrences, such as the aforementioned case of a solid object impacting sand, to show this as a universal explanation of the deceleration. We determine the forces acting on an intruder penetrating a dry, dense granular medium by using high-speed imaging of its trajectory. We relate the force to the proposition that momentum transfer occurs through rapid, sporadic collisions that act perpendicular to the impactor surface; friction is not important for this process. Accordingly we present a model based on collisions of the intruder with granular chains during impact, and we show that this model effectively explains the stopping force of granular media on an intruder. We vary grain density and the shape of the intruding object to infer intruder-grain interactions. As a result, we connect the inertial drag $h(z)\dot{z}^2$ to the effect of the intruder shape and target density based on the proposed collisional model.

II. EXPERIMENT DETAILS

Figure $1(a)$ illustrates the details of the experimental setup. In all experiments, a dry noncohesive granular medium, smooth Ottawa sand with naturally rounded grains (density $\rho_g = 2.1$ g/cm³ and grain diameter $D_g \sim 0.3$ mm) or couscous ($\rho_g = 1.2$ g/cm³ and $D_g \sim 2$ mm), is used as the impact target. The target is held within a large rectangular container (38 cm width by 49 cm length by 20 cm depth); this size is large enough to minimize boundary effects on the intruder's trajectory [\[6,25\]](#page-7-0). The packing fraction, fraction of container space that is filled by grains, is held approximately constant, as acquired by pouring grains into the container and tapping it. Before each run, we prepare the granular bed by leveling the impact surface using a straight edge.

We work with intruders of conical shapes to methodically vary the interaction between the angle of the intruder's surface with the granular target during penetration, as depicted in Fig. [1\(b\).](#page-1-0) The slope of the cone *s* is the ratio of the length of the cone l_{tip} to half of its width w and ranges in these experiments from 0 (a cylinder) to 2.1 (a sharp cone). We also explore the effect of the cone width w using $s = 1$ cones of $w = 2.2$ cm to $w = 3.8$ cm. The mass is held constant at 101 g for all intruders.

^{*}cacey.stevens@phy.duke.edu

FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the top view of experiment setup. A high-speed camera is used to view an intruder which is released from a height above and impacts into the granular bed. A mirror is placed at 45 degrees to the impact to measure any tilting during penetration. (b) Diagram of the impact of a conical intruder with a chain of particles of the granular target (represented by an orange ellipse). (c) Image of all intruders of $w = 3.8$ cm, varying *s* through the following values: 0, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 1.4, 1.7, 2.1.

An electromagnet serves as the release mechanism of the intruder, which then falls under gravity and impacts at the center of the surface of the granular bed. It is released from a height of 6 cm to 2 m, as measured from the tip of the intruder, to achieve an impact velocity \dot{z}_i extending from 1 to 6 m/s.

Upon contact, the intruding object comes to rest in less than 0.1 seconds. Accordingly, videos of impact runs are captured at 30 000 frames per second. We use a Photron Fastcam SA5 high speed camera that is placed at a side view to the granular bed. We use these images to determine the position *z* during the time *t* of its trajectory, where one pixel of resolution corresponds to 0.04 cm. A thin rod is attached to each intruder to track *z* during the penetration. We also place a mirror at 45 degrees to the impact point to measure tilting of the intruder. To obtain velocity \dot{z} , we take the derivative of $z(t)$ and reduce the amplified noise of the data by performing a convolution with a Gaussian filter of width of 2.5% of the length of $z(t)$ for each run [\[26\]](#page-7-0). The moment of impact is then identified by imaging in conjunction with locating the maximum peak of the $\dot{z}(t)$ curve. We differentiate once more to find the intruder acceleration $\ddot{z}(t)$; the noise of \ddot{z} is similarly filtered via convolution with a Gaussian filter.

