Generic superweak chaos induced by Hall effect

Moti Ben-Harush and Itzhack Dana

Minerva Center and Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel (Received 18 August 2015; revised manuscript received 15 February 2016; published 9 May 2016)

We introduce and study the "kicked Hall system" (KHS), i.e., charged particles periodically kicked in the presence of uniform magnetic (**B**) and electric (**E**) fields that are perpendicular to each other and to the kicking direction. We show that for resonant values of *B* and *E* and in the weak-chaos regime of sufficiently small nonintegrability parameter *κ* (the kicking strength), there exists a *generic* family of periodic kicking potentials for which the Hall effect from **B** and **E** significantly suppresses the weak chaos, replacing it by *"superweak"* chaos (SWC). This means that the system behaves as if the kicking strength were κ^2 rather than κ . For $E = 0$, SWC is known to be a classical fingerprint of quantum antiresonance, but it occurs under much less generic conditions, in particular only for very special kicking potentials. Manifestations of SWC are a decrease in the instability of periodic orbits and a narrowing of the chaotic layers, relative to the ordinary weak-chaos case. Also, for global SWC, taking place on an infinite "stochastic web" in phase space, the chaotic diffusion on the web is much slower than the weak-chaos one. Thus, the Hall effect can be relatively stabilizing for small *κ*. In some special cases, the effect is shown to cause ballistic motion for almost all parameter values. The generic global SWC on stochastic webs in the KHS appears to be the two-dimensional closest analog to the Arnol'd web in higher dimensional systems.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.93.052207](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.052207)

I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of chaotic transport in typical Hamiltonian systems is known to depend on the system dimensionality $[1,2]$. For the lowest-dimensional systems which can be nonintegrable, i.e., either one-dimensional time-dependent systems or two-dimensional time-independent ones (both described by area-preserving Poincaré maps), Kolmogorov-Arnol'd-Moser (KAM) tori $[3-5]$ are barriers to chaotic transport and thus form boundaries of localized chaotic regions. Only when all the KAM tori break for a sufficiently large nonintegrability parameter, these regions merge into a global chaotic region permeating all the phase space. A paradigmatic realistic model of this scenario is the famous kicked rotor described by the Taylor-Chirikov standard map [\[2,6–9\]](#page-9-0). The situation is fundamentally different in higher-dimensional systems. Because of purely topological reasons, KAM tori in these systems do not divide phase space. Then, global chaotic transport on the so-called "Arnol'd web" takes place generically for arbitrarily small nonintegrability parameter but at a very slow rate [\[10–12\]](#page-9-0).

There is an analog to the Arnol'd web in area-preserving maps describing another well-known paradigmatic system basically different from the kicked rotor. This is the system of charged particles periodically kicked perpendicularly to a uniform magnetic field [\[13–19\]](#page-9-0). Assuming, by proper choice of units and without loss of generality, particles of unit mass and charge, the system is defined by the general Hamiltonian:

$$
H_0 = \frac{\Pi^2}{2} + KV(x) \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - sT),
$$
 (1)

where $\Pi = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}/(2c)$ is the kinetic momentum in a uniform magnetic field \bf{B} along the *z* axis, K is a nonintegrability parameter, $V(x)$ is a general periodic potential, and T is the time period. Let us summarize the relevant properties of the system (1) ; see more details in Secs. [II](#page-1-0) and [III B.](#page-2-0) This system is equivalent to a periodically kicked harmonic oscillator on the

phase plane $(u = \Pi_x/\omega, v = \Pi_y/\omega)$, where $\omega = B/c$ is the cyclotron angular velocity; in terms of *v*, the potential reads $V(x) = V(x_c - v)$ [\[16\]](#page-9-0), where x_c is the *x* coordinate of the cyclotron orbit center and is a constant of the motion. KAM theory is not applicable to this system for small *K* since the harmonic-oscillator Hamiltonian is degenerate, being linear in the action. In fact, at least for some values of ωT , the Poincaré map for the system has no KAM tori and exhibits a global weak chaos on a "stochastic web" over all the phase space for arbitrarily small $\kappa = K/\omega$ [\[13,16\]](#page-9-0); see Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) This web is thus analogous to the Arnol'd web. For special values of x_c such that $V(x_c - v)$ is an *odd* function of *v* (up to an additive constant), as in Fig. $1(b)$, the width of the stochastic web and the diffusion rate on it are much smaller than those for $V(x_c - v)$ with generic values of x_c [\[18,19\]](#page-9-0); compare the diffusion rates in Figs. $1(a)$ and $1(b)$. These rare phenomena, manifestations of what we call *"superweak chaos"* (SWC), were originally discovered in Ref. [\[18\]](#page-9-0) as classical fingerprints of quantum antiresonance; see also Ref. [\[20\]](#page-9-0). SWC is due to the fact that for small κ the system behaves as if the nonintegrability parameter were κ^2 rather than κ [\[18,19\]](#page-9-0).

In this paper we introduce and study the "kicked Hall system" (KHS), i.e., the system (1) with the addition of a uniform electric field**E**perpendicular to both**B**and the kicking direction. We show that for resonant values of*B* and *E*, defined by Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-1-0) below, and for sufficiently small *κ* the Hall effect from **B** and **E** causes SWC, either local or global, to occur for a *generic* family of kicking potentials. This is in contrast with the $E = 0$ case, where SWC occurs under much more restrictive conditions, in particular only for odd potentials in this family. Thus, the Hall effect significantly stabilizes the system by transforming the weak chaos for $E = 0$ into SWC. When the KHS has translational invariance in phase space, global SWC on stochastic webs is shown to emerge under generic conditions while ballistic motion occurs only in some special cases. The generic global SWC on stochastic webs in the KHS, with a much smaller transport rate than that of ordinary weak chaos, appears to be the two-dimensional closest analog to the

FIG. 1. Global stochastic-web diffusion of a 20×20 chaotic ensemble after 120 000 iterations of the one-period map for the system [\(1\)](#page-0-0) [i.e., the map (5) for $\eta = 0$] with $\kappa = K/\omega = 0.6$, $V(x) =$ $-\cos(x) [f(x) = -\sin(x)], \gamma = \omega T = \pi/2$, and two values of the constant of the motion $x_c = x_c^{(0)}$: (a) $x_c = 0$ [the effective potential $V(x_c - v) = -\cos(v)$ is even]; (b) $x_c = \pi/2$ [$V(x_c - v) = -\sin(v)$ is odd]. Clearly, the diffusion in case (b) is significantly slower than that in case (a).

Arnol'd web in higher-dimensional systems. However, while the rate of Arnol'd diffusion decreases exponentially with the nonintegrability parameter [\[10–12\]](#page-9-0), the SWC-diffusion rate is expected to decrease only algebraically with this parameter, as in the case of ordinary weak chaos on stochastic webs [\[14\]](#page-9-0).

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the general KHS in natural coordinates and derive its basic Poincaré map under resonance conditions on B and E . In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we define SWC and derive general conditions for it in both the $E = 0$ case (Sec. [III B\)](#page-2-0) and the $E \neq 0$ case (Sec. III C); these conditions clearly imply that SWC occurs generically for resonant $E \neq 0$. In Sec. [III D](#page-2-0) we show that SWC leads to a decrease of the linear instability of periodic orbits and to a narrowing of the corresponding chaotic layers, relative to the weak-chaos case. In Sec. [IV](#page-3-0) we consider the KHS with translational invariance in phase space, leading to a global SWC on stochastic webs. We provide numerical evidence for the suppression of the chaotic-diffusion rate for $E \neq 0$ relative to the weak-chaos one for $E = 0$. Integrable effective Hamiltonians, giving the skeleton of the stochastic webs, are derived. In Sec. [V](#page-5-0) we show that, in special cases of weak chaos and SWC, ballistic motion occurs for almost all parameter values while stochastic webs emerge only in small parameter intervals. A summary and conclusions are presented in Sec. [VI.](#page-7-0) Several technical details appear in the appendices.

