Unstable dimension variability and heterodimensional cycles in the border-collision normal form

P. A. Glendinnin[g](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1544-9161)[®]

Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

D. J. W. Simpso[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0284-6283)[®]

School of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, Massey University, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand

(Received 10 November 2022; accepted 11 July 2023; published 3 August 2023)

Chaotic attractors commonly contain periodic solutions with unstable manifolds of different dimensions. This allows for a zoo of dynamical phenomena not possible for hyperbolic attractors. The purpose of this Letter is to emphasize the existence of these phenomena in the border-collision normal form. This is a continuous, piecewise-linear family of maps that is physically relevant as it captures the dynamics created in border-collision bifurcations in diverse applications. Since the maps are piecewise linear, they are relatively amenable to an exact analysis. We explicitly identify parameter values for heterodimensional cycles and argue that the existence of heterodimensional cycles between two given saddles can be dense in parameter space. We numerically identify key bifurcations associated with unstable dimension variability by studying a one-parameter subfamily that transitions continuously from where periodic solutions are all saddles to where they are all repellers. This is facilitated by fast and accurate computations of periodic solutions; indeed the piecewise-linear form should provide a useful testbed for further study.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.108.L022202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.108.L022202)

I. DIFFERING DIMENSIONS OF INSTABILITY

Chaotic attractors of one-dimensional noninvertible maps and two-dimensional invertible maps have one unstable direction locally. For higher-dimensional maps, the dimensions of the unstable manifolds of periodic orbits within a chaotic attractor can differ, and this can occur also for ordinary differential equations (ODEs). This phenomenon is known as *unstable dimension variability* (UDV). It generates nonhyperbolic dynamics [\[1\]](#page-4-0) and is expected to be common for chaotic attractors in mathematical models with sufficiently many variables [\[2–4\]](#page-4-0). UDV implies the existence of orbits that spend arbitrarily long times close to an unstable manifold of one dimension, and arbitrarily long times close to an unstable manifold of another dimension [\[5\]](#page-4-0). It follows that finite-time Lyapunov exponents fluctuate about zero as the system evolves [\[6,7\]](#page-4-0). It further follows that numerical solutions may differ wildly from actual orbits. This lack of "shadowing" is problematic for the applicability of mathematical models [\[8,9\]](#page-4-0).

One mechanism that implies UDV is the existence of a *heterodimensional cycle*—a heteroclinic connection between saddle objects with unstable manifolds of different dimensions. If an attractor contains a heterodimensional cycle, then it has UDV [\[10\]](#page-4-0). A given heterodimensional cycle is at least codimension-one, and recent advances in numerical methods have led to the identification of heterodimensional cycles in an ODE model of intracellular calcium dynamics [\[11,12\]](#page-4-0).

Constructions of robust nonhyperbolic chaotic invariant sets in diffeomorphisms often use the idea of a *blender* [\[1,13\]](#page-4-0). These are transitive hyperbolic sets whose stable manifold acts geometrically (in terms of its intersections with other manifolds) as an object with dimension greater than the stable index of the hyperbolic set, and for which this property

persists for all sufficiently close diffeomorphisms [\[10,14\]](#page-4-0). Blenders can be used to construct heterodimensional cycles by providing robust intersections between stable and unstable manifolds [\[15,16\]](#page-4-0), and have recently been identified numerically [\[17,18\]](#page-4-0).

This Letter treats maps that are neither invertible nor *C*¹ so the formal definition of a blender, including its persistence property, does not directly apply. Previous works have con-sidered specific piecewise-linear maps [\[19\]](#page-4-0); in this Letter, we demonstrate the prevalence of differing dimensions of instability in a normal form. For invertible maps, the above notions require three dimensions $[20-22]$; for noninvertible maps (Secs. [III](#page-1-0) and [IV\)](#page-2-0), two dimensions are sufficient [\[23\]](#page-4-0).

