Nonequilibrium clustering of self-propelled rods

Fernando Peruani,^{1,2} Andreas Deutsch,² and Markus Bär³

¹Max Planck Institute for Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Strasse 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²ZIH, TU Dresden, Zellescher Weg 12, 01069 Dresden, Germany

³Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Abbestrasse 2-12, 10587 Berlin, Germany

(Received 12 April 2006; revised manuscript received 11 July 2006; published 15 September 2006)

Motivated by aggregation phenomena in gliding bacteria, we study collective motion in a two-dimensional model of active, self-propelled rods interacting through volume exclusion. In simulations with individual particles, we find that particle clustering is facilitated by a sufficiently large packing fraction η or length-to-width ratio κ . The transition to clustering in simulations is well captured by a mean-field model for the cluster size distribution, which predicts that the transition values κ_c of the aspect ratio for a fixed packing fraction η are given by $\kappa_c = C/\eta - 1$ where C is a constant.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.74.030904

PACS number(s): 87.18.Bb, 05.70.Ln, 87.18.Ed, 87.18.Hf

Emergent large-scale patterns of interacting self-driven motile elements are observed in a wide range of biological systems of different complexity: from human crowds, herds, bird flocks, and fish schools [1] to multicellular aggregates, e.g., of bacteria and amoebas [2] as well as sperms [3]. A recurrent question is how these entities coordinate their behavior to form groups that move collectively. At a theoretical level, several qualitative approaches have been made to incorporate the diverse collective behaviors of such different systems in a common framework [1,4,5]. More specific models for bacteria like Escherichia coli as well as for amoebas like Dictyostelium discoideum [2] have been based on chemotaxis, a long-range cell interaction mechanism according to which individual cells move in response to chemical signals produced by all other cells. However, in some bacteria there is no evidence for chemotactic cues and cells coordinate their movement by cell-to-cell signaling mechanisms in which physical contact between bacteria is needed [6]. Aggregation of these bacteria requires an alternative mechanism of gathering. Here, we show that self-propelled, sufficiently elongated particles in a simple model form clusters and therefore do not require such long-range communication for aggregation. Instead, aggregation depends crucially on the particular shape of the moving objects.

The shape of bacteria has already been shown to be essential for swimming of individual bacteria [7]. In contrast, the role of the cell shape for collective motion has remained mostly unexplored. It has been demonstrated experimentally [8] that migrating elongated cells exhibit alignment similar to liquid crystals [9]. A prominent example for collective behavior with no apparent long-range interactions are the striking patterns observed during the life cycle of gliding myxobacteria; see, e.g., [6,10]. Earlier modeling work has reproduced many of these patterns in three dimensions assuming either perfect alignment [11] or a phenomenological alignment force [12]. These models have all considered patterns resulting from exchange of chemical signals that are absent in an early stage of the myxobacterial life cycle. Nevertheless, a trend from initial independent motion towards formation of larger clusters of aligned bacteria is often observed (e.g, in Fig. 1). Moreover, aligned motion of selfpropelled particles with apolar interactions is an important example for an "active nematic" phase, that has properties different from the nematic phase in equilibrium systems [13,14].

Here, we study a two-dimensional model of self-propelled rods that explicitly takes into account the cell shape and neglects hydrodynamic interactions. The latter assumption is justified for densely packed objects in very viscous media like bacterial films. In addition, the moving rods have repulsive excluded volume interactions. We find that the interplay of rod geometry, self-propulsion, and repulsive short-range interaction is sufficient to facilitate aggregation into clusters. In simulations of an individual-based model (IBM), clustering of self-propelled particles (SPPs) is observed for large enough packing fraction η or aspect ratio κ of the rods (see Fig. 2). We define the onset of clustering by the transition from a unimodal to a bimodal cluster size distribution. A mean-field approximation (MFA) for the cluster size distribution is derived and reproduces the change from a unimodal to a bimodal shape upon increase of either η or κ . The MFA yields a simple equation $\kappa_c = C/\eta - 1$ for the critical rod aspect ratio κ_c at the onset of clustering in line with the IBM simulation results. If diffusion is added to the active motion (active Brownian rods), the clustering transition is shifted to higher values of κ , whereas clustering is absent for pure diffusive motion (Brownian rods) as well as for isotropic particles with $\kappa = 1$. Hence, clustering of particles with excluded volume interaction requires both active motion, i.e., a nonequilibrium system, and elongated particles (=rods)



FIG. 1. Example for clustering of myxobacteria (*Myxococcus xanthus*) in the early stage of the life cycle: (a) immediately after maturation of spores; (b) afterward, during the vegetative phase. Snapshots are taken from a movie [Ref. [10(b)]]; the frame size is $40 \times 30 \ \mu\text{m}^2$. Similar phenomena were seen in other bacterial species [cf. Ref. [6(a)]].



