Mechanics of anisotropic spring networks

T. Zhang,¹ J. M. Schwarz,¹ and Moumita Das²

¹*Department of Physics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244, USA* ²*School of Physics and Astronomy, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, New York 14623, USA* (Received 25 August 2014; published 29 December 2014)

We construct and analyze a model for a disordered linear spring network with anisotropy. The modeling is motivated by, for example, granular systems, nematic elastomers, and ultimately cytoskeletal networks exhibiting some underlying anisotropy. The model consists of a triangular lattice with two different bond occupation probabilities, p_x and p_y , for the linear springs. We develop an effective medium theory (EMT) to describe the network elasticity as a function of p_x and p_y . We find that the onset of rigidity in the EMT agrees with Maxwell constraint counting. We also find beyond linear behavior in the shear and bulk modulus as a function of occupation probability in the rigid phase for small strains, which differs from the isotropic case. We compare our EMT with numerical simulations to find rather good agreement. Finally, we discuss the implications of extending the reach of effective medium theory as well as draw connections with prior work on both anisotropic and isotropic spring networks.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062139](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062139) PACS number(s): 46*.*65*.*+g*,* 87*.*16*.*Ln*,* 82*.*35*.*Lr*,* 81*.*40*.*Jj

I. INTRODUCTION

The onset of rigidity in disordered spring networks has been studied as a model for elasticity in disordered materials. Such a model undergoes a phase transition from not-rigid to rigid at some critical fraction of springs (bonds) [\[1–4\]](#page-6-0). Numerical simulations on a bond-diluted triangular lattice suggest that the transition is a continuous one with the percolating rigid cluster having a fractal dimension at the transition $[3,5]$. To date, one of the few theoretical tools to analyze rigidity percolation is effective medium theory (EMT). In 1985, an effective medium theory was developed by Feng, Thorpe, and Garboczi analytically capturing the relationship between disorder and mechanical response in these systems in good agreement with numerical simulations [\[6–8\]](#page-6-0).

We now extend this theoretical framework—the EMT and the numerical simulations—to a disordered spring network with anisotropy. Anisotropic networks abound in nature and manmade materials and are an important class of soft matter. Consider, for example, an orientationally ordered, but spatially disordered, network made of liquid-crystal elastomers. The interplay between the gel-sol transition and the isotropicnematic transition in both synthetic and biological systems has been studied [\[9,10\]](#page-6-0). Consider also a layered, but disordered, system of granular particles [\[11\]](#page-6-0). What are the macroscopic elastic properties of such a system? Some properties have been analyzed in the ordered case $[12,13]$, but the disordered case is more complex and has received less attention. Finally, the cytoskeleton, the filamentous scaffolding that provides most animal cells their shape and rigidity, can consist of rather oriented, cross-linked filaments such as in lamellipodia, the broad, thin protrusion at the leading edge of a crawling cell [\[14,15\]](#page-6-0).

While this paper focuses on extending the analytical framework of effective medium theory to disordered linear spring networks with anisotropy, the rigidity transition in "superelastic" anisotropic central-force networks has been studied in prior work by Roux and Hansen and Wang and Harris [\[16–18\]](#page-6-0). In these "superelastic" networks, all bonds in a preferred direction are occupied with springs, and bonds

in other directions have infinite rigidity with a probability *p* and a finite rigidity with a probability $1 - p$. In addition to determining the rigidity percolation threshold, the notion of splay rigidity, in which only rotational degrees of freedom are frozen out, and a mapping to a random resistor network for the bulk modulus is discussed [\[17\]](#page-6-0). Some of their results can be extended to the usual bond-diluted system that we study here. However, there remain open questions about the interplay between anisotropy and rigidity in these systems. In particular, one can ask how does the difference in the directional occupation probability of springs influence the mechanical response of the network, and how does this couple to the direction of the applied deformation?

To answer these questions, we investigate a triangularlattice-based anisotropic bond-diluted network and study how the anisotropy in the occupation of the springs influences the ability of the network to bear stresses using an effective medium theory and numerical simulations. The manuscript is set up as follows. We first describe the model network and its constitutive properties in Sec. II. This is followed by Sec. [III](#page-1-0) with the analysis of the model using a Maxwell constraint counting argument, the description of an effective medium theory, and a conjugate gradient numerical minimization approach that we use to further investigate the model. In Sec. [IV,](#page-3-0) we present and discuss our results, and in Sec. [V,](#page-5-0) we comment on their implications for the relevant systems at hand.