III. TRACKING TRAJECTORIES

A. Intruder dynamics

As an object impacts and penetrates the dense granular bed, it experiences a strong drag force which causes an abrupt stop. The dynamics of this process are shown in Fig. 2 as the mean of five runs of an $s = 1$ intruder penetrating couscous; the gray shaded regions of each curve indicate the standard deviation of the five measurements. As given in Fig. 2(a), *z* rapidly approaches and later saturates at a maximum penetration depth *z*stop. Note that *z* is positive and increasing as the intruder moves deeper in the granular target, with $z = 0$ and $t = 0$ at

FIG. 2. (a) $z \text{ vs } t$, (b) $\dot{z} \text{ vs } t$, and (c) $\ddot{z} \text{ vs } t$ of a $s = 1$ intruder impacting couscous. The gray region surrounding each curve indicates the standard deviation of five runs.

impact. We then differentiate $z(t)$ to find $\dot{z}(t)$ of Fig. 2(b); $\dot{z}(t)$ declines to zero within 0.05 seconds. From $\dot{z}(t)$, we determine the stopping time *t*stop as the time from initial contact with the target to the point at which $\dot{z}(t)$ first reaches 0. In Fig. 2(c) we show $\ddot{z}(t)$, which is found by differentiating $\dot{z}(t)$; $\ddot{z}(t)$ reflects forces exerted on the intruder by the granular target. There is a strong peak within milliseconds of impact, followed by a more gradual deceleration to zero. The fluctuations in $\ddot{z}(t)$ are clear and due to the intermittent emission of energy. The details of the observed fluctuations are influenced by our imaging sample rate and noise filtering approach.

The $z(t)$ and $\dot{z}(t)$ trajectories are reproducible within small variations. However, $\ddot{z}(t)$ has more amplified noise in part due to repeated differentiation and convolution which can distort the curves. It is therefore difficult to resolve force fluctuations from the granular medium, which have been observed in other experiments as an indication of the building and breaking up of force chains $[13,22,27]$. We compare $\ddot{z}(t)$ determined from high-speed imaging, differentiation, and filtering to that of direct force measurements via an accelerometer (Analog Devices ADXL377, sample rate $= 500$ Hz). The imaging and accelerometer measurements are taken simultaneously (see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0). The overall shape of the $\ddot{z}(t)$ curve matches when determined by both methods, capturing data at slow timescales. However exact force fluctuations are difficult to resolve due to noise filtering, which reduces fluctuations at very fast timescales. The Gaussian filter smoothes the curve, while it can also cause physical fluctuations of data to be

FIG. 3. Comparison of $\ddot{z}(t)$ of $s = 2.1$ intruder impacting couscous as determined simultaneously by high speed imaging (black line) and by direct force measurements via accelerometer (red line). The shape of the curves matches for these approaches.

distorted [\[26\]](#page-7-0). Accordingly, an accelerometer with a higher sample rate is needed to address how force fluctuations change with intruder properties, and this will be the subject of future work. For the results in the remainder of this paper, we only use $z(t)$ and $\dot{z}(t)$ trajectories.

B. Intruder shape effect

Figure 4 gives the effect of cone height, as defined by *s*, on the intruder penetration dynamics. We start by observing $z(t)$

at constant release height (constant initial velocity \dot{z}_i). When we vary *s*, we find similar trajectories of $z(t)$ as the intruder rapidly approaches z_{stop} . However, different z_{stop} values are achieved; *z*stop increases with *s*. Specifically, sharper cones achieve deeper penetration into the granular target, given the same initial energy. This behavior extends to the change of $\dot{z}(t)$ curves with *s*, as shown in Fig. 4(b): *z*˙ declines less rapidly with increasing t as we increase s , although \dot{z} reaches 0 at approximately the same t_{stop} .

