Emergence of spike correlations in periodically forced excitable systems

José A. Reinoso,* M. C. Torrent,[†] and Cristina Masoller[‡]

Departament de Fisica, Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, Colom 11, ES-08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain (Received 26 October 2015; revised manuscript received 8 March 2016; published 27 September 2016)

In sensory neurons the presence of noise can facilitate the detection of weak information-carrying signals, which are encoded and transmitted via correlated sequences of spikes. Here we investigate the relative temporal order in spike sequences induced by a subthreshold periodic input in the presence of white Gaussian noise. To simulate the spikes, we use the FitzHugh-Nagumo model and to investigate the output sequence of interspike intervals (ISIs), we use the symbolic method of ordinal analysis. We find different types of relative temporal order in the form of preferred ordinal patterns that depend on both the strength of the noise and the period of the input signal. We also demonstrate a resonancelike behavior, as certain periods and noise levels enhance temporal ordering in the ISI sequence, maximizing the probability of the preferred patterns. Our findings could be relevant for understanding the mechanisms underlying temporal coding, by which single sensory neurons represent in spike sequences the information about weak periodic stimuli.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.94.032218](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.032218)

I. INTRODUCTION

Many excitable systems, such as neurons and cardiac cells, display spiking output signals that can be analyzed by using an event-level approach, i.e., by detecting the times when the spikes occur, and then analyzing the statistics of the time intervals between successive spikes [interspike intervals (ISIs)]. Some important properties of ISI sequences are related to coherence and stochastic resonance phenomena. Coherence resonance refers to enhanced spike regularity under an optimal level of noise [\[1\]](#page-7-0), while stochastic resonance refers to enhanced detection and transmission of subthreshold time-varying signals, also under an optimal level of noise $[2-5]$.

Another relevant property of ISI sequences is the presence of correlations [\[6–9\]](#page-7-0), which are known to influence the neuron's capacity of information transfer [\[10–13\]](#page-7-0). In particular, while Gaussian white stochastic stimuli produce uncorrelated ISI sequences, correlated stochastic stimuli and informationcarrying stimuli generate correlated spikes [\[14–17\]](#page-7-0).

In the literature, temporal correlations in ISI sequences have been quantified by means of the serial correlation coefficients $(SCCs) C_j$,

$$
C_j = \frac{\langle (I_i - \langle I \rangle)(I_{i-j} - \langle I \rangle) \rangle}{\sigma^2},\tag{1}
$$

where *j* is an integer number, { \dots , $I_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}, \dots$ } is the ISI sequence, and $\langle I \rangle$ and σ are the mean value and the standard deviation of the ISI distribution. Serial correlation coefficients and statistical analysis of the ISI distribution are standard techniques to investigate spike trains. In the past decade, however, nonlinear methods of time-series analysis have been demonstrated useful for extracting information from empirical or synthetic data generated from nonlinear dynamical systems, but their potential for the analysis of ISI sequences remains

largely unexplored. A particularly useful tool is known as symbolic analysis [\[18\]](#page-7-0). In this approach, by defining an appropriated symbolic rule, a time series is transformed into a sequence of symbols and its information content is described by a set of discrete probabilities, defined in terms of the frequencies of occurrence of the different symbols. Which symbolic rule is appropriated to quantify the information content of a time series and to capture relevant properties (such as the presence of more or less frequently expressed symbols) depends on the specific system, as well as on the length and characteristics of the data. Different symbolic rules might capture different properties of the dynamics, providing complementary information [\[18\]](#page-7-0).

A popular symbolic technique, known as ordinal analysis [\[19\]](#page-7-0), has been proven very useful for investigating biomedical signals and other complex signals. It has been used for classifying behaviors, detecting dynamical changes, estimating model parameters, etc. [\[20–28\]](#page-7-0). Ordinal analysis uses symbols known as ordinal patterns of length *L*, which are defined in terms of the relative order relations of *L* data values. Because each symbol is determined by *L* values, temporal information is incorporated in the symbolic sequence. In contrast, when the encoding rule assigns a symbol to each individual data point, the resulting symbolic sequence can be regarded a coarse-grained description of the time series. One can then expect that ordinal analysis will provide additional information complementary to that gained by SCCs. This is because SCCs perform a comparison of two ISI values with a global magnitude (the mean ISI $\langle I \rangle$), while in contrast ordinal analysis performs a relative comparison of each data point with the $L - 1$ previous data points. In addition, one can expect that ordinal analysis provides complementary information with respect to that gained from the statistical analysis of the ISI sequence, because it keeps information about the presence of temporal ordering in the sequence of ISI values, while the ISI distribution does not (shuffle surrogate data has the same ISI distribution as the original data). On the other hand, because ordinal analysis neglects the actual ISI values (i.e., the precise duration of the interspike intervals), one can expect that SCCs and the ISI distribution will provide amplitude information that cannot be obtained with ordinal analysis.