II. THE KICKED HALL SYSTEM (KHS) AND ITS POINCARE MAP ´

A. KHS in natural coordinates

The KHS is defined by adding to [\(1\)](#page-0-0) a uniform electric field **E** in the *y* direction, i.e., perpendicularly to both **B** and *x*:

$$
H = \frac{\Pi^2}{2} - Ey + KV(x) \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - sT),
$$
 (2)

where we recall that unit mass and charge are assumed, without loss of generality. Let us express (2) in the two natural degrees of freedom in a magnetic field [\[21\]](#page-9-0). These are the independent

conjugate pairs (x_c, y_c) (coordinates of the cyclotron-orbit center) and $(u = \Pi_x/\omega, v = \Pi_y/\omega)$, with $\omega = B/c$; here *u* and −*v* are, respectively, the *y* and *x* coordinates of the radius vector of a cyclotron orbit, so that $x = x_c - v$ and $y = y_c + u$. Using these relations and defining the variable $u' = u - E/\omega^2$, which we redenote by u , the Hamiltonian (2) can be expressed as follows:

$$
H = \omega^{2}(u^{2} + v^{2})/2 - Ey_{c} + KV(x_{c} - v) \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - sT),
$$
\n(3)

where an insignificant constant $E^2/(2\omega^2)$ was omitted. As one can easily check, the conjugate pairs above have Poisson brackets $\{y_c, x_c\} = \{u, v\} = 1/\omega$, so that the Hamilton equation for x_c is $\dot{x}_c = -1/(\omega)\partial H/\partial y_c = E/\omega$. Thus, x_c evolves linearly in time (Hall effect):

$$
x_c = x_c^{(0)} + J_{\text{Hall}}t, \quad J_{\text{Hall}} = \frac{E}{\omega},
$$
 (4)

where J_{Hall} is the Hall velocity. Inserting Eq. (4) in Eq. (3), we see that the Hamiltonian (3) for the conjugate pair (u, v) is essentially that of a kicked harmonic oscillator with a time modulated kicking potential $V(x_c^{(0)} + J_{\text{Hall}}t - v)$. For $E = 0$, $J_{\text{Hall}} = 0$ and x_c is a constant of the motion.

B. The Poincare map and its iterates ´

From $\{u, v\} = 1/\omega$, the Hamilton equations for (u, v) are $\dot{u} = (1/\omega)\partial H/\partial v$ and $\dot{v} = -(1/\omega)\partial H/\partial u$, where *H* is given by (3) with (4). Integrating the latter equations from time $t = sT - 0$ to time $t = (s + 1)T - 0$ (*s* integer) and denoting $u_s = u(t = sT - 0)$, $v_s = v(t = sT - 0)$, we easily obtain the one-period Poincaré map for the system:

$$
u_{s+1} = [u_s + \kappa f(x_c^{(0)} + s\eta - v_s)]\cos(\gamma) + v_s \sin(\gamma),
$$

\n
$$
v_{s+1} = -[u_s + \kappa f(x_c^{(0)} + s\eta - v_s)]\sin(\gamma) + v_s \cos(\gamma),
$$
\n(5)

where $\kappa = K/\omega$, $\gamma = \omega T$, $\eta = J_{\text{Hall}}T = ET/\omega$, and $f(x) =$ $-dV/dx$ is the force function. For $E = 0$, with arbitrary constant value of $x_c = x_c^{(0)}$, the map (5) reduces to a generalized version of the Zaslavsky web map [\[13,16\]](#page-9-0).

Defining $z_s = u_s + iv_s$, the map (5) can be written more compactly as

$$
M_{\gamma,\eta}: z_{s+1} = [z_s + \kappa f(x_c^{(0)} + s\eta - v_s)]e^{-i\gamma}.
$$
 (6)

After *s* iterations of (6) starting from $z = z_0$, we get

$$
M_{\gamma,\eta,s}: z_s = \left[z_0 + \kappa \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} f(x_c^{(0)} + j\eta - v_j) e^{ij\gamma} \right] e^{-isy}.
$$
\n(7)

We choose length units such that the period of $V(x)$ is 2π and assume hereafter on rational values of $\gamma/(2\pi)$ and $\eta/(2\pi)$:

$$
\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} = \frac{m}{n}, \quad \frac{\eta}{2\pi} = \frac{k}{l},\tag{8}
$$

where (m,n) and (k,l) are two pairs of coprime integers. Due to the 2π -periodicity of both exp($-i\gamma$) and $f(x)$ in Eq. (6), Eqs. (8) are resonant conditions on γ and η : After the minimal number $s = r$ of iterations, where $r = \text{lcm}(n,l)$ is the least common multiple of *n* and *l*, one has $r\gamma$ mod(2π) = *rη* mod(2π) = 0 and all the multiples of γ and *η* modulo 2π will appear in the map [\(7\)](#page-1-0). In this sense, the map $M_{\gamma,\eta,s}$ "closes" after not fewer than $s = r$ iterations, so that $M_{\nu,n,r}$ may be considered as the basic map of the system under the conditions [\(8\)](#page-1-0):

$$
M_{\gamma,\eta,r}: z_r = z_0 + \kappa \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} f(x_c^{(0)} + j\eta - v_j) e^{ij\gamma},
$$

\n
$$
r = \text{lcm}(n,l). \tag{9}
$$

The importance of the map (9) is, in particular, that points of a periodic orbit of [\(5\)](#page-1-0) are generally fixed points of some iterate of *Mγ,η,r*.

III. SUPERWEAK CHAOS (SWC)

A. General

One can easily see that the map [\(5\)](#page-1-0) for $n = 1,2$ ($\gamma = 0,\pi$) is integrable even for irrational $\eta/(2\pi)$. Thus, chaos can emerge only for $n > 2$. We then say that the map (9) for $n > 2$ and small $\kappa \ll 1$ exhibits SWC if its expansion in powers of κ starts from κ^2 :

$$
M_{\gamma,\eta,r}: z_r = z_0 + O(\kappa^2). \tag{10}
$$

This is unlike ordinary weak chaos, with $z_r = z_0 + O(\kappa)$.

In Secs. III B and III C, we shall examine the validity of Eq. (10) for the general family of 2π -periodic potentials with finite Fourier expansion,

$$
V(x) = \sum_{g=-N}^{N} V_g \exp(igx), \ V_0 = 0,
$$
 (11)

for both $E = 0$ and $E \neq 0$. In Sec. III D, we show that SWC leads to a decrease of the linear instability of periodic orbits of the map [\(5\)](#page-1-0) and to a narrowing of the corresponding chaotic layers, relative to the weak-chaos case.

B. SWC for $E = 0$

We consider here the case of $E = 0$ or $\eta = 0$ in a framework more general than in previous works [$18,19$]. For $\eta = 0$, $x_c =$ $x_c^{(0)}$ (constant) and $k/l = 0/1$ in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-0), so that $r = n$ in Eq. (9). We then show that Eq. (10) holds only if *n* is *even* and the potential $V(x_c - v)$ [with $V(x)$ given by Eq. (11)] is *odd*: $V(x_c + v) = -V(x_c - v).$

Let us calculate the first-order term in the expansion of the map (9) in powers of κ and determine under which conditions this term vanishes. To this end, it is sufficient to calculate v_j in Eq. (9) to zero order in κ . From Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-0) one has, to this order, $z_s = z_0 \exp(-is\gamma)$. Using the latter relation together with Eq. (11) and $f(x) = -\frac{dV}{dx}$, we get Eq. (9) (with $r =$ *n*) to first order in *κ*:

$$
z_n = z_0 - i\kappa \sum_{g=-N}^{N} g V_g \exp(i g x_c) S_{n,g}(u_0, v_0), \qquad (12)
$$

$$
S_{n,g}(u_0, v_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i j m/n} \exp\{ig[u_0 \sin(2\pi j m/n) - v_0 \cos(2\pi j m/n)]\}.
$$
 (13)

Equation (10) will hold provided the coefficient of κ in Eq. (12) vanishes for all (u_0, v_0) . This is the case only if $gV_g \exp(i g x_c) S_{n,g}(u_0, v_0)$ is an odd function of *g* for all (u_0, v_0) . This means that $V_g \exp(i g x_c)$ and $S_{n,g}(u_0, v_0)$ are either both even or both odd functions of *g*. From Eq. (13), we see that $S_{n,g}(u_0, v_0)$ has a definite parity under $g \to -g$ for all (u_0, v_0) only if *n* is even and then

$$
S_{n,g}(u_0, v_0) = 2i \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} e^{2\pi i j m/n} \sin[g u_0 \sin(2\pi j m/n) - g v_0 \cos(2\pi j m/n)],
$$

an odd function of *g*. Thus, $V_g \exp(i g x_c)$ must be also odd in *g*, implying $V(x_c + v) = -V(x_c - v)$.

