# <span id="page-0-0"></span>**Reentrant ferromagnetic ordering of the random-field Heisenberg model in** *d >* **2 dimensions: Fourier-Legendre renormalization-group theory**

Alpa[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5172-2172) Türkoğlu<sup>1,2</sup> and A. Nihat Berker  $\mathbb{R}^{3,4,5}$ 

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Bo˘gaziçi University, Bebek, Istanbul 34342, Turkey*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Bo ˘gaziçi University, Bebek, Istanbul 34342, Turkey*

<sup>3</sup>*Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Kadir Has University, Cibali, Istanbul 34083, Turkey*

<sup>4</sup>*TÜBITAK Research Institute for Basic Sciences, Gebze, Kocaeli 41470, Turkey*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

(Received 13 August 2023; accepted 21 December 2023; published 16 January 2024)

The random-magnetic-field classical Heisenberg model is solved in spatial dimensions  $d \geq 2$  using the recently developed Fourier-Legendre renormalization-group theory for  $4\pi$  steradians continuously orientable spins, with renormalization-group flows of 12 500 variables. The random-magnetic-field Heisenberg model is exactly solved in 10 hierarchical models, for *d* = 2, 2.26, 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3. For nonzero random fields, ferromagnetic order is seen for  $d > 2$ . This ordering, at  $d = 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3$ , shows reentrance as a function of temperature.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.109.014114](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.109.014114)

# **I. HEISENBERG SPINS, LOWER-CRITICAL DIMENSION, RANDOM MAGNETIC FIELDS**

Random magnetic fields and Heisenberg spins (*n* = 3 components,  $4\pi$  steradians continuously orientable) constitute a double challenge to ordering under quenched randomness and varying spatial dimensions. In ordering under quenched randomness, in the previous problem of random-magnetic-field  $n = 1$  component Ising spins ( $\pm 1$  discretely orientable), after an intense experimental and theoretical controversy between lower-critical spatial dimension  $d_c = 2$  claims  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  and  $d_c = 3$  claims [\[4\]](#page-4-0), the issue was settled for  $d_c = 2$  [\[5,6\]](#page-4-0). That  $d_c \neq 3$  fell in contradiction to the prediction of a dimensional shift of 2 due to random fields, coming from all-order fieldtheoretic expansions from  $d = 6$  down to  $d = 1$  [\[7\]](#page-4-0), which indeed is a considerable distance to expand upon for a smallparameter expansion of  $\epsilon = 6 - d$ .

In ordering under varying spatial dimensions *d*, direct position-space renormalization-group theory has been successful across the board in determining the lower-critical dimension  $d_c$ , below which no ordering occurs, for all uniform systems and complex quenched random systems. These renormalization-group studies have indeed yielded  $d_c = 1$  for the  $n = 1$  component Ising model [\[8,9\]](#page-4-0),  $d_c = 2$  for the  $n = 2$ *XY* model [\[10\]](#page-4-0) (this study also yielding the low-temperature critical phase at  $d = 2$ ), and  $2 < d_c < 3$  for the  $n = 3$  Heisenberg model [\[11\]](#page-4-0). Including the complexity of quenched randomness, these studies have yielded  $d_c = 2$ , as mentioned above, for the random-field Ising model  $[5,6]$ ,  $3.81 < d_c < 4$ for the random-field *XY* model [\[12\]](#page-4-0) with a critical line at zero temperature, in fact a noninteger  $d_c = 2.46$  for the Ising spin glass with random ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic bonds [\[13\]](#page-4-0), and  $2 < d_c < 3$  for the Heisenberg spin glass [\[14\]](#page-4-0), the latter actually revealing a nematic phase, namely, the occurrence of a liquid-crystal phase in a dirty magnet.

These renormalization-group calculations have also, for example, shown chaos inherent in spin-glass phases  $[15-17]$ , the finite-temperature phase diagram of high- $T_c$  superconductors [\[18\]](#page-4-0), the changeover from first-order to second-order phase transitions under random bonds [\[19,20\]](#page-4-0), and the occurrence of first- and second-order phase transitions as a function of number of states, *q*, in Potts models [\[21–23\]](#page-4-0).

