Memory effect in the upper bound of the heat flux induced by quantum fluctuations

T. Koide

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, C.P. 68528, 21941-972, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Thermodynamic behaviors in a quantum Brownian motion coupled to a classical heat bath is studied. We then define a heat operator by generalizing the stochastic energetics and show the energy balance (first law) and the upper bound of the expectation value of the heat operator (second law). We further find that this upper bound depends on the memory effect induced by quantum fluctuations and hence the maximum extractable work can be qualitatively modified in quantum thermodynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The accelerating development in nanotechnologies enables us to access individual thermal random processes at microscopic scales. External operations to these systems cause various responses which are understood through quantities such as energy, work, and heat. However, we cannot directly apply thermodynamics to these quantities because the typical scale of the systems is very small and the effect of thermal fluctuations is not negligible. There is no established theory to describe general fluctuating systems thermodynamically [1]. On the other hand, such a system is often modeled as a Brownian motion [2] and then the behaviors can be interpreted thermodynamically by using the stochastic energetics (SE) [3].

In this theory, energy, work, and heat are represented by the variables of the Brownian particles, and we can show that the energy balance is satisfied and the expectation value of the heat flux has an upper bound. The former corresponds to the first law and the latter the second law in thermodynamics, respectively. The various applications of SE are discussed in Ref. [3]. The prediction of SE is experimentally confirmed by analyzing extracted works from a microscopic heat engine [4]. Although this theory is generalized to relativistic systems [5] and the Poisson noise [6], the applications are still limited to classical systems [7].

On the other hand, the emergence of thermodynamic behaviors in quantum systems is another intriguing problem [8,9]. In particular, it is interesting to ask whether thermodynamic behaviors are qualitatively modified by quantum fluctuations [10]. For example, the maximum extractable work may be limited by quantum coherence in a small system [11]. To identify modified behaviors by quantum fluctuations, it is important to formulate a theory which has a well-defined classical limit [12].

In this work, we study a formulation of quantum thermodynamics by generalizing SE to a quantum Brownian motion coupled to a classical heat bath [13]. Our model is characterized by stochastic differential equations of the position and momentum operators of the quantum Brownian particle. Then, the behaviors of other operators are determined from the two equations by employing a differential with respect to operators in the quantum analysis [14]. We then define a heat operator, showing properties corresponding to the first and second laws in thermodynamics. Our theory has a well-defined classical limit and reproduces the results of the classical SE. Moreover, we find that the behavior of the heat is qualitatively modified from the classical behavior by quantum fluctuations, affecting the maximum extractable work in quantum heat engines.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, a model of a quantum open system based on the quantum Brownian motion is developed. In Sec. III, we define the thermodynamic properties of this model by extending SE and show the modification of the second law by the effect of quantum fluctuations. Section IV is devoted to concluding remarks and discussion.

II. DEFINITION OF MODEL

Our model of a quantum open system is characterized by stochastic differential equations (SDEs) for a position operator $\hat{x}_t$ and a momentum operator $\hat{p}_t$ of a quantum Brownian particle, which are defined by

$$d\hat{x}_t = \frac{1}{m}\hat{p}_t dt, \tag{1a}$$

$$d\hat{p}_t = -\frac{\nu}{m}\hat{p}_t dt - V^{(1)}(\hat{x}_t,\lambda_t)dt + \sqrt{2\nu k_B T}\,dB_t, \tag{1b}$$

where k_B, m, T, and ν are the Boltzmann constant, mass, temperature of a heat bath, and dissipative coefficient, respectively. The external potential V depends on an external parameter λ_t and $V^{(n)}(x,\lambda_t) \equiv \partial_x^n V(x,\lambda_t)$. The symbol ˆ denotes an operator.

These equations can be obtained from a microscopic dynamics by using, for example, the projection operator technique and the Markov limit [13,15,16]. Note that because we consider a dissipative system, there is no Lagrangian which reproduces this system, and thus $\hat{x}_t$ and $\hat{p}_t$ are not canonical variables in general. However, to maintain the notation in the classical Brownian motion, we still call $\hat{p}_t$, which is defined by Eq. (1a), the momentum operator.

