Nonlinear dynamics and bifurcations of a planar undulating magnetic microswimmer

Jithu Paul[®], Yizhar Or[®],^{*} and Oleg V. Gendelman[®]

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 3200003, Israel

(Received 20 November 2022; revised 13 March 2023; accepted 24 April 2023; published 16 May 2023)

Swimming microorganisms such as flagellated bacteria and sperm cells have fascinating locomotion capabilities. Inspired by their natural motion, there is an ongoing effort to develop artificial robotic nanoswimmers for potential in-body biomedical applications. A leading method for actuation of nanoswimmers is by applying a time-varying external magnetic field. Such systems have rich and nonlinear dynamics that call for simple fundamental models. A previous work studied forward motion of a simple two-link model with a passive elastic joint, assuming small-amplitude planar oscillations of the magnetic field about a constant direction. In this work, we found that there exists a faster, backward motion of the swimmer with very rich dynamics. By relaxing the small-amplitude assumption, we analyze the multiplicity of periodic solutions, as well as their bifurcations, symmetry breaking, and stability transitions. We have also found that the net displacement and/or mean swimming speed are maximized for optimal choices of various parameters. Asymptotic calculations are performed for the bifurcation condition and the swimmer's mean speed. The results may enable significantly improving the design aspects of magnetically actuated robotic microswimmers.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.107.054211

I. INTRODUCTION

The fascinating locomotion capabilities of swimming microorganisms has attracted the attention of the scientific community for a long time. Owing to the small scale of such swimmers, their motion is governed by low-Reynolds number hydrodynamics, where viscous drag forces dominate and inertial effects are negligible [1]. Several mathematical models of undulatory microswimming have been studied, dating back to the classic work of Taylor [2] on an infinite wavy sheet and later works of Lighthill [3] and Childress [4]. The well-known work by Purcell [5] introduced simplified robotic-like models inspired by swimming microorganisms, the corkscrew motion of counterrotating spherical head and helical tail, as well as planar motion of the three-link model with controlled joint angles, the motion of which has been further analyzed in many follow-up works [6-8]. Another important effect that has been suggested in Ref. [5] is combining time-periodic actuation with the body's flexural elasticity, which has also been studied in analytical and numerical models of sperm motility [9,10].

In the past two decades, observations from natural swimming microorganisms have inspired the ongoing development of engineered artificial nano- and microscale swimmers, aiming toward in-body biomedical applications such as targeted drug delivery, diagnosis, and minimally invasive operations [11]. Few actuation mechanisms have been considered for powering such nanoswimmers, including chemical activation [12] as well as biohybrid swimmers harnessing the actual beating of live bacterial flagellum [13]. Nevertheless, a leading concept for nanoswimmer actuation is using a timevarying external magnetic field. While several works have realized corkscrew locomotion induced by a rotating magnetic field [14], the pioneering work of Dreyfus *et al.* [15] actually used a planar oscillating magnetic field for propelling a chain of superparamagnetic beads connected by a flexible DNA link to a "head" made of red blood cells. The magnetic field in Ref. [15] was set to be spatially uniform and time varying, as

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = c\mathbf{\hat{x}} + b\sin(\Omega\tau)\mathbf{\hat{y}},\tag{1}$$

where $b, c \ge 0$ are constants. Thanks to ongoing progress in nanofabrication capabilities, simpler designs of nanoswimmers composed of rigid links connected by flexible hinges were later proposed [16,17]. A simple theoretical model for studying the planar locomotion of such swimmers is the twolink model proposed in Ref. [18] (see Fig. 1). This model consists of two rigid links connected by a passive elastic joint represented as a torsion spring, and one of the links (the "head") is magnetized along its longitudinal axis. The analysis in Ref. [18] focused on the case of small oscillations $b \ll c$ and conducted asymptotic analysis of the motion in which the swimmer oscillates about and swims along the $+\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction, which is a stable periodic solution with a mean orientation angle $\bar{\theta} = 0$. The analysis showed that there exist optimal actuation frequencies Ω for maximizing the mean speed or displacement per cycle. In this work, we revisit the two-link model in Ref. [18] and extend the analysis to cases of large oscillations b > c and even c = 0, and also study the "backward" solution, where the swimmer oscillates about and swims along the $-\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction, with $\bar{\theta} = \pi$. While this swimmer's orientation $\theta = \pi$ is statically unstable (for b = 0, c > 0), we find that for $b \neq 0$, this gives a periodic solution that undergoes a stability transition and subcritical pitchfork bifurcation upon varying amplitude b and frequency Ω of the magnetic field's input. We analyze the backward solution numerically as well as analytically using asymptotic

2470-0045/2023/107(5)/054211(9)

^{*}izi@me.technion.ac.il



FIG. 1. The two-link microswimmer model [18].