II. MODEL

We begin with a fully ordered, but anisotropic network of springs arranged in a two-dimensional triangular lattice. The bonds are given an extensional spring constant α for springs in the *x* direction, and γ for springs making 60° and 120° angle to the *x* direction, i.e., having a *y* component. We then introduce disorder into the system by removing bonds along the *x* direction with probability $1 - p_x$, where $0 < p_x < 1$, and bonds with a *y* component with probability $1 - p_y$, where $0 < p_y < 1$. There are no spatial correlations between these cutting points in either case. This generates a disordered

FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic figure showing the randomly diluted anisotropic spring network with corresponding occupation probabilities p_x and p_y .

network with a broad distribution of spring lengths in either direction. When two springs intersect, there exists a cross-link preventing the two springs from sliding with respect to one another, but they can rotate freely without any energy cost.

We study the mechanical response of this disordered network under an externally applied strain in the linear response regime. For simplicity we set the rest length of the springs to unity. Let r_{ij} be the unit vector along the spring *ij* and $u_{ij} = u_i - u_j$ be the deformation of this spring. For small deformations, the deformation energy can be written as follows:

$$
E = \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} p_{x,ij} (\boldsymbol{u}_{\alpha,ij} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha,ij})^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} p_{y,ij} (\boldsymbol{u}_{\gamma,ij} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{\gamma,ij})^2,
$$
\n(1)

where $p_{x,ij}$ ($p_{y,ij}$) is the probability that the *ij* bond in the *x* (*y*) direction is occupied as shown in Fig. 1 and *a* is the lattice spacing and is set to 1. The deformation energy corresponds to the cost of extension or compression of the springs. Although the model allows for anisotropy in disorder as well as in constitutive elasticity of the springs, we have set the bare elastic constant of both types of springs to have the same value ($\alpha = \gamma = 1$ in arbitrary units). We investigate the shear and bulk moduli of this disordered network as a function of the direction-dependent occupation probability of springs in response to suitable strains imposed on the boundaries.

III. METHODS AND ANALYSIS

A. Constraint-counting argument and the rigidity threshold

We start with a constraint-counting argument due to Maxwell $[6,19,20]$, a very powerful and simple way to estimate at what occupation probability the phase transition takes place. Consider a *d*-dimensional system with *N* particles or points, and hence *Nd* degrees of freedom. The number of zero-frequency modes $(\phi N d)$, where $0 < \phi \leq 1$, is equal to the number of degrees of freedom (*N d*) minus the number of constraints, which in this case is $(\frac{1}{2}z_xNp_x + \frac{1}{2}z_yNp_y)$, where z_x is the number of nearest-neighbor points in the x direction and z_y is the number of nearest-neighbor points in the *y* direction. Here, $z_x = 2$ and $z_y = 4$. Hence, the fraction

FIG. 2. (Color online) Plot of the phase diagram according to mean-field constraint-counting argument, with the inset showing the shear modulus *G* as a function of p_x and p_y obtained from the EMT in units of γ (set to unity) and the horizontal lattice spacing (set to unity). Different lattice realizations are also shown.

of zero-frequency modes is

$$
\phi = 1 - \bigg(\frac{1}{2}p_x + p_y\bigg).
$$

So the transition takes place when ϕ goes to zero or

$$
\frac{p_x}{2} + p_y = 1.
$$

In Fig. 2, we show the rigidity phase diagram of the disordered network, as a function of the occupation probability p_x and p_y of springs in the *x* and 60° and 120° to the *x* direction, respectively. We also show the network structure for three representative points in the rigid phase of the phase diagram: $p_x = 1$, $p_y = 1$, $p_x = 0.75$, $p_y = 0.90$, and $p_x = 0$, $p_y = 1$. In what follows we investigate how the mechanical response of the system changes as the network is progressively diluted, finally reaching the transition threshold. To accomplish this objective, we have used an effective medium theory and an energy minimization approach, which we describe below.

B. Effective medium theory

We study the mechanical response of this disordered network for small deformations using an effective medium theory [\[2,6–8,22\]](#page-6-0). The aim of the theory is to construct an effective medium or ordered network that has the same mechanical response as the depleted network under consideration. The effective filament stretching elastic constants are determined by requiring that strain fluctuations produced in the original, ordered network by randomly cutting filaments have zero average.

We first illustrate how the effective medium elastic constant can be calculated for the simple case where we apply a uniform strain on an isotropic central force network, so that all bonds are equally stretched by an amount $\delta \ell_m$ with effective medium spring constant α_m . Let us now replace a spring between two points, say, *i* and *j* by different one with spring constant α . It would lead to additional extension or compression of this spring, which we calculate as follows $[6,7]$.

