## Dimensional crossover in Kardar-Parisi-Zhang growth

Ismael S. S. Carrasco<sup>1,\*</sup> and Tiago J. Oliveira<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Center of Physics, Institute of Physics, University of Brasilia, 70910-900 Brasilia, Federal District, Brazil <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-900, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil

(Received 9 December 2023; accepted 8 April 2024; published 30 April 2024)

Two-dimensional (2D) Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) growth is usually investigated on substrates of lateral sizes  $L_x = L_y$ , so that  $L_x$  and the correlation length ( $\xi$ ) are the only relevant lengths determining the scaling behavior. However, in cylindrical geometry, as well as in flat rectangular substrates  $L_x \neq L_y$  and, thus, the surfaces can become correlated in a single direction, when  $\xi \sim L_x \ll L_y$ . From extensive simulations of several KPZ models, we demonstrate that this yields a dimensional crossover in their dynamics, with the roughness scaling as  $W \sim t^{\beta_{2D}}$  for  $t \ll t_c$  and  $W \sim t^{\beta_{1D}}$  for  $t \gg t_c$ , where  $t_c \sim L_x^{1/2D}$ . The height distributions (HDs) also cross over from the 2D flat (cylindrical) HD to the asymptotic Tracy-Widom Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (Gaussian unitary ensemble) distribution. Moreover, 2D to one-dimensional (1D) crossovers are found also in the asymptotic growth velocity and in the steady-state regime of flat systems, where a family of universal HDs exists, interpolating between the 2D and 1D ones as  $L_y/L_x$  increases. Importantly, the crossover scalings are fully determined and indicate a possible way to solve 2D KPZ models.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.109.L042102

Besides a large number of model systems [1–4], onedimensional (1D) interfaces observed in paper burning fronts [5], growth of cell colonies [6], turbulent phases in liquid crystals [7,8], and colloidal deposition at the edges of evaporating drops [9], as well as two-dimensional (2D) surfaces of CdTe [10–12], oligomer [13], and NiW [14] thin films, are all examples of systems whose height field  $h(\vec{x}, t)$  evolves according to the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation [15]

$$\frac{\partial h(\vec{x},t)}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 h + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\nabla h)^2 + \sqrt{D} \eta(\vec{x},t), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\eta$  is a Gaussian white noise, and  $\nu$ ,  $\lambda$ , and D are phenomenological parameters. A key feature of these systems is the scaling of fluctuations in  $h(\vec{x}, t)$ , usually quantified through the global roughness  $W^2 = \langle (h - \bar{h})^2 \rangle$ , where  $\bar{\cdot}$  and  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denote spatial and configurational averages, respectively, at a given time t. Indeed, by performing the growth on an initially flat substrate of lateral size L, a growth regime (GR) is observed [while the correlation length  $\xi \simeq (|\lambda| A^{\frac{1}{2}}t)^{1/z}$  is much smaller than L], where  $W^2 \simeq \langle \chi^2 \rangle_c (\Gamma t)^{2\beta}$ . Whereas  $\lambda$ , A, and then  $\Gamma \propto |\lambda| A^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  [16] are system-dependent parameters [17], the exponents  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $z = \alpha/\beta$ , and  $\langle \chi^2 \rangle_c$  [the second cumulant of the underlying height distribution (HD)  $P_{\rm gr}(\chi)$ ] are universal. The 1D KPZ class is characterized by the exponents  $\alpha_{1D} = 1/2$  and  $\beta_{1D} = 1/3$  [15] and HDs given by Tracy-Widom (TW) [18] distributions from Gaussian orthogonal or unitary ensembles (GOE or GUE) depending respectively on whether the interface is flat (where L is fixed) or circular (where  $L \sim t$ ) [19–23]. Actually, the GUE HD is found whenever L(t) enlarges faster than  $\xi(t)$  [24]. Although the high-dimensional KPZ scenario is far less analytically understood, the exponents  $\beta_{dD} = 7/(8d + 13)$  recently found in Ref. [25] are very strong candidates to be the exact ones for  $d \ge 1$ , once they agree strikingly well with numerical estimates up to very large d's [25]. Extensive numerical works have also characterized the properties of the asymptotic GR HDs for flat geometry up to d = 6 [26–34], with the 2D ones being experimentally observed in thin film deposition of different materials [10–13]. Beyond the flat case (corresponding to fixed  $L = L_x = L_y$ ), two other main geometries exist for 2D KPZ systems: cylindrical (where  $L_x$  is fixed, while  $L_y \sim t$ ) and spherical (where  $L = L_x = L_y \sim t$ ), each one having a characteristic limiting GR HD (for  $L_x, L_y \rightarrow \infty$ ) [26–30].

