# **Unraveling the puzzling intermediate states in the Biham-Middleton-Levine traffic model**

L. E. Olmos\* and J. D. Muñoz<sup>†</sup>

*Simulation of Physical Systems Group, CeiBA-Complejidad, Physics Department, National University of Colombia, Bogota, Colombia ´* (Received 16 February 2015; published 18 May 2015)

The Biham-Middleton-Levine (BML) traffic model, a cellular automaton with eastbound and northbound cars moving by turns on a square lattice, has been an underpinning model in the study of collective behavior by cars, pedestrians, and even internet packages. Contrary to initial beliefs that the model exhibits a sharp phase transition from freely flowing to fully jammed, it has been reported that it shows intermediate stable phases, where jams and freely flowing traffic coexist, but there is no clear understanding of their origin. Here, we analyze the model as an anisotropic system with a preferred fluid direction (northeast) and find that it exhibits two differentiated phase transitions: the system is either longer in the flow direction (longitudinal) or perpendicular to it (transversal). The critical densities where these transitions occur enclose the density interval of intermediate states and can be approximated by mean-field analysis, all derived from the anisotropic exponent relating the longitudinal and transversal correlation lengths. Thus, we arrive at the interesting result that the puzzling intermediate states in the original model are just a superposition of these two different behaviors of the phase transition, solving by the way most mysteries behind the BML model, which turns out to be a paradigmatic example of such anisotropic critical systems.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.91.050801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.050801) PACS number(s): 89*.*40*.*Bb*,* 05*.*20*.*Dd*,* 05*.*65*.*+b*,* 87*.*10*.*Hk

In the recent urbanization era, society faces an inevitable increase of traffic congestion, turning its attention to urban road networks. Nowadays, it is widely assumed that a proper understanding of the mechanisms leading jamming processes is indispensable for improving the efficiency of transportation systems. The Biham-Middleton-Levine (BML) model [\[1\]](#page-3-0) is, perhaps, the simplest traffic cellular automaton able to exhibit self-organization, pattern formation, and phase transitions [\[1–4\]](#page-3-0). Although the BML model oversimplifies the city traffic in a way that does not directly resemble an urban network (i.e., with cars at the nodes and not at the links), much extensive research on flux and collective behavior has been based on it, not just for car traffic (see  $[5,6]$  and references in  $[7,8]$ ) but also for pedestrian traffic  $[9,10]$  and information packages on the Internet [\[11\]](#page-3-0). For more than a decade, it has been believed that at a certain critical car density  $\rho_c$ , the system exhibits what seems to be a first order phase transition between two phases: a free-flowing phase, where all cars move freely at all time steps (the average velocity of cars  $v = 1$ ), and a completely jamming phase, where no car moves at all  $(v = 0)$ . The value of  $\rho_c$  decreases with increasing system size, possibly reaching the value  $\rho_c = 0$ as the system size approaches infinity. Then, it was thought that the BML model would be similar to other well-known systems in statistical physics exhibiting phase transitions, e.g., percolation. However, all these conventional beliefs started to be reconsidered since Yung [\[12\]](#page-3-0) and D'Souza [\[13,14\]](#page-3-0) realized the existence of intermediate stable phases where free-flowing and jamming phases coexist (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The structure of these states is highly regular, with jams' wave fronts moving through freely flowing traffic in a wide density region, and the value of the average velocity  $(0 < v < 1)$  is extremely sensitive to the aspect ratio of the underlying lattice [\[15\]](#page-3-0). Thus, instead of a phase transition as a function of car density,

the system would exhibit two bifurcation points, limiting a region where intermediate phases would coexist between the two conventional phases above. Even though these states have been described and their asymptotic speeds have been predicted [\[13,15\]](#page-3-0), the exact locations of the bifurcation points are very difficult to determine, and no one knows what truly happens as the system size goes to infinity. Moreover, the origin of the intermediate states remain an unsolved puzzle.

