Percolation of the site random-cluster model by Monte Carlo method

Songsong Wang,¹ Wanzhou Zhang,^{1,*} and Chengxiang Ding^{2,†}

¹*College of Physics and Optoelectronics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Shanxi 030024, China*

²*Department of Applied Physics, Anhui University of Technology, Maanshan 243002, China*

(Received 1 December 2014; published 18 August 2015)

We propose a site random-cluster model by introducing an additional cluster weight in the partition function of the traditional site percolation. To simulate the model on a square lattice, we combine the color-assignation and the Swendsen-Wang methods to design a highly efficient cluster algorithm with a small critical slowing-down phenomenon. To verify whether or not it is consistent with the bond random-cluster model, we measure several quantities, such as the wrapping probability R_e , the percolating cluster density P_∞ , and the magnetic susceptibility per site χ_p , as well as two exponents, such as the thermal exponent y_t and the fractal dimension y_h of the percolating cluster. We find that for different exponents of cluster weight $q = 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5$, and 4, the numerical estimation of the exponents *yt* and *yh* are consistent with the theoretical values. The universalities of the site random-cluster model and the bond random-cluster model are completely identical. For larger values of *q*, we find obvious signatures of the first-order percolation transition by the histograms and the hysteresis loops of percolating cluster density and the energy per site. Our results are helpful for the understanding of the percolation of traditional statistical models.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.92.022127](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.022127) PACS number(s): 05*.*50*.*+q*,* 64*.*60*.*Cn*,* 64*.*60*.*De*,* 75*.*10*.*Hk

I. INTRODUCTION

Broadbent and Hammersley initially presented the concept of percolation [\[1–3\]](#page-4-0), and then Stauffer introduced the properties of percolation in detail [\[4\]](#page-4-0). There have been broad applications of percolation: e.g., fluids in porous medium [\[5\]](#page-4-0), the spread of infectious diseases on complex networks [\[6\]](#page-4-0), the Hall effect with quantum spin [\[7\]](#page-4-0), network vulnerability [\[8,9\]](#page-4-0), forest fires $[10]$, number theory $[11]$, etc...

The most studied percolation models are percolations on regular lattices, in which a site (bond) on the lattice could be occupied (vacant) with probability p (or $1 - p$). At a given critical probability p_c , at least one large cluster, formed by the occupied sites (bonds), spans to the opposite boundaries in the lattices $[1-3]$.

The construction of a site percolation or bond percolation is similar. However, they are independent in some respects. For example, the site percolation transition on the square lattice occurs at $p_c = 0.59274621(13)$ according to the high precision Monte Carlo method [\[12\]](#page-5-0), while the exact solution indicates that the bond percolation transition point $p_c = \frac{1}{2}$ on the square lattice [\[13\]](#page-5-0). In the Monte Carlo simulations near *pc*, the configurations are completely disordered and the local structures in the configurations vary in a significant random fashion [\[14\]](#page-5-0).

The invariances behind the configurations are the critical exponents and the universalities, which are the same for the two types of percolations, without consideration of the site, the bond, or other microscopic details [\[15\]](#page-5-0).

Universality connects the phase transitions in a number of lattice statistical models to the percolation transition. One important model, the bond random-cluster (BRC) model [\[16\]](#page-5-0) created by Fortuin and Kasteleyn [\[17\]](#page-5-0) in the 1960s, gives us a unified description of several classical statistical models,

including the Ising, Potts [\[18\]](#page-5-0), Ashkin-Teller [\[19\]](#page-5-0), and the percolation models. This body of work results in the extensions of the BRC model and many new possible critical behaviors [\[20–22\]](#page-5-0).

An additional cluster weight factor in the partition function is the significant difference between the bond percolation model and the BRC model. Inspired by this, we propose a new model, the site RC (SRC) model, which is made by combining the site percolation and the RC model, and adding a cluster weight factor in the partition function.

To investigate the critical behaviors of the new SRC model, we design a cluster-updating Monte Carlo method and simulate the new model. Many useful quantities are measured, such as the wrapping probability R_e , the percolating cluster density P_{∞} , and the magnetic susceptibility per site χ_p . By performing finite-size scaling analysis of the above quantities, the very precise phase transition points are obtained. We also calculate the thermal exponent y_t , and the fractal dimension y_h of the percolating cluster in such a way as to check that whether or not the universalities of the BRC percolation and the SRC percolation are completely consistent.