At long times, *flat* systems become fully correlated, when  $\xi \sim L$ , and W saturates at a value that increases as  $W_s^2 \simeq$  $\langle \zeta^2 \rangle_c A L^{2\alpha}$ , where  $\langle \zeta^2 \rangle_c$  is the variance of the underlying HD  $P_{\rm ssr}(\zeta)$ , usually measured in relation to  $\bar{h}$  [35]. Indeed, in this steady-state regime (SSR), the HD is different from the GR ones, being Gaussian for 1D [1] and skewed for 2D KPZ systems [35–38]. The SSR is never observed in the 2D spherical and cylindrical geometries (as well as in the 1D circular one), because at least one side of the substrate enlarges faster than  $\xi$ . Interestingly, however, cylindrical systems with finite  $L_x$  can become fully correlated in the x direction, while  $\xi$ keeps increasing forever in the y direction. In practice, this can be realized in the radial growth of three-dimensional (3D) cylindrical clusters or the deposition of materials inside Vshaped grooves, of finite length  $L_x$  [30]. A similar scenario may happen for deposition on *flat* rectangular substrates with  $L_v \gg L_x$ , as is the case, e.g., in selective area growth of nanosheets and nanowalls, horizontal nanowires, etc. [39], which are appealing for a variety of applications [40].

In this Letter, we present a thorough analysis of this finite-size behavior, unveiling very interesting dimensional crossovers in the roughness scaling, HDs, and growth velocity,

<sup>\*</sup>ismael.carrasco@unb.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>tiago@ufv.br

as a consequence of the "single-side saturation" of fluctuations. In order to do this, we performed extensive Monte Carlo simulations of three discrete growth models well-known to belong to the KPZ class: the restricted solid-on-solid (RSOS) [41], the single step (SS) [42], and the etching model [43]. In all cases, particles are sequentially deposited at random positions of rectangular square lattice substrates, with  $L_x \times L_y$ sites and periodic boundary conditions in both directions. Once a site (i, j), with first neighbors  $\partial_{ij}$ , is sorted, the aggregation rules there are as follows: RSOS:  $h_{ij} \rightarrow h_{ij} + 1$  if  $|h_{ij} - h_k| \leq 1$  after deposition  $\forall k \in \partial_{ij}$ ; SS:  $h_{ij} \rightarrow h_{ij} + 2$  if  $|h_{ij} - h_k| = 1$  after deposition  $\forall k \in \partial_{ij}$ ; and etching:  $h_k =$  $\max[h_{ij}, h_k] \forall k \in \partial_{ij}$  and then  $h_{ij} \rightarrow h_{ij} + 1$ . In the RSOS and SS models, the particle is rejected whenever the restrictions above are not satisfied. A checkerboard initial condition,  $h_{ii}(t=0) = [1 + (-1)^{i+j}]/2$ , is used in the SS model, while  $h_{ii}(t=0)=0$  is used in the other cases. In flat geometry,  $L_x$  and  $L_y$  stay fixed during the growth. To investigate the cylindrical geometry,  $L_x$  is kept fixed while  $L_y$  enlarges as  $\langle L_v \rangle = L_0 + \omega t$  by random duplications of columns in the y direction [30]. Such duplications occur with probability  $p_e = \omega/(L_x L_y + \omega)$  and are stochastically mixed with particle deposition (occurring with probability  $1 - p_e$ ). After each of these events, the time is increased by  $\Delta t = 1/(L_x L_y + \omega)$ , with  $\omega = 0$  in the flat case [44]. Results for several  $(L_x, L_y)$  are presented below, with  $L_0 = \omega = 2$  in cylindrical geometry.

