

Phase transitions in the three-state Ising spin-glass model with finite connectivity

R. Erichsen Jr.* and W. K. Theumann†

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Caixa Postal 15051, 91501-970 Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

(Received 28 December 2010; published 16 June 2011)

The statistical mechanics of a two-state Ising spin-glass model with finite random connectivity, in which each site is connected to a finite number of other sites, is extended in this work within the replica technique to study the phase transitions in the three-state Ghatak-Sherrington (or random Blume-Capel) model of a spin glass with a crystal-field term. The replica symmetry ansatz for the order function is expressed in terms of a two-dimensional effective-field distribution, which is determined numerically by means of a population dynamics procedure. Phase diagrams are obtained exhibiting phase boundaries that have a reentrance with both a continuous and a genuine first-order transition with a discontinuity in the entropy. This may be seen as “inverse freezing,” which has been studied extensively lately, as a process either with or without exchange of latent heat.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.83.061126](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.061126)

PACS number(s): 64.60.De, 87.19.lj, 87.19.lg

I. INTRODUCTION

Numerous problems of frustrated, disordered systems, with extensive connectivity in which each site is linked to a macroscopic number of other sites, [1] have been studied in the past by means of the replica technique in mean-field theory [2,3]. The technique has been extended to systems with finite random connectivity and binary units (spins) in states $\sigma = \pm 1$, in which each site is linked to a finite number of other sites, in areas like error-correcting codes [1,4,5], spin glasses [6–11], neural networks [12–14], and small-world lattices [15]. The fact that mean-field theory is exact only for infinite-range interactions or infinite-dimensional systems has been a challenge for the understanding of the behavior of more realistic disordered systems, and a study of the effects of finite connectivity even in mean-field theory could be a useful improvement.

Three-state spin models of states $\sigma = 0, \pm 1$ with random bonds and finite connectivity could be of interest to condensed-matter physics in view of the phase transitions that already appear, within mean-field theory, in the random Blume-Emery-Griffith-Capel (BEGC) model with full connectivity between the spins [16,17], and it should also be of interest for information processing in neural networks [18]. The simplest case of a fully connected random BEGC model is the three-state spin-glass model of Ghatak and Sherrington (GS) [19,20], which is a Blume-Capel (BC) model with random bonds [21]. The GS model with infinite-range interactions exhibits both a continuous transition at high T and a genuine thermodynamic first-order transition below a tricritical point between a spin-glass and a paramagnetic phase. The first-order transition appears in a reentrant part of the phase boundary, and it may describe “inverse freezing” with an exchange of latent heat. This is a reversible transition, say between a paramagnetic (P) phase and a spin-glass (SG) phase, in which the entropy of the P phase below the transition is smaller than the entropy of the SG phase, and there has been a recent revival of interest in these transitions [22,23], which could explain the behavior of colloidal and polymeric systems, among others (see Ref. [23] for a recent summary of realizations).

A result of the GS model, either in mean-field theory with full connectivity or obtained by means of numerical simulations for nearest-neighbor interactions in three dimensions, is that the tricritical point separating the continuous and the first-order transitions is either above or at the point of reentrance on the phase boundary, implying that inverse freezing appears only as a first-order transition [16,17,23].

General results in the form of complete phase diagrams of stationary states for the GS (or random BC) model with finite connectivity are still missing, and the purpose of this paper is to make progress in that direction by means of an analytic study extending the SG replica technique, with the replica-symmetry (RS) ansatz, developed for disordered Ising systems with finite random connectivity and binary spins [15]. Typical questions one would like to answer are how the nature of the transition changes with the connectivity, if there is reentrance behavior of the phase boundaries, and if this takes place either as a continuous or as a first-order transition at finite temperature.

