Synchronization of dust acoustic waves in a forced Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers model

Ajaz Mir[®],^{1,*} Sanat Tiwari[®],^{1,†} Abhijit Sen[®],² Chris Crabtree,³ Gurudas Ganguli[®],³ and John Goree[®]

¹Indian Institute of Technology Jammu, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir 181221, India

²Institute for Plasma Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382428, India

³Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, District of Columbia 20375, USA

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA

(Received 29 September 2022; revised 23 January 2023; accepted 17 February 2023; published 9 March 2023)

The synchronization of dust acoustic waves to an external periodic source is studied in the framework of a driven Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation that takes into account the appropriate nonlinear and dispersive nature of low-frequency waves in a dusty plasma medium. For a spatiotemporally varying source term, the system is shown to demonstrate harmonic (1:1) and superharmonic (1:2) synchronized states. The existence domains of these states are delineated in the form of Arnold tongue diagrams in the parametric space of the forcing amplitude and forcing frequency and their resemblance to some past experimental results is discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.107.035202

I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear phenomenon of frequency synchronization is ubiquitous in many physical, chemical, and biological systems and has been the subject of a large number of studies over the past several years [1-3]. The simplest mathematical model describing this phenomenon consists of an ensemble of globally coupled nonlinear point oscillators that adjust their intrinsic frequencies to a common collective frequency as the coupling strength is increased [4-7]. Such a nonlinear phenomenon can also be observed in a continuum medium (a fluid) where a self-excited oscillation or a wave can interact with a driving force and adjust its oscillation or wave frequency [8–13]. A plasma system with its wide variety of collective modes and complex nonlinear dynamics provides a rich and challenging medium for the exploration of synchronization phenomena. A number of past experimental studies have examined the driven response of a plasma to an external frequency source [9-11,14-23]. These studies include the synchronization of waves and oscillations at ion and dust dynamical scales as well as chaos and wave turbulence. There have also been a few studies devoted to an investigation of mutual synchronization between two plasma devices [24-26].

More recently, synchronization phenomena have been experimentally explored in dusty plasma devices where it is easy to visualize the low-frequency wave activity using fast video imaging. A dusty plasma is a four-component plasma of electrons, ions, neutral gas atoms, and micron-size particles of solid matter [27–29]. It can be produced in a laboratory device like a glow discharge plasma, by introducing micron-sized solid particles [30–33]. These small solid particles (dust) get negatively charged by absorbing more electrons which have a higher mobility than ions. Such a charged medium consisting

of dust, ions, and electrons can sustain a variety of collective modes [29,34-36]. The dust acoustic wave (DAW) or dust density wave (DDW) first theoretically predicted by Rao et al. [37] is one such well-known low-frequency compressional mode that is analogous to the ion acoustic wave [29,38]. A DAW can be spontaneously excited due to the onset of an ion-streaming instability. The DAW has a very low frequency (typically 10-100 Hz) [14,30] due to the large mass of the dust particles and can consequently be visually observed through its images and video recording [31,39-41]. The term "dust density wave" originated as a generalization of "dust acoustic wave," after observing wavefronts (visible in the dust cloud) that appeared to be oblique with respect to the ion drift direction [42]. Two key factors led to the use of the term DDW, namely, the presence of ion drift and an oblique orientation of the wavefront and its propagation with respect to the ion drift. Since then, many research groups have used the term "dust density wave" and "dust acoustic wave" synonymously [14,31,35,43–45]. The present work focuses on the synchronization of DAW using the forced Korteweg-de Vries–Burgers (fKdV-B) model.

Synchronization of dust acoustic waves has been studied in anodic [15], radio-frequency (RF), and direct-current (DC) plasmas [14,16,46]. Pilch et al. [15] reported the entrainment of DAWs through a driving modulation to the anode. Ruhunusiri et al. [14] reported observation of harmonic, superharmonic, and subharmonic synchrony of self-excited cnoidal DAWs. This was achieved through the driven modulation of the streaming ions in the dust cloud. Their experiments showed parametric regions for the occurrence of such synchrony in the form of Arnold tongue diagrams in the state space of the driving frequency and driving amplitude. They also observed features like the branching of the tongues and the existence of an amplitude threshold for synchronization to occur. Williams et al. [16] compared DAW synchronization in RF and DC generated plasmas. Their results suggested that in a RF plasma, synchronization was restricted to a part

^{*}ajaz.mir@iitjammu.ac.in

[†]sanat.tiwari@iitjammu.ac.in

of the dust cloud volume unlike the complete dust cloud synchrony in a DC discharge plasma. Deka *et al.* [46] observed the synchronization of self-excited DDW, through the suppression mechanism, by modulating ion streaming using an external sinusoidal driver. Recently, Liu *et al.* [47] carried out experiments in the Plasma Kristall-4 (PK-4) device on board the International Space Station (ISS) under microgravity conditions and reported phase locking for harmonic synchronization. The present work is motivated by Ruhunusiri *et al.*'s [14] experiment on global synchronization of a DDW driven by an ion flow. Unlike the DDW in some experiments [42,48], the wavefronts were not obliquely propagating, as the experiment was designed to have a planar symmetry, provided by proximity to a planar electrode, so the wavefronts were nearly perpendicular to the ion flow direction.