We extend the behavior of z_{stop} and t_{stop} to describe their dependence on initial kinetic energy $K_i = 1/2mz_i^2$ and connect to our study of the empirical drag force law [Eq. (1)]. Previous authors have shown that Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) can be solved by reframing to the kinetic energy K versus ζ form where $K = 1/2m\dot{z}^2$ and $m\ddot{z} = dK/dz$ [\[4,28\]](#page-7-0). This formulation leads to the following:

$$
\frac{dK}{dz} = mg - f(z) - \frac{2h(z)}{m}K.\tag{2}
$$

To obtain expressions for *z*stop and *t*stop from Eq. (2), forms of $f(z)$ and $h(z)$ are typically assumed to be constant [\[5\]](#page-7-0). If we take $f(z) = f$ and $h(z) = b$, we first attain an equation for $z_{\text{stop}}(K_i)$ as

$$
z_{\text{stop}} = \frac{m}{2b} \ln \left[1 + \frac{2b}{m(f - mg)} K_i \right]. \tag{3}
$$

FIG. 4. (a) *z* vs *t*, varying *s*, all impacting sand from the same release height. Penetration depth z_{stop} increases with increasing *s*. (b) \dot{z} vs *t*. The decline of $\dot{z}(t)$ changes with increasing *s*, but all curves reach $\dot{z} = 0$ at approximately the same time. (c) z_{stop} vs K_i . Higher *s* shifts curve to higher values but does not change the trend. The fit of $z_{stop} \propto a \ln(dK_i + 1)$ where *a* and *d* are constants, is shown with the data of the $s = 0$ and $s = 2.1$ intruders. (d) t_{stop} vs K_i . Above 1 J, t_{stop} is constant for all K_i and s . Solid line shows the fit of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-0) to data $t_{stop}(K_i)$ of $s = 0$ intruder. Data sets correspond to *s* values given by the colorbar.

Note that this expression is no longer relevant at $K_i = 0$ since $z_{stop}(K_i = 0) > 0$ for any intruder. Figure $4(c)$ shows the dependence of z_{stop} on K_i . As similarly shown with previous experiments of 2D impact [\[28\]](#page-7-0), z_{stop} logarithmically rises with *K_i*. The fit of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0) is shown in Fig. [4\(c\)](#page-2-0) for an $s = 0$ intruder. It successfully captures the trend of $z_{stop}(K_i)$.

We systematically vary *s* to determine how z_{stop} versus K_i changes with cone shape. The curve shifts to higher values with increasing *s*. However, the simple fit [Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0)] does not apply well for $s > 0$, as displayed, for example, for the $s = 2.1$ intruder. We propose that this is an indication that the inertial drag coefficient $h(z)$ is not constant for sharper cones, implying a connection between intruder shape and inertial drag.

Brzinski *et al.* [\[12\]](#page-7-0) showed that the static, depth-dependent friction force $f(z)$ also has a strong dependence on intruder shape, where $f(z) \propto z^3/s^2$ for conical intruders. A prediction of the penetration depth was made when the force law is evaluated by using their expression for $f(z)$ and found to match *z*stop of cylindrical and spherical intruders. We do a rough estimate to extend their results to the z_{stop} data of conical intruders of our experiments. When comparing z_{stop} with the penetration depth calculated by solving Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) with the proposed $f(z)$, we find that their result for $f(z)$ is consistent with how z_{stop} depends on *s*, again implying the role of intruder shape.

The dependence of t_{stop} on K_i can also be determined from Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) if we use constant $h(z)$ and $f(z)$ [\[27\]](#page-7-0) such that

$$
t_{\text{stop}} = \frac{\tan^{-1}\left[\left(\frac{2bK_i}{m(f - mg)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]}{\left[b\left(\frac{f}{m^2} - \frac{g}{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
$$
 (4)

The plot of t_{stop} versus K_i is given with the fit of Eq. (4) in Fig. $4(d)$. We find that an approximately constant t_{stop} is consistent for high *K_i*. Above *K_i* \sim 0.5 J, t_{stop} reaches 0.04 \pm 0*.*005 s for all *s*. Again, we find that the solution [Eq. (4)] is a reasonable fit to the experimental data of $s = 0$, while for $s > 0$, Eq. (4) only corresponds with the trend of data for high K_i . However, for $s = 0$ intruders, these scalings are also expected to depend on the granular target, as we discuss later in this paper.

