Gross-Pitaevski map as a chaotic dynamical system

Italo Guarneri

Center for Nonlinear and Complex Systems, Dipartimento di Scienza ed Alta Tecnologia, Universita dell'Insubria, via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy ´ and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pavia, via Bassi 6, I-27100 Pavia, Italy (Received 3 December 2016; published 8 March 2017)

The Gross-Pitaevski map is a discrete time, split-operator version of the Gross-Pitaevski dynamics in the circle, for which exponential instability has been recently reported. Here it is studied as a classical dynamical system in its own right. A systematic analysis of Lyapunov exponents exposes strongly chaotic behavior. Exponential growth of energy is then shown to be a direct consequence of rotational invariance and for stationary solutions the full spectrum of Lyapunov exponents is analytically computed. The present analysis includes the "resonant" case, when the free rotation period is commensurate to 2π , and the map has countably many constants of the motion. Except for lowest-order resonances, this case exhibits an integrable-chaotic transition.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.95.032206](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.032206)

I. INTRODUCTION

A few nonlinear variants of the quantum kicked rotor have been devised $[1-4]$ in order to investigate the impact of nonlinearity on the dynamical localization, which is the prototypical feature of that model [\[5\]](#page-5-0). For some of them possible experimental realizations with Bose-Einstein condensates have been surmised. The most recent $[2,3]$ is described by a family of nonlinear maps in the ∞ -dimensional space of functions $\psi(\theta)$ on the 1– torus T:

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau,k}(\psi) = \mathcal{V}_{\alpha,k}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau}\psi),\tag{1}
$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau}$ is the linear operator $\exp(i\frac{\tau}{2}d^2/d\theta^2)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,k}$ is the nonlinear operator:

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\tau}(\psi)(\theta) = e^{i\alpha\psi(\theta)^*\psi(\theta) + ik\cos(\theta)}\,\psi(\theta),\tag{2}
$$

where *k*, τ , and α are real parameters, with $k \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$. The linear quantum kicked rotor is described by $\mathcal{U}_{0,k,\tau}$. Map (1) is also obtained when a split-operator method [\[6\]](#page-5-0) is used in its simplest version to approximate the continuous-time Gross-Pitaevski (GP) (or "cubic" nonlinear Schrödinger) dynamics on the torus. For this reason it will be dubbed GP map in the following. However, here it is studied as a dynamical system in its own right and not as an accessory of the standard GP equation. In particular, chaotic motion in the GP map is studied. Motivation is provided by reports [\[2,3\]](#page-5-0) of exponential growth of energy (energy in state ψ is defined as $E(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} ||\psi'||^2$, where θ derivative), and exponentially fast separation of wave packets $\lceil 3 \rceil$ when the period τ is incommensurate to 2π . In the present paper such issues are investigated in detail, and the above results are completed and significantly extended. The onset of chaos is studied by a systematic analysis of Lyapunov exponents (LE), computed by numerically iterating the tangent map to (1) . As exponential instability is not crucially related to the presence of a cosine potential, the suffix *k* is henceforth removed and $k = 0$ is understood.

Exponential growth of energy is just a special case of this Lyapunov analysis, because it is equivalent to exponentially fast divergence of trajectories which initially differ by an infinitesimal rotation. It is worth noting that early studies on the "quantum suppression of classical chaos" have long ago pointed out that quantum chaotic behavior—if any—should

display exponential energy growth [\[7\]](#page-6-0). In the present paper the GP map is a classical dynamical system; a comment about quantum chaos is deferred to Sec. [VI.](#page-5-0)

The alleged exponential instability of ∞ -dimensional dynamics poses a delicate task to numerical investigation, which of necessity uses finite-dimensional approximations. Such approximations define measure-preserving dynamical systems on finite-dimensional phase spaces, for which—unlike the ∞-dimensional case—existence of Lyapunov exponents is an exact result; it is these very LEs that are numerically computed, finding evidence of chaotic transitions in the finite-dimensional dynamics. Remarkably, they are observed to uniformly stabilize when the basis size is large enough, supporting the conjecture that they reflect properties of the ∞ -dimensional dynamics. A theoretical explanation is obtained, noting that, for large dimensions, ergodicity and Levy's lemma about the concentration of measure [\[8\]](#page-6-0) justify a mean-field approach to the tangent dynamics. Doing so allows a transparent derivation of a simple formula that describes the dependence of the maximal Lyapunov exponent on the nonlinearity parameter *α*. The finite dimension does not appear any more in this formula, which coincides with one that was obtained in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-5-0) by means of a different argument and for the special case of energy growth. For "stationary" orbits of the GP map the whole Lyapunov spectrum is analytically computed in Sec. [IV;](#page-3-0) it turns out that, whenever the period τ is incommensurate to 2π , and for any nonvanishing nonlinearity, such orbits are linearly unstable in infinitely many directions and linearly stable in infinitely many ones. While individual LEs depend on the specific value of τ , their distribution does not.

