

## Lévy walks and scaling in quenched disordered media

Raffaella Burioni,<sup>1,2</sup> Luca Caniparoli,<sup>3</sup> and Alessandro Vezzani<sup>4,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Parma, viale G. P. Usberti 7/A, 43100 Parma, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, viale G. P. Usberti 7/A, 43100 Parma, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*International School for Advanced Studies SISSA, via Beirut 2/4, 34151 Trieste, Italy*

<sup>4</sup>*Centro S3, CNR-Istituto di Nanoscienze, via Campi 213A, 41125 Modena, Italy*

(Received 10 March 2010; published 10 June 2010)

We study Lévy walks in quenched disordered one-dimensional media, with scatterers spaced according to a long-tailed distribution. By analyzing the scaling relations for the random-walk probability and for the resistivity in the equivalent electric problem, we obtain the asymptotic behavior of the mean-square displacement as a function of the exponent characterizing the scatterers distribution. We demonstrate that in quenched media different average procedures can display different asymptotic behavior. In particular, we estimate the moments of the displacement averaged over processes starting from scattering sites. Our results are compared with numerical simulations, with excellent agreement.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.81.060101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.060101)

PACS number(s): 05.40.Fb, 02.50.Ey, 05.60.-k

Random walks in quenched random environments occur in many fields of statistical and condensed-matter physics [1], as they represent the simplest model of diffusion phenomena and nondeterministic motion. Disorder and geometrical confinement are known to strongly influence transport properties. In particular, in highly spatial inhomogeneous media, the diffusion process is often characterized by large distance diffusion events, which play a crucial role in transport phenomena and can strongly enhance them [2]. Molecular diffusion at low pressure in porous media is dominated by collision with pore walls, with ballistic motion inside the large pores [3], diffusion in chemical space over polymer chains can be described by a distribution of step length with power-law behavior [4]. In addition, recent experiments on new disordered optical materials paved the way to a tuned engineering of Lévy-like distributed step lengths [5]. These and many other processes can often be successfully analyzed using the Lévy walks formalism [6]: the random walker can perform long steps, whose distribution is characterized by a power-law behavior  $\lambda(r) \sim 1/r^{\alpha+1}$ , with  $\alpha > 0$ , for large distance displacements  $r$ .

An important feature of these experimental settings is that the random walk is in general correlated, and the correlation is induced by the topology of the quenched medium. Diffusing agents moving in highly inhomogeneous regions, where they just experienced a long distance jump without being scattered, have a high probability of being backscattered at the subsequent step undergoing a jump of similar size, and this leads to a correlation in step lengths. While the effect of annealed disorder on transport properties in Lévy walks is quite well understood [7], the role of correlations in Lévy-like motion is still an open problem.

If the motion occurs in low dimensional samples, spatial correlations in jump probabilities can deeply influence the diffusion properties. This was evidenced in models of Lévy flights [8] and more recently discussed in one-dimensional models for Lévy walks on quenched and correlated random environments. The recent studies focused, respectively, on the mean-square displacement in a Lévy-Lorentz gas [9] and on the conductivity and transmission through a chain of barriers with Lévy-distributed spacings [10]. Both studies evi-

denced the effect of correlations on large scale quantities: the mean-square displacement and the conductivity indeed feature a modified scaling behavior with respect to the annealed case, and similar results have been obtained for a deterministic fractal characterized by holes distributed according to a Lévy statistics [11].

We consider here an analogous model. In particular we introduce a one-dimensional structure where we place scatterers spaced according to a Lévy-type distribution (Fig. 1) so that the probability density for two consecutive scatterer to be at distance  $r$  is

$$\lambda(r) \equiv \alpha r_0^\alpha \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}}, \quad r \in [r_0, \infty), \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $r_0$  is a cutoff fixing the scale length of the system. A continuous time random walk [12] is naturally defined on this structure, i.e., a walker moves ballistically (at constant velocity  $v$ ) until it reaches one of the scatterers, and then it is transmitted or reflected with probability  $1/2$ . Figure 1 also describes the equivalent electrical model, where the resistance between two points is defined as the number of scatterers between them [10].

