

Scaling laws in the quantum-to-classical transition in chaotic systems

Diego A. Wisniacki

Departamento de Física “J. J. Giambiagi,” FCEN, UBA, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

Fabrizio Toscano

Fundação Centro de Ciências e Educação Superior a Distância do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, 20943-001 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil and Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68528, 21941-972 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

(Received 31 October 2008; revised manuscript received 14 December 2008; published 27 February 2009)

We study the quantum-to-classical transition in a chaotic system surrounded by a diffusive environment. First, we analyze the emergence of classicality when it is monitored by the Renyi entropy, a measure of the entanglement of a system with its environment. We show that the Renyi entropy has a transition from quantum to classical behavior that scales with \hbar_{eff}^2/D , where \hbar_{eff} is the effective Planck constant and D is the strength of the noise. However, it was recently shown that a different scaling law controls the quantum-to-classical transition when it is measured comparing the corresponding phase-space distributions. Then, we discuss the meaning of both scalings in the precise definition of a frontier between the classical and quantum behaviors. Finally, we show that there are quantum coherences that the Renyi entropy is unable to detect, which questions its use in studies of decoherence.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.79.025203](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.025203)

PACS number(s): 05.45.-a, 03.65.Ta

Since the birth of quantum theory, the fundamental question of how a quantum-mechanical system starts to behave classically has been the subject of an intense debate. In the last years, it has been well established that decoherence induced by the environment is the main ingredient in the quantum-to-classical transition [1,2]. However, a clear way to describe the fuzzy boundary between the quantum and classical worlds has not been found yet.

Many attempts have been done to understand the loss of the quantum coherence and several measures of the restoration of the classicality have been proposed, especially in chaotic systems. Recently, it was conjectured that, in the presence of noise, a single composite parameter controls the quantum-to-classical transition of classically chaotic systems [3]. This single parameter should appear in the computation of some measure which directly reflects the “distance” between quantum and classical evolutions as a function of the effective Planck constant \hbar_{eff} (i.e., the relative size of the Planck constant), the strength D of the coupling with the environment, and the Lyapunov coefficient λ of the classical dynamics of the system. Thus, the computed distance would be controlled by a combined parameter of the general form $\xi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^\alpha \lambda^\beta D^\gamma$ when the transition from quantum to classical behavior occurs. This conjecture was shown to be valid, for example, in [4], where the phase-space integral of the modulus of the difference between the Wigner function and the classical distribution was used as a measure of the quantum to classical distance.

Another usual quantity to study the transition from quantum to classical behavior is the purity $P = \text{Tr}[\rho^2]$, which is a measure of the entanglement of the system with the environment. Any function of the purity has the same information, but the so-called Renyi entropy $S = -\ln(P)$ is particularly interesting because it clearly reveals that above a threshold of the strength of the coupling with the environment its behavior is dominated by the positive classical Lyapunov exponents of the system [5,6].

In this work we show, for the same system and environ-

ment used in [4], that the behavior of the Renyi entropy S (and thus the purity P) is controlled by the combined parameter $\chi' \equiv \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/D$. This scaling was also founded in [7] using the Duffing oscillator, suggesting universality of this scaling law. In our system the emergence of classicality when monitored with the Renyi entropy should correspond to the regime $\chi' < 100$. However, in the regime $1 < \chi' < 100$ the evolved Wigner function displays an interference fringe pattern that clearly indicates that not all quantum coherences of the system have disappeared. We explain that while χ' controls which quantum terms effectively contribute in the master equation for evolution of the Wigner function, the fine coherent structure of this function is controlled by the composite parameter $\chi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2 K / 4D^{3/2}$ (where K is a parameter that controls the nonlinearity of the system) [4]. We also show that only in this essentially classical regime and for short times, the behavior of the Renyi entropy is independent on the diffusion constant and dominated by a classical Lyapunov exponent.

