Spectral fluctuations of billiards with mixed dynamics: From time series to superstatistics

A. Y. Abul-Magd,^{1,2} B. Dietz,³ T. Friedrich,³ and A. Richter³

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt ²Faculty of Engineering, Sinai University, El-Arish, Egypt ³Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany

(Received 6 November 2007; published 3 April 2008)

A statistical analysis of the eigenfrequencies of two sets of superconducting microwave billiards, one with mushroomlike shape and the other from the family of the Limaçon billiards, is presented. These billiards have mixed regular-chaotic dynamics but different structures in their classical phase spaces. The spectrum of each billiard is represented as a time series where the level order plays the role of time. Two most important findings follow from the time series analysis. First, the spectra can be characterized by two distinct relaxation lengths. This is a prerequisite for the validity of the superstatistical approach, which is based on the folding of two distribution functions. Second, the shape of the resulting probability density function of the so-called superstatistical parameter is reasonably approximated by an inverse χ^2 distribution. This distribution is used to compute nearest-neighbor spacing distributions and compare them with those of the resonance frequencies of billiards with mixed dynamics within the framework of superstatistics. The obtained spacing distribution is found to present a good description of the experimental ones and is of the same or even better quality as a number of other spacing distributions, including the one from Berry and Robnik. However, in contrast to other approaches toward a theoretical description of spectral properties of systems with mixed dynamics, superstatistics also provides a description of properties of the eigenfunctions in terms of a superstatistical generalization of the Porter-Thomas distribution. Indeed, the inverse χ^2 parameter distribution is found suitable for the analysis of experimental resonance strengths in the Limaçon billiards within the framework of superstatistics.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.77.046202

PACS number(s): 05.45.Mt, 05.45.Tp, 02.50.-r, 05.40.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

Integrable Hamiltonian dynamics is characterized by the existence of as many conserved quantities as degrees of freedom. Each trajectory evolves on an invariant hypertorus in the phase space [1,2]. In contrast, chaotic systems are ergodic; almost all orbits fill the energy shell in a uniform way. Physical systems with integrable and fully chaotic dynamics, respectively, are, however, exceptional. A typical Hamiltonian system shows a phase space in which regions of regular motion and chaotic dynamics coexist. These systems are known as mixed systems. Their dynamical behavior is by no means universal, as is the case for fully regular and fully chaotic systems. If we perturb an integrable system, most of the periodic orbits on tori with rational frequencies disappear. However, some of these orbits persist. Elliptic periodic orbits appear surrounded by islands. They correspond to librational motions around these periodic orbits and reflect their stability. The Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) theorem states that invariant tori with a sufficiently incommensurate frequency vector are stable with respect to small perturbations. Numerical simulations show that when the perturbation increases more and more tori are destroyed. For large enough perturbations, there are locally no tori in the considered region of phase space. The breakup of invariant tori leads to a loss of stability of the system, that is, to chaos. There are three main scenarios of transition to global chaos in finite-dimensional (nonextended) dynamical systems, one via a cascade of period-doubling bifurcations, a Lorenzsystem-like transition via Hopf and Shil'nikov bifurcations, and the transition to chaos via intermittency [3,4]. It is natural to expect that there are other (presumably many more) such scenarios in extended (infinite-dimensional) dynamical systems.

In quantum mechanics, the specification of a wave function is always related to a certain basis. In integrable systems the number of conserved quantum numbers equals the number of degrees of freedom. The corresponding Schrödinger equation is solvable in principle, at least numerically. The solutions form a well-defined basis in which the Hamiltonian is diagonal. That obviously indicates the absence of complexity. In the nearly ordered regime, mixing of quantum states belonging to adjacent levels can be ignored and the energy levels are uncorrelated. The level-spacing distribution is well described by that for random numbers generated by a Poissonian process, exp(-s), where s is the spacing between adjacent energy levels rescaled to unit mean spacing D. For a Hamiltonian with a chaotic classical limit, on the other hand, the wave function components are on average uniformly distributed over the whole basis. Berry [5] conjectured that the wave functions of chaotic quantum systems can be represented as a formal sum over elementary solutions of the Laplace equation in which the real and imaginary parts of the coefficients are independent identically distributed Gaussian random variables with zero mean and variance computed from the normalization. Bohigas *et al.* [6] put forward a conjecture (strongly supported by accumulated numerical and experimental evidence and also by recent advances toward a proof of this conjecture [7]) that the spectral statistics of chaotic systems follow random-matrix theory (RMT; see [8,9]). The properties of a chaotic Hamilton operator can thus be modeled by an ensemble of random Hermitian matrices H that belongs to one of three universality classes, either the orthogonal, the unitary or the symplectic one, and is called the Gaussian orthogonal (GOE), unitary (GUE), and

symplectic (GSE) ensemble, respectively. The theory is based on two main assumptions: the matrix elements are independent identically distributed random variables and their distribution is invariant under unitary transformations. This leads to the Gaussian probability density distribution for the matrix elements,

$$P(H) = \frac{1}{Z(\eta)} \exp[-\eta \operatorname{Tr}(H^{\dagger}H)], \qquad (1)$$

where $Z(\eta) = \int \exp[-\eta \operatorname{Tr}(H^{\dagger}H)] dH$ is the normalization constant. The Gaussian distribution is also obtained by maximizing the Shannon entropy with the constraints of normalization and existence of the expectation value of $Tr(H^{\dagger}H)$; see, e.g. [8,10]. Information about the statistical properties of the eigenvalues and/or eigenvectors of the matrix H can be obtained by integrating over the undesired variables. There is strong evidence by now that indeed the spectral correlation functions of a chaotic system are well described by those obtained from Eq. (1) and determined solely by the global symmetries of the system such as time-reversal invariance and the value of the spin. Among the measures representing spectral correlations, the nearest-neighbor level-spacing distribution p(s) has been studied extensively so far. For the random matrix ensembles Eq. (1) it is well approximated by the Wigner-Dyson distribution, namely, $p_{\beta}(s) = a_{\beta}s^{\beta}$ $\exp(-b_{\beta}s^2)$, where β (=1, 2, and 4 for the orthogonal, the unitary, and the symplectic ensembles, respectively) characterizes the universality classes. The coefficients a_{β} and b_{β} are determined by the normalization conditions $\int_0^\infty p_\beta(s) ds$ $=\int_0^\infty sp_\beta(s)ds=1$ [8]. For $s \ll 1$, the distribution function is proportional to s^{β} , which implies that adjacent energy levels repel each other. This behavior may be attributed to the mixing between the two states related with these levels.