In this Rapid Communication we focus on the clear existence of a preferred direction in the model dynamics. Most previous studies have overlooked this feature, even though, as we will show, it is the key for unraveling the puzzling intermediate states of the BML model. The presence of anisotropy has been fundamental in the analysis of force networks in granular matter [\[16,](#page-3-0)[17\]](#page-4-0) and flocking in collective animal behavior [\[18\]](#page-4-0). Classical theoretical models with this feature are the next-nearest-neighbor Ising model (ANNNI) [\[19\]](#page-4-0) and the driven lattice gas model [\[20\]](#page-4-0). The anisotropy should be naturally reflected in the phase transition of BML, making it, in principle, more akin to the anisotropic equivalent in percolation: directed percolation (DP) [\[21,22\]](#page-4-0). By performing an anisotropic scaling analysis on the BML model phase transition  $[23,24]$ , we found that the jamming process behaves distinctively as two separated phase transitions along different directions; namely, the system is longer either in the direction of traffic flow (longitudinal system) or in the transversal direction (transversal system). Our main result is that the puzzling intermediate states in the BML model on square lattices emerge just as a superposition of these two different transitions, solving, by the way, most mysteries behind the BML model.

*Model.* The original BML model considers two types of cars: eastbound (yellow) and northbound (black), moving on a two-dimensional square lattice with periodic boundary conditions. Each lattice site is in one of three states: empty, occupied by a yellow car, or occupied by a black one. The cars are initially randomly distributed over the lattice sites with spatial density  $\rho$  (usually taken to be the same,  $\rho/2$ , for both

<sup>\*</sup>leolmoss@unal.edu.co

<sup>†</sup> jdmunozc@unal.edu.co

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Average velocity  $\langle v \rangle$  vs density  $\rho$ (circles) for a BML model on a 45 $^{\circ}$ -rotated 256 × 256 lattice [as depicted in (b), with eastbound cars in yellow (light gray) and northbound cars in black]. The mean-field approach for longitudinal systems (dashed red line) and the numerical prediction for an infinite transverse system (blue solid line) are also included for comparison. Typical configurations for (c) free flow, (d) intermediate states, (e) one global jam, and (f) random jams are also included.

north- and eastbound cars). The fully deterministic dynamics is as follows: On even (odd) steps, all eastbound (northbound) cars synchronously attempt to advance one lattice site toward the east (north). If the site eastward (northward) of a car is currently empty, it advances. Otherwise, it remains stationary. The system exhibits, therefore, a preferred northeast direction for the flux.

To study the system as an anisotropic one, we explicitly rotated the lattice 45◦, so we could control the system lengths along the longitudinal ( $L_{\parallel}$ ) and transversal ( $L_{\perp}$ ) directions to the car flow. Although this rotation changes the boundary conditions, the system still exhibits the same three phases observed in the original BML model (see Fig. 1). In anisotropic systems, clusters show different correlation lengths along the longitudinal and transversal directions,  $ξ_{\parallel}$  and  $ξ_{\perp}$ , respectively, which scale with different exponents as  $\xi_{\parallel} \sim (\rho - \rho_c)^{-\frac{1}{\nu_{\parallel}}}$  and  $\xi_{\perp} \sim (\rho - \rho_c)^{-\frac{1}{\nu_{\perp}}}$  [\[21,23\]](#page-4-0). An anisotropy exponent, relating the different scalings of the two correlation lengths, is defined as the ratio  $\theta = \frac{v_{\parallel}}{v_{\perp}}$ . According to [\[23–25\]](#page-4-0), when the longitudinal and transversal lengths are related by the constraint  $L_{\perp} \sim L_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$ , the system behaves as it were effectively isotropic, and standard finite-size scaling (FSS) theory applies again for all percolation quantities, just in terms of the length scale  $L_{\parallel}$ . Especially, the transition width and the percolation threshold (both obtained by fitting the transition curve with an error function [\[26\]](#page-4-0)) scale as

$$
\Delta\left(L_{\parallel},L_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right)\sim L_{\parallel}^{-\frac{1}{\nu_{\parallel}}},\,\left|\rho_{c}-\left\langle\rho_{c}\left(L_{\parallel},L_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right)\right\rangle\right|\sim L_{\parallel}^{-\frac{1}{\nu_{\parallel}}}. \quad (1)
$$

The exponent  $\theta$  can be estimated numerically from the fact that, close to the critical point, the two correlations lengths must be related by  $\xi_{\parallel} \sim \xi_{\perp}^{\theta}$ . The symbol  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes averages over final



FIG. 2. (Color online) Longitudinal  $ξ_{\parallel}$  and transversal  $ξ_{\perp}$  correlation lengths from final configurations at densities  $\rho$  in the range [0.49–0.54] for square lattices of different sizes. The power-law fit gives  $\theta = 1.64(3)$  for the anisotropy exponent.