In this study, a logical next step is taken, in studying the random-magnetic-field Heisenberg spins, with  $n = 3$ components, continuously orientable in  $4\pi$  steradians, using the recently developed Fourier-Legendre renormalizationgroup theory [\[11,14\]](#page-4-0). The random-magnetic-field Heisenberg model is exactly solved in 10 hierarchical models, for *d* = 2, 2.26, 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3. Under nonzero random fields, ferromagnetic order is seen for *d* > 2. This ordering shows, at *d* = 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3, disorderorder-disorder phase reentrance as a function of temperature.

### **II. FOURIER-LEGENDRE RENORMALIZATION GROUP**

The random-field Heisenberg model is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$
-\beta \mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{H}_j \cdot \vec{s}_j, \tag{1}
$$

where the classical spin  $\vec{s}_i$  is the unit spherical vector at lattice site *i* and the sums  $\langle i j \rangle$  are over all nearest-neighbor pairs of sites. In the second term,  $\vec{H}_i$  are magnetic fields that are frozen in random directions. In our model, the random magnetic field is attached to every site, counting from its bond coming from the left, as given in Eq. (1). We take constant magnitude,  $|\vec{H}_i| = H$ , but random directions in  $4\pi$  steradians. (This condition is of course not conserved under renormalization group.) Note that the dimensionless *J* and *H* include a division by temperature, namely, the

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Construction of a  $d = 3$  hierarchical model used in this study, from Ref. [\[24\]](#page-4-0). A hierarchical model is constructed by repeatedly self-imbedding a graph into each of its bonds. The random-magnetic-field Heisenberg model is exactly solved in 10 hierarchical models in this study, for  $d = 2, 2.58, 3$  with  $b = 2$ , and *d* = 2, 2.26, 2.46, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3 with *b* = 3, where *b* is the length rescaling factor, namely, the number of bonds between the external (open circle) sites. The exact solution of a hierarchical model proceeds in the opposite direction of its construction [\[17,25–27\]](#page-4-0). We have shown here the hierarchical lattice construction for  $b = 3$ . A similar construction is used for  $b = 2$ .

factor  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ . We solve this model on the hierarchical lattice, as shown in Fig. 1. The formulation of exactly soluble hierarchical models [\[17,25–27\]](#page-4-0) yielded a plethora of exactly soluble models, custom fit to the physical problems on hand [\[28–39\]](#page-4-0). The hierarchical model that we use, for length-rescaling factors  $b = 2, 3$ , is the original  $d = 2, b = 2$ hierarchical model, introduced in Fig.  $2(c)$  of  $[25]$  in 1979 and is a member of the most used family of hierarchical models, namely, the so-called "diamond" family. We solve the random-field Heisenberg problem in this model, for dimensions *d* = 2, 2.26, 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3.

The solution of a hierarchical model proceeds in the opposite direction of its construction. At each scale change, namely, renormalization-group step, the spins on the internal



FIG. 2. The calculated phase diagrams of the random-field Heisenberg model, for  $d = 2.58$  and  $d = 3$  (outer curve). The exact solutions of a  $b = 2$  hierarchical lattice yield these results. The calculation shows that no ordering occurs in  $d = 2$ . Since there are, respectively,  $b^{d-1} = 2$  and 4 strands for  $d = 2$  and 3, only one noninteger dimension, with three strands, can be fit between these dimensions.

sites (shown with black circles in Fig. 1) are eliminated by integrating, in the partition function, over their directions continuously varying over the unit sphere with angle  $4\pi$ steradians, thus generating renormalized direct interaction between the spins on the outer sites (shown with open circles in Fig. 1). This procedure involves decimation, namely, the integration over the intermediate spin in two consecutive bonds in series, and the bond addition of two bonds connected in parallel to the same two sites. The derivations for each of these two operations are given in Refs. [\[11,14\]](#page-4-0).

The exponentiated nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian between sites (*i*, *j*) is expanded in terms of the Fourier-Legendre series,

$$
u_{ij}(\gamma) = e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_{ij}(\vec{s}_i, \vec{s}_j)} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda_l^{(ij)} P_l(\cos \gamma), \qquad (2)
$$

where  $P_l(\cos \gamma)$  are the Legendre polynomials and  $\gamma$  is the angle between the unit vectors  $(\vec{s}_i, \vec{s}_j)$ . The expansion coefficients λ*<sup>l</sup>* are determined with

$$
\lambda_l^{(ij)} = \frac{2l+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 u_{ij}(\gamma) P_l(\cos \gamma) d(\cos \gamma).
$$
 (3)

