# Temperature anomalies of oscillating diffusion in ac-driven periodic systems

I. G. Marchenko<sup>1,2,3</sup> V. Aksenova<sup>1,1,2</sup> I. I. Marchenko<sup>1,4</sup> J. Łuczka<sup>0,3</sup> and J. Spiechowicz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv 61108, Ukraine
<sup>2</sup>Karazin Kharkiv National University, Kharkiv 61022, Ukraine
<sup>3</sup>Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, 41-500 Chorzów, Poland

<sup>4</sup>NTU Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute, Kharkiv 61002, Ukraine

(Received 21 March 2023; revised 29 April 2023; accepted 2 June 2023; published 16 June 2023)

We analyze the impact of temperature on the diffusion coefficient of an inertial Brownian particle moving in a symmetric periodic potential and driven by a symmetric time-periodic force. Recent studies have revealed the low-friction regime in which the diffusion coefficient shows giant damped quasiperiodic oscillations as a function of the amplitude of the time-periodic force [I. G. Marchenko *et al.*, Chaos **32**, 113106 (2022)]. We find out that when temperature grows the diffusion coefficient increases at its minima; however, it decreases at the maxima within a finite temperature window. This curious behavior is explained in terms of the deterministic dynamics perturbed by thermal fluctuations and mean residence time of the particle in the locked and running trajectories. We demonstrate that temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient can be accurately reconstructed from the stationary probability to occupy the running trajectories.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.107.064116

# I. INTRODUCTION

For the past several decades methods of diffusion processes have been applied in the analysis of a very large number of phenomena not only in physics, chemistry, biology, material science, and engineering but also in finance markets, communication, innovations [1], and social systems [2]. One of the most fundamental examples of diffusion is Brownian motion, which is a universal phenomenon emerging in both classical and quantum worlds. For diffusion in classical systems and, in particular, for Brownian motion, it is commonly expected that an increase of temperature T causes a growth of the diffusion coefficient D [3]. This deeply held view is mainly based on the celebrated Einstein relation for which D is a linearly increasing function of T [4]. It is also intuitive because for higher temperature thermal fluctuations are stronger and cause a larger spread of particle trajectories, which in consequence leads to an increase of D.

However, there are systems which can exhibit the opposite effect; i.e., the diffusion coefficient decreases when temperature increases [5-13]. The necessary condition for such behavior is known: the system has to be in a nonequilibrium state. Sufficient conditions are not formulated in general. One of the simpler systems which demonstrate such an effect is a Brownian particle moving in a spatially periodic potential under the influence of an external force. Here we consider the case when the Brownian particle is subjected to a time-periodic force which drives it to a time-dependent nonequilibrium state and in the long time limit it approaches a unique asymptotic state characterized by a temporally periodic probability density [14]. The diffusion coefficient, which describes the spread of trajectories and fluctuations around the average position of the particle, depends on the parameters of the model, usually in a nonlinear way and sometimes in a nonmonotonic way. An example is the abovementioned decrease of D when temperature T increases.

Lately, another interesting nonmonotonic behavior of D has been found out, namely, the giant quasiperiodic changes of the diffusion coefficient when the amplitude of the timeperiodic force increases [15]. In such a case one can detect local maxima of D separated by its local minima [16–18]. At the maxima, D is much larger than the diffusion coefficient  $D_0$  for the force-free thermal diffusion of the Brownian particle. At the minima, it is much smaller than  $D_0$ . Here, we address the problem of the influence of temperature on this phenomenon, in particular, when the diffusion coefficient attains its extremal values.

The paper is structured as follows. The presentation starts in Sec. II, where we define the system in terms of the dimensionless Langevin equation of the Brownian particle moving in the spatially periodic potential driven by an unbiased time-periodic force. In Sec. III, we discuss the impact of temperature on the oscillating character of the diffusion coefficient D and report regimes where the normal temperature dependence and the abnormal temperature dependence of Dtake place. Section IV contains the main points of analysis of the deterministic counterpart of the model which are crucial for noisy dynamics. The most important deterministic property is the existence of two classes of the particle trajectories, namely, the running and localized ones. In Sec. V, we study the full noisy dynamics with emphasis on behavior of the diffusion coefficient. We consider regimes where its attains its extremal values. The impact of temperature on the diffusion coefficient is analyzed by applying several quantifiers like spread of trajectories, mean residence times in different states, etc. Section VI contains a summary of the findings. In Appendix A, we detail the scaling scheme for Brownian dynamics and the corresponding dimensionless Langevin

equation. Appendix **B** provides information about simulations of the Langevin equation.