The last term $\sqrt{2\nu k_B T}\,dB_t$, which is called a noise term, represents thermal fluctuations induced by the interaction with a heat bath and shows a stochastic behavior. In principle, this term also can be replaced by an operator, but the definition of operators in the stochastic calculus is not well understood. Thus we here treat the noise term as a stochastic c-number, that is, the increment of the standard Wiener process defined by the following correlation properties [17]:

$$E[dB_t] = 0, \quad E[(dB_t)^2] = dt. \tag{2}$$

Other second-order correlations vanish. We assume the existence of an appropriate probability space (σ algebra) for $\hat{x}_t$ and $\hat{p}_t$ [15,17]. As we will see later, because of this idealization, the heat bath behaves as a classical degree of freedom.

In this formulation, the behaviors of other operators should be obtained from the above two SDEs. To implement this systematically, we define a differential in terms of operators applying the quantum analysis (QA) [14].

A. Quantum analysis

QA was proposed to expand the functions of operators systematically and has been applied to various problems in quantum mechanics and quantum statistical mechanics. For example, the expansion of the S matrix, the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, and the linear response theory can be regarded as the operator Taylor expansion in QA [14].

Let us consider $f(\hat{A})$, where $f(x)$ is a smooth function of x. Then the operator differential with respect to $\hat{A}$ is expressed by $(df/d\hat{A})$ and introduced through the following equation:

$$f(\hat{A} + h\hat{C}) - f(\hat{A}) = \left(\frac{df}{d\hat{A}}\right) h\hat{C} + O(h^2), \qquad (3)$$

where h is a small c-number and $\hat{C}$ is another operator which is in general not commutable with $\hat{A}$, $[\hat{A},\hat{C}] \neq 0$. Note that the value of the differential depends on the operator $\hat{C}$ and thus $(df/d\hat{A})$ is a hyperoperator.

In QA, this operator differential is defined by

$$\left(\frac{df}{d\hat{A}}\right) = \int_0^1 d\lambda f^{(1)}(\hat{A} - \lambda\delta_A), \qquad (4)$$

where $\delta_A = [\hat{A},]$.

The advantage of this definition is that the operator Taylor expansion is expressed in the following simple form:

$$f(\hat{A} + \hat{C}) = f(\hat{A}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{d^n f}{d\hat{A}^n}\right)\hat{C}^n, \qquad (5)$$

where

$$\left(\frac{d^n f}{d\hat{A}^n}\right) = n! \int_0^1 d\lambda_1 \ldots \int_0^{\lambda_{n-1}} d\lambda_n f^{(n)}\left(\hat{A} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta_A^{(i)}\right), \qquad (6)$$

with

$$\delta_A^{(i)}\hat{C}^n = \hat{C}^{n-i}(\delta_A\hat{C})\hat{C}^{i-1}. \qquad (7)$$

Moreover, when $\hat{A}_t$ is a function of a c-number t, we have

$$\frac{df(\hat{A}_t)}{dt} = \left(\frac{df}{d\hat{A}_t}\right)\frac{d\hat{A}_t}{dt}. \qquad (8)$$

Several useful relations for δ_A are summarized as

$$[\hat{A},\delta_A] = 0, \quad f(\hat{A} - \delta_A)\hat{C} = \hat{C}f(\hat{A}), \qquad (9a)$$

$$\delta_A\hat{C} = -\delta_C\hat{A}, \quad e^{a\delta_A}\hat{C} = e^{a\hat{A}}\hat{C}e^{-a\hat{A}}. \qquad (9b)$$

Let us apply the above definitions to an operator given by the following SDE:

$$d\hat{A}_t = \hat{L}_t dt + \sqrt{2\nu T}\,dB_t, \qquad (10)$$

where $d\hat{A}_t = \hat{A}_{t+dt} - \hat{A}_t$. Using the operator Taylor expansion for $f(\hat{A}_t + d\hat{A}_t)$ and Eq. (10), we find

$$df(\hat{A}_t) = \left[\int_0^1 d\lambda f^{(1)}(\hat{A}_t - \lambda\delta_{A_t})\hat{L}_t + \nu T f^{(2)}(\hat{A}_t)\right]dt$$
$$+ \sqrt{2\nu T}\,f^{(1)}(\hat{A}_t) \circ_i dB_t \qquad (11)$$

$$= \left(\frac{df(\hat{A}_t)}{d\hat{A}_t}\right) \circ_s d\hat{A}_t. \qquad (12)$$