expansion and harmonic balance. Under small-angle expansion, the system's dynamics can be reduced to a nonlinear second-order differential equation with parametric excitation, which resembles the well-known Kapitza pendulum system [19,20]. Finally, we show optimization of the swimmer's net motion with respect to both b and Ω . Remarkably, we find that the optimal "backward" motion is faster than the forward motion.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The model consists of two rigid links representing a head and tail, connected by a passive torsional spring with a linear stiffness k (see Fig. 1). x, y is the position of the head link's center point. The head makes an angle ϕ with the tail and an angle θ with the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ -axis. The head is magnetized with a magnetization strength h along its longitudinal axis $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$, given by $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$ is the unit vector along the head's longitudinal direction given by $\hat{\mathbf{t}} = \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{y}}$. The microswimmer is submerged in a Newtonian fluid and subjected to an external magnetic field. The magnetic field is spatially uniform, and has a time-varying term in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction. The magnetic field is represented as $\mathbf{B}(t) = c\hat{\mathbf{x}} + b \sin(\Omega t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ (see Fig. 1). Here, c is the zero or nonzero constant in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction, and t is time. The torque applied by the magnetic field on the swimmer's head link is given by $\mathbf{L} = h\mathbf{\hat{t}} \times \mathbf{B}(t)$.

The microsize of the swimmer allows making the following assumptions. For the swimmer, gravity is neglected and it is neutrally buoyant. As for the hydrodynamics, viscous forces dominate greatly over inertial forces and allow one to assume a low Reynolds number and neglect inertial effects in the dynamics. Stokes' law governs the fluid motion, and resistive force theory [18,21,22] gives the final dynamics of the system. The viscous drag force \mathbf{f}_i and torque m_i under planar motion is considered proportional to its linear (\mathbf{v}_i) and angular velocities (ω_i) as follows:

$$\mathbf{f}_{i} = -c_{t}l(\mathbf{v}_{i}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{i}}_{i})\hat{\mathbf{i}}_{i} - c_{n}l(\mathbf{v}_{i}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i};$$

$$m_{i} = -\frac{1}{12}c_{n}l^{3}\omega_{i}; \quad c_{n} = 2c_{t}.$$
 (2)

In Eq. (2), l is the length of the link, and $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_i$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_i$ are the unit vectors in the axial and normal directions of the *i*th link. The internal torque applied at the joint by the torsional spring is given by $\tau = -k\phi$.

The net force and torque balance gives (see Appendix) the following final set of equations [Eq. (3)] for the four degrees of freedom of the swimmer. In Eq. (3), v_t and v_n are the tangential and normal velocities with respect to the head, and can be converted into the world's frame by using a rotation matrix, as given in Appendix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_t \\ v_n \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\frac{3\sin(\phi)(\cos(\phi)(bh\sin(\theta) + k\phi - bh\cos(\theta)\sin(t\Omega)) + 3k\phi)}{c_t l^2(\cos^2(\phi) - 9)} \\ \frac{6(\cos^2(\phi)(c\sin(\theta) + k\phi - bh\cos(\theta)\sin(t\Omega)) - (\sin^2(\phi) + 5)(ch\sin(\theta) + k\phi - bh\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega)) + 4k\phi\cos(\phi))}{c_t l^2(68 - 4\cos(2\phi))} \\ \frac{3\cos^2(\phi)(ch\sin(\theta) + k\phi - bh\cos(\theta)\sin(t\Omega)) - 3(\sin^2(\phi) - 19)(ch\sin(\theta) + kh\phi - bh\cos(\theta)\sin(t\Omega)) + 36k\phi\cos(\phi)}{c_t l^3(\cos(2\phi) - 17)} \\ \frac{6(\cos(\phi) + 3)^2(ch\sin(\theta) + 2k\phi - bh\cos(\theta)\sin(t\Omega))}{c_t l^3(\cos(2\phi) - 17)} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3)

The system of Eq. (3) can be nondimensionalized by defining $\gamma = \frac{ch}{k}$, $\beta = \frac{bh}{k}$, and $t_k = \frac{c_l l^3}{k}$ (the elastic timescale). In addition, we rescale the time in Eq. (3) as $t \to \frac{t}{t_k}$, and rescale the frequency by $\omega = \Omega t_k$. Then Eq. (3) will be simplified and nondimensionalized as Eq. (4), for $\dot{\theta}$ and $\dot{\phi}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3\cos^2(\phi)(\gamma\sin(\theta) + \phi - \beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega))}{-3(\sin^2(\phi) - 19)(\gamma\sin(\theta) + \phi - \beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega)) + 36\alpha\phi\cos(\phi)} \\ \frac{\cos(2\phi) - 17}{\cos(2\phi) - 17} \\ \frac{6(\cos(\phi) + 3)^2(\gamma\sin(\theta) + 2\phi - \beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega))}{\cos(2\phi) - 17} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4)



FIG. 2. Solution trajectories in the (θ, ϕ) plane. Stable periodic solutions oscillating about $\bar{\theta} = 0$ and $\bar{\theta} = \pi$. In (a), $\omega = 2.5$, $\gamma = 1$, and $\beta = 1.1$. The red solid line shows a forward stable solution oscillating about the mean values $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (0, 0)$; the blue dashed curve shows an unstable backward solution oscillating about the mean values $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (\pi, 0)$. In (b), $\omega = 10$, $\gamma = 1$, and $\beta = 10$. The red solid line shows a stable solution around $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (0, 0)$; the blue dashed line shows a stable solution around $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (0, 0)$, the blue solid line shows a stable solution around $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (\pi, 0)$, and the pink dashed lines show asymmetric unstable periodic solutions. In (c), a grid discretization is shown for the regions of attractions of initial conditions that converge to either the forward (red) or backward (blue) periodic solution of the plot (b).