## **II. DESCRIPTION OF THE MODEL**

We consider the same model as in Ref. [15], which is formulated in terms of the following dimensionless Langevin equation:

$$\ddot{x} + \gamma \dot{x} = -\sin x + a\sin(\omega t) + \sqrt{2\gamma Q}\,\xi(t). \tag{1}$$

In this scaling the dimensionless mass m = 1, the parameter  $\gamma$  is the friction coefficient, and  $Q \propto k_B T$  is the dimensionless temperature of the system. The coupling of the particle with the thermostat is modeled by the  $\delta$ -correlated Gaussian white noise  $\xi(t)$  of vanishing mean, namely,  $\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \xi(t) \xi(s) \rangle = \delta(t-s)$ . The starting dimensional equation is presented in Appendix A, where the corresponding scaling and dimensionless parameters are defined. The complexity of the underlying dynamics with the nonlinear force  $f(x) = -\sin x$ , the time-periodic force of the amplitude *a* and the frequency  $\omega$ , and thermal fluctuations of the intensity  $2\gamma Q$  does not allow for a reasonable analytical approach, which presently is clearly beyond known mathematical methods. Therefore, numerical simulations were employed and their methodology is described in Appendix B.

The Langevin equation (8) and its quantum counterpart have been used for research in a wide range of mesoscale nonequilibrium phenomena. Equation (8) describes driven transport in diverse physical systems including Josephson junctions [19], superionic conductors [20], and cold atoms in optical lattices [21], to mention only a few. Numerous diffusive processes modeled by Eq. (8) have been studied by many authors [8–10,13,22–25] and still new findings are emerging in this area.

In Eq. (8), the potential  $V(x) = -\cos(x)$  corresponding to the conservative force f(x) is symmetric and the time-periodic force  $g(t) = a \sin(\omega t)$  is symmetric. Therefore, in the long time limit the directed velocity  $\langle v \rangle$  must vanish for both zero and nonzero temperature regimes [26],

$$\langle v \rangle = 0. \tag{2}$$

Here and below  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  stands for the average over the ensemble of thermal noise realizations. Since the system is driven by the time-periodic force, the velocity  $\langle v \rangle$  can be calculated as

$$\langle v \rangle = \lim_{t \to \infty} \langle \mathsf{V}(t) \rangle, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{T}} \int_{t}^{t+\mathsf{T}} ds \dot{\mathbf{x}}(s) \tag{4}$$

is the particle velocity averaged over the period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$  of the external driving  $a \sin(\omega t)$  [14]. Alternatively, the directed transport velocity  $\langle v \rangle$  can be calculated by resourcing to a time-averaged velocity,

$$\overline{v} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t ds \dot{x}(s), \tag{5}$$

which implies that

$$\langle v \rangle = \langle \overline{v} \rangle. \tag{6}$$



FIG. 1. The rescaled diffusion coefficient  $D/D_0$ , where  $D_0 = Q/\gamma$  is the force-free thermal diffusion coefficient, as a function of the amplitude *a* of the ac driving for the fixed value of its frequency  $\omega = 1.59$ . Other parameters are as follows: friction  $\gamma = 0.03$  and temperature Q = 0.5.

In the deterministic case, when Q = 0, the dynamics may be nonergodic and therefore sensitive to the specific choice of the starting position x(0) and velocity v(0) of the particle. In such a case we should modify the driving to the form  $a \sin (\omega t + \phi_0)$ , where  $\phi_0$  is the initial phase. Consequently, all quantities of interest should be averaged over  $\{x(0), v(0), \phi_0\}$  with uniform distributions to get rid of this dependence. However, for any nonzero temperature Q > 0 the system is ergodic and the initial conditions do not affect its properties in the long-time stationary regime.

## **III. DIFFUSION ANOMALIES**

The dynamical system described by the deterministic counterpart of Eq. (8) exhibits an extremely rich behavior as a function of the four dimensionless parameters { $\gamma$ , a,  $\omega$ , Q}. There are both regular and chaotic regimes, locked trajectories in which the motion is confined to a finite number of spatial periods and running states when it is is unbounded in space. A broad spectrum of locked and running states can be destabilized when random transitions between them are activated by thermal fluctuations at nonzero temperature. It potentially leads to a number of interesting phenomena [27–30]. However, our goal is not to analyze all aspects of the system dynamics, but we want to focus on diffusion properties in the selected parameter regime in which the diffusion process is normal in the long time limit and is characterized by the diffusion coefficient [31]

$$D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \left\langle [x(t) - \langle x(t) \rangle]^2 \right\rangle.$$
(7)

In Ref. [15] it was shown that for a tailored parameter regime the diffusion coefficient D displays the damped quasiperiodic dependence on the amplitude a of the time-periodic force  $g(t) = a \sin(\omega t)$ . An example of such behavior is depicted in Fig. 1. The parameter regime in Fig. 1 is different than that in Ref. [15]; however, it displays the same qualitative features. We observe that indeed D exhibits damped quasiperiodic oscillations as the amplitude a increases. Moreover, there are local maximal values of D which are much larger than the Einstein diffusion coefficient  $D_0 = Q/\gamma$ . For example, if the driving amplitude is a = 14 and the dimensionless



FIG. 2. The influence of temperature Q on the diffusion coefficient. Parameters are the same as those in Fig. 1. The first two maxima are for a = 6.3 and 14 and the first two minima are for a = 9.81 and 17.85.

temperature is Q = 0.1, the diffusion coefficient is  $D \approx 1000D_0$  (see Fig. 2). On the other hand, at the local minima, D is smaller than the Einstein diffusion coefficient. For example, if the driving amplitude is  $a \approx 9.81$  and the temperature reads Q = 0.1, the diffusion coefficient is  $D \approx 0.01D_0$ .