Here the terms of $O(dt^{3/2})$ are dropped. The products $\circ_i$ and $\circ_s$ are, respectively, given by the Ito definition,

$$f(\hat{A}_t) \circ_i dB_t \equiv f(\hat{A}_t)(B_{t+dt} - B_t), \qquad (13)$$

and the Stratonovich definition,

$$f(\hat{A}_t) \circ_s dB_t \equiv f(\hat{A}_{t+dt/2})(B_{t+dt} - B_t). \qquad (14)$$

This result is the operator extension of Ito's lemma in the usual stochastic calculus [17].

There is a convenient formula satisfied for operators $\hat{A}$ and $d\hat{A}$, which have a constant commutator, $[\hat{A},d\hat{A}] = \text{const}$,

$$\left(\frac{df}{d\hat{A}}\right) \circ_s d\hat{A} = \left(d\hat{A} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{dA}\right) \circ_s f^{(1)}, \qquad (15)$$

where $\delta_A \circ_s \hat{C} = \hat{A} \circ_s \hat{C} - \hat{C} \circ_s \hat{A}$.

B. Commutation relation

By applying QA, the differential of the commutator of $\hat{x}_t$ and $\hat{p}_t$ in our model is

$$d[\hat{x}_t,\hat{p}_t] = -\frac{\nu}{m}dt[\hat{x}_t,\hat{p}_t] + O(dt^{3/2}). \qquad (16)$$

We consider that the quantum Brownian particle starts to interact with the classical heat bath at the initial time $t = 0$ and thus $[\hat{x}_0,\hat{p}_0] = i\hbar$. Using this condition, the solution of the above equation is

$$[\hat{x}_t,\hat{p}_t] = i\hbar e^{-\nu t/m} \equiv i\hbar\gamma(t). \qquad (17)$$

One can see that the commutator vanishes in the asymptotic limit in time and then $\hat{x}_t$ and $\hat{p}_t$ behave as classical variables. This time dependence is the nature of Eq. (1) and is irrelevant to the properties of QA. In fact, for the case of $V = 0$, we can directly solve Eq. (1) and confirm that Eq. (17) is satisfied.

It should be noted that our model is different from Kanai's model where a damping harmonic oscillator is quantized, although a similar time-dependent commutator is obtained. In fact, a coupling to a classical heat bath is not considered in Kanai's approach [18].

C. Wigner function and equilibrium distribution

The above behavior of the commutator indicates that our model relaxes toward a classical equilibrium state. To see this relaxation, we introduce the Wigner function,

$$\rho_W(x,p,t) = \langle\langle \delta(x - \hat{x}_t + \delta_{x_t}/2)\delta(p - \hat{p}_t)\rangle\rangle, \qquad (18)$$

where $\langle\langle \ \rangle\rangle$ denotes a double expectations: one is for the Wiener process $E[\ ]$ and the other for an initial wave function

$|\psi_0\rangle$,

$$\langle\langle\hat{A}\rangle\rangle = \langle\psi_0|E[\hat{A}]|\psi_0\rangle = E[\langle\psi_0|\hat{A}|\psi_0\rangle]. \qquad (19)$$

Note that the initial wave function is independent of the Wiener process and the order of the quantum and stochastic averages can be exchanged. The δ function here is defined by the integral form $\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int dk e^{ikx}$.

The definition by Eq. (18) is different from the traditional expression of the Wigner function [19] but still gives the same result. One can see from this expression that the Wigner function is reduced to the classical phase space distribution in the classical limit.