jammed configurations starting from different random initial conditions. With this theory in mind, let us define the parallel (perpendicular) spatial correlation function [\[2\]](#page-3-0) as

$$
G_{\parallel(\perp)}(\vec{r'}) = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \sum_{\vec{r}} \sigma(\vec{r}) \cdot \sigma(\vec{r} + \vec{r'}) \right\rangle, \tag{2}
$$

where  $\sigma(\vec{x}) = 1$  (0) if the site with position  $\vec{x}$  is occupied (empty),  $N$  is the total number of cars, and  $r'$  is a vector in the direction  $\parallel$  ( $\perp$ ) you want to compute the correlation function along. The correlation functions are fitted with exponentials  $G_{\parallel(\perp)} \propto \exp(-r/\xi_{\parallel(\perp)})$  to estimate  $\xi_{\parallel(\perp)}$ .

Figure 2 presents the correlations lengths computed from final configurations of the original BML model for different square lattice sizes at densities close to the threshold transition, averaging over 50 configurations for each point. A linear regression yields an estimate of the anisotropy exponent  $\theta = 1.64 \pm 0.03$  (Here and everywhere the error bars are  $1\sigma$ ). With the exponent  $\theta$  in hand, we studied the phase transition for both longitudinal and transversal systems. Longitudinal

ones ran on lattices with sizes  $L_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \times L_{\parallel}$ .<br>Figure [3\(a\)](#page-2-0) shows that velocity begins to decrease smoothly

with increasing *ρ* until the abrupt onset of full jamming  $(v = 0)$  at a certain  $\rho_{c}$ . Right there, an approximately uniform distribution of jams spans the whole system [Fig.  $3(a)$ , inset]. With Eq.  $(1)$  in mind, the FSS analysis [Figs.  $3(c)$ ] and [3\(d\)\]](#page-2-0) gives  $\rho_{c_{\parallel}} = 0.521(2)$  and  $\nu_{\parallel} = 3.0(3)$ , which would imply  $v_{\perp} = 1.8(2)$ . Quite differently, transversal systems (i.e., lattices with  $L_{\parallel} \times L_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$  ) in the gridlock phase show a single and well-localized jam on an empty background [Fig.  $3(b)$ ]. These systems exhibit a sharp phase transition between free-flow and completely gridlock phases, but at a lower density *ρ<sub>c⊥</sub>*. The FSS analysis [also in Figs. [3\(c\)](#page-2-0) and [3\(d\)\]](#page-2-0) gives  $\rho_{c_{\perp}} = 0.283(1)$  and  $v_{\perp} = 2.2(1)$ , in agreement with the previous result. Hence, the intermediate states in square lattices [\[13\]](#page-3-0) emerge as a consequence of the combination of these two phase transitions [Figs.  $3(a)$  and  $3(b)$ ]. Figure  $3(a)$  also shows a dip at a density  $\rho \simeq 0.48$ , below the transition point. An analysis of the time series for the average velocity shows that the system has not stabilized yet and does not stabilize for simulations 10 times longer, but the point rises monotonically as the simulation

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Finite-size scaling analysis for the phase transition of both longitudinal and transversal systems. (a) Transition curves for several longitudinal systems, including the mean-field prediction (magenta solid line) and a typical configuration for the jammed phase (inset). (b) Transition curves for several transversal systems [sizes as in (a), but with  $L_{\parallel}$  and  $L_{\perp}$  interchanged], including the asymptotic limit (magenta solid line) obtained from the FSS analysis. (c) Scaling of the transition width  $\Delta(L_{\parallel})$  for both cases, giving  $v_{\parallel} = 3.0(3)$  and  $v_{\perp} = 1.8(2)$ . (d) Scaling of the finite critical density gives  $\rho_{c_{\parallel}} = 0.521(2)$  and  $\rho_{c_{\perp}} = 0.283(2)$ . All systems were simulated for at least  $1.5 \times 10^6$ time steps or until convergence ( $v = 0$  or  $v = 1$ ).

time increases. Thus, it could be an effect of an extremely large relaxation time at this density.