In this paper, we want to analyze the impact of temperature on the characteristics presented in Fig. 1. Typically, for higher temperature Q the diffusion coefficient D is expected to increase. However, we now know examples of systems for which the increase of temperature can reduce D [8–10,13]. In Fig. 2(a) we show how the quasiperiodicity of D is deformed at four different values of temperature Q. One can notice three characteristic features: (i) there are intervals of the amplitude in the neighborhood of the local minima in which the diffusion coefficient D increases when Q grows (as expected); (ii) there are windows of a near the maxima in which D decreases when Q increases (which is abnormal); and (iii) there are values of a at which D is robust with respect to a change of Q; i.e., D is very slowly varying function of Q. In other words, one can observe that when temperature is changed the diffusion coefficient is alternately reduced and boosted in adjacent intervals of the driving amplitude. In Fig. 2(b) we display the diffusion coefficient for a larger window of temperature which includes the high-temperature limit when for any a the diffusion coefficient increases. However, the most interesting is the case of abnormal dependence of D on temperature. In the first maximum observed for  $a \approx 6.3$ , the abnormal diffusion emerges up to high temperatures Q = 4, i.e., to  $k_B T = 4\Delta U$ [see Eq. (A7) in Appendix A]. The deterministic counterpart of the studied dynamics is a precursor of the above intriguing

properties and, therefore, as the first step of our investigation we analyze the noiseless case.

## IV. NOISELESS DYNAMICS, Q = 0

The noiseless system corresponding to Eq. (8) generally can exhibit both chaotic and nonchaotic behavior [32]. For the parameter regimes corresponding to the maxima and minima of the diffusion coefficient D, both the running and the localized states are detected. The particle can oscillate in the periodic potential wells and then proceed either forward or backward within one or many temporal periods, or it can permanently move in one direction, all depending on the initial conditions. Roughly speaking, at the maxima the populations of both states are similar; however, at the minima the localized states are predominated over the running solutions. In Fig. 3 the probability distribution of the system states is shown for two values of the driving amplitude: a = 6.3 (the first protruding maximum of D) and a = 9.81 (the first protruding minimum of D). Because both the potential and the driving force are symmetric, the averaged velocity of the Brownian particle has to be zero,  $\langle v \rangle = 0$ , and consequently the distributions are symmetric.

At the first maximum a = 6.3, there are five states  $\overline{v} \in$  $\{\overline{v}_0 = 0, \pm \overline{v}_1 = \pm \omega, \pm \overline{v}_3 = \pm 3\omega\}$ , i.e., the localized state  $\overline{v}_0$  (the particle oscillates in one or several potential wells and the motion is bounded in the coordinate space), two dominant running solutions with the velocities  $\pm \overline{v}_1$ , and the two remaining running states  $\pm \overline{v}_3$ . One should pay attention to the fact that the vertical axis in Fig. 3 is in the logarithmic scale and the population of the states with  $\pm \overline{v}_1$  is much greater than the population of the states with  $\pm \overline{v}_3$ . At the first minimum a = 9.81, there are nine relevant states  $\overline{v} \in \{\overline{v}_0 = 0, \pm \overline{v}_1 =$  $\pm \omega, \ \pm \overline{v}_2 = \pm 2\omega, \ \pm \overline{v}_3 = \pm 3\omega, \ \pm \overline{v}_4 = \pm 4\omega$ , one dominant locked state  $\overline{v}_0$ , and four running states with the positive velocities as well as the same number of states transporting the particle in the negative direction. The structures of solutions are similar in the remaining maxima and minima presented in Fig. 2.

## V. NOISY DYNAMICS, Q > 0

Thermal fluctuations existing at nonzero temperature make the noisy dynamics ergodic. Consequently, the initial conditions do not affect the results in the long time limit while their impact can still be relevant for transient regimes. The latter can last extremely long especially when the thermal noise strength Q tends to zero. However, it is not the case for high-temperature  $Q \in [0.1, 1]$  presented in Fig. 2, where the asymptotic state is reached swiftly.