Using QA, the time derivative of $\rho_W(x,p,t)$ is calculated as

$$\partial_t \rho_W(x,p,t)$$
$$= \left[-\frac{p}{m}\partial_x + V^{(1)}(x,\lambda_t)\partial_p + \frac{\nu}{m}\partial_p p + \frac{\nu}{\beta}\partial_p^2 \right] \rho_W(x,p,t)$$
$$+ \Sigma(x,p,t), \qquad (20)$$

where $\beta^{-1} = k_B T$ and

$$\Sigma(x,p,t) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{V^{(2l+1)}(x,\lambda_t)}{(2l+1)!}\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{4}\gamma^2(t)\right)^l \partial_p^{2l+1}\rho_W. \qquad (21)$$

In the vanishing limit of dissipation, $\nu \to 0$, Eq. (20) is reduced to the well-known result in quantum mechanics [19]. In the classical limit, $\hbar \to 0$ and/or in the asymptotic limit in time $t \to \infty$, Σ disappears and Eq. (20) coincides with the Kramers (Fokker-Planck) equation of the classical Brownian motion [3].

The Wigner functions for various quantum open systems are discussed in Ref. [20] and one of them is the case of a quantum Brownian motion with a noise operator. Then the Wigner function of this model is the same as Eq. (20), replacing the factor $\gamma(t)$ by 1. However, the definition of the noise operator used there is incomplete to formulate stochastic calculus.

For later discussion, we introduce the solution of the Kramers equation by $\rho_{KR}(x,p,t)$. Then $\rho_W(x,p,t) = \rho_{KR}(x,p,t)$ in the classical limit.

The stationary solution of Eq. (20) is given by

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho_W(x,p,t) = \rho_{eq}(x,p) = \frac{1}{Z_c}e^{-\beta H(x,p,\lambda_{eq})}, \qquad (22)$$

where Z_c is the partition function, $Z_c = \int d\Gamma e^{-\beta H}$ with the phase volume $d\Gamma = dx dp$, and

$$H(x,p,\lambda_{eq}) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x,\lambda_{eq}), \qquad (23)$$

with a constant $\lambda_{eq} = \lambda_{t=\infty}$. This is nothing but the classical equilibrium distribution, as is expected from the behavior of the commutator.

The Wigner function is not positive definite and thus cannot be interpreted as a probability density. Instead, it should be interpreted as an integration measure. As a matter of fact, we can reexpress any expectation values of operators by integrals with this measure. For example, the energy expectation value is rewritten as

$$\langle\langle H(\hat{x}_t,\hat{p}_t,\lambda_t)\rangle\rangle = \int d\Gamma \rho_W(x,p,t)H(x,p,\lambda_t). \qquad (24)$$

III. QUANTUM STOCHASTIC ENERGETICS COUPLED TO A CLASSICAL HEAT BATH

In the classical SE, the heat absorbed by a Brownian particle is defined as the work exerted by the heat bath on the Brownian particle. In fact, the interaction between the particle and the bath is represented by the dissipative term $[-\nu\hat{p}_t/m$ in Eq. (1b) in the present model] and the noise term $[\sqrt{2\nu T}dB_t/dt]$. The heat *absorbed* from the heat bath is equivalent to the work *exerted* by the heat bath on the Brownian particle, which is thus defined by the product of a force and an induced displacement [3].

Extending this idea to quantum systems, note that the force and the displacement are operators and not commutable in general. Here we propose a heat operator as

$$d\hat{Q}_t \equiv \left(d\hat{x}_t - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{dx_t}\right) \circ_s \left(-\frac{\nu}{m}\hat{p}_t + \sqrt{2\nu T}\frac{dB_t}{dt}\right). \qquad (25)$$

The operator δ_{dx_t} symmetrizes the order of the force and the displacement operators.

By using the properties in QA, in particular Eq. (15), we can show that the heat operator satisfies the following energy balance:

$$dH(\hat{x}_t,\hat{p}_t,\lambda_t) = d\hat{Q}_t + d\hat{W}_t. \qquad (26)$$

Here the work operator exerted by an external force is defined by

$$d\hat{W}_t \equiv \partial_\lambda V(\hat{x}_t,\lambda_t) \circ_s d\lambda_t, \qquad (27)$$

because the external force changes the form of V through its λ_t dependence. This energy balance (26) corresponds to the first law of thermodynamics and is equivalent to that in the classical SE, except for the difference of operators and c-numbers. Note that the energy balance is satisfied not for ensembles but for operators.