The system we analyze is the kicked harmonic oscillator (KHO) whose quantum Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \nu^2 \hat{Q}^2 + A \cos(k\hat{Q}) \delta_n(\tau), \quad (1)$$

where $\delta_n(\tau) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta(t-n\tau)$, m is the particle mass, ν the oscillator frequency, A the amplitude of the kicks, and τ the interval between two consecutive kicks. Working with dimensionless quantities $\hat{q} = k\hat{Q}$, $\hat{p} = k\hat{P}/m\nu$ and $K = k^2 A/m\nu$, we can define an effective Planck constant \hbar_{eff} , so that $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = 2i\eta^2 \equiv i\hbar_{\text{eff}}$, with the so-called Lamb-Dicke parameter $\eta = k\Delta Q_0 = k\sqrt{\hbar}/2m\nu$ being the quotient between the width ΔQ_0 of the ground state of the harmonic oscillator and the wavelength $\tilde{\lambda} = 2\pi/k$ of the position-dependent pulse. The classical dynamics in the phase space of the KHO is unbounded, and the stroboscopic sections exhibit a mixed




FIG. 1. Quantum (●) and classical (○) Renyi entropy as a function of the number of the kicks for the KHO with $K=2$ and $\eta = \sqrt{\hbar_{\text{eff}}}/2=0.125$. From bottom to top, the diffusion constants are $D=2.56 \times 10^{-7}$, 6.4×10^{-6} , 9×10^{-5} , and 4×10^{-3} . The dashed line is a linear function with a slope given by the logarithm of the classical expansion coefficient at $(q,p)=(0,0)$.

dynamics with stable islands surrounded by a chaotic sea [8]. Here, we consider the relation $\tau=T/6$ between the period of the harmonic oscillator $T=2\pi/\nu$ and the interval between kicks τ . Thus, the classical phase-space structure corresponds to a stochastic web, its thickness governed by K . We have considered $K=2$; thus, the phase-space origin is a hyperbolic fixed point and the area around it shows an essentially chaotic behavior [9].

In order to study the decoherence effects that induce a quantum-to-classical transition, we coupled the KHO, as usual [3,4,6,7], to a thermal reservoir with average population \bar{n} and coupling constant Γ in the Markovian and weak-coupling limits, and we considered the purely diffusive regime (i.e., the high-temperature regime $\bar{n} \rightarrow \infty$ together with $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} \equiv \bar{n}\Gamma\eta^2$ a constant value). For this kind of environment the master equation for the evolution of the Wigner function $W(\mathbf{x})$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} &= L_{cl} + L_q + T \\ &= \{H, W\} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \hbar_{\text{eff}}^{2m}}{2^{2m}(2m+1)!} \frac{\partial^{2m+1} V}{\partial q^{2m+1}} \frac{\partial^{2m+1} W}{\partial p^{2m+1}} \\ &\quad + \tilde{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial q^2} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial p^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The equivalent evolution equation for the classical distribution only contains the evolution generated by the Poisson brackets, L_{cl} , and the term T that comes from the coupling with the diffusive environment. The quantal term L_q comes from the nonlinear part of the Hamiltonian, which in our case are simply the kicks $V(q)=K \cos(q)\delta_n(\tau)$. The strength of the interaction with the environment is controlled by the dimensionless diffusion constant $D \equiv \tilde{\Gamma}\tau$.

Let us first consider the quantum-to-classical transition by monitoring the behavior of the classical and quantum Renyi




FIG. 2. Slope γ of the linear growth of the quantum (solid symbols) and classical (open symbols) Renyi entropies as a function of \hbar_{eff}^2/D (main plot) and $1/D$ (inset) (D is the diffusion constant) for $\eta = \sqrt{\hbar_{\text{eff}}}/2=0.5$ (triangles), 0.3125 (diamonds), 0.125 (squares), and 0.0625 (circles). We have also plotted the functions $\gamma \propto D/\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2$ (dotted line) and $\gamma \propto \sqrt{D}/\hbar_{\text{eff}}$ (dashed line). See text for details.

