# Driving denaturation: Nanoscale thermal transport as a probe of DNA melting

Kirill A. Velizhanin,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Chih-Chun Chien,<sup>1</sup> Yonatan Dubi,<sup>1,†</sup> and Michael Zwolak<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

<sup>2</sup>CNLS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

(Received 15 December 2010; published 19 May 2011)

DNA denaturation has been a subject of intense study due to its relationship to DNA transcription and its fundamental importance as a nonlinear structural transition. Many aspects of this phenomenon, however, remain poorly understood. Existing models fit quite well with experimental results on the fraction of unbound base pairs versus temperature, but yield incorrect results for other essential quantities such as the base pair fluctuation time scales. Here we demonstrate that nanoscale thermal transport can serve as a sensitive probe of the underlying microscopic physics responsible for the dynamics of DNA denaturation. Specifically, we show that the heat transport properties of DNA are altered significantly as it denatures, and this alteration encodes detailed information on the dynamics of thermal fluctuations and their interaction along the strand. This finding allows for the discrimination between models of DNA denaturation and will help shed new light on the nonlinear vibrational dynamics of this important molecule.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.83.050906

PACS number(s): 87.14.gk, 05.45.-a, 44.05.+e, 87.15.Zg

Besides being the "molecule of life"-or perhaps because of it-DNA lives at a unique position where its double-stranded structure can unravel into two single strands, that is, it denaturates or "melts," via changes in conditions such as temperature or ionic concentration [1]. Local melting of DNA due to thermal fluctuations, which can occur well below the denaturation temperature, is thought to play a major role in the formation of the transcription bubble [2]. The denaturation of DNA proceeds via the thermal breakage (dissociation) of base pairs and a nonlinear interaction between base pairs sharpens this transition via cooperative effects in base pair unbinding [3-5]. As a result, the accurate description of mechanisms of DNA denaturation requires one to not only correctly account for local thermal fluctuations but also how these fluctuations interact along the strand. These processes are typically probed *indirectly* through the measurement of the DNA melting curve, the fraction of unbound base pairs versus temperature at equilibrium, thus hindering the understanding of the denaturation mechanisms. However, thermal fluctuations and their interaction along the strand are the same processes that occur in thermal transport, which, as we shall see, can give an independent and more direct assessment of the proposed DNA denaturation mechanisms.

During the past decade there has been tremendous progress in measuring the thermal and electronic properties of molecular systems [6,7], including a recent experiment on the heat conduction of inhomogeneous DNA-gold nanocomposites [8]. However, except for few studies relevant to double-stranded DNA [9–11], no existing analysis of thermal transport takes into account DNA's large structural fluctuations that eventually result in its denaturation.

\*kirill@lanl.gov

In this paper the nonequilibrium behavior of singlecoordinate nonlinear models of DNA are analyzed as heat is driven through DNA via two thermal reservoirs (as shown schematically in Fig. 1). The preeminent single-coordinate model for thermally driven denaturation of DNA is the Peyrard-Bishop-Dauxois (PBD) model [3-5], which is believed to capture the main physical processes behind DNA denaturation [12]. By first deriving an analytic expression for the thermal conductance,  $\kappa$ , we show that the PBD model predicts a substantial jump in  $\kappa$  upon DNA denaturation. That is, the conductance of DNA is predicted to actually *increase* despite the fact that the DNA becomes disordered as it is broken into two single strands. This change is a delicate balance of the model parameters and the mechanisms that it represents. Indeed, we show that another related model [13], which also can describe the statistical properties of DNA denaturation, gives qualitatively different nonequilibrium behavior, predicting a drop in  $\kappa$ . Thus, by driving DNA out of equilibrium, the basic physical aspects of denaturation, thermal fluctuations and their coupling along the molecule, can be probed.

