# Spatial rock-paper-scissors models with inhomogeneous reaction rates

Qian He,<sup>1,\*</sup> Mauro Mobilia,<sup>2,†</sup> and Uwe C. Täuber<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Center for Stochastic Processes in Science and Engineering,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

(Received 30 April 2010; revised manuscript received 3 September 2010; published 4 November 2010)

We study several variants of the stochastic four-state rock-paper-scissors game or, equivalently, cyclic three-species predator-prey models with conserved total particle density, by means of Monte Carlo simulations on one- and two-dimensional lattices. Specifically, we investigate the influence of spatial variability of the reaction rates and site occupancy restrictions on the transient oscillations of the species densities and on spatial correlation functions in the quasistationary coexistence state. For small systems, we also numerically determine the dependence of typical extinction times on the number of lattice sites. In stark contrast with two-species stochastic Lotka-Volterra systems, we find that for our three-species models with cyclic competition quenched disorder in the reaction rates has very little effect on the dynamics and the long-time properties of the coexistence state. Similarly, we observe that site restriction only has a minor influence on the system's dynamical properties. Our results therefore demonstrate that the features of the spatial rock-paper-scissors system are remarkably robust with respect to model variations, and stochastic fluctuations as well as spatial correlations play a comparatively minor role.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.82.051909

PACS number(s): 87.23.Cc, 02.50.Ey, 05.40.-a, 05.70.Fh

## I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the origin of and maintaining biodiversity is of obvious paramount importance in ecology and biology [1-5]. In this context, paradigmatic schematic models of predator-prey interaction that build on the classic Lotka-Volterra system [6,7] have been widely studied. Specifically, systems with cyclic dominance of competing populations have been suggested to provide a mechanism to promote species diversity; there are also natural connections to evolutionary game theory [8-13]. A minimal yet nontrivial model for cyclic competition is the three-species cyclic predator-prey system with standard Lotka-Volterra predation interactions, essentially equivalent to the familiar rockpaper-scissors (RPS) game [8-11]. This RPS system has, for example, been used to model the cyclic competitions between three subspecies of certain Californian lizards [14, 15], and the coevolution of three strains of E. coli bacteria in microbial experiments [16]. Other examples include coral reef invertebrates [17] and overgrowths by marine sessile organisms [18,19]. In this simple RPS model, one lets "rock" (species A) smash "scissors" (species B), scissors cut "paper" (species C), and paper wrap rock. Already for a nonspatial RPS system, the presence of intrinsic stochastic fluctuations (reaction noise) makes the system eventually evolve to one of the three extinction states where only one species survives [20-23]. For example, if the reaction rates in the system are not equal, one intriguingly observes the "weakest" species, with the smallest predation rate, to survive, whereas the other two species always die out [22,23]. When the model is extended to include spatial degrees of freedom, say by allowing

1539-3755/2010/82(5)/051909(11)

interact upon encounter, spatial fluctuations, and correlations further complicate the picture. For instance, species extinction still prevails in one-dimensional RPS models [24-27], but the system settles in a coexistence state when the species are efficiently mixed through particle exchange (but see also Ref. [28]). In contrast, two-dimensional RPS systems are characterized by coexistence of the competing species, and the emergence of complex spatiotemporal structures such as spiral patterns [22,24,27,29-38]. Recently, Reichenbach et al. extensively studied the four-state RPS model without conservation law [33-36], and it is now well-established that cyclic reactions in conjunction with diffusive spreading generate spiral patterns (when the system is sufficiently mixed). In model variants that incorporate conservation of the total population density, on the other hand, spiral patterns do not occur [22,24,38]; also, when the species mobility is drastically enhanced through fast particle exchange processes, the spiral patterns are destroyed as well, and the system eventually reaches an extinction state [33,38].

particles to hop to nearest-neighbor sites on a lattice and

This work is motivated by the following question: which are the crucial model ingredients to be included in order to attain a further degree of realism? To this end, we carried out Monte Carlo simulation studies on the influence of the carrying capacity and environmental inhomogeneity on the properties of a class of spatial RPS models where the total population size conserved (zero-sum is games) [9,11,20,22,24-27,29,30,33,37-39]. In our stochastic lattice models, the carrying capacity (i.e., the maximum population size that can be sustained by the environment) is implemented through site occupation number restrictions. Environmental variability is modeled through assigning local reaction rates that are treated as quenched random variables drawn from a uniform distribution. Our extensive numerical study shows that carrying capacity and quenched disorder have little influence on the oscillatory dynamics, spatial correlation functions, and extinction times in the RPS model

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061-0435, USA

<sup>\*</sup>heq07@vt.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>m.mobilia@leeds.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>tauber@vt.edu

system. This demonstrates a quite remarkable robustness of this class of models. From a modeling perspective, this establishes the essential equivalence of rather distinct model variants. We emphasize that this outcome is nontrivial, as is, for example, revealed by a comparison with the two-species Lotka-Volterra system [40,41], where spatially varying reaction rates may cause more localized clusters of activity and thereby enhance the fitness of both predator and prey species [42].