A subtle effect of quenched disorder is the dependence of the observables on the choice of the walker starting site or, equivalently, of the position of the electric contacts. In par-




FIG. 1. The Lévy walk model and the equivalent electric problem. Scatterers are placed at positions  $0, r_1, r_2, \dots$ . The spacings  $r_{j+1} - r_j$  between scatterers are Lévy distributed according to the probability density [Eq. (1)].

ticular, on inhomogeneous structures, averages over different starting points can provide different results with respect to the corresponding local quantities [11,13]. In presence of long tails, local quantities are strongly fluctuating and averages are in some sense necessary for a global characterization of the structure. In our system, two different average procedures can be introduced and interestingly, due to Lévy statistics, they provide different results [9].

The first possibility is to consider as a starting site at  $t=0$  any point of the structure and then average over all stochastic processes and realizations of the disorder. In this situation the behavior is quite clear [9]. For  $\alpha < 1$  the motion is always ballistic, while for  $\alpha > 1$  it can be shown that the probability of reaching a site at distance  $r$  at the first step decays as  $1/r^\alpha$  (i.e., much more slowly than  $\lambda(r)$ ) [9]; hence, the motion can be dominated by the first jump (called ballistic peak). In particular, for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$  the first step provides the major contribution to the mean-square displacement, i.e.,  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \sim Ct^{3-\alpha}$ .

In experimental realizations however, processes begin with a scattering event, corresponding to the entrance of particles or light in the system [5] and, therefore, averages should be performed considering only scatterers as starting points. In this case the static behavior of the resistance has been calculated in [10], while the situation in the study of the dynamics of random walks is much more obscure. Indeed, the probability of reaching a distance  $r$  at the first step is the same as that of any other scattering event. Therefore, the first jump does not determine the behavior of the mean-square displacement and only a lower bound can be proved [9]:  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle > v^2 t^2 P^+(t) \sim t^{2-\alpha}$ , where  $P^+(t)$  is the probability for the first step to be longer than  $t$ . An insight on the issue would represent a relevant result both for a theoretical understanding of quenched Lévy processes both for guiding future experimental investigations.

In this Rapid Communication, using the analytic result for the resistance [10], the mapping between resistance and random walks [14], a scaling hypothesis for the probability distribution  $P(r,t)$  [15], and the reasonable hypothesis of “single long jump,” we derive an analytic expression for the asymptotic behavior of the characteristic length  $\ell(t)$  of  $P(r,t)$  and for the mean-square displacement  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  when averaged over the scattering points. The predicted behaviors are

$$\ell(t) \sim \begin{cases} t^{1/(1+\alpha)} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ t^{1/2} & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle \sim \begin{cases} t^{(2+2\alpha-\alpha^2)/(1+\alpha)} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ t^{5/2-\alpha} & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha \leq 3/2 \\ t & \text{if } 3/2 < \alpha. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Our predictions are compared with extensive numerical simulations, with excellent agreement. We note that for  $0 < \alpha < 3/2$  the system does not follow the standard behavior  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \sim \ell(t)^2$ .

Let us introduce our argument. In a one-dimensional system the most general scaling hypothesis for  $P(r,t)$  is

$$P(r,t) = \ell^{-1}(t)f(r/\ell(t)) + g(r,t), \quad (4)$$

with a convergence in probability

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{vt} |P(r,t) - \ell^{-1}(t)f(r/\ell(t))| dr = 0. \quad (5)$$

The integration cut off is provided by the fact that the walker in a time  $t$  covers at most a distance  $vt$  ( $v$  is the velocity). The leading contribution to  $P(r,t)$  is hence  $\ell^{-1}(t)f(r/\ell(t))$  which is significantly different from zero only for  $r \leq \ell(t)$ . The subleading term  $g(r,t)$ , with the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int |g(r,t)| dr = 0$ , describes the behavior at larger distances, i.e.,  $\ell(t) \ll r < vt$ . Notice that, if  $g(r,t)$  does not vanish rapidly enough, it can nevertheless provide important contributions to  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ .

