PHYSICAL REVIEW E 86, 045701(R) (2012)

Spectral solution of delayed random walks

H. S. Bhat^{*} and N. Kumar[†]

Applied Mathematics Unit, University of California, Merced, 5200 North Lake Road, Merced, California 95343, USA (Received 18 July 2012; revised manuscript received 4 September 2012; published 17 October 2012)

We develop a spectral method for computing the probability density function for delayed random walks; for such problems, the method is exact to machine precision and faster than existing approaches. In conjunction with a step function approximation and the weak Euler-Maruyama discretization, the spectral method can be applied to nonlinear stochastic delay differential equations (SDDE). In essence, this means approximating the SDDE by a delayed random walk, which is then solved using the spectral method. We carry out tests for a particular nonlinear SDDE that show that this method captures the solution without the need for Monte Carlo sampling.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.86.045701

PACS number(s): 05.10.Gg, 02.70.Hm

Introduction. Noise and time delays are key features of models of human balance [1,2], circadian oscillators [3], the dynamics of gene regulation [4], cortical interneuron migration [5], and resting brain dynamics [6]. Despite the success of spectral methods in other stochastic contexts [7], delayed stochastic systems are typically not treated using spectral methods [8].

In this Rapid Communication, we present a spectral numerical method to calculate the probability density function (pdf) for the delayed random walk that is obtained by applying the weak Euler-Maruyama discretization to a class of stochastic delay differential equations (SDDE). We refer to the method as a spectral method because it involves solving the problem in Fourier space and then using the inverse FFT (fast Fourier transform) to compute the solution in physical space. This method is fast, exact (to machine precision), and generalizable to other, more complicated systems.

Consider the SDDE

$$dY_t = \phi(Y_t - Y_{t-\ell dt})dt + \gamma(Y_t - Y_{t-\ell dt})dW_t$$
(1)

with initial conditions $Y(t) = \theta(t)$ for $t \in [0, \ell dt]$. Here ℓ is the integer delay (lag), W_t is the standard Wiener process, and ϕ and γ are measurable functions subject to the condition that, when $\gamma \equiv 0$, the resulting deterministic equation has a stable fixed point.

To obtain the pdf of a stochastic differential equation (with no delay) at time t > 0, a natural approach is to solve the associated Fokker-Planck equation. For an SDDE, however, the delayed Fokker-Planck equation is circular [8] and cannot be solved using standard numerical methods [8]. For this reason, past studies have applied asymptotic and perturbative methods to extract useful information from delayed Fokker-Planck equations [9]. Such methods break down when the noise term is multiplied by a function of the delayed solution or when the delay is large.

The technique employed in this paper is fundamentally different from the Fokker-Planck approach. We use a standard stochastic numerical method to discretize Eq. (1) in time and space. This discretization, together with piecewise constant approximation of the functions ϕ and γ , yields a delayed

random walk approximation Eq. (4) of the original SDDE, the pdf of which is then computed using a fast and accurate spectral method.

An important reason for taking this approach is that delayed random walks can often be solved exactly [10]. Prior delayed random walk approximations to SDDE [10,11] feature a V-shaped potential such that the walker's probabilities of right and left movements are spatially dependent. The equivalence between the Fokker-Planck equations for this delayed random walk and the original SDDE has been demonstrated [10], generalizing the ideas of Ehrenfest and Kac.

Instead of using a spatially dependent potential, the delayed random walk approximation Eq. (4) allows for nonuniformity of both the sizes K_r^{\pm} and probabilities $\{q_r, 1 - q_r\}$ of the increments; through *r*, these quantities also have a piecewise constant dependence on space. This (piecewise) spatial homogeneity allows us to rewrite the system as a recursion that can be solved using spatial Fourier transforms. We view Eq. (6) as a discrete equation for the approximate time evolution of the pdf of Eq. (1). Note that Eq. (6) differs both in derivation and solution from Fokker-Planck equations for SDDE [9].