II. THE BORDER-COLLISION NORMAL FORM

Border-collision bifurcations (BCBs) occur when a fixed point of a piecewise-smooth map collides with a boundary (switching manifold) where the functional form of the map changes. They have been identified as the onset of chaos and other dynamics in applications including power electronics [\[24\]](#page-4-0), mechanical systems with stick-slip friction [\[25,26\]](#page-5-0), and economics [\[27\]](#page-5-0). Near a BCB, the dynamics are well approximated by a piecewise-linear map $[28]$. The border-collision normal form (BCNF) then results from a change of coordinates [\[29,30\]](#page-5-0). In two dimensions, this form is

$$
x \mapsto \begin{cases} A_L x + b, & x_1 \leq 0 \\ A_R x + b, & x_1 \geq 0, \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

where

$$
A_L = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_L & 1 \\ -\delta_L & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_R = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_R & 1 \\ -\delta_R & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)
$$

FIG. 1. A phase portrait of an invertible instance of the two-dimensional BCNF [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-0); specifically, $(\tau_L, \delta_L, \tau_R, \delta_R)$ = $(1.7, 0.3, -1.7, 0.3)$. In black, we show 8000 consecutive iterates of a typical forward orbit with transients removed (this represents the attractor of the map). The green circles are fixed points; the blue lines show the stable manifold (grown outwards numerically by some amount) of the rightmost fixed point.

and $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Here, $\tau_L, \delta_L, \tau_R, \delta_R \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters; the BCB parameter, usually denoted μ , has been scaled to 1.

The dynamics and bifurcation structure of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-0) are incredibly rich [\[31–33\]](#page-5-0). They exhibit robust chaos [\[34\]](#page-5-0) in the sense that chaotic attractors exist throughout open regions of (four-dimensional) parameter space, even with $\delta_L \delta_R > 0$ where the map is invertible. Figure 1 shows a phase portrait of such an attractor. The attractor contains a saddle fixed point whose stable manifold is dense in an open region of phase space. This denseness property holds throughout an open region of parameter space, proved in [\[35\]](#page-5-0) via a series of geometric arguments by bounding the rate at which the line segments expand. A similar result was obtained earlier by Misiurewicz [\[36\]](#page-5-0) for the Lozi family (the special case $\tau_L = -\tau_R$ and $\delta_L = \delta_R$).

We believe the chaotic attractor and denseness of the stable manifold is robust to $C¹$ perturbations to the pieces of [\(1\)](#page-0-0). This is because piecewise-smooth maps lack smooth turning points where derivatives vanish, and indeed we proved a result of this type in [\[37\]](#page-5-0). Also, stable periodic solutions are typically absent near structurally unstable homoclinic connections [\[38\]](#page-5-0).

III. TRANSITION THROUGH UNSTABLE DIMENSION VARIABILITY

The parameter space of the two-dimensional BCNF has regions where the map has a chaotic attractor in which (the dense set of) periodic solutions are all saddles, and other regions where the map has a chaotic attractor in which periodic solutions are all repellers. In this section, we interpolate between two such regions and provide numerical evidence for robust UDV.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be a period-*n* point of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-0) and suppose its forward orbit does not intersect the switching manifold (as is generically the case). Each point in the orbit has a neighborhood in which the map is differentiable (in fact, affine). Thus it has two stability multipliers, and if neither of these has modulus 1, the orbit is hyperbolic. In this case, let

 $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ denote the number of stability multipliers with modulus greater than 1 (*k* is the unstable index). Then, *x* is asymptotically stable if $k = 0$, a saddle if $k = 1$, and a repeller if $k = 2$.

We now explore a one-parameter family of examples. In (2) , we use

$$
\tau_L = (1 - a)\tau_{L,0} + a\tau_{L,1},
$$

\n
$$
\delta_L = (1 - a)\delta_{L,0} + a\delta_{L,1},
$$

\n
$$
\tau_R = (1 - a)\tau_{R,0} + a\tau_{R,1},
$$

\n
$$
\delta_R = (1 - a)\delta_{R,0} + a\delta_{R,1},
$$
\n(3)

with $0 \leq a \leq 1$, and

$$
\tau_{L,0} = 0.8, \quad \tau_{L,1} = 0.8,
$$

\n
$$
\delta_{L,0} = -0.8, \quad \delta_{L,1} = -1.2,
$$

\n
$$
\tau_{R,0} = -2.8, \quad \tau_{R,1} = -1,
$$

\n
$$
\delta_{R,0} = 0.8, \quad \delta_{R,1} = 2.4.
$$
\n(4)