Growth regime. We start our study focusing on the GR, which is the single regime observed in cylindrical geometry. To keep  $\xi \ll L_v$  in the flat case,  $L_v = 32768$  was used in most of this analysis, but some results for  $L_v = 65536$  are also shown in some cases, when indicated. Figure 1(a) presents the temporal variation of the roughness W for the RSOS model in flat geometry. Interestingly, it displays a crossover from an initial 2D regime, where  $W \sim t^{\beta_{2D}}$ , to an asymptotic 1D scaling, with  $W \sim t^{\beta_{1D}}$ . The very same behavior is found for all models, in both geometries, as demonstrated in the Supplemental Material (SM) [45]. This crossover is better appreciated in the effective growth exponents  $\beta_{\rm eff}(t)$  the successive slopes in curves of  $\ln W \times \ln t$ —which clearly change from  $\beta_{2D} \approx 0.2414$  [25,46] to  $\beta_{1D} = 1/3$  as time evolves [see Fig. 1(b)]. The crossover time  $t_c$  increases with  $L_x$ , as observed in Fig. 1(a), and the same obviously happens in the curves of  $\beta_{\rm eff} \times t$ . Notwithstanding, when the time is rescaled by  $t_c = L_r^{\text{2D}}/(|\lambda|A^{\frac{1}{2}})$  [47], with  $\lambda$  and A assuming their values for the 2D models (given in Table 1 of Ref. [29]), data for different  $L_x$  collapse onto a single curve, as observed in Fig. 1(b). An almost perfect collapse is found also in the roughness of all models versus  $t/t_c$ , when W is rescaled by  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}L_x^{\alpha_{2D}}$  [see Fig. 1(c)]. Hence, the dimensional crossover scaling is given by

$$W(L_x,t) \simeq A^{\frac{1}{2}} L_x^{\alpha_{2\mathrm{D}}} \mathcal{F}_c \left(\frac{|\lambda| A^{\frac{1}{2}} t}{L_x^{z_{2\mathrm{D}}}}\right), \tag{2}$$

for  $L_y \gg L_x$ , with a universal scaling function of

$$\mathcal{F}_c(x) \sim \begin{cases} x^{\beta_{2\mathrm{D}}}, & \text{for } x \ll 1, \\ x^{\beta_{1\mathrm{D}}}, & \text{for } x \gg 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that this is analogous to the Family-Vicsek (FV) scaling [48] (for flat 2D KPZ systems with  $L_x = L_y$ ), with the



FIG. 1. (a) Roughness W versus time t. (b) Effective growth exponents  $\beta_{\text{eff}}$ , (c) rescaled roughness  $W/(A^{\frac{1}{2}}L_x^{\alpha_{2D}})$ , and (d) rescaled "line roughness"  $W_x/(A^{\frac{1}{2}}L_x^{\alpha_{2D}})$  against  $t/t_c$ . The inset in panel (d) shows the non-rescaled data for  $W_x$ . The dashed lines have the indicated slopes. Results for the RSOS model on flat substrates and several  $L_x$  are shown in each panel. Data for the other models are also depicted in panel (c). Panel (a) shows curves for both  $L_y = 32768$  and 65 536, which have a negligible difference for a given  $L_x$ , demonstrating that  $L_y$  is irrelevant in this crossover (provided that  $L_y \gg L_x$ ).

exception that  $\mathcal{F}_{FV}(x) \sim \text{const.}$  for  $x \gg 1$ . This saturation regime is discussed below.