The scaling of the correlation length  $\ell(t)$  is given by

$$\ell(t) \sim t^{d_s/2}, \quad (6)$$

where we denoted the exponent as  $d_s/2$ , in order to obtain  $P(0,t) \sim t^{-d_s/2}$ , i.e., the standard behavior of the return probability for random walks on graphs [16]. In [11,15] it is shown that, in the equivalent electric network problem, the resistance  $R(r)$  between sites at distance  $r$  corresponds to the random-walk quantity  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \tilde{P}(r,\omega)$ , where  $\tilde{P}(r,\omega)$  is the time Fourier transform of  $P(r,t) - P(0,t)$ .

Since  $g(r,t)$  is relevant only in the regime  $r \gg \ell(t)$  and the resistance is evaluated at a finite distance  $r$  and for a diverging characteristic length  $\ell(t)$  (i.e.,  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ ), it is clear that  $g(r,t)$  does not provide significant contributions to  $R(r)$ . Therefore, analogously to [11,15], the scaling of the resistance is given by

$$R(r) \sim r^{2/d_s-1}. \quad (7)$$

The stationary problem and the calculus of the resistance are a much more simple task than the direct dynamical study of random walks so that the asymptotic behavior of  $R$  at large distances can be calculated analytically [10] obtaining  $R(r) \sim r^\alpha$  for  $\alpha < 1$  and  $R(r) \sim r$  for  $\alpha > 1$ . Plugging this result into Eqs. (6) and (7) we obtain the asymptotic behavior of  $\ell(t)$  [Eq. (2)].

The explicit expression of the mean-square displacement reads as

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = \int_0^{vt} \ell^{-1}(t)f(r/\ell(t))r^2 dr + \int_0^{vt} g(r,t)r^2 dr. \quad (8)$$

Anomalies with respect to the usual behavior  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \sim \ell^2(t)$  can be present for two reasons. First, the second term in Eq. (8) can be dominant with respect the first one (this happen, e.g., when averaging over any starting site [9]). A second more subtle anomaly shows up if the scaling function  $f(x)$  decays too slowly for  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , as in the case of the annealed model [7]. We will show that, depending on the value of  $\alpha$ , our system presents both situations.

Since the anomalies are determined by the regime where  $r \gg \ell(t)$ , we expect that the dynamics is dominated by a single long jump of length  $r$ . In averages over all starting sites the probability of long jumps is much higher at the first step, hence the single long-range event occurs at  $t=0$ . Here on the other hand, it can happen, with equal probability, at any scattering site. In particular, neglecting the possibility of multiple long jumps we obtain, for  $r \gg \ell(t)$ ,  $P(r,t) \sim N(t)/r^{1+\alpha} \ll 1$ , where  $N(t)$  is the number of scattering sites visited by the walker in a time  $t$  and  $1/r^{1+\alpha}$  is the probability for a scatterer to be followed by a jump of length  $r$ . Discarding the single long jump, the distance crossed by the walker in time  $t$  is of order  $\ell(t)$ . According to the results in [10], the number of scattering sites visited in this time is the resistance of a segment of length  $\ell(t)$ , i.e.,  $\ell(t)^\alpha$  for  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\ell(t)$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$ . This implies that  $N(t) \sim t^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}$  for  $\alpha < 1$  and  $N(t) \sim t^{1/2}$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

For  $\alpha < 1$  and  $r \gg \ell(t)$ , we estimate  $P(r,t)$  as

$$P(r,t) \sim t^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)} \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} \sim \frac{1}{\ell(t)} \left( \frac{r}{\ell(t)} \right)^{-(1+\alpha)}. \quad (9)$$

Hence the scaling function  $f(x)$  features a long tail for large  $x$  decaying as  $x^{-1-\alpha}$ . On the other hand for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $r \gg \ell(t)$  we obtain

$$P(r,t) \sim t^{1/2} \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} \sim \frac{1}{t^{(\alpha-1)/2} \ell(t)} \left( \frac{r}{\ell(t)} \right)^{-(1+\alpha)} \sim g(r,t). \quad (10)$$

Note that here  $g(r,t)$  provides a subleading contribution to  $P(r,t)$ . Indeed  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\ell(t)}^{vt} g(r,t) dr = 0$  ( $\alpha > 1$ ).