Delayed random walk. We discretize SDDE Eq. (1) using the weak Euler-Maruyama scheme [12] to obtain

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \phi(Y_n - Y_{n-\ell})\Delta t + \gamma(Y_n - Y_{n-\ell})\sqrt{\Delta t}Z, \quad (2)$$

where Z is a Bernoulli random variable that takes values $\{-1,1\}$ with equal probabilities. The initial conditions given after Eq. (1) yield initial conditions for Eq. (2): $Y_j = \theta(jdt)$ for $j = 0, 1, ..., \ell$. Let I_A denote the indicator function for the set A. We use

$$\phi(x) \approx \sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_r I_{[c_r, c_{r+1})}(x), \quad \gamma(x) \approx \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sigma_r I_{[c_r, c_{r+1})}(x),$$

piecewise constant approximations with constants μ_r and σ_r , and we substitute back into Eq. (2) to obtain

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \mu_r \Delta t + \sigma_r \sqrt{\Delta t Z}, \quad c_r \leqslant Y_n - Y_{n-\ell} < c_{r+1}.$$
(3)

We rewrite Eq. (3) as the delayed random walk

*hbhat@ucmerced.edu †nkumar4@ucmerced.edu

 $Y_{n+1} = Y_n + K_n, \quad K_n = K_n^r, \quad \text{if } c_r \leqslant Y_n - Y_{n-\ell} < c_{r+1},$ (4)

H. S. BHAT AND N. KUMAR

where K_n^r is a Bernoulli random variable that takes values $\{K_r^+, K_r^-\}$ with probabilities $\{q_r, 1 - q_r\}$, respectively. We choose $\{K_r^+, K_r^-, q_r\}$ such that the moments of K_n^r match those of $\mu_r \Delta t + \sigma_r \sqrt{\Delta t} Z$.¹ The delayed random walk Eq. (4) has not been considered in the literature, to the best of our knowledge. This random walk is more general than exactly solvable delayed and/or persistent random walks in the literature [13,14].

Spectral method. Let $\Omega = \{K_r^+, K_r^-\}_{r=1}^R$ and let α_j be the outcome of the random variable K_{n-j+1} . Applying Bayes' theorem recursively to Eq. (4), we get

$$P(Y_{n+1} = s \cap K_n = \alpha_1 \cap \dots \cap K_{n-\ell+1} = \alpha_\ell)$$

=
$$\sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1} \in \Omega} P(Y_n = s - \alpha_1 \cap K_{n-1} = \alpha_2 \cap \dots \cap K_{n-\ell} = \alpha_{\ell+1})$$

×
$$P(K_n = \alpha_1 | K_{n-1} = \alpha_2 \cap \dots \cap K_{n-\ell} = \alpha_{\ell+1})$$
(5)

$$\times P(K_n = \alpha_1 | K_{n-1} = \alpha_2 \cap \dots \cap K_{n-\ell} = \alpha_{\ell+1}).$$
 (5)

Denote the left-hand side as $T_s^{n+1}(\alpha_1^{\ell})$ and the conditional probability as $p(\alpha_1^{\ell+1})$. Then

$$T_{s}^{n+1}(\alpha_{1}^{\ell}) = \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1} \in \Omega} T_{s-\alpha_{1}}^{n}(\alpha_{2}^{\ell+1}) p(\alpha_{1}^{\ell+1}).$$
(6)

Taking the Fourier transform in s yields the linear system

$$\hat{T}_k^{n+1}(\alpha_1^\ell) = \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1} \in \Omega} \hat{T}_k^n(\alpha_2^{\ell+1}) \underbrace{p(\alpha_1^{\ell+1})e^{-i2\pi k\alpha_1}}_M \tag{7}$$