The sign of α , which plays a crucial role in the continuoustime GP dynamics as it discriminates between attractive (*α >* 0) and repulsive $(\alpha < 0)$ interactions, is substantially irrelevant for the aspects of the GP map which are discussed in the present paper. One reason for this difference is that the map does not conserve the total GP energy $E(\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha ||\psi^2||^2$. The main general features of the observed exponential instability depend on *τ* only through its commensurate or incommensurate (to 2π) character. The hitherto unexplored commensurate case, when $\tau = 4\pi P/Q$, with *P*,*Q* mutually prime integers, is studied in Sec. [V.](#page-4-0) In that case, the GP map has infinitely many independent constants of the motion and the ∞ -dimensional

phase space is fibered in *Q*-dimensional fibers. Over each such fiber the GP map defines a (Lebesgue) measure-preserving dynamical system, with well-defined Lyapunov exponents. This system appears to undergo an integrable-chaotic transition as $|\alpha|$ is increased that is also mirrored in the global dynamics.

II. BASIC PROPERTIES

The following properties of the GP map are straightforward:

(i) $U_{\alpha,\tau}$ is a continuous, invertible operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. It also preserves the Hilbert norm: $\int_0^{2\pi} |\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(\psi)(\theta)|^2 d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} |\psi(\theta)|^2 d\theta$. Thanks to this property, one may always resort to states normalized to unity by rescaling *α*. Such normalization is assumed throughout the following. $U_{\alpha, \tau}$ is continuous, though not norm preserving, also in the Hilbert space $H_1(\mathbb{T})$ of absolutely continuous functions with a square-integrable derivative, with the "energy norm":

$$
\|\psi\|_{1}^{2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \, (|\psi(\theta)|^{2} + |\psi'(\theta)|^{2});
$$

(ii) $U_{\alpha, \tau}$ preserves a symplectic form, see Eq. (5) below; (iii) $U_{\alpha,\tau}$ is rotation invariant: $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(\hat{T}_{\eta}\psi) =$

 $\hat{T}_\eta \mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(\psi)$, where $\hat{T}_\eta \psi(\theta) = \psi(\theta - \eta)$; (iv) scaling symmetry : $\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(\gamma \psi) = \gamma \mathcal{U}_{|\gamma|^2 \alpha,\tau}$ (*ψ*);

(v) whenever τ is commensurate to 2π : $\tau = 4\pi P/Q$, P,Q integers, $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(e^{iQ\theta}\psi) = e^{iQ\theta}\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(\psi)$; and

(vi) analyticity is preserved: If $f(z)$ is an analytic function in $\Omega_R = \{R^{-1} < |z| < R\}$ and $\psi(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta})$, then $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(\psi)$ is analytically continued in Ω_R to

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(f)(z) := e^{i\alpha \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau}f(z)(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau}f)^*(1/z^*)} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau}f(z).
$$

This in particular implies that the asymptotic rate of exponential decay of $\hat{\psi}$ over the Fourier basis is unchanged under the GP dynamics.

III. LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS

The tangent dynamics to the map [\(2\)](#page-0-0) will be studied in the *real* Hilbert spaces H , H_1 of couples (ψ, ψ^*) , with $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ or $\psi \in H_1$, respectively. Formal differentiation with respect to ψ , ψ^* along a trajectory $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\psi_t = \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \tau}^t(\psi_0)$, yields the following "variation equation":

$$
\delta \psi_{t+1}(\theta) = e^{i\alpha \tilde{\psi}_t(\theta)^* \tilde{\psi}_t(\theta)} \times [\delta \tilde{\psi}_t(\theta) + 2i\alpha \tilde{\psi}_t(\theta) \text{Re}(\tilde{\psi}_t(\theta)^* \delta \tilde{\psi}_t(\theta))], \quad (3)
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\psi}_t(\theta) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\tau \psi_t(\theta), \quad \delta \tilde{\psi}_t(\theta) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\tau \delta \psi_t(\theta). \tag{4}
$$

Equation (3) defines linear operators \mathcal{T}_{ψ} in $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\delta \psi_{t+1} = \mathcal{T}_{\psi_t}(\delta \psi_t)$ [where $\delta \psi_t$ now stands for $(\delta \psi_t, \delta \psi_t^*)$, and similarly for ψ_t]. They are not bounded in H except for special choices of ψ . In \mathcal{H}_1 the operator \mathcal{T}_{ψ} is the Frechet differential of the map that is defined in \mathcal{H}_1 by [\(1\)](#page-0-0). It preserves the symplectic form:

$$
\sigma(\delta\psi,\delta\phi) = \operatorname{Im}\langle\delta\psi|\ \delta\phi\rangle = \operatorname{Im}\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ \delta\psi(\theta)^* \delta\phi(\theta),\tag{5}
$$