This one-parameter family has been chosen for three reasons. First, with $a = 0$, all periodic solutions are saddles; see Fig. [2\(a\).](#page-2-0) This is because with $|\delta_L|, |\delta_R| < 1$, both pieces of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) are area contracting so repellers are not possible, while stable periodic solutions are not possible because an invariant expanding cone can be constructed in tangent space [\[39\]](#page-5-0). Second, with $a = 1$, all periodic solutions, except the leftmost fixed point, appear to be repellers; see Fig. $2(c)$. This has been proved for nearby parameter combinations where there exists a simple Markov partition [\[40,41\]](#page-5-0). Third, the map appears to have a unique attractor for all $0 \le a \le 1$. The two Lyapunov exponents of the attractor are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-2-0) These were computed numerically using the standard QR-factorization method [\[42,43\]](#page-5-0).

For any $0 \le a \le 1$, let $\mathcal{N}(k, n; a)$ denote the number of period-*n* points that have *k* stability multipliers with modulus greater than 1. The sum of these numbers up to $n = 25$ is plotted in Fig. $4(a)$. This figure was computed by brute force. We used Duval's algorithm $[44, 45]$ to generate all sequences of *L*'s and *R*'s of length $n \le 25$. Interpreting these as applications of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) on the left or on the right, respectively, the (generically) unique point that has period *n* in the order specified by each sequence was identified for each value of *a* [\[28\]](#page-5-0). We then checked, by iterating the map, whether the order of the images of the point matched the order of the specified sequence (an *admissibility* condition). For those admissible sequences, the stability multipliers were evaluated to determine whether the periodic solution is a saddle or a repeller.

For an intermediate range of values of *a*, the attractor contains both saddles and repellers, and thus exhibits UDV. The point of crossover, where saddles and repellers exist in the same proportion, is close to $a = 0.5$ and matches well to where the lower Lyapunov exponent becomes positive. Figure $2(b)$ shows a phase portrait with $a = 0.5$; Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-2-0) shows that here the number of saddles and repellers appears to increase exponentially with *n*. This suggests that saddles and repellers are both dense in the attractor.

Saddles and repellers can arise in different ways. As the value of *a* is decreased from 1, saddles are created in a BCB

FIG. 2. Phase portraits of noninvertible instances of the two-dimensional BCNF [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-0). The parameter values are given by [\(3\)](#page-1-0) with [\(4\)](#page-1-0) and three different values of *a*. The black dots show iterates of a typical forward orbit with transients removed. Periodic points (up to period 10) are shown with triangles, except fixed points are shown with circles. Saddles are green; repellers are red. The stable (blue) and unstable (red) manifolds of the leftmost fixed point are also shown (grown outwards by a small amount).

of two saddle period-five solutions at $a \approx 0.9592$. Here, infinitely many saddle periodic points are created because the BCB also creates robust heteroclinic connections between the period-five solutions. This appears to be where saddles are first created and explains the large discontinuity in the number of saddle points in Fig. 4(a). In contrast, as the value of *a* is increased from 0, repellers are created and destroyed in many bifurcations. For example, a saddle period-nine solution (with only one point in $x_1 < 0$) becomes repelling at $a \approx 0.3278$ when one of its stability multipliers decreases through -1 , then is destroyed in a BCB at $a \approx 0.3321$.

Since the maps are noninvertible, repelling sets may have preimages, i.e., they may have zero-dimensional stable manifolds leading to phenomena such as snap-back repellers [\[46\]](#page-5-0). Such a stable manifold may intersect an unstable manifold of a saddle, resulting in a heterodimensional cycle, assuming their other invariant manifolds intersect, as can be expected. We conjecture that the BCB at $a \approx 0.9592$ is the boundary for the existence of heterodimensional cycles in our example. This is because the unstable manifold of the saddle chaotic set associated with the period-five heteroclinic connection and the stable manifolds of the innumerable repellers should be sufficiently voluminous to intersect for a dense set of values of *a*. The analogous transition at lower values of *a* is less clear. It may be that "enough" repellers are needed before heterodimensional cycles can occur for dense set of values of *a*, or possibly over an interval.