Naturally, lattice models should be viewed as coarsegrained representations of a metapopulation system where each lattice site or cell can be interpreted as a "patch" (or "island") populated by a "deme" (or "local community") [43,44]. For the sake of simplicity (i.e., to try to understand the simplest possible systems before venturing further), we here restrict our presentation to RPS model variants that obey a conservation law (even though that has no particular ecological motivation). As empty lattice sites are allowed, we shall refer to our model system as a class of four-state RPS models with conservation law (if all sites are at most occupied by a single individual, each of them can be in one of four states). While the presence or absence of the conservation of the total number of particles is crucial for the emergence of spiral waves in RPS systems [38], this is not the case for the properties studied here. In fact, it turns out that our conclusions on the effects (or lack thereof) of limited carrying capacity and random environmental influences on the transient population oscillations, spatial correlation functions, and species extinction times are common to models both with and without conservation laws [45].

Our paper is structured as follows: in Sec. II, we define our model, the stochastic four-state spatial rock-paperscissors (RPS) game or cyclic three-species predator-prey system with conservation of total population density, and briefly review the results obtained from the mean-field rate equation approximation. In Sec. III, we introduce our Monte Carlo simulation algorithm and discuss the detailed model variants we have explored. We then present results for the species' time-dependent densities, associated frequency power spectra, and spatial correlation functions to analyze the influence of quenched spatial disorder in the reaction rates and site occupation restriction on the temporal evolution and quasistationary states of this system, both in two dimensions and for a one-dimensional lattice. We also compare our numerical findings with the mean-field predictions, and obtain the mean extinction time (for the first species to die out) as function of system size. Finally, we provide a summary of our results and concluding remarks.

### **II. MODEL AND RATE EQUATIONS**

The rock-paper-scissors (RPS) model describes the cyclic competition of three interacting species that we label A, B, and C. We consider the following (zero-sum [9]) predator-prey type interactions,

$$A + B \rightarrow A + A$$
 with rate  $k_a$ ,  
 $B + C \rightarrow B + B$  with rate  $k_b$ ,  
 $C + A \rightarrow C + C$  with rate  $k_c$ . (1)

Note that these irreversible reactions strictly conserve the total number of particles. We remark that naturally other variants of the RPS dynamics could also be considered; notably the four-state May-Leonard model which does not conserve the total particle density [46] has attracted considerable attention, see, e.g., Refs. [9,34,47]. As will be demonstrated elsewhere, the conclusions presented here on the effects of carrying capacity and spatial reaction rate variability remain essentially unchanged for this system [45]. To generalize the above reaction model to a spatially extended lattice version, we allow empty sites (as a fourth possible state) and let the reactions happen only between nearest neighbors. In addition, we introduce nearest-neighbor particle hopping with rate D (if at most one particle is allowed per lattice site, this process takes place only if an adjacent empty site becomes selected at each time step).

Within the mean-field approximation, wherein any correlations and spatial variations are neglected, the following set of three coupled rate equations for homogeneous population densities a(t), b(t), and c(t), with fixed total population density  $a(t)+b(t)+c(t)=\rho=$ const describes the system's temporal evolution,

$$\partial_t a(t) = a(t)[k_a b(t) - k_c c(t)],$$
  

$$\partial_t b(t) = b(t)[k_b c(t) - k_a a(t)],$$
  

$$\partial_t c(t) = c(t)[k_c a(t) - k_b b(t)].$$
(2)

These coupled rate equations possess a reactive fixed point, where all three species coexist,  $(a^*, b^*, c^*) = (k_b, k_c, k_a)\rho/(k_a+k_b+k_c)$ , which is marginally stable (see also Ref. [22]). Indeed, introducing new variables  $\delta a(t) = a(t) - a^*$ ,  $\delta b(t) = b(t) - b^*$ ,  $\delta c(t) = c(t) - c^*$ , and utilizing the conservation law  $\delta a + \delta b + \delta c = 0$ , we may express the first two rate equations in terms of  $\delta a$  and  $\delta b$ . Linearizing about the reactive fixed point then gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \delta a \\ \partial_t \delta b \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} \delta a \\ \delta b \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

with the linear stability matrix

$$L = \frac{\rho}{k_a + k_b + k_c} \begin{pmatrix} k_b k_c & k_b (k_a + k_c) \\ -k_c (k_a + k_b) & -k_b k_c \end{pmatrix},$$
(4)

with eigenvalues  $\lambda = \pm i\rho\sqrt{k_ak_bk_c/(k_a+k_b+k_c)} = \pm i\omega$ , where  $f=\omega/2\pi$  represents a characteristic oscillation frequency, e.g., for total density  $\rho=1$  and  $k_a=0.2$ ,  $k_b=0.5$ ,  $k_c=0.8$ ,  $\lambda = \pm i2\sqrt{3}/15$ , and the typical frequency is  $f\approx 0.037$ . We will use these mean-field values later to compare with the simulation results. In the special case of symmetric reaction rates where  $k_a=k_b=k_c=k$ , we get  $\lambda = \pm ik/\sqrt{3}$ ; for example, if  $\rho=1$  and k=0.5, then  $\lambda = \pm i\sqrt{3}/6$  and  $f\approx 0.046$ . In addition, the system also has three absorbing states, with only a single

species surviving ultimately:  $(\rho, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, \rho, 0)$ , and  $(0, 0, \rho)$ . Within the mean-field approximation, these fixed points are all linearly unstable. However, in any stochastic model realization on a finite lattice, temporal evolution would ultimately terminate in one of these absorbing states, as we shall explore for small systems below.