FIG. 1. Growth with time *t* of the logarithm of energy (full lines), of λ_t [Eq. (7)] (dotted lines, pluses), and of the normalized inverse participation ratio [Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-0)] (dashed lines) for $\tau = 2\pi(\sqrt{5} - 1)$ and with ψ_0 and $\delta \psi_0$ as specified in the text; $\alpha = 2.5$ (lines), $\alpha = -2.5$ (circles). Fourier basis size: $2^{17} + 1$ (red, light gray), $2^{18} + 1$ (blue, dark gray).

or, in the Fourier basis,

$$
\sigma(\delta\psi,\delta\phi) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \text{Im}(\widehat{\delta\psi}(n)^* \widehat{\delta\phi}(n)). \tag{6}
$$

Exponential instability of a trajectory $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is related to positivity of some Lyapunov exponent (LE) of the trajectory. Here LEs are defined by:

$$
\Lambda = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \lambda_t, \quad \lambda_t = \log(\|\delta \psi_t\|/\|\delta \psi_0\|). \tag{7}
$$

with $\delta \psi_t = \mathcal{T}_{\psi_{t-1}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\psi_{t-2}} \circ \ldots \circ \mathcal{T}_{\psi_0}(\delta \psi_0).$

No argument is given here why such Λ should be finite. In principle, they depend on the chosen trajectory and on the choice of an initial *δψ*0. All trajectories exhibit at least one zero LE. For instance, if $\delta \psi_0 = i c \psi_0$ with *c* real arbitrary, then Eqn. (3) entails $\delta \psi_t = i c \psi_t$ at all times.

The rate of exponential growth of energy along a trajectory, divided by 2, is itself a LE. This follows from:

$$
\psi'_{t+1} = \lim_{\eta \to 0} \frac{\hat{T}_{\eta} - 1}{\eta} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \tau}(\psi_t)
$$

=
$$
\lim_{\eta \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \tau}(\hat{T}_{\eta}\psi_t) - \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \tau}(\psi_t)}{\eta} = \mathcal{T}_{\psi_t}(\psi_t'),
$$
 (8)

where \prime denotes the θ derivative. Hence:

$$
\frac{1}{t}\log[E(\psi_t)] = \frac{2}{t}\log(\|\delta\psi_t\|) + O(1/t), \quad \delta\psi_0 = \psi'_0.
$$
\n(9)

The present study of LEs is based on numerical solution of the variation equation (3) . Figure 1 shows the behavior in time of the logarithm of energy and of λ_t as defined in (7). The initial ψ_0 is a coherent state centered at momentum 0 and $\theta = 0$, with $h = 0.1$; $\delta \psi_0$ is a randomly generated vector: $\delta \hat{\psi}_0(n) =$ $\text{rand}(n)\hat{\psi}_0(n)$, with rand (n) independent, normally distributed

random variables. Though most numerical results shown in this paper were obtained with $\alpha > 0$, no substantial difference is observed with α < 0; see the data for α = −2.5 in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) Of course, numerical simulations do not address the true ∞ dimensional dynamics, but the finite-dimensional dynamics that are obtained on restricting $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}$ to a finite-*N*-dimensional Fourier subspace and on discretizing the circle in a grid of *N* points. Such finite-dimensional dynamics implement finite Fourier transforms and are defined by norm-preserving maps in a hypersphere in \mathbb{C}^N , where they preserve the symplectic form [\(5\)](#page-1-0). They are therefore (Lebesgue) measure preserving and define classical dynamical systems, for which existence (almost everywhere) of LEs is an exact result. Energy in state *ψ* is computed as $1/2 \sum_{-M}^{M} n^2 |\hat{\psi}(n)|^2$, where $N = 2M + 1$. It is initially almost constant (fluctuations are suppressed in the logarithmic scale), and then fast exponential growth $\propto \exp(2\Gamma t)$ is observed, quickly leading to saturation of the basis; energy remains thereafter stationary. Instead the "local divergence of trajectories," as measured by λ_t , after a short logarithmic increase (the duration of which depends on *α*) enters a steady linear growth, yielding a positive LE Λ . Sampling different choices of ψ_0 suggests that Λ should be independent of ψ_0 (with at least one notable exception: the Fourier basis functions studied in Sec. [IV,](#page-3-0) see below). The finite-*N* dynamics only enjoys a discrete rotational invariance, so the argument in Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-1-0) and [\(9\)](#page-1-0) breaks down, and energy no longer defines a LE proper. When $\alpha > \sim 1.5$ it was observed that $\Lambda \approx \Gamma$ (half the transient rate of exponential growth of energy), as in Eq. (9) , and not so at smaller α ; however, e.g., $\alpha = 0.4$, $\Gamma \approx 0.1$, and $\Lambda \approx 0.007$ are read in the exponential range. It must be noted, however, that exponential instability is observed later the smaller *α* is, and its reliable detection eventually falls beyond the computational capabilities of the present work. At smaller times, λ_t increases logarithmically, as trajectories separate linearly in time.¹