So far in the literature there is to our knowledge no rigorous statistical description for the transition from integrability to chaos. The nature of the stochastic transition is more obscure in quantum than in classical mechanics, as the assumptions that lead to the RMT description do not apply to mixed systems. The Hamiltonian of a typical mixed system can be described as a random matrix where some of its elements are randomly distributed and some of them might be nonrandom. Moreover, the matrix elements need not all have the same distributions and may or may not be correlated. Thus, the RMT approach is a difficult route to follow. Comprehensive semiclassical computations have been carried out for Hamiltonian quantum systems, which on the classical level have a mixed phase space dynamics (see, e.g., [11] and references therein). There have been several proposals for phenomenological random-matrix theories that interpolate between the Wigner-Dyson RMT and banded random matrices with an almost Poissonian spectral statistics. The standard route for the derivation is to sacrifice basis invariance but keep matrix-element independence. The first work in this direction is due to Rosenzweig and Porter [12]. They model the Hamiltonian of a mixed system by a superposition of a diagonal matrix with random elements and a matrix drawn from a GOE. Accordingly, the variances of the diagonal elements of the total Hamiltonian are not twice those of the off-diagonal ones, as in the GOE case. Hussein and Pato [13] used the maximum entropy principle to construct such ensembles by imposing additional constraints. Also, ensembles of banded random matrices whose entries are equal to zero outside a band of width b along the principal diagonal have been used to model mixed systems [14–18].

A route for generalizing RMT concerning statistical properties of the eigenvalues is to conserve base invariance but allow for the correlation of matrix elements. This has been achieved by maximizing nonextensive entropies subject to the constraint of a fixed expectation value of $Tr(H^{\dagger}H)$; see [19–25]. Recently, an equivalent approach was presented in [26,27], which is based on the method of superstatistics (statistics of a statistics) proposed by Beck and Cohen [28]. This formalism has been elaborated and applied successfully to a wide variety of physical problems, e.g., in [29–36]. In thermostatics, superstatistics arises from weighted averages of ordinary statistics (the Boltzmann factor) due to fluctuations of one or more intensive parameters (e.g., the inverse temperature). Its application to RMT assumes the spectrum of a mixed system as made up of many smaller cells that are temporarily in a chaotic phase. Each cell is large enough to obey the statistical requirements of RMT but is associated with a different distribution of the parameter η in Eq. (1) according to a probability density $f(\eta)$. Consequently, the superstatistical random-matrix ensemble used for the description of statistical properties of the eigenvalues of a mixed system consists of a superposition of Gaussian ensembles. Its joint probability density distribution of the matrix elements is obtained by integrating the distribution given in Eq. (1) over all positive values of η with a statistical weight $f(\eta)$,

$$P(H) = \int_0^\infty f(\eta) \frac{\exp[-\eta \operatorname{Tr}(H^{\dagger}H)]}{Z(\eta)} d\eta.$$
(2)

Despite the fact that it is hard to make this picture rigorous, there is indeed a representation which comes close to this idea [37,38].

The great advantage of the method of superstatistics is that we can similarly apply it to calculate the distribution of the eigenvector components of a Hamiltonian describing a mixed system in which the spectrum is composed of subspectra associated with levels following Poissonian and GOE statistics [39]. For a chaotic system the squared eigenvector components follow the Porter-Thomas distribution

$$P_{\rm PT}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\pi t}} e^{-\eta t}, \qquad (3)$$

where η is a constant parameter related to the mean value [40]. In [39] superstatistics of the transition matrix elements was introduced by representing the transition intensity distribution as a superposition of Porter-Thomas distributions with different values for the parameter η . Similarly, in a superstatistical description of the squared eigenvector components of the Hamilton operator or equivalently the partial widths of the resonances of a microwave resonator, the parameter η in Eq. (3) is no longer considered to be a constant but allowed to fluctuate according to a distribution $f(\eta)$. Then, in analogy

to the superstatistical approach Eq. (2) toward the description of statistical properties of the eigenvalues, the superstatistical distribution of the squared eigenvector components is given by

$$P_{\rm sst}(t) = \int_0^\infty f(\eta) \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\pi t}} e^{-\eta t} d\eta.$$
 (4)

The present paper is concerned with a justification for the use of the above-mentioned superstatistical generalizations of RMT in the study of mixed systems, based on the representation of their energy spectra in the form of discrete time series in which the level order plays the role of time. The representation of the suitably transformed eigenvalues of a quantum system as a time series has recently allowed determination of the degree of chaoticity of the dynamics of the system [41-45]. We have thus been motivated by the work of Beck, Cohen, and Swinney [46] concerning the derivation of superstatistics starting from time series. Superstatistical thermostatics results as a convolution of two statistics, one characterized by the Boltzmann factor and the other corresponding to inverse-temperature fluctuations. This requires the existence of two relaxation times. We apply the arguments of [46] by representing the spectra of mixed systems as discrete time series in which the role of time is played by the level ordering. In Sec. II, we consider two billiards with mushroom-shaped boundaries as representatives of systems with mixed regular-chaotic dynamics and three with the shape of Limaçon billiards, one of them of chaotic and two of mixed dynamics. The quantum eigenvalues and statistical properties of the eigenfunctions were obtained experimentally by exploiting the equivalence of the Schrödinger equation of a plane quantum billiard and the Helmholtz equation for the electric field strength in a cylindrical microwave resonator for wavelengths longer than twice the height of the resonator. The billiards with mixed dynamics have classical phase spaces of different structures for the two families of billiards. The "time series" analysis of their spectra described in Sec. III manifests the existence of two relaxation lengths, a short one defined as the average length over which energy fluctuations are correlated, and a long one that characterizes the typical linear size of the heterogeneous domains of the total spectrum. It is performed in an attempt to clarify the physical origin of the heterogeneity of the matrix-element space, which justifies the superstatistical approach to RMT. The second main result of this section is to derive a parameter distribution $f(\eta)$, which is introduced in Eq. (2). This paves the way for the generalization of the Wigner surmise to superstatistics concerning the nearest-neighbor spacing distribution (NNSD). We then apply the deduced generalized Wigner surmise in a phenomenological analysis of the NNSD to the measured resonance frequencies of the microwave resonators. In Sec. IV, starting from the superstatistical generalization [Eq. (4)] for the Porter-Thomas distribution, we analyze the experimental resonance-strength distributions in the mixed Limaçon billiards. A brief summary of the main results is given in Sec. V.

II. MUSHROOM AND LIMAÇON BILLIARDS

Billiards can be used as simple models in the study of Hamiltonian systems. They consist of a point particle which is confined to a container of some shape and reflected elastically on impact with the boundary. The shape determines whether the dynamics inside the billiard is regular, chaotic or mixed. The best-known examples of chaotic billiards are the Sinai billiard, a square table with a circular barrier at its center, and the Bunimovich stadium, a rectangle with two circular caps [47]. Neighboring parallel orbits diverge when they collide with dispersing components of the billiard boundary. In chaotic focusing billiards, neighboring parallel orbits converge at first, but divergence prevails over convergence on average. Divergence and convergence are balanced in integrable billiards such as circles and ellipses.