## **III. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION RESULTS**

## A. Model variants and quantities of interest

We investigate stochastic RPS systems on one- and twodimensional lattices with periodic boundary conditions. At each time step, one individual of any species is selected at random, then hops to a nearest-neighbor site, if the number of particles on the chosen target site is empty. Otherwise, one of the particles on the chosen neighboring site is selected randomly and undergoes a reaction with the center particle according to the scheme and rates specified by Eq. (1) if both particles are different. The outcome of the reaction then replaces the eliminated particle. Note that predation reactions always involve neighboring particles; on-site reactions do not occur. This has the advantage of permitting us to treat the model variants with and without site occupation number restriction within the same setup, allowing for direct comparison. A similar approach was already adopted for the twospecies lattice Lotka-Volterra model, where we confirmed earlier that nearest-neighbor predation interactions and strictly on-site reactions lead (without loss of generality) to essentially identical macroscopic features [40,41].

If the selected and focal particles are of the same species, the center particle just hops to its chosen neighboring site. For our model variants with site occupancy restriction, the hopping process only takes place if the total number of particles on the target site is less than the maximum occupancy number (local carrying capacity)  $n_m$ . In this work, we set  $n_m=1$ ; i.e., each lattice site can either be empty or occupied by a single particle of either species A, B, or C (which gives four possible states for each site). Once on average each individual particle in the lattice has had the chance to react or move, one Monte Carlo step (MCS) is completed; thus the corresponding simulation time is increased by  $\delta t \sim N^{-1}$ . Also note that the hopping processes set the fundamental time scale; basically the reaction rates are measured in units of the diffusivity D (unless D=0).

First, we shall study models with uniform symmetric reaction rates ( $k_a = k_b = k_c = k = 0.5$ ); next we simulate systems with quenched spatial disorder by drawing the reaction probabilities k at each lattice site from a uniform distribution on the interval [0,1]. Therefore, this distribution has the same mean reaction rate 1/2 as the homogeneous rate in the model with fixed reaction rates, allowing for direct comparison of the relevant numerical quantities. The four basic different model variants we have investigated are summarized in Table I. In addition, we have studied systems with asymmetric reaction rates, both uniform and subject to quenched randomness with flat distribution. Besides the time-dependent population densities a(t), b(t), and c(t), averaged over 50 individual simulation runs, we typically also investigate their corresponding temporal Fourier transforms

TABLE I. List of stochastic lattice RPS model variants.

Model	Reaction rates	Site restriction
1	Homogeneous rate: $k=0.5$	No restriction
2	Homogeneous rate: $k=0.5$	At most one particle
3	Uniform rate distribution	No restriction
4	Uniform rate distribution	At most one particle

 $a(f) = \int a(t)e^{2\pi i ft}dt$ , and the equal-time two-point occupation number correlation functions (cumulants)  $C_{AB}(x,t) = \langle n_A(i+x,t)n_B(i,t) \rangle - a(t)b(t)$ , where *i* denotes the site index, and similarly for the other species, as well as  $C_{AA}(x,t)$ , etc. In addition, for small systems with *N* lattice sites we have numerically computed the mean extinction time  $T_{ex}(N)$  defined as the average time for the first of the three species to die out [48]. For the one-dimensional four-state RPS model, we have also determined the time evolution of the typical single-species domain size  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle$ , see Sec. III D.

## B. Two-dimensional stochastic RPS lattice models: Symmetric rates

We first report and discuss our Monte Carlo simulation results on a  $256 \times 256$  square lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The data are typically averaged over 50 Monte Carlo runs with different initial configurations, where the particles of each species are placed randomly on the lattice. Figure 1(a) depicts the temporal evolution of the total population densities in a system without site occupation number restrictions and with equal reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$ (labeled model 1 in Table I), but unequal initial densities a(0)=2/3, b(0)=c(0)=1/6, along with two snapshots 1b,1c of their spatial distribution at different times. Since the selection and reproduction processes are combined into a single step in our model, the total population density  $\rho$  is strictly conserved, and as expected we therefore observe no spiral patterns that are characteristic of RPS models without conservation law [38]. In the initial time regime, we see distinct decaying population oscillations in Fig. 1(a), and inhomogeneous species clusters in the snapshot Fig. 1(b). As time progresses, the amplitude of the oscillating fluctuations decreases quickly, and also the spatial distribution and species cluster size become more stable and homogeneous [Fig. 1(c)]. Our (fairly large) system eventually settles in a coexistence state with small density fluctuations [Fig. 1(a), inset]. For comparison, Fig. 1(d) shows a snapshot in a system with identical reaction rates and asymmetric initial densities, but with all site occupation numbers restricted to at most a single particle (model 2); one observes the same small cluster structure as in the absence of occupation restrictions.