For large basis size N the numerically computed Λ appear to stabilize, independently of which increasing sequence of *N* values is used; e.g., using the denominators of the principal convergents to $\tau/(2\pi)$ no significant difference is observed. That notwithstanding, identifying them with LE exponents of the ∞ -dimensional dynamics implies interchanging the $N \to \infty$ and the $t \to \infty$ limits, so, on strictly logical grounds, caution is needed. However, with the GP map, λ_t/t reaches its limit value well before saturation is attained; moreover, it is the whole λ_t vs. *t* curves, and not only their slopes, that appear to stabilize at large *N*. This may be an empirical indication that, at least for the very smooth ψ , $\delta\psi$ which were used, at large *N* the numerical finite-*N* LEs do indeed mirror properties of the ∞ -dimensional dynamics.

A measure for the filling of the basis is provided by the normalized inverse participation ratio (IPR):

$$
\mathbf{I}(\psi) = \left(N \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\widehat{\psi}(n)|^4 \right)^{-1}.
$$
 (10)

The maximum value of $I(\psi)$ is 1 and is attained when The maximum value of $I(\psi)$ is 1 and is attained when $|\hat{\psi}(n)| = 1/\sqrt{N}$, $\forall n$; and the average of $I(\psi)$ over the uniform, normalized measure on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^N is asymptotically equal to 0.5 in the limit $N \rightarrow +\infty$ [\[9\]](#page-6-0). Its dependence on *t* is also shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) Like energy, this quantity saturates and remains thereafter quite close (within 0.06%) to the "microcanonical" average 0.5. The microcanonical average of energy is $M(M + 1)/6$, which, for $M = 2^{17}$, is consistent with the value $0.5 \log(E) \approx 10.8$ that is observed at saturation in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

The approximate constancy in time (after saturation) of IPR suggests ergodicity, because it would then be explained by the concentration of measure (Levy's lemma) [\[8\]](#page-6-0), according to which IPR is close to its mean value² in a subset of the sphere, whose measure is exponentially close to 1 for large *N*. Ergodicity (approximate, at least) is also supported by the observed independence of Λ on the choice of an initial state (not so with Γ , which is sensitive to the filling of the basis by the chosen state). If ergodicity of the *N* dynamics is assumed, the following (nonexact) argument yields a good estimate for Λ at large N. The state vector is now an N vector with components $\psi_{N,t}(n) = \psi_t(2\pi n/N)$, $(1 \le n \le N)$, and lies on a hypersphere of radius R_N in \mathbb{C}^N , where

$$
R_N^2 = \|\psi_{N,t}\|^2
$$

= $\sum_{n=1}^N |\psi_{N,t}(n)|^2 \sim \frac{N}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ |\psi_t(\theta)|^2$
= $\frac{N}{2\pi}$ for $N \to \infty$. (11)

The tangent dynamics is still described by Eqn. [\(3\)](#page-1-0), where $\delta \psi_{N,t}$ is now an *N* vector. A simple calculation yields:

$$
|\delta\psi_{N,t+1}(n)|^2 = (1 + 2\alpha^2 |\psi_{N,t}(n)|^4) |\delta\psi_{N,t}(n)|^2
$$

+ 2Im[(1 + i\alpha\psi_{N,t}(n)^2 \delta\psi_{N,t}(n)^2]. (12)

For large *N*, ergodicity and Levy's lemma suggest replacing the ψ_t -dependent quantities in (12) by their uniform averages $\langle . \rangle_{R_N}$ over the hypersphere. This yields

$$
|\delta\psi_{N,t+1}(n)|^2 = |\delta\psi_{N,t}(n)|^2 \{1 + 2\alpha^2 \langle |\psi_{N,t}(n)|^4 \rangle_{R_N} + 4\alpha \langle |\psi_{N,t}(n)|^2 \rangle_{R_N} \sin[2\phi_t(n)]\}, \quad (13)
$$

where $\phi_t(n)$ is the phase of $\delta \psi_{N,t}(n)$. In long-time iterates of (13), with rapid growth of $\delta \psi_{N,t}$ the dominant contribution can be assumed to come from the phase-independent term. Using that $\langle |\psi_{N,t}(n)|^4 \rangle_{R_N} = 2R_N^4/N(N+1) \sim 2R_N^4/N^2$, and summing over *n*:

$$
\|\delta\psi_{N,t+1}\|^2 \sim \|\delta\psi_{N,t}\|^2 (1 + 4\alpha^2 R_N^4/N^2).
$$

Finally, for large *N* (11) yields

$$
\Lambda \approx \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \alpha^2/\pi^2). \tag{14}
$$

Remarkably, *N* has dropped out of this asymptotic formula. The same formula was obtained in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-5-0) for the rate of

¹The small- α region is the relevant region for the split-operator approximation of the continuous time GP dynamics. This suggests that the validity of that approximation may not be crucially affected by the chaotic behavior of the GP map.

