Rayleigh-Bénard convection with uniform vertical magnetic field

Arnab Basak, Rohit Raveendran, and Krishna Kumar*

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur-721302, India (Received 12 May 2014; revised manuscript received 14 August 2014; published 5 September 2014)

We present the results of direct numerical simulations of Rayleigh-Bénard convection in the presence of a uniform vertical magnetic field near instability onset. We have done simulations in boxes with square as well as rectangular cross sections in the horizontal plane. We have considered the horizontal aspect ratio $\eta = L_y/L_x = 1$ and 2. The onset of the primary and secondary instabilities are strongly suppressed in the presence of the vertical magnetic field for $\eta = 1$. The Nusselt number Nu scales with the Rayleigh number Ra close to the primary instability as $[\{Ra - Ra_c(Q)\}/Ra_c(Q)]^{0.91}$, where $Ra_c(Q)$ is the threshold for onset of stationary convection at a given value of the Chandrasekhar number Q. Nu also scales with Ra/Q as $(Ra/Q)^{\mu}$. The exponent μ varies in the range $0.39 \leqslant \mu \leqslant 0.57$ for Ra/ $Q \geqslant 25$. The primary instability is stationary as predicted by Chandrasekhar. The secondary instability is temporally periodic for $Pr = 0.1$ but quasiperiodic for $Pr = 0.025$ for moderate values of *Q*. Convective patterns for higher values of Ra consist of periodic, quasiperiodic, and chaotic wavy rolls above the onset of the secondary instability for $\eta = 1$. In addition, stationary as well as time-dependent cross rolls are observed, as Ra is further raised. The ratio *ro/*Pr is independent of *Q* for smaller values of *Q*. The delay in the onset of the oscillatory instability is significantly reduced in a simulation box with $\eta = 2$. We also observe inclined stationary rolls for smaller values of Q for $\eta = 2$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.90.033002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.033002) PACS number(s): 47*.*35*.*Tv*,* 47*.*20*.*Bp*,* 47*.*20*.*Ky

I. INTRODUCTION

Rayleigh-Bénard convection (RBC) $[1]$ $[1]$, where a thin horizontal layer of fluid is heated from below, is a topic of intense research. A particularly interesting variation of RBC is the case in which a low-Prandtl-number fluid is subjected to a magnetic field $[1-4]$. The system, also known as hydromagnetic convection, is relevant for several geophysical and astrophysical problems [\[5–7\]](#page-7-0). There have been extensive theoretical and numerical studies of RBC in fluids in the presence of an external magnetic field [\[1–4,8–21\]](#page-7-0). They have addressed interesting issues such as pattern selection and instability $[10-17]$, heat transport $[18-20]$, and flow reversal $[21]$.

It is widely known that a uniform vertical magnetic field tends to suppress the onset of convection, significantly reduces the convective heat transport across the fluid layer, and affects the primary as well as secondary instabilities $[1-3,9,10,13]$. Experiments $[18,19]$ show that the scaling exponent of the Nusselt number Nu, which is a measure of the convective heat flux across the fluid layer, with the Rayleigh number Ra depends on the Chandrasekhar number *Q*. The numerical simulations [\[10,13\]](#page-7-0) have mainly focused on investigating the stability of fluid patterns near primary instability. A systematic study of the convective flow structures and the scaling behavior even close to the onset of convection is lacking.

In this paper, we present the results of direct numerical simulations (DNS) for RBC in low-Prandtl-number fluids $(Pr \leq 0.7)$ in the presence of small uniform vertical magnetic field ($Q \le 670$). We have carried out the simulations in a three-dimensional box $(L_x \times L_y \times L_z)$. We have considered boxes of square $(L_y = L_x)$ and rectangular $(L_y = 2L_x)$ cross sections in the horizontal plane. Straight stationary rolls appear at the primary instability. The stationary straight rolls persist for higher values of Ra and moderate values of *Q*. Nu scales with $\epsilon = [Ra - Ra_c(Q)]/Ra_c(Q)$ as $\epsilon^{0.91}$ very close to

the primary instability. The range of scaling expands with an increase in *Q*. The Nusselt number also scales with Ra/Q as $(Ra/Q)^{\mu}$ with $0.39 \le \mu \le 0.57$ for $Ra/Q \ge 25$, which is consistent with the experimental results $[18,19]$. The secondary instability is always in the form of quasiperiodic or periodic waves along the roll axis in a square box. The secondary instability leads to periodic waves in a rectangular box. The patterns of straight stationary rolls, wavy rolls, quasiperiodic and chaotic wavy rolls, and stationary oblique rolls are observed, as Ra is raised at a given value of *Q*.