The virtual force necessary to return *i* and *j* to their original positions before the replacement of the spring is $f = \delta \ell_m(\alpha_m - \alpha)$. If this force is now applied between *i* and *j* in the unstrained and ordered network, it will lead to a deformation *δu* of this spring given by $f/\delta u = \alpha_m/a^*$. The effective spring constant $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = \alpha_m/a^*$ takes into account, through the dynamical matrix, the elasticity of the entire network, including the direct connections between these points. If the force *f* is applied now on an unstrained network where the spring between *i* and *j* has been replaced by a spring with stretching constant α , $f/\delta u = \alpha_{\text{eff}} = \alpha_m/a^* - \alpha_m + \alpha$. Therefore, change or fluctuation *δu* of the bond between *i* and *j* is given by

$$
\delta u = \delta \ell_m \frac{\alpha_m - \alpha}{\alpha_m / a^* - \alpha_m + \alpha}.
$$
 (2)

It follows from the superposition principle that this fluctuation *δu* is the same as the extra extension or compression in the strained network due to the replacement of the bond *ij* . Considering random bond dilution in the network and defining an effective medium such that the fluctuations *δu* should vanish when averaged over the entire network,

$$
\langle \delta u \rangle = 0. \tag{3}
$$

For a distribution of bonds $P(\alpha') = p\delta(\alpha' - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha')$ p) $\delta(\alpha')$, with *p* being the probability that a bond is present, the effective medium spring constant α_m is given by

$$
\frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha} = \frac{p - a^*}{1 - a^*},\tag{4}
$$

with $a^* = \frac{2}{Nz} \sum_q Tr[D(q)D^{-1}(q)] = 2/3$ for a central force network [\[6\]](#page-6-0).

Now let us consider an anisotropic network where the spring constant and probability of occupation for springs in the *x* direction (α, p_x) and springs making 60° and 120 \degree angles with the *x* direction (γ, p_v) are different as described in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). We decompose the triangular lattice system into two interconnected subsystems as shown in the schematic (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) and calculate the stretching forces and strain fluctuations u_α and u_γ for these two subsystems separately. For small deformations, the restoring forces on the springs are given by

$$
F_{\alpha,ij} = \alpha_m \sum u_{\alpha,ij} \cdot r_{\alpha,jk} \, r_{\alpha,jk},
$$

\n
$$
F_{\gamma,ij} = \gamma_m \sum u_{\gamma,ij} \cdot r_{\gamma,jk} \, r_{\gamma,jk}.
$$
\n(5)

The *x* and *y* components of the deformation can be written as $u_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) = -D^{-1}(q)F_{\alpha,\gamma}(q)$, where $D(q)$ is the dynamical matrix [\[6\]](#page-6-0) of the fully ordered lattice. Following the procedure for the isotropic network discussed above, we can calculate strain fluctuations in the depleted network, and effective medium elastic constants α_m and γ_m by demanding that the strain fluctuations vanish when averaged over the entire network. Since we consider uncorrelated distributions of the elastic constants α and γ , the effective medium elastic moduli α_m and γ_m are given by

$$
\frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha} = \frac{p_x - a^*}{1 - a^*}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\gamma_m}{\gamma} = \frac{p_y - b^*}{1 - b^*},
$$

\n(6)

above the rigidity percolation threshold, and $\alpha_m = \gamma_m = 0$ below, with p_x and p_y at the threshold obeying the constraint condition $p_y + p_x/2 = 1$ as discussed earlier. The geometric constants *a*[∗] and *b*[∗] represent the whole network contribution to the effective spring constants α_m/a^* and γ_m/b^* of the bonds. When the network is strained springs in the *y* direction will contribute to the elasticity in the *x* direction and vice versa due to the coupling between the two-sublattices accounted for by *a*[∗] and *b*∗. They are given by

$$
a^* = \frac{2\alpha_m}{Nz_\alpha} \sum_q \text{Tr}[(1 - e^{-iaq \cdot r_{\alpha,ij}})r_{\alpha,ij}r_{\alpha,ij}D^{-1}(q)],
$$

\n
$$
b^* = \frac{2\gamma_m}{Nz_\gamma} \sum_q \text{Tr}[(1 - e^{-iaq \cdot r_{\gamma,ij}})r_{\gamma,ij}r_{\gamma,ij}D^{-1}(q)].
$$
\n(7)

The sum is over the first Brillouin zone and $z_{\alpha,\gamma}$ are coordination numbers, and r_α are unit vectors along bonds with spring constants α , i.e., bonds that were originally along the *x* direction, while $r_{\alpha,\gamma}$ are unit vectors along bonds with spring constants α, γ in the original undeformed lattice. The above definition of a^* and b^* , together with fact that in the fully ordered triangular lattice network, 1*/*3 of all the bonds have a spring constant α and 2/3 of the bonds by spring constants *γ* lead to the constraint condition: $\frac{1}{3}a^* + \frac{2}{3}b^* = \frac{2}{3}$. At the rigidity percolation threshold, $a^* = p_x$ and $b^* = p_y$, and thus $\frac{p_x}{2} + p_y = 1$, in agreement with the Maxwell constraint counting. We obtain the effective medium spring constants α_m and γ_m by solving Eqs. (6) and (7) simultaneously. These elastic constants describe an ordered network that has the same mechanical response as the original depleted network, and can be used to calculate the shear and bulk moduli of the latter as discussed in Sec. [IV.](#page-3-0)

C. Numerical simulations

Simulations are conducted on the triangular lattice with system size $N_x = N_y = 128$ (shown unless otherwise specified). The network is initialized by adding bonds in the x direction with probability p_x , and the bonds with a *y* component with probability p_{ν} .