The critical densities for both longitudinal and traverse transitions can be approximated by using a mean-field analysis, inspired by [\[27\]](#page-4-0). Consider the mean velocity of yellow cars (by symmetry, the reasoning is also valid for black cars). A yellow car will stop either because it is crossed by a black car or because it queues behind another yellow car. At a random initial configuration, the probability that a car is crossed or queued is  $\rho^2$ ; that is, at the beginning of the simulation the proportion of stopped cars  $p_{stop}$  must be equal to  $\rho$ . Let us define  $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow}$  ( $c_{\rightarrow \rightarrow}$ ) as the proportion of stopped cars that are crossed (queued). In previous works [\[27,28\]](#page-4-0), it has been assumed that  $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow} = c_{\rightarrow \rightarrow} = 0.5$ , but we will see that this is not the case. If  $p_{stop} \sim \rho$  for some time steps, the probability of a cell being occupied by a stopped crossed (queued) car will be  $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow} \rho^2$  ( $c_{\rightarrow \rightarrow} \rho^2$ ). Since black cars spend, on average, a time 1*/v* at a site, they will reduce the speed of yellow cars from unity by  $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow} \rho^2/v$ . Similarly, the extra amount of time that a yellow car stays at a site will be given by  $\frac{1}{v} - 1$ , reducing the average speed by  $c \rightarrow \rho^2(\frac{1}{v} - 1)$ . Hence, a self-consistency equation for the average speed *v* will be

$$
v = 1 - \frac{c_{\to \uparrow} \rho^2}{v} - c_{\to \to \rho^2} \left( \frac{1}{v} - 1 \right),\tag{3}
$$

which gives  $\rho_c$  as the critical density at which the equation ceases to give a real solution.

Consider longitudinal systems first, where a uniform distribution of longitudinal jams arises. Before solving Eq. (3), let us study the evolution over time of the quantities involved. On the one hand, Fig.  $4(a)$  shows the time evolution of  $p_{stop}$  for different values of the car density for a longitudinal system. At the start,  $p_{stop} = \rho$  for every density, as expected. Later on,

*p*stop declines (grows) for low (high) densities, and this trend changes near the critical density  $\rho_c$ . Actually,  $p_{stop}$  after one time step equals  $\rho$  for  $\rho \simeq 0.57$  in a large system [Fig. 4(b)]. On the other hand,  $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow} = c_{\rightarrow \rightarrow} = 0.5$  only at the start, but after just one time step they change to  $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow} \sim 0.600(5)$  and  $c_{\rightarrow} \sim 0.400(5)$ , remaining at those values for some time steps [Fig.  $4(c)$ ]. By replacing these two values into Eq. (3), the mean-field approach predicts a critical density  $\rho_{\parallel} = 0.563(5)$ , in agreement with the value obtained from both finite-size scaling and the behavior change of  $p_{stop}$  described above.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Time evolution of (a) the fraction of stopped cars  $p_{\text{stop}}$  and (b) of the fraction of crossed ( $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow}$ ) and queued  $(c_{\rightarrow \rightarrow})$  stopped cars in a 1438 × 82 longitudinal system. (c) The value of  $p_{stop}$  after one time step for several densities is also shown. (d) Schematic representation of the jamming process for transversal systems.

Transversal systems, in contrast, collapse into a single big jam. Thus, the previous reasoning is impractical here because the local density becomes inhomogeneous during the collapse: increasing in the vicinity of the growing jam and dropping to zero elsewhere. Let us consider that the density at the start is low enough to assume that all cars are moving. At a certain evolution time the cars have condensed into a thinner region [red area in Fig. [4\(d\)\]](#page-2-0) of area *A*red, where the density has reached the critical value  $\rho_{c_{\parallel}}$  for longitudinal systems. All cars in this region come from a larger region of area *A*green in the initial configuration [green area in Fig.  $4(d)$ ]. Let us assume that the red area is a fraction of the green one equal to the fraction of cars that have stopped,  $A_{\text{red}}/A_{\text{green}} = p_{\text{stop}}$ . Because the number of cars does not change, at the critical density

$$
\rho_{\text{green}} = \rho_{\text{red}} \frac{A_{\text{red}}}{A_{\text{green}}} = \rho_{c_{\parallel}}^2,\tag{4}
$$

where we have used the result that  $p_{\text{stop}} = \rho$  at the critical density for longitudinal systems. Then, the critical density for transversal systems will be  $\rho_{c_{\perp}} = \rho_{c_{\parallel}}^2 = 0.271(3)$ , which approximates quite well the value  $\rho_{c_{\perp}} = 0.283(2)$  from the simulations [Fig.  $3(d)$ ].