entropies $S = -\ln(P) = -\ln[2\pi\hbar_{\text{eff}} \int W^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}]$ (W is the Wigner function or the classical phase-space distribution). In Fig. 1 we show the behavior of the entropies in the KHO with $K=2$ and $\eta = \sqrt{\hbar_{\text{eff}}}/2=0.125$ for several values of the strength of the interaction with the environment, D . The initial state is a coherent state centered at the origin of phase space with width $\Delta q = \Delta p = \eta$. We can see that for extremely small values of D ($D=2.56 \times 10^{-7}$) and short times, the quantum and classical evolutions are nearly unitary, and thus the Renyi entropies are $S_n \approx 0$. Increasing the value of the diffusion constant D and in the regime where the initially localized wave packet stretches in the direction of the unstable classical manifold the quantum and classical Renyi entropies exhibit different time regimes (Fig. 1). When D is still relatively small, we can see two regimes [12]. In the first one the behavior of both entropies is essentially quadratic in time and the differences between them are negligible. Then, there is a linear regime with a slope that depends on D and can be different for the quantum and classical cases. This linear regime occurs for times of the order of the well-known logarithmic time $t_E = \ln(1/\hbar_{\text{eff}})/(\lambda)$, with λ the Lyapunov exponent or, as in our example, the logarithm of the expansion coefficient of the linearization of the dynamics at the origin (hyperbolic fixed point) [4]. Finally, we can see that for sufficiently greater values of D the linear growth of the quantum and classical Renyi entropies are identical and given by λ [5].

We have studied systematically the dependence of the linear growth regime with the diffusion constant D and \hbar_{eff} . In Fig. 2 (inset) we show the slope γ for the linear growth of the quantum and classical Renyi entropies as a function of the diffusion constant D for several values of \hbar_{eff} . Both slopes γ approach λ (horizontal line) for all the \hbar_{eff} considered, but at different values of the diffusion constant D . However, when γ is plotted as a function of \hbar_{eff}^2/D , a clear scaling emerges. We can see that the classical and quantum




FIG. 3. The measure $\sigma(n_E)$ (see text) of the differences between the quantum and classical Renyi entropies. The initial state is a coherent state centered around the origin of phase space with width $\Delta q = \Delta p = \eta$, with $\eta = \sqrt{2\hbar_{\text{eff}}} = 0.5$ (triangles), 0.3125 (diamonds), 0.125 (squares), and 0.0625 (circles). For each \hbar_{eff} the Renyi entropies were computed at the logarithmic time $t_E \approx n_E \tau$.

entropy linear growth rates measured by γ are equal for $\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/D < 100$, but it is only in the range $\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/D < 1$ that the classical and quantum slopes approach λ (the so-called “Lyapunov” regime). On the other hand, for $\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/D > 100$ the linear growth rate of the Renyi entropy depends linearly with the diffusion constant D . This is the so-called Fermi-golden-rule regime, which was explained in Refs. [5,10,11]. For the classical case, we found that the Renyi entropy linear growth rate scales with \sqrt{D} . This fact deserves further investigation [12]. The scaling with \hbar_{eff}^2/D can also be appreciated in Fig. 3 where we plot the measure $\sigma(n) = |S_q(n) - S_{cl}(n)|/S_q(n)$ of the “distance” between the quantum Renyi entropy, S_q , and the classical one, S_{cl} , computed at the number of kicks $n = n_E \approx t_E/\tau = \ln(1/\hbar_{\text{eff}})/(2\tau\lambda)$.

Another approach to monitor the emergency of classicality is to directly compare the evolution of the Wigner function and the corresponding classical distribution in the presence of the environment [4,9]. A different scaling law appears in this approach where it was shown that the Wigner function and the classical distribution are essentially equal in the parameters regimes $\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/D \ll 1$ and $\chi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2 K/4D^{3/2} \lesssim 1$. However, we have seen that the linear growth of the classical and quantum Renyi entropies are equal for $\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/D < 100$. Even more, from Fig. 1 we see that the differences between the quantum and classical Renyi entropies are negligible beyond the linear regime up to $n < 9$ —for example, for $D = 9 \times 10^{-5}$, where $\chi' = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/D \approx 10 < 100$, but $\chi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2 K/4D^{3/2} \approx 571 > 1$. In Fig. 4, we show the quantum and classical distributions immediately before the kick $n=7$ for $\chi' \approx 10$ where we clearly see that the Wigner distribution shows important interference fringes arising from quantum coherences not detected by the Renyi entropy. These quantum coherences separate the quantum from the classical behavior.