C. Generic SWC for $E \neq 0$

Given arbitrary rational values of $\gamma/(2\pi) = m/n$ and $\eta/(2\pi) = k/l \neq 0 \ (E \neq 0)$ in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-0), let us write $n/l = n'/l'$, where (n', l') are coprime integers. Consider the family of potentials (11) with any given number 2*N* of harmonics. We then show here that if

$$
l' > N, \tag{14}
$$

SWC occurs for *arbitrary* potential (11) and initial value $x_c^{(0)}$ in Eq. (9), irrespectively of the parity of *n*. This is in contrast with the $E = 0$ case for which SWC can occur only if *n* is even and only if the potential (11) and the constant x_c are such that $V(x_c + v)$ is an odd function of *v* (see Sec. III B).

To show this, we first note that since $r = \text{lcm}(n,l)$ one has $r = l'n$. Let us write in Eq. (9) $j = bn + w$, where $b =$ $0, \ldots, l' - 1$ and $w = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Then, to zero order in κ , $z_i = z_0 \exp(-i j \gamma) = z_w \text{ since } \gamma = 2\pi m/n; \text{ thus, } v_i = v_w \text{ to }$ this order. Using all this in Eq. (9), we obtain, to first order in *κ*:

$$
z_r = z_0 - i\kappa \sum_{w=0}^{n-1} e^{i w \gamma} \sum_{g=-N}^{N} g V_g \exp \left[i g (x_c^{(0)} + w \eta - v_w) \right]
$$

$$
\times \sum_{b=0}^{l'-1} \exp(ig b n \eta).
$$
 (15)

The second line in Eq. (15) is a geometric series which vanishes since $gl'nn = 2\pi g n'k$ and $gn\eta = 2\pi g n'k/l'$ is never an integer multiple of 2π because of condition (14). Thus, the coefficient of κ in Eq. (15) is identically zero, implying the SWC condition (10).

As an example, Fig. [2](#page-3-0) shows cases of $\gamma = 2\pi/3$, i.e., *n* = 3, for $\eta = 0$ [Fig. [2\(a\)\]](#page-3-0) and $\eta = \pi$, i.e., $k/l = 1/2$ [Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-3-0). Since *n* is odd, the case in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-3-0) is one of ordinary weak chaos (see Sec. III B). On the other hand, in the case of Fig. [2\(b\),](#page-3-0) with $n = 3$ and $l = 2$, $l' = 2$ and the SWC condition (14) is thus satisfied for the potential $V(x) = -\cos(x)$ ($N = 1$). Indeed, the diffusion rate in this case is clearly slower than that in Fig. $2(a)$.

D. SWC, linear instability, and chaotic layers

Equation (10) for SWC has straightforward implications for the linear instability of periodic orbits of the map [\(5\)](#page-1-0).

FIG. 2. Similar to Fig. [1](#page-1-0) with the only differences that the number of iterations is 90 000, $κ = 0.415$, $γ = 2π/3$, and, in (b), $η = π$ and $x_c^{(0)} = 0$. Case (b) is a simple example of SWC for $\eta \neq 0$, when x_c is not a constant of the motion. In case (a), $\eta = 0$ and $x_c = x_c^{(0)} = 0$, similarly to Fig. $1(a)$.

Each point of such an orbit must generally be a fixed point of some iterate *s* of *Mγ,η,r*. A hyperbolic fixed point of $M_{\gamma,\eta,r}^s$ is characterized by its Lyapunov multiplier λ , i.e., the larger eigenvalue (>1) of the linear-stability matrix $DM_{\gamma,\eta,r}^s$ evaluated at the point. This matrix has unit determinant since $M_{\gamma,\eta,r}^s$ is area preserving. Using this fact and Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-0), it is easy to show that $\text{Tr}(DM_{\gamma,\eta,r}^s) = 2 + O(\kappa^4)$, so that $\lambda =$ $1 + O(\kappa^4)$. Thus, the fixed point is significantly less unstable than in the case of ordinary weak chaos [with $z_r = z_0 + O(\kappa)$], where one has $\lambda = 1 + O(\kappa^2)$. As a consequence, a SWC layer emanating from an unstable fixed point should be narrower than an ordinary weak-chaos layer.

This is illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4. The case in Fig. 3, corresponding to the $E = 0$ webs in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) was analytically studied in Ref. [\[19\]](#page-9-0), and the following nonrigorous

FIG. 3. Chaotic layers around hyperbolic points of the webs in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The large chaotic region (black dots) is the layer for the case in Fig. $1(a)$ ($x_c = 0$). The two crossing lines correspond to the much narrower SWC layer for the case in Fig. $1(b)$ ($x_c = \pi/2$). Only after a significant zoom does this chaotic layer become visible (see inset). For the sake of comparison, the hyperbolic point in the latter case was shifted so as to coincide with that in the former case.

FIG. 4. Similar to Fig. 3 but for the webs in Fig. 2. The SWC chaotic layer [red (dark gray) region], corresponding to Fig. $2(b)$, is again narrower than the ordinary weak-chaos one (black region) for the case in Fig. $2(a)$.

estimates of the chaotic-layer width Δ for $\kappa \ll 1$ were derived: $\Delta_0 = (16\pi^3/\kappa) \exp(-\pi^2/\kappa)$ for $x_c = 0$ (weak chaos) and $\Delta_{\pi/2} = (4\pi^3/\kappa^3) \exp(-\pi^2/\kappa^2)$ for $x_c = \pi/2$ (SWC). The main dependence on κ in these formulas is consistent with the fact that the effective kick strength for SWC is κ^2 rather than *κ*; thus, the ratio $\Delta_{\pi/2}/\Delta_0 \rightarrow 0$ in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, as expected. Due to computational limitations, we could not check numerically these formulas for sufficiently small $\kappa \ll 1$. Our accurate numerical results in Fig. 3 clearly show that the actual value of $\Delta_{\pi/2}/\Delta_0$ for $\kappa = 0.6$ is already very small, in fact much smaller than its estimate from the formulas above.

The case in Fig. 4 corresponds to the webs in Fig. 2 for $E \neq 0$. We see that the SWC layer [red (dark gray) region] is again narrower than the ordinary weak-chaos one (black region). Due to computational limitations, we were not able to get similar accurate plots for smaller values of *κ* for which the SWC layer is expected to be much narrower than the ordinary weak-chaos one.

IV. TRANSLATIONAL INVARIANCE, STOCHASTIC WEBS, AND EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIANS

We now assume that the basic map (9) has translational invariance in the (u, v) phase space. As shown in Appendix A , this is the case only for the following values of n in Eq. (8) : $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$. As already mentioned in Sec. [III A,](#page-2-0) the map [\(9\)](#page-2-0) for $n = 1,2$ is integrable. For $n = 3,4,6$, the map may exhibit chaos emanating from hyperbolic fixed points. Then the translational invariance implies the existence of an entire lattice of such points. Heteroclinic intersections of the stable and unstable manifolds of neighboring points on this lattice may generate global chaos on a stochastic web with triangular $(n = 3)$, square $(n = 4)$, or hexagonal $(n = 6)$ symmetry. Examples of both weak chaos and SWC on stochastic webs for $n = 4$ and $n = 3$ are shown in Figs. [1](#page-1-0) and 2, respectively. Other examples of SWC webs for $n = 4$ are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-4-0)

FIG. 5. SWC stochastic webs (within the phase-space unit cell of periodicity) generated by iterating a 20×20 chaotic ensemble with the map [\(5\)](#page-1-0) for $\kappa = 0.1$, $f(x) = -\sin(x)$, $\gamma = \pi/2$ ($n = 4$), and (a) $\eta = 0$, $x_c^{(0)} = \pi/2$; (b) $\eta/(2\pi) = 2/3$, $x_c^{(0)} = 0$; (c) $\eta/(2\pi) = 3/5$, $x_c^{(0)} = 0$; (d) $\eta/(2\pi) = 8/13$, $x_c^{(0)} = 0$. The values of $\eta/(2\pi)$ in (b)– (d) correspond to rational approximants of the golden-mean inverse (5 − 1)*/*2. The plot for the 5*/*8 approximant is not displayed. It corresponds to a nongeneric case, see Sec. V B. The number of iterations is 120 000 in (a) and 40 000 in (b)–(d).