Due to the positional symmetry of Eq. (3), Eq. (4) determines the entire dynamics of the system. When $\theta(t)$ and $\phi(t)$ are solved, v_t and v_n can be immediately calculated from Eq. (3). The system's solution thus depends on three dimensionless parameters, γ , β , and ω , and on initial conditions.

In the following sections we will integrate Eq. (3) or Eq. (4) numerically using MATLAB packages, and analytically by using perturbation expansion and harmonic balance [23,24].

III. RESULTS

A. Numerical treatment

The numerical strategy to solve the set of equations using MATLAB is described here. The steady-state solutions of the swimmer are periodic in θ , ϕ and the dynamics are invariant with respect to x, y and so we define a reduced state vector $\mathbf{z}(t) = (\theta(t), \phi(t))^T$. We also denote a function $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}(0)) =$ $\mathbf{z}(T)$, where $\mathbf{z}(0)$ is the system's is initial condition and T = $\frac{2\pi}{2}$ is the nondimensional time period. This function is also known as the stroboscopic map [25]. [We sample $\mathbf{z}(t)$ in a fixed rate.] The system's periodic solutions corresponds to the initial conditions that satisfy $\mathbf{z}^* = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}^*)$. By solving the equation using MATLAB's solver of nonlinear equations fsolve, we obtain fixed points of F, which correspond to periodic solutions of the system. The stability of the periodic solution is determined by calculating the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{J} = \frac{d\mathbf{F}}{d\mathbf{z}}$ at $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}^*$. Calculating the eigen values λ_i of **J**, the condition for asymptotic stability of the periodic solution is given by $|\lambda_i(\mathbf{J})| < 1..$

In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), we plot representative solution trajectories in the plane of two angles θ and ϕ , which are the degrees of freedom in the reduced system of Eq. (4). As is clear from the plots, coexisting symmetric periodic solutions with mean values ($\bar{\theta}$, $\bar{\phi}$) = (0, 0) [18] and ($\bar{\theta}$, $\bar{\phi}$) = (π , 0) are observed, representing forward and backward motion, respectively. However, in Fig. 2(a), backward motion is not stable whereas in Fig. 2(b), it is stable, depending on different values of ω and β . In case of Fig. 2(b), each of the two stable periodic solution has its own basin of attraction-region of initial conditions ($\theta(0), \phi(0)$)th at converge to it. A grid discretization of these regions, in blue and red, is shown in Fig. 2(c). Note that the angle θ is 2π periodic. The difference between the cases in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) clearly indicates that, unlike the forward motion, which is always stable [18], there exists stability transitions in the backward motion, and those stability transitions must be accompanied by bifurcations. The stability transition curves, obtained numerically for different parameter ranges, are given in Fig. 3. When the backward solution with $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (\pi, 0)$ undergoes a transition from unstable to stable, a pair of asymmetric branches of unstable periodic solutions begins to evolve (Fig. 4), where $(\theta, \phi) \neq$ $(\pi, 0)$. These unstable asymmetric solutions are denoted as pink dashed loops in Fig. 2(b). As plotted in Fig. 4, we captured a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation at this stability transition. In addition, it is interesting to note that there exist an optimum mean speed $V = \frac{x(T)-x(0)}{T}$ and net displacement X = x(T) - x(0) with respect to $\hat{\beta}$ and ω , and the optimum values can be tuned into a stable region by setting $\gamma \to 0$ for realistic parameter values, as shown in Fig. 5.

Remarkably, Fig. 5 also shows that the swimmer goes faster in the backward direction compared to the forward direction for $\gamma \neq 0$. This effect is even amplified for larger β and γ [see Fig. 5(b)].

In addition, there is nonzero net propulsion in the case of $\gamma = 0$, i.e., zero mean of the field **B**(*t*), which has not been considered in Ref. [18].

B. Analytical investigation

The approach we adopt here is a combination of two methods. First, a small-angle linear approximation in the joint angle ϕ results in a second-order nonlinear equation in θ only, which accurately captures (numerically) the dynamic. Next, we also expand to the second order in θ about π , followed by the harmonic balance approximation.

Expanding all terms in ϕ about $\phi = 0$ in Eq. (4) and taking only up to linear terms gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{60(\gamma\sin(\theta) - \beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega)) + 96\phi}{-16} \\ -6(\gamma\sin(\theta) + 2\phi - \beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega)) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (5)$$

 ϕ can be eliminated from Eq. (5) using the *D* operator $[Df(t) = \frac{df}{dt}]$ as follows. From the second equation of Eq. (5), we obtain

$$(D+12)\phi = -6\gamma\sin(\theta) + 6\beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega).$$
 (6)



FIG. 3. Stability transition curves of the symmetric backward periodic solution with $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (\pi, 0)$. Solid lines show the numerical result and dashed lines show the asymptotic calculation obtained in Eq. (19). In (a), $\gamma = 0.1$; in (b), $\beta = 1$.