FIG. 3. Numerically computed Lyapunov exponents of the attractor of (1) and (2) , with (3) and (4) .

IV. AN EXPLICIT HETERODIMENSIONAL CYCLE

We now provide a simple example of a heterodimensional cycle. Figure 5 shows a phase portrait of (1) with

$$
\tau_L \approx 0.8716, \ \delta_L = -1, \ \tau_R = -1.5, \ \delta_R = 2, \tag{5}
$$

where the exact value of τ_L will be clarified in a moment. With these values, the rightmost fixed point (red circle), call it x^R , is repelling. There also exists a saddle period-three solution (green triangles). The value of τ_L has been chosen so that the unstable manifold of the period-three solution intersects x^R . By using computer algebra to analytically find where a certain fourth preimage of x^R lies on the initial linear part of the unstable manifold, we found that τ_L is a root of

$$
108\tau_L^6 + 495\tau_L^5 + 258\tau_L^4 + 1184\tau_L^3 - 5800\tau_L^2 - 4907\tau_L + 7454.
$$
\n(6)

The (two-dimensional) unstable manifold of x^R appears to intersect the stable manifold of the period-three solution (as one would expect), and thus these orbits have a heteroclinic connection. This is a heterodimensional cycle because x^R and the period-three solution have unstable manifolds of different dimensions. This cycle is codimension-one because their

FIG. 4. Plots involving $\mathcal{N}(k, n; a)$: the number of period-*n* points (saddles for $k = 1$; repellers for $k = 2$) of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-0), with [\(3\)](#page-1-0) and [\(4\)](#page-1-0). Panel (b) includes lines of best fit.

FIG. 5. A phase portrait of a noninvertible instance of the twodimensional BCNF (1) and (2) , with (5) . The black dots show iterates of a typical forward orbit with transients removed. The blue line is the initial part of the stable manifold of the leftmost fixed point (green circle). The red lines show part of the unstable manifold of a periodthree solution (green triangles). The value of τ_L has been chosen so that this manifold intersects the rightmost fixed point (red circle).

dimensions differ by one; indeed, the cycle was obtained by carefully adjusting the value of one parameter (namely, τ*L*).

Figure 5 is the simplest example of a heterodimensional cycle that we have found for (1) and (2) , where the cycle is contained in an attractor. This suggests that as in Fig. $2(b)$, the attractor exhibits UDV.

V. UNSTABLE DIMENSION VARIABILITY IN INVERTIBLE MAPS

For an invertible map to have a heterodimensional cycle, the map needs to be at least three dimensional. This can also be demonstrated with the BCNF. In three dimensions, the BCNF is [\(1\)](#page-0-0) with

$$
A_L = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_L & 1 & 0 \\ -\sigma_L & 0 & 1 \\ \delta_L & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_R = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_R & 1 & 0 \\ -\sigma_R & 0 & 1 \\ \delta_R & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7}
$$

and $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and has been studied previously, for instance, in [\[47,48\]](#page-5-0).

Figure 6 shows a phase portrait using

$$
\tau_L = 0.7228540306
$$
, $\sigma_L = -1$, $\delta_L = -0.2$,
\n $\tau_R = -1.5$, $\sigma_R = 2$, $\delta_R = -0.2$. (8)

These values were obtained by adding a dimension to the example of Fig. 5, varying δ_L and δ_R from 0 to create fully three-dimensional dynamics, and, lastly, adjusting the value of τ_L (to 10 decimal places) so that the one-dimensional unstable manifold of the period-three solution approximately intersects the one-dimensional stable manifold of x^R . The pink square in Fig. 6 shows this approximate point of intersection. Since the invariant manifolds appear to be embedded in an attractor, the other invariant manifolds presumably intersect, forming a heterodimensional cycle. Hence this attractor too has UDV.