Although the asymptotic state is nonequilibrium and nonstationary, in some regimes it can effectively be described in the framework of equilibrium statistical mechanics, at least for some observables. For example, in Ref. [17] the vibrational mechanics scheme is applied and Eq. (1) is approximated by the Langevin equation of the type

$$\ddot{x} + \gamma \dot{x} = f(x) + \sqrt{2\gamma Q} \,\xi(t), \tag{8}$$

where f(x) is some effective time-independent force. A similar approach is also presented in Ref. [33]. Both



FIG. 3. Upper row: The probability distribution P(v) for the period-averaged velocity v of the Brownian particle in the long time regime is depicted for the first maximum (a = 6.3) and minimum (a = 9.81) of the diffusion coefficient D, cf. Fig. 2, in panels (a) and (b), respectively. Bottom row: The probability distribution  $P(\bar{v})$  for the time-averaged velocity  $\bar{v}$  is presented for a = 6.3 [panel (c)] and a = 9.81 [panel (d)]. Other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2.

approximations do not explain the temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient displayed in Fig. 2. Another approach is based on the concept of effective temperature  $T^*$ , which is a function of the physical temperature Q [8,34]. Then  $D \sim T^*$ and looks like the Einstein relation. We have performed an analysis and noticed that for very low temperature Q < 0.05the agreement is quite satisfactory. However, for the temperature regime  $Q \in [0.1, 10]$  considered in the paper we have observed a large deviation from this form. Therefore, we have decided to apply numerical methods.

Let us consider diffusive spreading of the system trajectories. The particle starting from a given initial condition moves along the orbit according to dynamics determined by Eq. (1)and after some time it occasionally jumps onto a different solution. These random transitions are induced by thermal fluctuations and are realized as stochastic escape events connecting the coexisting attractors. In fact, the particle does not jump onto another trajectory but rather changes it in a continuous way. For example, the particle can follow the running trajectory, next for some interval it resides in the localized state, and later it again travels along the running trajectory either in the same direction or in the opposite direction. In this way, for a given trajectory various deterministic solutions are visited in a random sequence. We exemplify different scenarios of such behavior in Fig. 4. As a consequence the deterministic structure of locked and running states impacts the spread of trajectories.

We note that there are several types of contributions to the spread of trajectories and as a result to the diffusion coefficient. The first, which is the largest one, comes from the distance between the running solutions moving into the opposite directions, e.g.,  $x(t) \sim \overline{v}_1 t$  and  $x(t) \sim -\overline{v}_1 t$ , that emerge in pairs due to the symmetry of the system. The second, also relatively large, is the spread between the running and the localized trajectories, e.g.,  $x(t) \sim \pm \overline{v}_1 t$  and  $x(t) \sim x_k$ around the *k*th well of the periodic potential. We note that, as



FIG. 4. Exemplary set of the system trajectories at temperature Q = 0.1 [panels (a) and (b)] and Q = 1 [panels (c) and (d)]. Panels (a) and (c) correspond to the first maximum (a = 6.3) of the diffusion coefficient, whereas panels (b) and (d) present the first minimum (a = 9.81). Note the difference in the scale of the ordinate axis. Other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2.

we presented above, for a given parameter regime generally there are more than one running solution. Last but not least, there are contributions driven by thermal fluctuation in each of the running and locked states. Clearly, even at high temperature the latter are significantly smaller than the two previous ones. Therefore, it is intuitively expected that the diffusion coefficient D will change considerably especially when the population of running and locked states is significantly modified. In the high-temperature limit, this subtle deterministic structure is blurred and looks like random motion of a free Brownian particle.

#### A. Diffusion at maximum

We continue our analysis by investigating the temperature dependence of diffusion at the first maximum a = 6.3. In the deterministic case, when Q = 0, the system exhibits both the locked and the running states  $\overline{v} \in \{\overline{v}_0 = 0, \pm \overline{v}_1, \pm \overline{v}_3\}$ [see Fig. 3(c)]. There are two dominant running solutions  $\{\overline{v}_1, -\overline{v}_1\}$ . The population of the locked states  $\overline{v}_0$  is also large. There are also two running solutions  $\{\overline{v}_3, -\overline{v}_3\}$  that are much less probable. When temperature increases in the noisy system some states are eliminated in favor of the others (not depicted). For example, for temperature T = 0.01, the system trajectories follow only  $\overline{v} = \pm \overline{v}_1$  and the locked solution is completely wiped out.

In Fig. 4(a), for temperature Q = 0.1, one can observe the jagged piecewise locked and running trajectories. The majority of the transporting parts have the slope  $\pm \overline{v}_1$  and at first glance it is difficult to extract time intervals corresponding to the remaining solution  $\pm \overline{v}_3$ . It is related to the mean residence time  $\tau_{res}$  in these states. We calculated this quantity from trajectories of the period-averaged velocity V(t)simply by counting how many periods the particle stays in a given state until it jumps onto the other one. We observe the difference between the probability distributions P(v) and  $P(\overline{v})$  for the period-averaged and time-averaged velocities, respectively [see Fig. 3(a) vs Fig. 3(c)]. In the latter, for nonzero temperature  $Q \neq 0$  it is difficult to distinguish individual running states, while for the period-averaged velocity even for the noisy system one can clearly observe traces of the deterministic structure of solutions  $v \in \{v_0 = 0, \pm v_1 = \pm \omega\}$ . Moreover, it turns out that thermal fluctuations induce a new running state  $\pm v_2 = \pm 2\omega$  which is not present for the deterministic system.