The outline of this work is as follows. Section Π shows a brief review of the BRC model and shows how we generalize the site percolation model to the SRC model. Section [III](#page-1-0) describes the algorithm and several sampled quantities in our Monte Carlo simulations. Numerical results are then presented in Sec. [IV.](#page-2-0) Conclusive comments are made in Sec. [V.](#page-4-0)

II. MODEL

A. Potts model and BRC model

This section provides a brief review of two classical models in statistical physics: the Potts model [\[18\]](#page-5-0) and its generalization to the BRC model [\[17\]](#page-5-0). The reduced Hamiltonian of the Potts model is

ij

 $\beta H = -K \sum$

$$
^*zhangwanzhou@tyut.edu.cn\\
$$

† dingcx@ahut.edu.cn

 $\delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}$, (1)

where $\langle ij \rangle$ means the nearest-neighbor summation, *K* is the coupling interaction, β is the inverse temperature, and σ_i is the state variable on the site *i* and can be any natural number less than or equal to *q*. If $q = 2$, the model is identical to the Ising model without an external field, which has two states for each spin. The partition function of the Potts model is

$$
Z = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} e^{K \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j}} = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(1 + u \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j} \right), \tag{2}
$$

where the symbol u is the bond weight and defined as $u = e^{K} - 1$ [\[23\]](#page-5-0). The above equation can be transformed into

$$
Z = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} \sum_{b_{ij}=0}^{1} \left(u \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j} \right)^{b_{ij}} = \sum_{\{b\}} \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(u \delta_{\sigma_i, \sigma_j} \right)^{b_{ij}}, \quad (3)
$$

where the bond variable $b_{ij} = 0$ if $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$, while $b_{ij} = 1$ if $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$. Through the summation over the spin variable σ , the partition function Eq. (3) becomes

$$
Z_{BRC} = \sum_{\{b\}} u^{n_b} q^{n_c},\tag{4}
$$

where the sum is over all bond configurations ${b}$, $n_b = \sum b_{ij}$ is the bond number in the configurations, and n_c is the number of clusters. The discrete number *q* now appears as a continuous variable. Thus, the BRC model can be regarded as a generalization of the Potts model. In the limit $q \to 1$, it reduces to the bond-percolation model, whose partition function is

$$
Z = \sum_{\{b\}} (e^K - 1)^{n_b}.
$$
 (5)

This form can be easily transformed into

$$
Z = \sum_{\{b\}} p_b^{n_b} (1 - p_b)^{N_b - n_b},\tag{6}
$$

where $p_b = \frac{u}{1+u}$ and N_b is the total number of bonds in the lattice. The significant difference between the partition functions of the bond percolation model and the RC model is that Eq. (4) has the cluster weight q^{n_c} while Eq. (6) does not.

B. SRC model

Now, we generalize the site percolation to the SRC model [\[16\]](#page-5-0). The partition function of the site percolation is

$$
Z = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} p_s^{n_s} (1 - p_s)^{N - n_s},\tag{7}
$$

where $N = L \times L$ is the total number of sites. We directly generalize it by introducing a cluster weight q^{n_c} and then derive the partition function of the SRC model as

$$
Z_{\rm SRC} = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} p_s^{n_s} (1 - p_s)^{N - n_s} q^{n_c} \propto \sum_{\{\sigma\}} u^{n_s} q^{n_c}, \qquad (8)
$$

where $p_s = \frac{u}{1+u}$, n_s is the number of occupied sites, $N - n_s$ is the number of vacant sites, and p_s is the occupation probability for the sites in the configuration. The weight of a configuration is given by

$$
W = p_s^{n_s} (1 - p_s)^{N - n_s} q^{n_c}.
$$
 (9)

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) A typical configuration of a SRC model on a two-dimensional lattice with size $L = 7$, in which the number of clusters n_c is 3 and the number of the occupied sites n_s is 17. The two circles labeled by "1" in the top and bottom collected by the dashed line, which means the first cluster is a wrapping cluster. (b) A cluster labeled by "1", distributed diagonally or "spirally" [\[24\]](#page-5-0), wraps around both directions before joining up.

As shown in Fig. 1, the weight of the typical configuration is $p_s^{17}(1-p_s)^{32}q^3$.

III. ALGORITHM AND THE SAMPLED QUANTITIES

A. Algorithm

There are a few efficient methods $[25]$ to simulate the RC model. In the present paper, we combine the colorassignation $[26,27]$ and the Swendsen-Wang $[28]$ methods to design a highly efficient cluster algorithm with a small critical slowing-down phenomenon. Similar methods have been applied in several papers [\[29,30\]](#page-5-0). The algorithm to simulate this model is as follows:

(1) Initially, all sites are active.