in k space, where $\hat{T}_k^{n+1}(\alpha_1^\ell)$ denotes the Fourier transform of the probability of reaching s by taking a sequence of steps $\alpha_\ell, \ldots, \alpha_1$ in the previous ℓ steps. In Eq. (7), we use M to denote the $(2R)^\ell \times (2R)^\ell$ matrix that gives the probability of transitioning from a sequence of states $(\alpha_{\ell+1}, \ldots, \alpha_2)$ to the sequence $(\alpha_\ell, \ldots, \alpha_1)$. Sparsity of M follows easily: since each Bernoulli random variable has only two outcomes, there are exactly two nonzero entries. From Eq. (7) we have $\hat{v}^{n+1} = M \hat{v}^n$, which implies $\hat{v}_n = M^{n-2\ell} \hat{v}_{2\ell}$, where \hat{v}_n is a $(2R)^\ell \times 1$ vector with each component representing $\hat{T}_k^n(\alpha_\ell, \ldots, \alpha_1)$. Let f(n,s)denote the pdf of the delayed random walk Eq. (4) at time step n, and let $\hat{f}(n,k)$ denote its Fourier transform with k as the variable that is Fourier conjugate to s. Then, based on the above, we have derived the solution in Fourier space:

$$\hat{f}(n,k) = \mathbf{1}^T M^{n-2\ell} \hat{v}_{2\ell}.$$
(8)

To compute the initial condition $\hat{v}_{2\ell}$, we require two steps. First, we use the initial condition Y_0, \ldots, Y_ℓ in the modified tree method (described below) to compute the exact pdf of $Y_{2\ell}$, the solution of Eq. (4) at time $n = 2\ell$. Next, we set $\hat{v}_{2\ell}$ equal to the Fourier transform in space of the pdf of $Y_{2\ell}$. In this way, the spectral method handles any initial conditions $\{Y_j\}_{0 \le j \le \ell}$ consisting of discrete random variables. This includes, for example, any set of constant initial conditions for Eq. (4), and therefore any piecewise constant initial function $\theta(t)$ for Eq. (1).

What remains is to recover f(n,s) from $\hat{f}(n,k)$. Since the walk is discrete in space, f(n,s) is a linear combination of

Dirac δ functions,

$$f(n,s) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} f_m \delta(s - s_m), \tag{9}$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 86, 045701(R) (2012)

where s_m takes specific values in s space depending on the parameters in the set Ω and $\mathcal{N} = \{-N/2, -N/2 + 1, \ldots, N/2 - 1\}$. The presence of the Dirac δ 's is a reason to avoid naïve Fourier inversion of $\hat{f}(n,k)$. However, note that f is determined completely by the set $\{(f_m, s_m)\}_{m \in \mathcal{N}}$ —it is this set we will solve for.

With *f* represented by Eq. (9), its Fourier transform is $\hat{f}(n,k) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} f_m e^{-i2\pi k s_m}$. We sample $\hat{f}(n,k)$ at discrete values of *k* given by $k_j = j \Delta k$ for all $j \in \mathcal{N}$:

$$\hat{f}(n,k_j) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} f_m e^{-i2\pi j \,\Delta k s_m}.$$
(10)

Let $\hat{\delta}$ denote the Kronecker delta, and assume that $\Delta k \Delta s = 1/N$. Then the inverse FFT (IFFT) of Eq. (10) is

$$f(n,s_r) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} f_m e^{-i2\pi j \Delta k s_m} e^{i2\pi j \Delta k s_r}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} f_m \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} e^{i2\pi j \Delta k (r-m)\Delta s}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} f_m N \hat{\delta}(r-m) = f_r.$$

The spectral method can now be summarized. In the first step, we compute Eq. (8), the exact solution in Fourier space, but sampled only at discrete values of k given by $k_j = j \Delta k$ for all $j \in \mathcal{N}$. In the second step, we compute the IFFT of this sampled Fourier transform at all s_m such that $m \in \mathcal{N}$. As shown, this yields the exact weight f_m corresponding to the spatial location s_m , meaning that we can indeed recover the set $\{(f_m, s_m)\}_{m \in \mathcal{N}}$ that determines Eq. (9) exactly. We denote the solution produced by the spectral method as $f_{\text{IFFT}}(n,s)$. The only source of error between $f_{\text{IFFT}}(n,s)$ and the exact pdf f(n,s) is due to the inaccuracy in the IFFT algorithm itself [15].