In Fig. 5(a) we present the temperature dependence of the mean residence time  $\tau_{res}$  for the noisy states  $\mathbf{v} \in$  $\{\mathbf{v}_0 = 0, \pm \mathbf{v}_1 = \pm \omega, \pm \mathbf{v}_2 = \pm 2\omega\}$  determined by the periodaveraged velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ . For temperature Q = 0.1, the corresponding mean residence times are  $\tau_0 = 16.53$ ,  $\tau_{\pm 1} = 16.07$ , and  $\tau_{\pm 2} = 5.43$ . Therefore, the marginal states  $\mathbf{v}_{\pm 2}$  are rarely occupied. The main contribution for the swift spreading of trajectories and consequently to the large diffusion coefficient comes from the long residence time  $\tau_{\pm 1}$  corresponding to solutions that transport the particle in the opposite directions. If the temperature decreases, the mean residence time  $\tau_{\pm 1}$ rapidly grows and dominates the other states. On the other hand, when the temperature is high enough the difference between the average time which the particle spends in each of the states is marginalized. For example, for temperature



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the mean residence time  $\tau_{\text{res}}$  for different states is presented for the first maximum [panel (a), a = 6.3] and minimum [panel (b), a = 9.81] of the diffusion coefficient. Other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2.

Q = 1, the residence times are  $\tau_0 = 5.45$ ,  $\tau_{\pm 1} = 6.4$ , and  $\tau_{\pm 2} = 4.9$ . As it is shown in Fig. 2(b) for the first maximum a = 6.3 the diffusion coefficient *D* for the higher temperature Q = 1 is almost twice as small as for the lower temperature q = 0.1. The main reason for this behavior is the mentioned rapid growth of the mean residence time  $\tau_{\pm 1}$  in the state  $v_1 = \pm \omega$  as the temperature drops down. Conversely, if the thermal noise intensity increases, the populations of running states with long residence times are significantly reduced. It means that there are many transporting orbits which are short-lived and therefore cannot generate such a large spread as for lower thermal fluctuation intensity. In the high-temperature limit the periodic potential as well as the external driving can be neglected and this regime imitates a free Brownian particle.

## B. Diffusion at minimum

As the next point of our analysis we consider diffusion for the driving amplitude a = 9.81 corresponding to the first local minimum (see Fig. 2). The set of deterministic solutions encompasses nine states  $\overline{v} \in \{\overline{v}_0 = 0, \pm \overline{v}_1, \pm \overline{v}_2, \pm \overline{v}_3, \pm \overline{v}_4\}$ in which the locked one  $\overline{v}_0 = 0$  is dominant [cf. Fig. 3(b)]. It is important to note that as the temperature grows the running states are completely destroyed and only  $\overline{v}_0 = 0$  survives. It must be contrasted with the case of diffusion at the maximum for a = 6.3 where temperature induces new states that are missing in the deterministic dynamics. In Fig. 5(b) we present the mean residence time  $\tau_{res}$  for the selected noisy states determined by the period-averaged velocity v. For example, for temperature Q = 0.1, the corresponding mean residence time reads  $\tau_0 = 509.81$  and  $\tau_{\pm 1} = 4.4$ . Consequently the locked state is much more stable against thermal fluctuations than the running solutions. It implies a highly counterintuitive behavior in which the diffusion coefficient is much smaller than the Einstein free diffusion coefficient  $D = 0.01 D_0 \ll D_0$ (see Fig. 2) despite the fact that the temperature is high, Q = 0.1, and the particle is driven by the external harmonic force with large amplitude a = 9.81. When the temperature increases the locked state is destabilized but it is still less sensitive to perturbations than the running ones. For example, for temperature Q = 1, we find  $\tau_0 = 10.11$ ,  $\tau_{\pm 1} = 5.55$ , and  $\tau_{\pm 2} = 5.02$ . An inspection of Fig. 4(d) reveals that even for the high-temperature limit frequent segments of localized states in the particle trajectory are interspersed by still rare but long excursions. If the temperature is further increased, there are



FIG. 6. The stationary probabilities to reside in the localized  $p_0$  [panel (a)] and running  $p_r$  [panel (b)] states as a function of temperature Q. The driving amplitudes a are fixed to the values where the first two maxima and minima of the diffusion coefficient D are observed. Other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2.

more and more unstable short-living periodic orbits which are more frequently visited and the spread of trajectories grows, details of the deterministic structure are washed out, and the diffusion coefficient behaves like the Einstein diffusion coefficient.