We begin with a description of the PBD model [4]. This model, as well as other single-coordinate models for DNA, reduces the DNA strand to a one-dimensional, classical lattice with each base pair represented by a single coordinate, the collective stretching of the hydrogen bonds in a Watson-Crick pair. The PBD Hamiltonian takes on the form

$$H = \sum_{n} \left[ \frac{m \dot{y}_{n}^{2}}{2} + V(y_{n}) + W(y_{n}, y_{n-1}) \right], \qquad (1)$$

where  $y_n$  represents the stretching coordinate within the *n*th base pair. An on-site Morse potential  $V(y_n) = D(e^{-ay_n} - 1)^2$  describes the harmonic binding of the base pair at small  $y_n$  with the angular frequency  $\sqrt{2Da^2/m}$  and unbinding at  $y_n \gg a$  with the finite dissociation energy *D*. The PBD nearest-neighbor potential is given by

$$W(y_n, y_{n-1}) = \frac{K}{2} (1 + \rho e^{-\alpha(y_n + y_{n-1})})(y_n - y_{n-1})^2.$$
(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Current address: School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Israel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>mpz@lanl.gov



FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic of DNA between two heat reservoirs that probe its structure via an energy current.

The PBD model has been quite successful in characterizing statistical properties of DNA near the denaturation transition [14,15]. However, since it was not derived from a microscopic Hamiltonian, one has to validate the model by comparison with experimental results. In this regard there is a considerable ambiguity in the results for short DNA strands [14,16–18] as well as the time scales for the opening of bubbles at room temperature [16,19]. Thermal transport, as we show below, can determine some of the main features single-coordinate models should display (beyond equilibrium denaturation curves) and help settle the above discrepancies.

We start our analysis by examining the behavior of the PBD model in the low (L) and high (H) temperature limits, where it becomes harmonic with the effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{\mu} = \sum_{n} \left[ \frac{m \dot{y}_{n}^{2}}{2} + D_{\mu} y_{n}^{2} + \frac{K_{\mu}}{2} (y_{n} - y_{n-1})^{2} \right], \quad (3)$$

with  $\mu = L$ , H. Numerical studies show that the full PBD model, described by the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), undergoes a sharp transition corresponding to the denaturation of DNA [5]. Above the transition temperature  $T_c$  the base pairs become unbound, that is, the on-site potential approaches a constant leading to  $D_H = 0$ . The nearest-neighbor potential becomes harmonic with  $K_H = K$ . In the low-temperature limit the strand will again have harmonic behavior with the parameters of the effective Hamiltonian  $D_L = Da^2$  and  $K_L = K (1 + \rho)$ (the latter reflects the larger nearest-neighbor coupling of the low-temperature strand). Even at low temperatures the nonlinearity of the potential is important, but the harmonic limit gives the correct physical interpretation of the numerical results on the full model we present later.

We introduce the concept of the thermal conductance ratio *R* as the ratio of the conductance between the highand low-temperature phases  $R \equiv \kappa_H/\kappa_L$ . For ohmic heat reservoirs strongly coupled to the first and last site of the strand, it can be calculated to be (see Refs. [20,21] and the supplemental material [22])

$$R \approx \frac{2K_H D_L}{K_L^2},\tag{4}$$

## PHYSICAL REVIEW E 83, 050906(R) (2011)

which uses the lowest order term in  $K_L/D_L$  for  $\kappa_L$  (see Eq. (11) in the supplemental material [22]). Since Eq. (4) is for strong coupling, it represents an extreme value for R. For the PBD model with the original parameters from Ref. [4], the corresponding ratio is  $R_{\text{PBD}} \approx 18.^{1}$  That is, the transition from low to high T results in a substantial jump in  $\kappa$  due to the weakening of the on-site confining potential, that is, the base pair dissociation, as T crosses  $T_c$  from below. The removal of the on-site potential softens the modes of the strand, making them more efficient in the transport of heat. This is more transparent if one examines the low-temperature conductance

$$\kappa_L \propto \frac{K_L^2}{D_L} \propto \frac{K_L^2}{m\omega_L^2},\tag{5}$$

where  $\omega_L$  is the on-site frequency and we have taken  $K_L/D_L$  to be small. Equation (5) shows that the conductance increases with decreasing frequency.