IV. COLLISIONAL MODEL

A. Description

We use the dependence of the impact trajectory on intruder shape to demonstrate that normal collisions capture inertial drag. If deceleration is achieved through collisions acting perpendicular to the surface, a change in intruder shape would predictably result in different inertial drag $[h(z)z^2]$ values. Accordingly, we attain an expression in terms of the shape of the intruder to define $h(z)\dot{z}^2$. Note that this approach is similar to that of 2D impact experiments with photoelastic grains [\[24\]](#page-7-0). It was similarly shown by varying intruder shape that the static force $f(z)$ of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) acts primarily normal to the intruder surface [\[12\]](#page-7-0).

Figure $1(b)$ shows the schematic of a cone-shaped intruder colliding with a cluster of the granular target, where the orange ellipse represents the chain of particles impacted by the surface and θ is the angle between intruder velocity v and chain of particles at surface normal *n*. Force is then perpendicular to the intruder surface. The force due to this intruder-grain collision can be expressed as the ratio of change in momentum $\Delta p \propto$ $m_g v \cos \theta$ to time $\Delta t = D_g/(v \cos \theta)$ such that

$$
f = \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \propto \frac{m_g v^2 \cos^2 \theta}{D_g},\tag{5}
$$

where D_g is the grain diameter and m_g is the grain mass. The number of discrete collisions possible across the surface is given by dS/D_g^2 . The total force is then calculated by integrating over the surface area:

$$
F = \int f \frac{dS}{D_g^2} \propto \int \frac{m_g V^2 \cos^2 \theta dS}{D_g^3}.
$$
 (6)

The variables dS and $\cos \theta$ give the shape effect to the drag force. The width of the intruder is expressed through *dS*, whereas $\cos \theta$ can be written in terms of the slope of the cone *s* as

$$
\cos \theta = \frac{dx}{dl} = \frac{dx}{\sqrt{dx^2 + dz^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + s^2}},\tag{7}
$$

where $s = \frac{dz}{dx}$. Finally, this provides a description of the inertial drag based on the collisional model for all possible collisions over the intruder surface,

$$
h(z)\dot{z}^2 \propto \frac{\rho_g \dot{z}^2 w^2}{(1+s^2)},\tag{8}
$$

where ρ_g is the grain density and *w* is the width of the portion of the cone that is penetrated in the granular media. We thereby obtain a simple scaling relation for the inertial drag force term that is quadratic with velocity and depends on intruder shape and grain density.

We now demonstrate that the collisional model expression gives the grain-scale origin of the inertial drag of 3D granular impact $h(z)\dot{z}^2$, which we determine experimentally. It could be found via acceleration data, which we have shown can present significant error. Additionally, using the force law to find $h(z)$ would require determining *z*, *z*^{*,*}, and *z*^{\bar{z}} for many trajectories of each intruder. We therefore apply Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) where the force law model is reformulated into a differential equation in kinetic energy $[28]$. We acquire $h(z)$ from *K* versus *z* so that we can compare the result to the proposed collisional model [Eq. (8)]. Without any assumptions of the form of $h(z)$ and $f(z)$, the differential equation is solved by means of the integrating factor method using $e^{\int \frac{2h(z)}{m} dz}$ to achieve

$$
K(z) = K_p(K_i + \phi), \tag{9}
$$

where $K_p = e^{-\int \frac{2h(z)}{m} dz}$, and $\phi = \int [mg - f(z)]e^{\int \frac{2h(z)}{m} dz} dz$.