II. HYDROMAGNETIC SYSTEM

We consider a thin layer of a low-Prandtl-number Boussinesq fluid of a reference density *ρ*0, kinematic viscosity *ν*, thermal diffusivity *κ*, magnetic diffusivity *λ*, and thermal expansion coefficient α , which is confined between two horizontal surfaces separated by a distance *d*. The fluid layer is subjected to an adverse temperature gradient β and a uniform vertical magnetic field B_0 . A coordinate system is chosen such that the lower fluid surface is coincident with the *xy* plane. The *z* axis is positive along the vertically upward direction, which is also the direction of the applied magnetic field. The hydrodynamic equations are made dimensionless by measuring lengths in units of the fluid thickness *d*, time in units of viscous diffusion time d^2/v , temperature in units of $v\beta d/\kappa$, and the magnetic field in units of B_0v/λ . As the magnetic Prandtl number Pm = v/λ is usually of the order 10⁻⁶ or less for terrestrial fluids, we set Pm equal to zero. The dynamics of RBC in the presence of a uniform vertical magnetic field is then governed by the following set of dimensionless equations:

$$
\partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + Q \partial_z \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{R} a \theta \mathbf{e}_3, \quad (1)
$$

$$
Pr[\partial_t \theta + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\theta] = \nabla^2 \theta + v_3,\tag{2}
$$

$$
\nabla^2 \mathbf{b} = -\partial_z \mathbf{v},\tag{3}
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0},\tag{4}
$$

where $p(x, y, z, t)$ is the fluid pressure due to convection, $\mathbf{v}(x, y, z, t) \equiv (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is the fluid velocity, $\theta(x, y, z, t)$ is the convective temperature field, $\mathbf{b}(x, y, z, t) = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ is the induced magnetic field due to convection, and e_3 is a unit vector directed in the positive direction of the *z* axis. The induced magnetic field is slaved to the velocity in the limit of Pm \rightarrow 0, and there is no independent dynamics for **b** field. The dynamics of RBC in the presence of a uniform vertical magnetic field is then governed by three dimensionless parameters: (i) Prandtl number $Pr = v/\kappa$, which is the ratio of kinematic viscosity *ν* and thermal diffusivity *κ*, (ii) Rayleigh number Ra = $\alpha \beta g d^4 / (\nu \kappa)$, and (iii) Chandrasekhar number $Q = B_0^2 d^2 / (4\pi \rho_0 v \lambda).$

We assume idealized *stress-free* boundary conditions at the upper and lower surfaces, which may be a more useful approximation on a boundary between two liquids with a large difference in their viscosities. Almost stress-free boundary conditions were achieved in experiments by Goldstein and Graham [\[22\]](#page-7-0). We also consider the bounding surfaces to be thermally conducting but electrically nonconducting. Teflon or some ethylene-vinyl-acetate (EVA) composite [\[23\]](#page-7-0) may serve this purpose in an experiment. The boundary conditions are thus given by

$$
\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial z} = v_3 = \theta = b_1 = b_2 = \frac{\partial b_3}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ at } z = 0, 1.
$$
\n(5)

All fields are considered periodic in the horizontal plane. The magnetic field \mathbf{b}^p inside the electrically nonconducting boundaries of magnetic permeability μ_p is determined by a scalar potential ψ ($\mathbf{b}^p = \nabla \psi$), which satisfies the Laplace equation $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$. Nonzero horizontal velocities of a liquid metal at the stress-free boundaries allow a surface current density **S** at the horizontal boundaries. As the horizontal magnetic fields in the fluid vanish at the boundaries, we have the condition $\mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{b}^p / \mu_p = \mathbf{S} = S_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + S_2 \mathbf{e}_2$ to be satisfied at the boundaries. The surface currents are fixed by applying the condition $b_3^p = b_3$ at the boundaries.

III. DIRECT NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS

The components of the velocity field $\mathbf{v}(x, y, z, t)$, the convective temperature field θ (*x,y,z,t*), and the pressure $p(x, y, z, t)$ are expanded consistent with the boundary conditions $[Eq. (5)]$. The expansions of the fields are

$$
v_1(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{l,m,n} U_{lmn}(t) e^{i(lk_x x + mk_y y)} \cos(n\pi z), \quad (6)
$$

$$
v_2(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{l, m, n} V_{lmn}(t) e^{i(lk_x x + mk_y y)} \cos(n\pi z), \quad (7)
$$

$$
v_3(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{l, m, n} W_{lmn}(t) e^{i(lk_x x + mk_y y)} \sin(n\pi z), \quad (8)
$$

$$
\theta(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{l, m, n} \Theta_{lmn}(t) e^{i(lk_x x + mk_y y)} \sin(n\pi z), \qquad (9)
$$

$$
p(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{l,m,n} P_{lmn}(t) e^{i(lk_x x + mk_y y)} \cos(n\pi z), \quad (10)
$$

where $\mathbf{k} = k_x \mathbf{e}_1 + k_y \mathbf{e}_2$ is the wave vector of convective fields in the horizontal plane. The integers *l,m,n* can take values consistent with the equation of continuity. The expansions of the magnetic fields are determined by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-0-0). The scalar potentials $\psi|_{z \leq 0}$ and $\psi|_{z \geq 1}$ in the lower and upper boundaries, respectively, are

$$
\psi|_{z \leq 0}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{l, m, n} \Psi_{lmn}(x, y, t) e^{\gamma z}, \tag{11}
$$

$$
\psi|_{z\geq 1}(x,y,z,t) = \sum_{l,m,n} (-1)^{n+1} \Psi_{lmn}(x,y,t) e^{\gamma(1-z)}, \tag{12}
$$

where

$$
\Psi_{lmn}(x, y, t) = \frac{n\pi W_{lmn}(t)e^{ik_c(lx+my)}}{\gamma(\gamma^2 + n^2\pi^2)}
$$
(13)

and

$$
\gamma = k_c \sqrt{(l^2 + m^2)}.
$$
\n(14)