We note that the PBD model gives a novel phenomenon compared to other transitions. For example, in the ice-water transition at 273 K the conductance decreases rather than increases with a ratio of  $R_{\rm H_2O} \approx 0.25$  [23]. This is mainly due to the breaking of crystal structure across the transition that reduces the coupling between molecules. Another example is single-crystal C<sub>60</sub>, which exhibits a face-centered-cubic to simple-cubic structural transition at 260 K [24]. The ratio for this transition can be estimated from the experimental data to be  $R_{\rm C_{60}} \approx 0.75$ .

The thermal conductance ratio is thus important in identifying the underlying mechanisms of a structural transition. The balance of the softening of the lattice and the reduction of the nearest-neighbor coupling determines both the magnitude of the conductance change as well as whether it increases or decreases. Different proposals for the nonlinear forms of the model will give different limiting harmonic forms, changing the conductance behavior across the temperature range not only quantitatively but also qualitatively. For example, another single-coordinate model of DNA is that of Joyeux and Buyukdagli (JB) in Ref. [13]. It uses the same on-site potential but employs an alternative nearest-neighbor potential that, although giving a very similar melting curve, results in a different high-temperature harmonic Hamiltonian (see the supplemental material [22]). In the strong-coupling limit R changes as

$$R_{\rm PBD} \approx 18 \longrightarrow R_{\rm JB} \approx 0.017.$$

That is, the PBD model gives qualitatively distinct behavior from the JB model because the latter looses virtually all of its nearest-neighbor coupling at high temperature, that is, it looks more like the ice-to-water transition in character. This qualitative conclusion does not change even when using different

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We use the original parameters for the PBD model from Ref. [4] since there are still discrepancies in what parameters should be used (see, e.g., Refs. [15,31,32]). There is a large positive R in the PBD model when changing physically relevant parameters, making it a robust feature of the model. Thermal transport measurements as well will help settle what parameters actually describe DNA.

DRIVING DENATURATION: NANOSCALE THERMAL ...

parameter sets that have been developed.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, while they both agree well with some denaturation experiments, the conductance ratio can be used to directly probe the physics contained within their respective interaction potentials. While temperatures much higher than the transition temperature are beyond the validity of the models, the opposing predictions persist within a range of temperatures, including around the denaturation transition. In addition, having the ends of the DNA "clamped" together on each of the heat reservoirs–one way to measure its thermal transport properties–ensures that the fluctuations described by these single-coordinate models persist to higher temperatures. This strongly supports using the heat conduction as a test to determine basic aspects of DNA denaturation that need to be represented in microscopic models.

To gain further insight into the nonequilibrium behavior of DNA, we solve for the full dynamics of the PBD model [Eq. (1) with the appropriate stacking interaction] by numerically integrating the equations of motion of the DNA between two Langevin reservoirs (see the supplemental material [22]). In Fig. 2(a) we plot the thermal current as a function of the average reservoir temperature, while keeping the temperature difference constant at a value significantly lower than the width of the phase transition so that the entire strand is always in one phase.

As seen in Fig. 2, the ability of the DNA to conduct heat increases substantially after it becomes denaturated according to the PBD model, thus confirming our analytical prediction. Furthermore, the conductivity at  $\langle T \rangle = 300$  K is 0.18 pW/K Å (smaller than that in Ref. [11]). The difference is due to different interactions taken into account, which result in an absence of any signatures of denaturation up to 350 K in Ref. [11]. Thus their results do not incorporate the effect of strong nonlinearities due to denaturation or local melting. We have also calculated the thermal current for purely harmonic strands with the parameters corresponding to the different phases [as discussed below Eq. (3)]. The thermal conductance ratio for the harmonic limits is found numerically to be  $R_{\rm PBD} \approx 6$ , which is lower than our analytical result due to the more realistic reservoir implemented in our simulations (see the supplemental material [22]). Figure 2(c) plots the heat capacity C (per base pair) as a function of temperature, indicating the denaturation transition and the approach to harmonicity (where  $C/k_B$  is always unity).