For decimation,

$$
\tilde{u}_{13}(\gamma_{13}) = \int u_{12}(\gamma_{12}) u_{23}(\gamma_{23}) \frac{d\vec{s}_2}{4\pi}, \tag{4}
$$

a simple equation has been derived  $[11,14]$ ,

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_l^{(13)} = \frac{\lambda_l^{(12)} \lambda_l^{(23)}}{2l+1},\tag{5}
$$

where the tilda denotes decimated. This procedure is repeated until the length-rescaling factor *b* is obtained, namely, until the *b* bonds in series are replaced by one decimated bond. For adding two bonds *A* and *B* between sites (*i*, *j*),

$$
\tilde{u}'_{ij}(\gamma_{12}) = \tilde{u}^A_{ij}(\gamma_{12})\tilde{u}^B_{ij}(\gamma_{12}),
$$
\n(6)



FIG. 3. Six calculated phase diagrams of the random-field Heisenberg model, for *d* = 2.26, 2.46, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3 (inner to outer curves). The exact solutions of a  $b = 3$  hierarchical lattice yield these results. The calculation shows that no ordering occurs in  $d = 2$ . Starting at  $d = 2.46$ , temperature reentrance occurs and is magnified as  $d = 3$  is approached.



FIG. 4. The fixed distribution, unstable under renormalization group, controlling the phase boundary between the ferromagnetic and disordered phases in  $d = 3$  for  $b = 2$ . The unstable critical fixed distributions of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  are shown here. As explained in the text, at this fixed point,  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . The fixed distributions of the 21 other Fourier-Legendre coefficients  $\lambda_{4-24}$  entering our calculations are not shown here. The histograms in each panel of this figure reflect 500 points.

where the prime denotes added, a Fourier-Legendre equation has also been derived  $[11,14]$ ,

$$
\tilde{\lambda}'_l = = \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_2=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\lambda}_{l_1}^A \tilde{\lambda}_{l_2}^B \langle l_1 l_2 0 0 | l_1 l_2 l 0 \rangle^2, \tag{7}
$$

where the bracket notation is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient with the restrictions  $l_1 + l_2 + l = 2s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $|l_1 - l_2| \leq l \leq$  $|l_1 + l_2|$ . This procedure is repeated until the *b<sup>d−1</sup>* bonds in parallel are combined, yielding the renormalized interaction  $u'_{ij}$  between the outer spins (open circles) in the graph. Thus, the renormalization-group flows are in terms of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients  $\lambda'_l(\{\lambda_l\})$ . With no approximation, after every decimation and after setting up the initial conditions, the coefficients  $\{\lambda_l\}$  are divided by the largest  $\lambda_l$ . This is equivalent to subtracting a constant term  $\ln \lambda_{\text{max}}$  from the Hamiltonian and, without changing the physics, prevents numerical overflow problems in flows inside the ordered phase. We have kept up to  $l = 24$  in our numerical calculations of the trajectories.

The renormalization-group trajectories are effected by repeated applications of the above transformation. The initial points of these trajectories are obtained numerically effecting Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0), obtaining  $u_{ij}$  for 500 different random fields. At every step of the renormalization-group transformation, by randomly grouping  $b^d$  unrenormalized  $u_{ij}$ , we generate one renormalized  $u'_{ij}$ , 500 times. Thus, since each  $u_{ij}$  is defined by 25 Fourier-Legendre coefficients, our renormalization-group flows are in the (large) space of 12 500 coefficients.

# **III. RENORMALIZATION-GROUP FLOWS OF THE FOURIER-LEGENDRE COEFFICIENTS AND PHASE TRANSITIONS**

Under repeated applications of the renormalization-group transformation of Sec.  $\mathbf{II}$ , the Fourier-Legendre coefficients (FLCs) flow to a stable fixed point, which is the sink of a thermodynamic phase. The sink of the disordered phase has  $\lambda_0 = 1$  and all other FLCs equal to zero,  $\lambda_{l>0} = 0$ , meaning a constant  $u$  that is not dependent on  $\gamma$ , namely, a noninteracting system at the sink. This sink attracts all points of the disordered phase, which it epitomizes. In  $d = 2$  for  $H > 0$ , the disordered sink is the only sink and therefore the disordered phase is the only thermodynamic phase of the random-field system.