We can understand the different role of the scalings χ' and χ from the exact solution of Eq. (2) between kicks. This solution can be written as the map $W_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{x}' \tilde{L}(\mathbf{x}^R, \mathbf{x}') W_n(\mathbf{x}')$, where W_{n+1} and W_n are the Wigner functions or the classical phase-space distributions immedi-




FIG. 4. (Color online) Wigner distribution (top panel) and classical distribution [inset (a)] immediately before the kick $n=7$ for $\eta = \sqrt{2\hbar_{\text{eff}}} = 0.125$ and diffusion constant $D = 9 \times 10^{-5}$. Inset (b): Wigner distribution immediately before the kick $n=8$ for $\eta = \sqrt{2\hbar_{\text{eff}}} = 0.0256$ and diffusion constant $D = 9 \times 10^{-5}$. Bottom panel: Wigner distribution (blue dotted line) and classical distribution (red solid line) evaluated on $(q = -2, p)$ (dashed lines in the top panel).

ately before the kicks $n+1$ and n , respectively, \tilde{L} is the quantum or classical propagator of one kick plus the action of the diffusion reservoir, and $\mathbf{x}^R \equiv (q^R, p^R) \equiv \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ correspond to the harmonic evolution [4,9]. It is instructive to write the one-step quantum nonunitary propagator \tilde{L} for an arbitrary position-dependent kick $V(q)$:

$$\tilde{L}(\mathbf{x}^R, \mathbf{x}') \equiv \frac{e^{-(q^R - q')^2/4D}}{\sqrt{4\pi D}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi\hbar_{\text{eff}}} e^{-D\mu^2/\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2} \times e^{i(\hbar_{\text{eff}})[V(q' - \mu/2) - V(q' + \mu/2) - \mu(p^R - p')]} \quad (3)$$

[for $V(q) = K \cos(q)$, this formula corrects for a small mistake in Eq. (16) of Ref. [9]]. If we write

$$V(q'_-) - V(q'_+) = -\mu \partial_q V - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{2m+1}}{2^{2m}(2m+1)!} \partial_q^{2m+1} V, \quad (4)$$

where $q'_\pm = q' \pm \mu/2$ and $\partial_q^{2m+1} V \equiv \partial^{2m+1} V(q')/\partial q^{2m+1}$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots$),¹ and we kept only the first term, we recover the classical propagator of the classical distribution: $\tilde{L}^{cl}(\mathbf{x}^R, \mathbf{x}') = e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/4\pi D}$, where $y \equiv (p^R - p' + \partial_q V)/2\sqrt{D}$ and $x \equiv (q^R$

¹Note that for the KHO we have $\partial_q^{2m+1} V(q') = (-1)^{m-1} \sin(q')$; thus, we get the simplification $V(q'_-) - V(q'_+) = 2 \sin(q') \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m (\mu/2)^{2m+1} / (2m+1)! = 2 \sin(q') \sin(\mu/2)$.

$-q)/2\sqrt{D}$. Thus, we see from Eq. (4) that the same high-order odd derivatives that enter in the quantal “correction” L_q in the master equation (2) enter in the one-step propagator (3). Therefore, the value of the variance $\chi'/2 = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2/2D$ of the Gaussian factor $\exp(-D\mu^2/\hbar_{\text{eff}}^2)$ in Eq. (3) is crucial in the quantum-to-classical transition because it controls which terms of this quantal “correction” effectively contribute. Thus, if the variance $\chi'/2$ is sufficiently small for the quantal “corrections,” we have $|\mu|^{2m+1}|\partial_q^{2m+1}V|/\hbar_{\text{eff}}c < |\mu|^3|\partial V_{\text{max}}|/\hbar_{\text{eff}}c$, where $c \equiv 2^{2m}(2m+1)!$ and $|\partial_q^{2m+1}V| < |\partial V_{\text{max}}|$ is an upper bound valid in the region where the initial wave packet spreads (for the KHO, $|\partial V_{\text{max}}|=K$ in all position space). But $|\mu|^3|\partial V_{\text{max}}|/\hbar_{\text{eff}}c$ it is only a small correction iff $\chi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2|\partial V_{\text{max}}|/4D^{3/2} \ll 1$, where, following the steps described in [9], we can write