In Fig. 2, we show the absolute values of the Fourier transformed population density signals |a(f)|, as obtained from averaging 50 Monte Carlo simulation runs for the four different model variants listed in Table I, in this case with equal initial densities a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3. Recall that



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Temporal evolution for the population densities of species A (red/solid line), B(green/dashed), and C (blue/dash-dotted) with symmetric reaction rates  $k_a=k_b=k_c=0.5$  and without site occupation restriction (model 1), with unequal initial densities a(0)=2/3, b(0)=c(0)=1/6, averaged over 50 Monte Carlo runs on a 256×256 square lattice. (b) Snapshot of the spatial particle distribution for a single simulation run at t=50, and (c) at t=500 MCCs; (d): snapshot at t=500 MCS, for a system where at most one particle of either species is allowed per site (model 2). (Color coding shows the majority species on each site; red/gray: species A, yellow/light gray: B, blue/dark gray: C, black: empty site.)

mean-field theory predicts a regular, undamped oscillation frequency  $f \approx 0.046$ . From the simulation data, we determine the characteristic peak frequency  $f \approx 0.028$ , which evidently governs oscillatory fluctuations; however, the finite width of



FIG. 2. (Color online) Signal Fourier transform |a(f)| of species A density data on a  $256 \times 256$  square lattice with initial population densities a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3 for the four model variants described in Table I, averaged over 50 Monte Carlo simulation runs.

the Fourier peak in Fig. 2 reflects that the population oscillations are damped and will cease after a finite characteristic relaxation time.

Moreover, we see that even if spatial disorder and/or site occupancy restrictions are incorporated in the model, the Fourier-transformed density signals display practically the same frequency distribution and significant peak locations. Indeed, we find that in our simulations for model versions 1 and 3 with total density 1, the typical occupation number at each site remains  $n \leq 2$  throughout the runs, which explains why the exclusion constraints in model variants 2 and 4 do not have a large effect. Thus, neither spatial disorder nor site occupancy restrictions change the temporal evolution pattern of the system markedly. This is in stark contrast with results for the two-species stochastic lattice Lotka-Volterra model, for which one finds (i) very pronounced spatiotemporal structures in the species coexistence regime [40]; (ii) large fluctuations that strongly renormalize the characteristic population oscillation frequency [40,41]; (iii) an extinction threshold for the predator species induced by local density restrictions on the prey [40]; and (iv) considerable enhance-



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Static density autocorrelation function  $C_{AA}(x)$  and (b) cross-correlation function  $C_{AB}(x)$  (linear-log<sub>10</sub> plots) measured at t=250 MCS for the four model variants described in Table I, with initial population densities a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3.

TABLE II. Correlation lengths  $l_{AA}$  for the autocorrelation function and  $l_{AB}$  for the cross-correlation function (in units of the lattice spacing) obtained for the four model variants of Table I with symmetric reaction rates.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
l <sub>AA</sub>	$3.27\pm0.02$	$2.92\pm0.02$	$2.64\pm0.03$	$2.59\pm0.01$
$l_{AB}$	$2.86\pm0.08$	$2.35\pm0.09$	$2.40\pm0.06$	$1.99\pm0.09$

ment of the asymptotic densities of both species caused by spatial variability of the predation rate [42].

In order to study the effect of spatial disorder and site occupation restriction on emerging correlations in our stochastic RPS models, we have determined the equal-time twopoint correlation functions in the quasistationary (long-lived) coexistence state illustrated for models 1 and 2 in Figs. 1(c)and 1(d), respectively. These static correlation functions can quantitatively capture the emerging spatial structures in the lattice. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) depict the autocorrelation function  $C_{AA}(x)$  and the cross-correlation function  $C_{AB}(x)$  as obtained for our four models (see Table I), which all are seen to decay exponentially with distance, i.e.,  $C_{AA}(x) \propto e^{-|x|/l_{AA}}$  and  $C_{AB}(x) \propto e^{-|x|/l_{AB}}$ . From these log-normal plots, we have extracted the associated correlation length  $l_{AA}$  and typical species separation distance  $l_{AB}$ ; the results are listed in Table II. It is worth noticing that in systems exhibiting spiraling patterns, as in the four-state RPS model without conservation law, the correlation functions  $C_{AA}(x)$  and  $C_{AB}(x)$  do not fall off exponentially but exhibit (damped) oscillations, see, e.g., Refs. [34,36]. Site occupation restrictions clearly have the effect of reducing both correlation lengths. Also, as is the case for the two-species lattice Lotka-Volterra system [42], rendering the reaction rate a quenched random variable for each site leads to more localized population and activity patches, characterized by markedly smaller correlation and typical separation lengths.

The influence of varying (homogeneous and symmetric) reaction rates and modifying the total (conserved) population



FIG. 4. (Color online) Variation of the characteristic peak frequency in the density Fourier signal |a(f)| with the total density  $\rho$  and homogeneous, symmetric reaction rate k, for RPS simulations on a  $256 \times 256$  square lattice with equal initial densities, run for 1000 MCS.

density is explored in Fig. 4, which shows the dependence of the characteristic Fourier peak frequency f on k and  $\rho$ . We find that f scales roughly linearly with both the total density  $\rho$  and the reaction rate k, in accord with the mean-field prediction  $f \propto \rho k$ , see Sec. II. We have also checked that switching off nearest-neighbor hopping (setting D=0), thus allowing particle spreading only via the nonlinear reaction processes [Eq. (1)], essentially leaves the stochastic RPS system's features intact.