Multiplying the first equation of Eq. (5) by (D + 12) and substituting Eq. (6), one obtains

$$(D+12)\dot{\theta} = \frac{60(D+12)(\gamma\sin(\theta) - \beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega)) + 96(D+12)\phi}{-16}.$$
(7)

Eliminating ϕ by substitution of Eq. (6) into Eq. (7) gives a second-order nonlinear time-periodic differential equation in θ only, as

$$\ddot{\theta} + \frac{15}{4}\dot{\theta}(\beta\sin\theta\sin(t\omega) + \gamma\cos\theta) + 9\gamma\sin\theta + 12\dot{\theta} - \frac{15}{4}\beta\omega\cos\theta\cos(t\omega) - 9\beta\cos\theta\sin(t\omega) = 0.$$
(8)

Note that Eq. (8) is analogous to the Kapitza pendulum [20] in the following sense. For zero excitation $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma > 0$, the system has a stable equilibrium point at $\theta = 0$ and an unstable one at the inverted position $\theta = \pi$. For nonzero excitation $\beta > 0$, there is a periodic solution oscillating around $\bar{\theta} = 0$ that is always stable, while stability of the solution with $\bar{\theta} = \pi$ may transition, depending on system parameter values. (More precisely, the system in Eq. (8) is analogous to the Kapitza pendulum with inclined base excitation. See details in Ref. [19]).

Taylor series (second-order) expansion of $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$ in Eq. (8) about $\theta = \pi$ gives

$$\ddot{\theta} + \frac{15}{4}\dot{\theta}\left(-\beta\tilde{\theta}\sin(t\omega) + \gamma\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}^2\right)\right) - 9\gamma\tilde{\theta} + 12\dot{\theta} - \frac{15}{4}\beta\omega\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}^2\right)\cos(t\omega) - 9\beta\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}^2\right)\sin(t\omega) = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \pi$.

For harmonic balance, we assume a periodic solution (truncating after first harmonics):

$$\tilde{\theta}(t) = a_0 + a_1 \cos(t\omega) + b_1 \sin(t\omega)$$
$$\dot{\tilde{\theta}}(t) = -a_1 \omega \sin(t\omega) + b_1 \omega \cos(t\omega)$$
$$\ddot{\tilde{\theta}}(t) = -\omega^2 (a_1 \cos(t\omega) + b_1 \sin(t\omega)).$$
(10)

Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9) and rearranging gives

$$M_0 + \sum_{1}^{k} M_k \sin(k\omega t) + N_k \cos(k\omega t) = 0.$$
 (11)

Equating the coefficients of each harmonic to zero gives a polynomial system of three equations in the unknowns a_0, a_1, b_1 :

$$M_{0} = a_{0} \left(-\frac{9}{2}\beta b_{1} - 9\gamma \right) = 0; M_{1} = \frac{15}{8}a_{0}^{2}b_{1}\gamma\omega - \frac{15}{8}a_{0}^{2}\beta\omega + \frac{15}{32}a_{1}^{2}b_{1}\gamma\omega - \frac{15}{32}a_{1}^{2}\beta\omega - \frac{9}{4}a_{1}\beta b_{1}$$
$$-9a_{1}\gamma - a_{1}\omega^{2} + \frac{15}{32}b_{1}^{3}\gamma\omega - \frac{45}{32}\beta b_{1}^{2}\omega + 12b_{1}\omega - \frac{15}{4}b_{1}\gamma\omega + \frac{15}{4}\beta\omega = 0$$
$$N_{1} = -\frac{15}{8}a_{0}^{2}a_{1}\gamma\omega - \frac{9}{2}a_{0}^{2}\beta - \frac{1}{32}15a_{1}^{3}\gamma\omega - \frac{9}{8}a_{1}^{2}\beta - \frac{15}{32}a_{1}b_{1}^{2}\gamma\omega + \frac{15}{16}a_{1}\beta b_{1}\omega - 12a_{1}\omega + \frac{15}{4}a_{1}\gamma\omega - \frac{27}{8}\beta b_{1}^{2} - 9b_{1}\gamma - b_{1}\omega^{2} + 9\beta = 0.$$
(12)



FIG. 4. In (a), (b), and (c), stability transitions of the backward periodic solution with $\bar{\theta} = \pi$, accompanied by a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation in $\bar{\theta}$ versus β , γ , ω , respectively, are shown. The colored lines show results from numerical calculations. The red dashed and solid lines show symmetric solutions. The green and blue dashed lines show asymmetric solutions. The purple solid lines show the forward solution $\bar{\theta} = 0$. Note that θ is 2π periodic, so that $\bar{\theta} = \{0, 2\pi\}$ denotes the same solution. Black dotted curves show analytical calculations from harmonic balance (see Sec. 3B1). In (d), (e), and (f), the maximal eigenvalue max $|\lambda|$ with a variation of parameters is shown, where crossing $|\lambda| = 1$ indicates stability transition. Here, in (a) and (c), $\omega = 2$, $\gamma = 0.1$; in (b) and (e), $\beta = 1$, $\omega = 10$; and in (c) and (f), $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 0.06$.

