

BRIEF REPORTS

Brief Reports are accounts of completed research which do not warrant regular articles or the priority handling given to Rapid Communications; however, the same standards of scientific quality apply. (Addenda are included in Brief Reports.) A Brief Report may be no longer than four printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. The same publication schedule as for regular articles is followed, and page proofs are sent to authors.

Simple proof of Page's conjecture on the average entropy of a subsystem

Jorge Sánchez-Ruiz

Departament de Física Fonamental, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

(Received 6 June 1995)

It is shown that Page's formula for the average entropy $S_{m,n}$ of a subsystem of dimension $m \leq n$ of a quantum system of Hilbert space dimension mn in a pure state [Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1291 (1993)] can be written in terms of the one-point correlation function of a Laguerre ensemble of random matrices. This leads to a proof of Page's conjecture, $S_{m,n} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} - \frac{m-1}{2n}$, which is simpler than that given by Foong and Kanno [Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1148 (1994)].

PACS number(s): 05.30.-d, 02.90.+p, 03.65.-w, 05.90.+m

A quantum system AB with Hilbert space dimension mn in a pure state ($\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$) has entropy $S_{AB} = 0$. However, if AB is divided into two subsystems A and B , of dimension m and n , respectively (without loss of generality, we can take $m \leq n$), the entropy of the subsystems, $S_A = S_B$, is greater than zero unless A and B are uncorrelated in the quantum sense ($\rho_{AB} = \rho_A \otimes \rho_B$) [1,2]. A convenient measure of the amount of entropy

that arises from this coarse graining is the average $\langle S_A \rangle \equiv S_{m,n}$ of the entropy S_A over all pure states of the total system AB , the average being defined with respect to the unitarily invariant Haar measure on the space of unit vectors $|\psi\rangle$ in the mn -dimensional Hilbert space of the total system [1,2]. In a recent work, Page [2] obtained for $S_{m,n}$ the formula

$$S_{m,n} = \psi(mn + 1) - \frac{\int (\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \ln x_i) |\Delta_m(x)|^2 \prod_{i=1}^m (e^{-x_i} x_i^{n-m}) dx_1 \cdots dx_m}{mn \int |\Delta_m(x)|^2 \prod_{i=1}^m (e^{-x_i} x_i^{n-m}) dx_1 \cdots dx_m}, \quad (1)$$

where $x_i \geq 0$, $\Delta_m(x)$ is the Vandermonde determinant of m variables,

$$\Delta_m(x) \equiv \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j), \quad (2)$$

and, for positive integer z ,

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} = -\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{z-1} \frac{1}{k}, \quad (3)$$

where γ is Euler's constant. As conjectured by Page [2], Eq. (1) is equivalent to

$$S_{m,n} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} - \frac{m-1}{2n}. \quad (4)$$

The first proof of this conjecture was given by Foong and Kanno [3]. Here we show that a simpler proof can be achieved by noting that the second term in the right-hand side of (1) can be written as a one-dimensional integral in terms of the one-point correlation function of a Laguerre ensemble of complex Hermitian random matrices (see, e.g., Ref. [4]), whose explicit expression readily follows from a well-known result of random matrix theory [5,6].

Taking into account the symmetry between the m variables x_i , Eq. (1) can be written as

$$S_{m,n} = \psi(mn + 1) - \frac{\int dx_1 x_1 \ln(x_1) \int |\Delta_m(x)|^2 \prod_{i=1}^m (e^{-x_i} x_i^{n-m}) dx_2 \cdots dx_m}{n \int |\Delta_m(x)|^2 \prod_{i=1}^m (e^{-x_i} x_i^{n-m}) dx_1 \cdots dx_m}. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, the n -point correlation function for the eigenvalues of an ensemble of complex Hermitian random matrices is defined as [4-6]

$$X_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv Z^{-1} \frac{m!}{(m-n)!} \int |\Delta_m(x)|^2 \times \left[\prod_{k=1}^m \mu(x_k) \right] dx_{n+1} \cdots dx_m, \quad (6)$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a positive weight function with all its moments finite, and the normalization constant Z is the partition function,

$$Z \equiv \int |\Delta_m(x)|^2 \left[\prod_{k=1}^m \mu(x_k) \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_m. \quad (7)$$

Using this notation, Eq. (5) reads

$$S_{m,n} = \psi(mn + 1) - \frac{1}{mn} \int_0^\infty X_1(x) x \ln x \, dx, \quad (8)$$

where $X_1(x)$ is the one-point correlation function corresponding to the so-called Laguerre ensemble of (complex Hermitian) random matrices, with weight function $\mu(x) = x^{n-m} e^{-x}$ [4].

Let $\{C_k(x)\}$ denote a sequence of monic polynomials of degree k , $C_k(x) = x^k + O(x^{k-1})$, satisfying the orthogonality relations

$$\int C_k(x) C_l(x) \mu(x) \, dx = \delta_{kl} h_k. \quad (9)$$

Then it can be shown [5,6] that the correlation functions (6) are given by

$$X_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det [f(x_i, x_j)]_n, \\ f(x, y) \equiv \sqrt{\mu(x)\mu(y)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{C_k(x)C_k(y)}{h_k} \\ = \sqrt{\mu(x)\mu(y)} \frac{C_{m-1}(x)C_m(y) - C_{m-1}(y)C_m(x)}{(y-x)h_{m-1}}, \quad (10)$$

where the last equality follows from the Christoffel-Darboux formula for orthogonal polynomials. In the particular case $n = 1$, Eq. (10) simplifies to

$$X_1(x) = f(x, x) \\ = \mu(x) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{[C_k(x)]^2}{h_k} \\ = \mu(x) \frac{C_{m-1}(x)C'_m(x) - C'_{m-1}(x)C_m(x)}{h_{m-1}}. \quad (11)$$