The procedure on which the present work is based introduces an order function [7], in place of an infinite number of order parameters [6], and uses a representation in terms of a weighted probability of alignment of the spins involving a distribution of a two-component local field. One of the components is associated with a linear form in the spins, while the other component is associated with the crystal-field term. A population dynamics technique is used to solve numerically a self-consistency equation for the distribution of the local field that yields the relevant physical order parameters. Phase diagrams are then obtained that exhibit either a continuous transition between a P phase and a SG phase for low connectivity or both a continuous transition and a first-order phase transition that merge at a tricritical point with reentrance behavior characteristic of inverse freezing between those phases for increasing finite connectivity.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we present the GS model and carry out the replica procedure that yields the statistical mechanics for the three-state system. In Sec. III we present the results for the distribution of local fields, the relevant order parameters, and the free energy and discuss the phase diagrams. We conclude in Sec. IV with a summary and remarks.

*rubem@if.ufrgs.br

†theumann@if.ufrgs.br

II. THE MODEL AND REPLICA PROCEDURE

We consider a system of N interacting three-state Ising spins $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $i = 1 \dots N$, described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{1}{c} \sum_{i < j} c_{ij} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j + D \sum_i \sigma_i^2. \quad (1)$$

The random variable $c_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ indicates whether there is a connection ($c_{ij} = 1$) or not ($c_{ij} = 0$) between a pair of spins (i, j), and it takes different values for different pairs of spins, according to the distribution

$$p(c_{ij}) = \frac{c}{N} \delta_{c_{ij}, 1} + \left(1 - \frac{c}{N}\right) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0}, \quad (2)$$

where c (the connectivity) is the average number of connections per spin, which is assumed to remain finite in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, such that $c/N \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the sites are connected according to a Poisson distribution, and one makes use of this limit in deriving the statistical mechanics of the system. There is a set of infinite-range interactions $\{J_{ij}\}$ that will be assumed to be independent, identically distributed, random variables drawn from a distribution $p(J_{ij})$, to be specified below, and averages over that distribution of a quantity $g(J_{ij})$ will be denoted by $\langle g(J) \rangle_J$. The quadratic form in the spins favors the population of the zero state if $D > 0$ or the states ± 1 if $D < 0$. If D is sufficiently large and negative, one retrieves the binary Ising spin-glass model with finite connectivity and spins $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, which is a particular case of the recently studied small-world spin glasses when the nearest-neighbor interaction along the ring is set to zero [15].

Assuming thermal equilibrium at an inverse temperature $\beta = 1/T$, the disorder-averaged free energy per spin is calculated in the replica procedure as

$$f(\beta) = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta N} \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n} \log \langle Z^n \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where

$$Z = \sum_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_N} e^{-\beta H} \quad (4)$$

is the partition function and the angle brackets stand for the disorder average. In the small c/N limit, the disorder-averaged replicated partition function becomes, to leading order in N ,

$$\langle Z^n \rangle = \sum_{\sigma^1 \dots \sigma^n} \exp \left[-\beta D \sum_{i, \alpha} (\sigma_i^\alpha)^2 + \frac{c}{2N} \sum_{i \neq j} \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_i^\alpha \sigma_j^\alpha} - 1 \right\rangle_J \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ denotes the replica index. Since the connectivity c is finite, one cannot expand the inner exponential and follow the standard infinite-connectivity calculation. Instead, to extract the variables under summation from the inner exponential, one introduces the identity

$$1 = \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{\sigma \sigma_i} \equiv \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{\alpha=1}^n \delta_{\sigma^\alpha \sigma_i^\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

where σ and σ_i are n -component vectors representing replica states and $\delta_{\sigma \sigma_i} = 1$ if $\sigma = \sigma_i$ and zero otherwise. Thus, we write

$$\langle Z^n \rangle = \sum_{\sigma^1 \dots \sigma^n} \exp \left[-\beta D \sum_{i, \alpha} (\sigma_i^\alpha)^2 + \frac{c}{2N} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\sigma \tau} \delta_{\sigma \sigma_i} \delta_{\tau \sigma_j} \times \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_i^\alpha \tau_i^\alpha} - 1 \right\rangle_J \right] \quad (7)$$