#### C. Residence probabilities

Since our system is symmetric, a preferential direction of motion is impossible in the asymptotic stationary state, implying  $\langle v \rangle = 0$ . This condition imposes a fundamental constraint on the impact of thermal fluctuations onto the set of deterministic solutions for the particle velocity. The latter can be quantified by the temperature dependence of the stationary probabilities to reside in each of the states existing in the noiseless case. From a qualitative perspective there are only two distinct classes of states, namely, the locked  $\overline{v} = 0$  state and the running  $\overline{v} \neq 0$  one. Therefore, in Fig. 6 we present the stationary probabilities  $p_0$  and  $p_r = 1 - p_0$  that the particle occupies the locked and the running state, respectively, versus the temperature of the system.

We detect that at the minima of the diffusion coefficient the probability  $p_0 = 1$  in a wide interval of temperature  $Q \in$ [0.1, 1]. It means that thermal fluctuations destroy the running solutions and all trajectories eventually are localized in the locked state in which by definition the time-averaged velocity  $\overline{v} = 0$  and consequently the symmetry condition is satisfied  $\langle v \rangle = 0$ . It explains why the diffusion coefficient *D* at the minima can be reduced even well below its value for a free particle. We note that for asymmetric systems thermal-noiseinduced dynamical localization in a running state can lead to the emergence of transient but long-lasting subdiffusion without broad distributions or strong correlations traditionally identified with disorder, trapping, viscoelasticity of the medium, or geometrical constraints [35,36]. In contrast, here localization in the locked state induces normal diffusion but with an extremely small diffusion coefficient. If temperature increases at the minima, the probability to reside in the locked state  $p_0$  detaches from one and decreases while at the same time the corresponding quantity for the running state  $p_r$ grows. All this causes the diffusion coefficient to increase with temperature as it is commonly expected.

On the other hand, in the symmetric system localization can occur also in a pair of the running states transporting the particle into the opposite directions so that the symmetry condition  $\langle v \rangle = 0$  is still satisfied. Since such a type of trajectory maximizes the spread, it has the greatest impact on the diffusion coefficient. It can be inferred from Fig. 6(b) where the probability  $p_r$  to reside in the running state is depicted as a function of temperature. It turns out that the temperature dependence of  $p_r$  fully characterizes the diffusion coefficient *D* as a function of temperature [compare Figs. 2(b) and 6(b)]. When the latter decreases,  $p_r$  is reduced, whereas if D grows,  $p_r$  increases as well. The positions of extremes at the maxima of diffusion also agree. This correspondence occurs due to the symmetry of the system which imposes the condition of equal coexistence of states with opposite velocities. However, in general, the quantifier  $W = 1 - |p_0 - p_r|$ , which loosely speaking describes the difference between the number of locked and running trajectories, could be used to qualitatively predict the behavior of the diffusion coefficient [15]. We note that in the presently studied case  $p_0 > 0.5$  and for this reason  $W = 1 - p_0 + p_r = 2p_r$ . Therefore, the difference between the number of locked and running solutions is characterized by the probability  $p_r$  alone.

#### VI. SUMMARY

With this study we numerically analyzed diffusion of the Brownian particle moving in a spatially periodic potential and driven by an unbiased time-periodic force. Our investigation was focused mainly on the temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient D in the regime in which its quasiperiodicity with respect to the driving amplitude is observed.

We revealed that for lower temperature the diffusion coefficient at the minima is extremely reduced even well below the Einstein diffusion coefficient for a free particle. The origin of this effect lies in thermal-noise-induced localization of all system trajectories in the locked state in which the particle motion is bounded to a finite number of the periodic potential wells. If the temperature grows, the diffusion coefficient increases as it is commonly expected. In contrast, for the same lower temperature this quantity at the maxima is extremely large. If the temperature increases in this regime, the anomalous behavior is detected in which the diffusion coefficient decreases when the temperature grows. As a reason for this intriguing effect we identified the peculiar influence of thermal fluctuations on transitions between two classes of trajectories, namely, the locked and running ones, existing in the deterministic dynamics of the system. In particular, we found out that the temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient in its minima and maxima can be qualitatively reconstructed by the temperature dependence of the stationary probability to reside in the running state.

As a final note we point out that it is not a nuisance that the nonmonotonic temperature behavior of the diffusion coefficient is related to the proportion between the number of locked and running trajectories, but seemingly it emerges rather as a universal property observed for different systems in various regimes [7,11,13,35,37,38].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Polish Grants NCN: Grants No. 2022/45/B/ST3/02619 (J.S.) and No. 2018/30/E/ST3/00428 (I.G.M.) and in part by PL-Grid Infrastructure. V.A. is supported by the Ukrainian Grant Agency NASU under Grant No. 0122U002145/2022-2023. I.G.M. acknowledges the University of Silesia for its hospitality since the beginning of the war, February 24, 2022.