Recently Bunimovich introduced the so-called mushroom billiard [48] with the novel feature of a well-understood divided phase space comprising a single integrable region and a single ergodic one. We restrict ourselves here to mushroom billiards which consist of a semicircular region, the "hat," and a "stem," which is symmetrically attached to its base. As the width of the stem varies from zero to the diameter of the hat, there is a continuous transition from integrability (the semicircle billiard) to ergodicity (in the case of a rectangular stem, the stadium billiard). In mushroom billiards, the regular region has a well-defined semicircular border about the center of the hat with radius equal to half the width of the stem. It is composed of those trajectories in the hat that never cross this border and therefore remain in the hat forever. Their integrability is due to the conservation of the reflection angle for collisions with the semicircular boundary. The chaotic component consists of trajectories that enter the stem of the mushroom billiard. In contrast to most other mixed systems, the dynamics of mushroom billiards is free of the usual hierarchies of KAM islands about integrable islands in phase space. Because of its sharply divided phase space, mushroom billiards can be thought of as an ideal model for the understanding of mixed dynamics. They have indeed already been under active research [49–53].

The Limaçon billiard is a closed billiard whose boundary is defined by the quadratic conformal map of the unit circle z to w,

$$w = z + \lambda z^2, \quad |z| = 1.$$
 (5)

The shape of the billiard is controlled by a single parameter λ with $\lambda=0$ corresponding to the circle and $\lambda=1/2$ to the cardioid billiard [54]. For $0 \le \lambda < 1/4$, the Limaçon billiard has a continuous and convex boundary with a strictly positive curvature and a collection of caustics near the boundary [55,56]. At $\lambda=1/4$, the boundary has zero curvature at its point of intersection with the negative real axis, which turns into a discontinuity for $\lambda > 1/4$. Accordingly, there the caustics no longer persist [11]. The classical dynamics of this system and the corresponding quantum billiard have been extensively investigated by Robnik and collaborators [55,57]. They concluded that the dynamics in the Limaçon billiard undergoes a smooth transition from integrable mo-

tion at $\lambda=0$ via a soft chaos KAM regime to a strongly chaotic dynamics for $\lambda=1/2$.

Both families of systems have been studied experimentally in the quantum limit exploiting the analogy between a quantum billiard and a flat cylindrical microwave billiard [52,58,59]. The electromagnetic resonances in a flat microwave cavity can directly be associated with quantum states in a quantum billiard of the same geometry. For the evaluation of statistical measures, a sufficiently large number of resonances is needed. Experimentally, this is not trivial since each resonance has a finite width, and as the level density increases with frequency, single resonances can be resolved only up to a certain frequency. Hence, to measure as many states as possible, one has to reduce the width of the resonances by reducing the loss mechanisms. This is achieved by the use of superconducting cavities, which are cooled down to the temperature of liquid helium, T=4.2 K, in a bath cryostat. The cavities are made either of niobium or of copper plates, which have been galvanically covered with a layer of lead, whose thickness is several penetration depths of the electromagnetic field.

Electric field oscillations in the interior of the cavity can be excited via antennas. Using a vectorial network analyzer, the complex amplitude ratio of input to output signal from the cavity can be measured. Peaks in the modulus of the amplitude are found at resonance frequencies, corresponding to eigenmodes of the system. There, the wave field forms a standing wave. It can be excited only when the antennas used in the measurement process are not near nodal lines of the wave function, where the amplitude is very small. Thus, usually several antennas are used to measure different spectra, enabling to identify *all* resonances up to a certain frequency. For flat resonators of a length scale of 30 cm, one collects approximately the first 800 eigenvalues with a very high precision. Experimental data of both kinds of systems considered in this paper have been obtained via this procedure [60].

Two mushroom billiards have been recently investigated experimentally. In order to avoid symmetry effects and bouncing ball orbits between parallel walls in the stem, their shape is of a half mushroom with a slant stem (see inset of Fig. 1). The ratio of the width of the stem to the diameter of the hat is 1:3 (2:3) for the small (large) mushroom billiard. The degree of chaos, which is the measure of all chaotic parts of the phase space, is 45.5% (82.9%), and the first 780 (938) resonances could be detected. In Fig. 1 we show a part of the spectra of the small and large mushroom billiards, respectively. Details of the experiment with the larger mushroom billiard can be found in [52]. Three desymmetrized cavities with the shape of billiards from the family of Limaçon billiards have been constructed for the values λ =0.125,0.150,0.300, and the first 1163, 1173, and 942 eigenvalues were measured, respectively. More details on these experiments are given in [58,59]. To compare the statistical properties of the eigenvalues with universal predictions considered in the present paper, they have to be rescaled to unit mean spacing. This is done by an unfolding procedure using Weyl's formula [61], which relates the billiard area and circumference to the number of resonance frequencies below a given one.

As outlined above, the phase space structure of the billiards with mixed dynamics are different for the two families



FIG. 1. Part of the transmission spectrum of the small (upper panel) and large (lower panel) mushroom billiard. The insets show the geometry of the billiards.

under consideration. The experimental NNSD of the larger of the two mushroom billiards exhibits [52] a statistically significant dip at $s \approx 0.7$. It vanishes when the contribution of the two shortest periodic orbits is subtracted. Such a dip has never been observed in the spectra of other billiards with mixed dynamics, including the Limaçon billiards considered here. We shall show below that nevertheless the statistical properties of the Limaçon billiards are indistinguishable from those of the mushroom billiards after removal of the contribution of these periodic orbits, in spite of the difference of their phase space structure.

III. TIME SERIES REPRESENTATION

In this section, the time series method used for the study of the fluctuations of the resonance spectra of the mushroom billiards is introduced. Representation of energy levels of a quantum system as a discrete time series has been probed in a number of recent publications. Relaño et al. [41] considered a sequence of energy levels as a discrete time series in which the energy played the role of time. They conjectured that the power spectra of chaotic quantum systems are characterized by 1/f noise, whereas integrable quantum systems exhibit $1/f^2$ noise. This conjecture was supported by numerical experiments which involved classical random-matrix ensembles and atomic nuclei. Moreover, the power spectrum of an experimentally modeled quantum Sinai billiard exhibits a clear 1/f noise through almost the whole frequency domain. Mixed systems, on the other hand, like the Limaçon billiard, the quartic coupled oscillator, and the kicked top, are characterized by a $1/f^{\alpha}$ noise [42,43]. In all these cases, the exponent α was related to the degree of chaos. Manimaran *et* al. [44] recently developed a wavelet-based approach to discrete time series and employed it to characterize the scaling behavior of spectral fluctuations of random-matrix ensembles, as well as complex atomic systems. Santhanam et al. [45] studied the spectra of atoms and Gaussian ensembles

using the detrended fluctuation analysis, which is a popular tool to study long-range correlations in time series [62]. They showed that this analysis is related to the Δ_3 statistics of RMT.

Here we apply the time series analysis to the study of the energy spectra of mixed systems from another point of view. Our goal is to test the hypothesis that quantum systems can be modeled by a generalization of RMT [26,27] based on the concept of superstatistics [28]. We follow an approach recently proposed by Beck, Cohen, and Swinney [46], which describes how to proceed from a given experimental time series to a superstatistical description. This approach allows one to check whether a time series contains two separate time scales, and also to extract the relevant probability densities of superstatistical parameters from the time series.