We can take the difference of the differential equation of two $K(z)$ curves to isolate $h(z)$. We thereby attain the relation

$$
K_p = \frac{K_b - K_a}{K_{b,i} - K_{a,i}} = e^{-\int \frac{2h(z)}{m} dz},
$$
 (10)

where *a* and *b* indicate indices of different trajectories. We then determine $h(z)$ for each intruder by comparing all pairs of *K*(*z*) trajectories.

Figure [5](#page-4-0) shows how we determine $h(z)$ for an $s = 0$ intruder penetrating sand. We start by expressing data as $K(z)$ at varying \dot{z}_i [shown in the inset of Fig. [5\(a\)\]](#page-4-0) to use

FIG. 5. (a) Inset shows *K* versus *z* of $s = 0$ intruder impacting sand. Each curve represents a trajectory of a different impact velocity. Main panel shows K_p versus *z*, as determined from all pairs of $K(z)$ trajectories of the inset plot. The gray region surrounding the curve indicates the standard deviation from the mean of K_p . (b) $\int h(z) dz$ versus *z*. (c) $h(z)$ versus *z* for a $s = 0$ intruder impacting sand, showing oscillations about a constant value.

the kinetic-energy approach. There is a rapid decline of $K(z)$ during penetration. The average of differences of all pairs of $K(z)$ trajectories is then determined [see Fig. 5(a)]. We use K_p to solve for $h(z)$ as follows:

$$
\int h(z)dz = -\frac{m}{2}\ln K_p. \tag{11}
$$

This is given in Fig. $5(b)$. The result in this case is a $\int h(z) dz$ curve that is an approximately linear function of *z*. We use a cutoff of $K_p < 0.05$ since the static term $f(z)$ becomes dominant as the intruder comes to rest. The derivative of this curve gives $h(z)$ and requires noise reduction by performing a convolution to the data [see Fig. $5(c)$ for

FIG. 6. (a) $\int h(z) dz$ versus *z* for all intruders, ranging from $s =$ 0 to *s* = 2*.*1*.* Colors correspond with *s* values of Fig. [4.](#page-2-0) Higher *s* lowers the curve to smaller inertial drag values. The trend in data also changes from approximately linear to increasingly curved. (b) The data collapse to one curve when scaled as a function of *s*. (c) Collapse with consideration of added contribution from tip for *s >* 1 intruders.

result]. There are oscillations in $h(z)$ which may connect to the force fluctuations and discretization of collisions; a precise determination of these features would require direct force measurement. To avoid noise amplification and possible data distortion introduced by taking derivative and convolution of $\int h(z)dz$, we utilize $\int h(z)dz$ for the intruder shape and grain density comparisons.

B. Shape effect

Starting with the intruder shape, we show that *s* and *w* affect $h(z)$ as described by the collisional model expression [Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-0)]. In Fig. 6(a), $\int h(z) dz$ versus *z* is plotted as *s* is systematically changed from 0 up to 2.1. With increasing *s*, curves shift to lower inertial drag values. Additionally, we find that $\int h(z) dz$ vs *z* plots become increasingly curved as *s* increases. We propose that the increased curvature results

FIG. 7. Inset shows $\int h(z) dz$ versus *z* of $s = 1$ intruder, varying width *w*: 2.2 cm (red), 3.0 cm (blue), and 3.8 cm (green). Lower *w* decreases the curve but, in this case, the trend in data is not affected. Main panel: When scaled as a function of w , the data collapse to a single curve.

from the changing surface area at low *z* as a sharper cone is penetrated deeper into the granular target. Since the effective width of the cone increases from $z = 0$ up to $z = l_{\text{tip}}$ and $h(z)$ is proportional to w^2 , $\int h(z)dz$ is expected to rise quadratically in this range. As*s* increases, the intruder must penetrate deeper to reach constant *w*. After $z > l_{\text{tip}}$ of each intruder, $\int h(z) dz$ should become a linear function of *z*.