Note that the first step requires computing the matrix-vector product *n* times to obtain the Fourier transform at *N* different points in *k* space, while the second step consists entirely of the IFFT. The total complexity of the spectral method is thus $N(2R)^{\ell}n + N \log N \sim O(n^2)$, lower than the tree-based method described below.

Choosing Δs and Δk . Since the parameters in Ω are not necessarily equal, we have a pdf over *s* space with nonuniform spacing. We first convert this nonuniform grid into a uniform grid in order to use the IFFT. Let $\{K_r^{\pm}\}_{r=1}^R$ be rationals such that *L* is the least common multiple (LCM) of their denominators. Since the random walker changes its position by an element of $\{K_r^{\pm}\}_{r=1}^R$ at every step, the minimum nonzero distance between two sites that the random walker can occupy is given by $\Delta s =$ 1/L. The maximum and minimum *s* values that can be reached by the random walker at any step *n* are, respectively, $S_{\max} =$ $n \max\{K_r^{\pm}\}_{r=1}^R$ and $S_{\min} = n \min\{K_r^{\pm}\}_{r=1}^R$. This also implies that we have to calculate the pdf at $N = (S_{\max} - S_{\min})/\Delta s \sim$ O(nL) number of grid points. Since *L* is a constant given the parameters, we get $N \sim O(n)$, where *n* is the number of steps taken by the random walker. Finally, using $\Delta k \Delta s = 1/N$, we get $\Delta k = 1/(N \Delta s) = L/N$. Note that the parameters in the

¹For the purposes of approximating the weak EM scheme of the SDDE, we set $K_n^r = \mu_r \Delta t + \sigma_r \sqrt{\Delta t} Z$.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Snapshots at different values of time *n* show machine precision agreement between the densities computed using the spectral method (each plotted with a different marker) and an enumerative exact method (each plotted using the same gray scale and color as the corresponding marker). Computed densities are for the random walk Eq. (4) with delay $\ell = 5$ and two types of Bernoulli steps K_n : outcomes $\{2, -2\}$ with probabilities $\{0.7, 0.3\}$ when $Y_n \ge Y_{n-5}$, and outcomes $\{1, -1\}$ with probabilities $\{0.9, 0.1\}$ when $Y_n < Y_{n-5}$. Initial conditions were $Y_n = 0$ for $n \le \ell$.

set Ω can be approximated such that *L* is small. This leads to incurring a relatively small error in calculating the pdf, while increasing the efficiency of the algorithm.

Modified tree method. For the delayed random walk Eq. (4), we have also developed an enumerative method for computing



FIG. 2. (Color online) For different delays ℓ , densities computed at time n = 60 using the spectral method (plotted using solid markers) agree to machine precision with densities computed using an enumerative exact method (plotted using lines of the same gray scales and colors as markers). Computed densities are for five different versions of the random walk Eq. (4), each with a different delay $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The Bernoulli steps K_n are as follows: outcomes $\{1, -1\}$ with probabilities $\{0.3, 0.7\}$ when $Y_n \ge Y_{n-\ell}$, and outcomes $\{2, -2\}$ with probabilities $\{0.9, 0.1\}$ when $Y_n < Y_{n-\ell}$. Initial conditions were $Y_n = 0$ for $n \le \ell$.



FIG. 3. Infinity norm errors $||f_{IFFT}(n,s) - f(n,s)||_{\infty}$ between the spectral and exact pdfs are at the level of machine precision, both as a function of time *n* and delay ℓ . Each solid square, one per value of time step *n*, corresponds to the $|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$ error between the solid markers (spectral) and lines (exact) in Fig. 1. Each solid circle, one per value of delay ℓ , corresponds to the $|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$ error between the solid markers (spectral) and lines (exact) in Fig. 2. All parameters are as in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively.