Equation (12) can be seen as $M_0 = a_0Q(b_1) = 0$. Two types of possible solutions may exist: symmetric with $a_0 = 0$, and an asymmetric pair with Q = 0 and $a_0 \neq 0$. Assuming $a_0 \neq 0$, note that equations $M_1 = 0$ and $N_1 = 0$ in Eq. (12) only involve quadratic terms of a_0^2 , so we obtain two asymmetric solutions with $\pm a_0$ and same a_1, b_1 . Solutions of Eq. (12) give a nice plot of the pitchfork bifurcation (see Fig. 4) and the result is compared with numerical simulation, where the mean values are $\bar{\theta} = \pi \pm a_0$.

1. Bifurcation conditions

For the bifurcation, we require coincidence of both solution types, so $a_0 = Q = M_1 = N_1 = 0$. The extra fourth equation gives conditions on parameters (β, γ, ω) in addition to solving a_1, b_1 .

$$M_0 = Q = 0$$
 gives $a_0 = 0; \quad b_1 = -\frac{2\gamma}{\beta}.$ (13)

$$M_1 = 0$$
 gives

$$a_{1} = \frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1296\beta^{4}\gamma^{2} - 2304\beta^{4}\gamma\omega^{2} - 5760\beta^{2}\gamma^{3}\omega^{2}}{\beta^{2}\omega^{2} + 64\beta^{4}\omega^{4} - 900\gamma^{6}\omega^{2}}}{\beta^{2}} - 36\gamma - 8\omega^{2}\right)}{15\left(\frac{2\gamma^{2}\omega}{\beta} + \beta\omega\right)}.$$
(14)

And finally $N_1 = 0$ gives

$$9\beta - \frac{15}{32}a_1^3\gamma\omega - \frac{9}{8}a_1^2\beta - 12a_1\omega - \frac{15}{32}a_1b_1^2\gamma\omega + \frac{15}{16}a_1\beta b_1\omega + \frac{15}{4}a_1\gamma\omega - \frac{27}{8}\beta b_1^2 - 9b_1\gamma - b_1\omega^2 = 0.$$
 (15)



FIG. 5. In (a) and (b), the mean speed V versus frequency ω shows the existence of an optimum. Solid lines show numerical calculations and dashed lines show analytical calculations using Eq. (23). (c) shows numerical calculations of net displacement X versus the amplitude of actuation oscillations β , also indicating an optimal value. Here, the analytical calculation (dashed curves) diverges with β . The vertical black lines indicate stability transition of the backward solution; the dotted curve shows the unstable region. The red line shows the ($\bar{\theta}$, $\bar{\phi}$) = (0, 0) branch with nonzero γ , the blue line shows the ($\bar{\theta}$, $\bar{\phi}$) = (π , 0) branch with nonzero γ , and the green line shows the ($\bar{\theta}$, $\bar{\phi}$) = (0, 0) branch with $\gamma = 0$.

Substituting the expressions for a_1 and b_1 from Eqs. (13) and (14) into Eq. (15) gives the bifurcation condition as an equation on β , γ , ω . This equation is cumbersome, and in the following, we try to simplify it by approximation under some scaling assumptions.

2. Asymptotic behavior of bifurcation condition

In order to simplify the expression in Eq. (15) for the bifurcation condition, we now assume the limit of a small magnetic field (relative to spring stiffness) and fast oscillations. That is, the nondimensional parameters satisfy $\gamma \ll \beta \ll 1 < \omega$. More concretely, choosing some $\varepsilon \ll 1$, we assume scaling as $\gamma \sim O(\varepsilon^2), \beta \sim O(\varepsilon)$, and $\omega \sim O(\varepsilon^{-0.5})$. We keep only terms up to $O(\varepsilon)$, while higher orders of ε are neglected.

Based on the previous assumption, $M_1 = 0$ in Eq. (12), the symmetric solution $a_0 = 0$ can be approximated up to $O(\varepsilon)$ as

$$-\frac{15}{32}a_1^2\beta\omega - a_1\omega^2 + \frac{15}{4}\beta\omega + 12b_1\omega = 0, \qquad (16)$$

which gives a_1 as

$$a_1 \approx -\frac{2\left(\pm\sqrt{450\beta^2 + 64(\omega^2 - 45\gamma)} + 8\omega\right)}{15\beta}.$$
 (17)

Rearranging Eq. (16) will give

$$a_1^2 = \frac{8(-4a_1\omega + 15\beta + 48b_1)}{15\beta}.$$
 (18)

Also $N_1 = 0$ in Eq. (12), assuming $a_0 = 0$ up to $O(\varepsilon)$, gives

$$-\frac{9}{8}a_1^2\beta - 12a_1\omega - b_1\omega^2 + 9\beta = 0.$$
 (19)

Substituting Eqs. (17) and (18) into Eq. (19) and rearranging gives the simplified bifurcation condition as

$$\frac{32\omega(\sqrt{450\beta^2 + 64(\omega^2 - 45\gamma) + 8\omega}) + 10\gamma(5\omega^2 + 144)}{25\beta} = 0.$$
 (20)

Importantly, Eq. (20) gives the conditions for stability transition of the symmetric backward solution. The bifurcation condition for different parameters is calculated from the approximate Eq. (20) and is compared with numerical results in the following (Fig. 3, dashed lines), showing excellent agreement with the numerical results. Numerical results are calculated from the original nonlinear system Eq. (3). We also calculated the exact bifurcation condition from Eq. (15), and its curves are not visually distinguishable in Fig. 3.