All curves fall onto a common curve when the $\int h(z) dz$ axis is rescaled by a function of *s*, as shown in Fig. [6\(b\).](#page-4-0) Accordingly, the expression $1 + s^2$, as determined from the collisional-based expression for $h(z)$ [Eq. (8)], leads to a collapse of all data. This scaling provides evidence that the collisional model does connect with our 3D experiments.

Clark *et al.* used photoelastic observations of twodimensional impact experiments to show the distinct contributions from the sides and pointed tips of triangular intruders to the collisional drag coefficient $h(z)$ (see Fig. 4 of Ref. [\[24\]](#page-7-0)). There was found to be a strong contribution of stress at the tip of pointed shapes, which was considered separately. The contribution from the tip was found to be significant for *s >* 1 and stayed approximately constant; a fit was given by $0.2(1 - e^{-2s}).$

We expect that a distinct contribution from the cone tip is relevant at high *s* in 3D experiments as well. Accordingly we write a new expression for $s > 1$ cones: $h(z) = h_{\text{side}}(s) +$ $h_{\text{tip}}(s)$. For $s \leq 1$, $h(z)$ is determined by Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-0). To find h_{tip} for 3D experiments, we first calculate the slope of each $\int h(z) dz$ curve of Fig. $6(b)$, using only data for which $z > l_{\text{tip}}$ of each cone. The slope of $s \leq 1$ curves gives $h(z)$, while the slope of *s >* 1 curves includes the tip addition. The difference between these values gives the approximate contribution of the tip for $s > 1$, shown in the inset of Fig. $6(c)$. In Fig. $6(c)$, we show our $h(z)$ collapse with the added tip stress. We note that the quality of the collapse is reasonable but not perfect. The curves are

FIG. 8. (a) z_{stop} versus K_i , varying target grain density ρ_g to sand (\bullet) or couscous \bullet). In each case, z_{stop} shows similar dependence on K_i , yet the intruder penetrates to higher z_{stop} with decreasing ρ_g . (b) When scaled as a function of ρ_g , the data collapse to a single curve. (c) t_{stop} versus K_i , varying ρ_g . Stopping time t_{stop} is constant for $K_0 > 0.5$ J. The behavior for sand and couscous are similar; however, t_{stop} increases for decreased ρ_g . (d) The data collapse when scaled by $\rho_g^{1/2}$. All data are determined by using an $s = 0$ intruder.

the average of several experimental runs and show less of an overlap in the collapse. However, the simplicity of the collapse of all data is an achievement.

When extending the study to the effect of *w*, we similarly observe that the collisional model applies. Figure [7](#page-5-0) shows $\int h(z) dz$ as a function of *z* for $s = 1$ intruders of three widths: $w = 2.2$ cm, $w = 3.0$ cm, and $w = 3.8$ cm. The intruder mass is held constant. The proposed shape effect is again verified by changing *w*. The intruder penetrates deeper into the granular bed with lower *w*, and $\int h(z) dz$ decreases with decreasing *w* as displayed in the inset plot. The dependence of inertial drag on *w* is then displayed by a collapse of data as $\int h(z) dz \propto w^2$. These data rescale reasonably well to a single curve when plotted as $\int h(z) dz/w^2$, as shown in Fig. [7.](#page-5-0)

C. Granular target

We vary the granular target to study the effect of grain density ρ_g . Figure [8\(a\)](#page-5-0) shows z_{stop} vs K_i of a $s = 0$ intruder impacting sand or couscous, where both targets are prepared by pouring grains into the container and tapping to settle the surface. As with previous experiments [Ref. [\[28\]](#page-7-0) and Fig. $4(c)$], there is a gradual increase of z_{stop} with increasing *Ki*, showing a logarithmic dependence. This trend is consistent with varying ρ_g . The intruder simply penetrates to higher z_{stop} measurements for lower ρ_g . The fit of $z_{stop}(K_i)$ [Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0)] predicts that $z_{stop}(K_i) \propto 1/h(z)$; for the case of an $s = 0$ intruder, $h(z)$ is approximately constant [defined as b in Eq. (3)]. By applying Eq. (8) , we quantify the expected dependence of z_{stop} on ρ_g as $z_{\text{stop}} \propto (1/\rho_g) \ln K_i$. The effect of ρ_g is expressed by scaling the curves as $\rho_g z_{stop}$, as shown in Fig. $8(b)$. Therefore, the successful collapse supports our proposed collisional model for the inertial drag coefficient.