Numerically, we have grown the unstable manifold of the period-three solution outwards much further than that shown in Fig. 6. Figure [7](#page-4-0) shows the intersections of this manifold with the switching manifold $x_1 = 0$ and reveals a quasi-onedimensional structure. We have observed that this structure appears to persist as parameters are varied and for different cross sections. This suggests that the unstable manifold intersects a given one-dimensional stable manifold for a dense set of parameter values. That is, heterodimensional cycles between two given saddles can be expected to occur on dense subsets of parameter space.

FIG. 6. A phase portrait of an invertible instance of the three-dimensional BCNF, [\(1\)](#page-0-0) with (7) and (8). The black dots show iterates of a typical forward orbit with transients removed. The one-dimensional stable manifold of a fixed point (red circle) approximately intersects the one-dimensional unstable manifold of a period-three solution (green triangles). One point of intersection is indicated with a pink square.

FIG. 7. Intersections of the one-dimensional unstable manifold of the period-three solution of Fig. [6](#page-3-0) with $x_1 = 0$. This was computed by growing the manifold much further than that shown in Fig. [6.](#page-3-0)

VI. DISCUSSION

The existence of UDV due to blenders has been established numerically in three-dimensional generalizations of the Hénon map [2]. We have considered a related piecewiselinear family and by interpolating between parameters with only saddles and parameters with only repellers, we have provided strong numerical evidence for the existence of UDV in the two-dimensional noninvertible BCNF and the

- [1] C. Bonatti and L. J. Díaz, Persistent nonhyperbolic transitive diffeomorphisms, Ann. Math. **143**[, 357 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.2307/2118647)
- [2] Y. Saiki, M. A. F. Sanjuán, and J. A. Yorke, Low-dimensional [paradigms for high-dimensional hetero-chaos,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5045693) Chaos **28**, 103110 (2018).
- [3] R. L. Viana and C. Grebogi, Unstable dimension variability [and synchronization of chaotic systems,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.462) Phys. Rev. E **62**, 462 (2000).
- [4] S. Das and J. A. Yorke, Multichaos from quasiperiodicity, [SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1113199) **16**, 2196(2017).
- [5] E. J. Kostelich, I. Kan, C. Grebogi, E. Ott, and J. A. Yorke, Unstable dimension variability: A source of nonhyperbolicity in chaotic systems, Physica D **109**[, 81 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(97)00161-9)
- [6] S. Dawson, C. Grebogi, T. Sauer, and J. A. Yorke, Obstructions to Shadowing When a Lyapunov Exponent Fluctuates about zero, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1927) **73**, 1927 (1994).
- [7] R. L. Viana, J. R. R. Barbosa, and C. Grebogi, Unstable dimension variability and codimension-one bifurca[tions of two-dimensional maps,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2003.12.049) Phys. Lett. A **321**, 244 (2004).
- [8] Y.-C. Lai and C. Grebogi, Modeling of Coupled Chaotic Oscillators, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4803) **82**, 4803 (1999).
- [9] Y. Do and Y.-C. Lai, Statistics of shadowing time in nonhyper[bolic chaotic systems with unstable dimension variability,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.016213) Phys. Rev. E **69**, 016213 (2004).
- [10] C. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, and M. Viana, *Dynamics Beyond Uniform Hyperbolicity* (Springer, New York, 2005).
- [11] W. Zhang, B. Krauskopf, and V. Kirk, How to find a codimension-one heteroclinic cycle between two periodic orbits, [Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2012.32.2825) **32**, 2825 (2012).
- [12] A. Hammerlindl, B. Krauskopf, G. Mason, and H. M. Osinga, Determining the global manifold structure of a continuous-time heterodimensional cycle, [J. Comput. Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.3934/jcd.2022008) **9**, 393 (2022).

three-dimensional invertible BCNF. Since the BCNF describes BCBs in general piecewise-smooth systems, the existence of UDV in these examples shows that UDV has broader significance within the study of piecewise-smooth dynamical systems and their applications.