The  $t_c$  found above demonstrates that the crossover happens when  $\xi \approx L_x$ , so that  $(|\lambda|A^{\frac{1}{2}}t_c)^{1/z_{2D}} \approx L_x$ . To deeply understand how this single-side correlation affects the KPZ growth, we investigate also the "line roughness"  $W_{\ell}^2 = \langle (h - \bar{h})^2 \rangle_{\ell}$ , where  $\bar{\cdot}$  is calculated along lines in the  $\ell(=x, y)$  direction. When  $L_x = L_y$ , both directions are equivalent, leading to  $W_x \approx W_y \approx W$ . For the systems analyzed here, one also finds  $W_x \approx W_y \approx W \sim t^{\beta_{2D}}$  at short times. However, for  $t \gg t_c$ ,  $W_x$  saturates [following the FV scaling, as seen in Fig. 1(d)], while  $W_y$  keeps augmenting as  $W_y \approx W \sim t^{\beta_{1D}}$ . Thereby, for  $t \gtrsim t_c$ , the height fluctuations (and  $\xi$ ) only increase in the y direction, leading the system to scale as if it was 1D.

Figures 2(a)–2(d) show the temporal evolution of the skewness  $S = \langle h^3 \rangle_c / \langle h^2 \rangle_c^{3/2}$  and (excess) kurtosis  $K = \langle h^4 \rangle_c / \langle h^2 \rangle_c^2$  of the GR HDs for the RSOS model in flat and cylindrical geometries. (Here,  $\langle h^n \rangle_c$  is the *n*th cumulant of the one-point HD.) In both cases, as  $L_x$  increases one sees these ratios converging to the values for the respective 2D KPZ HDs at short times. At  $t \gtrsim t_c$ , however, they start decreasing towards the ratios for the 1D KPZ HDs, with the 2D flat (cylindrical) HD giving place to an asymptotic TW-GOE (TW-GUE) distribution. Hence, not only the roughness scaling but also the underlying HDs undergo a 2D-to-1D crossover.

Similarly to the "line roughness," we can also define the "line HDs"  $P_x(h)$  and  $P_y(h)$ , measured along lines in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively. The *n*th moment of  $P_\ell(h)$  is given by  $M_n^{(\ell)} = \langle \overline{(h-\bar{h})^n} \rangle_\ell$ , from which the skewness  $S_\ell = M_3^{(\ell)}/W_\ell^3$ 



FIG. 2. GR HDs' skewness |S| and kurtosis *K* against  $t/t_c$ , for the RSOS model in flat [panels (a) and (b)] and cylindrical [panels (c) and (d)] geometries, with  $L_x = 4, 8, \ldots, 256$ , increasing from right to left. The values of  $S_{\text{GOE/GUE}}$  and  $K_{\text{GOE/GUE}}$  are given, e.g., in Ref. [20], while the estimates for the 2D HDs were extracted from Ref. [30]. (e) Extrapolations of the growth velocities  $v_t$  to  $t \to \infty$ , for the etching model; the indicated values of  $v_{\infty}$  for 1D and square 2D substrates are those reported in Ref. [29]. (f) Rescaled asymptotic velocities  $[v_{\infty}^{(2D)} - v_{\infty}(L_x)]L_x^{\gamma}/(\lambda A)$  versus  $1/L_x$ , with  $\gamma = (2 - 2\alpha_{2D})$ .

and the (excess) kurtosis  $K_{\ell} = M_4^{(\ell)}/W_{\ell}^4 - 3$  are calculated. As demonstrated in the SM [45],  $|S_y|$  and  $K_y$  behave analogously to |S| and K in Figs. 2(a)–2(d). At short times, curves of  $|S_x|$  and  $K_x$  versus t also display maxima that converge to the ratios of the 2D KPZ GR HDs, but present stronger finitesize corrections than  $|S_y|$  and  $K_y$ , as expected. Remarkably,  $S_x$  and  $K_x$  saturate at long times, instead of displaying slow crossovers toward the 1D HDs. The saturation values  $|S_{x,s}| \approx K_{x,s} \approx 0.11$  are found for all models in both geometries (see Ref. [45]). Since they do not fit in any known distribution for 1D or 2D KPZ systems, a new universal KPZ HD exists at the single-side-saturation regime.