Hereafter we shall restrict ourselves to the case of $n = 4$ with the standard potential $V(x) = -\cos(x)$, i.e., $N = 1$ in Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-0). Then the SWC condition [\(14\)](#page-2-0) for $E \neq 0$ is

$$
l' > 1,\tag{16}
$$

where *l'* is defined by $4/l = n'/l'$, with (n',l') coprime integers. The basic map [\(9\)](#page-2-0) reduces in our case to

$$
M_{\gamma,\eta,r}: z_r = z_0 - \kappa \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sin \left(x_c^{(0)} + j\eta - v_j \right) e^{ij\pi/2}, \quad (17)
$$

where $r = \text{lcm}(4, l) = 4l'$, and, among the two values of $\gamma =$ *π*/2 or $3\pi/2$ for *n* = 4, we choose $\gamma = \pi/2$ without loss of generality (see note $[22]$). SWC for the map (17) is generic under the condition (16) in the sense that Eq. (10) holds for arbitrary value of $x_c^{(0)}$. Also, a SWC stochastic web will emerge for all $l' > 2$ and for all $x_c^{(0)}$ (see Sec. [IV B\)](#page-5-0); examples of such generic SWC webs are given in Figs. 5(b)–5(d). In Sec. IV A we present numerical results for the global SWC diffusion on stochastic webs. In Sec. [IV B](#page-5-0) we derive effective Hamiltonians giving the SWC web skeleton for $l' > 2$.

A. Numerical results for global SWC diffusion

Global chaos on stochastic webs under the basic map (17) is illustrated in Figs. [1](#page-1-0) and 5 for different values of $\eta/(2\pi)$. One expects this chaotic motion to exhibit a normal or anomalous diffusive behavior:

$$
\langle |z_{rs} - z_0|^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \approx 2Ds^{\mu}, \tag{18}
$$

FIG. 6. Diffusive behavior (18) of a 100×100 chaotic ensemble after *s* iterations of the map (17) for $\kappa = 0.1$, maximal time interval $rs_{\text{max}} = 1.56 \times 10^7$, and different values of η and $x_c^{(0)}$ specified in the legend in order of descending curves. One has $r = 4$, $s_{\text{max}} =$ 3.9 × 10⁶ for $\eta = 0$; $r = 12$, $s_{\text{max}} = 1.3 \times 10^6$ for $\eta/(2\pi) = 2/3$; $r = 20$, $s_{\text{max}} = 7.8 \times 10^5$ for $\eta/(2\pi) = 3/5$.

where $\langle \ \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ denotes average over an ensemble \mathcal{E} of initial conditions $z_0 = u_0 + iv_0$ within the chaotic layer, $r = 4l'$, *D* is the diffusion coefficient, and μ is the diffusion exponent; $\mu = 1$ ($\mu \neq 1$) corresponds to normal (anomalous) diffusion. Figure 6 shows $\langle |z_{rs} - z_0|^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ versus *rs* at fixed *r* for $\kappa =$ 0.1 and different values of η and $x_c^{(0)}$. Clearly, the SWC diffusion for $\eta = 0$ and $x_c^{(0)} = \pi/2$ or for $\eta \neq 0$ and $l' > 1$ is significantly suppressed relative to the weak-chaos one for $\eta =$ 0 and $x_c^{(0)} = 0$. The results in Fig. 6 appear in Fig. 7 as log-log plots, showing that within the large time interval considered

FIG. 7. Log-log plots of the curves in Fig. 6. The slope of each plot is approximately the anomalous diffusion exponent μ (given in the legend) over the time interval considered.

FIG. 8. Log-log plots of the diffusive behavior [\(18\)](#page-4-0) of a 100 \times 100 chaotic ensemble after *s* iterations of the map [\(17\)](#page-4-0) for $\eta = 0$ ($r =$ 4), maximal time interval $4s_{\text{max}} = 1.56 \times 10^7$, $x_c^{(0)} = 0$, and different values of *κ* specified in the legend in order of descending curves. The anomalous diffusion exponent μ given in the legend is the slope of the corresponding curve near $s = s_{\text{max}}$. For $\kappa > 0.2$, the slope appears to increase toward an asymptotic value of $\mu = 1$, indicating a transition to normal diffusion at sufficiently large times.

the diffusion is anomalous, i.e., it is a subdiffusion $(\mu < 1)$ with μ ranging between ≈ 0.5 and ≈ 0.6 . Such a subdiffusion is theoretically expected [\[15\]](#page-9-0) to be a transient behavior followed, at sufficiently large times, by normal diffusion ($\mu = 1$). We were able to observe a transition to normal diffusion only for κ > 0.2. Examples of such a transition are shown in Fig. 8.

B. Integrable effective Hamiltonians for SWC on stochastic webs

We show here that for sufficiently small κ , i.e., $r\kappa \ll 1$ ($r =$ 4*l*), the basic map [\(17\)](#page-4-0) can be approximately replaced by the Hamilton equations with an integrable effective Hamiltonian *H*_e. Considering $r \kappa$ as a small time step Δt , we replace (u_r − u_0 / $(r\kappa)$ and $(v_r - v_0)$ / $(r\kappa)$ by the time derivative *u* and *v*, respectively. We then write the real and imaginary parts of Eq. [\(17\)](#page-4-0) as approximate Hamilton equations

$$
\dot{u} \approx \left. \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial v} \right|_{u_0, v_0} = \Re(F), \quad \dot{v} \approx -\left. \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial u} \right|_{u_0, v_0} = \Im(F), \quad (19)
$$

where

$$
F = -\frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sin \left(x_c^{(0)} + j\eta - v_j \right) e^{ij\pi/2}.
$$
 (20)

In the SWC case of $l' > 1$ [Eq. [\(16\)](#page-4-0)], *F* must be of order $O(\kappa)$ because of Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-0). Indeed, after a lengthy but straightforward calculation given in Appendix \bf{B} , we find that the leading-order term of *F* in a power expansion in κ is, for $l' > 2$,

$$
F = \frac{\kappa}{8 \cos(\eta)} [\cos(u_0) \sin(v_0) - i \sin(u_0) \cos(v_0)], \quad (21)
$$

independent of $x_c^{(0)}$. For $l' = 2$, on the other hand, we show in Appendix **[B](#page-8-0)** that *F* depends on $x_c^{(0)}$. The implications of this dependence are studied in detail in Sec. [V B.](#page-6-0) Here we consider the more general case of $l' > 2$, with *F* given by Eq. (21). Using Eq. (21) in Eqs. (19) , we obtain the leading-order term of the SWC effective Hamiltonian for $l' > 2$, up to an additive constant:

$$
H_{\rm e} = -\frac{\kappa}{8\cos(\eta)}\cos(u_0)\cos(v_0). \tag{22}
$$

The integrable Hamiltonian (22) gives approximations to the orbits of the map [\(17\)](#page-4-0) as "level sets" $H_e = C$ for constant "energy" *C*, $|C| \le \kappa/[8 \cos(\eta)]$. As shown in Appendix *C*, a stochastic web must correspond to the level set $H_e = 0$. From Eq. (22), this level set is the union of the straight lines $u_0 = \pi/2 + a_1\pi$ and $v_0 = \pi/2 + a_2\pi$, for all integers (a_1, a_2). This set, a grid with a $\pi \times \pi$ unit cell, gives the integrable skeleton of the stochastic web in the limit of $\kappa \to 0$. Stochastic webs close to this skeleton are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-4-0) The case of $\eta = 0$ and $x_c^{(0)} = \pi/2$ in Fig. [5\(a\)](#page-4-0) also exhibits this skeleton; see Ref. [\[19\]](#page-9-0).