(2) Active sites are randomly assigned to be occupied, with probability *p* or vacant with probability $1 - p$. After all sites have been assigned, they are grouped into clusters: if the nearest-neighbor sites are both occupied, they belong to the same cluster. Vacant sites don't belong to any cluster.

(3) With probability $1 - \frac{1}{q}$, clusters are declared inactive. The boundary sites—the nearest neighbors of the sites belonging to an inactive occupied cluster—are also inactive. All other sites are declared active, in effect erasing their contents.

(4) If there are any active sites, return to step 2. Otherwise, we have constructed a configuration that obeys the statistics of Eq. (9).

We define the percolating cluster as follows: If any cluster spans the whole lattice, the configuration is called a percolation configuration. For a finite system, it can be defined by various rules. In the present work, a percolation state means there is at least one "wrapping" cluster [\[31\]](#page-5-0) in the lattice and "wrapping" refers to a cluster that connects itself along one of the lattice directions. For example, in Fig. $1(a)$, the cluster labeled by "1" is a wrapping cluster, and the wrapping direction is the vertical direction. The wrapping cluster is only applicable to a lattice with periodic boundary conditions.

In Fig. 1(b), the occupied sites labeled by "1" are distributed diagonally or "spirally" in the lattice. In this case, the cluster wraps around both horizontal and vertical directions, which is called the "single spiral" configuration [\[24\]](#page-5-0).

B. The sampled quantities

In order to obtain the critical phase transition points, we define the wrapping probability as

$$
R_e = \langle R_x + R_y \rangle / 2, \tag{10}
$$

where the subscript *e* represents a cluster forming along the *x* or *y* direction, and $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes ensemble averaging. If a wrapping cluster exists in the *x* direction, then $R_x = 1$, otherwise, $R_x = 0$. The rule is the same for the *y* direction. If a cluster forms along both *x* and *y* direction, then both $R_x = 1$ and $R_v = 1$.

The SRC model can be explored in view of site percolation. Therefore, we can define the order parameter of the percolating cluster density [\[32\]](#page-5-0) and magnetic susceptibility per site:

$$
P_{\infty} = \langle P \rangle = L^{-d} \langle n_{\infty} \rangle, \tag{11}
$$

$$
\chi_p = L^{-2d} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} n_i^2 \right\rangle, \tag{12}
$$

where n_{∞} is the size (the number of sites) of the percolating cluster and $d = 2$ is dimensionality of the lattice. According to the finite-size scaling theory [\[33,34\]](#page-5-0), the above parameters provide us the scaling behavior of them as a function of the system size *L* and the site occupation probability *p*:

$$
R_e = R_e^{(0)} + a_1(p - p_c)L^{y_i} + a_2(p - p_c)^2 L^{2y_i}
$$

$$
+ \cdots + b_1 L^{y_1} + b_2 L^{y_2} + \cdots,
$$
 (13)

$$
P_{\infty} = L^{y_h - d} (e_0 + e_1 (p - p_c) L^{y_i} + e_2 (p - p_c)^2 L^{2y_i}
$$

$$
+ \cdots + f_1 L^{y_1} + f_2 L^{y_2} + \cdots), \qquad (14)
$$

$$
\chi_p = L^{2y_h - 2d} (g_0 + g_1(p - p_c)L^{y_i} + g_2(p - p_c)^2 L^{2y_i} + \dots + h_1 L^{y_1} + h_2 L^{y_2} + \dots).
$$
 (15)

It should be noted that the occupation probability is for the site occupation, instead of the bond occupation probability $[32]$, where p_c is the percolation threshold, y_t is the thermal exponent, y_h is the fractal dimension of the percolating cluster, d is the space dimension, and y_1, y_2, \ldots , are negative correction-to-scaling exponents.

Equations (13) – (15) give a model scaling form for various physical quantities. The three quantities R_e , P_∞ , and χ_p are assumed in an analytic function in *p* and *L* at the percolation critical point, so that it has a series expansion here. These analytic functions will be used as a basis for fitting the numerical data. The three scaling functions that are being expanded depend on the same scaling variables, but they are, in general, distinct functions. Hence, when expanded, the expansion coefficients a_i , b_i , e_i , f_i , g_i , h_i ($i = 1, 2, \ldots$) will, in general, be different. Therefore, we use different symbols to denote them.