According to the "KPZ ansatz" for the one-point height  $[h \simeq v_{\infty}t + (\Gamma t)^{\beta}\chi]$ , the asymptotic growth velocity  $v_{\infty}$  can be obtained by extrapolating  $v_t = \partial_t \langle \bar{h} \rangle$  versus  $t^{\beta_{1D}-1}$  to  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Examples of such extrapolations (for the etching model) are shown in Fig. 2(e), demonstrating that  $v_{\infty}$  is a function of  $L_x$ , which smoothly changes from  $v_{\infty}^{(1D)}$  to  $v_{\infty}^{(2D)}$  as  $L_x$  increases. A similar behavior is found for the RSOS and SS models, but with  $v_{\infty}$  decreasing with  $L_x$ , because  $v_{\infty}^{(1D)} > v_{\infty}^{(2D)}$  for these systems (see the SM [45]). Substantially, while the



FIG. 3. Rescaled curves of roughness W versus time t for the etching model on flat substrates with small (a) and large (b) aspect ratios  $L_y/L_x$ . (c) Rescaled squared saturation roughness  $W_s^2/(AL_x^{\alpha_{2D}}L_y^{\alpha_{2D}})$  against  $L_y/L_x$ . (d) Variation of the SSR HDs' skewness  $S_m$  (main plot) and kurtosis  $K_m$  (insertion) with  $L_y/L_x$ . Results for the three investigated models are shown in panels (c) and (d), as indicated by the legend in panel (c).

asymptotic growth exponent and HDs are those for the 1D KPZ class, the growth velocity can assume any value between  $v_{\infty}^{(1D)}$  and  $v_{\infty}^{(2D)}$ . To explain this behavior, we recall that on a flat 2D substrate of lateral size *L*,  $v_t$  saturates (in the SSR) at a value of  $v(L) \simeq v_{\infty}^{(2D)} - (\lambda A/2)L^{2\alpha_{2D}-2}$  [17,49]. Hence, for the single-side-saturation observed here, it is reasonable to expect that

$$v_{\infty}(L_x) \simeq v_{\infty}^{(2D)} - c\lambda A L_x^{-(2-2\alpha_{2D})}.$$
(3)

This ansatz is confirmed in Fig. 2(f), which shows that  $[v_{\infty}^{(2D)} - v_{\infty}(L_x)]L_x^{2-2\alpha_{2D}}/(\lambda A)$  does indeed converge to a universal constant value of  $c \approx 0.18$ , for large  $L_x$ , when  $\lambda$  and A assume their values for the 2D models [29].

Steady-state regime. At long times, the flat surfaces become correlated also in the y direction, when  $\xi \sim L_y > L_x$ , and the SSR is attained, as confirmed in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). As expected, the saturation time  $t_s$  (where the crossover to the SSR takes place) is an increasing function of  $L_{y}$ , but it behaves differently for small and large aspect ratios  $L_y/L_x$ . Indeed, rescaled curves of  $W/L_v^{\alpha_{2D}}$  versus  $t/L_v^{z_{2D}}$  collapse reasonably well for  $L_y/L_x \lesssim 8$ , as shown in Fig. 3(a), indicating that  $t_s \sim L_v^{z_{2D}}$  and, thus, the FV scaling holds when  $L_y/L_x$  is small. On the other hand, one sees in Fig. 3(b) that the data for large  $L_v/L_x$  collapse when W is rescaled by  $(L_y/L_x)^{\alpha_{1D}}L_x^{\alpha_{2D}}$ , with t divided by  $L_v^{z_{1D}}$ , so that now  $t_s \sim L_v^{z_{1D}}$ . These behaviors suggest that, for a given  $L_y/L_x$ , the saturation roughness  $W_s$  scales with the effective linear size  $\bar{L} = \sqrt{L_x L_y}$  as  $W_s \sim A^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{L}^{\alpha_{2D}}$ . In fact,  $W_s^2/(A\bar{L}^{2\alpha_{2D}})$  versus  $L_v/L_x$ , for different models and sizes, falls into a universal crossover curve [see Fig. 3(c)], indicating that

$$W_s^2 \simeq A L_x^{\alpha_{\rm 2D}} L_y^{\alpha_{\rm 2D}} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{L_x}{L_y}\right),\tag{4}$$