Finally, we have also studied the mean extinction time as function of lattice size N for small two-dimensional stochastic lattice RPS systems, here of the model 1 variety with homogeneous symmetric reaction rates  $k_a=k_b=k_c=0.5$  and equal initial densities a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3. We recall that in any finite system displaying an absorbing stationary state, stochastic fluctuations will eventually reach this absorbing configuration. In the stochastic RPS model, one therefore expects two species to eventually become extinct; however, reaching this absorbing state may take an enormous amount



FIG. 5. (a) Mean extinction time as function of lattice size N (linear-log<sub>10</sub> plot), obtained from averages over 50 Monte Carlo runs, for small two-dimensional lattice RPS systems in the absence of site restrictions and with symmetric reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$  (model 1), and equal initial population densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3. The data are for lattices with  $N = 5 \times 5$ ,  $7 \times 7$ ,  $10 \times 10$ ,  $12 \times 12$ ,  $15 \times 15$ ,  $17 \times 17$ , and  $20 \times 20$  sites. (b) Histogram of measured extinction times for N = 100 sites.

TABLE III. List of stochastic lattice RPS model variants with asymmetric rates. While  $k_b=0.5$  and  $k_c=0.8$  are held fixed in all four variants, we set  $k_a=0.2$  in models 1 and 2, whereas we took  $k_a$  to be a random variable uniformly distributed in [0,0.4] in models 3 and 4.

Model	Reaction rates	Site restriction
1	$k_a = 0.2, k_b = 0.5, k_c = 0.8$	No restriction
2	$k_a = 0.2, k_b = 0.5, k_c = 0.8$	At most one
3	$k_a \in [0, 0.4], k_b = 0.5, k_c = 0.8$	No restriction
4	$k_a \in [0, 0.4], k_b = 0.5, k_c = 0.8$	At most one

of time, and will thus become practically unobservable on large lattices. In fact, in two and higher dimensions one expects the mean extinction time  $T_{ex}$  (here measured for the first species to die out) to scale exponentially with system size N, since random fluctuations effectively have to overcome a finite barrier in order to follow an "optimal" path toward extinction. As depicted in Fig. 5(a), we indeed observe  $\ln T_{\rm ex}(N) \sim N$ , consistent with the prediction on the coexistence state stability reported in Refs. [33,36]. The associated distributions of extinction times are described by neither Poisson nor Gaussian distributions (e.g., the means are considerably larger than the most likely values), but display long "fat" tails at large extinction times, see Fig. 5(b). We expect similar features in model variant 2, in accord with the remarkably long-live species coexistence observed in Ref. [24].

### C. Two-dimensional stochastic RPS system: Asymmetric rates

Next we turn to a stochastic RPS system with asymmetric reaction rates and consider the various model variants specified in Table III together with the reactions [Eq. (1)]. Figure 6(a) shows the time evolution for the three species' densities in a system with constant rates  $k_a=0.2$ ,  $k_b=0.5$ , and  $k_c=0.8$ . From our simulations for model version 1, we infer the asymptotic population densities (with statistical errors)  $(0.40 \pm 0.01, 0.45 \pm 0.01, 0.15 \pm 0.01)$ , which follow the



FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Temporal evolution for the population densities of species A (red/solid line), B (green/dashed), and C (blue/dash-dotted) with asymmetric reaction rates  $k_a=0.2$ ,  $k_b=0.5$ ,  $k_c=0.8$  and without site occupation restriction (model 1), with equal initial densities a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3, averaged over 50 runs on a  $256 \times 256$  square lattice. (b) Snapshot of the spatial particle distribution in a single simulation run at t=50, and (c) at t=500 MCS; (d): snapshot at t=500 MCS, for a system where at most one particle of either species is allowed per site (model 2). (Majority species coloring: red/gray: A, yellow/light gray: B, blue/dark gray: C, black: empty.)

trends of the mean-field results  $(a^*, b^*, c^*) = (0.33, 0.53, 0.13)$ . As becomes apparent in the snapshots 6b and 6c for model variant 1 without site restrictions, and 6d for a system with at most a single particle per site (model 2), particles of the same species form distinctive spatial clusters. The effect of the reaction rate asymmetry on the equal-time



FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Equal-time autocorrelation functions  $C_{AA}(x)$ ,  $C_{BB}(x)$ ,  $C_{CC}(x)$  at t=1000 MCS for the model described in Fig. 6. (b) Equal-time cross-correlation functions  $C_{AB}(x)$ ,  $C_{BC}(x)$ ,  $C_{AC}(x)$  (linear-log<sub>10</sub> plots).

TABLE IV. Correlation lengths (top) inferred from the autocorrelation functions and typical separation distances (bottom) obtained from the cross-correlation functions (in units of the lattice spacing) measured for the RPS model with asymmetric but homogeneous reaction rates  $k_a=0.2$ ,  $k_b=0.5$ , and  $k_c=0.8$ .

$l_{AA}$	l <sub>BB</sub>	$l_{CC}$
$5.24 \pm 0.03$	$5.67 \pm 0.08$	$3.46 \pm 0.05$
$l_{AB}$	$l_{BC}$	$l_{AC}$
$6.68 \pm 0.20$	$3.68\pm0.07$	$3.33\pm0.05$

auto- and cross-correlation functions is shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively, with the ensuing correlation lengths and typical separation distances listed in Table IV. Note that the autocorrelation length  $l_{CC}$  for species *C* is smaller than  $l_{AA}$ , and  $l_{BB}$ , which is largest. This is consistent with the long-time densities in the (quasistationary) coexistence state, given our observation that the overall particle density is roughly uniform.