C. Fitting at the critical points

The fitting functions in Eqs. (14) and (15) describe how the quantities P_{∞} and χ_p depend on the expansion coefficients. So it is necessary to deduce the value of P_{∞} and χ_p . At the percolation point p_c , Eqs. (14) and (15) reduce to

$$
P_{\infty} = L^{y_h - d} (e_0 + f_1 L^{y_1} + f_2 L^{y_2} + \cdots), \qquad (16a)
$$

$$
\chi_p = L^{2y_h - 2d}(g_0 + h_1 L^{y_1} + h_2 L^{y_2} + \cdots), \quad (16b)
$$

which will be used to determine the exponent *yh*.

To see more readily the importance of the corrections to scaling, we divide out the leading dependence on *L* in Eqs. (16a) and (16b) just using the first two terms. Fitting data according to

$$
L^{d-y_h} P_{\infty} = e_0 + f_1 L^{y_1}, \qquad (17a)
$$

$$
L^{2d-2y_h}\chi_p = g_0 + h_1 L^{y_1} \tag{17b}
$$

will help see clearly the corrections to the scaling terms.

IV. RESULTS

First, we do a Monte Carlo simulation of the SRC model on the square lattice with the above algorithm. We find the algorithm has a small critical slowing-down phenomena with $q \leq 4$ and consequently we sample between every two Monte Carlo steps. As the system enters into equilibrium states, we take $10⁸$ samples to calculate each quantity for the system sizes $4 \le L \le 64$, and we take 10^7 samples for the system sizes $128 \le L \le 256$ [\[35\]](#page-5-0).

To obtain the critical point p_c , and the exponent y_t , we perform a finite-size scaling analysis of the wrapping probability R_e for various system sizes near the critical occupation probability p_c . At the critical point p_c , we calculate the percolating cluster density P_{∞} and the magnetic susceptibility per site χ_p to obtain the exponent y_h . We also study the cases for larger values of q , such as $q = 10$, and find an interesting first-order phase transition.

A. Theoretical and numerical exponents y_t and y_h for $q = 1.5-4$

The theoretical values of the exponents y_t and y_h can be obtained by the Coulomb gas method [\[36\]](#page-5-0) or conformal invariance [\[37\]](#page-5-0), and they are given by

$$
\sqrt{q} = -2\cos(\pi g),\tag{18a}
$$

$$
y_t = 3 - \frac{3}{2g},
$$
 (18b)

$$
y_h = 1 + \frac{g}{2} + \frac{3}{8g},\tag{18c}
$$

where the coupling constant *g* of the Coulomb gas is in the range $1/2 \le g \le 1$. According to the above equations, the theoretical values of the both exponents will be shown in the following section.

The numerical results by Monte Carlo method are listed in Table [I.](#page-3-0) For $q = 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5,$ and 4, the percolation threshold p_c , the wrapping probability R_e , the thermal exponent y_t , and the fractal dimension of the percolating cluster y_h are obtained in the same way, which will be discussed in detail in the next subsections. We find that for the range $q = 1.5-3$, the numerical results y_h and y_t are very consistent with the theoretical values. For $q = 3.5$ and 4, the precision of the

TABLE I. Numerical results (*N*) for the percolation threshold p_c , the wrapping probability R_e , the thermal exponent y_t , and the fractal dimension y_h from χ_p . Theoretical predictions (*T*) are included where available by the Coulomb gas method $[36]$ or conformal invariance [\[37\]](#page-5-0). The estimated errors in the last decimal place are shown between parentheses.

\boldsymbol{q}		p_c	R_e	y_t	$y_h \leftarrow \chi_p$
1.5	N	0.726525(2)	0.5822(3)	0.884(4)	1.8833(4)
	T			0.887	1.8832
2	N	0.805000(1)	0.6270(1)	1.000(5)	1.8750(5)
	T			1.000	1.8750
2.5	N	0.854411(2)	0.6637(3)	1.101(7)	1.8698(4)
	T			1.102	1.8697
3	N	0.887435(1)	0.6955(2)	1.196(5)	1.8664(7)
	T			1.200	1.8667
3.5	N	0.910600(2)	0.7242(8)	1.311(8)	1.867(1)
	T			1.305	1.866
$\overline{4}$	N	0.927476(1)	0.750(1)	1.44(7)	1.88(1)
	т			1.50	1.88

critical point and the exponents are lower than the case with other values of *q*, due to the logarithmic correction [\[38–40\]](#page-5-0).