V. BALLISTIC MOTION IN WEAK-CHAOS AND SWC CASES

In Sec. IV B we derived effective Hamiltonians for the basic map (17) in the generic SWC case of $l' > 2$, where a stochastic web always exists for *all* $x_c^{(0)}$. Here we consider the exceptional cases of $l' = 1$ [ordinary weak chaos, since the SWC condition [\(16\)](#page-4-0) is not satisfied] and $l' = 2$ (SWC). We show that in these cases ballistic motion arises for almost all values of $x_c^{(0)}$ while stochastic webs emerge only in very small intervals of $x_c^{(0)}$.

A. Weak-chaos case of $l' = 1$

Among the different values of η corresponding to $l' = 1$, it is sufficient to consider $\eta = \pi/2$, without loss of generality (see note [\[23\]](#page-9-0)). To derive an effective Hamiltonian in this case, let us first calculate the map [\(17\)](#page-4-0) for $l' = 1$ and $\eta = \pi/2$ to first order in κ ; to this end, it is sufficient to write v_i to zero order in κ : $v_1 = -u_0$, $v_2 = -v_0$, and $v_3 = u_0$ [from Eqs. [\(5\)](#page-1-0) for $\kappa = 0$]. Then, proceeding as in Sec. IV B, we find that Eqs. (19) are satisfied with $F = (z_4 - z_0)/(4\kappa) \approx \dot{z}$ explicitly given by

$$
F = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sin \left(x_{\text{c}}^{(0)} \right) \cos(v_0) + i \cos \left(x_{\text{c}}^{(0)} \right) \cos(u_0) \right] + O(\kappa). \tag{23}
$$

Using Eq. (23) in Eqs. (19) and integrating, we get the leadingorder term of *H*^e up to an additive constant:

$$
H_{\rm e} = \frac{1}{2} \big[\cos \big(x_{\rm c}^{(0)} \big) \sin(u_0) - \sin \big(x_{\rm c}^{(0)} \big) \sin(v_0) \big]. \tag{24}
$$

For a general level set $H_e = C$, we obtain from Eq. (24):

$$
\sin(u_0) = \tan\left(x_c^{(0)}\right)\sin(v_0) + 2C/\cos\left(x_c^{(0)}\right). \tag{25}
$$

We see from Eq. (25) that for $|\tan(x_c^{(0)})| < 1$ and any *C*, u_0 will cover only part of the interval $[0,2\pi)$ when v_0 varies in this interval. This case corresponds to ballistic motion in the *v* direction, i.e., v_s increases, on the average, linearly in "time" *s*; see, e.g., Fig. [9\(a\).](#page-6-0) For $|\tan(x_c^{(0)})| > 1$ and any *C*, *v*₀ will cover only part of $[0, 2\pi)$ when u_0 varies in $[0, 2\pi)$. This case

FIG. 9. Orbits of the map [\(17\)](#page-4-0) within the phase-space unit cell of periodicity for $\kappa = 0.1$, $\eta = \pi/2$, and two values of $x_c^{(0)}$: (a) $x_c^{(0)} =$ $\pi/8$, corresponding to ballistic orbits in the *v* direction; (b) $x_c^{(0)} =$ $\pi/4$, the critical value of $x_c^{(0)}$ for the emergence of a stochastic web.

corresponds to ballistic motion in the *u* direction. Only in the case of $|\tan(x_c^{(0)})| = 1$ and $C = 0$, both u_0 and v_0 will cover all the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. As shown in Appendix [C,](#page-8-0) this is the only case where a stochastic web can emerge in the framework of the effective Hamiltonian [\(24\)](#page-5-0). An example of stochastic web for the critical value of $x_c^{(0)} = \pi/4$ [tan($x_c^{(0)} = 1$] is shown in Fig. 9(b). It agrees very well with the web skeleton which, from Eq. [\(24\)](#page-5-0) with $H_e = 0$ and $x_c^{(0)} = \pi/4$, is the union of the lines $u_0 - v_0 = 2a_1\pi$ and $u_0 + v_0 = (2a_2 + 1)\pi$ for all integers (a_1, a_2) . Because of the effective-Hamiltonian approximation and the small but finite width of the chaotic layer, a stochastic web will actually exist in small intervals of $x_c^{(0)}$ around the critical values of $x_c^{(0)}$ satisfying $|\tan(x_c^{(0)})| = 1$.

B. SWC case of $l' = 2$

By considerations similar to those in note [\[23\]](#page-9-0), we find that among the different values of η corresponding to $l' = 2$, it is sufficient to consider $\eta = \pi/4$ and $\eta = 3\pi/4$, without loss of generality. We shall restrict ourselves here to the case of $\eta =$ $\pi/4$ since the case of $\eta = 3\pi/4$ can be treated in a very similar way. The expression [\(20\)](#page-5-0) for $l' = 2$ and $\eta = \pi/4$ is calculated in Appendix **[B](#page-8-0)** to first order in κ and is given by Eq. [\(B14\)](#page-8-0) there. Using this expression in Eqs. [\(19\)](#page-5-0) and integrating, we get the leading-order term of H_e up to an additive constant:

$$
H_{e} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa}{8} \left[\cos(u_{0}) \cos(v_{0}) + \cos(2x_{c}^{(0)}) \sin(u_{0}) \cos(v_{0}) - \sin(2x_{c}^{(0)}) \cos(u_{0}) \sin(v_{0}) \right].
$$
 (26)

We show in Appendix C that a stochastic web must again correspond to the level set $H_e = 0$ of Eq. (26). To determine the critical values of $x_c^{(0)}$ for this web, let us write Eq. (26) for $H_e = 0$ as follows:

$$
1 + \cos(2x_c^{(0)})\tan(u_0) = \sin(2x_c^{(0)})\tan(v_0),\qquad(27)
$$

where we assumed that both $cos(2x_c^{(0)})$ and $sin(2x_c^{(0)})$ are nonzero, i.e., $x_c^{(0)} \neq a\pi/4$ for integer *a*. Then, by Eq. (27), v_0 is a monotonically increasing function of u_0 in the interval $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. This corresponds to "diagonal" ballistic orbits for which both u_s and v_s increase, on the average, linearly in "time" *s* [unlike the "horizontal" or "vertical" ballistic orbits in the case of Sec. [V A,](#page-5-0) see Fig. $9(a)$]. Consider now the special

TABLE I. Straight lines defining the web skeleton for $\eta = \pi/4$.

$x_c^{(0)} \mod (\pi)$	$u_0 \mod(\pi)$	$v_0 \mod(\pi)$
Ω	$3\pi/4$	$\pi/2$
$\pi/4$	$\pi/2$	$\pi/4$
$\pi/2$	$\pi/4$	$\pi/2$
$3\pi/4$	$\pi/2$	$3\pi/4$

values above of $x_c^{(0)}$, $x_c^{(0)} = a\pi/4$, *a* integer. For example, in the case of $x_c^{(0)} = \pi/4$, Eq. (26) for $H_e = 0$ gives

$$
\cos(u_0)[\cos(v_0) - \sin(v_0)] = 0
$$

with solutions $u_0 = \pi/2 + a_1\pi$ and $v_0 = \pi/4 + a_2\pi$ for all integers (a_1, a_2) . These vertical and horizontal lines define a web skeleton similar to that in the generic SWC case of $l' > 2$ in Sec. [IV B.](#page-5-0) The positions of the lines modulo π for the four values of $x_c^{(0)} = a\pi/4$ modulo π are summarized in Table I.