For each granular target, we also measure t_{stop} versus K_i using an $s = 0$ intruder [see Fig. [8\(c\)\]](#page-5-0). Above $K_i > 0.5$ J, we similarly find a constant t_{stop} with increasing K_i , with each granular target, as was found with changing intruder shape. However, t_{stop} increases with decreasing ρ_g ; this reflects a slower deceleration for a low-density granular target. We again expect t_{stop} to obey the scaling of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-0) with constant $h(z)$. The *t*_{stop} data collapse by ρ_g as $t_{\text{stop}} \rho_g^{1/2}$, as shown in Fig. [8\(d\).](#page-5-0) Accordingly, this scaling behavior with ρ_g also connects to the solution of t_{stop} . Therefore, we have effectively linked the experimentally determined t_{stop} and z_{stop} scalings with our proposed model of the drag force.

Via $h(z)$ calculations, we further confirm that our collisional model also captures the effect of ρ_g (see Fig. 9). The inertial drag coefficient $h(z)$ is determined for an $s = 0$ intruder penetrating the sand or couscous target. For $s = 0$, $\int h(z) dz$ is nearly a linear function of *z*. In other words, *h*(*z*) does not depend on *z* for cylindrical-shaped intruders. We find that $\int h(z) dz$ is larger for higher ρ_g , as expected from the $h(z)$ expression of the collisional model. Accordingly, there is a higher stopping force due to sand, and this affects the maximum penetration depth of the intruder. The two curves

FIG. 9. Inset shows $\int h(z)dz$ versus *z* for $s = 0$ intruder, varying ρ_g : sand (red line) or couscous (black line). Higher ρ_g (sand) increases inertial drag $h(z)$. Main panel shows scaled $h(z)$, $\int h(z)dz/\rho_g$ versus *z* for the two granular targets, collapsing data.

collapse very well to a single curve, when scaled by grain density effect ascribed via collisional model.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We provide an extensive study of the impact of solid intruders with a dry granular target. The deceleration of an intruder during granular impact is described, in part, by a velocity-dependent inertial drag. Here the inertial drag force from the granular target is shown to be due to normal, intermittent collisions of force-carrying chains of particles with the intruder. We confirm this collisional-based mechanism through the dependence of the drag force on intruder shape. A collisional model for 3D impact is presented to verify our description. The remarkable collapse of the inertial drag coefficient when accounting for intruder shape and grain density demonstrates the validity of the model. These scaling results can lead to a complete understanding of dynamic force transmission in granular media.

We identified oscillations in the curves of inertial drag coefficient versus penetration depth that likely connect to fluctuations due to the intermittency of force chain collisions. This was previously shown in 2D impact experiments through photoelasticity. Direct force measurements with high sample rate are needed for the connection in 3D granular impact experiments and are the subject of future work. Additionally, all work has focused on vertical impact. We extend the study to oblique impact to observe the effect of a strong horizontal resistance force on penetration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to H. Zheng, J. Barés, and Y. Zhao for their assistance. This work was supported by NASA Grant No. NNX15AD38G. C.S.B. acknowledges support from the Duke University Provost's Postdoctoral Program.

- [1] J. Poncelet, *Cours de Mecanique Industrielle* (Impr. de Clouet, Paris, 1829).
- [2] [J. S. Uehara, M. A. Ambroso, R. P. Ojha, and D. J. Durian,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.194301) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.194301)**, [194301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.194301) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.194301).