$$L(\mathbf{x}^R, \mathbf{x}') \approx L^{cl}(\mathbf{x}^R, \mathbf{x}') \left[1 + \chi \frac{\partial_q^3 V(q')}{|\partial V_{\text{max}}|} f(y) \right], \quad (5)$$

with $f(y) = 1/4(y - 2y^3/3)$. Based on this result, in Refs. [4,9] it is shown that the distance between the corresponding phase-space distributions, $\mathcal{D}_n \equiv \int d\mathbf{x} |W_n(\mathbf{x}) - W_n^{cl}(\mathbf{x})|$, which quantify the quantum effects, scales with the single parameter χ . In Fig. 4 [inset (b)] we can see that for $\chi=1$ the

evolved Wigner distribution does not display essentially any quantum coherence.

We have shown that the quantum-to-classical transition in the presence of a diffusive environment is governed by the single parameter $\chi' = \hbar^2/D$ when we look at the Renyi entropy. Also, we have shown that χ' controls whose terms are the leading-order quantum corrections in the master equation for the Wigner function. However, for a class of kicked systems we have shown that the combined parameter that indicates in which regime the leading correction is negligible is $\chi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2|\partial V_{\text{max}}|/4D^{3/2}$ (which for the KHO reduces to $\chi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2 K/4D^{3/2}$). It was previously shown that the distance between the classical and quantum phase-space distributions, which measure the quantum signatures, is proportional to the parameter $\chi = \hbar_{\text{eff}}^2 K/4D^{3/2}$. Thus, it is the combined χ parameter that defines the precise frontier between the classical and quantum behaviors of a system in a purely diffusive reservoir. Furthermore, our results clearly indicate that the dynamics of the Renyi entropy or the purity is unable to detect non-negligible quantum coherences and therefore questioned its use to study the quantum-to-classical transition.

We acknowledge F. Lombardo, J. P. Paz, M. Saraceno, R. Vallejos, and E. Vergini for their fruitful comments. D.A.W. acknowledges support from CONICET (Grant No. PIP-6137) and UBACyT (Grant No. X237).

-
- [1] E. Joos, H. D. Zeh, C. Kiefer, Domenico Giulini, J. Kupsch, and I. O. Stamatescu, *Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory* (Springer, Berlin, 2003).
- [2] W. H. Zurek, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 715 (2003).
- [3] A. K. Pattanayak, B. Sundaram, and Benjamin D. Greenbaum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 014103 (2003).
- [4] F. Toscano, R. L. de Matos Filho, and L. Davidovich, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 010101(R) (2005).
- [5] W. H. Zurek and J. P. Paz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 2508 (1994).
- [6] D. Monteoliva and J. P. Paz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3373 (2000); *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 056238 (2001).
- [7] A. Gammal and A. K. Pattanayak, *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 036221 (2007).
- [8] G. M. Zaslavsky, R. Z. Sagdeev, D. A. Usikov, and A. A. Chernikov, *Weak Chaos and Quasi-Regular Patterns* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1991).
- [9] F. Toscano and D. A. Wisniacki, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 056208 (2006).
- [10] F. M. Cucchiatti, D. A. R. Dalvit, J. P. Paz, and W. H. Zurek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 210403 (2003).
- [11] R. A. Jalabert and H. M. Pastawski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2490 (2001).
- [12] F. Toscano and D. A. Wisniacki (unpublished).