where, once again, the parameters A for the 2D models have to be used. The scaling function  $\mathcal{G}(y)$  behaves as  $\mathcal{G}(y) \approx$ const.  $\approx 0.10$  for  $y \sim 1$ . This is indeed expected, since for y = 1 one recovers the usual 2D scaling:  $W_s^2 \simeq \langle \zeta^2 \rangle_c AL^{2\alpha_{2D}}$ , with  $\langle \zeta^2 \rangle_c \approx 0.103$  [35]. For  $y \gg 1$ , we find strong evidence that  $\mathcal{G}(y) \sim y^{2\alpha_{1D}-\alpha_{2D}}$ , meaning that  $W_s \simeq BL_y^{\alpha_{1D}}$ , with  $B \sim L_x^{-(\alpha_{1D}-\alpha_{2D})}$ . Namely, when  $L_y \gg L_x$ , the system displays the 1D scaling in  $L_y$ , but with an  $L_x$ -dependent scaling amplitude.

Figure 3(d) shows the variation of the asymptotic values for the skewness  $S_m = M_3/W_s^3$  (main plot) and the kurtosis  $K_m = M_4/W_s^4 - 3$  (insertion) for the SSR HDs as functions of  $L_y/L_x$ . (Here,  $M_n = \langle (\overline{h} - \overline{h})^n \rangle$  is the *n*th moment of the SSR HDs.) The data collapse confirms that  $L_y/L_x$  is indeed the relevant quantity determining the behavior in the SSR. Moreover, this makes it clear that universal curves of  $S(L_y/L_x)$  and  $K(L_y/L_x)$  exist, which start at the 2D values for the moment ratios for  $L_y/L_x = 1$ , decrease as  $L_y/L_x$  augments, and finally vanish when  $L_y/L_x \to \infty$ . Therefore, in this limit, the SSR HD is Gaussian, as expected for 1D KPZ systems.

We conclude recalling that crossovers from an initial Edwards-Wilkinson dynamics (given by Eq. (1) with  $\lambda = 0$  [50]) to an asymptotic KPZ scaling, as well as from random (Eq. (1) with  $\nu = \lambda = 0$  [1]) to KPZ growth, have been widely investigated in past decades [See, e.g., [51], besides some other forms of temporal crossovers [1,12,52]. However, for square substrates, as usually considered in previous works on 2D KPZ growth,  $t_s = t_c$  and no dimensional crossover is observed, because the SSR precedes the raising of the 1D scaling. On the other hand, when  $L_y \gg L_x$  one has  $t_s \gg t_c$  and, then, the 1D regime is observed for  $t_c \ll t \ll t_s$ . This strongly suggests that the fate of any 2D KPZ cylindrical system with finite  $L_x$  (where  $L_y$  increases indefinitely, so that  $t_s \to \infty$ ) is to follow the 1D circular KPZ subclass, with  $W \sim t^{1/3}$  and GUE HD, at long times. Flat 2D KPZ systems with finite  $L_x$  and

 $L_{\rm y} \rightarrow \infty$  will fall asymptotically in the 1D flat KPZ subclass, with  $W \sim t^{1/3}$  and GOE HD. When  $L_y$  (> $L_x$ ) is also finite, a SSR is attained at long times, where both the scaling behavior and HDs depend on the aspect ratio  $L_y/L_x$ . Interestingly, while the height fluctuations select one of the few universal KPZ HDs in the GR, in the SSR we find a continuous family of distributions, demonstrating that the SSR exhibits a less robust behavior than the GR. Beyond representing a substantial generalization of the current knowledge on interface growth, these results indicate that rectangular substrates may be an important platform for theoretical studies of KPZ systems. In fact, since their 1D asymptotic behaviors are analytically known, this may be an interesting starting point to obtain the long-waited exact solutions of the 2D regime. We also notice that in higher dimensions-let us say, for a d-dimensional flat substrate with lateral sizes  $1 \ll L_1 \ll L_2 \ll \cdots \ll L_d$ —a sequence of temporal crossovers are expected in the GR, from an initial  $W \sim t^{\beta_{dD}}$  behavior to  $W \sim t^{\beta_{(d-1)D}}$  and so on, until the final  $W \sim t^{\beta_{1D}}$  scaling. It turns out that, already in the 3D case, this interesting scenario may be difficult to observe in simulations, since it is quite hard to perform the deposition on substrates with  $1 \ll L_1 \ll L_2 \ll L_3$  for long growth times. From a more applied perspective, our work provides important insights into the selective growth of nanostructures on stripe patterns, whose width can be as small as  $L_x \sim 100$  nm, while  $L_v \sim 1 \ \mu m$  [40]. Even though their growth dynamics may likely differ from the KPZ dynamics, if the deposition time is large enough to yield  $\xi \gg L_x$ , a single-side saturation—with the consequent dimensional crossover, as shown here-can be expected in any type of correlated growth.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge partial financial support from CNPq, FAPEMIG, and FAPDF (Grant No. 00193-00001817/2023-43) (Brazilian agencies) and thank C. I. L. Araújo for helpful discussions.