3. Asymptotic behavior of mean speed

In this section, the mean speed V of the swimmer in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction is calculated for the same asymptotic scaling approximation $\gamma \sim O(\varepsilon^2)$, $\beta \sim O(\varepsilon)$, and $\omega \sim O(\varepsilon^{-0.5})$ as in the previous section. The case shown here is for $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (\pi, 0)$, and the same approach can be used for $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (0, 0)$. For calculating V, we need expressions for $\theta(t)$ and $\phi(t)$ in the symmetric periodic solution. For $\theta(t)$, using the same scaling as in Eq. (16) and expanding Eq. (8) up to the second order in θ and neglecting, retaining only terms up to order $O(\varepsilon)$, the symmetric periodic solution of Eq. (12) with $a_0 = 0$ is obtained as

$$a_{1} = \frac{2(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{9\beta^{2}(5\omega^{2} + 144)^{2} + 32\omega^{2}(\omega^{2} + 144)^{2} - 8\omega^{3} - 1152\omega)}}{3\beta(5\omega^{2} + 144)}$$

$$b_{1} = \frac{32\omega(1152\omega + 8\omega^{3} - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{32\omega^{2}(144 + \omega^{2})^{2} + 9\beta^{2}(144 + 5\omega^{2})^{2}})}{\beta(144 + 5\omega^{2})^{2}}.$$
(21)

As for $\phi(t)$, again we seek the harmonic balance solution as

$$\phi(t) = c_1 \cos(t\omega) + d_1 \sin(t\omega). \tag{22}$$

The trigonometric functions of Eq. (5) in θ are expanded up to the second order around $\theta = \pi$:

$$\dot{\phi} + 6(\gamma(\pi - \theta) - 2\phi + \beta \left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \pi)^2 \right) \sin(t\omega)) = 0.$$
 (23)

Equation (22) is substituted into Eq. (23) to solve $\phi(t)$ using a harmonic balance [using the assumptions as in Eq. (10)], and the coefficients are obtained as follows:

$$c_{1} = -\frac{3(-24a_{1}\beta b_{1} + a_{1}^{2}\beta\omega - 96a_{1}\gamma + 3\beta b_{1}^{2}\omega + 8b_{1}\gamma\omega - 8\beta\omega)}{4(\omega^{2} + 144)}$$

$$d_{1} = \frac{3(a_{1}\beta b_{1}\omega + 6a_{1}^{2}\beta + 4a_{1}\gamma\omega + 18\beta b_{1}^{2} + 48b_{1}\gamma - 48\beta)}{2(\omega^{2} + 144)}.$$
(24)

Then, it can be continued to find $\dot{x}(t)$ as a function of a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 . The mean speed $\dot{x}(t)$ in the \hat{x} direction can be obtained as [see Eq. (A13) in Appendix]:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{3\sin(\theta) \left(\sum_{-(\sin^2(\phi) + 5)(-\beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega) + \gamma\sin(\theta) + \phi)}^{\cos^2(\phi) + 5(-\beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega) + \gamma\sin(\theta) + \phi)} \right)}{34 - 2\cos(2\phi)} -\frac{3\sin(\phi)\cos(\theta)(\cos(\phi)(-\beta\cos(\theta)\sin(t\omega) + \gamma\sin(\theta) + \phi) + 3\phi)}{\cos^2(\phi) - 9}.$$
(25)

Now, expanding the trigonometric functions in Eq. (25) to the first order about $\theta = \pi$ and $\phi = 0$ gives

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{3(\pi - \theta)\binom{(\beta \sin(t\omega) + \gamma(\pi - \theta) + \phi)}{-5(\beta \sin(t\omega) + \gamma(\pi - \theta) + \phi) + 4\phi}}{32} - \frac{3\phi((\beta \sin(t\omega) + \gamma(\pi - \theta) + \phi) + 3\phi)}{8}.$$
(26)

Substituting the a_1, b_1 series for $\theta(t)$ and the c_1, d_1 series for $\phi(t)$ from Eqs. (10) and (22) into Eq. (26), and then rearranging and removing all oscillating terms to keep only the constant terms gives the final equation for V as follows:

$$V \approx -\frac{3}{64} \left(4\beta b_1 + 16c_1^2 + 4\beta d_1 + 16d_1^2 \right).$$
(27)

Substituting a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 from Eqs. (21) and (24) into Eq. (27), one obtains V as a function of β , γ , and ω . Equation. (27) gives the asymptotic approximation of V for the backward solution with $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (\pi, 0)$, and the expression for the forward solution $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}) = (0, 0)$ can be obtained in a similar way. The dashed curves in Figs. 5(a) and 5(c)show the analytical prediction of V for both forward (red) and backward (blue) solutions. It can be seen that there is good agreement between the analytic approximation and the numeric calculation of V as a function of ω in Fig. 5(a), which also qualitatively captures the optimal point with some deviation. In Fig. 5(c), for large β , the deviation between the approximate and numeric calculation is very large since β and γ are large, and violate the scaling assumptions $\beta \sim O(\varepsilon)$ and $\gamma \ll \beta \ll 1 < \omega$, and it is not shown for higher values of β . The deviation of the analytical calculation from the numerical calculation is more evident in Fig. 5(c), which plots X as a function of β , where one can see a good agreement between the approximate and numeric calculations only up to $\beta \sim 1.5$ for the backward motion, and a larger deviation beyond this range due to the violation of the scaling assumptions.