FIG. 2. (Color online) Simulation snapshots of the steady states for different particle anisotropy κ and the same packing fraction η (a)–(c), and the same κ and different η (d)–(f). Fixing η =0.24: (a) before the transition, κ =1; (b) almost at the transition, κ =5; (c) after the transition, κ =8. Fixing κ =6: (d) before the transition, η =0.18; (e) just crossing the transition, η =0.24; (f) after the transition, η =0.34. In all cases, particles N=100 and particle area a=0.2. The arrows indicate the direction of motion of some of the clusters.

We consider *N* rodlike particles moving on a plane. Each particle is equipped with a self-propelling force acting along the long axis of the particle. We assume that particles are submerged in a viscous medium. The velocity and angular velocity are proportional to the force and torque, respectively. The rod shape of the particles requires three different friction coefficients that correspond to the resistance exerted by the medium when particles either rotate or move along their long and short axes. Inertial terms are neglected (overdamped motion). Consequently the movement of the *i*th rod is governed by the following equations for the velocity of its center of mass and angular velocity:

$$(v_{\parallel}^{(i)}, v_{\perp}^{(i)}) = \left(\frac{1}{\zeta_{\parallel}} \left(F - \frac{\partial U^{(i)}}{\partial x_{\parallel}}\right), -\frac{1}{\zeta_{\perp}} \frac{\partial U^{(i)}}{\partial x_{\perp}}\right)$$
$$\dot{\theta}^{(i)} = -\frac{1}{\zeta_{\theta}} \frac{\partial U^{(i)}}{\partial \theta},$$
(1)

where $v_{\parallel}^{(i)}, v_{\perp}^{(i)}$ refer to the velocities along the long and short axes of the rods, respectively, ζ_i indicates the corresponding

friction coefficients (ζ_{θ} is related to the friction torque), $U^{(i)}$ refers to the energy of the interaction of the *i*th rod with all other rods, and *F* is the magnitude of the self-propelling force. The motion of the center of mass $\dot{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)} = (v_x^{(i)}, v_y^{(i)})$ of the *i*th rod is given by

$$v_x^{(i)} = v_{\parallel}^{(i)} \cos \theta^{(i)} + v_{\perp}^{(i)} \sin \theta^{(i)},$$

$$v_y^{(i)} = v_{\parallel}^{(i)} \sin \theta^{(i)} - v_{\perp}^{(i)} \cos \theta^{(i)}.$$
 (2)

Particles interact by "soft" volume exclusion, i.e., by a potential that penalizes particle overlaps in the following way:

$$U^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)}, \theta^{(j)}) = \phi \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \{ [\gamma - a_o(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)}, \theta^{(j)})]^{-\beta} - \gamma^{-\beta} \}$$
(3)

where $a_o(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)}, \theta^{(j)})$ is the overlap area of the rods *i* and *j*, γ is a parameter that can be associated with the maximum compressibility, β controls the stiffness of the particle, and ϕ is the interaction strength. The simulations were performed placing *N* identical particles initially at random inside a box of area *A* with periodic boundary conditions. The values of the parameters are given in [15].

There are three key parameters that control the dynamics: (i) persistence of particle motion, regulated by F, (ii) the packing fraction η , i.e., the area occupied by rods divided by the total area ($\eta = Na/A$, where N is the number of particles in the system, a is the area of a single particle, and A is the total area of the box), and (iii) the length-to-width aspect ratio κ ($\kappa = L/W$, where L is the length and W is the width of the rods). Simulations yield an increase of cluster formation with increasing κ or η (see Fig. 2). Individual clusters are defined by connected particles that have nonzero overlap area. Simulations can be characterized by the mean maximum cluster size M and the weighted cluster size distribution p(m), which indicates the probability of finding a given particle inside a cluster of mass *m*. Figure 3(a) shows that for a given η , M seems to saturate after the critical κ_c , which is defined as the value of κ for which the shape of p(m)changes from unimodal to bimodal. In Fig. 3(b) typical shapes of p(m) are shown: before clustering and corresponding to low values of κ (circles), and after clustering and corresponding to large values of κ (crosses). We define the onset of clustering by the emergence of a second peak in p(m). We have also tested the robustness of the model against fluctuations by inserting additive noise terms R_i/ζ_i in Eqs. (1), which correspond to a switch from purely active to active Brownian particles [5]. We found that clustering is still present in rods of the latter kind, albeit the transition is moved to larger values of κ and η . Clustering was absent in all simulations with purely Brownian rods (F=0).