B. $q = 1.5$, detailed analysis

As shown in Fig. $2(a)$, we calculate the wrapping probability R_e as a function of site occupation probability *p* at $q = 1.5$ for lattices with different sizes $L = 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128,$ and 256. In the limit $p \to 0$, no sites are occupied and hence no clusters exist and $R_e = 0$. In the limit $p \to 1$, all sites are occupied and a wrapping cluster forms and $R_e = 1$.

In the region of the critical points, i.e., $0.7260 < p <$ 0*.*7270, the data looks nearly linear as shown in Fig. 2(b). Using the Levenberg-Marquardt least-squares method [\[41\]](#page-5-0) and Eq. (13) , we find that the critical percolation probability is at $p_c = 0.726525(2)$. Correspondingly, the thermal exponent is $y_t = 0.884(4)$, which is consistent with the theoretical result $y_t = 0.887$.

In the fitting procedure, the chi-square

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_{L_i} \left[\frac{R_e(p, L_i) - R_e^{\text{fit}}(p, L_i)}{\sigma_i^2} \right]^2 \tag{19}
$$

FIG. 2. (Color online) Wrapping probability *Re* versus siteoccupation probability *p* at $q = 1.5$ in the ranges (a) $0.2 < p < 1$ and (b) $0.7260 < p < 0.7270$, with different sizes $L = 4, 8, 16, 32$, 64, 128, and 256. The critical point is $p_c = 0.726525(2)$ and $R_e =$ 0*.*5822(3). The error bars are smaller than the symbols. The lines in the right figure are plotted to guide the reader.

FIG. 3. (Color online) $L^{2d-2y_h}\chi_p$ and $L^{d-y_h}P_\infty$ versus L^{y_1} of the SRC model for $q = 1.5$ on the square lattice with $L =$ 32*,* 64*,* 128*,* 256*,* and 512. The exponent is fixed being *y*₁ = −1. The two solid lines represent fits to the data points according to Eqs. [\(17a\)](#page-2-0) and $(17b)$. The fitted exponent y_h are 1.8836(4) and 1.8833(4) from $L^{d-y_h}P_{\infty}$ and $L^{2d-2y_h}\chi_p$, respectively. The dashed lines are plotted to guide the reader.

is performed $[42, 43]$ by summing over the sizes $L =$ 16*,* 32*,* 64*,* 128*,* 256. The order of magnitude of chi-square is 10. The ratio of chi-square to degree of freedom of fit *χ*2*/*d.o.f. is 1*.*04, which was thought to be a moderately good fit. σ_i is the error of R_e measured by the Monte Carlo method. R_e^{fit} represents the fitting function of R_e in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-0). The results with $L = 4, 8$ are dropped and the higher terms in the expansion are also dropped, i.e., $a_i = 0$, $i = 3, 4, \ldots$ and $b_i = 0, i = 2, 3, \ldots$

Figure 3 displays the plot $L^{2d-2y_h}\chi_p$ and $L^{d-y_h}P_\infty$ versus L^{y_1} at the percolation point. The plot symbols for systems with sizes $L = 32-512$ sit in the fitted lines very well, as expected. For small systems with sizes $L = 4, 8, 16$, the plot symbols deviate from the fitted line. Obviously, the correctionto-scaling of $L^{d-y_h}P_\infty$ is similar to that of $L^{2d-2y_h}\chi_p$ [\[32\]](#page-5-0). In the real fitting procedure, we neglected the data with sizes $L = 4$ –16 and the order of magnitude of the residual equals to 10[−]9, which means the results are still reliable.

The leading correction-to-scaling exponent [\[44\]](#page-5-0) is known to be $y_1 \approx -1$. A least-squares criterion was used to fit the data with *y*₁ being fixed at −1. By fitting the data of $L^{d-y_h}P_{\infty}$, the exponent is fitted and found to be $y_h = 1.8836(4)$. However, by the fitting of $L^{2d-2y_h}\chi_p$, the exponent becomes $y_h = 1.8833(4)$, which is consistent with the result from P_{∞} . The slopes $f_1 = 0.035(7)$ and $h_1 = -0.020(2)$ for both fitted lines and the first expansion coefficients $e_0 = 0.5580(5)$ and $g_0 = 0.5297(2)$ are also obtained.

For larger systems, the correction terms in Eqs. [\(17a\)](#page-2-0) and $(17b)$ are far less than the first terms e_0 and g_0 at the critical points and, therefore, the power law $P_{\infty}/\chi_p \propto L^{d-y_h}$ can be obtained by neglecting the correction terms. In fact, scaling theory for percolation (e.g., see Refs. [\[3,4,](#page-4-0)[45\]](#page-5-0)) predicts that phase transitions exhibit scaling properties or "power laws." Moreover, power laws like Newton's gravitational law or Coulomb's law or even Lotka's law for publication rates [\[46\]](#page-5-0) are ubiquitous and it is reassuring to recover a power law here as well.