the exact pdf. This modified tree method involves growing a tree of all allowed paths and probabilities of the random walker. In previous work [14], the authors explained how to do this when $\ell = 1$. For $\ell > 1$, we modify the old procedure, leveraging the rationality of the increments of Eq. (4). Given the pdf at any step m consisting of O(m) distinct states, computing the pdf at step m + 1 using the tree method requires three steps: (i) calculating all possible states at step m + 1, (ii) tracking the history and the region in which each of these states lie, and (iii) checking for recombinations to obtain the pdf at step m + 1. Step (i) requires 2m operations, while Step (ii) requires $(2R)^{\ell}$ operations per state. Step (iii) requires finding unique states with the same history and summing the probabilities in each of these unique states. The overall complexity is then $\sum_{m=1}^{n} (2m)(2R)^{\ell} + m^2(2R)^{\ell} \sim O(n^3)$. In this work, we use this method for two purposes: to compute $\hat{v}_{2\ell}$ for Eq. (8) and to compute exact reference solutions against which we compare the spectral method.

Results. For both Figs. 1 and 2, we plot in solid lines (respectively, solid markers in the same gray scales and colors) the pdf calculated by growing the tree (respectively, the spectral method). In these figures, different gray scales and colors and different markers are used for different values of nand ℓ , respectively. The solid markers lie exactly on the solid curves, demonstrating the accuracy of the spectral method. In Fig. 3, we plot $||f_{\text{IFFT}}(n,s) - f(n,s)||_{\infty}$ both for different numbers of steps n (in solid squares) and different delays ℓ (in solid circles). All plots confirm the spectral method's accuracy up to machine precision. To obtain the pdf at n = 80in Fig. 1, the modified tree method takes 1390.8 s and the spectral method takes 0.67 s. To obtain the pdf for $\ell = 1$ in Fig. 2, the tree method from [14] takes 0.09 s, the modified tree method takes 0.29 s, and the spectral method takes 0.09 s. All simulations were done using MATLAB on an eight-core Intel i7 CPU. All codes used to produce the results in the paper are available for download.² In all the experiments reported in

²http://faculty.ucmerced.edu/hbhat/codes/ssdrw.tar.gz Refer to the README file for details.



FIG. 4. For the nonlinear SDDE Eq. (11), the accuracy of the cdf computed via the spectral method increases as we increase the number of piecewise constant branches *R* used to approximate the tanh function. In the top panel, we plot in solid gray a fine-scale reference cdf obtained through Monte Carlo (MC) simulation with $\Delta t = 0.04$ and 10^8 sample paths. In dot-dashed gray and solid black, we plot the cdfs obtained using the spectral method with *R* = 3 and *R* = 5 approximations, respectively. In the bottom panel, we plot the pointwise errors between the spectral method cdfs and the MC cdf. The maximum error decreases from 0.0727 to 0.0337 as we go from *R* = 3 to *R* = 5. All plots are at time *t* = 2 for zero initial conditions.

Figs. 1 and 2, the spectral method is the fastest. Note that all of these results use the initial conditions $Y_j \equiv 0$ for $0 \le j \le \ell$.

Next, we apply the spectral method to the SDDE

$$dY_t = \tanh(Y_t - Y_{t-3dt})dt + dW_t, \tag{11}$$

subject to deterministic initial conditions $\theta(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 3dt$. Approximating $\tanh(x)$ by $\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_r I_{[c_r, c_{r+1})}(x)$ and applying

- [1] T. Ohira and J. G. Milton, Phys. Rev. E 52, 3277 (1995).
- [2] J. G. Milton, J. Neural Eng. 8, 065005 (2011).
- [3] P. Smolen, D. A. Baxter, and J. H. Byrne, Biophys. J. 83, 2349 (2002).
- [4] D. Bratsun, D. Volfson, L. S. Tsimring, and J. Hasty, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 14593 (2005); K. Josic, J. M. Lopez, W. Ott, L. Shiau, and M. R. Bennett, PLoS Comput. Biol. 7, e1002264 (2011).
- [5] D. H. Tanaka, M. Yanagida, Y. Zhu, S. Mikami, T. Nagasawa, J.-I. Miyazaki, Y. Yanagawa, K. Obata, and F. Murakami, J. Neurosci. 29, 1300 (2009).
- [6] G. Deco, V. Jirsa, A. R. McIntosh, O. Sporns, and R. Kötter, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **106**, 10302 (2009); G. Deco, V. Jirsa, and A. McIntosh, Nat. Rev. Neurosci. **12**, 43 (2011).
- [7] R. N. Bhattacharya and E. C. Waymire, *Stochastic Processes with Applications* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 2009); A. Mugler, A. M. Walczak, and C. H. Wiggins, Phys. Rev. E 80, 041921 (2009).
- [8] A. Longtin, in *Complex Time-Delay Systems*, Understanding Complex Systems, edited by F. M. Atay, Vol. 16 (Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2010), pp. 177–195; T. D. Frank, Phys. Rev. E 71, 031106 (2005).