and introduce the order function $P(\sigma) = (1/N) \sum_i \delta_{\sigma \sigma_i}$, which represents the fraction of sites with the replica configuration σ , through the identity

$$1 = \int \prod_{\sigma} dP(\sigma) d\hat{P}(\sigma) e^{\sum_{\sigma} \hat{P}(\sigma) [P(\sigma) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \delta_{\sigma \sigma_i}]}, \quad (8)$$

where \hat{P} is an auxiliary density. Performing the trace and changing \hat{P} to $N\hat{P}$, the integral

$$\langle Z^n \rangle = \int \prod_{\sigma} dP(\sigma) d\hat{P}(\sigma) \exp N \left[\sum_{\sigma} \hat{P}(\sigma) P(\sigma) + \log \sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 - \hat{P}(\sigma)} + \frac{c}{2} \sum_{\sigma \tau} P(\sigma) P(\tau) \times \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} - 1 \right\rangle_J \right] \quad (9)$$

can be evaluated by the saddle-point method in the large- N limit, leading to the extremum

$$f(\beta) = - \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\beta n} \text{Extr} \left[\sum_{\sigma} \hat{P}(\sigma) P(\sigma) + \log \sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 - \hat{P}(\sigma)} + \frac{c}{2} \sum_{\sigma \tau} P(\sigma) P(\tau) \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} - 1 \right\rangle_J \right] \quad (10)$$

over the densities $\{P(\sigma), \hat{P}(\sigma)\}$ for the free energy per site. The saddle-point equations become

$$P(\sigma) = \frac{e^{-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 - \hat{P}(\sigma)}}{\sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 - \hat{P}(\sigma)}} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\hat{P}(\sigma) = -c \sum_{\tau} P(\tau) \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} - 1 \right\rangle_J. \quad (12)$$

Eliminating $\hat{P}(\sigma)$ in Eq. (11) by means of this expression, we obtain the self-consistency relationship

$$P(\sigma) = \frac{\exp \left(-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + c \sum_{\tau} P(\tau) \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} - 1 \right\rangle_J \right)}{\sum_{\sigma} \exp \left(-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + c \sum_{\tau} P(\tau) \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} - 1 \right\rangle_J \right)}, \quad (13)$$

and the free energy becomes

$$f(\beta) = -\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\beta n} \left[-\frac{c}{2} \sum_{\sigma \tau} P(\sigma) P(\tau) \langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} - 1 \rangle_J \right. \\ \left. + \log \sum_{\sigma} \exp \left(-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + c \sum_{\tau} P(\tau) \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \langle e^{\frac{1}{c} \beta J \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} - 1 \rangle_J \right]^*, \quad (14)$$

with the order function given by the saddle-point equation, indicated by the asterisk.

Our search for solutions to Eq.(13) will be restricted to the RS ansatz [7,10]. This means that $P(\sigma)$ should remain invariant under replica permutations and, consequently, that for three-state spins it should only depend on the summations $\sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}$ and $\sum_{\alpha} (\sigma_{\alpha})^2$, with their corresponding weights. Thus, in extension of the RS ansatz for the order function in finite-connectivity two-state Ising models [15], we assume that for the three-state model

$$P(\sigma) = \int dh db W(h,b) \frac{e^{\beta h \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} - \beta b \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2}}{[2e^{-\beta b} \cosh(\beta h) + 1]^n}, \quad (15)$$

for any real n , where h and b are the two components of the local field and $W(h,b)$ is a density that has to be determined self-consistently. Since the normalization factors, both here and in Eq. (13), become 1 in the limit $n \rightarrow 0$, we may leave them aside. Expanding the second exponential in Eq. (13) and using the RS ansatz, we have

$$P(\sigma) = e^{-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-c} c^k}{k!} \int \prod_{l=1}^k dh_l db_l W(h_l, b_l) dJ_l p(J_l) \\ \times \prod_{l=1}^k \exp \left[\sum_{\alpha} \log \sum_{\tau_{\alpha}^l} e^{\beta h_l \tau_{\alpha}^l - \beta b_l (\tau_{\alpha}^l)^2 + \frac{1}{c} \beta J_l \sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}^l} \right], \quad (16)$$