^{*}aparicioreinoso@gmail.com

[†] carme.torrent@upc.edu

[‡] cristina.masoller@upc.edu

FIG. 1. Time series generated from the FHN model with the parameters $a = 1.05$, $\epsilon = 0.01$, and $D = 0.015$. In (a) $a_0 = 0$, while in (b) and (c) $a_0 = 0.02$ and $T = 10$ and 20, respectively. The spike times are detected with the threshold $y = 1.5$. In (b) and (c) the dashed line indicates the value of $cos(2\pi t/T)$.

Here our goal is to analyze order relations in ISI sequences generated by a single neuron driven by weak periodic and stochastic inputs. We perform extensive simulations of the FitzHugh-Nagumo (FHN) model (a classical example of an excitable nonlinear system), driven by Gaussian white noise and a subthreshold sinusoidal input: Without noise there are

FIG. 2. Probabilities of the six OPs that are defined by the relative length of three consecutive ISIs vs the noise strength. The OPs are schematically shown in the inset. The parameters are (a) $a_0 = 0$, (b) $a_0 = 0.02$ and $T = 10$, and (c) $a_0 = 0.02$ and $T = 20$; the other parameters are as indicated in the text. In (c) the arrows indicate the noise levels used in Figs. [3](#page-2-0) and [4.](#page-2-0)

no spikes (but only subthreshold oscillations). The simulated ISI sequences are thus generated by the combined effects of noise and periodic forcing. Temporal correlations in the ISI sequence are detected and quantified by the probabilities of the ordinal patterns (OPs).

We demonstrate that these probabilities capture relevant properties of the ISI sequence: We find the presence of

FIG. 3. The OP probabilities vs the amplitude of the input signal. The parameters are $T = 20$ and (a) $D = 0.015$ and (b) $D = 0.035$; the other parameters are the same as in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

preferred patterns that are tuned by (i) the period of the input signal and (ii) the strength of the noise. We also show that some probabilities display the resonancelike feature of being enhanced for particular signal-dependent noise levels. In addition, for certain parameters we find that the OP probabilities are organized in a hierarchical structure, with clusters of two patterns having very similar probabilities. We conclude with a discussion of the relation between the OP probabilities, the mean ISI, and the SCCs.

II. MODEL

The FHN equations are [\[1\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\epsilon \frac{dx}{dt} = x - \frac{x^3}{3} - y,\tag{2}
$$

$$
\frac{dy}{dt} = x + a + a_0 \cos(2\pi t/T) + D\xi(t),\tag{3}
$$

where *x* is the fast variable and *y* is the slow one, $\epsilon \ll 1$, and *a* is a control parameter such that when $|a| > 1$ there is a stable fixed point and when $|a| < 1$ there is a stable limit cycle; $\xi(t)$ is a white Gaussian noise of zero mean and unit variance and *D* is the noise strength; a_0 and *T* are the amplitude and the period of the input signal.

The FHN model was simulated with parameters as in [\[1\]](#page-7-0): $a = 1.05$ and $\epsilon = 0.01$; a_0 and *T* were varied such that the input signal is kept subthreshold (without noise there are no spikes). The model equations are integrated with random initial conditions and a second-order Runge-Kutta method, with integration step 0.005. Figure [1](#page-1-0) displays typical spike sequences, where the spike times t_i are detected by using a threshold. Then the ISI sequence is defined as $\{I_i\}$, with $I_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$. For each set of parameters, time series with more than 100 000 ISIs were generated (the first 100 ISIs were neglected to let transients die away).