The expectation value of the heat operator has an upper bound. To see this, we introduce a function,

$$S(t) = S_{SH}(t) + S_{ME}(t), \qquad (28)$$

where

$$S_{SH}(t) = -k_B \int d\Gamma \rho_W(x,p,t)\ln|\rho_W(x,p,t)|, \qquad (29)$$

$$S_{ME}(t) = k_B \int^t ds \int d\Gamma \left[\Sigma(x,p,s)\ln|\rho_W(x,p,s)|\right.$$
$$\left. -\beta\nu\delta^{(\hbar)}\rho_W(x,p,s)\left\{\frac{p}{m}+\beta^{-1}\partial_p \ln|\rho_W(x,p,s)|\right\}^2\right]. \qquad (30)$$

Here $\delta^{(\hbar)}\rho_W(x,p,t) \equiv \rho_W(x,p,t) - \rho_{KR}(x,p,t)$ and represents the modification of the phase space distribution by quantum fluctuations. The first term $S_{SH}(t)$ is the Shannon entropy, calculated by using the Wigner function instead of a probability distribution. The second term $S_{ME}(t)$ contains the memory effect and thus the behavior of $S(t)$ depends on the hysteresis of the evolution. Note that $S_{ME}(t)$ is induced by quantum fluctuations and thus vanishes in the classical limit, leading to $S(t) = S_{SH}(t)$.

TABLE I. Comparison of the classical and quantum SE. We introduce $F(p_t, dB_t) \equiv -\frac{\nu}{m} p_t + \sqrt{2\nu k_B T} \frac{dB_t}{dt}$.

	Heat	Upper bound
Classical	$dx_t \circ_s F(p_t, dB_t)$	$T dS_{SH}/dt$
Quantum	$(d\hat{x}_t - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{dx_t}) \circ_s F(\hat{p}_t, dB_t)$	$T d(S_{SH} + S_{ME})/dt$

Then we can show the following inequality:

$$T\frac{dS}{dt} - \left\langle\!\left\langle \frac{d\hat{Q}_t}{dt} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle = \nu \int d\Gamma \, \rho_{KR} \left\{ \frac{p}{m} + \beta^{-1}\partial_p \ln|\rho_W| \right\}^2.$$

$$\geqslant 0. \qquad (31)$$

The right-hand side on the first line is positive definite and vanishes when $\rho_W = \rho_{eq}$. Therefore the upper bound of the expectation value of the heat flux is characterized by the time derivative of $S(t)$. This inequality corresponds to the second law of thermodynamics. As a matter of fact, $S(t)$ can be interpreted as the thermodynamic entropy in equilibrium, because

$$S|_{\rho_W = \rho_{eq}} = S_{SH}|_{\rho_W = \rho_{eq}} = \frac{\langle\!\langle \hat{H} \rangle\!\rangle}{T} + k_b \ln Z_c, \qquad (32)$$

where Z_c is the partition function defined above.

In the classical limit, our Wigner function coincides with the phase space distribution ρ_{KR} as is discussed above and Eq. (31) is reduced to $T dS_{SH}/dt \geqslant E[dQ_t/dt]$, which is the result in the classical SE [3]. That is, our quantum SE has a consistent classical limit for the first and second laws. See also Table I for the classical definition of dQ_t.

The most important nature of the above result is the appearance of the memory effect in $S_{ME}(t)$ induced by quantum fluctuations. As a consequence, it is expected that the thermal efficiency of quantum heat engines will be different from that of the classical model. To see this effect formally, let us consider two processes interacting with different heat bath of temperatures T_l and T_h ($T_l < T_h$). Applying Eqs. (26) and (31), the work per unit time *extracted* by interacting with the heat bath of T_i has an upper bound given by

$$-\frac{d\langle\!\langle H \rangle\!\rangle_i}{dt} + T_i \frac{dS^i}{dt}, \qquad (33)$$

where the index $i(=l,h)$ represents a quantity observed in each system of T_i. Combining these and appropriate adiabatic processes, we can construct a cycle and then the total work extracted from this cycle W_{EXT} has the following limitation:

$$W_{EXT} \leqslant T_l \Delta S^l + T_h \Delta S^h, \qquad (34)$$

where ΔS^i is the time integration of $dS^i(t)/dt$ for a period of the interaction with the heat bath of T_i. The right-hand side depends on the memory effect. If this gives a negative contribution, the efficiency can be smaller than that of thermodynamics.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we considered thermodynamic behaviors in a quantum Brownian motion coupled to a classical heat bath.