The values for  $c \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c \rightarrow \uparrow$  at  $t = 1$  can also be estimated from a mean-field analysis. Let us assume the car distribution is still uniform after one timestep. Remember that the fraction of cars that stop at  $\rho = \rho_c$  is  $p_{stop} = \rho$  [Fig. [3\(c\)\]](#page-2-0). The number of crossed cars at  $t = 1$  will be the contribution of three factors: the cars that were moving at  $t = 0$  but stopped and are now crossed  $(c_{\rightarrow 0}^{(t=1)}(1-\rho))$ , the crossed cars at  $t=0$  that remain crossed  $(c^{(t=0)}_{\rightarrow \uparrow} \rho)$ , because the site ahead of the crossed couple is occupied) and the queued cars that turned into crossed ones  $(c_{\rightarrow \rightarrow}^{(t=0)} \frac{\partial}{2}(1-\rho))$ , which takes place if the site ahead of the queued couple is empty and a car moving in the other direction points to the header of the couple). Thus, we have  $c^{(t=1)}_{\rightarrow \uparrow}$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$   $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  =  $c^{(t=1)}_{\to \uparrow}(1-\rho) + c^{(t=0)}_{\to \uparrow}\rho + c^{(t=0)}_{\to \to \frac{\rho}{2}}(1-\rho)$ . As  $c^{(t=0)}_{\to \uparrow} = c^{(t=0)}_{\to \uparrow} =$ 0.5 and  $\rho = \rho_c$ , then,  $c_{\rightarrow \uparrow}^{(t=1)} \approx \frac{3-\rho_c}{4} \approx 0.61$  and  $c_{\rightarrow \rightarrow}^{(t=1)} \approx 0.39$ , very close to the simulation results. Using these values in the Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0), gives  $\rho_{\parallel} = 0.562(2)$ , also close to the simulations.

Taken together, our results suggest that the puzzling intermediate states in the BML model on square lattices are, actually, a consequence of the system's anisotropy, which produces two different phase transitions: one for transverse

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>L. E. OLMOS AND J. D. MUÑOZ **PHYSICAL REVIEW E 91**, 050801(R) (2015)

systems, with  $\rho_{c_{\perp}} = 0.283(1)$ , and another for longitudinal ones, with  $\rho_{c_{\perp}} = 0.521(1)$ . Indeed, the first critical density corresponds to the lower bifurcation point on square lattices, reported at  $\rho = 0.315$  [13], contradicting the general belief that this would go to zero for infinite systems; similarly, the second critical density perfectly matches the value of  $\rho = 0.52$ reported as the transition point between self-organized jams and random jams [2,4] within the conventional understanding of the original BML model. The asymptotic limit of the curves from simulations on transverse systems [blue solid line in Fig.  $1(a)$ ] and the mean-field curve for longitudinal systems [Eq.  $(3)$  and dashed red line in Fig.  $1(a)$ ] perfectly enclose the zone of intermediate states. In fact, the structure of the intermediate states can also be explained if one transition has taken place but not the other. Figure  $1(d)$  shows how an incipient jam with a structure similar to those of transversal systems [Fig.  $3(b)$ , inset] begins to form, but it not succeed in blocking everything because the system is too wide. The cars leaving the jam in both directions create bands of yellow (light gray) and black stripes. These bands will cross each other to form another incipient global jam and so on, establishing the distinctive periodic structure of such intermediate states.

Despite having studied the critical features of the BML phase transitions with the DP formalism, the obtained critical exponents are not compatible with the universality class of the directed percolation. Instead, the critical behavior here coincides with that reported for the parity-conserving universality class  $[21,22]$ . The origin of this relationship remains an open question for future research.

By finding the origin of the intermediate states of the BML model, we have built a very complete description of such a fundamental model for traffic flow, illustrating at the same time the power of the finite-size scaling analysis for anisotropic systems, where the BML model seems to be a paradigmatic example. We look forward to seeing many more results of this enlightening analysis technique in the future.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank the Complex Systems Research Center CeiBA-Complejidad and the National University of Colombia for financial support.