C. Transition from ballistic motion to a stochastic web

As shown above, the exceptional cases of $l' = 1,2$ feature ballistic motion for almost all $x_c^{(0)}$ and stochastic webs in small intervals of $x_c^{(0)}$ around critical values $x_c^{(0)} = x_{cc}^{(0)}$. As $x_c^{(0)}$ approaches some value $x_{cc}^{(0)}$, ballistic motion should change gradually to transport not faster than chaotic diffusion on the web. Therefore, the average ballistic velocity is expected to vanish as $x_c^{(0)} \rightarrow x_{cc}^{(0)}$. Defining the average velocity in, say, the *v* direction by

$$
I_v \equiv \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{rs} \langle |v_{rs} - v_0| \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}, \tag{28}
$$

for some suitable ensemble $\mathcal E$ of initial conditions, our numerical results indicate that I_v vanishes almost linearly as $x_{c}^{(0)} \rightarrow x_{c}^{(0)}$. See, e.g., Fig. 10 for the case in Fig. 9, with $x_{\rm cc}^{(0)} = \pi/4.$

FIG. 10. The average velocity (28) versus $x_c^{(0)}$ for the case in Fig. 9 [$\kappa = 0.1, \eta = \pi/2$ ($r = 4$), and $x_{\rm cc}^{(0)} = \pi/4$]; I_v was calculated using Eq. (28) with $s = 30000$ and an ensemble \mathcal{E} of 20 \times 20 initial conditions in the $2\pi \times 2\pi$ unit cell of periodicity.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have introduced a realistic non-KAM Hamiltonian system, the KHS defined by Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) or by Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) and [\(4\)](#page-1-0), and we have performed a first study of its classical dynamics and transport in the weak-chaos regime of small nonintegrability parameter $\kappa = K/\omega$. We have shown that the classical Hall effect from the perpendicular magnetic (**B**) and electric (**E**) fields is relatively stabilizing: Under resonance conditions [\(8\)](#page-1-0), it induces a suppression of the weak chaos into superweak chaos (SWC) [defined by Eq. (10)] for a generic family of periodic kicking potentials [\(11\)](#page-2-0). The SWC, either local or global, manifests itself in a decrease of the instability of periodic orbits and in a narrowing of the corresponding chaotic layers relative to the ordinary weak-chaos case; see Figs. [3](#page-3-0) and [4.](#page-3-0) Also, for global SWC on stochastic webs, the chaotic diffusion on the web is much slower than the weak-chaos one; see Figs. [6](#page-4-0) and [7.](#page-4-0) This global SWC was studied in detail in Secs. [IV](#page-3-0) and [V](#page-5-0) in the case of $\gamma = \pi/2$ and the standard potential $V(x) = -\cos(x)$. We have shown that global SWC on stochastic webs is a generic phenomenon, occurring for all $l' > 2$ [where *l'* is defined by $4/l = n/l'$ with (n, l') coprime integers] and for all initial values $x_c^{(0)}$ of x_c . In the special cases of $l' = 1$ and $l' = 2$, one has, respectively, weak-chaos and SWC ballistic motion for almost all values of $x_c^{(0)}$. A transition from ballistic motion to stochastic-web diffusion occurs when $x_c^{(0)}$ approaches some critical values $x_{\rm cc}^{(0)}$. The results in Secs. [IV](#page-3-0) and [V](#page-5-0) may be generalized to arbitrary potential [\(11\)](#page-2-0).

The relatively slow SWC diffusion on stochastic webs in the area-preserving map (5) is apparently the two-dimensional closest analog to the Arnol'd web diffusion in higher dimensional systems. However, while the rate of the latter diffusion decreases exponentially with the nonintegrability parameter [\[10–12\]](#page-9-0), the SWC-diffusion rate is expected to decrease only algebraically with this parameter.

Maps for kicked systems are usually derived by integrating over the delta functions in time in Hamilton equations. The map variables cannot be defined precisely at the kicks (the delta functions) but only in an infinitesimal vicinity of them, e.g, at the times $sT - 0$ in the map [\(5\)](#page-1-0). By considering the periodic delta function in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) as a limit of a continuous periodic function with a broad spectrum and by using a method of canonical transformation of variables [\[24\]](#page-9-0), one can construct a "canonical" web map $[25]$ for the system (1) (without electric field, $\eta = 0$) with variables defined precisely at the kicking times *sT* . As one could expect, this map was found to differ significantly from the ordinary web map [Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-0) for $\eta = 0$] defined at $t = sT - 0$. In particular, the stochastic-web width for the canonical web map can be much smaller than that for the ordinary one. It would be interesting to investigate to what extent this phenomenon is similar to the SWC, as defined in Sec. [III A,](#page-2-0) and to study the KHS ($\eta \neq 0$) using the canonicalmap approach. It was also found [\[25\]](#page-9-0) that the stochastic-web width for the ordinary web map $(n = 0)$ can be quite small for $n = 3$ and kicking potential $V(x) = -\cos(x)$. Since this phenomenon occurs for odd n and even $V(x)$, opposite to the SWC conditions for $\eta = 0$ in Sec. [III B,](#page-2-0) it cannot be identified with SWC and therefore needs a separate detailed study.

The results in this paper should form the basis for the study of quantum-chaos phenomena in the quantized KHS. It is known $[18,20]$ that a quantum manifestation of SWC for $E = 0$ [system (1)] is quantum antiresonance; i.e., the evolution operator for some values of a scaled Planck constant is identically a phase factor, so that no wave-packet moves. Since SWC for $E = 0$ is rare, i.e., it occurs under nongeneric conditions (see Secs. [I](#page-0-0) and III B), quantum antiresonance for $E = 0$ is a rare phenomenon as well. For $E \neq 0$, on the other hand, quantum antiresonance is expected to emerge under generic conditions similar to those for SWC in Sec. [III C.](#page-2-0) The validity of this expectation and other phenomena in the quantized KHS are planned to be investigated in future works. Finally, we remark that since the KHS is essentially equivalent to a modulated kicked harmonic oscillator [see Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) with Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0)], the quantized KHS should be experimentally realizable using atom-optics methods like the ordinary quantum kicked harmonic oscillator [\[26\]](#page-9-0).

APPENDIX A

Consider a lattice $a_1 Z_1 + a_2 Z_2$ in the complex plane, where (a_1, a_2) are all integers and (Z_1, Z_2) are basic lattice vectors. We show here that the map (9) , for all κ , is invariant in *z* under translations on this lattice only if $n = 1,2,3,4,6$ in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-0). Since the sum in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0) involves all the iterates from $j = 0$ to $j = r - 1$, this invariance is generally possible only if the lattice $a_1Z_1 + a_2Z_2$ is invariant under the one-iteration map [\(6\)](#page-1-0). Now, in the limit of $\kappa \to 0$, the map (6) and its inverse are just rotations $\exp(\pm i\gamma)$ by angles $\pm \gamma$. The invariance of $a_1Z_1 + a_2Z_2$ under exp($\pm i\gamma$) implies, in particular, that the vector

$$
(e^{i\gamma} + e^{-i\gamma})Z_1 = 2\cos(\gamma)Z_1 \tag{A1}
$$

belongs to the lattice above. Therefore, one must have $2\cos(\gamma) = a_1$ (integer). The only solutions of the latter equation are precisely the values of γ in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-0) with $n =$ 1*,*2*,*3*,*4*,*6.

We also determine explicitly here basic lattice vectors Z_1 and Z_2 for the values above of *n*. Since the function $f(x)$ in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-0) is 2π -periodic, it is easy to see that for $n = 1,2$ $(\gamma = 0, \pi)$ one can choose Z_1 to be an arbitrary real number and $Z_2 = 2\pi i$; for $n = 4$ ($\gamma = \pi/2, 3\pi/4$), one can choose $Z_1 = 2\pi$ and $Z_2 = 2\pi i$. In the triangular case of $n = 3$ (e.g., $\gamma = 2\pi/3$, let us write $Z_{1,2} = \bar{u} + i\bar{v}$. Then, the invariance of the second equation of the map (5) under a translation by *Z*1*,*² implies that

$$
\bar{v} = 2\pi a, \ (\sqrt{3}\bar{u} + \bar{v})/2 = 2\pi \bar{a},
$$
\n(A2)

where (a,\bar{a}) are integers. Two independent pairs of minimal values (a,\bar{a}) are $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$. The corresponding pairs (\bar{u},\bar{v}) values (*a*, *a*) are (1,0) and (0,1). The corresponding pairs (*u*, *v*) from Eq. (A2) give the basic lattice vectors $Z_1 = 2\pi(1/\sqrt{3} +$ *i*) and $Z_2 = 4\pi/\sqrt{3}$, both of length $4\pi/\sqrt{3}$ and defining a u) and $Z_2 = 4\pi/\sqrt{3}$, both of length $4\pi/\sqrt{3}$ and defining a unit cell of area $8\pi^2/\sqrt{3}$. Similar results are obtained in the hexagonal case of $n = 6$.

APPENDIX B

We calculate here *F* in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-5-0) to first order in κ under the SWC condition $l' > 1$. In particular, the expression [\(21\)](#page-5-0) for l' > 2 is derived.