III. METHOD

As discussed in the Introduction, the OPs are defined by the relative ordering of *L* ISI values. Neglecting equality, for $L = 2$, $I_i < I_{i+1}$ gives pattern 01 and $I_i > I_{i+1}$ gives 10; for $L = 3$ the $L! = 6$ possible order relations are indicated in the inset of Fig. [2;](#page-1-0) $I_i < I_{i+1} < I_{i+2}$ gives pattern 012; $I_{i+1} < I_i < I_{i+1}$ I_{i+2} gives pattern 102, etc. If $I_i = I_{i+1}$, a small random value is added before computing the ordinal pattern. Longer order relations can be analyzed by either using lags (considering nonconsecutive values I_i , $I_{i+\tau}$, and $I_{i+2\tau}$) or by using longer patterns (for $L = 4$ there are $4! = 24$ possible order relations, for $L = 5$ there are $5! = 120$ order relations, etc.). In this work we analyze consecutive ISIs ($\tau = 1$) and mainly focus on OPs of length $L = 3$, but we also analyze longer correlations with $L = 4$ and 5 OPs.

FIG. 4. The OP probabilities vs the period of the input signal. The parameters are $a_0 = 0.02$ and (a) $D = 0.015$ and (b) $D = 0.035$; the other parameters are the same as in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

FIG. 5. (a) Probabilities of patterns 01 and 10 vs the period of the input signal. (b) Permutation entropy vs *T* for OPs of length *L* = 3, 4, and 5. In both (a) and (b) the parameters are the same as in Fig. $4(b)$.

If the *L*! patterns are equally probable, one can conclude that there are no preferred order relations among *L* consecutive ISI values; in contrast, a nonuniform distribution of OP probabilities reveals the presence of preferred and/or infrequent order relations. The interval of probability values that is consistent with the uniform distribution is computed with a binomial test: If the OP probabilities are within the interval $[p - 3\sigma, p + 3\sigma]$, where $p = 1/L!$, $\sigma = \sqrt{p(1 - p)/M}$, and *M* is the number of OPs, then the probabilities are consistent with the uniform distribution with 95% confidence level.

The set of ordinal probabilities p_i , with $i \in [1, \ldots, L!]$, has associated an entropy, known as permutation entropy $[19,27,28]$, which is defined as $H = S/S_{max}$, with $S =$ $-\sum p_i \log p_i$ and $S_{\text{max}} = \log L!$. The permutation entropy provides a complexity measure for time series and even very small deviations from $H = 1$ can be used for detecting signatures of underlying determinism, for identifying dynamical changes and characteristic time scales, etc. [\[21,24–26,29\]](#page-7-0).

IV. RESULTS

Let us first analyze the ISI sequence generated by the stochastic input only $(a_0 = 0)$. Figure [2\(a\)](#page-1-0) displays the probabilities of the six OPs as a function of the noise strength and the gray region indicates the probability region consistent with the uniform distribution. We can observe that, within the range of noise strength considered, the six probabilities are in the gray region and thus they are consistent with equally probable patterns, i.e., no order relations are detected in the ISI sequence. This is interpreted as due to the fact that the spikes are induced by a fully random process (Gaussian white noise).

Next we add the weak periodic input and again plot the OP probabilities vs the noise strength [in Fig. $2(b)$, $T = 10$; in Fig. $2(c)$, $T = 20$. We observe a resonancelike phenomenon, in which the probabilities of some patterns lie outside the gray region for certain noise strengths. For example, in Fig. $2(b)$ we note that for $D \sim 0.03$, V and Λ are the preferred patterns; in Fig. $2(c)$, with weak noise, V and Λ are preferred, but with higher noise, 012 and 210 are preferred.

The effect of the periodic signal gradually increases with its amplitude. This is shown in Fig. [3,](#page-2-0) which displays the OP probabilities vs a_0 , keeping fixed the period of the signal and the strength of the noise. We consider weak noise [Fig. $3(a)$] and stronger noise [Fig. $3(b)$], which induce different ISI order relations [as indicated with arrows in Fig. $2(c)$]. We observe that, in both cases, as a_0 increases, the OP probabilities gradually leave the gray region, revealing that order relations gradually emerge in the ISI sequence. We note that, within the range of values considered here (the input is subthreshold), a_0 does not change the preferred OPs.