Since the model system is anisotropic, there exist more than one shear modulus. We calculate the following shear response: a shear deformation is applied to two fixed boundaries along the *x* direction with strain magnitude $\epsilon = 1\%$, while the other two boundaries are periodic. Our simulations suggest that the mechanical response of the network may be reasonably approximated by linear response at such strains. Then the network is relaxed by minimizing the total energy of this system using the conjugate gradient method [\[21\]](#page-6-0) allowing the deformation to be fully propagated. Eventually, a minimum energy state is be found within the tolerance 10^{-8} with energy *E*_{min}. Then the shear modulus is calculated by $G = \frac{2E_{\text{min}}}{A_{\text{unit}}N_xN_y\epsilon^2}$, where $A_{\text{unit}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, denoting the area of one unit cell with

unit bond length. Sample averaging is performed over 10 runs typically.

For the measurement of bulk modulus, a small ($\epsilon = 2\%$) uniform strain is applied to all four fixed boundaries. Once the system energy is minimized, we calculate the energy E_{box} from part of the network within a box in the center of the system with box size $N'_x = N'_y = 108$. The bulk modulus is then calculated by $K = \frac{2E_{\text{box}}}{a_{\text{unit}}N_x^{\prime}N_y^{\prime}\epsilon^2}$, where $A_{\text{unit}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$.

IV. RESULTS

We now discuss our main results on the mechanical response of the anisotropic disordered network under the shear and hydrostatic strains. To compare the results of the simulation on the shear modulus *G* and bulk modulus *K* of the network with the effective medium theory, we first calculate the corresponding effective medium predictions in terms of the previously calculated spring constants α_m and γ_m . To do so, consider a fully ordered triangular network with central force interactions only. For small, uniform deformations, the strain energy density of a unit hexagonal cell is given by

$$
F = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \Sigma_{b=1}^{6} \alpha^{(b)} n_i^{(b)} n_j^{(b)} n_k^{(b)} n_m^{(b)} u_{ij} u_{km}, \qquad (8)
$$

where the superscript $b = 1,2,3,4,5,6$ represents the six nearest-neighbor bonds in the unit cell and $\alpha^{(b)}$ is the corresponding spring constant.

For the system under study, the unit vectors $n^{(b)}$ and respective angles $\theta^{(b)}$ for the springs with spring constant α are given by

$$
\theta^{(1)} = 0, \quad n_1^{(1)} = 1, \quad n_2^{(1)} = 0,
$$

$$
\theta^{(2)} = \pi, \quad n_1^{(2)} = -1, \quad n_2^{(2)} = 0.
$$
 (9)

Similarly, for springs with γ_m ,

$$
\theta^{(3)} = \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad n_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad n_2^{(3)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},
$$

\n
$$
\theta^{(4)} = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad n_1^{(4)} = \frac{-1}{2}, \quad n_1^{(4)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},
$$

\n
$$
\theta^{(5)} = \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad n_1^{(5)} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad n_2^{(5)} = \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2},
$$

\n
$$
\theta^{(6)} = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad n_1^{(6)} = \frac{-1}{2}, \quad n_2^{(6)} = \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2}.
$$
\n(10)

With these inputs, the deformation energy density *F* of the effective medium anisotropic network is given by [\[13,23\]](#page-6-0)

$$
F = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}}[(8\alpha_m + \gamma_m)u_{xx}^2 + 9\gamma_m u_{yy}^2 + 6\gamma_m u_{xx}u_{yy} + 3\gamma_m (u_{xy} + u_{yx})^2].
$$
 (11)

The stress components can then be calculated using $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{ij}}$ and can be used to calculate the direction-dependent shear and bulk moduli as shown below [\[24\]](#page-6-0).