For  $d > 2$ , another sink also occurs with the FLC nonzero and proportional to  $2l + 1$ , making  $u(y)$  a delta function at zero angular separation of the spins, as also seen in Refs. [\[11,14\]](#page-4-0). This is the sink of the low-temperature ferromagnetic phase. The disordered sink continues, as the sink of the high-temperature disordered phase. The boundary of critical points (Figs. [2](#page-1-0) and [3\)](#page-1-0) between these two phases is controlled by an unstable fixed distribution, shown in Fig. 4. The unstable critical fixed distributions of the Fourier-Legendre coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  are shown in Fig. 4. At this fixed point,  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . The fixed distributions of the 21 other Fourier-Legendre coefficients entering our calculations are not shown here.

### **IV. PHASE DIAGRAMS AND PHASE REENTRANCE**

The calculated phase diagrams, for eight different spatial dimensions *d* = 2, 2.26, 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3, are shown in Figs. [2](#page-1-0) and [3.](#page-1-0) The noninteger dimensions are constructed by varying, in the hierarchical model (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0), the number of parallel strands, which is equal to  $b^{d-1}$ . There is no ordered phase, under random fields, for  $d = 2$ . The ferromagnetic phase persists, up to a temperature-dependent random-field strength, for all other studied dimensions. The intercepts of the phase boundaries, for zero field and zero temperature, are given in Figs. [5](#page-3-0) and [6.](#page-3-0)

Thus, for the random-field Heisenberg model ( $n = 3$  spin components), the lower-critical dimension  $d_c$  is  $2 < d_c < 2.26$ . On the other hand, for the random-field *XY* model ( $n = 2$ ) spin components), the lower-critical dimension  $[12]$  is 3.81  $\lt$  $d_c$  < 4. For the random-field Ising model ( $n = 1$  spin component), the lower-critical dimension  $[5,6]$  is  $d_c = 2$ . This shows an unexplained nonmonotonicity as a function of spin components *n*.

The ferromagnetic-disordered phase boundary shows temperature reentrance in *d* = 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3, namely, as temperature is lowered at constant random field *J*/*H* (with temperature divided out), the system goes, as usual, from the disordered phase to the ordered ferromagnetic phase. However, as the temperature is further lowered, the system goes from the ordered ferromagnetic phase back to the disordered phase. Reentrance is the reversal of a thermodynamic

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 5. The calculated zero-field critical temperatures with respect to spatial dimension *d*, for the  $b = 2$  (squares) and  $b = 3$ (circles) hierarchical models. The asterisk on the upper left is the critical temperature on the cubic lattice [\[40\]](#page-4-0).

trend as the system proceeds along one given thermodynamic direction. Since its observation in liquid crystals by Cladis [\[41\]](#page-5-0), this at-first-glance strange phenomenon has attracted attention due to the need for a physical mechanistic explanation, which has been disparate in disparate systems. Thus, in liquid crystals, the explanation has been the relief of close-packed dipolar frustration by positional fluctuations (librations) [\[42,43\]](#page-5-0); in closed-loop binary liquid mixtures, the explanation has been the asymmetric orientational degrees of freedom of the components [\[44\]](#page-5-0); and in surface adsorption, the explanation has been the buffer effect of the second layer [\[45\]](#page-5-0). In the random-field transverse Ising model, reentrance is due to the competition between transverse and random fields [\[46\]](#page-5-0). In spin glasses, a magnetic system with quenched randomness, such as the random-field system studied here, and where there is orthogonally bidirectional reentrance, the effect of frustration in both disordering and changing the nature of ordering (to spin-glass order) is the cause [\[47\]](#page-5-0). In frustrated spins with external fields, reentrance is due to soft modes [\[48\]](#page-5-0). In cosmology, reentrance is due to high-curvature (black hole) gravity [\[49,50\]](#page-5-0). In Potts and clock model interfacial densities, in lowering the temperature, when the system orders in favor of state *a*, the preponderance of the latter also increases its interface with the other states. However, as this preponderance further increases and in fact takes over the system, the other states are eliminated and their interface with *a* thus is also eliminated [\[24\]](#page-4-0). In the current random-field Heisenberg model, we interpret phenomenologically that at intermediate temperatures, the spins under random fields heal with the overall ferromagnetic order direction, but at lower temperatures break the system into domains dictated by local random fields, destroying long-range order.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

We have solved, on 10 different hierarchical lattices and eight different spatial dimensions  $d = 2, 2.26, 2.46, 2.58$ ,

[1] D. P. Belanger, A. R. King, and V. Jaccarino, Random-field effects on critical behavior of diluted Ising antiferromagnets, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1050) **48**, 1050 (1982).