- [1] O. Biham, A. A. Middleton, and D. Levine, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.46.R6124) **[46](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.46.R6124)**, [R6124](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.46.R6124) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.46.R6124).
- [2] S. I. Tadaki and M. Kikuchi, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.4564) **[50](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.4564)**, [4564](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.4564) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.50.4564).
- [3] J. Torok and J. Kertész, *[Phys. A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(96)00144-6)* [231](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(96)00144-6), [515](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(96)00144-6) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(96)00144-6).
- [4] H. S. Gupta and R. Ramaswamy, J. Phys. A **29**, 022812 (1996).
- [5] [J.-R. Xie, R. Jiang, Z.-J. Ding, Q.-L. Li, and B.-H. Wang,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.022812) *Phys.* Rev. E **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.022812)**, [022812](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.022812) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.022812).
- [6] Y. Sun and I. Timofeyev, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.052810) **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.052810)**, [052810](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.052810) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.052810).
- [7] T. Nagatani, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/65/9/203) **[65](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/65/9/203)**, [1331](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/65/9/203) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/65/9/203).
- [8] D. Chowdhury, L. Santen, and A. Schadschneider, *[Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00117-9)* **[329](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00117-9)**, [199](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00117-9) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00117-9).
- [9] [H. Yue, H. Hao, X. Chen, and C. Shao,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2007.05.070) Phys. A (Amsterdam, Neth.) **[384](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2007.05.070)**, [567](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2007.05.070) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2007.05.070).
- [10] J. Cividini, H. J. Hilhorst, and C. Alpert-Rolland, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/34/345002) **[46](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/34/345002)**, [345002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/34/345002) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/34/345002).
- [11] T. Ohira and R. Sawatari, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.58.193) **[58](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.58.193)**, [193](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.58.193) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.58.193).
- [12] W. K. Yung, Master's thesis, University of Hong Kong, 1998.
- [13] R. M. D'Souza, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.066112) **[71](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.066112)**, [066112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.066112) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.066112).
- [14] R. M. D'Souza, Complexity **12**, L547 (2006).
- [15] N. J. Linesch and R. M. D'Souza, [Phys. A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.06.052) **[387](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.06.052)**, [6170](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.06.052) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.06.052).
- [16] S. Ostojic, T. J. H. Vlugt, and B. Nienhuis, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.030301) **[75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.030301)**, [030301\(R\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.030301) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.030301).

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>UNRAVELING THE PUZZLING INTERMEDIATE STATES . . . PHYSICAL REVIEW E **91**, 050801(R) (2015)

- [23] K. Binder and J.-S. Wang, [J. Stat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01042592) **[55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01042592)**, [87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01042592) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01042592).
- [24] S. Redner and P. R. Mueller, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5293) **[26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5293)**, [5293](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5293) [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5293).
- [25] J. K. Williams and N. D. Mackenzie,[J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/17/012) **[17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/17/012)**, [3343](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/17/012) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/17/012).
- [26] The values of  $\rho_c$  and  $\Delta(L)$  were determined by fitting the transition curve with a complimentary error function  $\frac{a}{2}$  erfc $\left[\frac{\rho_c(L)-\rho}{\Delta(L)}\right]$ . As the transition on transversal systems occurs between  $v = 1$ and  $v = 0$ , then  $a = 1$ . For the longitudinal case  $a = 0.71$ , which corresponds to the average speed just before the transition [see Fig. 3(a)].
- [27] B. H. Wang, Y. F. Woo, and P. M. Hui,[J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/29/1/006) **[29](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/29/1/006)**, [L21](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/29/1/006) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/29/1/006).
- [28] T. Nagatani, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.62.2656) **[62](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.62.2656)**, [2656](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.62.2656) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.62.2656).
- [17] [D. Bi, J. Zhang, B. Chakrabortty, and R. P. Behinger,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10667) Nature (London) **[480](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10667)**, [355](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10667) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10667).
- [18] T. Vicsek and A. Zafeiris, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004) **[517](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004)**, [71](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004).
- [19] M. Pleimling and M. Henkel, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.125702) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.125702)**, [125702](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.125702) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.125702).
- [20] B. Schmittmann and R. P. Zia, *Statistical Mechanics of Driven Diffusive System*, Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Vol. 17 (Academic, London, 1995).
- [21] H. Hinrichsen, [Adv. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152) **[49](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152)**, [815](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152).
- [22] M. Henkel, H. Hinrichsen, and S. Lubeck, *Non-Equilibrium Phase Transitions*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Vol. 14 (Springer, Berlin, 2008).