Since $r = 4l'$, we write in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-5-0) $j = 4b + w$, where $b = 0, \ldots, l' - 1$ and $w = 0, \ldots, 3$:

$$
F = -\frac{1}{r} \sum_{w=0}^{3} e^{iw\pi/2} \sum_{b=0}^{l'-1} \sin \left[x_c^{(0)} + (4b+w)\eta - v_{4b+w} \right].
$$
\n(B1)

Let us calculate v_{4b+w} in Eq. (B1) to first order in κ . The map [\(5\)](#page-1-0) for $f(x) = -\sin(x)$ and $\gamma = \pi/2$ is

$$
u_{s+1} = v_s, \quad v_{s+1} = -u_s + \kappa \sin \left(x_c^{(0)} + s\eta - v_s \right). \tag{B2}
$$

By iterating Eqs. $(B2)$, we find that

$$
v_{s+4} = v_s + \kappa \sin \left[x_c^{(0)} + (s+3)\eta - u_{s+4} \right] - \kappa \sin \left[x_c^{(0)} + (s+1)\eta - u_{s+2} \right].
$$
 (B3)

To get v_{s+4} to first order in κ , it is sufficient to write u_{s+2} and u_{s+4} in Eq. (B3) to zero order in κ by using Eqs. (B2) with $\kappa = 0$: $u_{s+2} = -u_s$, $u_{s+4} = u_s$. Then, Eq. (B3) becomes

$$
v_{s+4} = v_s - \kappa \sin \left[u_s - x_c^{(0)} - (s+3)\eta \right] - \kappa \sin \left[u_s + x_c^{(0)} + (s+1)\eta \right]
$$
 (B4)

to first order in *κ*, as all expressions below. By iterating Eq. (B4), we get for $b > 0$:

$$
v_{4b+w} = v_w - \kappa \sum_{b'=1}^{b} \left\{ \sin \left[u_w - x_c^{(0)} - (4b' + w - 1)\eta \right] + \sin \left[u_w + x_c^{(0)} + (4b' + w - 3)\eta \right] \right\}.
$$
 (B5)

Inserting Eq. $(B5)$ into Eq. $(B1)$ and Taylor expanding around *v_w* up to first order in $κ$, we obtain

$$
F = -r^{-1} \sum_{w=0}^{3} e^{i w \pi/2} \sum_{b=0}^{l'-1} \sin \left[x_c^{(0)} + (4b + w)\eta - v_w \right]
$$

$$
- \kappa r^{-1} \sum_{w=0}^{3} e^{i w \pi/2} \sum_{b=1}^{l'-1} \cos \left[x_c^{(0)} + (4b + w)\eta - v_w \right]
$$

$$
\times \sum_{b'=1}^{b} \left\{ \sin \left[u_w - x_c^{(0)} - (4b' + w - 1)\eta \right] + \sin \left[u_w + x_c^{(0)} + (4b' + w - 3)\eta \right] \right\}.
$$
 (B6)

In the first line of Eq. $(B6)$, the sum over *b* vanishes identically like a geometric sum since $4\eta = 2\pi n' k / l'$, where, by definition, $n'/l' = 4/l$ with (n', l') coprime integers. Thus, only the last three lines of Eq. $(B6)$ remain, so that *F* is of first order in κ , as expected for $l' > 1$ (SWC). Then, after some trigonometry, Eq. $(B6)$ can be written as follows:

$$
F = -\frac{\kappa}{r} \sum_{w=0}^{3} e^{iw\pi/2} \sin(u_w - \eta)(G_w + Q_w),
$$
 (B7)

$$
G_w = \sum_{b=1}^{l'-1} \sum_{b'=1}^{b} \cos[v_w + (4b' - 4b - 2)\eta],
$$
 (B8)

$$
Q_w = \sum_{b=1}^{l'-1} \sum_{b'=1}^{b} \cos \left[2x_c^{(0)} + 2(w + 2b + 2b' - 1)\eta - v_w \right].
$$
 (B9)

A simple expression for G_w in Eq. (B8) can be derived,

$$
G_w = \frac{e^{i(v_w - 2\eta)}}{2} \sum_{b=1}^{l'-1} \sum_{b'=1}^b e^{4i(b'-b)\eta} + \text{c.c.} = \frac{l'\sin(v_w)}{2\sin(2\eta)}, \text{ (B10)}
$$

after a lengthy but straightforward calculation of the geometric sums.

Similarly, Q_w in Eq. (B9) can be explicitly calculated:

$$
Q_w = A_w \sum_{b=1}^{l'-1} \sum_{b'=1}^{b} e^{4i(b'+b)\eta} + \text{c.c.}
$$

=
$$
B_w \left(\frac{e^{8il'\eta} - e^{8i\eta}}{e^{8i\eta} - 1} - \frac{e^{4il'\eta} - e^{4i\eta}}{e^{4i\eta} - 1} \right) + \text{c.c.,}
$$
 (B11)

where

$$
A_w = \frac{e^{i[2x_c^{(0)} + 2(w-1)\eta - v_w]}}{2}, \quad B_w = \frac{e^{4i\eta} A_w}{e^{4i\eta} - 1}.
$$
 (B12)

Let us show that the expression $(B11)$ vanishes for $l' > 2$. Since $4\eta = 2\pi n'k/l'$, where (n',l') are coprime integers (see also above), one always has $exp(4i l' \eta) = exp(8i l' \eta) = 1$. However, for $l' > 2$, $exp(4i\eta) \neq 1$ and also $exp(8i\eta) \neq 1$. Then the expression (B11) vanishes.

For $l' = 2$, the integer $n'k$ above is necessarily odd, so that $\exp(4i\eta) = -1$ and $\exp(8i\eta) = 1$. Using this in Eq. (B11) with Eqs. $(B12)$, we get

$$
Q_w = \cos\left[2x_c^{(0)} + 2(w-1)\eta - v_w\right].
$$
 (B13)

The quantity $(B7)$ for $l' > 2$ can now be written in a closed form by using $r = 4l'$, Eq. (B10), $Q_w = 0$, and the expressions of (u_w, v_w) to zero order in κ , i.e., $u_1 = -u_3 = v_0$, $u_2 = -u_0$, $v_1 = -v_3 = -u_0$, and $v_2 = -v_0$. We then obtain Eq. [\(21\)](#page-5-0).

Similarly, using Eqs. (B₁₀) and (B₁₃) in Eq. (B₇) for $l' = 2$ and $\eta = \pi/4$, we find that

$$
F = \frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa}{8} \left[\cos(u_0) \sin(v_0) - i \sin(u_0) \cos(v_0) \right. \n+ \exp\left(2ix_c^{(0)}\right) \sin(u_0) \sin(v_0) \n+ i \exp\left(-2ix_c^{(0)}\right) \cos(u_0) \cos(v_0) \right].
$$
\n(B14)

APPENDIX C

We show here that a stochastic web is associated with the level set $H_e = 0$ for all effective Hamiltonians [\(22\)](#page-5-0), [\(24\)](#page-5-0), and [\(26\)](#page-6-0).

A stochastic web for the map (17) is a translationally invariant chaotic region in phase space emerging from heteroclinic intersections of the stable and unstable manifolds of neighboring hyperbolic fixed points of *Mγ,η,r*. These fixed points form a lattice in phase space, which is invariant under a rotation by angle $\gamma = \pi/2$ around $z = 0$. In the limit of $\kappa \to 0$,

where

 $M_{\gamma,\eta,r}$ is described by the integrable effective Hamiltonian *H*^e and the stochastic web reduces to the web skeleton, i.e., the union of straight lines connecting the fixed points on the lattice. Because of the invariance of this lattice under a rotation by $\gamma = \pi/2$, these lines form two perpendicular sets, each set consisting of parallel lines in the direction of either the stable (**U**−) or unstable (**U**+) eigenvector of the linear-stability matrix $DM_{\nu,n,r}$ at a fixed point. Therefore, these eigenvectors, which are the limit $\kappa \to 0$ of the stable and unstable manifolds, must be *orthogonal*. The corresponding eigenvalues have the form $\lambda_{\pm} = \exp(\pm \sigma)$, where $\sigma > 0$ is a local Lyapunov exponent.