The nonlinear model, however, gives much richer behavior. While at high temperature there is convergence of the nonlinear model to its harmonic limit, at low temperatures a nonmonoticity appears, more clearly seen in Fig. 2(b) where we plot the thermal current as a function of temperature on a logarithmic scale. The nonmonotonicity, reflected in the drop of  $\kappa$  in the temperature range 0–100 K, is mainly due to phonon scattering off the anharmonic on-site potential. This signature, conductance versus temperature, indicates the strength of the nonlinearity in DNA dynamics, which we will investigate further in a future contribution. Although the

### PHYSICAL REVIEW E 83, 050906(R) (2011)



FIG. 2. (Color online) Thermal transport across the denaturation transition. (a) Thermal current through DNA as a function of average temperature  $\langle T \rangle = (T_L + T_H)/2$  calculated while keeping the temperature difference  $\Delta T = T_H - T_L = 9.3$  K constant. The thermal current displays a steep rise as the temperature crosses the transition temperature  $T_c \simeq 350$  K. Low- and high-temperature simulations of the harmonic strands defined by  $H_L$  and  $H_H$  are given by blue and red lines, respectively. The numerical results on the full PBD model approach the harmonic limits at sufficiently low and high temperatures. (b) Logarithmic scale plot of the thermal current. The convergence to the harmonic limit, as well as an nonmonotonic dependence of the thermal current on temperature at low temperatures and a sharp rise near the transition are visible. The latter indicates that DNA may be suitable to function as a molecular thermal switch. (c) Dependence of equilibrium heat capacity C (normalized per length of the strand) on temperature showing the transition at  $T_c$  and the convergence to the harmonic value  $C/k_B = 1$ . The convergence to the harmonic value at high temperature is much more rapid than at low temperature, indicating that the anharmonicity of the Morse potential is still important even at low temperature.

single-coordinate models we study here were proposed to study DNA behavior near the denaturation transition, such a nonlinear signature should still appear at low temperature, implying that a measurement of DNA thermal transport could also serve to define the temperature range of validity of the PBD model. It also gives rise to a three orders of magnitude increase of the thermal conductance, indicating that this characteristic of structural phase transitions can be useful in developing heattronic devices such as thermal switches and thermal transistors [25].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For instance,  $R_{PBD} = 7.5$  for the parameter sets in Refs. [14] and [33], and  $R_{PBD} = 3.7$  for those in Refs. [31] and [32].

#### VELIZHANIN, CHIEN, DUBI, AND ZWOLAK

In the above discussion we have considered only homogeneous DNA strands. It is clear that heterogeneity will give rise to interesting effects in the conductance. Alternating sequences of AT and GC pairs, for instance, will tend to suppress the low-temperature conductance due to the mismatch between parameters of base pair binding potentials, while the hightemperature conductance will remain roughly the same. This will result in a substantial increase in R [25], which will also occur due to random or genomic DNA. Differences between AT and GC pairs gives an additional experimental test of this class of models [25].

To conclude, we have investigated the out-of-equilibrium properties of single-coordinate models of DNA in order to elucidate the nonlinear nature of denaturation. The balance of lattice softening and reduced coupling in different models leads to qualitatively distinct predictions for the thermal conductance ratio, the experimental measurement of which will illuminate these fundamental aspects of DNA and thus should shed light on the underlying mechanisms of DNA denaturation. Due to the nature of the effect, experiments will be robust with respect to heterogeneity, defects, and contacts,