In the simulation we calculate the shear modulus corresponding to the boundary-applied shear strain u_{xy} , and the 2D bulk modulus corresponding to a hydrostatic compression. The shear modulus for a shear strain applied via the boundaries

FIG. 3. (Color online) The shear modulus *G* as a function of p_y for different p_x as shown in the legend. The open symbols show data from the simulations and the filled symbols (joined by solid lines in the inset) represent the result from the effective medium theory. The inset shows the same data on a log-linear scale. The system size in the simulation is $N_x = N_y = 128$.

along the *x* direction at $L_y = 0$ and $L_y = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(N_y - 1)$ (in units of the lattice spacing) is given by

$$
G = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{u_{xy}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \gamma_m. \tag{12}
$$

Under the hydrostatic compression of the system, the network undergoes a uniform compression by an amount δ in both x and *y* directions. Clearly, $u_{xx} = u_{yy} = \delta$ and $u_{xy} = 0$. The area bulk modulus is given by

$$
K = \frac{\sigma_K}{\Delta A/A},\tag{13}
$$

where the hydrostatic stress σ_K is given by $\sigma_K = \frac{\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy}}{2}$ = $\frac{(\alpha_m + 2\gamma_m)\delta}{\sqrt{3}}$, and the change in area of the system relative to its original area is given by $\Delta A/A = u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 2\delta$. The area bulk modulus is then $K = \frac{\alpha_m + 2\gamma_m}{\sqrt{12}}$. Note that we recover the expected results $G = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \gamma_m$ and $K = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \gamma_m$ for the isotropic case.

Figure 3 shows the shear modulus obtained from the numerical simulation (open symbols) against the effective medium theory (solid lines). We keep p_x fixed at different values and study how *G* changes as a function of p_y . We find that the agreement on the value of the shear moduli between the theory and simulation to be rather good for larger values of p_x and p_y . In addition, our effective medium calculations suggest that for the network to have finite rigidity, $\frac{p_x}{2} + p_y \ge 1$, i.e., it is a necessary but not sufficient condition. Random dilution of the triangular lattice leads redundant bonds and floppy inclusions being introduced [\[5,20\]](#page-6-0). Such beyond-mean-field effects are not taken into account in the Maxwell constraint counting. In other words, the lattice is not cleverly constructed so that, at the transition for example, the network is minimally rigid. One ultimately needs to go beyond mean field (or EMT) and take into account the spatial makeup of the network to determine the precise value of the threshold. Also, the subtraction of the global degrees of freedom are not included in the above condition.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Shear modulus G (for shear applied via the *x* boundaries) as a function of p_y at fixed $p_x = 0.5$ and for different system sizes (as shown in legend).

We observe that the numerically calculated value of the threshold p_y is less than the analytical estimate. In addition to redundant bonds, floppy inclusions, and subtracting the global degrees of freedom, another reason for the discrepancy is that in the simulation there are two boundaries fixed where the shear deformation is applied. For the vertices on these fixed boundaries, they lose some neighbors compared to those with periodic boundary conditions, but they will add to the number of constraints since they are fixed. This would suggest a smaller threshold of p_y for a given p_x in the simulations as seen.

To begin to quantify such boundary effects we study the dependence of the rigidity percolation threshold on system size as shown in Fig. 4. We find that while the numerically calculated value of the threshold is always less than the analytical estimate, it moves closer to the analytical value with increasing system size. Our results suggest that the system-size dependence in the *y* direction is stronger than in the *x* direction. This may be because the *x* direction has periodic boundary conditions, while in the *y* direction the boundary conditions are fixed. For a given size, systems with periodic boundaries tend to be less sensitive to finite system size effects as compared to those with fixed boundaries. We must also point out that the finite system size effects are even more pronounced the larger the difference between p_x and p_y .

Finally, we also study the bulk modulus *K* in response to a hydrostatic compression by up to 2%. Our results are shown in Fig. 5. As with the shear modulus, we find the best match between the simulations and the analytical estimates on the modulus for larger values of p_x and p_y . We also find that the numerically calculated value of the threshold is less than that of the analytical estimate and moves closer to the analytical value with increasing system size. The system-size analysis for the bulk moduli as shown in Fig. 6, once again, shows specifically the rigidity percolation threshold moving closer to the theoretical prediction with increasing system size and that changes in the system size in the *y* direction lead to larger shift in the moduli as compared to changes in the system size in the *x* direction.

Before concluding, let us discuss two limits that closely relate to prior work. The first is $p_x = 1$. Wang and Harris study an anisotropic spring network on a triangular lattice where $p_x = 1$ and $0 < p_y < 1$ [\[17\]](#page-6-0). They propose the existence of a

FIG. 5. (Color online) The area bulk modulus *K* as a function of p_y for different p_x as shown in the legend in units of the bare spring constant γ (set to unity). The open symbols show data from the simulations, and the filled symbols (joined by solid lines in the inset) represent the results from the effective medium theory. The system size in the simulation is set to $N_x = N_y = 128$ and the modulus is calculated from part of the network within a box in the center of the system with box size $N'_x = N'_y = 108$.