FIG. 4. (Color online) The signature of the first-order phase transition for the SRC model at $q = 10$ on a 16×16 square lattice. Histogram of energy per site $E(a)$ and the percolating cluster density P_{∞} (c) at the critical point $p_c = 0.987$. Hysteresis loops of the both quantities *E* (b) and P_{∞} (d) around the critical point p_c .

C. *q* **= 10, a first-order phase transition**

Figure 4(a) shows a histogram of the energy per site *E* at the critical point $p_c = 0.987$, in which the double distribution is a typical signature of the first-order phase transition from the nonpercolation phase to the percolation. We obtain the histogram in such a way. First, we initialize a configuration by assigning each site with an occupied or an empty state, a probability of 1*/*2. After the system enters into an equilibrium state, we measure the energy per site *E*. We repeat the above steps until the shape of the histogram converges.

To confirm the first-order of the percolation transition, Fig. 4(b) shows the hysteresis loop around the critical point region, i.e., $0.975 < p < 1$. The hysteresis loops have been observed both in classical [\[47\]](#page-5-0) and quantum systems [\[48–51\]](#page-5-0). To form a closed hysteresis loop, we start at $p = 0.975$. Then we increase the occupation probability *p* and sample the energy per site E . In the simulation, we use the configuration of the previously completed simulation for a given value of "*p*," as the (new) initial configuration of the simulation of

another value of " p ." The energy per site E of the system does not jump to a higher value immediately until *p* exceeds over a short distance of the transition point p_c . After *p* reaches 1, we decrease p in the same way with regards to the initialization of configurations. A closed hysteresis loop forms when *p* becomes smaller than p_c . We repeat similar steps for the P_{∞} and the results are shown in Figs. $4(c)$ and $4(d)$.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have proposed a new statistical model, which can be considered as a SRC model with an additional cluster weight in the partition function with respect to the traditional site percolation model.

We have also designed a color-assigned cluster updating Monte Carlo simulation algorithm suffering little from the boring critical slowing-down phenomena.

Both of the BRC and SRC percolation models have the same universality by simulations of the SRC model on the square lattice and behaviors of the quantities R_e , P_{∞} , χ_p , y_t , and *yh*.

At the critical phase transition point, the case of $q = 1.5$, the correction-to-scaling of P_{∞} is close to that of χ_p . The fitted exponent y_h from P_∞ has the same precision with that from χ_p . For $q = 4$, the estimation of exponents y_t and y_h is less precise due to the log-correction. For $q = 10$, the obvious first-order transition is observed.

Our results can be considered as a first study of the counterpart for the BRC percolation model and are helpful for the understanding of the percolation of traditional statistical models.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W. Zhang thanks T. C. Scott for helping him prepare this manuscript. W. Zhang is supported by the NSFC under Grants No. 11305113 and No. 11204204 and Youth Foundation of Taiyuan University of Technology Grant No. 1205-04020102. C. Ding is supported by the NSFC under Grant No. 11205005 and Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation under Grants No. 1508085QA05 and No. 1408085MA19. T. C. Scott is supported in China by Project No. GDW201400042 for the high-end foreign experts project.