FIG. 6. The calculated zero-temperature critical fields with respect to spatial dimension *d*, for the  $b = 2$  (squares) and  $b = 3$ (circles) hierarchical models.

2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3, the random-field Heisenberg model, using the recently developed Fourier-Legendre renormalization group, following the global renormalization-group trajectory of 12 500 Fourier-Legendre coefficients. For  $d =$ 2.26, 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3, the ordered ferromagnetic phase persists up to a temperature-dependent threshold field strength. This is shown in the calculated phase diagrams (Figs. [2](#page-1-0) and [3\)](#page-1-0). For *d* = 2.46, 2.58, 2.63, 2.77, 2.89, 3, the phase diagrams show reentrance, in the sense that the disordered-ordered-disordered phases are encountered as temperature is lowered. This calculated result has a phenomenological explanation.

Our results are exact for the model in hierarchical lattices and approximate for "physical lattices" such as the square or cubic lattice. However, we have noted that these renormalization-group studies have indeed yielded  $d_c = 1$ for the  $n = 1$  component Ising model [\[8,9\]](#page-4-0),  $d_c = 2$  for the  $n = 2$  *XY* model [\[10\]](#page-4-0) (this study also yielding the lowtemperature critical phase at  $d = 2$ ), and  $2 < d_c < 3$  for the  $n = 3$  Heisenberg model [\[11\]](#page-4-0). Including the complexity of quenched randomness, these studies have yielded  $d_c = 2$ for the random-field Ising model  $[5,6]$ ,  $3.81 < d_c < 4$  for the random-field *XY* model [\[12\]](#page-4-0),  $d_c = 2.46$  for the Ising spin glass [\[13\]](#page-4-0), and  $2 < d_c < 3$  for the Heisenberg spin glass [\[14\]](#page-4-0). These renormalization-group calculations have also shown chaos inherent in spin-glass phases  $[15-17]$ , the finite-temperature phase diagram of high- $T_c$  superconductors [\[18\]](#page-4-0), the changeover from first-order to second-order phase transitions under random bonds [\[19,20\]](#page-4-0), and the occurrence of first- and second-order phase transitions as a function of number of states, *q*, in Potts models [\[21–23\]](#page-4-0).

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

Support by the Academy of Sciences of Turkey (TÜBA) is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to Egemen Tunca for very useful discussions.

<sup>[2]</sup> P.-Z. Wong and J. W. Cable, Hysteretic behavior of the diluted random-field Ising system  $Fe<sub>0.70</sub>Mg<sub>0.30</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , Phys. Rev. B 28, 5361 (1983).