We have also identified a possible mechanism for the onset of UDV through the creation of saddle chaotic sets (as the parameter *a* in Fig. [4](#page-2-0) decreases) and snap-back repellers (as *a* increases). It is possible that bifurcation theory approaches [\[49,50\]](#page-5-0) are sufficient to prove persistent UDV without persistent heterodimensional cycles in these examples. On the other hand, the bifurcations may provide stable and unstable manifolds of the appropriate dimensions and complexity to create dense sets of parameter values with heterodimensional cycles. This is weaker than the robustness provided by a blender, but much simpler and possibly sufficient for persistent UDV.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the referees for insightful comments that have improved this work. The authors were supported by Marsden Fund Contract No. MAU1809, managed by the Royal Society Te Aparangi. ¯

- [13] Ch. Bonatti, S. Crovisier, L. J. Díaz, and A. Wilkinson, What is... a blender? [Notices AMS](https://www.ams.org/journals/notices/201610/201610FULLISSUE.pdf?cat=fullissue&trkfullissue201610) **63**, 1175 (2016).
- [14] A. Avila, S. Crovisier, and A. Wilkinson, $c¹$ density of stable ergodicity, Adv. Math. **379**[, 107496 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2020.107496)
- [15] C. Bonatti and L. J. Díaz, Robust heterodimensional cycles and *C*1-generic dynamics, [J. Inst. Math. Jussieu](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748008000030) **7**, 469 (2008).
- [16] C. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, and S. Kiriki, Stabilization of heterodimensional cycles, [Nonlinearity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/25/4/931) **25**, 931 (2012).
- [17] S. Hittmeyer, B. Krauskopf, H. M. Osinga, and K. Shinohara, Existence of blenders in a Hénon-like family: Geometric in[sights from invariant manifold computations,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aacd66) Nonlinearity **31**, R239 (2018).
- [18] S. Hittmeyer, B. Krauskopf, H. M. Osinga, and K. Shinohara, [How to identify a hyperbolic set as a blender,](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2020295) Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. **40**, 6815 (2020).
- [19] Y. Saiki, H. Takahasi, and J. A. Yorke, Piecewise-linear maps with heterogeneous chaos, Nonlinearity **34**[, 5744 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/ac0d45)
- [20] A. S. Gonchenko, S. V. Gonchenko, A. O. Kazakov, and A. D. Kozlov, Elements of contemporary theory of dynamical chaos: [A tutorial. Part I. Pseudohyperbolic attractors,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127418300367) Intl. J. Bifurcat. Chaos **28**, 1830036 (2018).
- [21] S. Gonchenko, A. Kazakov, and D. Turaev, Wild pseudohyperbolic attractor in a four-dimensional Lorenz system, Nonlinearity **34**[, 2018 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/abc794)
- [22] S. V. Gonchenko, I. I. Ovsyannikov, C. Simó, and D. Turaev, Three-dimensional Hénon-like maps and wild Lorenz-like attractors, [Intl. J. Bifurcat. Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127405014180) **15**, 3493 (2005).
- [23] P. Glendinning, Heterodimensional cycles and noninvertible blenders in piecewise smooth two dimensional maps, [arXiv:2304.05689.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2304.05689)
- [24] Z. T. Zhusubaliyev, O. O. Yanochkina, E. Mosekilde, and S. Banerjee, Two-mode dynamics in pulse-modulated control systems, [Annu. Rev. Control](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcontrol.2010.01.001) **34**, 62 (2010).
- [25] M. Di Bernardo, P. Kowalczyk, and A. Nordmark, Sliding bifurcations: A novel mechanism for the sudden onset of chaos in dry friction oscillators, [Intl. J. Bifurcat. Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1142/S021812740300834X) **13**, 2935 (2003).
- [26] R. Szalai and H. M. Osinga, Arnol'd tongues arising from a [grazing-sliding bifurcation,](https://doi.org/10.1137/09076235X) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **8**, 1434 (2009).
- [27] *Business Cycle Dynamics: Models and Tools*, edited by T. Puu and I. Sushko (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006).
- [28] [D. J. W. Simpson, Border-collision bifurcations in](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1006982) R*ⁿ*, SIAM Rev. **58**, 177 (2016).
- [29] H. E. Nusse and J. A. Yorke, Border-collision bifurcations including "period two to period three" for piecewise smooth systems, Physica D **57**[, 39 \(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(92)90087-4)