where $p(J)$ is the probability distribution for the interaction, assumed to be continuous. Summing over τ_{α}^l and using the identity $\sum_{\sigma} \delta_{\sigma \sigma_{\alpha}} = 1$ in order to extract the appropriate dependence on $\sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}$ and $\sum_{\alpha} (\sigma_{\alpha})^2$, we obtain

$$P(\sigma) = e^{-\beta D \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-c} c^k}{k!} \int \prod_{l=1}^k dh_l db_l W(h_l, b_l) dJ_l p(J_l) \\ \times \prod_{l=1}^k \exp \left[\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{\sigma \sigma_{\alpha}} \log [2 \cosh(\beta h_l \right. \\ \left. + \beta J_l \sigma / c) e^{-\beta b_l} + 1] \right]. \quad (17)$$

For three-state spins we use the representation

$$\delta_{\sigma \sigma_{\alpha}} = 1 - \sigma^2 - \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma \sigma_{\alpha} / 2 + 3 \sigma^2 \sigma_{\alpha}^2 / 2 \quad (18)$$

to do the sum over σ , and with the RS ansatz on the left-hand side of the equation we obtain the self-consistency relationship

for $W(h,b)$,

$$W(h,b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-c} c^k}{k!} \int \prod_{l=1}^k dh_l db_l W(h_l, b_l) dJ_l p(J_l) \\ \times \delta \left(h - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^k \phi(h_l, b_l, J_l) \right) \\ \times \delta \left(b - D + \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^k \psi(h_l, b_l, J_l) \right), \quad (19)$$

where

$$\phi(h,b,J) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2a_1 + e^{\beta b}}{2a_{-1} + e^{\beta b}} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\psi(h,b,J) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{(2a_1 + e^{\beta b})(2a_{-1} + e^{\beta b})}{[2a_0 + e^{\beta b}]^2}, \quad (21)$$

in which $a_{\sigma} = \cosh(\beta h + \sigma \beta J / c)$.

To determine the density $W(h,b)$, we proceed numerically by means of population dynamics of a large number of fields updated as follows [11], for each value of β and D . First, a number k is chosen from a Poisson distribution of mean c . Then, cells (h_l, b_l) and couplings J_l with l running from 1 to k are selected at random from the population, and the summations in the delta functions are calculated. Next, one selects at random a new cell (h,b) from the population and sets

$$h = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^k \phi(h_l, b_l, J_l), \quad (22)$$

$$b = D - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^k \psi(h_l, b_l, J_l), \quad (23)$$

continuing the procedure until it converges to a limiting $W(h,b)$.

Knowledge of $W(h,b)$ allows one to determine the magnetization

$$m = \int dh db W(h,b) \langle \sigma \rangle, \quad (24)$$

the spin-glass order parameter

$$q = \int dh db W(h,b) \langle \sigma^2 \rangle, \quad (25)$$

and the additional parameter

$$r = \int dh db W(h,b) \langle \sigma^2 \rangle, \quad (26)$$

for the three-state model, where $\langle \sigma \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma^2 \rangle$ depend on h and b as

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = \frac{\sinh(\beta h)}{\cosh(\beta h) + e^{\beta b} / 2} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\langle \sigma^2 \rangle = \frac{\cosh(\beta h)}{\cosh(\beta h) + e^{\beta b} / 2}. \quad (28)$$

As usual, $m \neq 0$ indicates magnetic ordering, while $m = 0$ and $q > 0$ correspond to spin-glass ordering. The additional parameter r is zero only when all spins are in the $\sigma_i = 0$ local state.