In order to investigate the role of the period of the input signal, in Fig. [4](#page-2-0) we display the OP probabilities vs T . We consider weak and stronger noise (the same levels as in Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0). We note that when the input signal is fast, the OP probabilities are inside the gray region, but for slower input, they lie outside. We also note that the preferred patterns depend on both *T* and *D* and there is a resonantlike effect in the form of enhanced probability of particular OPs for specific values of *T* and *D*. For example, for $D = 0.035$ [Fig. [4\(b\)\]](#page-2-0) patterns 012 and 210 are preferred for $T \sim 6$, but they are unlikely to occur for $T \sim 10$.

To explore the length of temporal ordering, we show in Fig. $5(a)$, for the same parameters as in Fig. $4(b)$, the probabilities of OPs of length $L = 2$. We observe that they are in the gray area, which indicates that there is no temporal order in the ISI sequence. However, the probabilities of $L = 3$ OPs reveal the presence of patterns with favored occurrence, as shown in Fig. $4(b)$. Therefore, we conclude that, in order to uncover temporal ordering, the ISI sequence has to be analyzed with OPs of appropriate length: If the length of the OP is too short, no temporal ordering is detected (as shown here, with the $L = 2$ OP the probabilities are within the gray area, consistent with equiprobable OPs), while if the length of the OP is too long, as will be shown below, the large number of OPs will require very long time series in order to compute the OP probabilities with robust statistics.

To explore the effect of longer OPs, it is unpractical to display the probabilities of 24 $L = 4$ OPs or 120 $L = 5$ OPs. Therefore, in Fig. 5(b) we plot the permutation entropy *H* computed with patterns of length $L = 3, 4$, and 5 vs the period of the input signal. The value of *H* very close to 1 indicates that the time series is highly stochastic. This is expected because the modulation is subthreshold and the spikes are noise induced. However, a small variation of the permutation entropy is a signature of a transition as *T* increases: For

FIG. 6. (a) Probabilities of patterns 01 and 10 vs the number *M* of interspike intervals in logarithmic scale. (b) Probabilities of the six $L = 3$ OPs vs *M*. For both (a) and (b) the parameters are $a_0 = 0.025$, $D = 0.015$, and $T = 20$. (c) Same as in (b) but with $a_0 = 0$.

 $T < 5$, $H \sim 1$, while for longer *T*, *H* tends to decrease, but nonmonotonically, i.e., there are values of *T* for which *H* is minimum, indicating the existence of more probable patterns and thus temporal ordering in the ISI sequence. We also note that, while for $T < 5$, $H \sim 1$ for $L = 3-5$, for $T > 5$, the permutation entropy decreases with *L*, indicating the longer range of temporal ordering.

The influence of the length of the time series *M* is shown in Fig. 6, which displays the OP probabilities vs *M*. We see that, with a periodic input signal [Figs. $6(a)$ and $6(b)$], the OP probabilities are outside the gray region, if *M* is large enough. Moreover, in Fig. $6(b)$, clusters of OPs with similar probabilities are seen, only if $M \gg 10^3$ (similar clustering was reported in $[30]$). In contrast, without periodic input [Fig. $6(c)$] the probabilities are inside the gray region and no clustering is seen, even for large *M*.

Interestingly, the behavior of the OP probabilities shown in Fig. $3(a)$ resembles that found experimentally in a modulated semiconductor laser that emits feedback-induced optical spikes [\[30\]](#page-7-0). As shown in Fig. $4(a)$ in [30], when the modulation amplitude increases there is a transition to a dynamical state in which some OP probabilities are outside the gray region and, remarkably, the OP probabilities are organized in the same clusters and with the same hierarchy (the same ordering of the OP probabilities) as observed in Fig. $3(a)$ here. This qualitative similarity can be due to a generic behavior of excitable systems, which can be described by circle maps [\[31\]](#page-7-0). As shown in [\[30\]](#page-7-0), a modified circle map qualitatively explains the behavior of the OP probabilities computed from the laser data and it has been shown to also explain serial correlations in empirical ISI data [\[17\]](#page-7-0). This suggests that similar behavior can be observed in other excitable systems.

V. COMPARISON WITH MEAN ISI AND CORRELATION ANALYSIS

Since both the noise strength *D* and the period of the input signal *T* modify the neuron's spike rate, one could expect that the underlying reason for the variation of the OP probabilities with *D* and *T* is related to the spike rate variation. One could also wonder if these changes are also captured by correlation analysis.