IV. CONCLUSION

The periodic dynamics of microswimmer propulsion in the backward direction gives very interesting findings. In the forward direction, the motion is always stable, whereas in the backward direction ($\bar{\theta} = \pi$), the swimmer shows a stability transition with a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation upon varying a single parameter out of β , γ , ω (see Fig. 4). Surprisingly, the swimmer can go faster in the backward direction than the forward direction, and nonzero net propulsion exists for the case $\gamma = 0$. The parameter γ can be tuned to obtain the optimal mean speed or displacement in the stable region, which calls for the scope of an experimental validation and gives a hint toward its engineering applications in the future. Again, γ is a very sensitive parameter in the system and the dynamics at $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ need further investigation to get a full picture of the nonlinear dynamics in the domain.

The numerical approach successfully calculated the stability, bifurcation, and optimal values of the swimmer's motion for the fixed point around $[\pi; 0]$ for a different range of parameters. The harmonic balance approach together with a small-angle approximation of ϕ , as well as using scaling assumptions on β , γ , ω , predicts very well the symmetric and asymmetric branches of the bifurcation, the mean speed of the swimmer with respect to the actuation frequency, and the bifurcation condition [Eq. (20)].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research of Y.O. is partially supported by Israel Ministry of Science and Technology (Grant No. 3-17383).

APPENDIX: EXPLICIT CALCULATION OF DYNAMIC EQUATION OF THE TWO-LINK MICROSWIMMER

Here, we review the explicit derivation of the two-link swimmer's equations of motion, which were briefly formulated previously in Refs. [18,19]. By representing the forces and torques as $\mathbf{F}_i = (\mathbf{f}_i, m_i)$ for i = 1, 2, Eq. (1) can be written in the matrix form as follows.

$$\mathbf{F}_{i} = -\mathbf{R}_{i}(\phi)\mathbf{V}_{i}; \quad \mathbf{V}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{i} \\ \omega_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_{i}(\phi)\mathbf{v}_{b} + \mathbf{E}_{i}(\phi)\dot{\phi}, \tag{A1}$$

where \mathbf{v}_i is the vector of velocities, ω_i is the angular velocity, and \mathbf{v}_i , \mathbf{f}_i are expressed in the frame $(\hat{\mathbf{t}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ attached to link 1, the head. Also, in Eq. (A1), $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}} = (v_t, v_n, \dot{\theta})^T$, where v_t and v_n are the tangential and normal velocities to the head and can be converted into the generalized coordinates by using the rotation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} v_t \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A2)

In Eq. (A1), \mathbf{R}_i represents the hydrodynamic resistance matrices and are given as [22]

$$\mathbf{R}_{1}(\phi) = c_{t}l \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6}l^{2} \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{R}_{2}(\phi) = c_{t}l \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sin^{2}\phi & \cos\phi\sin\phi & 0 \\ \cos\phi\sin\phi & 1 + \cos^{2}\phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6}l^{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (A3)

The terms \mathbf{T}_i and \mathbf{E}_i are described as

$$\mathbf{T}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{E}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{T}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -0.5l \sin \phi \\ 0 & 1 & -0.5l - 0.5l \cos \phi \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{E}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5l \sin \phi \\ 0.5l \cos \phi \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(A4)

The net hydrodynamic force on the *i*th link can be represented as

$$\mathbf{F}_{hyd,i} = -\mathbf{R}_i(\mathbf{T}_i\mathbf{v_b} + \mathbf{E}_i\dot{\phi}). \tag{A5}$$

Similarly, the generalized magnetic force vector acting on the *i*th link and the torque acting at the joint are given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\\mathbf{L}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}; \quad \tau = -k\phi.$$
(A6)

Again, assuming the swimmer moves quasi-statically, the net forces and torques on each link will be zero:

$$\sum \mathbf{T}_{i}^{T}(\mathbf{F}_{hyd,i} + \mathbf{F}_{b,i}) = 0; \quad \sum \mathbf{E}_{i}^{T}(\mathbf{F}_{hyd,i} + \mathbf{F}_{b,i}) + \tau = 0.$$
(A7)

Substituting Eqs. (A1), (A3), (A4), and (A6) in Eq. (A7) gives

$$\mathbf{R}_{bb}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{R}_{bu}\dot{\phi} = \sum \mathbf{T}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{F}_{b}; \quad \mathbf{R}_{bu}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{R}_{uu}\dot{\phi} = \sum E_{i}^{T}\mathbf{F}_{b} - k\phi,$$
(A8)

where $\mathbf{R}_{bb} = \sum \mathbf{T}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{T}_i$; $\mathbf{R}_{bu} = \sum \mathbf{T}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{E}_i$; $\mathbf{R}_{uu} = \sum \mathbf{E}_i^T \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{E}_i$.