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[3] A. N. Berker, Ordering under random fields: Renormalizationgroup arguments, Phys. Rev. B **29**[, 5243 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.29.5243)
- [4] H. Yoshizawa, R. A. Cowley, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, H. J. Guggenheim, and H. Ikeda, Random-field effects in two- and [three-dimensional Ising antiferromagnets,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.438) Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 438 (1982).
- [5] M. S. Cao and J. Machta, Migdal-Kadanoff study of the random-field Ising model, Phys. Rev. B **48**[, 3177 \(1993\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.3177)
- [6] A. Falicov, A. N. Berker, and S. R. McKay, Renormalizationgroup theory of the random-field Ising model in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. B **51**[, 8266 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.8266)
- [7] A. Aharony, Y. Imry, and S.-K. Ma, Lowering of dimensionality [in phase transitions with random fields,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.37.1364) Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 1364 (1976).
- [8] A. A. Migdal, Phase transitions in gauge and spin lattice systems, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **69**, 1457 (1975) [Sov. Phys. JETP **42**, 743 (1976)].
- [9] L. P. Kadanoff, Notes on Migdal's recursion formulas, Ann. Phys. **100**[, 359 \(1976\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(76)90066-X)
- [10] J. V. José, L. P. Kadanoff, S. Kirkpatrick, and D. R. Nelson, Renormalization, vortices, and symmetry-breaking perturba[tions in two-dimensional planar model,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.16.1217) Phys. Rev. B **16**, 1217 (1977).
- [11] E. Tunca and A. N. Berker, Renormalization-group theory of [the Heisenberg model in d dimensions,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2022.128300) Physica A **608**, 128300  $(2022)$ .
- [12] K. Akın and A. N. Berker, Lower-critical dimension of the random-field *XY* model and the zero-temperature critical line, Phys. Rev. E **106**[, 014151 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.106.014151)
- [13] B. Atalay and A. N. Berker, A lower lower-critical spinglass dimension from quenched mixed-spatial-dimensional spin glasses, Phys. Rev. E **98**[, 042125 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.042125)
- [14] E. Tunca and A. N. Berker, Nematic ordering in the Heisen[berg spin-glass system in](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.107.014116)  $d = 3$  dimensions, Phys. Rev. E 107, 014116 (2023).
- [15] S. R. McKay, A. N. Berker, and S. Kirkpatrick, Spin-glass behavior in frustrated Ising models with chaotic renormalizationgroup trajectories, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.767) **48**, 767 (1982).
- [16] S. R. McKay, A. N. Berker, and S. Kirkpatrick, Amorphously packed, frustrated hierarchical models: Chaotic [rescaling and spin-glass behavior,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.330246) J. Appl. Phys. **53**, 7974 (1982).
- [17] A. N. Berker and S. R. McKay, Hierarchical models and chaotic spin glasses, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01012938) **36**, 787 (1984).
- [18] M. Hinczewski and A. N. Berker, Finite-temperature phase diagram of nonmagnetic impurities in high-temperature superconductors using a  $d = 3$  tJ model with quenched disorder, Phys. Rev. B **78**[, 064507 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.064507)
- [19] K. Hui and A. N. Berker, Random-field mechanism in [random-bond multicritical systems,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.2507) Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2507 (1989).
- [20] T. Nakazato, M. Oyamada, N. Niimura, S. Urasawa, O. Konno, A. Kagaya, R. Kato, T. Kamiyama, Y. Torizuka, T. Nanba, Y. Kondo, Y. Shibata, K. Ishi, T. Ohsaka, and M. Ikezawa, [Observation of coherent synchrotron radiation,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.63.2433.3) Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2433(E) (1989).
- [21] B. Nienhuis, A. N. Berker, E. K. Riedel, and M. Schick, First- and second-order phase transitions in Potts models: [Renormalization-group solution,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.43.737) Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 737 (1979).
- [22] D. Andelman and A. N. Berker, q-state Potts models in *d* di[mensions: Migdal-Kadanoff approximation,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/14/4/005) J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **14**, L91 (1981).
- [23] H. Y. Devre and A. N. Berker, First-order to second-order phase transition changeover and latent heats of q-state Potts models in  $d = 2$ , 3 from a simple Migdal-Kadanoff adaptation, *Phys. Rev.* E **105**, 054124 (2022).
- [24] E. C. Artun and A. N. Berker, Complete density calculations of q-state Potts and clock models: Reentrance of interface den[sities under symmetry breaking,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.102.062135) Phys. Rev. E **102**, 062135 (2020).
- [25] A. N. Berker and S. Ostlund, Renormalization-group calculations of finite systems: Order parameter and specific heat for epitaxial ordering, J. Phys. C **12**[, 4961 \(1979\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/12/22/035)
- [26] R. B. Griffiths and M. Kaufman, Spin systems on hierarchical [lattices: Introduction and thermodynamic limit,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5022) Phys. Rev. B **26**, 5022 (1982).