- [1] A.-L. Barabasi and H. E. Stanley, *Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 1995).
- [2] J. Krug, Adv. Phys. 46, 139 (1997).
- [3] T. Halpin-Healy and Y. C. Zhang, Phys. Rep. 254, 215 (1995).
- [4] T. Kriecherbauer and J. Krug, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43, 403001 (2010).
- [5] J. Maunuksela, M. Myllys, O.-P. Kähkönen, J. Timonen, N. Provatas, M. J. Alava, and T. Ala-Nissila, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1515 (1997); L. Miettinen, M. Myllys, J. Merikoski, and J. Timonen, Eur. Phys. J. B 46, 55 (2005).
- [6] M. A. C. Huergo, M. A. Pasquale, A. E. Bolzán, A. J. Arvia, and P. H. González, Phys. Rev. E 82, 031903 (2010); M. A. C. Huergo, M. A. Pasquale, P. H. González, A. E. Bolzán, and A. J. Arvia, *ibid.* 84, 021917 (2011); 85, 011918 (2012).
- [7] K. A. Takeuchi and M. Sano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 230601 (2010).
- [8] K. A. Takeuchi, M. Sano, T. Sasamoto, and H. Spohn, Sci. Rep. 1, 34 (2011).
- [9] P. J. Yunker, M. A. Lohr, T. Still, A. Borodin, D. J. Durian, and A. G. Yodh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 035501 (2013).
- [10] R. A. L. Almeida, S. O. Ferreira, T. J. Oliveira, and F. D. A. Aarão Reis, Phys. Rev. B 89, 045309 (2014).

- [11] R. A. L. Almeida, S. O. Ferreira, I. R. B. Ribeiro, and T. J. Oliveira, Europhys. Lett. **109**, 46003 (2015).
- [12] R. A. L. Almeida, S. O. Ferreira, I. Ferraz, and T. J. Oliveira, Sci. Rep. 7, 3773 (2017).
- [13] T. Halpin-Healy and G. Palasantzas, Europhys. Lett. 105, 50001 (2014).
- [14] P. A. Orrillo, S. N. Santalla, R. Cuerno, L. Vázquez, S. B. Ribotta, L. M. Gassa, F. J. Mompean, R. C. Salvarezza, and M. E. Vela, Sci. Rep. 7, 17997 (2017).
- [15] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).
- [16] One usually defines  $\Gamma = |\lambda|A^2/2$  for 1D KPZ systems [20] and  $\Gamma = |\lambda|A^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  in higher dimensions [17,26].
- [17] J. Krug, P. Meakin, and T. Halpin-Healy, Phys. Rev. A 45, 638 (1992).
- [18] C. Tracy and H. Widom, Commun. Math. Phys. **159**, 151 (1994).
- [19] K. Johansson, Commun. Math. Phys. 209, 437 (2000).
- [20] M. Prähofer and H. Spohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4882 (2000).
- [21] T. Sasamoto and H. Spohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 230602 (2010).