1

We can write the previous equations in a concise matrix form as follows:

$$\mathbf{A}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}}\\\dot{\phi}\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b}; \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{bb} & \mathbf{R}_{bu}\\ \mathbf{R}_{bu}^{T} & \mathbf{R}_{uu} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum \mathbf{T}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{F}_{b,i}\\ \sum \mathbf{E}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{F}_{b,i} - k\phi \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A9}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = c_t l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin(\phi)\cos(\phi) & \cos^2(\phi) + 3 & -2l\cos^4(\frac{\phi}{2}) & l\cos(\phi) \\ -\frac{l}{2}\sin(\phi)(\cos(\phi) + 2) & -2l\cos^4(\frac{\phi}{2}) & \frac{l^2}{24}(24\cos(\phi) + 3\cos(2\phi) + 29) & \frac{l^2}{6}(-3\cos(\phi) - 4) \\ l\sin(\phi) & l\cos(\phi) & \frac{l^2}{6}(-3\cos(\phi) - 4) & \frac{2l^2}{3} \end{pmatrix},$$
(A10)
$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ h(b\cos(\theta)\sin(t\Omega) - c\sin(\theta)) \\ -k\phi \end{pmatrix},$$
(A11)



 $(\cos(\phi)-3)(\cos(\phi)+3)$

Using the nondimensionalization introduced in Sec. II, one obtains Eq. (25).

- [1] J. Happel and H. Brenner, Low Reynolds Number Hydrodynamics, Vol. 1 (Springer, Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1981).
- [2] G. I. Taylor, Analysis of the swimming of microscopic organisms, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. Math. Phys. Sci. 209, 447 (1951).
- [3] J. Lighthill, in Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, edited by E. Becker and G. K. Mikhailov (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1973), pp. 29-46.
- [4] S. Childress, Mechanics of Swimming and Flying (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981).
- [5] E. M. Purcell, Life at low reynolds number, Am. J. Phys. 45, 3 (1977).
- [6] L. E. Becker, S. A. Koehler, and H. A. Stone, On selfpropulsion of micro-machines at low Reynolds number: Purcell's three-link swimmer, J. Fluid Mech. 490, 15 (2003).
- [7] D. Tam and A. E. Hosoi, Optimal Stroke Patterns for Purcell's Three-Link Swimmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 068105 (2007).
- [8] E. Gutman and Y. Or, Symmetries and gaits for Purcell's threelink microswimmer model, IEEE Trans. Robot. 32, 53 (2016).
- [9] E. Lauga, Floppy swimming: Viscous locomotion of actuated elastica, Phys. Rev. E 75, 041916 (2007).
- [10] J. Simons, L. Fauci, and R. Cortez, A fully three-dimensional model of the interaction of driven elastic filaments in a stokes flow with applications to sperm motility, J. Biomech. 48, 1639 (2015).
- [11] B. J. Nelson, I. K. Kaliakatsos, and J. J. Abbott, Microrobots for minimally invasive medicine, Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 12, 55 (2010).
- [12] S. J. Ebbens and J. R. Howse, In pursuit of propulsion at the nanoscale, Soft Matter 6, 726 (2010).
- [13] R. W. Carlsen and M. Sitti, Bio-hybrid cell-based actuators for microsystems, Small 10, 3831 (2014).

- [14] A. Ghosh and P. Fischer, Controlled propulsion of artificial magnetic nanostructured propellers, Nano Lett. 9, 2243 (2009).
- [15] R. Dreyfus, J. Baudry, M. L. Roper, M. Fermigier, H. A. Stone, and J. Bibette, Microscopic artificial swimmers, Nature (London) 437, 862 (2005).
- [16] B. Jang, E. Gutman, N. Stucki, B. F. Seitz, P. D. Wendel-Garcia, T. Newton, J. Pokki, O. Ergeneman, S. Pané, Y. Or, and B. J. Nelson, Undulatory locomotion of magnetic multilink nanoswimmers, Nano Lett. 15, 4829 (2015).
- [17] T. Li, J. Li, K. I. Morozov, Z. Wu, T. Xu, I. Rozen, A. M. Leshansky, L. Li, and J. Wang, Highly efficient freestyle magnetic nanoswimmer, Nano Lett. 17, 5092 (2017).
- [18] E. Gutman and Y. Or, Simple model of a planar undulating magnetic microswimmer, Phys. Rev. E 90, 013012 (2014).
- [19] Y. Harduf, D. Jin, Y. Or, and L. Zhang, Nonlinear parametric excitation effect induces stability transitions in swimming direction of flexible superparamagnetic microswimmers, Soft Robot. 5, 389 (2018).
- [20] P. L. Kapitza, Dynamic stability of a pendulum with an oscillating point of suspension, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz 21, 588 (1951).
- [21] R. G. Cox, The motion of long slender bodies in a viscous fluid: Part 1: General theory, J. Fluid Mech. 44, 791 (1970).
- [22] O. Wiezel and Y. Or, Optimization and small-amplitude analysis of Purcell's three-link microswimmer model, Proc. R. Soc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 472, 20160425 (2016).
- [23] A. H. Nayfeh, Perturbation Methods (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008).
- [24] A. H. Nayfeh and D. T. Mook, Nonlinear Oscillations (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008).
- [25] J. Guckenheimer and P. Holmes, Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields, Vol. 42 (Springer New York, 1983).