 2 Levy's lemma is applicable because the (non-normalized) IPR is a Lipschitz function on the hypersphere, with a Lipschitz constant independent of *N*.

FIG. 2. Circles: numerically computed finite-time Lyapunov ex-√ ponent λ_t/t for $t = 200$ vs. α , basis size $2^{17} + 1$, $\tau = 2\pi(\sqrt{5} - 1)$. Full line: Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0).

exponential growth of the square root of energy, by means of a diffusion-based argument, and is in overall excellent agreement with numerical data shown in Fig. 2. Some agreement with numerical data for Λ (but not with data for $Γ$) persists for small values of $α$, when computations require much longer times than $t = 300$. In the case when $\alpha = 0.4$, $\Lambda \approx 0.07$ was observed, whereas [\(14\)](#page-2-0) yields $\Lambda = 0.08$.

The possible survival of stable regions at small α is beyond the scope of this paper. As shown in the next section, for finite *N* certain stationary orbits are linearly stable for α smaller than a threshold value, which, however, decreases to 0 in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ and is already quite small for the cases considered here.

IV. STATIONARY ORBITS

The Fourier basis functions $u_n(\theta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(in\theta)$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}(u_n)=e^{i(\bar{\alpha}-W_n)}\,u_n,
$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha/(2\pi)$ and $W_n = n^2\tau/2$. They are therefore stationary states. If $\bar{\alpha} = W_n + 2k\pi$ for some $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then they are also fixed points of the map on the unit sphere of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Such values of $\bar{\alpha}$ are dense in $\mathbb R$ whenever τ is incommensurate to π . For $\psi_0 = u_r$, the variation equation [\(3\)](#page-1-0) takes a very simple form. Substituting $\delta \psi_t = \exp(i(\bar{\alpha} - W_r)t)\xi_t$,

$$
\xi_{t+1} = (1 + i\bar{\alpha}) e^{iW_r} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau} \xi_t + 2\pi i \bar{\alpha} e^{-iW_r} u_r^2 (\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau} \xi_t)^*.
$$
 (15)

Expanding ξ_t over the Fourier basis $\xi_t = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_t(n) u_n$, and $using that 2\pi u_n u_r^2 = u_{2r+n}$

$$
c_{t+1}(n) = e^{i(W_r - W_n)t} (1 + i\bar{\alpha}) c_t(n)
$$

+ $i\bar{\alpha} e^{-i(W_r - W_{2r-n})} c_t (2r - n)^*$. (16)

Hence the subspace Σ_{nr} spanned by u_n, u_{2r-n} is invariant under the tangent dynamics. Denoting $d_t(n) := c_t(2r - n)^*$, for $n \neq$ *r* the dynamics in Σ_{nr} are described by

$$
\begin{vmatrix} c_{t+1}(n) \\ d_{t+1}(n) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} (1+i\bar{\alpha}) e^{i(W_r-W_n)} & i\bar{\alpha} e^{-i(W_r-W_{2r-n})} \\ -i\bar{\alpha} e^{i(W_r-W_n)} & (1-i\bar{\alpha}) e^{-i(W_r-W_{2r-n})} \end{vmatrix}
$$

$$
\times \begin{vmatrix} c_t(n) \\ d_t(n) \end{vmatrix},
$$
(17)

while for Σ_{rr} :

$$
c_{t+1}(r) = c_t(r) + 2i\bar{\alpha} \text{ Re}[c_t(r)]. \qquad (18)
$$

The matrix in Eq. (17) is *t* independent. Denoting *L*_{1,2} its eigenvalues one finds that $x_{1,2} := \exp[i(-W_{2r-n} +$ W_n /2] $L_{1,2}$ solve the equation:

$$
x^{2} - 2[\cos(W_{r-n}) + \bar{\alpha}\sin(W_{r-n})]x + 1 = 0.
$$
 (19)

For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, denote α_m^{\pm} as the least and the largest of the numbers tan($W_m/2$) and $-\cot(W_m/2)$ if both numbers are finite; otherwise, define $\alpha_m^{\pm} = \pm \infty$. Then whenever $\alpha \notin$ $B_{rn} := [\alpha_m^-, \alpha_m^+]$, Eqn. (19) has real roots, one of which is larger than 1 in absolute value, so it yields a positive Lyapunov exponent Λ_{rn} , associated to a direction in the subspace Σ_{nr} :

$$
\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{rn} &= \log \left(g_{r-n} + \sqrt{g_{r-n}^2 - 1} \right), \\ g_l &= |\cos(W_l) + \bar{\alpha} \sin(W_l)|. \end{aligned} \tag{20}
$$

Instead, Eqn. (18), rewritten as a map in \mathbb{R}^2 , has the single eigenvalue 1 with algebraic multiplicity 2. It is thus marginally stable, with $|c_t(r)| \sim 2\bar{\alpha}t$ generically.