- [30] M. di Bernardo, Normal forms of border collisions in highdimensional nonsmooth maps, in *Proceedings of the 2003 International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, 2003, ISCAS '03, Bangkok, Thailand* (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2003).
- [31] S. Banerjee and C. Grebogi, Border collision bifurcations in [two-dimensional piecewise smooth maps,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.59.4052) Phys. Rev. E **59**, 4052 (1999).
- [32] D. J. W. Simpson and J. D. Meiss, Neimark-Sacker bifurcations [in planar, piecewise-smooth, continuous maps,](https://doi.org/10.1137/070704241) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **7**, 795 (2008).
- [33] P. A. Glendinning and D. J. W. Simpson, Chaos in the bordercollision normal form: A computer-assisted proof using induced [maps and invariant expanding cones,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2022.127357) Appl. Math. Comput. **434**, 127357 (2022).
- [34] [S. Banerjee, J. A. Yorke, and C. Grebogi, Robust Chaos,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.3049) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **80**, 3049 (1998).
- [35] I. Ghosh and D. J. W. Simpson, Robust Devaney chaos in [the two-dimensional border-collision normal form,](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0079807) Chaos **32**, 043120 (2022).
- [36] M. Misiurewicz, Strange attractors for the Lozi mappings, in *Nonlinear Dynamics, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, edited by R. G. Helleman (Wiley, New York, 1980), pp. 348–358.
- [37] D. J. W. Simpson and P. A. Glendinning, Inclusion of higherorder terms in the border-collision normal form: Persistence of chaos and applications to power converters, [arXiv:2111.12222.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2111.12222)
- [38] D. J. W. Simpson, Unfolding homoclinic connections formed [by corner intersections in piecewise-smooth maps,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954876) Chaos **26**, 073105 (2016).
- [39] P. A. Glendinning and D. J. W. Simpson, A constructive approach to robust chaos using invariant manifolds and expanding cones, [Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2020409) **41**, 3367 (2021).
- [40] P. Glendinning and C. H. Wong, Two dimensional attractors [in the border collision normal form,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/24/4/001) Nonlinearity **24**, 995 (2011).
- [41] P. Glendinning, Bifurcation from stable fixed point to 2D attrac[tor in the border collision normal form,](https://doi.org/10.1093/imamat/hxw001) IMA J. Appl. Math. **81**, 699 (2016).
- [42] J.-P. Eckmann and D. Ruelle, Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.57.617) **57**, 617 (1985).
- [43] H. F. von Bremen, F. E. Udwadia, and W. Proskurowski, An efficient QR based method for the computation of Lyapunov exponents, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(96)00216-3) **101**, 1 (1997).
- [44] J.-P. Duval, Génération d'une section des classes de conjugai[son et arbre des mots de Lyndon de longueur bornée,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(88)90113-2) Theor. Comput. Sci. **60**, 255 (1988) (in French).
- [45] J. Berstel and M. Pocchiola, Average cost of Duval's algorithm [for generating Lyndon words,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(94)00013-1) Theor. Comput. Sci. **132**, 415 (1994).
- [46] P. Glendinning, Bifurcations of snap-back repellers with appli[cation to border-collision bifurcations,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127410025557) Intl. J. Bifurcat. Chaos **20**, 479 (2010).
- [47] S. De, P. S. Dutta, S. Banerjee, and A. R. Roy, Local and global bifurcations in three-dimensional, continuous, [piecewise-smooth maps,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127411029318) Intl. J. Bifurcat. Chaos **21**, 1617 (2011).
- [48] D. J. W. Simpson, Grazing-sliding bifurcations creating in[finitely many attractors,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127417300427) Intl. J. Bifurcat. Chaos **27**, 1730042 (2017).
- [49] K. T. Alligood, E. Sander, and J. A. Yorke, Crossing Bifurca[tions and Unstable Dimension Variability,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.244103) Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 244103 (2006).
- [50] E. Barreto and P. So, Mechanisms for the Development of Unstable Dimension Variability and the Breakdown of Shadowing in Coupled Chaotic Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2490) **85**, 2490 (2000).