To investigate if there is a close relation between the values of the OP probabilities, the serial correlation coefficients *C*¹ and C_2 , and the mean ISI $\langle I \rangle$ (the inverse of the spike rate), Figs. [7–](#page-5-0)[9](#page-6-0) display, for the same parameters as in Figs. [2–](#page-1-0)[4,](#page-2-0) *C*¹ and *C*² (middle column) and the mean ISI (right column). For easy comparison, the OP probabilities are also shown in the left column.

First, we note that the variation of $\langle I \rangle$ with *D* and *T* is not correlated to that of the OP probabilities: In particular, we see no similar trend. Second, we note that C_1 and C_2 display smooth variations, similar to those of the OP probabilities. As expected, when $C_1 < 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ the most frequently expressed OPs are V and Λ patterns (021, 120, 201, and 102).

In addition, under particular conditions equivalent situations can be identified. For example, in Figs. $9(a)$, $9(c)$, and [9\(e\),](#page-6-0) for $T = 20$ and $D = 0.015$, $\langle I \rangle = 12 \sim T/2$. In this case, patterns 012 and 210 are the less frequently expressed. Compared with Figs. $9(b)$, $9(d)$, and $9(f)$ (for $D = 0.035$), for $T = 10$, $\langle I \rangle = 5 \sim T/2$ and also patterns 012 and 210 are the less frequently expressed. The two situations are equivalent because in both cases $\langle I \rangle \sim T/2$ and when $T = 20$ and *D* = 0.015 [Figs. [9\(a\),](#page-6-0) [9\(c\),](#page-6-0) and [9\(e\)\]](#page-6-0), $C_1 \sim -0.08$ and *C*₂ ∼ 0.05, while when *T* = 10 and *D* = 0.035 [Figs. [9\(b\),](#page-6-0) [9\(d\),](#page-6-0) and [9\(f\)\]](#page-6-0), $C_1 \sim -0.08$ and $C_2 \sim 0.05$.

However, in general, no clear relations can be inferred from these plots. In order to search for such a relation, in Fig. [10](#page-6-0) we have collapsed all data sets in scatter plots, which display the

FIG. 7. (a)–(c) OP probabilities, (d)–(f) serial correlation coefficients (*C*¹ circles, *C*² triangles), and (g)–(i) mean interspike interval. The parameters are the same as in Fig. [2:](#page-1-0) (a) $a_0 = 0$, (b) $a_0 = 0.02$ and $T = 10$, and (c) $a_0 = 0.02$ and $T = 20$.

OP probabilities vs C_1 and C_2 . For clarity the OP probabilities are separated into three groups: the trend patterns [012 and 210 in Figs. $10(a)$ and $10(b)$] and the two clusters of patterns that have similar probabilities [021 and 102 in Figs. $10(c)$ and $10(d)$ and 120 and 201 in Figs. $10(e)$ and $10(f)$]. In the scatter plots no clear relations between C_1 and C_2 and the OP probabilities can be seen, but there is a well-defined trend with C_2 (however, the relation is not one to one).

To further explore the relation between the OP probabilities and the serial correlation coefficients we have redone the scatter plots, now plotting the pattern probability in color code vs C_1 and C_2 . Figures $11(a)$ and $11(b)$ display the probability of the trend pattern 012 and of the V pattern 102, respectively, again collapsing all data sets shown in Figs. 7[–9.](#page-6-0) Here again we see a clear trend with C_2 but no trend with C_1 . We note that the trend pattern 012 (the V pattern 102) is less probable (is

FIG. 8. (a) and (b) OP probabilities, (c) and (d) serial correlation coefficients $(C_1$ circles, C_2 triangles), and (e) and (f) mean interspike interval. The parameters are the same as in Fig. [3:](#page-2-0) $T = 20$ and (a) $D = 0.015$ and (b) $D = 0.035$.

FIG. 9. (a) and (b) OP probabilities, (c) and (d) serial correlation coefficients $(C_1$ circles, C_2 triangles), and (e) and (f) mean interspike interval. The parameters are the same as in Fig. [4:](#page-2-0) $a_0 = 0.02$ and (a) $D = 0.015$ and (b) $D = 0.035$.

more probable) if $C_1 < 0$ and $C_2 > 0$. We again note that the relation is not one to one and similar values of C_1 and C_2 might correspond to different values of the ordinal probabilities, thus the ordinal probabilities cannot be predicted from knowledge of ISI statistics; however, the trends shown in these plots allow predicting that if $C_1 < 0$ and $C_2 > 0$, pattern 012 (pattern 102) will be less (more) frequently expressed than expected if the six patterns are equally probable.