## APPENDIX A: SCALING OF THE LANGEVIN EQUATION

We consider a classical Brownian particle of mass M subjected to a one-dimensional, spatially periodic potential U(x) and driven by an unbiased and symmetric time-periodic force F(t). Its dynamics can be described by the Langevin equation in the form [3]

$$M\ddot{x} + \Gamma \dot{x} = -U'(x) + F(t) + \sqrt{2\Gamma k_B T} \,\xi(t), \qquad (A1)$$

where the dot and the prime denote differentiation with respect to time *t* and the particle coordinate *x*, respectively. The parameter  $\Gamma$  is the friction coefficient. The potential U(x) is assumed to be symmetric of the spatial period *L* and the barrier height  $2\Delta U$ , reading

$$U(x) = U(x+L) = -\Delta U \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}x\right).$$
 (A2)

The external ac-driving force of amplitude A and angular frequency  $\Omega$  has the simplest harmonic form:

$$F(t) = A\sin\left(\Omega t\right). \tag{A3}$$

Thermal equilibrium fluctuations due to interaction of the particle with its environment of temperature T are modeled as  $\delta$ -correlated Gaussian white noise of zero-mean value,

$$\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \xi(t)\xi(s) \rangle = \delta(t-s),$$
 (A4)

where the bracket  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes an average over white noise realizations (ensemble average). The noise intensity  $2\Gamma k_B T$ in Eq. (A1) follows from the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [39], where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant. If A = 0, the stationary state is a thermal equilibrium state. If  $A \neq 0$ , then the external force F(t) drives the system away from the equilibrium state.

Now, we transform Eq. (A1) to its dimensionless form. To this aim we use the following scales as characteristic units of

length and time:

$$\hat{x} = 2\pi \frac{x}{L}, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{t}{\tau_0}, \quad \tau_0 = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{M}{\Delta U}}.$$
 (A5)

Under such a procedure, Eq. (A1) assumes the form

$$\ddot{\hat{x}} + \gamma \dot{\hat{x}} = -\sin \hat{x} + a\sin(\omega \hat{t}) + \sqrt{2\gamma Q} \hat{\xi}(\hat{t}).$$
(A6)

The dimensionless parameters are defined by the relations

$$\gamma = \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_1}, \quad a = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{L}{\Delta U} A,$$
$$\omega = \tau_0 \Omega, \quad Q = \frac{k_B T}{\Delta U}, \tag{A7}$$

where the second characteristic time is  $\tau_1 = M/\Gamma$ . It has the physical interpretation of the relaxation time for the velocity of the free Brownian particle. On the other hand, the characteristic time  $\tau_0$  is related to the period of small oscillations inside the potential U(x) wells.

The rescaled potential V(x) of the period  $L = 2\pi$  reads  $V(\hat{x}) = U[(L/2\pi)\hat{x}]/\Delta U = -\cos \hat{x}$  and the corresponding potential force is  $f(x) = -\hat{U}'(\hat{x}) = -\sin \hat{x}$ . The rescaled thermal noise is  $\hat{\xi}(\hat{t}) = (L/2\pi \Delta U)\xi(t) = (L/2\pi \Delta U)\xi(\tau_0\hat{t})$  and has the same statistical properties as  $\xi(t)$ ; i.e.,  $\langle \hat{\xi}(\hat{t}) \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \hat{\xi}(\hat{t})\hat{\xi}(\hat{s}) \rangle = \delta(\hat{t} - \hat{s})$ . The dimensionless noise strength Q is the ratio of thermal energy and half of the activation energy the particle needs to overcome the non-rescaled potential barrier. In order to simplify the notation we omit the hat notation in Eq. (8).

#### APPENDIX B: DESCRIPTION OF THE SIMULATIONS

The complexity of stochastic dynamics determined by Eq. (8) with three-dimensional phase space  $\{x, y = \dot{x}, z = \dot{x}\}$  $\omega t$  is rooted in the four-dimensional parameter space  $\{\gamma, a, \omega, Q\}$ . The Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to Eq. (8) cannot be solved analytically and for this reason we had to resort to comprehensive numerical simulations. All calculations were done using a compute unified device architecture (CUDA) environment implemented on a modern desktop graphics processing unit (GPU). This proceeding allowed for a speedup of factor of the order  $10^3$  times as compared to the present-day central processing unit (CPU) method [40]. The Langevin equation (8) was integrated using a second-order predictor-corrector scheme [41] with the time step  $h = 10^{-2}$ . The quantities characterizing diffusive behavior of the system were averaged over the ensemble up to  $N = 2^{20} = 1048576$  trajectories, each starting with different initial conditions x(0), v(0), and  $\phi$  distributed uniformly over the intervals  $[0, 2\pi]$ , [-2, 2], and  $[0, 2\pi]$ , respectively. The time span of simulations read 10<sup>6</sup> periods  $2\pi/\omega$  of the external driving  $a \cos(\omega t)$  and was extensive enough to reach the long time limit indicated by the stationarity of diffusion coefficient.