We have studied the clustering effects described above through a MFA by deriving kinetic equations for the number n_j of clusters of a given size j. The equations for n_j contain terms for cluster fusion and fission. For the fusion terms we have adapted kinetic equations originally derived for coagulation of colloids [16], while the fission terms are empirically defined from the typical behavior seen in the above simula-

NONEQUILIBRIUM CLUSTERING OF SELF-PROPELLED RODS

tions. The numbers n_j change in time—we have $\{n_j(t)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, where $n_j(t)$ is the number of clusters of mass j at time t.

This description neglects the geometry of clusters as well as spatial fluctuations. This allows us to consider a single rate constant for all possible collision processes between clusters of mass *i* and *j*, as well as a unique disintegration constant for any cluster of mass *i*. In addition we make four crucial assumptions. (i) The total number of particles in the system, $N = \sum_{j=1}^{N} j n_j(t)$, is conserved. (ii) Only binary cluster collisions are considered. (iii) Clusters suffer spontaneous fission only by losing individual particles at the boundary one by one. This is motivated by observations in the above simulations. (iv) All clusters move at constant speed, $\tilde{v} \approx F/\zeta_{\parallel}$. Under all these assumptions the evolution of the n_j 's is given by the following *N* equations:

$$\dot{n}_{1} = 2B_{2}n_{2} + \sum_{k=3}^{N} B_{k}n_{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} A_{k,1}n_{k}n_{1},$$

$$\dot{n}_{j} = B_{j+1}n_{j+1} - B_{j}n_{j} - \sum_{k=1}^{N-j} A_{k,j}n_{k}n_{j} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} A_{k,j-k}n_{k}n_{j-k}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, N-1,$
$$\dot{n}_{N} = -B_{N}n_{N} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} A_{k,N-k}n_{k}n_{N-k}, \qquad (4)$$

where the overdot denotes the time derivative, B_j represents the fission rate of a cluster of mass j, defined by B_j $=(\tilde{\upsilon}/R)\sqrt{j}$, and $A_{j,k}$ is the collision rate between clusters of mass j and k, defined by $A_{j,k}=(\tilde{\upsilon}\sigma_0/A)(\sqrt{j}+\sqrt{k})$. σ_0 is the scattering cross section of a single rod. R is the only free parameter and indicates the characteristic length a rod at the boundary of a cluster moves before it leaves the cluster in a typical fission event. We assume $R=\alpha L$ taken into account that longer rods will stay attached to the cluster for a longer time.

Since σ_0 can be approximated by $\sigma_0 \approx L + W = \sqrt{a}(\sqrt{\kappa} + 1/\sqrt{\kappa})$, the MFA depends only on the parameters κ , a, A, \tilde{v} , and α . If one integrates Eqs. (4) with parameters used in IBM simulations and the initial condition $n_j(t=0)=N\delta_{1,j}$, their solution yields steady state values n_j^0 for $t \to \infty$. From these values, we obtain a MFA for the weighted cluster size distribution $p(m)=n_m^0m/N$ for given values of the free parameter α . The best agreement between the MFA and the IBM simulations is found for a choice of $\alpha=1.0\pm0.05$ [see Fig. 3(b)]. Hence, we will use R=L in the following.

To understand the relation between the parameters of the model and clustering effects, we can rescale Eqs. (4), so that they depend only on a dimensionless parameter $P = (\kappa + 1)a/A$. In the dimensionless model the parameter *P* stands for the ratio between fusion and fission processes and therefore triggers the transition from a unimodal to a bimodal cluster size distribution. Given the system area *A*, the rod area *a*, and the number of rods *N*, this method provides a way to calculate κ_c :





FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) The mean maximum cluster size M vs κ for IBM simulations (N=50), error bars give distributions of individual runs. (b) p(m) as function of the cluster size m for η =0.34. Symbols show the average over eight IBM simulations for active particles with N=50 and κ =1 (circles) and 8 (crosses). The lines correspond to the mean-field theory for κ =1 (solid) and 8 (dashed).

$$\kappa_c = P_c(N) \frac{A}{a} - 1.$$
⁽⁵⁾

At this point it is crucial that P_c depends on N, which is formally the number of equations in the MFA. By numerically solving the MFA equations for different particle numbers N up to N=1024, we find basically $P_c(N) \propto N^{-1}$. This result implies that in the MFA the critical parameter value κ_c for the clustering transition does not depend on the number of particles and is simply given by

$$\kappa_c = C/\eta - 1 \tag{6}$$

where the constant is found to be $C \approx 1.46$. The κ - η phase diagram (Fig. 4) shows a reasonable agreement of the transition line given by Eq. (6) and the IBM simulation results. So, for the range of parameters used in the IBM, we retrieve in the MFA the unimodal shape of the weighted cluster size distribution for small values of κ and η , and the bimodal shape for large values of the two parameters. Figure 3(b) gives a comparison of the cluster size distribution in the IBM and MFA.