We note that the contribution to  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  of lengths  $r \leq \ell(t)$  is always of order  $\ell(t)^2$ , while, at larger distances, the dominant contribution is provided by probabilities [Eqs. (9) and (10)]. The contributions coming from these tails are, for  $\alpha < 1$ ,

$$\int_{\ell(t)}^{vt} t^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)} \frac{r^2}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr \sim t^{(2+2\alpha-\alpha^2)/(1+\alpha)} \quad (11)$$

and for  $\alpha > 1$

$$\int_{\ell(t)}^{vt} t^{1/2} \frac{r^2}{r^{1+\alpha}} dr \sim t^{5/2-\alpha}. \quad (12)$$

The contribution [Eq. (11)] for large times is always greater than  $\ell^2(t)$ , while Eq. (12) is dominant with respect  $\ell^2(t)$  only for  $\alpha < 3/2$ . The overall behavior of the mean-square displacement is therefore given by Eq. (3).

Analogously we estimate the moments  $\langle r^p(t) \rangle$ ,  $p > 0$ ,

$$\langle r^p(t) \rangle \sim \begin{cases} t^{p/(1+\alpha)} \sim \ell(t)^p & \text{if } \alpha < 1, p < \alpha \\ t^{[p(1+\alpha)-\alpha^2]/(1+\alpha)} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, p > \alpha \\ t^{p/2} \sim \ell(t)^p & \text{if } \alpha > 1, p < 2\alpha - 1 \\ t^{1/2+p-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha > 1, p > 2\alpha - 1. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We now compare our finding with extensive numerical simulations. We set the cutoff for the length scale of the




FIG. 2. (Color online) Mean-square displacements as a function of time for different values of  $\alpha$ , i.e., symbols. Theoretical predictions given by Eqs. (3) are represented by thin (red) lines. Theoretical values of the exponent as a function of  $\alpha$  is compared with the fitted values in the inset.

system,  $r_0=0.1$  and the velocity in the ballistic stretches  $v=1$ . In Fig. 2 we plot the mean-square displacements as a function of time. The numerical results obtained with Monte Carlo simulations (symbols) are compared with the behavior predicted by formula (3), represented by thin (red) lines. An excellent agreement is present in the whole range of  $\alpha$ .

In Fig. 3 we plot the probability density  $P(r,t)$  for  $\alpha=0.3$  at different times. The scaling hypothesis [Eq. (4)] is well satisfied if  $\ell(t)$  grows as  $t^{1/(1+\alpha)}$ . We also verify the behavior for large  $r$  predicted by Eq. (9). Figure 4 evidences that, for  $1 < \alpha < 3/2$ ,  $P(r,t)$  presents at large distances a different behavior. The probability always decreases as  $(r/\ell(t))^{-1-\alpha}$  but the coefficient depends on time, vanishing as  $t^{(1-\alpha)/2}$ . In conclusion, the numerical simulations confirm the single long jump hypothesis that we have introduced in the calculation of  $P(r,t)$  both for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and for  $\alpha \geq 1$ .




FIG. 3. (Color online) Monte Carlo evaluation of the probability density rescaled by  $\ell(t)$  as a function of  $r/\ell(t)$  for  $\alpha=0.3$ . The dashed black line evidence that for  $r > \ell(t)$   $P(r,t)$  features the behavior described in Eq. (9). Spikes correspond to ballistic peaks at  $r=t$ , which provides the subleading contribution  $\langle r^2 \rangle \sim t^{2-\alpha}$  to the mean-square displacement.