The surface current densities on the boundaries ($z = 0,1$) can be computed from the following equation:

$$
\mathbf{S}(z=0,1) = \mathbf{e}_3 \times \nabla \psi(z=0,1) / \mu_p. \tag{15}
$$

The critical Rayleigh number Ra*c*(*Q*) and the critical wave number $k_c(Q)$ for the stationary convection with free-slip boundary conditions are given by

$$
Ra_{c}(Q) = \frac{\pi^{2} + k_{c}^{2}}{k_{c}^{2}} [(\pi^{2} + k_{c}^{2})^{2} + \pi^{2} Q],
$$
 (16)

$$
k_c(Q) = \pi \sqrt{a_+ + a_- - (1/2)},\tag{17}
$$

$$
a_{\pm} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{Q}{\pi^2} \pm \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{Q}{\pi^2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.\tag{18}
$$

The full hydromagnetic system [Eqs. (1) – (4)] with the boundary conditions [Eq. (5)] has been integrated using a pseudospectral method in a three-dimensional simulation box $(L_x = 2\pi/k_x \times L_y = 2\pi/k_y \times L_z = 1)$. Simulation boxes with square $(\eta = L_y/L_x = k_x/k_y = 1)$ as well as rectangular $(\eta = 2)$ cross sections in the horizontal plane have been considered. We have taken $k_x = k_y = k_c(Q)$ for the case of a square cross section, while $k_x = k_c(Q)$ and $k_y = k_c(Q)/2$ for the case of a rectangular cross section. This allows us to investigate the interaction of two-dimensional (2D) stationary straight rolls (Rolls) with a new set of rolls aligned perpendicular to the old set. The new rolls have the same wavelength as that of the old set for $\eta = 1$ and double the wavelength of the old set for $\eta = 2$. A spatial resolution of $64 \times 64 \times 64$ grids is used for simulations. Time integration is carried out using a standard RK4 method with a maximum step size of 0.001. We vary the reduced Rayleigh number $r = \text{Ra/Ra}_{c}(Q)$ in small steps for a fixed value *Q* in our simulations. Final values of all fields for a given value of *r* are used as initial conditions for the next higher value of *r*. Results are also verified for different values of *r* starting with random initial conditions. They yield the same results. *Q* is varied from 5 to 670.

A. Scaling behavior near the onset of convection

We now present the scaling properties of global quantities, such as the time-averaged kinetic energy per unit mass $K =$ $\frac{1}{2} \int v^2 dV$ and "convective entropy" defined as $\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \int \theta^2 dV$ with $\epsilon = [Ra - Ra_c(Q)]/Ra_c(Q)$. The convection sets in as stationary straight rolls at the primary instability. The vertical magnetic field delays the onset of convection, which is well known since the prediction of Chandrasekhar [\[1\]](#page-7-0). It is clearly evident that the secondary instability, which is oscillatory, is strongly inhibited due to the presence of a vertical magnetic field. Figures $1(a)$ and $1(b)$ show the variation of K and Φ with ϵ for Pr = 0.1 and three different values of Q. The kinetic energy is proportional to ϵ in the stationary convection regime. The deviation from this behavior is observed when there is a transition from stationary to oscillatory convection. Away from the onset of secondary (oscillatory) instability, *K* is again approximately proportional to ϵ . The average flow speed scales with ϵ as $\epsilon^{1/2}$ near onset. However, the convective entropy scales with ϵ as $\epsilon^{0.9}$. The average convective temperature field therefore scales as $\epsilon^{0.45}$. The scaling of the average convective temperature field is different from that of the average speed. As soon as convection begins, there is advection of the temperature field and a thermal current is established in the vertical direction. A part of the thermal energy injected externally is used in maintaining the thermal flux. This is also reflected by two types of possible temperature modes Θ_{lmn} (*l* or $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$) and $\Theta_{00n}(n \geq 1)$. The modes Θ_{lmn} have zero horizontal average and they scale like velocity modes W_{lmn} . The temperature modes Θ_{00n} do not have a counterpart in the expansion for the vertical velocity, as there is no net