- E. M. Rogers, *Diffusion of Innovations* (Free Press, New York, 2003).
- [2] A. Crossman, Understanding Diffusion in Sociology, ThoughtCo, Apr. 5 (2023), thoughtco.com/cultural-diffusiondefinition-3026256.
- [3] J. Spiechowicz, I. G. Marchenko, P. Hänggi, and J. Łuczka, Entropy 25, 42 (2023).
- [4] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Applications (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- [5] H. Gang, H. Daffertshofer, and H. Haken, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4874 (1996).
- [6] S. Roy, D. Dan, and A. M. Jayannavar, J. Stat. Mech. (2006) P09012.
- [7] D. Speer, R. R. Eichhorn, and P. Reimann, Europhys. Lett. 97, 60004 (2012).
- [8] I. G. Marchenko and I. I. Marchenko, Europhys. Lett. 100, 50005 (2012).
- [9] J. Spiechowicz, P. Talkner, P. Hänggi, and J. Łuczka, New J. Phys. 18, 123029 (2016).
- [10] I. G. Marchenko, I. I. Marchenko, and V. I. Tkachenko, JETP Lett. 106, 242 (2017).
- [11] I. G. Marchenko, I. I. Marchenko, and A. V. Zhiglo, Phys. Rev. E 97, 012121 (2018).
- [12] J. Spiechowicz and J. Łuczka, Phys. Rev. E 91, 062104 (2015).
- [13] J. Spiechowicz, M. Kostur, and J. Łuczka, Chaos 27, 023111 (2017).
- [14] P. Jung, Phys. Rep. 234, 175 (1993).
- [15] I. G. Marchenko, A. Zhiglo, V. Aksenova, V. I. Tkachenko, I. I. Marchenko, J. Łuczka, and J. Spiechowicz, Chaos 32, 113106 (2022).
- [16] M. Schreier, P. Reimann, P. Hänggi, and E. Pollak, Europhys. Lett. 44, 416 (1998).
- [17] M. Borromeo and F. Marchesoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 150605 (2007).
- [18] A. Wickenbrock, P. C. Holz, N. A. Abdul Wahab, P. Phoonthong, D. Cubero, and F. Renzoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 020603 (2012).

- [19] R. L. Kautz, Rep. Prog. Phys. 59, 935 (1996).
- [20] P. Fulde, L. Pietronero, W. R. Schneider, and S. Strässler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1776 (1975);
- [21] F. Renzoni, Adv. At., Mol., Opt. Phys. 57, 1 (2009).
- [22] J. Kallunki, M. Dubé, and T. Ala-Nissila, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 9841 (1999).
- [23] X.-P. Zhang and J.-D. Bao, Surf. Sci. 540, 145 (2003).
- [24] L. Y. Chen and P. L. Nash, J. Chem. Phys. 121, 3984 (2004).
- [25] T. Ikonen, M. D. Khandkar, L. Y. Chen, S. C. Ying, and T. Ala-Nissila, Phys. Rev. E 84, 026703 (2011).
- [26] S. Denisov, S. Flach, and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rep. 538, 77 (2014).
- [27] L. Gammaitoni, P. Hänggi, P. Jung, and F. Marchesoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 223 (1998).
- [28] A. S. Pikovsky and J. Kurths, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 775 (1997).
- [29] B. Lindner, J. Garcia-Ojalvo, A. Neiman, and L. Schimansky-Geier, Phys. Rep. 392, 321 (2004).
- [30] P. Hänggi and F. Marchesoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 387 (2009).
- [31] J. Spiechowicz, J. Łuczka, and P. Hänggi, Sci. Rep. 6, 30948 (2016).
- [32] M. Gittterman, *The Noisy Pendulum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008).
- [33] M. Bandyopadhyay, S. Dattagupta, and M. Sanyal, Phys. Rev. E 73, 051108 (2006).
- [34] I. Santamaría-Holek and A. Peréz-Madrid, J. Chem. Phys. 145, 134905 (2016).
- [35] J. Spiechowicz and J. Łuczka, Sci. Rep. 7, 16451 (2017).
- [36] J. Spiechowicz and J. Łuczka, Chaos 29, 013105 (2019).
- [37] J. Spiechowicz and J. Łuczka, Phys. Rev. E **104**, 034104 (2021).
- [38] B. Lindner and I. M. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. E 93, 042106 (2016).
- [39] R. Kubo, Rep. Prog. Phys. 29, 255 (1966).
- [40] J. Spiechowicz, M. Kostur, and Ł. Machura, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191, 140 (2015).
- [41] E. Platen and N. Bruti-Liberati, Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps in Finance (Springer, Berlin, 2011).