- R. D. Sinden, DNA Structure and Function, 1st ed. (Academic, London, 1994).
- [2] J. Chen, S. A. Darst, and D. Thirumalai, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 12523 (2010).
- [3] M. Peyrard and A. R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2755 (1989).
- [4] T. Dauxois, M. Peyrard, and A. R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. E 47, R44 (1993).
- [5] T. Dauxois, M. Peyrard, and A. R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. E 47, 684 (1993).
- [6] Y. Dubi and M. Di Ventra, e-print arXiv:0910.0425.
- [7] J. R. Heath, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 39, 1 (2009).
- [8] T. Kodama, A. Jain, and K. E. Goodson, Nano Lett. 9, 2005 (2009).
- [9] M. Terraneo, M. Peyrard, and G. Casati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 094302 (2002).
- [10] M. Peyrard, Europhys. Lett. 76, 49 (2006).
- [11] A. V. Savin, M. A. Mazo, I. P. Kikot, L. I. Manevitch, and A. V. Onufriev, e-print arXiv:1010.0363.
- [12] M. Peyrard, Nonlinearity 17, R1 (2004).
- [13] M. Joyeux and S. Buyukdagli, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 051902 (2005).
- [14] A. Campa and A. Giansanti, Phys. Rev. E 58, 3585 (1998).
- [15] G. Weber, J. W. Essex, and C. Neylon, Nat. Phys. 5, 769 (2009).
- [16] M. Peyrard, S. Cuesta-López, and G. James, Nonlinearity 21, T91 (2008).
- [17] M. Sanrey and M. Joyeux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 029601 (2009).
- [18] S. Ares, N. K. Voulgarakis, K. Ø. Rasmussen, and A. R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 029602 (2009).

## PHYSICAL REVIEW E 83, 050906(R) (2011)

unlike the electrical counterpart [26]. Recent experiments on the heat conduction of nanotubes [27] and DNA-gold nanocomposites [8] give a potential route to developing the experimental setup. However, it will be necessary to perform the experiment in conditions of high humidity so the DNA retains its B form [28]. Such an experiment could also be done on double- and single- stranded DNA separately, which would remove uncertainties due to the lack of solvent. Fluorescence experiments to detect local openings of the DNA strand [29,30] can further complement the thermal transport measurements. The thermal conductance ratio may also be useful in understanding other structural transitions, such as nanotube collapse. Finally, such experiments will pave the road to creating molecular thermal switches and open new avenues in understanding and controlling thermal flow at the nanoscale.

The authors would like to thank A. R. Bishop, K. Rasmussen, and B. Alexandrov for valuable discussions. This research is supported by the US Department of Energy through the LANL/LDRD Program. K.A.V. also acknowledges the support provided by CNLS.

- [19] M. Peyrard, S. Cuesta-López, and G. James, J. Biol. Phys. 35, 73 (2009).
- [20] A. Casher and J. L. Lebowitz, J. Math. Phys. 12, 1701 (1971).
- [21] A. Dhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5882 (2001).
- [22] See supplemental material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.83.050906] for details on the analytic derivation of the thermal conductance and numerical simulations.
- [23] D. R. Lide, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 90th ed. (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009).
- [24] R. C. Yu, N. Tea, M. B. Salamon, D. Lorents, and R. Malhotra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2050 (1992).
- [25] C.-C. Chien, K. A. Velizhanin, Y. Dubi, and M. Zwolak (in preparation, 2011).
- [26] M. Di Ventra and M. Zwolak, in *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, Vol. 2, edited by H. Singh-Nalwa (American Scientific Publishers, New York, 2004), p. 475.
- [27] P. Kim, L. Shi, A. Majumdar, and P. L. McEuen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 215502 (2001).
- [28] M. T. Record, S. J. Mazur, P. Melancon, J. H. Roe, S. L. Shaner, and L. Unger, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 50, 997 (1981).
- [29] G. Bonnet, O. Krichevsky, and A. Libchaber, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 8602 (1998).
- [30] G. Altan-Bonnet, A. Libchaber, and O. Krichevsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 138101 (2003).
- [31] N. Theodorakopoulos, Phys. Rev. E 82, 021905 (2010).
- [32] N. Theodorakopoulos, e-print arXiv:1102.0259.
- [33] B. S. Alexandrov, V. Gelev, Y. Monisova, L. B. Alexandrov, A. R. Bishop, K. Ø. Rasmussen, and A. Usheva, Nucleic Acids Res. 37, 2405 (2009).