The fixed points (u_0, v_0) in the $\kappa \to 0$ limit are determined from Hamilton equations [\(19\)](#page-5-0) with $\dot{u} = \dot{v} = 0$:

$$
\left. \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial u} \right|_{u_0, v_0} = \left. \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial v} \right|_{u_0, v_0} = 0. \tag{C1}
$$

Denoting $\mathbf{R}_0 = (u_0, v_0)^\text{T}$, where T stands for transpose, the linear stability of \mathbf{R}_0 under small perturbations $\delta \mathbf{R}_0$ is determined by linearizing Eqs. [\(19\)](#page-5-0) around **R**0:

$$
\delta \dot{\mathbf{R}}_0 = D H_e \delta \mathbf{R}_0, \tag{C2}
$$

where DH_e is the matrix

$$
DH_{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} H_{e}}{\partial u_{0} \partial v_{0}} & \frac{\partial^{2} H_{e}}{\partial v_{0}^{2}}\\ -\frac{\partial^{2} H_{e}}{\partial u_{0}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} H_{e}}{\partial u_{0} \partial v_{0}} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (C3)

- [1] M. V. Berry, in *Topics in Nonlinear Dynamics : A Tribute to Sir Edward Bullard*, edited by S. Jorna, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 46 (AIP, New York, 1978), p. 16, and references therein; A. J. Lichtenberg and M. A. Lieberman,*Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*(Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992), and references therein.
- [2] B. V. Chirikov, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(79)90023-1) **[52](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(79)90023-1)**, [263](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(79)90023-1) [\(1979\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(79)90023-1), and references therein.
- [3] A. N. Kolmogorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR **98**, 572 (1954).
- [4] V. I. Arnol'd, Usp. Mat. Nauk **18**, 91 (1963) [Russ. Math. Surv. **18**, 85 (1963)].
- [5] J. Moser, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys. Kl. 2 1, 1 (1962).
- [6] J. M. Greene, [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.524170) **[20](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.524170)**, [1183](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.524170) [\(1979\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.524170).
- [7] R. S. MacKay, J. D. Meiss, and I. C. Percival, [Physica D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7) **[13](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7)**, [55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7).
- [8] I. Dana and S. Fishman, [Physica D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(85)90134-4) **[17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(85)90134-4)**, [63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(85)90134-4) [\(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(85)90134-4).
- [9] I. Dana and W. P. Reinhardt, [Physica D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(87)90124-2) **[28](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(87)90124-2)**, [115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(87)90124-2) [\(1987\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(87)90124-2).
- [10] V. Arnold, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **156**, 9 (1964) [Soviet Math. Dokl. **5**, 581 (1964)].
- [11] V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov, and A. I. Neishtadt, *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997).
- [12] V. Kaloshin and M. Levi, [SIAM Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070703235) **[50](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070703235)**, [702](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070703235) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070703235), and references therein.
- [13] G. M. Zaslavskii, M. Yu. Zakharov, R. Z. Sagdeev, D. A. Usikov, and A. A. Chernikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **91**, 500 (1986) [Sov. Phys. JETP **64**, 294 (1986)].
- [14] A. J. Lichtenberg and B. P. Wood, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.39.2153) **[39](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.39.2153)**, [2153](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.39.2153) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.39.2153).
- [15] Z. Yang, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.3582) **[50](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.3582)**, [3582](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.3582) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.3582).
- [16] I. Dana and M. Amit, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.51.R2731) **[51](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.51.R2731)**, [R2731](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.51.R2731) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.51.R2731).

Assuming the time dependence $\delta \mathbf{R}_0(t) = \mathbf{U} \exp(\xi t)$ in Eq. $(C2)$, we get the eigenvalue equation

$$
DH_e \mathbf{U} = \xi \mathbf{U}.\tag{C4}
$$

Now, as mentioned above, the eigenvectors U_{\pm} , associated with hyperbolic fixed points on a stochastic web, must be orthogonal and the corresponding eigenvalues $\xi = \pm \sigma$ are real. Therefore, the real matrix $(C3)$ must be symmetric:

$$
\frac{\partial^2 H_e}{\partial v_0^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 H_e}{\partial u_0^2}.
$$
 (C5)

In what follows, we show that the symmetry condition (C_5) is equivalent to $H_e = 0$ in all the cases of Eqs. [\(22\)](#page-5-0), [\(24\)](#page-5-0), and [\(26\)](#page-6-0).

In the case of Eq. [\(22\)](#page-5-0), condition (C5) is simply $-H_e = H_e$, implying $H_e = 0$.

In the case of Eq. (24) , let us first calculate from Eqs. $(C1)$ the fixed points. Assuming $x_c^{(0)} \neq 0, \pi/2$, consistent with Eq. (C6) below, these are given by $u_0 = \pi/2 + a_1\pi$ and $v_0 =$ $\pi/2 + a_2\pi$, for all integers (a_1, a_2). Then, condition (C5) reads

$$
(-1)^{a_1} \cos \left(x_c^{(0)} \right) = (-1)^{a_2} \sin \left(x_c^{(0)} \right). \tag{C6}
$$

Using Eq. (C6) and the values above of (u_0, v_0) in Eq. [\(24\)](#page-5-0), we find that $H_e = 0$. We note that Eq. (C6) also implies that $|\tan(x_c^{(0)})| = 1$, i.e., the fixed points are hyperbolic and form a web skeleton only if $x_c^{(0)} = \pi/4$ and $a_1 + a_2$ is even or $x_c^{(0)} = 3\pi/4$ and $a_1 + a_2$ is odd.

Finally, in the case of Eq. (26) , condition $(C5)$ reads again $-H_e = H_e$, implying $H_e = 0$.

- [17] I. Dana and T. Horesh, in *Chaos, Kinetics and Nonlinear Dynamics in Fluids and Plasmas*, edited by S. Benkadda and G. M. Zaslavsky, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 511 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998), p. 51.
- [18] I. Dana, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1609) **[73](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1609)**, [1609](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1609) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1609); see, in particular, Fig. [1](#page-1-0) in this paper.
- [19] S. Pekarsky and V. Rom-Kedar, [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(96)00880-8) **[225](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(96)00880-8)**, [274](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(96)00880-8) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(96)00880-8).
- [20] I. Dana and D. L. Dorofeev, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.046205) **[72](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.046205)**, [046205](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.046205) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.046205).
- [21] M. H. Johnson and B. A. Lippmann, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.76.828) **[76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.76.828)**, [828](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.76.828) [\(1949\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.76.828).
- [22] We see that the map [\(9\)](#page-2-0) for $f(x) = -\sin(x)$ is invariant under the simultaneous transformations $\gamma \to \gamma + \pi$ and $\eta \to$ *η* + *π*. Also, the map [\(5\)](#page-1-0) for $f(x) = -\sin(x)$ and $\gamma = \pi/2$ is invariant under the simultaneous transformations $\eta \to \eta + \pi$ and $u_0 \rightarrow u_0 + \pi$. Thus, by replacing exp($ij\pi/2$) in Eq. [\(17\)](#page-4-0) by $\exp(3i\pi/2)$, one just gets the map [\(17\)](#page-4-0) with u_0 shifted by π .
- [23] By definition of *l'*, $4/l = n'/l'$ where (n', l') are coprime integers. Thus, $l' = 1$ means that either $l = 1$ or $l = 4$. Since $\eta = 2\pi k/l$, the case of $l = 1$ for the map [\(17\)](#page-4-0) is equivalent to that of $\eta = 0$, previously studied, and will therefore not be considered. For $l = 4$, $\eta = \pi/2$ or $\eta = 3\pi/2$. However, the case of $\eta = 3\pi/2$ is equivalent to that of $\eta = \pi/2$ with u_0 shifted by π (see note [22]).
- [24] S. S. Abdullaev, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/15/004) **[32](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/15/004)**, [2745](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/15/004) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/15/004); **[35](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/35/12/307)**, [2811](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/35/12/307) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/35/12/307); in *Construction of Mappings for Hamiltonian Systems and Their Applications*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 691 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006), p. 1.
- [25] S. S. Abdullaev, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.026216) **[76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.026216)**, [026216](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.026216) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.026216).
- [26] [G. J. Duffy, A. S. Mellish, K. J. Challis, and A. C. Wilson,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.041602) *Phys.* Rev. A **[70](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.041602)**, [041602\(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.041602)R) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.041602).