- [1] S. R. Broadbent and J. M. Hammersley, Percolation processes. I. Crystals and mazes, [Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100032680) **[53](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100032680)**, [629](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100032680) [\(1957\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100032680).
- [2] J. M. Hammersley, in *Percolation Structure and Processes*, edited by G. Deutscher, R. Zallen, and J. Adler (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1983).
- [3] G. Grimmett, *Percolation* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1989).
- [4] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (Taylor & Francis, Philadelphia, 1994).
- [5] A. Hunt and R. Ewing, *Percolation Theory for Flow in Porous Media* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2009).
- [6] M. E. J. Newman, Spread of epidemic disease on networks, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.66.016128) **[66](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.66.016128)**, [016128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.66.016128) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.66.016128).
- [7] R. L. Chu, J. Lu, and S. Q. Shen, Quantum percolation in quantum spin Hall antidot systems, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/100/17013) **[100](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/100/17013)**, [17013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/100/17013) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/100/17013).
- [8] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, and S. Havlin, Resilience of the Internet to Random Breakdowns, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4626) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4626)**, [4626](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4626) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4626).
- [9] D. S. Callaway, M. E. J. Newman, S. H. Strogatz, and D. J. Watts, Network Robustness and Fragility: Percolation on Random Graphs, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5468) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5468)**, [5468](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5468) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5468).
- [10] P. Bak, K. Chen, and C. Tang, A forest-fire model and some thoughts on turbulence, [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90451-S) **[147](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90451-S)**, [297](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90451-S) [\(1990\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90451-S); C. L. Henley, Statics of a "self-organized" percolation model, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2741) **[71](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2741)**, [2741](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2741) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2741).
- [11] I. Vardi, Prime Percolation, [Experiment. Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10586458.1998.10504373) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10586458.1998.10504373)**, [275](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10586458.1998.10504373) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10586458.1998.10504373).
- [12] M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Ziff, Efficient Monte Carlo [Algorithm and High-Precision Results for Percolation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4104) Phys. Rev. Lett. [85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4104), [4104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4104) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4104); Y. J. Deng and H. W. J. Blote, Monte Carlo study of the site-percolation model in two and three dimensions, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.016126) **[72](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.016126)**, [016126](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.016126) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.016126).
- [13] M. F. Sykes and J. W. Essam, Exact critical percolation probabilities for site and bond problems in two dimensions, [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1704215) **[5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1704215)**, [1117](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1704215) [\(1964\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1704215).
- [14] D. Landau and K. Binder, *A Guide to Monte-Carlo Simulations in Statistical Physics* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2009).
- [15] N. Araújo, P. Grassberger, B. Kahng, K. J. Schrenk, and R. M. [Ziff, Recent advances and open challenges in percolation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2014-02266-y) Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **[223](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2014-02266-y)**, [2307](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2014-02266-y) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2014-02266-y).
- [16] G. Grimmett, *The Random-Cluster Model* (Spinger-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2006).
- [17] C. M. Fortuin and P. W. Kasteleyn, On the random-cluster model: I. Introduction and relation to other models, [Physica](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-8914(72)90045-6) **[57](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-8914(72)90045-6)**, [536](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-8914(72)90045-6) [\(1972\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-8914(72)90045-6).
- [18] F. Y. Wu, The Potts model, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.54.235) **[54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.54.235)**, [235](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.54.235) [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.54.235).
- [19] C. E. Pfister and Y. Velenik, Random-cluster representation of the Ashkin-Teller model, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02732435) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02732435)**, [1295](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02732435) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02732435).
- [20] L. Chayes and H. K. Lei, Random cluster models on the triangular lattice, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-8078-7) **[122](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-8078-7)**, [647](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-8078-7) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-8078-7).
- [21] W. A. Guo, Y. J. Deng, and H. W. J. Blöte, Crossing bonds in the random-cluster model, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061112) **[79](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061112)**, [061112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061112) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061112).
- [22] Y. J. Deng, W. Zhang, T. M. Garoni, A. D. Sokal, and A. Sportiello, Some geometric critical exponents for percolation and the random-cluster model, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.020102) **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.020102)**, [020102\(R\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.020102) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.020102).
- [23] Y. J. Deng, X. F. Qian, and H. W. J. Blöte, Single-cluster dynamics for the random-cluster model, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.036707) **[80](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.036707)**, [036707](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.036707) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.036707).
- [24] M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Ziff, Fast Monte Carlo algorithm for site or bond percolation, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.64.016706) **[64](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.64.016706)**, [016706](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.64.016706) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.64.016706).
- [25] E. M. Elçi and M. Weigel, Efficient simulation of the randomcluster model, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.033303) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.033303)**, [033303](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.033303) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.033303).
- [26] L. Chayes and J. Machta, Graphical representations and cluster algorithms I. Discrete spin systems, [Physica A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(96)00438-4) **[239](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(96)00438-4)**, [542](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(96)00438-4) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(96)00438-4).