FIG. 1. (Color online) Variation of (a) kinetic energy *K* and (b) convective entropy Φ with $\epsilon = [Ra - Ra_c(Q)]/Ra_c(Q)$ for $Pr = 0.1$ in a square box $[k_x = k_y = k_c (Q)(\eta = 1)]$ shown in different colors for different values of *Q*, as computed from DNS. Colored (gray) dots are for different values of *Q*. The dashed lines show the variation of (a) *K* and (b) Φ with ϵ for the stationary convection.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Plot of convective heat flux ($Nu - 1$) as a function of $\epsilon = [Ra - Ra_c(Q)]/Ra_c(Q)$ for $Pr = 0.1$ and $k_x = k_y =$ $k_c(Q)(\eta = 1)$ shown for four different *Q* values, as computed from DNS, for free slip boundaries (dotted lines with points). They are compared with the numerical results (Clever and Busse [\[13\]](#page-7-0)) for no slip boundaries (solid lines). The dashed line is parallel to the linear region for stationary rolls at onset for all four Q values. (Nu – 1) scales as $\epsilon^{0.91}$ for stationary rolls near onset.

momentum flux in the vertical direction. Only in the limit of $Pr \rightarrow 0$ would the convective thermal flux vanish and the temperature field follow the vertical velocity field.

The Nusselt number defined as $Nu = 1 + Pr^2 \langle v_3 \theta \rangle_{xyz}$ is a measure of the heat flux across the fluid layer. The symbol $\langle \rangle_{xyz}$ stands for the spatial average over the simulation box. The variation of the time-averaged value of $(Nu - 1)$ is plotted as a function of ϵ in Fig. 2 for Pr = 0.1 at different values of *Q*. The dotted curves in different colors (shades of gray) are the results of DNS for different values of *Q*. The scaling of convective heat flux with ϵ near onset is given as Nu – 1 $\sim \epsilon^{0.91}$. The product *v*₃ θ scales as $\epsilon^{0.95}$, if we take $v_3 \sim \sqrt{K} \sim \epsilon^{0.5}$ and $\theta \sim \sqrt{\Phi} \sim \epsilon^{0.45}$. This estimate is not accurate. The convective heat flux is proportional to $\langle v_3 \theta \rangle_{xyz} = \langle W_{lmn}^* \Theta_{lmn} + W_{lmn} \Theta_{lmn}^* \rangle_{xyz}$, and therefore all temperature modes do not contribute to the heat flux. This feature in the scaling of heat transport should be observable in other variations of a Rayleigh-Bénard system. The scaling exponent for variation of the Nusselt number with ϵ may depend on the details of a particular system, but it is expected to be less than unity. The increase of the Nusselt number with ϵ is stopped at the onset of oscillatory instability. It first decreases, reaches a minimum, and then begins to increase once again as ϵ is raised in small steps. Solid lines in Fig. 2 display the variation of Nu with ϵ from numerical data obtained by Clever and Busse [\[13\]](#page-7-0) for no-slip horizontal boundaries. The slope of the heat flux across the fluid layer during stationary convection and much after the onset of oscillatory convection with free-slip and no-slip boundary conditions shows qualitatively similar behavior, although the actual value of Nu with free-slip is higher than its value with no-slip velocity boundary conditions. The convective heat transport for stationary convection scales with ϵ as $\epsilon^{0.91}$ near the primary instability for moderate values of *Q*. For higher values of *Q* (*>*100), the scaling exponent decreases at relatively higher values of ϵ . The scaling law is

FIG. 3. (Color online) Variation of Nusselt number Nu with Ra/O for $Pr = 0.1$ (square box) for three different values of O (for Ra*/Q >* 25), as computed from DNS. The dashed lines through blue, green and red data points are the best linear fits for $Q = 20, 50,$ and 670, respectively. Nu scales as $(\text{Ra}/Q)^\mu$, where μ values are 0.39, 0*.*48, and 0*.*57 for *Q* = 20, 50, and 670, respectively.

broken at the onset of the oscillatory convection. However, the Nu- ϵ curves with free-slip and no-slip conditions show similar slopes for higher values of ϵ . The slope of any Nu- ϵ curve for time-dependent convection is smaller than its value for stationary convection.

The scaling exponent of Nu is slightly less than unity for smaller values of Ra, which is expected even in the absence of magnetic field. The scaling exponent is likely to be dominated by the buoyancy force at much higher values of Ra, and is therefore expected to be independent of Q for $Ra \gg Q$ (fully developed turbulent regime). One expects an intermediate regime where the Lorentz force as well as the force of buoyancy together may decide the scaling exponent, if a scaling behavior is possible. We have therefore tried to probe the possibility of a scaling regime by plotting Nu as a function of Ra*/Q*. Figure 3 shows the variation of Nu as a function of Ra*/Q* for $Pr = 0.1$. The points shown as blue (black) circles, green

(gray) squares, and red (light gray) triangles are data points computed from DNS for $Q = 20$, 50, and 670, respectively. They correspond to Ra*/Q* values in a range between 40 and 600. The dashed lines, which are the best linear fits of the data obtained from DNS, show that Nu scales with Ra*/Q* as $(Ra/Q)^\mu$. The scaling exponent μ is found to vary with *Q*. Values of the exponent μ are found to be 0.39, 0.48, and 0.57 for $Q = 20, 50,$ and 670, respectively. It is interesting to note that the value of μ was found to be equal to 0.50 ± 0.03 for $Q = 670$ in experiments by Aurnou and Olson [\[19\]](#page-7-0). Its value was found to be 0.43 for $Ra/Q \approx 50$ in experiments by Cioni *et al.* [\[18\]](#page-7-0). The latter case was a regime of soft convective turbulence with reduced Rayleigh number $r \approx 100$. The values of μ computed in DNS are in qualitative agreement with those observed in experiments. DNS shows a decrease in μ with an increase in Ra*/Q* value.