- [27] M. Kaufman and R. B. Griffiths, Spin systems on hierarchical [lattices: 2. Some examples of soluble models,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.30.244) Phys. Rev. B **30**, 244 (1984).
- [28] J. Clark and C. Lochridge, Weak-disorder limit for directed polymers on critical hierarchical graphs with vertex disorder, [Stochast. Proc. Applic.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2022.12.014) **158**, 75 (2023).
- [29] M. Kotorowicz and Y. Kozitsky, Phase transitions in the Ising model on a hierarchical random graph based on the triangle, J. Phys. A **55**[, 405002 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ac9097)
- [30] P. P. Zhang, Z. Y. Gao, Y. L. Xu, C. Y. Wang, and X. M. Kong, Phase diagrams, quantum correlations and critical phenomena of antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on diamond-type hierarchical lattices, [Quantum Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ac57f4) **7**, 025024 (2022).
- [31] K. Jiang, J. Qiao, and Y. Lan, Chaotic renormalization flow in [the Potts model induced by long-range competition,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.103.062117) Phys. Rev. E **103**, 062117 (2021).
- [32] G. Mograby, M. Derevyagin, G. V. Dunne, and A. Teplyaev, Spectra of perfect state transfer Hamiltonians on fractal-like graphs, J. Phys. A **54**[, 125301 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/abc4b9)
- [33] I. Chio and R. K. W. Roeder, Chromatic zeros on hierarchical lattices and equidistribution on parameter space, [Annal. l'Institut Henri Poincaré D](https://doi.org/10.4171/AIHPD/109) **8**, 491 (2021).
- [34] B. Steinhurst and A. Teplyaev, Spectral analysis on Barlow [and Evans' projective limit fractals,](https://doi.org/10.4171/jst/337) J. Spectrosc. Theor. **11**, 91 (2021).
- [35] A. V. Myshlyavtsev, M. D. Myshlyavtseva, and S. S. Akimenko, Classical lattice models with single-node interactions on hier[archical lattices: The two-layer Ising model,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2020.124919) Physica A **558**, 124919 (2020).
- [36] M. Derevyagin, G. V. Dunne, G. Mograby, and A. Teplyaev, Perfect quantum state transfer on diamond fractal graphs, [Quantum Inf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-020-02828-w) **19**, 328 (2020).
- [37] S.-C. Chang, R. K. W. Roeder, and R. Shrock, q-plane zeros of the Potts partition function on diamond hierarchical graphs, J. Math. Phys. **61**[, 073301 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5127667)
- [38] C. Monthus, Real-space renormalization for disordered systems at the level of large deviations, [J. Stat. Mech. \(2020\) 013301.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/ab5d09)
- [39] O. S. Sarıyer, Two-dimensional quantum-spin-1/2 *XXZ* magnet in zero magnetic field: Global thermodynamics from renormalization group theory, Philos. Mag. **99**[, 1787 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786435.2019.1605212)
- [40] P. Peczak, A. M. Ferrenberg, and D. P. Landau, High-accuracy Monte Carlo study of the three-dimensional classical Heisenberg ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. B **43**[, 6087 \(1991\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.6087)
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[41] [P. E. Cladis, New liquid-crystal phase diagram,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.48) Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 48 (1975).
- [42] R. R. Netz and A. N. Berker, Smectic C order, in-plane domains, and nematic reentrance in a microscopic model of liquid crystals, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.68.333) **68**, 333 (1992).
- [43] J. O. Indekeu, A. N. Berker, C. Chiang, and C. W. Garland, Reentrant transition enthalpies of liquid crystals: The frustrated spin-gas model and experiments, Phys. Rev. A **35**[, 1371 \(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.35.1371)
- [44] C. A. Vause and J. S. Walker, Effects of orientational degrees of [freedom in closed-loop solubility phase diagrams,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(82)90799-X) Phys. Lett. A **90**, 419 (1982).
- [45] R. G. Caflisch, A. N. Berker, and M. Kardar, Reentrant melting of krypton adsorbed on graphite and the helical Potts-lattice-gas model, Phys. Rev. B **31**[, 4527 \(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.4527)
- [46] E. F. Sarmento and T. Kaneyoshi, Phase transition of trans[verse Ising model in a random field,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.9555) Phys. Rev. B **39**, 9555 (1989).
- [47] E. Ilker and A. N. Berker, High q-state clock spin glasses in three dimensions and the Lyapunov exponents of chaotic [phases and chaotic phase boundaries,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.032124) Phys. Rev. E **87**, 032124 (2013).
- [48] D. R. Yahne, D. Pereira, L. D. C. Jaubert, L. D. Sanjeewa, M. Powell, J. W. Kolis, Guangyong Xu, M. Enjalran, M. J. P. Gingras, and K. A. Ross, Understanding reentrance in frustrated magnets: The case of the  $Er_2Sn_2O_7$  pyrochlore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 277206 (2021).
- [49] A. M. Frassino, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and F. Simovic, Multiple reentrant phase transitions and triple points [in Lovelock thermodynamics,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2014)080) J. High Energy Phys. **09** (2014) 080.
- [50] A. Dehghani, S. H. Hendi, and R. B. Mann, Range of novel black hole phase transitions via massive gravity: Triple points and *N*[-fold reentrant phase transitions,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.084026) Phys. Rev. D **101**, 084026 (2020).