The free energy has energetic and entropic contributions given by

$$f_1(\beta) = \frac{c}{2\beta} \int dh dh' db db' dJ P(J)W(h,b)W(h',b') \\ \times \left\{ \log \left[1/4 + e^{-\beta(b+b')} (\cosh(\beta h) \cosh(\beta h') \cosh(\beta J/c) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \sinh(\beta h) \sinh(\beta h') \sinh(\beta J/c)) + e^{-\beta b} \cosh(\beta h)/2 + e^{-\beta b'} \cosh(\beta h')/2 \right] \right. \\ \left. - \log \left[(e^{-\beta b} \cosh(\beta h) + 1/2)(e^{-\beta b'} \cosh(\beta h') + 1/2) \right] \right\} \quad (29)$$

and

$$f_2(\beta) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^k e^{-c}}{k!} \int \prod_{\ell} dh_{\ell} db_{\ell} dJ_{\ell} W(h_{\ell}, b_{\ell}) P(J_{\ell}) \\ \times \log \left[1 + 2 \exp \left(\sum_{\ell} \psi(h_{\ell}, b_{\ell}, J_{\ell}) - \beta D \right) \right] \\ \times \cosh \left(\sum_{\ell} \phi(h_{\ell}, b_{\ell}, J_{\ell}) \right), \quad (30)$$

respectively, within the RS ansatz.

III. RESULTS

In what follows we assume that the couplings J_{ij} are independent Gaussian random variables with zero mean and unit variance. In the binary Ising SG model, there is a one-component local field h and a density $W(h) = \delta(h)$ in the P phase. The critical temperature for a bifurcation to a SG solution can be found in that case by means of an expansion of the full $W(h)$ around $\delta(h)$. (See Ref. [15] for details.) In the case of the present three-state SG model with finite connectivity, there is no simple form for the density of the two-component local field $W(h, b)$, even in the P phase, and in order to obtain the thermodynamic properties one has to use a population dynamics procedure to calculate explicitly that density. Since the mean of J_{ij} is zero, there is no long-range order, and we expect that $W(h, b)$ is symmetrically distributed

around the $h = 0$ axis. Depending on the values of r and q , the system can be found either in a SG or in a P phase.

The implementation of population dynamics requires an initial guess for $W(h, b)$, to be constructed as follows. A finite surface on the space (h, b) is divided into $n \times n$ cells, and this set of n^2 cells is populated with N vector fields. In this work, the initial population was distributed in two distinct ways: (A) the two components of each field were randomly distributed between -0.5 and 0.5 , and (B) all the fields had $h = 0$ and b randomly distributed between $D - 1$ and D . For each set of parameters c , D , and T , the population dynamics is allowed to run until a stationary distribution $W(h, b)$ is reached.

Illustrative examples of stationary distributions for $c = 6$, $D = 0.35$, and $T = 0.1$, computed with $n = 128$ and $N = 40000$, are shown in Fig. 1. Starting from initial condition (A), the population dynamics converges to the two-dimensional distribution, symmetric around $h = 0$, shown in Fig. 1(a). This field distribution leads to a spin-glass solution with $r > 0$ and $q > 0$, according to Eqs. (26) and (25). Starting with initial condition (B), $W(0, b)$ converges to the one-dimensional distribution shown in Fig. 1(b). Here only fields along the $h = 0$ axis remain populated, and there is a long tail along the b axis. This field distribution leads to a paramagnetic phase with $r > 0$ and $q = 0$. Thus, for the chosen set of parameters, initial conditions (A) and (B) are within the basins of attraction of the SG and P solutions, respectively.

Specific examples of results for the dependence of the order parameters with D near the transition between the SG and P




FIG. 1. Local field distribution $W(h, b)$ for $c = 6.0$, $D = 0.35$, and $T = 0.1$, with initial conditions (a) (A) and (b) (B).