B. Fluid patterns in a square simulation box

Abrupt changes in the Nu- ϵ curves (see Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0) for a fixed value *Q* indicate bifurcations in the convective flow structures. We have computed the fluid patterns from DNS. Table I enlists the convective patterns for a square box $\eta = 1$ for Pr = 0*.*025 and 0*.*1 close to onset. Thermal convection appears as 2D stationary convection (Rolls) at the primary instability. For larger values of $Q \approx 60$, the 2D rolls remain stable even at $r = 1.4$ for $Pr = 0.1$. The secondary instability is strongly delayed in the presence of a vertical magnetic field. Time-dependent convection appears at the secondary instability. Fluid patterns show quasiperiodic wavy rolls for $Pr = 0.025$ and periodic wavy rolls for $Pr = 0.1$. As the reduced Rayleigh number *r* is raised for a fixed value of *Q*, three-dimensional (3D) convective patterns consisting of periodic wavy rolls (WR), quasiperiodic wavy rolls (QWR), and chaotic wavy rolls (CWR) are observed. Further increase in *r* leads to stationary cross rolls (CR), quasiperiodic cross rolls (QPCR), and chaotic cross rolls (CCR). Rolls become unstable if*r* is increased for fixed values of Pr and *Q*. However, an increase in *Q* for fixed values of Pr and *r* always leads to 2D stationary rolls for sufficiently large values of *Q*.

TABLE I. Convective patterns in a square simulation box $(\eta = 1)$ computed from DNS for (i) Pr = 0.025 and (ii) Pr = 0.1 for different values of *r*. Patterns observed are as follows: 2D stationary rolls (Rolls), periodic wavy rolls (WR), quasiperiodic wavy rolls (QWR), stationary cross rolls (CR), quasiperiodic cross rolls (QPCR), and chaotic cross rolls (CCR).

	$Pr = 0.025$					$Pr = 0.1$				
ϱ	$r = 1.01$	$r = 1.05$	$r = 1.1$	$r = 1.2$	$r = 1.4$	$r = 1.01$	$r = 1.05$	$r = 1.1$	$r = 1.2$	$r = 1.4$
5	Rolls	OWR	OWR	CCR	CR	Rolls	WR	WR	OPCR	CR
10	Rolls	OWR	OWR	CCR	CR	Rolls	WR.	WR.	WR	CCR
20	Rolls	OWR	OWR	CCR	CCR	Rolls	Rolls	WR	WR	OPCR
30	Rolls	OWR	WR	WR	CCR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	WR	WR
40	Rolls	OWR	CWR	WR	CCR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	WR	WR
50	Rolls	OWR	CWR	WR	CCR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	WR
60	Rolls	Rolls	OWR	WR	WR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls
70	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	OWR	WR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls
80	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	WR.	WR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls
90	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	WR	WR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls
100	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	WR	CWR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls
120	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	WR	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls	Rolls

FIG. 4. Contour plots of the convective temperature field at $z = 0.5$ close to the onset of convection ($r = 1.05$) in a simulation box with a square cross section ($\eta = 1$) for Pr = 0.025 and $Q = 50$ $[k_c(Q = 50) = 3.270]$ showing temporally quasiperiodic wavy rolls (QWR). Straight rolls are observed whenever the Fourier mode W_{111} becomes zero.

Figure 4 shows the contour plots of the convective temperature field at the midplane $(z = 1/2)$ of a square simulation box for $Pr = 0.025$, $Q = 50$, and $r = 1.05$ at four time instants at equal intervals of one-fourth of the faster time period. The time-dependent patterns alternate between straight and wavy rolls. Temporal variations of the two largest Fourier modes W_{011} and W_{111} corresponding to these patterns are shown in Figs. $5(a)$ and $5(b)$, respectively. The mode W_{101} is not excited

FIG. 5. (Color online) Properties of QWR corresponding to parameters given in Fig. 4. Temporal variations of the two largest Fourier modes: (a) W_{011} and (b) W_{111} , (c) power spectral density (PSD) of the Fourier mode W_{111} , and (d) phase portrait in the W_{111} - W_{011} plane show the quasiperiodic nature of the wavy patterns.