A. Spectral relaxation lengths

Superstatistical RMT assumes that the space of matrix elements consists of many spatial cells with different values of some intensive parameter, e.g., the inverse variance η . In systems with mixed regular-chaotic dynamics, the origin of this spectral heterogeneity is the possible partial conservation of an unknown or ignored symmetry. In the space of matrix elements each heterogeneous domain comprises those matrix elements that couple states, which have similar properties with respect to this symmetry, where the typical size of the heterogeneous cells T measures the correlation length in that space. The heterogeneity of the space of matrix elements presumably causes one in the structure of the spectrum. Each cell is assumed to reach local equilibrium very fast, i.e., the associated relaxation length τ , which is defined as that length scale over which energy fluctuations are correlated, is short. It may also be regarded as an operational definition for the average energy separation between levels due to level repulsion. In the long term, the stationary distributions of this inhomogeneous system arise as a superposition of the "Boltzmann factors" of the standard RMT, i.e., $e^{-\eta \operatorname{Tr} H^2}$. The parameter η is approximately constant in each cell for an eigenvalue interval of length T. In superstatistics this superposition is performed by weighting the stationary distribution of each cell with the probability density $f(\eta)$ to observe some value η in a randomly chosen cell and integrating over η . Of course, a necessary condition for a superstatistical description to make sense is the condition $\tau \ll T$, because otherwise the system is not able to reach local equilibrium before the next change takes place.

Our goal is to show that the behavior of a fictitious time series formed by the 780 and 938 resonances, respectively, in the two mushroom billiards and the approximately 1100 resonances in each of the three Limaçon billiards is consistent with superstatistics. For this purpose the distribution $f(\eta)$ is derived by proceeding as in [46]. We extract the relaxation lengths (times) to local equilibrium τ and the large length scale T on which the intensive parameter fluctuates and show that there is a clear scale separation of the spectral correlations in each billiard.

First, let us determine the long time scale T. For this we divide the spacings series into N equal level-number inter-



FIG. 2. Local kurtosis of the spacing intervals of the mushroom (upper panel) and Limaçon (lower panel) billiards.

vals of size *n*. The total length of the spectrum is *Nn*. We then define the mean local kurtosis $\kappa(n)$ of a spacing interval of length *n* by

$$\kappa(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\langle (s-\overline{s})^4 \rangle_{i,n}}{\langle (s-\overline{s})^2 \rangle_{i,n}^2}.$$
(6)

Here $\langle \cdots \rangle_{i,n} = \sum_{k=(i-1)\cdot n+1}^{i:n} \cdots$ denotes a summation over an interval of length *n* starting at level spacing *in*, and \overline{s} is either the local average spacing in each spacing interval or the global average $\overline{s}=1$ over the entire spacing series. We chose the latter. As in [46], we define the superstatistical level-number scale *T* by the condition

$$\kappa(T) = 3,\tag{7}$$

that is, we look for the simplest superstatistics, a superposition of local Gaussians. In probability theory and statistics, kurtosis is a measure for the "flatness" of the probability distribution of a real-valued random variable. Higher kurtosis means that a larger part of the contributions to the variance is due to infrequent extreme deviations, as opposed to frequent modestly sized ones. Figure 2 shows the dependence of the local flatness of a spacing interval on its length for the two mushroom and the three Limaçon billiards. In the case of the chaotic Limaçon billiard, in which λ =0.300, the quantity κ does not cross the line of κ =3 for the considered values of *n*. It is expected that *T*=*N* in this case, since the fluctuations in a chaotic (unfolded) spectrum are uniform. The values of *T* for the mixed billiards are given in Table I.

	Mush	room		Limaçon	1				
	Small	Large	λ=0.125	$\lambda = 0.150$	$\lambda = 0.300$				
Long-range correlation time									
Т	12.2	23.5	12.3	15.4	large				
Short-range correlation times									
$ au_1$	0.40	0.03	0.51	0.44	0.02				
$ au_2$.55	1.12	1.9	2.01	1.36				

TABLE I. Correlation lengths estimated from the time series representing the frequency spectra of the mushroom and Limaçon billiards.

The short time scale, that is, the relaxation time associated with each of the N intervals, was estimated in [46] from the small-argument exponential decay of the autocorrelation function

$$C_s(n) = \frac{\overline{s(i)s(i+n)} - 1}{s^2 - 1}$$
(8)

of the time series s(t) under consideration. Figure 3 shows the behavior of the autocorrelation functions for the series of resonance spacings of the two families of billiards.

Quite frequently, the autocorrelation function shows single-exponential decays $C(n)=e^{-n/\tau}$, where $\tau>0$ defines a relaxation "time." A typical example is the velocity correla-



FIG. 3. Autocorrelation of the spacings within spectral intervals for the mushroom (upper panel) and Limaçon (lower panel) billiards.

tion of Brownian motion [63]. The autocorrelation functions studied here clearly do not follow this trend. In an attempt to quantify the dependence of C_s on n, we parametrized its empirical value in the form of a superposition of two exponentially decaying functions

$$C_s(n) = A_1 e^{-n/\tau_1} + A_2 e^{-n/\tau_2}$$
(9)

and (arbitrarily) fixed the superposition coefficient as A_1 = 1.5 and A_2 =-0.5. The curves in Fig. 3 show the resulting parametrization. The best-fit parameters are given in Table I. We may estimate τ as the mean value of τ_1 and τ_2 and conclude that τ has a value slightly larger than 1 for each billiard. This is sufficient to conclude that the ratio T/τ is large enough in each billiard to claim two well-separated time scales in the level-spacing series, which justifies describing them within the framework of superstatistics.

Both Figs. 2 and 3 as well as Table I suggest that the evolution of the quantities $\kappa(n)$ and $C_s(n)$ along the time series for the two billiard families is the same despite the different behavior of their classical dynamics.

B. Estimation of the parameter distribution

The distribution $f(\eta)$ is determined by the spatiotemporal dynamics of the entire system under consideration. Beck et al. [46] have argued that typical experimental data are described by one of three superstatistical universality classes, namely, χ^2 , inverse χ^2 , or log-normal superstatistics. Let us assume that η has contributions from ν Gaussian random variables X_1, \ldots, X_{ν} . Then a positive η is obtained by setting $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} X_i^2$ and $f(\eta | \eta_0)$ is a χ^2 distribution with degree ν . Here, the average of η is $\eta_0 = \int_0^\infty \eta f(\eta | \eta_0) d\eta$. If η^{-1} rather than η equals the sum of several squared Gaussian random variables, the resulting distribution $f(\eta | \eta_0)$ is the inverse χ^2 distribution. Instead of being a sum of many contributions, the random variable η may be generated by multiplicative random processes. Then $\ln \eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \ln X_i$ is the sum of the logarithms of Gaussian random variables. Thus it is lognormally distributed. Explicit expressions for the three distributions can be found in [46].

Next, we need to determine which of these distributions fits best that of the slowly varying stochastic process $\eta(t)$ described by the experimental data. Since the variance of superimposed local Gaussians [see the remark after Eq. (7)] is given by η^{-1} , we may determine the process $\eta(t)$ from the series



FIG. 4. Estimation of the parameter distribution for the superstatistical description of spectra of the mushroom (upper panel) and two Limaçon (lower panel) billiards. The solid lines represent the χ^2 , the dotted lines the inverse χ^2 , and the dashed lines the lognormal distribution.

$$\eta(i) = \frac{1}{\langle s^2 \rangle_{i,T} - \langle s \rangle_{i,T}^2}.$$
(10)

The experimental distributions of the $\eta(i)$ are shown in Fig. 4. We compared them with the log-normal, the χ^2 , and the inverse χ^2 distributions with the same mean $\langle \eta \rangle$ and variance $\langle \eta^2 \rangle - \langle \eta \rangle^2$. The inverse χ^2 distribution fits the data significantly better than the other two distributions.