FIG. 2. Order parameters r and q and free energy per site f as functions of D , for $c = 8.0$, exhibiting a continuous transition at $T = 0.07$ (thin solid lines) and a first-order transition at $T = 0.04$ (thick solid lines for SG states and dashed lines for P states) discussed in the text. The arrows indicate points on the spinodals.

phases obtained from Eqs. (25) and (26) that were used to construct the phase boundaries are shown in Fig. 2 for connectivity $c = 8.0$ and two typical situations, one of the higher temperature behavior and one of the lower temperature behavior, in which $T = 0.07$ and $T = 0.04$, respectively. The corresponding free energy for both situations, obtained by means of Eqs. (29) and (30), is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. Each point on the curves is a result of an average over ten runs of the population dynamics procedure in order to smooth out fluctuations. In the presence of multiple solutions for the parameters, we follow previous works on the fully connected model and choose the largest one in magnitude, which yields the lowest free energy that can be smoothly continued from one phase to the other with a change in D . The curves in

Fig. 2 are rather close to those obtained already with a lower resolution, say $n = 64$ and $N = 10\,000$, indicating that the dynamics is near convergence. To test this point, we found that further results for r , q , and f obtained with $n = 256$ and $N = 160\,000$ at $T = 0.04$, which is the case where the transition is first order, are almost indistinguishable from those in Fig. 2

As D increases for $T = 0.07$, q and r decrease continuously, and the transition from the SG to the P phase takes place at $q = 0$ and small r . When $T = 0.04$, instead, there is a genuine first-order transition signalled by a continuous free energy with a discontinuity of the entropy and the appearance of a pair of spinodals, one for states attained from the spin-glass phase (solid lines in Fig. 2) and the other one for states reached from the paramagnetic phase (dashed lines in Fig. 2) with increasing or decreasing values of D , respectively. The arrows in Fig. 2 indicate the points on the spinodals for that value of T , and the transition between the phases appears at the crossing of the free energies, where $D = 0.328$. The first-order transition persists for somewhat higher T , with the spinodals becoming closer to merging with the continuous transition at a tricritical point given by $T \simeq 0.059$ and $D \simeq 0.33$ for $c = 8.0$, and similar results are obtained for other values of $c \geq c^*$, where c^* is between 5.6 and 6.0, whereas only a continuous transition appears for smaller c at all T . It is interesting to note that the changeover from a continuous to a discontinuous transition at low T is preceded by a discontinuous transition between two SG states *within* the SG phase for $c^* \simeq 5.6$. For c slightly larger this transition merges with the continuous transition that separates the two phases.

A global picture of the transitions for finite connectivity is given by the T vs D phase diagram for several values of $c \leq 8.0$ shown in Fig. 3. The transition is continuous for low c and all T , with the order parameters going continuously to zero with increasing values of D , and there is a reentrance for $c \gtrsim 3.5$. On the other hand, a first-order transition appears with increasing c between 5.6 and 6.0 at low but finite T that starts at a tricritical point on the reentrance of the continuous phase boundary. The reentrance separates the P phase at low T from the SG phase at higher T . Note that the tricritical point appears at larger values of T with increasing c , and this has been




FIG. 3. (a) The T vs D phase diagram for connectivity $c = 2.0, 4.0, 5.6, 6.0$, and 8.0 , from right to left. Solid (dotted) lines denote continuous (discontinuous) transitions between a spin-glass (SG) phase to the left and a paramagnetic (P) phase to the right of each curve. (b) Detail of the phase boundary for $c = 8$, including the spinodals of the P and SG phases to the left and right of the transition as thin solid lines, respectively.




FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the free energy for $c = 8$ across (a) the first-order transition at $D = 0.325$ and (b) the continuous transition at $D = 0.35$. The dashed left (right) line indicates a point on the SG (P) spinodals, and the vertical solid lines indicate the transition with an increase in entropy from the P phase to the SG phase in (a) and with no increase in (b). The normal transition between the SG and P phases (not shown) appears at higher T .

checked by further calculations for $c = 16$. We also found that, for all the values of c larger than ~ 2.5 considered in this work, the phase boundaries move to the left with increasing c toward lower values of D . Indeed, there is a monotonic decrease of $T_0(c)$, which is the critical T at $D = 0$, with increasing c , and we found numerically that $T_0 \sim J/\sqrt{c}$ for large c , which is the same behavior as that in the two-state spin-glass model with finite connectivity where the transition is always continuous [15].