FIG. 6. Contour plots of the temperature field at midplane $(z = 0.5)$ in a simulation box with square cross section $L_x =$ $L_y = 2\pi/k_c(Q)$] for Pr = 0.1, $r = 1.05$. Periodic wavy rolls (WR) for $Q = 10$ [$k_c(Q = 10) = 2.589$] with time period $\tau = 0.262$ (in viscous time units) at four instants: (a) $t = 0$, (b) $t = \tau/4$, (b) $t = \tau/2$, and (b) $t = 3\tau/4$.

in this case. The Fourier mode W_{011} oscillates with a nonzero mean, while the mode W_{111} oscillates with zero mean. Both of the Fourier modes show amplitude modulation. The power spectral density (PSD) of the mode W_{111} [Fig. $5(c)$] and the phase portrait in the W_{111} - W_{011} plane suggests a temporally quasiperiodic nature of the patterns [Fig. $5(d)$]. The secondary instability is always in the form of QWR for $Pr = 0.025$.

Figure 6 shows the midplane contour plots for a temperature field for $Pr = 0.1$, $r = 1.05$, and $Q = 10$ at an equal time interval equal to one-fourth of the period of oscillation τ . Temporal variations of the two largest Fourier modes W_{011} and W_{111} are shown in Figs. $7(a)$ and $7(b)$, respectively. The mode *W*⁰¹¹ again oscillates with a nonzero mean, while the mode W_{111} oscillates with zero mean. The period of the wavy mode *W*¹¹¹ is equal to double the period of the 2D roll mode W_{011} . The PSD of the mode W_{111} [Fig. [7\(c\)\]](#page-5-0) and the phase portrait in the W_{111} - W_{011} plane [Fig. [7\(d\)\]](#page-5-0) also confirm the periodic waves along the roll axis. The amount of waviness in the rolls at any given instant depends on the value of W_{111} at that instant.

Figure [8](#page-5-0) shows the regions of the parameter space (the Ra-*Q* plane) for $Pr = 0.1$ and $\eta = 1$ having different convective patterns, as obtained from DNS. The region of the Ra-*Q* plane below the solid curve represents the conduction state. The solid curve is the threshold value of the Rayleigh number $Ra_{c}(Q)$ for the appearance of thermal convection, as obtained by Chandrasekhar [\[1\]](#page-7-0). The points on this curve are computed from DNS. They are in complete agreement. As we have chosen Pm \rightarrow 0, the condition for stationary convection at onset (Pr *>* Pm) always holds. The onset of convection is always stationary, and 2D straight rolls are the primary convective patterns. Straight rolls become unstable due to oscillatory instability. The dashed curve represents the threshold for secondary instability Ra*o*, which is oscillatory

FIG. 7. (Color online) Properties of periodic wavy rolls (WR) in a simulation box with square cross section corresponding to the parameters given in Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) The temporal variations of the two largest Fourier modes (a) W_{011} and (b) W_{111} , (c) the power spectral density (PSD) of the mode W_{111} , and (d) the phase portrait in the W_{111} - W_{011} plane show a set of periodic wavy rolls.

in this case. Periodic WR are observed in the region of the Ra-*Q* plane bounded by the dashed and the dotted curves. In the region above the dotted curve, we observe time-dependent competition of two sets of rolls in mutually perpendicular

FIG. 8. (Color online) Regions of Ra-*Q* plane showing various patterns for Pr = 0.1 and $k_x = k_y = k_c(Q)$. The onsets of primary as well as secondary instabilities are delayed by magnetic field. Convection at onset is stationary (Rolls) and becomes oscillatory (WR) after Ra is increased above Ra*^o* (*Q,*Pr), the threshold for secondary (oscillatory) instability. Above the region of wavy rolls, we observe time-dependent cross rolls. The dashed lines show polynomial fits for the DNS data (points).

FIG. 9. (Color online) Scaling of oscillatory threshold with *Q*. (a) The combination r_o (Q,Pr)/Pr is independent of Q for smaller values of *Q*. Circles, squares, and triangles are data points for $Pr = 0.025$, 0.1, and 0.7, respectively. (b) The quantity $[Ra_o(Q) - Ra_o(Q = 0)]/Pr$ varies linearly with *Q* for different values of Pr.

directions. Both sets of rolls oscillate around a finite mean. Consequently, the fluid patterns consist of time-dependent cross rolls. The presence of a vertical magnetic field strongly delays not only the primary instability but also the secondary and tertiary instabilities. The threshold for the oscillatory instability Ra*^o* increases monotonically with *Q*, which shows the inhibition of the oscillatory instability, in agreement with the observations of Clever and Busse [\[13\]](#page-7-0).

The threshold for oscillatory (secondary) instability $Ra_{0}(Q,Pr)$ also shows scaling behavior with Q . The variation of $r_o(Q,Pr)/Pr$, where $r_o = [Ra_o(Q,Pr)/Ra_c(Q)]$, with Q is plotted in Fig. $9(a)$ for different values of Pr. The quantity $r_o(Q,Pr)/Pr$ is found to be independent of *Q* for lower values of *Q*. This behavior is observed in a wider range of *Q* for smaller values of Pr. Figure 9(b) shows the variation of $[Ra_0(Q) - Ra_0(Q = 0)]/Pr$ with *Q* for different values of Pr. The quantity $[Ra_0(Q) - Ra_0(Q = 0)]/Pr$ is found to be proportional to *Q*. This type of scaling was also observed in a low-dimensional model of thermal convection in the presence of a uniform horizontal magnetic field [\[17\]](#page-7-0).