- [22] G. Amir, I. Corwin, and J. Quastel, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 64, 466 (2011).
- [23] P. Calabrese and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 250603 (2011).
- [24] I. S. S. Carrasco and T. J. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 99, 032140 (2019).
- [25] T. J. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 106, L062103 (2022).
- [26] T. Halpin-Healy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 170602 (2012).
- [27] T. Halpin-Healy, Phys. Rev. E 88, 042118 (2013).
- [28] T. J. Oliveira, S. G. Alves, and S. C. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. E 87, 040102(R) (2013).
- [29] I. S. S. Carrasco, K. A. Takeuchi, S. C. Ferreira, and T. J. Oliveira, New J. Phys. 16, 123057 (2014).
- [30] I. S. S. Carrasco and T. J. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 107, 064140 (2023).
- [31] S. G. Alves, T. J. Oliveira, and S. C. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. E 90, 020103(R) (2014).
- [32] T. Halpin-Healy and K. A. Takeuchi, J. Stat. Phys. 160, 794 (2015).
- [33] J. M. Kim, J. Stat. Mech. (2019) 123206.
- [34] S. G. Alves and S. C. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. E 93, 052131 (2016).
- [35] T. J. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 105, 064803 (2022).
- [36] F. D. A. Aarão Reis, Phys. Rev. E 69, 021610 (2004).
- [37] E. Marinari, A. Pagnani, and G. Parisi, J. Phys. A 33, 8181 (2000).
- [38] A. Pagnani and G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. E 92, 010101(R) (2015).
- [39] C.-Y. Chi, C.-C. Chang, S. Hu, T.-W. Yeh, S. B. Cronin, and P. D. Dapkus, Nano Lett. **13**, 2506 (2013); H. Schmid, M. Borg, K. Moselund, L. Gignac, C. M. Breslin, J. Bruley, D. Cutaia, and H. Riel, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 233101 (2015); G. Murillo, I. Rodríguez-Ruiz, and J. Esteve, Cryst. Growth Des. **16**, 5059 (2016); J. Winnerl, M. Kraut, S. Artmeier, and M. Stutzmann, Nanoscale **11**, 4578 (2019).

- [40] X. Yuan, D. Pan, Y. Zhou, X. Zhang, K. Peng, B. Zhao, M. Deng, J. He, H. H. Tan, and C. Jagadish, Appl. Phys. Rev. 8, 021302 (2021); B. Wang, Y. Zeng, Y. Song, Y. Wang, L. Liang, L. Qin, J. Zhang, P. Jia, Y. Lei, C. Qiu, Y. Ning, and L. Wang, Crystals 12, 1011 (2022).
- [41] J. M. Kim and J. M. Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2289 (1989).
- [42] P. Meakin, P. Ramanlal, L. M. Sander, and R. C. Ball, Phys. Rev. A 34, 5091 (1986).
- [43] B. A. Mello, A. S. Chaves, and F. A. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 63, 041113 (2001).
- [44] For more details on this method, see, e.g., Refs. [29,30,53].
- [45] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.109.L042102 for additional results for the roughness scaling, the directional height fluctuations, and the asymptotic growth velocities.
- [46] J. Kelling, G. Ódor, and S. Gemming, J. Phys. A 51, 035003 (2018).
- [47] Since  $z = \alpha/\beta$  and  $\alpha + z = 2$  (for KPZ systems) [1],  $\beta_{2D} \approx 0.2414$  [25,46] means that  $\alpha_{2D} \approx 0.3889$  and  $z_{2D} \approx 1.6111$ .
- [48] F. Family and T. Vicsek, J. Phys. A 18, L75 (1985).
- [49] J. Krug and P. Meakin, J. Phys. A 23, L987 (1990).
- [50] S. F. Edwards and D. R. Wilkinson, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 381, 17 (1982).
- [51] E. Daryaei, Phys. Rev. E 101, 062108 (2020); A. Kolakowska and M. A. Novotny, *ibid.* 91, 012147 (2015); L. Hedayatifar, A. A. Masoudi, and S. Vasheghani Farahani, Phys. A (Amsterdam, Neth.) 400, 194 (2014); J. S. Oliveira Filho, T. J. Oliveira, and J. A. Redinz, Phys. A (Amsterdam, Neth.) 392, 2479 (2013); T. J. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 87, 034401 (2013); and references therein.
- [52] K. A. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 210604 (2013).
- [53] I. S. S. Carrasco and T. J. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 105, 054804 (2022).