Theoretical efforts toward interpretation and physical understanding of these experimental results have so far been limited to providing qualitative comparisons with results obtained from very simple dynamical models. One of the commonly employed mathematical model is the periodically forced Van der Pol (fVdP) oscillator [1,3,49],

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} - (c_1 - c_2 x^2)\frac{dx}{dt} + \omega_0^2 x = A_{dr}\cos(2\pi f_{dr}t), \quad (1)$$

which describes the displacement x of a harmonic oscillator with a natural frequency ω_0 , with terms for a nonlinear damping $c_2 x^2 dx/dt$, a source of energy for self-excitation $c_1 dx/dt$, and a periodic driving source of amplitude A_{dr} at a frequency f_{dr} . The fVdP oscillator can exhibit synchronization not only at $f_{dr}/f_0 \approx 1$, which is called "harmonic" synchronization, but at ratios that are rational numbers. If $f_{dr}/f_0 > 1$, the synchronization is said to be "superharmonic," whereas if $f_{dr}/f_0 < 1$ it is "subharmonic." Although the VdP oscillator model has been used in the past as a reference for characterizing synchronization phenomena in plasmas and other media that support the propagation of waves [10,11,14,18,24,46,50], it should be pointed out that as a point oscillator model its dynamics is restricted to nonlinear oscillations and it cannot correctly represent nonlinear waves. This is also evident from the fact that the VdP model is an ordinary differential equation in time and therefore has no spatial dynamics that characterizes a propagating wave. In addition, for nonlinear dust acoustic or dust density waves dispersion plays an important role in defining their propagation characteristics and this is not built into the VdP model. As a promising step in capturing spatial properties of a wave, one modeling approach to explain cluster or partial synchronization of propagating DDWs [51] under microgravity conditions [42] used a chain of coupled Van der Pol oscillators [52]. As a further advance, however, there remains a need to develop a simple theoretical model, based on a wave equation, that successfully describes the global synchronization of waves exhibiting both nonlinearity and dispersion, in a plasma medium.

In this paper, we present such a model and use it to demonstrate synchronization of nonlinear dust acoustic waves to an external driver. The fKdV-B model is a generalization of the fKdV model that was developed by Sen *et al.* [53] for driven nonlinear acoustic waves and subsequently extensively used to study nonlinear precursor solitons in dusty plasma experiments [54,55]. For our study we include viscous dissipation in the model, an important feature of most laboratory studies of dusty plasmas [56,57], which converts the fKdV to a fKdV-B model. Such a model provides a proper theoretical framework for the study of synchronization in a realistic dispersive plasma system that includes natural growth and dissipation of waves. The driving term is chosen to have an oscillatory form that has both a temporal and spatial periodicity. Our numerical solution of the model equation shows clear signatures of harmonic (1:1) and superharmonic (1:2) synchronization. The characteristic features of the synchronization are delineated using power spectral density (PSD) plots, phase space plots, and Lissajous plots obtained from the time-series data collected at one spatial location. A parametric plot in the form of an Arnold tongue diagram shows multiple tongues, each corresponding to the existence region of a harmonic or a higher order superharmonic synchronized state. The harmonic tongue also show a branching behavior.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II briefly describes the fKdV-B model and the numerical approach adopted to solve it. The section also presents some numerical results for the undriven KdV and KdV-B equations as background information on the characteristic nonlinear features of the waves and to describe the diagnostic tools to be used for identifying synchronization phenomena. Section III presents our main results on harmonic and superharmonic synchronization using the fKdV-B model. A brief summary and some concluding discussion are provided in Sec. IV.