If *τ* is incommensurate to *π*, then the points $exp(i(W_l))$, $(l \in \mathbb{Z})$ are dense and uniformly distributed in the unit circle, so inf $\{\alpha_m^+, m \neq 0\} = 0 = \sup{\{\alpha_m^-, m \neq 0\}};$ hence, $\forall \alpha \neq 0$, and $\forall r \in \mathbb{Z}$, condition $\alpha \notin B_{rn}$ is satisfied for infinitely many values of *n*. For fixed *r* and $\alpha \neq 0$, the relative frequency of such *n* is $2\pi^{-1}$ arctan($\bar{\alpha}$), independently of the sign of α .³ Therefore, in the incommensurate case, and $\forall \alpha \neq 0$, each stationary state u_r is hyperbolic in infinitely many subspaces Σ_{rn} and elliptic in infinitely many of them. It is easy to compute that

$$
\sup_{n>0} \{\Lambda_{rn}\} = \log(|\bar{\alpha}| + \sqrt{1 + \bar{\alpha}^2}).\tag{21}
$$

Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows a histogram of the positive LE for $r = 0$ and $\alpha = 4$. They cluster near the supremum (21), in this case equal to 0.6. The supremum (21) is always larger than the "ergodic" Λ estimated by Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0), to which it is aymptotically equivalent in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$.

In the commensurate case, when $\tau = 4\pi P/Q$ with *P*, *Q* mutually prime integers, the eigenvalues e^{iE_n} take a finite number *Q* of values. The same is then true of α_m^{\pm} ; moreover, if *Q* is a prime number, then α_m^{\pm} do not vanish for $m \neq 0$, so they are bounded away from 0 and infinity. Therefore, for $\tau = 4\pi P/Q$, *Q* prime, and *P* prime to *Q*, there are finite values $\bar{\alpha}^{\pm}$ with $\bar{\alpha}^{-} < 0 < \bar{\alpha}^{+}$ such that, in all subspaces Σ_{nr} with $n \neq r$, all stationary orbits are linearly stable if $\bar{\alpha}$ ⁻ < $\bar{\alpha}$ < $\bar{\alpha}$ ⁺ and linearly unstable otherwise.

3This marks a sharp difference with the continuous-time GP equation in a ring $[10]$. The u_n are stationary states in that case, too; however, none of them is unstable as long as the GP coupling constant *g* is positive. This also follows from the present analysis, because the GP equation is retrieved from products of GP maps in the Lie-Trotter limit: $\alpha \to 0$, $\tau \to 0$, $\alpha = -g\tau$. In the $(\overline{\alpha}, W_{r-n})$ plane this limit corresponds to approaching the origin along the line $\overline{\alpha} = -gW_{r-n}/(\pi(r-n)^2)$, which, for $g > 0$ and small τ is entirely inside the stable region defined by condition $\overline{\alpha} \in B_{rn}$. For $g < 0$ it lies in the unstable region, provided that $|g| > \pi (r - n)^2 / 2$, consistently with results in Ref. [\[10\]](#page-6-0).

FIG. 3. Hystogram of positive Lyapunov exponents Λ for the stationary state u_0 and $1 \leq n \leq 2^{14}$; $\alpha = 4$, $\tau = 2\pi(\sqrt{5} - 1)$.

V. "RESONANT" CASE

Although Golden Ratio incommensuration of *τ* to 2*π* was used in all hitherto shown numerical results, their general features and the values of the maximal LEs appear to be essentially unchanged with less extreme incommensuration [e.g., with trascendental $τ/(2π) = π$]. However, the case when the period τ is commensurate to 2π : $\tau = 4\pi P/Q$, with *P*, *Q* mutually prime integers, has significant differences. It corresponds to the resonances of the quantum kicked rotor [\[5,](#page-5-0)[11\]](#page-6-0), and the special cases $\tau = 4\pi$ and $\tau = 2\pi$ are explicitly solvable for the QKR and for the GP map as well. In the latter cases the solutions are as follows:

$$
\psi_t^{(4\pi)}(\theta) = e^{i\alpha t |\psi_0(\theta)|^2} \psi_0(\theta),
$$

$$
\psi_{2t}^{(2\pi)}(\theta) = e^{i\alpha(t |\psi_0(\theta + \pi)|^2 + t |\psi(\theta)|^2)} \psi_0(\theta).
$$
 (22)