splay rigid phase in which the rotational degrees of freedom potentially freeze-out at a smaller occupation probability than the translational degrees of freedom. For the isotropic case, rotational and translational degrees of freedom become constrained across the system at the same occupation probability. When $p_x = 1$, a splay rigid phase exists for $p_y > 0$. It seems, however, that the onset of splay rigidity coincides with rigidity, which also coincides with the connectivity bond percolation threshold on the square lattice, which is $p_c = 1/2$. Our effective medium theory predicts a rigidity percolation threshold $p_{y,rp} = 1/2$ with our lattice simulations yielding $p_{y,rp} \approx 0.4$ (for our largest system size); see Fig. [7.](#page-5-0)

When $p_x = 1$, Wang and Harris, following Roux and Hansen, consider the dual anisotropic problem of a spring with infinite spring constant with probability p_y and finite spring constant for probability $1 - p_y$, otherwise known as the "superelastic" case $[16,17]$. Wang and Harris demonstrate that the behavior of the bulk modulus *K* should be identical

FIG. 6. (Color online) Area bulk modulus K as a function of *py* at fixed $p_x = 0.5$ and for different system sizes (as shown in legend).

FIG. 7. (Color online) The shear modulus *G* and bulk modulus *K* as a function of p_y at fixed $p_x = 1$.

to the conductance exponent in random resistor networks in the superelastic network case [\[17\]](#page-6-0). Random resistor networks are scalar analogues to the vectorial force and rigidity percolation $[25]$. It is not obvious whether their results can be extended to the bond-diluted case studied here. And, in fact, our analytical results suggest that at $p_{y,rp} = 1/2$, *K* has a finite value proportional to the spring constant *α* of the springs in the *x* direction; i.e., *K* jumps discontinuously from zero for $p_y < 1/2$ (see Fig. 7). The simulations show a *K* increasing from ∼0 in not as dramatic way due to the finite size of the system. More detailed finite-system-size studies are needed to determine the existence of a jump in the lattice simulations. The shear modulus *G*, on the other hand, increases continuously from zero as a function of p_y .

As for the second limit, the system is isostatic when $p_y = 1$ and $p_x = 0$ and periodic boundary conditions are implemented. For fixed boundary conditions, the system is hyperstatic (over-constrained) and for free boundary conditions, the system is hypostatic (under-constrained). In the periodic case, we expect a rigidity transition as soon as $p_x > 0$ as dictated by Maxwell constraint counting. This expectation is also related to work by Mao and collaborators beginning with a fully occupied square lattice of springs and adding next-nearest-neighbor springs with probability p_{NNN} [\[26,27\]](#page-6-0). In this model, the system is rigid for $p_{NNN} > 0$, i.e., the transition occurs at $p_{NNN} = 0$. The result goes beyond the mean-field Maxwell constraint counting, which, again, is a necessary but not sufficient condition for rigidity [\[27\]](#page-6-0). In addition, *G* becomes nonzero continuously with $G \sim p_{NNN}^2$ [\[26\]](#page-6-0). In our model, p_x is the corresponding p_{NNN} , however, it is a nearest-neighbor bond. Interestingly, we obtain a discontinuous onset in *G* at $p_x = 0$, where the rigidity transition occurs; see Fig. 8 . This is because our shear is applied 45 degrees to the p_y bonds with fixed boundary conditions in the *y* direction, while in the earlier work shear is applied perpendicularly to the vertical square lattice bonds. Given the relation between the effective spring constants and *K*, $K > 0$ as well at the transition. As p_x increases above zero, *G* remains constant while *K* increases to its respective limiting value. We find that $\alpha_m(p_x) - \alpha_m(p_x = 0)$ increases linearly with p_x to compare with the quadratic behavior found in the square lattice with additional next-nearest-neighbor bonds; see Fig. 8.

FIG. 8. (Color online) The shear modulus *G* and bulk modulus *K* as a function of p_x at fixed $p_y = 1$. The inset shows the effective medium elastic constant α_m as a function of p_x at fixed $p_y = 1$.

V. DISCUSSION

We have now extended effective medium theory (EMT) to anisotropic disordered spring networks. We have done so by considering a particular type of anisotropy in the occupation probability such that the triangular lattice can be considered as two interconnected sub-lattices leading to two coupled equations for the effective medium elastic constants, α_m and γ_m . The elastic response of the system, such as the bulk and shear moduli, depend on these effective medium constants. For example, the shear modulus G_{xy} was found to $\sqrt{3}\gamma_m/4$ with both the effective medium theory and simulations. Given the anisotropy of the network, there also exists a second shear modulus for shear applied at 60 degrees to the *x-y* shear, given by $\sqrt{3(\alpha_m + \gamma_m)/8}$, and can be obtained from the theory. This work focused on shear strains applied in the *x-y* direction for the calculation of the shear modulus and uniform expansion in all directions for the bulk modulus.