CACEY STEVENS BESTER AND ROBERT P. BEHRINGER PHYSICAL REVIEW E **95**, 032906 (2017)

- [3] K. A. Newhall and D. J. Durian, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.060301) **[68](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.060301)**, [060301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.060301) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.060301).
- [4] M. A. Ambroso, R. D. Kamien, and D. J. Durian, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.041305) **[72](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.041305)**, [041305](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.041305) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.041305).
- [5] L. Tsimring and D. Volfson, Powders and Grains **2**, 1215 (2005).
- [6] A. Seguin, Y. Bertho, and P. Gondret, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.010301) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.010301)**, [010301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.010301) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.010301).
- [7] P. Umbanhowar and D. I. Goldman, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.010301) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.010301)**, [010301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.010301) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.010301).
- [8] [J. O. Marston, I. U. Vakarelski, and S. T. Thoroddsen,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.020301) Phys. Rev. E **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.020301)**, [020301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.020301) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.020301).
- [9] H. Katsuragi and D. J. Durian, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys583) **[3](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys583)**, [420](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys583) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys583).
- [10] H. Katsuragi and D. J. Durian, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.052208) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.052208)**, [052208](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.052208) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.052208).
- [11] [K. N. Nordstrom, E. Lim, M. Harrington, and W. Losert,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.228002) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.228002)**, [228002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.228002) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.228002).
- [12] T. A. Brzinski, P. Mayor, and D. J. Durian, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.168002) **[111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.168002)**, [168002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.168002) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.168002).
- [13] E. Altshuler *et al.*, [Geophys. Res. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059229) **[41](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059229)**, [3032](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059229) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059229).
- [14] M. Tiwari, T. R. K. Mohan, and S. Sen, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062202) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062202)**, [062202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062202) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062202).
- [15] C. Li, T. Zhang, and D. Goldman, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229163) **[339](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229163)**, [1408](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229163) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229163).
- [16] J. Aguilar and D. Goldman, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3568) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3568)**, [278](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3568) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3568).
-
- [17] K. Daniels, J. Coppock, and R. Behringer, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1821711) **[14](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1821711)**, [S4](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1821711) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1821711).
- [18] C. Guttler, N. Hirata, and A. Nakamura, [Icarus](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.06.041) **[220](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.06.041)**, [1040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.06.041) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.06.041).
- [19] D. Dowling and T. Dowling, [Am. J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4817309) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4817309)**, [875](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4817309) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4817309).
- [20] J. Ruiz-Suarez, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/76/6/066601) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/76/6/066601)**, [066601](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/76/6/066601) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/76/6/066601).
- [21] H. Katsuragi, *Physics of Soft Impact and Cratering* (Springer, Tokyo, 2016).
- [22] A. H. Clark, L. Kondic, and R. P. Behringer, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.238302) **[109](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.238302)**, [238302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.238302) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.238302).
- [23] Y. Takehara, S. Fujimoto, and K. Okumura, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/92/44003) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/92/44003)**, [44003](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/92/44003) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/92/44003).
- [24] A. H. Clark, A. J. Petersen, and R. P. Behringer, *[Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.012201)* **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.012201)**, [012201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.012201) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.012201).
- [25] [E. L. Nelson, H. Katsuragi, P. Mayor, and D. J. Durian,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.068001) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.068001)**, [068001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.068001) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.068001).
- [26] D. M. Meko, *Filtering, Applied Time Series Analysis*(University of Arizona Press, 2015), Chap. 8.
- [27] D. I. Goldman and P. Umbanhowar, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.021308) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.021308)**, [021308](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.021308) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.021308).
- [28] A. H. Clark and R. P. Behringer, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/64001) **[101](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/64001)**, [64001](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/64001) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/64001).