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 86, 045701(R) (2012)

the weak Euler-Maruyama discretization, we get Eq. (3) with $\sigma_r = 1$ for all r, $\ell = 3$ and $Y_n = 0$ for $n \leq \ell$. The error in the cumulative distribution function (cdf) calculated using the spectral method depends on the parameters R, c_r, μ_r used to approximate the tanh function; for the results shown in Fig. 4, these parameters' exact values are given in our MATLAB code.

Setting $\Delta t = 0.04$ and $\Delta s = 0.01$ for both the R = 3and R = 5 approximations, we compare their accuracies in Fig. 4. In the top panel, we first plot in solid gray the empirical cdf obtained at T = 2 ($\Delta t = 0.04$) by simulating $M = 10^8$ sample paths of the Euler-Maruyama discretization of Eq. (11)-this Monte Carlo (MC) run was performed purely to give a reference solution against which we compare the spectral method's solutions. In the same panel, we plot cdfs from spectral method simulations in dot-dashed gray (R = 3approximation) and solid black (R = 5 approximation). In the bottom panel of Fig. 4, we plot the error between the MC cdf and the spectral method's cdfs in dot-dashed gray (R = 3) and solid black (R = 5). The maxima of the errors for the R = 3 and R = 5 approximations are, respectively, 0.0727 and 0.0337. The times taken to obtain the cdfs through the MC method and the spectral method with R = 3 and R = 5 are 288.28 s, 0.56 s, and 19.13 s, respectively. If we assume that the MC run is sufficiently fine-scale as to be close to the exact solution, these results suggest that the approximate solution will converge to the exact solution of the SDDE, as we increase R. We leave for future work a detailed discussion of convergence and optimal step function approximation.

In this Rapid Communication, we have developed a spectral method to obtain the pdf of a delayed random walk that is both fast and accurate. As demonstrated, this method also shows promise to solve nonlinear SDDE. In future work, we plan to extend the spectral method to solve second-order and/or oscillatory SDDE [16].

- [9] S. Guillouzic, I. L'Heureux, and A. Longtin, Phys. Rev. E 59, 3970 (1999); T. D. Frank, *ibid*. 72, 011112 (2005); T. Galla, *ibid*. 80, 021909 (2009).
- [10] T. Ohira and J. G. Milton, in *Delay Differential Equations*, edited by B. Balachandran, T. Kalmár-Nagy, and D. E. Gilsinn (Springer, New York, 2009), pp. 305–335.
- [11] T. Ohira and T. Yamane, Phys. Rev. E 61, 1247 (2000).
- [12] D. J. Higham, SIAM Rev. 43, 525 (2001).
- [13] A. Berrones and H. Larralde, Phys. Rev. E 63, 031109 (2001);
 G. H. Weiss, Phys. A 311, 381 (2002); J. A. Rudnick and G. D. Gaspari, *Elements of the Random Walk* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004); E. V. der Straeten and J. Naudts, J. Phys. A 39, 7245 (2006); R. García-Pelayo, Phys. A 384, 143 (2007).
- [14] H. S. Bhat and N. Kumar, EJOR 223, 762 (2012).
- [15] W. L. Briggs and V. E. Henson, *The DFT* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1995).
- [16] S. Kim, S. H. Park, and H.-B. Pyo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1620 (1999); M. Barrio, K. Burrage, A. Leier, and T. Tian, PLoS Comput. Biol. 2, e117 (2006).