FIG. 4. (Color online) Monte Carlo evaluation of the probability density rescaled by  $\ell(t)$  as a function of  $r/\ell(t)$  for  $\alpha=1.3$ . The black lines evidence that for  $r > \ell(t)$   $P(r,t)$  features the behavior described in Eq. (10).

In this Rapid Communication, we have presented an analysis of a one-dimensional Lévy walk in quenched disorder with correlation induced by the topology. Using the map-

ping with the equivalent electric problem and the scaling hypothesis for the random walk probability, we have proposed a “single jump” approximation leading to an estimate for the mean-square displacement and for its moments, when averaged over the scattering points, as a function of the parameter of the Lévy distribution for the spacings. Our hypothesis is able to capture correctly the slow decaying tail effect of the  $P(r,t)$ , leading to an explicit difference between the scaling length  $\ell^2(t)$  and  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ , which is different from the analogous result originating from the contribution of the ballistic peak, observed in previous works. Interestingly, in experimental settings with tuned Lévy disorder [5], the average over the scattering points appears to be the relevant quantity to compare with experimental results. Our findings open the way to an extension of analogous arguments to higher dimensional disordered samples, where the effects of quenched disorder is still unclear, in view of future experiments.

We acknowledge useful discussion with P. Barthelemy, J. Bertolotti, S. Lepri, R. Livi, K. Vynck, and D. S. Wiersma. This work was partially supported by the MIUR Project No. PRIN 2008 “Non linearity and disorder in classical and quantum processes.”

- 
- [1] J. P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, *Phys. Rep.* **195**, 127 (1990); D. ben-Avraham and S. Havlin, *Diffusion and Reactions in Fractals and Disordered Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [2] *Anomalous Transport: Foundations and Applications*, edited by R. Klages, G. Radons, and I. M. Sokolov (Wiley, Berlin, 2008).
- [3] P. Levitz, *Europhys. Lett.* **39**, 593 (1997).
- [4] I. M. Sokolov, J. Mai, and A. Blumen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 857 (1997); M. A. Lomholt, B. van den Broek, S.-M. J. Kalisch, G. J. L. Wuite, and R. Metzler, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 8204 (2009).
- [5] P. Barthelemy, J. Bertolotti, and D. S. Wiersma, *Nature (London)* **453**, 495 (2008).
- [6] M. F. Shlesinger and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 2551 (1985); J. Klafter, A. Blumen, G. Zumofen, and M. F. Shlesinger, *Physica A* **168**, 637 (1990).
- [7] T. Geisel, J. Nierwetberg, and A. Zacherl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 616 (1985); M. F. Shlesinger, G. M. Zaslavski, and J. Klafter, *Nature* **363**, 31 (1993); G. Zumofen and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, 851 (1993).
- [8] H. C. Fogedby, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 2517 (1994); R. Kutner and P. Maass, *J. Phys. A* **31**, 2603 (1998); M. Schulz, *Phys. Lett. A* **298**, 105 (2002).
- [9] E. Barkai, V. Fleurov, and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 1164 (2000).
- [10] C. W. J. Beenakker, C. W. Groth, and A. R. Akhmerov, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 024204 (2009).
- [11] R. Burioni, L. Caniparoli, S. Lepri, and A. Vezzani, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 011127 (2010).
- [12] E. W. Montroll and G. H. Weiss, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 167 (1965); G. H. Weiss and R. J. Rubin, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **52**, 363 (1983); J. W. Haus and K. W. Kehr, *Phys. Rep.* **150**, 263 (1987).
- [13] R. Burioni and D. Cassi, *J. Phys. A* **38**, R45 (2005).
- [14] P. G. Doyle and J. L. Snell, *Random Walks and Electric Networks* (The Mathematical Association of America, Washington, 1999).
- [15] M. E. Cates, *J. Phys. (Paris)* **46**, 1059 (1985).
- [16] S. Alexander and R. Orbach, *J. Phys. (France) Lett.* **43**, L62 (1982).