The orthogonal polynomials corresponding to the weight function $\mu(x) = x^{n-m} e^{-x}$ are the associated Laguerre polynomials $L_k^{(n-m)}(x)$. From the explicit formula and the orthogonality relation for these polynomials [7],

$$L_k^{(n-m)}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^k (-1)^t \binom{n-m+k}{k-t} \frac{x^t}{t!}, \\ \int_0^\infty x^{n-m} e^{-x} L_k^{(n-m)}(x) L_l^{(n-m)}(x) \, dx \\ = \frac{(n-m+k)!}{k!} \delta_{kl}, \quad (12)$$

we see that $X_1(x)$ in (8) is given by (11), with

$$\mu(x) = x^{n-m} e^{-x}, \\ C_k(x) = (-1)^k k! L_k^{(n-m)}(x), \\ h_k = k!(n-m+k)!. \quad (13)$$

Using the functional relations [7]

$$L_n^{(\alpha)'}(x) = -L_n^{(\alpha+1)}(x), \\ L_n^{(\alpha-1)}(x) = L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) - L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad (14)$$

the Christoffel-Darboux expression for $X_1(x)$ in (11) can be cast into the more convenient form

$$X_1(x) = \frac{m!}{(n-1)!} x^{n-m} e^{-x} \left\{ \left[L_{m-1}^{(n-m+1)}(x) \right]^2 - L_{m-2}^{(n-m+1)}(x) L_m^{(n-m+1)}(x) \right\}, \quad (15)$$

so that Eq. (8) then reads

$$S_{m,n} = \psi(mn + 1) - \frac{(m-1)!}{n!} \left(I_{m-1, m-1}^{(n-m+1)} - I_{m-2, m}^{(n-m+1)} \right), \\ I_{r,s}^{(\alpha)} \equiv \int_0^\infty x^\alpha e^{-x} \ln(x) L_r^{(\alpha)}(x) L_s^{(\alpha)}(x) \, dx. \quad (16)$$

The integrals $I_{r,s}^{(\alpha)}$ can be evaluated by taking advantage of the following result, which appears in the study of quantum-mechanical systems such as N -dimensional hydrogen atom and Morse oscillator [8-10],

$$\int_0^\infty x^q e^{-x} L_r^{(\alpha)}(x) L_s^{(\beta)}(x) \, dx \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(r,s)} (-1)^{r+s} \binom{q-\alpha}{r-k} \binom{q-\beta}{s-k} \frac{\Gamma(q+k+1)}{k!}, \quad (17)$$

where $q > -1$, α , and β are real parameters. On differentiating both sides of this equation with respect to q , we get [10]

$$\int_0^\infty x^q e^{-x} L_r^{(\alpha)}(x) L_s^{(\beta)}(x) \ln x dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(r,s)} (-1)^{r+s} \binom{q-\alpha}{r-k} \binom{q-\beta}{s-k} \frac{\Gamma(q+k+1)}{k!} \\ \times [\psi(q-\alpha+1) + \psi(q-\beta+1) + \psi(q+k+1) - \psi(q-\alpha-r+k+1) - \psi(q-\beta-s+k+1)]. \quad (18)$$

Both $\Gamma(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ have simple poles for $z = -n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with residues $(-1)^n/n!$ and -1 , respectively [7]. Therefore, in the case when $q = \alpha = \beta$, the only nonvanishing term in the summation is that corresponding to $k = \min(r, s)$, and a simple calculation yields

$$I_{r,r+t}^{(\alpha)} = -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+r+1)}{r!t} \quad (t > 0), \\ I_{r,r}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+r+1)}{r!} \psi(\alpha+r+1), \quad (19)$$

so that we have

$$I_{m-2,m}^{(n-m+1)} = -\frac{(n-1)!}{2(m-2)!}, \\ I_{m-1,m-1}^{(n-m+1)} = \frac{n!}{(m-1)!} \psi(n+1). \quad (20)$$

Substituting these results in (16) and using (3), we complete our proof of Page's conjecture, Eq. (4).

The author thanks R. J. Yáñez for suggesting the above calculation of the integrals $I_{r,s}^{(\alpha)}$. This work was supported by a grant from the Fundació Aula (Barcelona, Spain).

-
- [1] E. Lubkin, *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 1028 (1978); S. Lloyd and H. Pagels, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **188**, 186 (1988).
 [2] D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1291 (1993).
 [3] S. K. Foong and S. Kanno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 1148 (1994).
 [4] T. Nagao and K. Slevin, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 2075 (1993); **34**, 2317 (1993).
 [5] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
 [6] G. Mahoux and M. L. Mehta, *J. Phys. (France) I* **1**, 1093 (1991), and references therein.
 [7] *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1965).
 [8] E. Schrödinger, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **79**, 361 (1926); **79**, 489 (1926); **80**, 437 (1926); **81**, 109 (1926).
 [9] M. M. Nieto and L. M. Simmons, Jr., *Phys. Rev. A* **19**, 438 (1979); M. M. Nieto, *Am. J. Phys.* **47**, 1067 (1979).
 [10] R. J. Yáñez, doctoral dissertation, University of Granada, 1995.