FIG. 4. (Color online) $\kappa - \eta$ phase diagram. The solid line corresponds to the transition curve predicted by Eq. (6). The symbols indicate IBM simulations (*N*=100). Crosses refer to unimodal *p*(*m*) and circles to bimodal *p*(*m*) of active particles. Stars refer to unimodal *p*(*m*) and hexagrams to bimodal *p*(*m*) of active Brownian particles.

We have found nonequilibrium clustering for interacting self-propelled rod-shaped particles with sufficient packing density η and aspect ratio κ in simulations. The rods interact via strong short-range repulsive interactions that approximate excluded volume interactions. The onset of clustering has been defined by a transition from a unimodal to bimodal cluster size distribution. This transition is reproduced by a mean-field description of the cluster size distribution, which yielded a simple criterion, $\kappa = C/\eta - 1$, for the onset of clustering. This functional form with $C \approx 1.46$ provides a good fit

- D. Helbing, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 1067 (2001); F. Schweitzer, Brownian Agents and Active Particles (Springer, Berlin, 2003);
 J. Toner et al., Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 318, 170 (2005).
- [2] E. Ben-Jacob et al., Adv. Phys. 49, 395 (2000).
- [3] I. H. Riedel et al., Science **309**, 300 (2005).
- [4] T. Vicsek *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1226 (1995); G. Gregoire and H. Chate, *ibid.* **92**, 025702 (2004).
- [5] U. Erdmann *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. B **15**, 105 (2000); Phys. Rev. E **71**, 051904 (2005).
- [6] Myxobacteria II, edited by M. Dworkin and D. Kaiser (American Society for Microbiology, Washington DC, 1993); D. Kaiser, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 1, 45 (2003); L. Jelsbak and L. Sogaard-Andersen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 99, 2032 (2002).
- [7] D. Dusenbery, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94, 10949 (1997).
- [8] R. Kemkemer et al., Eur. Phys. J. E 3, 101 (2000).

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 74, 030904(R) (2006)

to the results of the simulations. The high density inside the cluster leads also to alignment of rods and coordinated motion of all particles in the cluster. The transition to clustering defined here is practically independent of the system size and the number of particles. It is instructive to compare our result rewritten in the form $\kappa \eta + \eta \approx 1.46$ with the formula for the isotropic-nematic transition $\kappa \eta \approx 4.7$ found in the twodimensional version [17] of Onsager's mean-field theory for Brownian rods [9]. This shows that actively moving rods can achieve alignment at much lower densities than Brownian rods or particles in equilibrium systems. The latter fact distinguishes our model from related work studying active nematics [13,14], where the isotropic-nematic transition is not affected by the active motion. The clustering phenomenon is absent in simulations with isotropic self-propelled particles as well as with Brownian rods. Our model provides also an alternative explanation for collective behavior of rod-shaped objects-previous swarming models have achieved aggregation and clustering by assuming attractive long-range interactions [4,5]. With respect to biology, our observation offers a simple physical explanation for the formation of clusters in many gliding rod-shaped bacteria, which often precedes the formation of biofilms and the appearance of more complex patterns. Real biological systems may employ other more complicated mechanisms like chemical signals and adhesion to achieve cell aggregation-the model studied here should be considered as a minimal model for collective phenomena of actively moving cellular entities.

We acknowledge financial support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through Grant No. DE842/2 and fruitful discussions with L. Morelli, L. Brusch, J. Starruss, and L. Sogaard-Andersen.

- [9] L. Onsager, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 51, 627 (1949).
- [10] H. Reichenbach, Ber. Dtsch. Bot. Ges. 78, 102 (1965); Myxobacteriales: Schwarmentfaltung und Bildung von Protocysten, Institut für den wissenschaftlichen Film, Göttingen, 1966.
- [11] U. Börner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 078101 (2002).
- [12] M. S. Alber *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 068102 (2004); O. Igoshin *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **101**, 4256 (2004).
- [13] S. Ramaswamy et al., Europhys. Lett. 62, 196 (2003).
- [14] H. Chate et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 180602 (2006).
- [15] Parameters: a=0.2, $1/\zeta_{\parallel}=0.1$, $1/\zeta_{\perp}=0.04$, $1/\zeta_{\theta}=0.5$, F=0.4, $\gamma=0.16$, $\phi=1.96$, and $\beta=1$. Additive white noise terms: $\overline{R_{\parallel}^2}/\zeta_{\parallel}=\overline{R_{\perp}^2}/\zeta_{\perp}=\overline{R_{\theta}^2}/\zeta_{\theta}=0.0833$ for active Brownian particles. Simulations were performed with N=50, 100, and 200, and simulation times 10^4 in discrete steps $\delta t=0.1$.
- [16] S. Chandrasekhar, Rev. Mod. Phys. 15, 1 (1943).
- [17] R. F. Kayser and H. J. Raveche, Phys. Rev. A 17, 2067 (1978).