We then defined a heat operator by generalizing the stochastic energetics and showed the energy balance (first law) and the upper bound of the expectation value of the heat operator (second law). Our theory has a well-defined classical limit and reproduces the results of the classical SE.

We observe additional restrictions for observables when the classical SE is generalized to quantum systems. In fact, the commutation relations of the heat operator are calculated as

$$[\hat{p}_t, d\hat{Q}_t]_i \equiv \hat{p}_t \circ_i d\hat{Q}_t - d\hat{Q}_t \circ_i \hat{p}_t = 0, \qquad (35a)$$

$$[\hat{x}_t, d\hat{Q}_t]_i = \frac{2i\hbar}{m} \left\{ \dot{\gamma}(t)\hat{p}_t dt + \gamma(t)\sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2\beta}} dB_t \right\}, \qquad (35b)$$

where $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \partial_t \gamma(t)$. From the second equation, we can show

$$(\Delta x_t)\left(\Delta \frac{dQ_t}{dt} \right) \geqslant \frac{\hbar}{m}|\dot{\gamma}(t)\langle\!\langle \hat{p}_t \rangle\!\rangle|, \qquad (36)$$

where $\Delta A = \sqrt{\langle\!\langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle\!\rangle - (\langle\!\langle \hat{A} \rangle\!\rangle)^2}$. Therefore, there will exist a limitation for the simultaneous measurement of quantum thermodynamic quantities.

To generalize this approach to a system coupled to a quantum heat bath, the noise term will be replaced by an operator. In fact, an operator equation of a quantum Brownian motion may be derived from an underlying microscopic theory by employing systematic coarse-graining procedures such as the projection operator technique, the influence functional method, etc. [13,15,16]. Then the derived operator equation contains a term identified with noise. This term is expected to show stochastic behavior by taking the Markov limit, but there is no proof so far and the properties of such an operator have not yet been well understood [21,22]. Thus the introduction of a noise operator is not a trivial task [13,23]. We are, in particular, interested in whether completely positive maps can be realized by introducing a noise operator.

Because of the classical treatment of the heat bath, this model describes only a part of quantum fluctuations. Nevertheless, we still observed that quantum fluctuations can modify thermodynamic behaviors qualitatively. In fact, we found the appearance of the memory effect in the upper bound, which can modify the qualitative nature of the maximum extractable work in quantum heat engines. This result resembles Ref. [11], where a limitation on maximum extractable work in a quantum small system is discussed by analyzing the modification of the Helmholtz free energy in the quantum information theory. As is seen from Eq. (33), we can introduce another free energy characterizing the work limitation as $\tilde{F} = \langle\!\langle H \rangle\!\rangle - TS$, which coincides with the Helmholtz free energy for quasistatic processes because of the memory effect in S. See also the different conclusion in Ref. [10] for the effect of quantum fluctuations in quantum heat engines.

Note that a possible entanglement between a Brownian particle and a heat bath is not included in the present model. To consider this effect, of course, we need to introduce a noise operator which has a well-defined stochastic behaviors. There is, however, another problem to deal with such an entanglement. In the microscopic derivations of the classical and quantum Brownian motions, it is normally assumed that

there is no correlation between the system and bath density matrices, at least initially [15,16]. Thus there exists a limitation in the discussion of the system-bath entanglement in such a dynamics.

The memory effect contains terms which have higher-order derivatives in momentum and thus may survive even near equilibrium for relativistic systems which have an energy dispersion $\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$ [5]. Then it will be interesting to consider the application of quantum thermodynamics to the physics of graphene.

[1] See, for example, T. L. Hill, *Thermodynamics of Small Systems, Parts I and II* (Dover, New York, 1994); C. Van den Broeck, S. Sasa, and U. Seifert, Focus on stochastic thermodynamics, New J. Phys. **18**, 020401 (2016).

[2] P. Hänggi and F. Marchesoni, Artificial Brownian motors: Controlling transport on the nanoscale, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 387 (2009).

[3] K. Sekimoto, *Stochastic Energetics* (Springer, Berlin, 2010).