We conclude this section by summarizing the information gained with ordinal analysis, which could not be inferred from correlation analysis.

(i) For a wide range of parameters, in the ISI sequences there are OPs that have almost equal probabilities: 021,102 and 201,120.

(ii) For a wide range of parameters, there is a well-defined hierarchy in the probabilities of the various OPs. For example, in Fig. $7(b)$, for $D > 0.04$,

 $P(102) = P(021) > P(120) = P(201) > P(210) >$ *P*(012), while in Fig. 9(a), for $T > 15$, $P(120) = P(201)$ $P(102) = P(021) > P(012) > P(210)$

(iii) The ordinal probabilities allow computing the permutation entropy, shown in Fig. $5(b)$, which displays a sharp

FIG. 10. Scatter plots in which all the data sets shown in the three previous figures are collapsed. Here the OP probabilities are plotted vs (a), (c), and (e) C_1 and (b), (d), and (f) C_2 . For clarity the OP probabilities are separated in three groups: the trend patterns (a) and (b) 012 and 210 and the two clusters of patterns that have similar probabilities (c) and (d) 021 and 102 and (e) and (f) 120 and 201. No clear relation between C_1 , C_2 , and the six ordinal probabilities is seen, but there is a well-defined trend with C_2 .

FIG. 11. Scatter plots of ordinal probabilities vs C_1 and C_2 . The color code indicates the value of (a) *P*(012) and (b) *P*(102). Both panels display the same data that were shown in Figs. [7–](#page-5-0)[9.](#page-6-0)

transition at $T = 10$. Such a transition is not seen in $\langle I \rangle$, C_1 , or C_2 , which vary smoothly with the modulation period (as shown in Fig. [9\)](#page-6-0).

These observations provide a complementary approach for a qualitative comparison of empirical and synthetic ISI

sequences and can also be useful for distinguishing and classifying different types of ISI sequences.

VI. CONCLUSION

To summarize, we have studied the emergence of relative temporal order in spike sequences induced by the interplay of a stochastic input and a subthreshold periodic input. By using symbolic analysis we uncovered preferred ordinal patterns, which are tuned by the period of the input signal and by the strength of the noise. We have also shown that the probabilities of specific patterns are maximum or minimum for particular values of the period of the input and the strength of the noise. Our findings could be useful for contrasting empirical and synthetic ISI sequences, validating neuron models, or estimating their parameters. Moreover, our results could motivate new experiments on single sensory neurons to further understand the mechanisms by which they encode information about weak stimuli in noisy environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Spanish MINECO (Grant No. FIS2015-66503-C3-2-P). C.M. also acknowledges partial support from ICREA ACADEMIA, Generalitat de Catalunya.