The rigidity percolation thresholds from our EMT agree with Maxwell constraint counting with the threshold depending on both p_x and p_y . And unlike the isotropic case where *G* and *K* increase linearly with *p* above the transition, the *G* and *K* versus p_y curves (at fixed p_x) exhibit a slight departure from linearity. While this beyond-linear aspect is not as dramatic as in the EMT with spring networks with additional angular springs [\[28–](#page-6-0)[32\]](#page-7-0), anisotropy is yet another way to generate nonlinearity in the *G* versus *p* characteristics in a disordered solid, even at small strains. Although the stress-strain relationship continues to be linear at small strains studied in this work, we speculate that anisotropy would have a nontrivial contribution to the nonlinear scaling of the shear modulus, and the differential shear modulus as a function of strain at large strains, and will be studied in future work.

Drawing further comparison with the isotropic triangular lattice, we find in two limiting cases discontinuous onsets of the bulk and/or shear modulus. Such discontinuous onsets do not occur in the isotropic case. When $p_x = 1$, the bulk modulus jumps discontinuously from zero to a finite value as a function of p_y , while the shear modulus increases continuously from zero. When $p_y = 1$, both the bulk and shear modulus jump discontinuously from zero to a finite value at $p_x = 0$. The latter result differs from recent work adding additional next-nearestneighbor springs to a square lattice of springs. In this recent work, the shear modulus is equal to effective spring constant due to these additional springs and scales quadratically with the occupation probability of the next-nearest-neighbor bonds, i.e., it increases beyond zero continuously. In the anisotropic case, the "additional" p_x bonds are nearest-neighbors bonds and the effective medium elastic constant scales linearly with p_x (see inset of Fig. [7\)](#page-5-0) after some initial nonzero value at $p_x = 0$ due to the *x* component of the p_y bonds contributing to elasticity (in the *x* direction).

We compare our EMT with lattice simulations and find rather good agreement, particularly for larger values of p_x and p_y . As with lattice simulations in the isotropic case, the rigidity percolation threshold is lower than the EMT value. For reference, in the isotropic case, the EMT threshold value is $p_{rp} = 2/3$, while simulations yield $p_{rp} = 0.6602 \pm 0.0003$ [5], the *prp*s to the infinite system limit in the isotropic case is difficult. For the anisotropic case, the task is further complicated by anisotropic finite-size scaling with different relevant length scales in the two directions such that one needs to rescale the *x* and *y* directions by different amounts. Anisotropic finite-size scaling in, say, directed percolation, has been done and is based on a field theory able to estimate the two different length scales [\[33\]](#page-7-0). The absence of a field theory for rigidity percolation leaves one little to hang his or her hat on and so we leave this for future work.

While our lattice simulations are not as in good agreement with the EMT as in the isotropic case, this discrepancy is due, in part, to finite-size effects, which tend to be more complex in anisotropic systems than isotropic systems given the presence of different lengthscales. On the other hand, one

could argue that the rather good agreement is unexpected since the effective dimensionality of the system may differ from the isotropic case where mean-field and two-dimensional predictions behave similarly.

To our knowledge, our EMT calculation is the first for anisotropic spring networks and lays the foundation for the next stage where we will consider the presence of bending elasticity and nonlinear response to more accurately mechanically model cytoskeletal filaments in lamellipodia where the actin filaments have a preferred orientation [14,15]. We would then be able to better quantify the collective elastic response of a system that is important for cell motility on two-dimensional substrates. This will also allow for better comparison with recent work on the mechanics of anisotropic semiflexible polymer networks [\[34–36\]](#page-7-0). It would also be interesting to investigate the effects of splay rigidity [17,18] in anisotropic network models with bending, which may turn out to be more generic than originally thought and may be relevant for packing derived anisotropic networks based on granular materials and emerging liquid crystalline order in elastomeric gels. Such effects can be quantified with development of anisotropic effective medium theories going beyond the one constructed here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

T.Z. and J.M.S. acknowledge support from the Soft Matter Program at Syracuse University, and M.D. acknowledges support from the RIT College of Science via a D-RIG grant. J.M.S. and M.D. also acknowledge the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics (supported by NSF PHY-1066293), where some preliminary discussions on the project took place.