The reentrance of the phase boundaries is a manifestation of inverse freezing that appears either as a genuine first-order transition with a discontinuity of the entropy or as a continuous transition with only a gradual change in the entropy from one phase to the other. In order to show that the discontinuity of the entropy across the first-order transition in the GS model with finite connectivity goes in the right direction to account for inverse freezing we show in Fig. 4(a) the temperature dependence of the free energy for $c = 8$ and $D = 0.325$. The free energy is continuous and follows the lower curve when there are two solutions, and indeed, the entropy of the P phase below the first-order transition (which is slightly positive for that value of D) is smaller than the entropy of the higher-temperature SG phase, with a discontinuity of the entropy at the transition. This means that in heating the system the disordered paramagnet “freezes” into the amorphous SG with an exchange of latent heat. For comparison, we show in Fig. 4(b) the free energy, for $D = 0.35$, with no discontinuity in the entropy across the continuous transition.

The phase diagram for finite c obtained in the present work within the RS scheme differs from the T vs D phase diagram for the fully connected GS model in that, apparently, there is no reentrance in the latter within that scheme but there is a reentrance within full replica-symmetry breaking. The first-order transition line appears in that case all along the reentrance of the phase boundary down to $T = 0$, and it is even slightly continued above into the normal phase boundary.

Thus, there is no continuous transition on the reentrance in that case. On the other hand, there is, apparently, a further reentrance on the first-order transition at low T [17].

The kind of behavior we find here for finite c is similar to the phase diagram of a somewhat different model of a three-state Ising spin glass with full connectivity in which the degeneracy of the active spins ($\sigma = 1$ or $\sigma = -1$) is larger than the degeneracy of the inactive state ($\sigma = 0$), in contrast to the GS model where these degeneracies are the same. Indeed, the T vs D phase boundary in that case also displays both a first-order transition at low T and a continuous transition at higher T on the reentrance of the phase boundary, even within the RS scheme [22].

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The statistical mechanics of the binary Ising SG model with finite random connectivity, within mean-field theory, has been extended in this work to study the three-state Ising SG model with crystal-field effects of Ghatak and Sherrington, which is a random-bond Blume-Capel model. Renewed interest in this model is due to the recent discovery of numerous physical realizations within condensed-matter physics that exhibit inverse transitions (see Ref. [23] for a recent review), and finite connectivity could be of use in order to study the behavior of such systems with effective interactions between infinite and short range or between infinite dimensions and three dimensions.

The replica method for disordered spin systems with finite connectivity has been extended here within the replica-symmetry ansatz. The RS ansatz for the order function that describes the behavior in the present model with finite connectivity introduces a distribution of a two-component effective field that plays a crucial role in determining the relevant order parameters of the model. An iterative self-consistency relation is derived for the local field distribution, an analytic solution of which does not seem possible in the presence of a first-order transition, and we use instead a population dynamics numerical procedure. Phase diagrams were then obtained in order to investigate the effects of finite connectivity and the anisotropy parameter on the transitions between a SG phase and a P phase, with emphasis on reentrance behavior. The latter is a necessary feature in order to describe inverse transitions, in particular, inverse freezing, in view of the two phases favored by the present model.

The main result of this paper is the T vs D phase diagram for various values of the connectivity, which exhibits the different phase transitions that may appear at low temperature. For low connectivity the transition is a continuous one down to $T = 0$, with a reentrance at low T for higher connectivity exhibiting both a continuous transition and a discontinuous transition. We showed that the discontinuous transition is a first-order transition with a discontinuity of the entropy at the transition in which the entropy of the SG phase is larger than the entropy of the P phase below the transition, which is a sign of inverse freezing in the system.

The results obtained so far within the RS ansatz could be changed by replica-symmetry breaking (RSB), and an extension in this direction could be interesting [11], although not so easy to carry out in the present case due to the

time-consuming evaluation of the distribution of the two-dimensional effective field. However, if the trend of the results for the GS model with full connectivity is taken as a guide, one would expect an enhancement of the reentrance on the first-order transition for finite connectivity within RSB, without much change of the continuous transition.