IV. NEAREST-NEIGHBOR SPACING DISTRIBUTION

This section focuses on the question whether the inverse χ^2 distribution of the superstatistical parameter η is suitable for describing the NNSD of systems in the transition out of chaos within the superstatistical approach to RMT. As mentioned above, the NNSD of a chaotic system is well described by that of random matrices from the GOE, the Wigner surmise, if the system is chaotic and by that for Poisson statistics if it is integrable. Numerous interpolation formulas describing the intermediate situation between integrability and chaos have been proposed [9]. One of the most popular ones is that introduced by Brody [64] although it is purely phenomenological. This distribution coincides with the Wigner distribution for a fully chaotic and with Poisson's

for an integrable system. It is known to provide an excellent description for the NNSDs of numerous mixed systems. Another phenomenological distribution was proposed in [14] and its usefulness was demonstrated for band random matrices. Lenz and Haake $\begin{bmatrix} 65 \end{bmatrix}$ derived a distribution based on the model of additive random matrices. Finally, Berry and Robnik elaborated a NNSD for mixed systems based on the assumption that semiclassically the eigenfunctions and the associated Wigner functions are localized either in classically regular or chaotic regions in phase space [66]. Accordingly, the sequences of eigenvalues connected with these regions are assumed to be statistically independent, and their mean spacing is determined by the invariant measure of the corresponding regions in phase space. The largest discrepancy between the Brody and the Berry-Robnik distributions is observed for level spacings s close to zero. While the former vanishes for s=0, the latter approaches a constant and nonvanishing value for $s \rightarrow 0$. The observed deviations are associated with localization and tunneling effects [67]. In [52,59] the experimental NNSDs for the measured resonance frequencies of the mushroom and the Limaçon billiards, respectively, were compared to the Berry-Robnik (BR) distribution.

It follows from Eq. (2) that the statistical measures of the eigenvalues of the superstatistical ensemble are obtained as an average of the corresponding η -dependent ones of standard RMT weighted with the parameter distribution $f(\eta | \eta_0)$. In particular, the superstatistical NNSD is given by [26] as

$$p(s) = \int_0^\infty f(\eta | \eta_0) p_W(\eta, s) d\eta, \qquad (11)$$

where $p_W(\eta, s)$ is the Wigner surmise for the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble with the mean spacing depending on the parameter η ,

$$p_W(\eta, s) = \eta s \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\eta s^2\right). \tag{12}$$

For a χ^2 distribution of the superstatistical parameter η the resulting NNSD is given by

$$p_{\chi^2}(\nu, s) = \frac{\eta_0 s}{(1 + \eta_0 s^2 / \nu)^{1 + \nu/2}}.$$
 (13)

The parameter η_0 is fixed by requiring that the mean level spacing $\langle s \rangle$ equals unity, yielding

$$\eta_0 = \frac{\pi\nu}{4} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right) \middle/ \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) \right]^2.$$
(14)

For an inverse χ^2 distribution of η one obtains

$$p_{\text{inv}\chi^2}(\nu, s) = \frac{2\eta_0 s}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} (\sqrt{\eta_0 \nu s}/2)^{\nu/2} K_{\nu/2}(\sqrt{\eta_0 \nu s}), \quad (15)$$

where $K_m(x)$ is a modified Bessel function [68] and η_0 again is determined by the requirement that the mean level spacing $\langle s \rangle$ equals unity,



FIG. 5. Experimental NNSDs for the mushroom (upper panel) and Limaçon (lower panel) billiards compared with the superstatistical distributions. The solid lines represent the χ^2 , the dashed lines the inverse χ^2 , the dashed lines the log-normal, and the short-dashed lines the Berry-Robnik distribution.

$$\eta_0 = \frac{4\pi}{\nu^3} \left[\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\nu}{2}\right) \right] \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) \right]^2.$$
(16)

Finally, if the parameter η has a log-normal distribution, then the NNSD can only be evaluated numerically.

We compared the resulting NNSDs given in Eqs. (13) and (15) and that for a log-normally distributed η with the experimental ones for the mushroom billiards and the two Limaçon billiards with mixed dynamics. In Fig. 5 the experimental results are shown together with the superstatistical and the BR distributions. The best-fit values of the parameters are given in Table II. We in addition used the relative entropy [40,69]

$$S(P|p) = -\int P(x)\ln\frac{P(x)}{p(x)}dx$$
(17)

to express the difference between the theoretical Ansatz p(x)and the experimental distribution P(x). Such a reference term has been discussed in the literature in the context of going from a discrete to a continuous system and is proportional to the limiting density of discrete points [70]. As is well known, the relative entropy S(P|p) is negative everywhere except at its maximum, where it equals zero and P(x)=p(x). One can use this fact to find the value of the parameter of a theoretical distribution that has the least distance from the experimental distribution. The resulting best-fit values of the parameters are given in Table III. Figure 5 and Tables II and III suggest the validity of the superstatistical distribution, especially for the nearly chaotic billiards. The figure clearly shows that the NNSD for the inverse χ^2 distribution $p_{inv\chi^2}(\nu, s)$ agrees with the experimental ones as well as, or, especially for small spacings, even better than the others, including the Brody (not shown) and the BR distributions.

The distribution $p_{inv\chi^2}(\nu, s)$ given in Eq. (15) coincides with the Wigner distribution in the limit of $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. As ν decreases, the distribution evolves toward a well-defined limit, but this limiting case does not resemble the Poisson distribution as one would expect. To demonstrate this behavior and give a feeling for the size of the tuning parameter ν , we evaluate its value corresponding to the minimal deviation from a BR distribution with a given degree of chaoticity q. For this we define a measure

TABLE II. Best-fit parameters for the experimental NNSD and resonance-strength distributions in the mixed billiards. The corresponding χ^2 values are given in parentheses.

	Mushroom		Limaçon			
Distribution	Small	Large	$\lambda = 0.125$	$\lambda = 0.150$		
		NNSD				
χ^2	$\nu = 2.95 \ (0.0189)$	v=6.03 (0.0031)	$\nu = 2.57 \ (0.0133)$	$\nu = 2.63 \ (0.0097)$		
Inverse- χ^2	$\nu = 0.00 \ (0.0042)$	$\nu = 2.31 \ (0.0018)$	v=0.00 (0.0031)	$\nu = 0.00 \ (0.0021)$		
Log-normal	$v = 1.41 \ (0.0129)$	v = 0.96 (0.0033)	v=1.23 (0.0104)	v = 1.17 (0.0078)		
Berry-Robnik	q=0.24 (0.0007)	q = 0.87 (0.0027)	q=0.58 (0.0020)	q = 0.62 (0.0034)		
Resonance-strength distribution						
χ^2			$\nu = 2.66 \ (0.00142)$	$\nu = 3.47 \ (0.00035)$		
Inverse χ^2			$\nu = 0.50 \ (0.00030)$	$\nu = 0.98 \ (0.00045$		
Г			$\nu = 0.76 \ (0.00120)$	$\nu = 0.81 \ (0.00131)$		

TABLE III. Best-fit parameters obtained by extremizing the relative entropy for the experimental NNSDs of the mixed billiards. The corresponding values of the relative entropy are given in parentheses.