- [1] P. G. DeGennes, [J. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019760037010100) **[37](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019760037010100)**, [L1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019760037010100) [\(1976\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019760037010100).
- [2] S. Feng and P. N. Sen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.216) **[52](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.216)**, [216](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.216) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.216).
- [3] D. J. Jacobs and M. F. Thorpe, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4051) **[75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4051)**, [4051](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4051) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4051).
- [4] C. Moukarzel and P. M. Duxbury, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4055) **[75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4055)**, [4055](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4055) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4055).
- [5] D. J. Jacobs and M. F. Thorpe, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.3682) **[53](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.3682)**, [3682](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.3682) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.3682).
- [6] S. Feng, M. F. Thorpe, and E. Garboczi, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.276) **[31](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.276)**, [276](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.276) [\(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.276).
- [7] [L. M. Schwartz, S. Feng, M. F. Thorpe, and P. N. Sen,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.4607) *Phys.* Rev. B **[32](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.4607)**, [4607](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.4607) [\(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.4607).
- [8] M. F. Thorpe, and Y. Cai, [J. Non Cryst. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3093(89)90056-2) **[114](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3093(89)90056-2)**, [19](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3093(89)90056-2) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3093(89)90056-2).
- [9] E. M. Terentjev, [J. Phys. Cond. Matt.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/24/201) **[11](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/24/201)**, [R239](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/24/201) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/24/201).
- [10] P. Dalhaimer, D. E. Discher, and T. C. Lubensky, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys567) **[3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys567)**, [354](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys567) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys567).
- [11] J. F. Geng, G. Reydellet, E. Clement, and R. P. Behringer, [Physica D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(03)00137-4) **[182](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(03)00137-4)**, [274](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(03)00137-4) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(03)00137-4).
- [12] C. Goldenberg and I. Goldhirsch, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.084302) **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.084302)**, [084302](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.084302) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.084302).
- [13] [M. Otto, J. P. Bouchaud, P. Claudin, and J. E. S. Socolar,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.031302) *Phys.* Rev. E **[67](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.031302)**, [031302](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.031302) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.031302).
- [14] T. M. Svitkina and G. G. Borisy, [J. Cell Biol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.145.5.1009) **[145](http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.145.5.1009)**, [1009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.145.5.1009) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.145.5.1009).
- [15] L. Blanchoin, K. J. Amann, H. N. Higgs, J.-B. Marchand, D. A. Kaiser, and T. D. Pollard, [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35010008) **[404](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35010008)**, [1007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35010008) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35010008).
- [16] S. Roux and A. Hansen, [J. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/20/13/012) **[20](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/20/13/012)**, [L879](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/20/13/012) [\(1987\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/20/13/012).
- [17] J. Wang and A. B. Harris, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/6/2/011) **[6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/6/2/011)**, [157](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/6/2/011) [\(1988\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/6/2/011).
- [18] J. Wang and A. B. Harris, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7256) **[40](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7256)**, [7256](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7256) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7256).
- [19] J. C. Maxwell, Philos. Mag. **27**, 294 (1864).
- [20] S. Alexander, Phys. Rep. Rev. Sect. Phys. Lett. **296**, 65 (1998).
- [21] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992).
- [22] E. J. Garboczi and M. F. Thorpe, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.7276) **[31](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.7276)**, [7276](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.7276) [\(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.7276).
- [23] M. Ostoja-Starzewski, [Appl. Mech. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.1432990) **[55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.1432990)**, [35](http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.1432990) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.1432990).
- [24] F. Behroozi, [Langmuir](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la950636h) **[12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la950636h)**, [2289](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la950636h) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la950636h).
- [25] S. Kirkpatrick, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.45.574) **[45](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.45.574)**, [574](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.45.574) [\(1973\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.45.574).
- [26] X. Mao, N. Xu, and T. C. Lubensky, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.085504) **[104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.085504)**, [085504](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.085504) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.085504).
- [27] W. G. Ellenbroek and X. Mao, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/96/54002) **[96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/96/54002)**, [54002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/96/54002) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/96/54002).
- [28] C. Heussinger and E. Frey, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.017802) **[96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.017802)**, [017802](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.017802) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.017802).
- [29] M. Das, F. C. MacKintosh, and A. J. Levine, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.038101)* **[99](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.038101)**, [038101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.038101) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.038101).
- [30] C. P. Broedersz, X. Mao, T. C. Lubensky, and F. C. MacKintosh, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2127) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2127)**, [983](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2127) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2127).
- [31] M. Das, D. Quint, and J. M. Schwarz, [PloS One](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035939) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035939)**, [35939](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035939) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035939).
- [32] X. Mao, O. Stenull, and T. C. Lubensky, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.042601) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.042601)**, [042601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.042601) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.042601).
- [33] H. Hinrichsen, [Adv. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152) **[49](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152)**, [815](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730050198152).
- [34] [A. R. Missel, M. Bai, W. S. Klug, and A. J. Levine,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.041907) Phys. Rev. E **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.041907)**, [041907](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.041907) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.041907).
- [35] M. Kiemes, P. Benetatos, and A. Zippelius, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.021905) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.021905)**, [021905](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.021905) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.021905).
- [36] D. A. Head and D. Mizuno, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.022717) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.022717)**, [022717](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.022717) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.022717).