The tangent dynamics for the fundamental resonance $\tau = 4\pi$ is solved by

$$
\delta \psi_t(\theta) = e^{i\alpha t |\psi_0(\theta)|^2} \left[\delta \psi_0(\theta) + 2i\alpha \ t \ \psi_0 \ \text{Re}(\psi_0(\theta)^* \delta \psi_0(\theta)) \right]
$$
\n(23)

so $\|\delta\psi_t\|$ increases at most linearly with *t*, and all LE vanish. The solution for $\tau = 2\pi$ has a slightly more complicated form, but the conclusion is the same. If *Q* is an arbitrary integer, then every $\psi \in L^2([0, 2\pi/Q])$ can be rewritten as the vector-valued function ψ_{θ} that, for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi/Q]$, has components $\psi_{\theta}(j) = \psi(\theta + 2\pi(j-1)/Q), (j = 1, ..., Q);$ this vector will be termed the fiber of ψ at the point θ . Then

$$
\|\psi\|^2 = \int_{(0,2\pi/Q)} d\theta \|\vec{\psi}_{\theta}\|^2, \tag{24}
$$

where the norm under the integral sign is the $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ one. Therefore $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ can be identified with the Hilbert space $L^2([0, 2\pi/Q])$ ⊗ $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$. If $\tau = 4\pi P/Q$, then $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau}$ "acts fiberwise," that is:

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\tau} = \mathbb{I} \otimes U_{\alpha},\tag{25}
$$

where I is identity and U_{α} is a nonlinear map in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ such that, for $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$,

$$
U_{\alpha}(\Psi)(j) = e^{i\alpha \hat{G}\Psi(j)^{*}\hat{G}\Psi(j)} \hat{G}\Psi(j), \quad 1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant Q. \quad (26)
$$

FIG. 4. Same as Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) for $\tau = 3\pi/2$, $\alpha = 2.5$, and basis sizes 4^8 (blue, dark gray), 4^9 (red, light gray).

where the linear unitary operator \hat{G} is described by the matrix:

$$
G(j,k) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{s=0}^{Q-1} e^{2\pi i s(j-k)/Q} a_{s+1},
$$

\n
$$
a_r = e^{-iW_r} = e^{-2i\pi P(r-1)^2/Q}, \quad 1 \le r \le Q. \quad (27)
$$

Therefore, in the commensurate case the GP map has infinitely many conserved quantities, namely $\|\psi_{\theta}\|$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi/Q$ or, equivalently, the countably many quantities $I_n(\psi) =$ $(\psi, \exp(inQ\theta)\psi)$, $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$. The fiber map (26) does not depend on θ_0 . However, it preserves the $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ norm and so it is conveniently studied by restricting to the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$. In the following, \overline{U}_{α} denotes the restriction of (26) to the unit $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ sphere, so Eq. (25) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\overrightarrow{U_{\alpha,\tau}(\psi)}_{\theta} = \|\vec{\psi}_{\theta}\| \bar{U}_{\alpha(\theta)}(\vec{\psi}_{\theta}/\|\vec{\psi}_{\theta}\|), \qquad (28)
$$

where

$$
\alpha(\theta) = \alpha \|\vec{\psi}_{\theta}\|^2. \tag{29}
$$

The map \bar{U}_{α} will be termed "fiber map" in the following. It is symplectic and defines a classical dynamical system in the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Q}}$. Existence of LEs is then granted. The variation equation around a reference orbit $\{\bar{U}_{\alpha}^t(\Psi_0)\}\$ is obtained from Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) on replacing $\psi(\theta), \delta\psi(\theta)$ by $\vec{\psi}(j), \vec{\delta}\vec{\psi}(j)$, and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ by the matrix *G*. Turning to numerics: Figure 4 was constructed like Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) except that now $\tau = 3\pi/2$, and it looks qualitatively similar. The main difference is that the onset of exponential growth, both for λ_t and for energy, occurs significantly later and is preceded by a relatively long linear growth, and the increase of IPR is much slower. Figure [5](#page-5-0) shows the dependence of the finite-time LE λ_t/t at $t = 300$ vs. α . While in the incommensurate case (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0) this dependence follows the theoretical law (14) , it strongly deviates for low-*Q* resonances. Such differences are due to the presence of the above-mentioned constants of motion $I_n(\psi)$. These in particular imply that the finite-dimensional reduced dynamics is not ergodic; this invalidates the underlying argument of

FIG. 5. Finite-time Lyapunov exponent λ_t/t vs. α for $t = 300$. Full line, Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0); magenta circles, $\tau = 8\pi/3$, basis size 3⁹; blue circles, $\tau = 14\pi/11$, basis size 11⁵.

formula [\(14\)](#page-2-0) and suggests that the observed LEs should not be independent of the choice of a trajectory. From Eqs. [\(24\)](#page-4-0), [\(28\)](#page-4-0), and [\(29\)](#page-4-0) it is seen that stability of the global $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ dynamics is fully governed by the stability of the fiber maps; in particular, for smooth initial ψ_0 , the maximal LE is expected to coincide with that of the fiber map $\overline{U_{\alpha_0}}$, where $\alpha_0 = \max{\{\alpha(\theta), 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta\}}$ 2*π/Q*}.