	Mushroom Small	Large	Limaçon λ=0.125	λ=0.150
		NNSD		
χ^2	v=2.67 (-0.0920)	$\nu = 7.93 \ (-0.0232)$	$\nu = 2.62 \ (-0.0909)$	$\nu = 2.69 (-0.0827)$
Inverse χ^2	$\nu = 0.01 \ (-0.0353)$	$\nu = 3.14 \ (-0.0175)$	$\nu = 0.01 \ (-0.0341)$	$\nu = 0.01 \ (-0.0362)$
Log-normal	v = 1.31 (-0.0568)	$v = 0.60 \ (-0.0206)$	v = 1.33 (-0.0533)	v = 1.26 (-0.0537)
Berry-Robnik	q = 0.55 (-0.0289)	q = 0.87 (-0.0203)	q = 0.57 (-0.0293)	q = 0.58 (-0.0378)

$$d_{\text{inv}\chi^2,\text{BR}}(\nu) = \min_{q} \int_0^\infty [p_{\text{inv}\chi^2}(\nu,s) - p_{\text{BR}}(q,s)]^2 ds.$$
(18)

The distance $d_{inv\chi^2,BR}(\nu)$ between the two distributions equals zero for q=1 and $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, where both distributions coincide with the Wigner distribution. Its value increases on departure from these parameter values, has a maximum value of 0.0030 for $\nu = 1$, and then decreases to a value of 0.0025 at $\nu=0$. The measure $d_{inv\chi^2,BR}(\nu)$ yields a relation between the BR distribution and the superstatistical parameter ν , which is shown in Fig. 6. The figure suggests that $p_{inv\chi^2}(\nu, s)$ can describe only the initial stage of the transition from chaos to regularity. One can find a BR distribution that agrees well with p_{invy^2} until an intermediate situation in which the NNSD corresponds to a Berry-Robnik parameter q=0.83. The failure of spacing distributions interpolating between those describing chaotic and regular systems in the limit of near integrability is common in different RMT descriptions based on generalized statistical mechanics, e.g., in |19-24|. As mentioned above, the superstatistical random-matrix ensemble is base invariant. The Hamiltonian of an integrable system, on the other hand, by definition, has a well-defined complete set of eigenstates, which constitutes a preferred basis. The RMT approach to mixed systems cannot depart far from the state of chaos without breaking base invariance.

The variance σ^2 of the NNSD is often regarded as a oneparameter interpolation between chaos and order because it monotonically increases from $(4/\pi - 1) \approx 0.273$ for the Wigner distribution to 1 for the Poissonian. At $\nu = 0$, the su-



FIG. 6. Relation between the tuning parameters q and ν of the Berry-Robnik and superstatistical NNSDs, respectively.

perstatistical distribution in Eq. (15) has a variance $\sigma^2 = (16/\pi^2 - 1) \cong 0.621$. This value is slightly larger than 0.5, which is exactly the variance of the semi-Poisson distribution

$$p_{\rm SP}(s) = 4s \exp(-2s).$$
 (19)

The semi-Poisson distribution was suggested to describe a narrow intermediate region between insulating and conducting regimes exemplified by the Anderson localization model [71], with the two limiting cases being described by Poisson and Wigner statistics, respectively. It was introduced to mimic new seemingly universal properties in certain classes of systems, in particular, characteristics of the "critical quantum chaos." Figure 7 compares the limiting superstatistical distribution $p_{inv\chi^2}(0,s)$ with the semi-Poisson distribution. We see from the figure that the two distributions are quite similar in shape; the superstatistical distribution seems to just have passed the semi-Poissonian before reaching its final shape for $\nu=0$. Therefore it is worth in the present context using the semi-Poisson statistics as a reference distribution marking the limit of validity of the base-invariant randommatrix description of mixed systems.

V. RESONANCE STRENGTH

In the preceding section, we saw that superstatistics yields a theoretical description of statistical properties of the eigenvalues of a quantum system with mixed classical dynamics, which is of similar quality to existing ones, such as, e.g., the Brody and the BR *Ansätze*. In this section we will investigate



FIG. 7. Superstatistical NNSD $p_{inv\chi^2}(0,s)$ compared with the semi-Poisson distribution P_{SP} .

its extension [Eq. (4)] to the description of statistical properties of the eigenfunctions. The distribution of the parameter η was determined in [39] using maximum entropy arguments [33]. There, the resulting expression for the transition intensity distribution was fitted to the distributions of the experimental reduced transition probabilities in ²⁶Al and ³⁰P nuclei [72,73]. It fits the data much better than a χ^2 distribution with ν degrees of freedom and $\eta_0=1$, which has been proposed by Alhassid and Novoselsky [74] and frequently used for describing the deviation of partial width distributions from the Porter-Thomas distribution. The superstatistical distributions obtained from Eq. (4) for a χ^2 and an inverse χ^2 distribution, respectively, read

$$P_{\chi^2}(\nu,t) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{(\nu-2)\pi t}\Gamma(\nu/2)} \left(\frac{t}{\nu-2} + 1\right)^{-(\nu+1)/2}, \quad (20)$$

$$P_{\mathrm{inv}\chi^2}(\nu,t) = \frac{2^{(1+\nu)/2}(\nu+2)}{\nu\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu/2)} [t(\nu+2)]^{(\nu-1)/4} K_{(1+\nu)/2}(\sqrt{t(\nu+2)}),$$
(21)

where $K_{\alpha}(z)$ is a modified Bessel function [68]. Unfortunately, for a log-normal distribution the integral has to be evaluated numerically.