As a last model variation, we allow the reaction rate  $k_a$  to be a quenched spatial random variable drawn from the flat distribution [0,0.4], such that its average is still 0.2, but hold  $k_b=0.5$  and  $k_c=0.8$  fixed. Figure 8 compares the time evolution for these disordered systems with and without site restrictions with the corresponding homogeneous models. Once again, we see that spatial variability in the reaction rate even in this asymmetric setting has very little effect. As can be seen from the Fourier signal peak in Fig. 9, the characteristic frequency comes out to be  $f \approx 0.021$  for all four asymmetric model variants investigated here, and Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) demonstrate that the disorder hardly modifies the spatial decay of the auto- and cross-correlation functions either.



FIG. 8. (Color online) Time evolution for the population density a(t) of species A for four model variants with asymmetric reaction rates, namely, with  $k_b=0.5$ ,  $k_c=0.8$  and either uniformly  $k_a=0.2$ , or drawn from a flat distribution [0,0.4], with and without site restrictions (see the listing in Table III). The initial densities are a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3, and the data stem from averages over 50 runs on a 256×256 square lattice.



FIG. 9. (Color online) Signal Fourier transform |a(f)| for the four model variants described in Table III. The characteristic frequency comes out to be  $f \approx 0.021$ .

#### **D.** One-dimensional Monte Carlo simulations

We have run simulations for all four model variants listed in Table I, i.e., with/without site occupancy restriction; with/ without guenched spatial randomness in the reaction rates, in one dimension. We find that only a single species ultimately survives and eventually occupies the whole lattice no matter whether spatial disorder or site restrictions are included in the model: as expected, the one-dimensional system will always evolve toward one of the three extinction states where two of the three species will die out. While this phenomenon also occurs in two dimensions, in d=1 the species coexist over a time that on average scales polynomially with the system size (see below), i.e., extinction happens on a much shorter time scale than in two dimensions [see Fig. 5(a)]. Again, for equal (mean) reaction rates and initial densities, each species has equal survival probability. For comparison, the space-time plots of one-dimensional lattice simulations without and with site occupancy restriction are depicted in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively. It is seen that individuals of identical species cluster together, and any reactions are confined to the boundary separating the single-species domains. When the occupancy of any site is restricted to a single particle of either species, these domains form quickly and are very robust, even if not all sites are filled, see Figs. 12(a) and 12(b).

The population density signal Fourier transform |a(f)|, shown for species A in Fig. 13, confirms the absence of any population oscillations through the absence of any peak at nonzero frequency f, and the width of the peak at f=0 reflects the decay time to the stationary extinction state. As in two dimensions, we observe very little effect of either site occupation number restrictions or spatial variability of the reaction rates on the Fourier signal, compare Figs. 2 and 9. We have also measured the mean single-species domain size  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle$  and investigated its growth with time t, shown in Fig. 14. As was predicted in Refs. [25,26], for the implementation with site occupancy restriction (model 2) to at most a single particle per site, we observe  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle \sim t^{3/4}$ ; we find the same asymptotic growth law when arbitrarily many particles are allowed on each lattice site. An algebraic decay of the number of domains was also reported in Ref. [24]. The domain



FIG. 10. (Color online) (a) Equal-time autocorrelation function  $C_{AA}(x)$  and (b) cross-correlation functions  $C_{AB}(x)$  (linear-log<sub>10</sub> plots) at t=1000 MCS for the four model variants described in Table III.

stability is further illustrated by the very slow temporal decay of the on-site auto- and cross-correlation functions [see Figs. 15(a) and 15(b)]. Notice that quenched spatial disorder in the reaction rates does not affect the time evolution of the autocorrelation functions, in contrast with site occupancy restrictions; here the results depend on the presence or absence of empty sites, see Fig. 15(a). However, the cross-correlation functions in Fig. 15(b) look essentially indistinguishable for all these model variations.

Figures 16(a) and 16(b), respectively, depict the equaltime auto- and cross-correlation functions for the various model variants listed in Table I obtained for a onedimensional lattice with 50 000 sites. We observe exponential decay with similar large correlation lengths for all model variants up to about 50 lattice sites, followed by a cutoff (which extends to larger x as time increases).

Finally, we investigate the mean extinction time as function of system size N in one dimension. As becomes apparent in Figs. 17(a), in all one-dimensional model variants we have considered, within our (large) error bars the mean extinction time appears to follow a power law  $T_{\rm ex} \sim N^{\gamma}$ , as proposed in Refs. [21,39,48,49]. However, a best power-law fit yields variable effective exponents  $T_{\rm ex} \sim N^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma$  ranging from ~1.5 to ~1.8 if we fit the data up to N=50 or N=200, respectively, rather than  $\gamma = 2$  [48] or  $\gamma = 1$  [21,39,49]. Biasing the data toward smaller systems for which the statistical errors are likely better controlled, our results may even be consistent with the mean-field value  $\gamma=1$ . Note, however, that the extinction time distribution acquires even fatter tails at large times than in two dimensions, see Fig. 17(b), and rare long survival events dominate the averages and induce large statistical fluctuations. The mean extinction time alone therefore poorly characterizes the extinction kinetics. When the reaction rates are chosen asymmetric, we have checked that only the "weakest" species with the smallest predation rate survives, whereas the other two species are driven to extinction [22,23].