Numerical data suggest an integrable-to-chaotic transition of the fiber map on increasing *α*. The statistical dispersion over the unit sphere of the time-average $T^{-1} \sum_{1}^{T} I(\overline{U_{\alpha}}^{t} \Psi_{0})$ at large fixed *T* (as a function of Ψ_0) is seen to sharply decrease on increasing α , indicating that ensemble averages and time averages of the function $I(\Psi)$ tend to coincide. Along with the small dispersion of LEs of randomly chosen trajectories (for $\alpha = 4$, $t = 300$, $\tau = 8\pi/3$ the standard deviation of *λt* over an ensemble of 500 randomly generated orbits is less that 2%), this provides empirical support for at least approximate ergodicity. If so, then stronger ergodic properties may be conjectured, on account of the positivity of LEs. Note that ergodicity of fiber dynamics does not contradict the constants $I_n(\psi)$, because these are constant on fibers. LEs of the finite-dimensional fiber map can be expected to follow formula [\(14\)](#page-2-0), provided Q is sufficiently large, and α , too, is sufficiently large. Indeed, Fig. 6 suggests that LE may vanish at small α . The fiber dynamics has Q stationary states, for which the analysis in Sec. [IV](#page-3-0) still applies, provided all integer indices

FIG. 6. The small- α region of Fig. 5, $\tau = 8\pi/3$, $t = 800$.

n,r are taken mod(*Q*). At small *Q*, the threshold value α_{cr} , below which such stationary trajectories are linearly stable, is not any more negligible (see remarks in the end of Sec. [IV\)](#page-3-0) as it was in the incommensurate case, and this fact may be responsible for stable islands for small *α*, both in the fiber and in the global dynamics.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper the GP map was studied as a classical dynamical system. However, the GP equation, of which it is a by-product, is a quantum construct that was devised to model the effect of interactions in dilute Bose-Einstein condensates. The question may then be asked whether chaoticity of the GP map may be taken as an instance of genuine chaotic behavior in quantum mechanics. However, nonlinear deterministic Schrödinger equations are not expected to preserve, on a fundamental level, the basic distinctive features of quantum mechanics [\[12\]](#page-6-0), and dynamical chaos is indeed a fundamental issue. Nevertheless the GP equation is an efficient mean-field approximation for a many-body quantum dynamics, and it would be very interesting to know whether and in which form the exponential instability of the GP map may be mirrored in an exact many-body dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is indebted to the authors of Ref. [3] for communicating their results before publication.

- [1] F. Benvenuto, G. Casati, A. S. Pikovsky, and D. L. Shepelyansky, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.44.R3423) **[44](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.44.R3423)**, [R3423](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.44.R3423) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.44.R3423).
- [2] B. Mieck and R. Graham, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/44/L06) **[37](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/44/L06)**, [L581](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/44/L06) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/44/L06); **[38](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/38/7/L05)**, [L139](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/38/7/L05) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/38/7/L05).
- [3] W.-L. Zhao, J. Gong, W.-G. Wang, G. Casati, J. Liu, and L.-B. Fu, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.053631) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.053631)**, [053631](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.053631) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.053631).
- [4] C. Zhang, J. Liu, M. G. Raizen, and Q. Niu, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.054101) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.054101)**, [054101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.054101) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.054101).
- [5] S. Fishman, [Scholarpedia](https://doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.9816) **[5](https://doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.9816)**, [9816](https://doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.9816) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.9816).
- [6] S. Descombes and M. Thalhammer, [IMA J. Numer. Anal.](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drs021) **[33](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drs021)**, [722](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drs021) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drs021).
- [7] D. L. Shepelyansky, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **49**, 117 (1981) [Theor. Math. Phys. **[49](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01019125)**, [925](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01019125) [\(1981\)\]; B. V. Chirikov, F. M. Izrailev, and](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01019125) D. L. Shepelyansky, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(98)90011-2) **[33](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(98)90011-2)**, [77](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(98)90011-2) [\(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(98)90011-2)
- [8] M. Ledoux, *The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon*, Math. Surveys and Monographs Vol. 89 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001).
- [9] K. Zyczkowski and M. Kus, [J. Phys. A \(Math. Gen.\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/27/12/028) **[27](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/27/12/028)**, [4235](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/27/12/028) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/27/12/028).
- [10] G. P. Berman, A. Smerzi, and A. R. Bishop, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.120402) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.120402)**, [120402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.120402) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.120402).
- [11] I. Guarneri, [Ann. Henri Poincare](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-009-0008-9) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-009-0008-9)**, [1097](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-009-0008-9) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-009-0008-9).
- [12] N. Gisin and M. Rigo, [J. Phys. A \(Math. Gen.\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/28/24/030) **[28](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/28/24/030)**, [7375](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/28/24/030) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/28/24/030).