In [75], a new statistics, the resonance strength distribution, was introduced. For each resonance, a measurement of the transmission of microwave power from one antenna to another provides the product of the two partial widths related to the antenna "channels." This product has been named the strength of the resonance with respect to the transmission between the antenna channels. In Ref. [75], the strength distribution was investigated experimentally for all three Limaçon billiards. Altogether four antennas were attached to each of the microwave billiards and the transmission spectra between pairs of antennas (a,b) were measured for all six possible antenna combinations. An analytic expression for the strength distribution of a pair of partial widths with distributions P_a and P_b is obtained as

$$P(y) = \int_0^\infty P_a(t_a) P_b(t_b) \,\delta(y - t_a t_b) dt_a dt_b.$$
(22)

The distribution of the partial widths of a chaotic microwave billiard is well described by the Porter-Thomas distribution Eq. (3), and the corresponding resonance strength distribution is given as

$$P_{\text{GOE}}(y) = \frac{K_0(\sqrt{y})}{\pi\sqrt{y}}.$$
(23)

More generally, if the partial width distribution is a χ^2 distribution with ν degrees of freedom as proposed by Alhassid and Novoselsky, then Eq. (22) yields

$$P_{\text{Alh-Nov}}(\nu, y) = \frac{2^{1-\nu} (\nu \sqrt{y})^{\nu} K_0(\nu \sqrt{y})}{y \Gamma^2(\nu/2)}.$$
 (24)

The superstatistical resonance-strength distributions are obtained by substituting the corresponding partial-width distributions [Eqs. (20) and (21)] into Eq. (22). If the parameter η



FIG. 8. Resonance strength distributions in the mixed Limaçon billiards compared with the predictions of different superstatistics. The solid lines represent the χ^2 and the dashed lines the inverse χ^2 distribution, while the short-dashed line corresponds to the case in which the strengths have a χ^2 distribution.

has a log-normal distribution, this leads to a double integral which is not easy to calculate. For the case of a χ^2 distribution, the resonance-strength distribution is given by

$$P_{\chi^{2}}(\nu, y) = \frac{1}{(\nu - 2)\pi\sqrt{y}\Gamma^{2}(\nu/2)} \left(\frac{y}{(\nu - 2)^{2}}\right)^{-(\nu+1)/2} \times G_{22}^{22} \left(\frac{y}{(\nu - 2)^{2}} \left|\frac{1, 1}{\nu + 1}, \frac{\nu + 1}{2}\right|\right)$$
(25)

where $G_{pq}^{mn}(x|_{b_1,\ldots,b_q}^{a_1,\ldots,a_p})$ is Meijer's *G* function [68,76,77]. On the other hand, if the parameter η has an inverse χ^2 distribution, one obtains

$$P_{\text{inv}\chi^{2}}(\nu, y) = \frac{(\nu+2)^{2}}{4\pi\nu^{2}\Gamma^{2}(\nu/2)}G_{04}^{40}\left(\left|\frac{1}{16}(\nu+2)^{2}y\right| - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}\right).$$
(26)

Figure 8 compares the experimental resonance-strength distributions for the two mixed Limaçon billiards, expressed as functions of $z=\log_{10} y$, with the corresponding distribution given in Eqs. (24)–(26). The best-fit values of the parameters are given in Table II. Both cases demonstrate the superiority of the superstatistical inverse χ^2 distribution.

VI. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Superstatistics has been applied to the study of a wide range of phenomena from turbulence to topics in econophysics. RMT of the Gaussian ensembles is among these. In its application, the variance of the distribution of the matrix elements is chosen as a parameter whose distribution is obtained by assuming a suitable form or applying the principle of maximum entropy. In this paper, we use the time series

method to show that the spectra of mixed systems have two correlation scales, as required for the validity of the superstatistical approach. The time series analysis also shows that the best choice of the superstatistical parameter distribution for a mixed system is an inverse χ^2 distribution. The dynamical origin of relevance of this distribution in the present context is the following. The inverse χ^2 distribution describes the fluctuations of the inverse of the sum of squares of Gaussian random numbers. In RMT, all the Hamiltonian matrix elements H_{ii} are assumed to have the same variance σ_{ii}^2 [8]. As the system departs from chaos, this assumption will no longer hold due to the approximate restoration of some symmetries. Accordingly, the variances are replaced by [see Eq. (2)] Gaussian random numbers, and $\exp(-\Sigma H_{ij}^2/2\sigma_{ij}^2) \simeq \exp(-\eta \Sigma H_{ij}^2), \quad \text{where} \quad \eta = N^{-2} \Sigma 1/2\sigma_{ij}^2,$ with N the dimension of H, is treated as a random variable, thus obtaining an inverse χ^2 distribution.

Based on this superstatistical approach, we computed the NNSD of the energy levels and compared it with the spectrum of two microwave resonators of mushroom-shaped boundaries and two of the family of Limaçon billiards, which exhibit mixed regular-chaotic dynamics. The agree-

- A. J. Lichtenberg and M. A. Lieberman, *Regular and Stochas*tic Motion, Applied Mathematical Sciences (Springer, New York, 1983).
- [2] C. Chandre and H. R. Jauslin, Phys. Rep. 365, 1 (2002).
- [3] J.-P. Eckmann and D. Ruelle, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 617 (1985).
- [4] L. A. Bunimovich and S. Venkatuyiri, Phys. Rep. 290, 81
- (1997). [5] M. V. Berry, J. Phys. A **10**, 2083 (1977).
- [6] O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni, and C. Schmit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1 (1984).
- [7] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun, F. Haake, and A. Altland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 014103 (2004); S. Heusler, S. Müller, A. Altland, P. Braun, and F. Haake, *ibid.* **98**, 044103 (2007).
- [8] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
- [9] T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rep. 299, 189 (1998).
- [10] R. Balian, Nuovo Cimento B 57, 183 (1958).
- [11] M. Gutiérrez, M. Brack, K. Richter, and A. Sugita, J. Phys. A 40, 1525 (2007).
- [12] N. Rosenzweig and C. E. Porter, Phys. Rev. 120, 1698 (1960).
- [13] M. S. Hussein and M. P. Pato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1089 (1993); Phys. Rev. C 47, 2401 (1993).
- [14] G. Casati, L. Molinari, and F. Izrailev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1851 (1990).
- [15] Y. V. Fyodorov and A. D. Mirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2405 (1991).
- [16] A. D. Mirlin, Y. V. Fyodorov, F. M. Dittes, J. Quezada, and T. H. Seligman, Phys. Rev. E 54, 3221 (1996).
- [17] V. E. Kravtsov and K. A. Muttalib, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1913 (1997).
- [18] F. Evers and A. D. Mirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3690 (2000);
 A. D. Mirlin and F. Evers, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7920 (2000).

ment is found to be similar to that with all the other considered well-established distributions including the BR distribution. The method of superstatics also provides a description of statistical properties of the eigenfunctions of a system with mixed classical dynamics. Thus, the resonance-strength distributions for the Limaçon billiards could be analyzed. The agreement with the experimental resonance-strength distributions is better than for that derived from the Alhassid-Novoselsky χ^2 distribution of transition intensities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to T. Guhr for stimulating discussions on the subject of this work. One of us (A.Y.A-M) is grateful to the quantum chaos group for hospitality at the Institute of Nuclear Physics of the TU Darmstadt, where part of this work was done. This work has been supported by the DFG within the SFB 634 and by the Centre of Research Excellence in Nuclear and Radiation Physics at the TU Darmstadt. T.F. acknowledges a grant from the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes.