C. Fluid patterns in a rectangular simulation box

It is known that the straight rolls are unstable to longwavelength perturbations in low-Prandtl-number fluids in the absence of any magnetic field. The fluid patterns in a rectangular simulation box $(\eta = 2)$ are therefore likely to show interesting behavior. Table [II](#page-6-0) lists the possible convective patterns in low-Prandtl-number fluids $(Pr = 0.025$ and $0.1)$ computed from DNS in a rectangular simulation box. Straight stationary rolls appear at the onset of convection, as observed

TABLE II. Convective patterns in a rectangular simulation box $(\eta = 2)$ computed from DNS for (i) Pr = 0.025 and (ii) Pr = 0.1 for different values of *r*. Patterns observed are as follows: 2D stationary rolls (Rolls), stationary inclined rolls (IR), periodic wavy rolls (WR), quasiperiodic wavy rolls (QWR), and chaotic wavy rolls (CWR).

		$Pr = 0.025$		$Pr = 0.1$			
ϱ	$r = 1.05$	$r = 1.1$	$r = 1.2$	$r = 1.05$	$r = 1.1$	$r = 1.2$	
5	WR	CWR	CWR	WR	OWR	IR	
10	WR	CWR	CWR	WR	WR	IR	
20	WR	IR	CWR	WR	WR	OWR	
30	WR	IR	CWR	WR	WR	WR	
40	WR	CWR	CWR	WR	WR	WR	
50	WR	CWR	CWR	WR	WR	WR	
60	WR	OWR	CWR	WR	WR	WR	
70	WR	OWR	CWR	WR	WR	WR	
80	WR	OWR	CWR	WR	WR	WR	
90	WR	OWR	CWR	WR	WR	WR	
100	WR	QWR	CWR	Rolls	WR	WR	
120	WR	WR	CWR	Rolls	WR	WR	

in a square simulation box with $\eta = 2$. These straight rolls become unstable and wavy rolls are excited at the secondary instability. Standing waves are generated along the roll axis.

As the reduced Rayleigh number is raised further, we observe interesting patterns. The longer simulation box allows the turning of rolls, which is not observed in a small square simulation box. The turning of rolls has a similarity with pattern dynamics due to Küppers-Lortz instability $[24]$ $[24]$ in thermal convection in the presence of the Coriolis force. The Coriolis force excites the vertical vorticity in rotating convection. Large-wavelength perturbations in low-Prandtlnumber fluids allow the generation of vertical vorticity easily even in the absence of rotation. The wavy rolls orient themselves in the horizontal plane, making an angle from its original position. The temporal behavior is either chaotic or quasiperiodic. The upper row of Fig. 10 shows the midplane $(z = 1/2)$ contour plots for the temperature field at three different instants for $Pr = 0.025$, $Q = 60$, and $r = 1.1$. The lower row of Fig. 10 displays the variation of the two leading modes with time for these patterns. The fluid patterns shown in Figs. $10(a)$, $10(b)$, and $10(c)$ are for the instants marked by t_a , t_b , and t_c , respectively, in Fig. 10(d). The patterns show the appearance of straight rolls and wavy rolls oriented at an angle with the straight rolls. The oriented wavy rolls appear when the magnitude of the nonlinear mode W_{111} is much larger than that of the roll mode W_{101} . The leading modes corresponding to these patterns vary quasiperiodically in time. We also observe stationary oblique (inclined) rolls (IR) at smaller values of *Q*. Figures $11(a)$ and $11(b)$ show the midplane contour plots of 2D stationary rolls close to the onset of convection for $Pr = 0.1$, $Q = 100$, $r = 1.05$ and stationary inclined rolls at tertiary instability for $Pr = 0.1$, $Q = 10$, $r = 1.2$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the effect of a uniform vertical magnetic field on Rayleigh-Bénard convection for zero-magnetic-Prandtl-number fluids considering stress-free top and bottom

FIG. 10. (Color online) Midplane $(z = 1/2)$ contour plots of the convective temperature field for $Pr = 0.025$ and $r = 1.1$ in a simulation box of rectangular cross section ($\eta = 2$). A quasiperiodic competition between straight and inclined wavy rolls for $Q =$ 60 $[k_c(Q = 60) = 3.376]$ shown for three different instants. (d) Temporal variations of the Fourier modes W_{101} [blue (black) curve] and W_{111} [red (gray) curve]. The time instants marked by t_a , t_b , and t_c correspond to the patterns (a), (b), and (c), respectively.

surfaces using direct numerical simulations. The magnetic field strongly delays the primary, secondary, and higher-order instabilities. Convection appears in the form of stationary straight rolls at the primary instability. The Nusselt number scales with the relative distance from the instability onset ϵ as $\epsilon^{0.91}$ close to the onset of convection. The Nusselt number also scales with Ra/Q as $Nu \sim (Ra/Q)^{\mu}$ for $Ra/Q > 25$. The scaling exponent μ depends on Q , and its values agree with experimental results. The straight rolls become wavy at the secondary instability, showing periodic, quasiperiodic, or