FIG. 11. (Color online) Time evolution (up to 1000 Monte Carlo steps; from top to bottom) for a one-dimensional RPS model run with equal, homogeneous reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$ , equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3, and in the absence of site occupancy restriction. (Only 10 000 of the total 50 000 lattice sites in this run are shown; majority species coloring in red/gray: *A*, yellow/ light gray: *B*, blue/dark gray: *C*, black: empty.)



FIG. 12. (Color online) Time evolution (up to 1000 Monte Carlo steps; from top to bottom) for one-dimensional RPS model runs with equal, homogeneous reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$ , equal initial densities (a) model 2: a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3, and (b) model 2': a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=0.2 (model 2' and 4' refer to the corresponding model variants listed in Table I with total particle density less than (1), where at most one particle of either species is allowed per site. (Only 10 000 of the total 50 000 lattice sites in these runs are shown; red/gray: A, yellow/light gray: B, blue/dark gray: C, black: empty.)



FIG. 13. (Color online) Signal Fourier transform |a(f)| for the four RPS model variants listed in Table I, in one dimension. The data is averaged over 50 Monte Carlo simulations on lattices with 50 000 sites.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we have studied the effects of finite carrying capacity and spatial variability in the reaction rates on the dynamics of a class of spatial rock-paper-scissors (RPS) models. We have investigated the properties of several variants of the stochastic four-state zero-sum RPS game (with conserved total particle number) on two- and onedimensional lattices with periodic boundary conditions. In two dimensions, owing to the strict (local) conservation of the total particle number, one does not observe the formation of spiral patterns; the three species simply form small clusters. In fact, spatial correlations are weak in the (quasistationary) coexistence state, and the system is remarkably well described by the mean-field rate equation approximation. Typical extinction times scale exponentially with system size [33,34,36], resulting in coexistence of all three species already on moderately large lattices. We find the characteristic initial oscillation frequency to be proportional to the reaction rate and total particle density, as predicted by mean-field theory.



FIG. 14. (Color online) The time evolution  $(\log_{10} - \log_{10} \text{ plot})$  of the mean single-species domain size  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle$  measured in onedimensional Monte Carlo simulation runs with 10 000 lattice sites for RPS models with symmetric reaction rates  $k_a = k_b = k_c = 0.5$  and equal initial densities a(0) = b(0) = c(0) = 1/3. The upper (black) curve shows the data for model 2 with site occupation restriction, see Fig. 12(a), whereas the lower (red/dashed) graph pertains to model variant 1 without site occupancy restrictions, see Fig. 11. For comparison, the blue/dotted straight line represents the predicted  $t^{3/4}$  power law.

We observe that neither site occupation number restrictions nor quenched spatial disorder in the reaction rates markedly modify the populations' temporal evolution, species density Fourier signals, or equal-time spatial correlation functions. This observation holds for models with symmetric as well as asymmetric reaction rates, and even if spatial variability is introduced only for the competition of one species pair. On the basis of the mean-field results, this very weak disorder effect is a consequence of the essentially *linear* dependence of the long-time densities on the reaction rates *k*; averaging over a symmetric distribution just yields the average. In the two-species Lotka-Volterra model, instead both the asymptotic predator and prey densities are inversely proportional to the predation rate, and averaging over a distri-



FIG. 15. (Color online) Time evolution for the on-site (a) autocorrelation  $C_{AA}(0,t)$  and (b) cross-correlation function  $C_{AB}(0,t)$  in one-dimensional RPS model variants with 500 sites, averaged over 1000 simulation runs. Shown are the results for model variants 1–4 with initial densities a(0)=b(0)=c(0)=1/3; model 2' refers to a system with site occupancy restriction 1 and lower particle density a(0) = b(0)=c(0)=1/4.



FIG. 16. (Color online) (a) Static autocorrelation functions  $C_{AA}(x)$  and (b) static cross-correlation functions  $C_{AB}(x)$  (linear-log<sub>10</sub> plots) measured at t=250 MCS for the four RPS model variants described in Table I on a one-dimensional lattice with 50 000 sites, averaged over 50 simulation runs.

bution of the latter strongly biases toward small rate values and large densities [42]. Both in the two- and cyclic threespecies systems, spatially variable rates induce stronger localization of the species clusters.

In one dimension, two species are driven toward extinction with the mean extinction time  $T_{ex} \sim N^{\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma \approx 1...1.8$ (with large error bars), and only a single species survives. The distribution of extinction times displays fat long-time tails. We confirm that the single-species domains grow with the predicted power law  $\langle \lambda(t) \rangle \sim t^{3/4}$  in the models with site restrictions [25,26], and we have obtained similar results for the model variants with an infinite carrying capacity. For asymmetric reaction rates, we have also checked that the "weakest" species is the surviving one [22,23].

Our results demonstrate that the physical properties of cyclic RPS models are quite robust, even quantitatively, with respect to modifications of their "microscopic" model definitions and characterization. This is in stark contrast with the related two-species Lotka-Volterra predator-prey interaction model. We believe the origin of this remarkable robustness lies in the comparatively weaker prominence of stochastic fluctuations and spatial correlations in the cyclic threespecies system. The robustness of the RPS models considered here implies that environmental noise can be safely ignored and that their properties are essentially independent of the carrying capacity. It is worth emphasizing that this result is nontrivial; in terms of modeling such systems, it notably implies that one has the freedom to consider strict site restriction  $(n_m=1)$  and hence simplify the numerical calculations, or to set  $n_m = \infty$  (no site restrictions) and thus facilitate the mathematical treatment. In fact, our study establishes that both "microscopic" model realizations are essentially equivalent. As it turns out, this conclusion also pertains to spatial May-Leonard models [46,47], as we shall report in detail elsewhere [45].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is in part supported by Virginia Tech's Institute for Critical Technology and Applied Science (ICTAS) through a Doctoral Scholarship. We gratefully acknowledge inspiring discussions with Sven Dorosz, Michel Pleimling, Matthew Raum, and Siddharth Venkat.