- [1] A. S. Pikovsky and J. Kurths, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.775) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.775)**, [775](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.775) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.775).
- [2] A. Longtin, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01053970) **[70](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01053970)**, [309](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01053970) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01053970).
- [3] C. Heneghan, C. C. Chow, J. J. Collins, T. T. Imhoff, S. B. Lowen, and M. C. Teich, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.54.R2228) **[54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.54.R2228)**, [R2228](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.54.R2228) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.54.R2228).
- [4] [L. Gammaitoni, P. Hnggi, P. Jung, and F. Marchesoni,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.223) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[70](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.223)**, [223](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.223) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.223).
- [5] M. D. McDonnell, N. Iannella, M. S. To, H. C. Tuckwell, J. Jost, B. S. Gutkin, and L. M. Ward, Network **26**[, 35 \(2015\).](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25760433)
- [6] A. Longtin, [Int. J. Bif. Chaos](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218127493000556) **[03](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218127493000556)**, [651](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218127493000556) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218127493000556).
- [7] A. Longtin and D. M. Racicot, [Biosystems](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0303-2647(96)01636-X) **[40](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0303-2647(96)01636-X)**, [111](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0303-2647(96)01636-X) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0303-2647(96)01636-X).
- [8] M. P. Nawrot, C. Boucsein, V. Rodriguez-Molina, A. Aertsen, S. Grün, and S. Rotter, [Neurocomputing](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2006.10.101) **[70](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2006.10.101)**, [1717](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2006.10.101) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2006.10.101).
- [9] L. Shiau, T. Schwalger, and B. Lindner, [J. Comput. Neurosci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10827-015-0558-4) **[38](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10827-015-0558-4)**, [589](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10827-015-0558-4) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10827-015-0558-4).
- [10] [M. J. Chacron, A. Longtin, and L. Maler,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11438609) J. Neurosci. **21**, 5328 (2001).
- [11] M. J. Chacron, B. Lindner, and A. Longtin, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.080601) **[92](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.080601)**, [080601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.080601) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.080601).
- [12] S. P. Strong, R. Koberle, R. R. de Ruyter van Steveninck, and W. Bialek, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.197) **[80](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.197)**, [197](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.197) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.197).
- [13] [F. Farkhooi, M. F. Strube-Bloss, and M. P. Nawrot,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.021905) *Phys. Rev.* E **[79](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.021905)**, [021905](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.021905) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.021905).
- [14] A. Longtin and D. R. Chialvo, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4012) **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4012)**, [4012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4012) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.4012).
- [15] A. B. Neiman and D. F. Russell, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3443) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3443)**, [3443](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3443) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3443).
- [16] J. W. Middleton, M. J. Chacron, B. Lindner, and A. Longtin, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.021920) **[68](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.021920)**, [021920](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.021920) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.021920).
- [17] A. B. Neiman and D. F. Russell, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.061915) **[71](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.061915)**, [061915](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.061915) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.061915).
- [18] C. S. Daw, C. E. A. Finney, and E. R. Tracy, [Rev. Sci. Instrum.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1531823) **[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1531823)**, [915](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1531823) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1531823).
- [19] C. Bandt and B. Pompe, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.174102) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.174102)**, [174102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.174102) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.174102).
- [20] C. Bandt, [Ecol. Model.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.04.003) **[182](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.04.003)**, [229](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.04.003) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.04.003).
- [21] O. A. Rosso, H. A. Larrondo, M. T. Martin, A. Plastino, and M. A. Fuentes, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.154102) **[99](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.154102)**, [154102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.154102) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.154102).
- [22] U. Parlitz, S. Berg, S. Luther, A. Schirdewan, J. Kurths, and N. Wessel, [Comput. Biol. Med.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.03.017) **[42](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.03.017)**, [319](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.03.017) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.03.017).
- [23] G. Graff, B. Graff, A. Kaczkowska, D. Makowiec, J. M. Amigó, [J. Piskorski, K. Narkiewicz, and P. Guzik,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01857-4) Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **[222](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01857-4)**, [525](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01857-4) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01857-4).
- [24] Y. Cao, W. W. Tung, J. B. Gao, V. A. Protopopescu, and L. M. Hively, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.046217) **[70](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.046217)**, [046217](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.046217) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.046217).
- [25] C. Masoller, Y. Hong, S. Ayad, F. Gustave, S. Barland, A. J. Pons, S. Gomez, and A. Arenas, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/2/023068) **[17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/2/023068)**, [023068](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/2/023068) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/2/023068).
- [26] A. Aragoneses, L. Carpi, N. Tarasov, D. V. Churkin, M. C. Torrent, C. Masoller, and S. K. Turitsyn, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.033902) **[116](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.033902)**, [033902](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.033902) [\(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.033902).
- [27] M. Zanin, L. Zunino, O. A. Rosso, and D. Papo, [Entropy](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e14081553) **[14](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e14081553)**, [1553](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e14081553) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e14081553).
- [28] J. M. Amigó, K. Keller, and J. Kurths, [Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01839-6) **[222](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01839-6)**, [241](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01839-6) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01839-6).
- [29] M. C. Soriano, L. Zunino, O. A. Rosso, I. Fischer, and C. R. Mirasso, [IEEE J. Quantum Electron.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JQE.2010.2078799) **[47](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JQE.2010.2078799)**, [252](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JQE.2010.2078799) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JQE.2010.2078799).
- [30] A. Aragoneses, S. Perrone, T. Sorrentino, M. C. Torrent, and C. Masoller, [Sci. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04696) **[4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04696)**, [4696](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04696) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04696).
- [31] [M. Feingold, D. L. Gonzalez, O. Piro, and H. Viturro,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.37.4060) *Phys. Rev.* A **[37](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.37.4060)**, [4060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.37.4060) [\(1988\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.37.4060).