- [27] L. Chayes and J. Machta, Graphical representations and cluster algorithms II, [Physica A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(97)00637-7) **[254](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(97)00637-7)**, [477](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(97)00637-7) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(97)00637-7).
- [28] R. H. Swendsen and J. S. Wang, Nonuniversal critical dynamics in Monte Carlo simulations, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.86) **[58](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.86)**, [86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.86) [\(1987\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.86).
- [29] C. X. Ding, Y. J. Deng, W. A. Guo, X. F. Qian, and H. W. J. Blöte, Geometric properties of two-dimensional O(n) loop configurations, [J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/13/001) **[40](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/13/001)**, [3305](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/13/001) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/13/001).
- [30] Y. J. Deng, T. M. Garoni, W. A. Guo, H. W. J. Blöte, and A. D. Sokal, Cluster Simulations of Loop Models on Two-Dimensional Lattices, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.120601) **[98](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.120601)**, [120601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.120601) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.120601).
- [31] J. P. Hovi and A. Aharony, Scaling and universality in the spanning probability for percolation, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.235) **[53](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.235)**, [235](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.235) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.235).
- [32] C. X. Ding, Y. J. Deng, W. A. Guo, and H. W. J. Blöte, [Percolation and critical O\(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061118)*n*) loop configurations, Phys. Rev. E **[79](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061118)**, [061118](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061118) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.061118).
- [33] M. P. Nightingale, in *Finite-Size Scaling and Numerical Simulation of Statistical Systems*, edited by V. Privman (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).
- [34] M. N. Barber, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, New York, 1983), Vol. 8.
- [35] X. M. Feng, Y. J. Deng, and H. W. J. Blöte, Percolation transitions in two dimensions, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.031136) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.031136)**, [031136](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.031136) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.031136).
- [36] B. Nienhuis, Critical behavior of two-dimensional spin models and charge asymmetry in the Coulomb gas, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01009437) **[34](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01009437)**, [731](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01009437) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01009437).
- [37] J. L. Cardy, Conformal invariance and universality in finite-size scaling, [J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/7/003) **[17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/7/003)**, [L385](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/7/003) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/7/003).
- [38] J. Salas and A. D. Sokal, Logarithmic corrections and finitesize scaling in the two-dimensional 4-state potts model, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000015164.98296.85) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000015164.98296.85)**, [567](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000015164.98296.85) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000015164.98296.85).
- [39] H. W. J. Blöte, A. Compagner, P. A. M. Cornelissen, A. Hoogland, F. Mallezie, and C. Vanderzande, Critical behaviour of two Ising models with multispin interactions, [Physica A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90128-7) **[139](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90128-7)**, [395](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90128-7) [\(1986\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90128-7).
- [40] H. W. J. Blöte, J. R. Heringa, and E. Luijten, Cluster Monte Carlo: Extending the range, [Comp. Phys. Comm.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(02)00204-7) **[147](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(02)00204-7)**, [58](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(02)00204-7) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(02)00204-7).
- [41] D. W. Marquardt, An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters, [J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0111030) **[11](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0111030)**(2), [431](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0111030) [\(1963\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0111030).
- [42] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [43] L. Bonnes and S. Wessel, Half-vortex unbinding and Ising transition in constrained superfluids, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.094513) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.094513)**, [094513](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.094513) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.094513).
- [44] Z. Zhou, J. Yang, R. M. Ziff, and Y. Deng, Crossover from isotropic to directed percolation, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.021102) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.021102)**, [021102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.021102) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.021102); Z. Zhou, J. Yang, Y. Deng, and R. M. Ziff, Shortest-path fractal dimension for percolation in two and three dimensions, *[ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.061101)* **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.061101)**, [061101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.061101) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.061101).
- [45] P. G. de Gennes, La percolation: un concept unificateur, La Recherche **7**, 919 (1976).
- [46] A. J. Lotka, The frequency distribution of scientific productivity, J. Wash. Acad. Sci. **16**, 317 (1926).
- [47] Y. J. Deng, W. A. Guo, Jouke R. Heringa, H. W. J. Blöte, and B. Nienhuis, Phase transitions in self-dual generalizations of the Baxter-Wu model, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.10.014) **[827](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.10.014)**, [406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.10.014) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.10.014).
- [48] W. Z. Zhang, L. X. Li, and W. A. Guo, Hard core bosons on the dual of the bowtie lattice, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134536) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134536)**, [134536](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134536) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134536).
- [49] W. Z. Zhang, R. X. Yin, and Y. C. Wang, Pair supersolid with atom-pair hopping on the state-dependent triangular lattice, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.174515) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.174515)**, [174515](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.174515) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.174515).
- [50] W. Z. Zhang, R. Li, W. X. Zhang, C. B. Duan, and T. C. Scott, Trimer superfluid induced by photoassocation on the state-dependent optical lattice, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.033622) **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.033622)**, [033622](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.033622) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.033622).
- [51] W. Z. Zhang, Y. Yang, L. J. Guo, C. X. Ding, and T. C. Scott, Trimer superfluid and supersolid on two-dimensional optical lattices, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.033613) **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.033613)**, [033613](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.033613) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.033613).