FIG. 17. (Color online) (a) Mean extinction time as function of lattice size N (log<sub>10</sub>-log<sub>10</sub> plot), obtained from averages over 1000 Monte Carlo runs, in one dimension. (b) Histogram of the measured extinction times for model variant 2 with N=30; compare with Figs. 5(a) and 5(b).

- [1] R. M. May, *Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1974).
- [2] J. Maynard Smith, *Models in Ecology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1974).
- [3] R. E. Michod, *Darwinian Dynamics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2000).
- [4] R. V. Sole and J. Basecompte, *Self-Organization in Complex Ecosystems* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2006).
- [5] D. Neal, *Introduction to Population Biology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2004).
- [6] A. J. Lotka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 42, 1595 (1920).
- [7] V. Volterra, Mem. Accad. Lincei 2, 31 (1926).
- [8] J. Maynard Smith, Evolution and the Theory of Games (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).
- [9] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, *Evolutionary Games and Population Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1998).
- [10] R. M. Nowak, *Evolutionary Dynamics* (Belknap Press, Cambridge, USA, 2006).
- [11] G. Szabó and G. Fáth, Phys. Rep. 446, 97 (2007).
- [12] D. Volovik, M. Mobilia, and S. Redner, EPL **85**, 48003 (2009).
- [13] M. Mobilia, J. Theor. Biol. 264, 1 (2010).
- [14] B. Sinervo and C. M. Lively, Nature (London) 380, 240 (1996).
- [15] K. R. Zamudio and B. Sinervo, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97, 14427 (2000).
- [16] B. Kerr, M. A. Riley, M. W. Feldman, and B. J. M. Bohannan, Nature (London) 418, 171 (2002).
- [17] J. B. C. Jackson and L. W. Buss, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.
   72, 5160 (1975); L. W. Buss and J. B. C. Jackson, Am. Nat.
   113, 223 (1979).
- [18] L. W. Buss, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 77, 5355 (1980).
- [19] M. T. Burrows and S. J. Hawkins, Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser. 167, 1 (1998).
- [20] M. Ifti and B. Bergersen, Eur. Phys. J. E 10, 241 (2003).
- [21] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. E 74, 051907 (2006).
- [22] M. Frean and E. R. Abraham, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 268, 1323 (2001).
- [23] M. Berr, T. Reichenbach, M. Schottenloher, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 048102 (2009).
- [24] K. I. Tainaka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 57, 2588 (1988).

- [25] L. Frachebourg, P. L. Krapivsky, and E. Ben-Naim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2125 (1996).
- [26] L. Frachebourg, P. L. Krapivsky, and E. Ben-Naim, Phys. Rev. E 54, 6186 (1996).
- [27] A. Provata, G. Nicolis, and F. Baras, J. Chem. Phys. 110, 8361 (1999).
- [28] S. Venkat and M. Pleimling, Phys. Rev. E 81, 021917 (2010).
- [29] K. I. Tainaka, Phys. Lett. A 176, 303 (1993).
- [30] K. I. Tainaka, Phys. Rev. E 50, 3401 (1994).
- [31] G. Szabó and A. Szolnoki, Phys. Rev. E 65, 036115 (2002).
- [32] M. Perc, A. Szolnoki, and G. Szabo, Phys. Rev. E **75**, 052102 (2007).
- [33] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Nature (London) 448, 1046 (2007).
- [34] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 238105 (2007).
- [35] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, Banach Cent Publ. 80, 259 (2008).
- [36] T. Reichenbach, M. Mobilia, and E. Frey, J. Theor. Biol. 254, 368 (2008).
- [37] G. A. Tsekouras and A. Provata, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 016204 (2001).
- [38] M. Peltomäki and M. Alava, Phys. Rev. E 78, 031906 (2008).
- [39] A. Dobrienski and E. Frey, e-print arXiv:1001.5235.
- [40] M. Mobilia, I. T. Georgiev, and U. C. Täuber, J. Stat. Phys. 128, 447 (2007) and references therein.
- [41] M. J. Washenberger, M. Mobilia, and U. C. Täuber, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19, 065139 (2007).
- [42] U. Dobramysl and U. C. Täuber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 258102 (2008).
- [43] S. P. Hubbell, The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2001).
- [44] Ecology, genetics, and Evolution of Metapopulations, edited by I. A. Hanski and O. E. Gagiotti (Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, MA, 2004).
- [45] Q. He, M. Mobilia, and U. C. Täuber (unpublished).
- [46] R. M. May and W. Leonard, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 29, 243 (1975).
- [47] R. Durrett and S. Levin, J. Theor. Biol. 185, 165 (1997); Theor Popul. Biol. 53, 30 (1998).
- [48] M. Schütt and J. C. Claussen, e-print arXiv:1003.2427.
- [49] M. Parker and A. Kamenev, Phys. Rev. E 80, 021129 (2009).