[4] V. Blickle and C. Bechinger, Realization of a micrometre-sized stochastic heat engine, Nat. Phys. **8**, 143 (2012).

[5] T. Koide and T. Kodama, Thermodynamic laws and equipartition theorem in relativistic Brownian motion, Phys. Rev. E **83**, 061111 (2011).

[6] K. Kanazawa, T. Sagawa, and H. Hayakawa, Stochastic Energetics for Non-Gaussian Processes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 210601 (2012).

[7] However, there is an attempt to apply SE to quantum transport without changing the framework, P. K. Ghosh and D. S. Ray, Stochastic energetics of quantum transport, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 036103 (2006).

[8] See, for example, J. Gemmer, M. Michel, and G. Mahler, *Quantum Thermodynamics: Emergence of Thermodynamic Behavior Within Composite*, Quantum Systems (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2009); R. Kosloff, Quantum thermodynamics: A dynamical viewpoint, Entropy **15**, 2100 (2013); J. Goold, M. Huber, A. Riera, Lídia del Rio, and P. Skrzypczyk, The role of quantum information in thermodynamics-a topical review, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **49**, 143001 (2016); S. Vinjanampathy and J. Anders, Quantum thermodynamics, Contemp. Phys. **57**, 545 (2016).

[9] For irreversibility in a quantum system, see T. B. Batalhaõ, A. M. Souza, R. S. Sarthour, I. S. Oliveira, M. Paternostro, E. Lutz, and R. M. Serra, Irreversibility and the Arrow of Time in a Quenched Quantum System, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 190601 (2015).

[10] R. Uzdin, A. Levy, and R. Kosloff, Equivalence of Quantum Heat Machines, and Quantum-Thermodynamic Signatures, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 031044 (2015).

[11] M. Horodecki and J. Oppenheim, Fundamental limitations for quantum and nanoscale thermodynamics, Nat. Commun. **4**, 2059 (2013).

[12] E. Geva and R. Kosloff, On the classical limit of quantum thermodynamics in finite time, J. Chem. Phys. **97**, 4398 (1992).

[13] For various aspects of quantum Brownian motions, see, for example, P. Hänggi and G.-L. Ingold, Fundamental aspects of quantum Brownian motion, Chaos **15**, 026105 (2005).

[14] M. Suzuki, Quantum analysis–Non-commutative differential and integral calculi, Commun. Math. Phys. **183**, 339 (1997); General formulation of quantum analysis, Rev. Math. Phys. **11**, 243 (1999); Refined formulation of quantum analysis, q-derivative and exponential splitting, J. Phys. A **39**, 5617 (2006).

[15] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford, New York, 2002).

[16] R. Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford, New York, 2001).

[17] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Method: For Physics, Chemistry and Natural Sciences* (Springer, New York, 2004).

[18] E. Kanai, On the quantization of the dissipative systems, Prog. Theor. Phys. **3**, 440 (1948); H. Dekker, Classical and quantum mechanics of the damped harmonic oscillator, Phys. Rep. **80**, 1 (1981).

[19] E. P. Wigner, On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium, Phys. Rev. **40**, 749 (1932).

[20] A. Isar, W. Scheid, and A. Sandulescu, Quasiprobability distributions for open quantum systems within the Lindblad theory, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 2128 (1991); R. F. O'Connell, Wigner distribution function approach to dissipative problems in quantum mechanics with emphasis on decoherence and measurement theory, J. Opt. B **5**, S349 (2003); R. Cabrera, D. I. Bondar, K. Jacobs, and H. A. Rabitz, Efficient method to generate time evolution of the Wigner function for open quantum systems, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 042122 (2015); and references therein.

[21] T. Imagire, T. Saito, K. Nemoto, and T. Arimitsu, A comment on the Shibata-Hashitsume Langevin equation: Is it of the Stratonovich type or of the Ito type?, Phys. A (Amsterdam, Neth.) **256**, 129 (1998).

[22] T. Koide, M. Maruyama, and F. Takagi, Projection operator approach to langevin equations in ϕ^4 Theory , Prog. Theor. Phys. **107**, 1001 (2002).

[23] R. L. Hudson and K. R. Parthasarathy, Construction of quantum diffusions, Lect. Notes Math. **1055**, 173 (1994).