- [19] J. Evans and F. Michael, e-print arXiv:cond-mat/0207472; e-print arXiv:cond-mat/0208151.
- [20] F. Toscano, R. O. Vallejos, and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. E 69, 066131 (2004).
- [21] F. D. Nobre and A. M. C. Souza, Physica A 339, 354 (2004).
- [22] A. Y. Abul-Magd, Phys. Lett. A 333, 16 (2004).
- [23] A. C. Bertuola, O. Bohigas, and M. P. Pato, Phys. Rev. E 70, 065102(R) (2004).
- [24] A. Y. Abul-Magd, Phys. Rev. E 71, 066207 (2005).
- [25] A. Y. Abul-Magd, Phys. Lett. A 361, 450 (2007).
- [26] A. Y. Abul-Magd, Physica A 361, 41 (2006).
- [27] A. Y. Abul-Magd, Phys. Rev. E 72, 066114 (2005).
- [28] C. Beck and E. G. D. Cohen, Physica A 322, 267 (2003).
- [29] E. G. D. Cohen, Physica D 193, 35 (2004).
- [30] C. Beck, Physica D 193, 195 (2004).
- [31] C. Beck, Europhys. Lett. 64, 151 (2003).
- [32] F. Sattin and L. Salasnich, Phys. Rev. E 65, 035106(R) (2002).
- [33] F. Sattin, Phys. Rev. E 68, 032102 (2003).
- [34] A. M. Reynolds, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 084503 (2003).
- [35] M. Ausloos and K. Ivanova, Phys. Rev. E 68, 046122 (2003).
- [36] C. Beck, Physica A 331, 173 (2004).
- [37] G. Le Caër and R. Delannay, Phys. Rev. E 59, 6281 (1999).
- [38] K. A. Muttalib and J. R. Klauder, Phys. Rev. E 71, 055101(R) (2005).
- [39] A. Y. Abul-Magd, Phys. Rev. E 73, 056119 (2006).
- [40] C. I. Barbosa, T. Guhr, and H. L. Harney, Phys. Rev. E 62, 1936 (2000).
- [41] A. Relaño, J. M. G. Gómez, R. A. Molina, J. Retamosa, and E. Faleiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 244102 (2002); E. Faleiro, J. M. G. Gómez, R. A. Molina, L. Muñoz, A. Relaño, and J. Retamosa, *ibid.* **93**, 244101 (2004); E. Faleiro, U. Kuhl, R. A. Molina, L. Muñoz, A. Relaño, and J. Retamosa, Phys. Lett. A **358**, 251 (2006); R. A. Molina, J. Retamosa, L. Muñoz, A.

Relaño, and E. Faleiro, Phys. Lett. B 644, 25 (2007).

- [42] J. M. G. Gómez, A. Relaño, J. Retamosa, E. Faleiro, L. Salasnich, M. Vraničar, and M. Robnik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 084101 (2005).
- [43] M. S. Santhanam and J. N. Bandyopadhyay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 114101 (2005).
- [44] P. Manimaran, P. K. Panigrahi, and J. C. Parikh, Phys. Rev. E 72, 046120 (2005); P. Manimaran, P. A. Lakshmi, and P. K. Panigrahi, J. Phys. A 39, L599 (2006).
- [45] M. S. Santhanam, J. N. Bandyopadhyay, and D. Angom, Phys. Rev. E 73, 015201(R) (2006).
- [46] C. Beck, E. G. D. Cohen, and H. L. Swinney, Phys. Rev. E 72, 056133 (2005).
- [47] L. Bunimovich, Funct. Anal. Appl. 8, 254 (1974).
- [48] L. A. Bunimovich, Chaos 11, 802 (2001).
- [49] E. G. Altmann, A. E. Motter, and H. Kantz, Chaos 15, 033105 (2005); Phys. Rev. E 73, 026207 (2006); B. Dietz, T. Friedrich, M. Miski-Oglu, A. Richter, T. H. Seligman, and K. Zapfe, *ibid.* 74, 056207 (2006).
- [50] R. Zillmer, R. Livi, A. Politi, and A. Torcini, Phys. Rev. E 74, 036203 (2006).
- [51] Dietz *et al.* (Ref. [49]).
- [52] B. Dietz, T. Friedrich, M. Miski-Oglu, A. Richter, and F. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. E 75, 035203(R) (2007).
- [53] D. D. de Menezes, M. Jar e Silva, and F. M. de Aguiar, Chaos 17, 023116 (2007); A. Barnett and T. Betcke, *ibid.* 17, 043125 (2007).
- [54] A. Bäcker, F. Steiner, and P. Stifter, Phys. Rev. E 52, 2463 (1995).
- [55] M. Robnik, J. Phys. A 16, 3971 (1983).
- [56] R. Dullin and A. Bäcker, Nonlinearity 14, 1673 (2001).
- [57] T. Prosen and M. Robnik, J. Phys. A 27, 8059 (1994); B. Li and M. Robnik, *ibid.* 28, 2799 (1995).
- [58] C. Dembowski, H.-D. Gräf, A. Heine, T. Hesse, H. Rehfeld, and A. Richter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3284 (2001).
- [59] H. Rehfeld, H. Alt, H.-D. Gräf, R. Hofferbert, H. Lengeler, and A. Richter, Nonlinear Phenom. Complex Syst. (Dordrecht,

Neth.) 2, 44 (1999).

- [60] A. Richter, in *Emerging Applications of Number Theory*, edited by D. A. Hejhal *et al.*, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications Vol. 109 (Springer, New York, 1999), p. 479.
- [61] H. P. Baltes and E. R. Hilf, *Spectra of Finite Systems* (Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim, 1975).
- [62] C.-K. Peng, S. V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M. Simons, H. E. Stanley, and A. L. Goldberger, Phys. Rev. E 49, 1685 (1994).
- [63] F. Reif, Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics (McGraw-Hill, Singapore, 1985).
- [64] T. Brody, Lett. Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 7, 482 (1973).
- [65] G. Lenz and F. Haake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1 (1991).
- [66] M. V. Berry and M. Robnik, J. Phys. A 17, 2413 (1984).
- [67] G. Vidmar, H.-J. Stöckmann, M. Robnik, U. Kuhl, R. Höhmann, and S. Grossmann, J. Phys. A 40, 13883 (2007).
- [68] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic, New York, 1980).
- [69] S. Kullback, *Information Theory and Statistics* (Wiley, New York, 1959).
- [70] E. T. Jaynes, *Probability Theory* (Cambridge University Press, London, 2003).
- [71] S. N. Evangelou and J.-L. Pichard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1643 (2000); S. N. Evangelou and D. E. Katsanos, Phys. Lett. A 334, 331 (2005); E. B. Bogomolny, U. Gerland, and C. Schmit, Phys. Rev. E 59, R1315 (1999).
- [72] A. A. Adams, G. E. Mitchell, and J. F. Shriner, Jr., Phys. Lett. B **422**, 13 (1998).
- [73] J. F. Shriner, Jr., C. A. Grossmann, and G. E. Mitchell, Phys. Rev. C 62, 054305 (2000).
- [74] Y. Alhassid and A. Novoselsky, Phys. Rev. C 45, 1677 (1992).
- [75] C. Dembowski, B. Dietz, T. Friedrich, H.-D. Gräf, H. L. Harney, A. Heine, M. Miski-Oglu, and A. Richter, Phys. Rev. E 71, 046202 (2005); B. Dietz, T. Guhr, H. L. Harney, and A. Richter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 254101 (2006).
- [76] Y. M. Luke, *The Special Functions and Their Applications* (Academic, New York, 1969), Vol. 1.
- [77] http://functions.wolfram.com