It may also be interesting to find out to what extent the specific form of the distribution of random bonds makes a difference. In place of the Gaussian with mean zero and unit variance that we used in this work, one could have a Gaussian with mean $J_0 > 0$. We found that the phase diagram is somewhat changed in that case. Instead, one could consider a general bimodal distribution between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions, which allows one to predict when the effects of frustration may become more relevant before going into a RSB calculation, an argument that has been used before [15]. This, and related issues, are currently being studied.

The procedure extended in this work can be applied to study the effects of finite connectivity in other multi-state spin models like the random BEGC model or the degenerate spin model of Schupper and Shnerb, with either random or uniform interactions [17,22]. It can also be applied to attractor neural networks, and the study of the retrieval performance in a three-state network with finite connectivity and a Hebbian learning rule is currently being investigated [24].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Discussions with Desiré Bollé, Paulo R. Krebs, and Sergio Garcia Magalhães are gratefully acknowledged. The present work was supported, in part, by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (FAPERGS), Brazil.

-
- [1] H. Nishimori, *Statistical Physics of Spin Glasses and Information Processing* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001).
 - [2] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35**, 1792 (1976).
 - [3] M. Mezard, G. Parisi, and M. A. Virasoro, *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987).
 - [4] T. Murayama, Y. Kabashima, D. Saad, and R. Vicente, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 1577 (2000).
 - [5] K. Nakamura, Y. Kabashima, and D. Saad, *Europhys. Lett.* **56**, 610 (2001).
 - [6] L. Viana and A. J. Bray, *J. Phys. C* **18**, 3037 (1985).
 - [7] I. Kanter and H. Sompolinsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 164 (1987).
 - [8] M. Mezard and G. Parisi, *Europhys Lett.* **3**, 1067 (1987).
 - [9] K. Y. Wong and D. Sherrington, *J. Phys. A* **21**, L459 (1988).
 - [10] R. Monasson, *J. Phys. A* **31**, 513 (1998).
 - [11] M. Mezard and G. Parisi, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **20**, 217 (2001).
 - [12] B. Wemmenhove and A. C. C. Coolen, *J. Phys. A* **36**, 9617 (2003).
 - [13] I. Perez Castillo and N. S. Skantzos, *J. Phys. A* **37**, 9087 (2004).
 - [14] I. Perez Castillo, B. Wemmenhove, J. P. L. Hatchett, A. C. C. Coolen, N. S. Skantzos, and T. Nikolettopoulos, *J. Phys. A* **37**, 8789 (2004).
 - [15] T. Nikolettopoulos, A. C. C. Coolen, I. Perez Castillo, N. S. Skantzos, J. P. L. Hatchett, and B. Wemmenhove, *J. Phys. A* **37**, 6455 (2004).
 - [16] A. Crisanti and L. Leuzzi, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 014409 (2004).
 - [17] A. Crisanti and L. Leuzzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 087201 (2005).
 - [18] D. Bollé, in *Advances in Condensed Matter and Statistical Mechanics*, edited by E. Korutcheva and R. Cuerno (Nova Science, New York, 2004), p. 319.
 - [19] S. K. Ghatak and D. Sherrington, *J. Phys. C* **10**, 3149 (1977).
 - [20] F. A. da Costa, C. S. O. Yokoi, and S. R. A. Salinas, *J. Phys. A* **27**, 3365 (1994).
 - [21] H. W. Capel, *Physica (Amsterdam)* **32**, 966 (1966); M. Blume, *Phys. Rev.* **141**, 517 (1966).
 - [22] N. Schupper and N. M. Shnerb, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 037202 (2004); *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 046107 (2005).
 - [23] M. Paoluzzi, L. Leuzzi, and A. Crisanti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 120602 (2010).
 - [24] D. Bollé, R. Erichsen Jr., and W. K. Theumann (unpublished).