FIG. 11. Contour plots of the convective temperature field (at $z =$ 0.5) in a rectangular simulation box ($\eta = 2$) for Pr = 0.1 showing (a) stationary straight rolls for $r = 1.05$ and $Q = 100$ [$k_c(Q = 100)$ = 3.702], and (b) stationary inclined rolls (IR) for $r = 1.2$ and $Q = 10$ $[k_c(Q = 10) = 2.589].$

chaotic behavior in time in a square simulation box for different values of Pr, r , and Q . The ratio r_o /Pr is independent of Q , while $[Ra_{o}(Q) - Ra_{o}(Q = 0)]/Pr$ varies linearly with *Q* for smaller values of *Q*. In a rectangular simulation box, oblique wavy rolls as well as oblique stationary rolls are observed close to the onset of convection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We have benefited from fruitful discussions with Pinaki Pal, Priyanka Maity, and Hirdesh Pharasi. The presentation of this work has been greatly improved by useful comments from an anonymous referee.

- [1] S. Chandrasekhar, *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability* (Oxford University Press, London, 1961).
- [2] Y. Nakagawa, [Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1957.0070) **[240](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1957.0070)**, [108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1957.0070) [\(1957\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1957.0070).
- [3] Y. Nakagawa, [Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1959.0012) **[249](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1959.0012)**, [138](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1959.0012) [\(1959\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1959.0012).
- [4] M. R. E. Proctor and N. O. Weiss, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/45/11/003) **[45](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/45/11/003)**, [1317](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/45/11/003) [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/45/11/003).
- [5] [G. Glatzmaier, R. Coe, L. Hongre, and P. Roberts,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44776) Nature (London) **[401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44776)**, [885](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44776) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44776).
- [6] F. Cattaneo, T. Emonet, and N. Weiss, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374313) **[588](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374313)**, [1183](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374313) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374313).
- [7] [A. M. Rucklidge, M. R. E. Proctor, and J. Prat,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03091920600565595) Geo. Astr. Fluid Dyn. **[100](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03091920600565595)**, [121](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03091920600565595) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03091920600565595).
- [8] S. Fauve, C. Laroche, and A. Libchaber, [J. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019810042021045500) **[42](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019810042021045500)**, [L455](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019810042021045500) [\(1981\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019810042021045500).
- [9] E. Knobloch, N. O. Weiss, and L. N. Da Costa, [J. Fluid Mech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112081003443) **[113](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112081003443)**, [153](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112081003443) [\(1981\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112081003443).
- [10] F. H. Busse and R. M. Clever, [Phys. Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.863845) **[25](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.863845)**, [931](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.863845) [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.863845).
- [11] [S. Fauve, C. Laroche, A. Libchaber, and B. Perrin,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1774) *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[52](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1774)**, [1774](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1774) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1774).
- [12] [M. Meneguzzi, C. Sulem, P. L. Sulem, and O. Thual,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112087002295) J. Fluid Mech. **[182](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112087002295)**, [169](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112087002295) [\(1987\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112087002295).
- [13] R. M. Clever and F. H. Busse, [J. Fluid Mech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112089001023) **[201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112089001023)**, [507](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112089001023) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112089001023).
- [14] [B. C. Houchens, L. M. Witkowski, and J. S. Walker,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112002001623) J. Fluid Mech. **[469](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112002001623)**, [189](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112002001623) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112002001623).
- [15] J. H. P. Dawes, [J. Fluid Mech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112006002795) **[570](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112006002795)**, [385](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112006002795) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112006002795).
- [16] O. Podvigina, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.056322) **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.056322)**, [056322](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.056322) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.056322).
- [17] P. Pal and K. Kumar, [Eur. Phys. J. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30048-8) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30048-8)**, [201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30048-8) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2012-30048-8).
- [18] S. Cioni, S. Chaumat, and J. Sommeria, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.R4520) **[62](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.R4520)**, [R4520](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.R4520) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.R4520).
- [19] J. M. Aurnou and P. L. Olson, [J. Fluid Mech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112000002950) **[430](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112000002950)**, [283](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112000002950) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112000002950).
- [20] U. Burr and U. Müller, [J. Fluid Mech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S002211200100698X) **[453](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S002211200100698X)**, [345](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S002211200100698X) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S002211200100698X).
- [21] T. Yanagisawa, Y. Yamagishi, Y. Hamano, Y. Tasaka, and Y. Takeda, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.036307) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.036307)**, [036307](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.036307) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.036307).
- [22] R. J. Goldstein and D. J. Graham, [Phys. Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1692642) **[12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1692642)**, [1133](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1692642) [\(1969\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1692642).
- [23] [B. Lee, J. Z. Liu, B. Sun, C. Y. Shen, and G. C. Dai,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2008.42) Express Polym. Lett. **[2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2008.42)**, [357](http://dx.doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2008.42) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2008.42).
- [24] G. Küppers and D. Lortz, [J. Fluid Mech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112069001327) [35](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112069001327), [609](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112069001327) [\(1969\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112069001327).