II. THE FKDV-B EQUATION AND THE NUMERICAL APPROACH

The fKdV-B equation, a one-dimensional driven nonlinear partial differential equation, is of the form

$$\frac{\partial n(x,t)}{\partial t} + \alpha n(x,t) \frac{\partial n(x,t)}{\partial x} + \beta \frac{\partial^3 n(x,t)}{\partial x^3} - \eta \frac{\partial^2 n(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = F_s(x,t).$$
(2)

Here n(x, t) is the dependent variable (the perturbed density in this case) and $F_s(x, t)$ is an external spatiotemporal forcing term. α , β , and η are positive quantities representing the strength of nonlinearity, dispersion, and viscous damping, respectively. The spatial coordinate *x* and time *t* are normalized by the plasma Debye length λ_D and the dust plasma period ω_{pd}^{-1} , respectively.

It should be mentioned that the KdV equation [i.e., Eq. (2) in the absence of the viscous damping and driving term] has been shown to model the evolution of weakly nonlinear waves in dusty plasmas both in the presence [38] and in the absence [37] of ion streaming. Hence, it can correctly represent both nonlinear dust density and dust acoustic waves. Recently, Liu *et al.* [38] showed that the cnoidal solution of the KdV shows excellent agreement with the DDW profiles observed in the dusty plasma experiments [58,59]. Theoretically, the experimental DDW evolution was modeled by the KdV model in which the ion streaming was taken into consideration [38]. Earlier, a theoretical model based on the fKdV equation [60] was used to explore the nonlinear mixing of longitudinal dust lattice waves observed in the dusty plasma experiment [61].

Nonlinear mixing means the natural mode and the external forcing mode retain their identity after interaction and excited frequencies are different combinations of addition and subtraction of the natural and forcing modes. The present theoretical fKdV-B model is proposed to understand the global synchronization of the dust acoustic wave as was observed in the dusty plasma experiment [14]. Synchronization means the natural mode loses its identity and the system is controlled by the external driver. Here, we model synchronization by incorporating the viscous damping instead of nonlinear mixing as was done in Ref. [60]. The fKdV-B equation can be derived from the full fluid-Poisson set of equations, in the weakly nonlinear, dispersive, and dissipative regime by using a reductive perturbation method [56,62]. Such a derivation in the absence of the viscosity term has been given in detail by Sen et al. [53]. The KdV-B equation [i.e., Eq. (2) in the absence of the driving term] is well known in the literature [56,57,62] and has been employed in the past to model oscillatory shocks in dusty plasmas [56,63]. The model has also been used to study temporal chaos or spatial chaos by using a randomly time-varying [64] or randomly space-varying [65] driving term. In earlier work by Sen *et al.* [53], the source term was taken to be a constant, while in this work, we use a spatiotemporally varying periodic source and carry out a numerical investigation of Eq. (2) to study the synchronization of DAWs based on the fKdV-B model.

The driving source is taken to be of the form of a cnoidalsquare traveling wave,

$$F_s(x,t) = A_s cn^2 [2K(\kappa_s) \{ x/\lambda_s - f_s t \}; \kappa_s], \qquad (3)$$

where *cn* is the Jacobi elliptic function, A_s is the driving amplitude, λ_s is the spatial wave length, and f_s is the driving frequency. $K(\kappa)$ is the complete elliptic integral of first kind and the elliptic parameter κ is a measure of the nonlinearity of the wave. The cnoidal-square traveling wave is an exact solution of the KdV equation. It can therefore mimic the driving of the system by a DAW arising from an external (coupled) plasma source. For the numerical solution of Eq. (2), the initial waveform is also taken to be of the form

$$n(x, t = 0) = A_0 c n^2 [2K(\kappa_0) \{x/\lambda_0\}; \kappa_0],$$
(4)

with the values of A_0 , f_0 , and λ_0 different from those of the driving source. The idea is to see whether the final driven modes of the system synchronize to the frequency of the driver. Equation (2) is solved for various values of f_s and A_s in order to find the regions of synchronization in the parameter space of (A_s, f_s) .

Our numerical investigation of the fKdV-B equation is based on the pseudospectral method [66] and uses periodic boundary conditions. The code is first bench marked by reproducing earlier results [53,67] obtained for the fKdV equation. The various parameter values associated with the model are taken to be as follows: The Jacobi elliptic parameters $\kappa_0 = \kappa_s = 0.98$ for Eqs. (3) and (4). The wave vector of the initial perturbation, i.e., $k_0 = 12k_m$ where $k_m = (2\pi)/L_x$ is the minimum wave vector associated with a system of length $L_x = 6\pi$. The corresponding wavelength, i.e., $\lambda_0 = (2\pi)/k_0$ and amplitude A_0 of the initial perturbation [i.e., Eq. (4)] are kept fixed throughout the analysis. We have taken $k_s = 12k_m$ and $k_s = 2 \times 12k_m$ for studying harmonic

(1:1) and superharmonic (1:2) synchronization states. The corresponding forcing wavelength is $\lambda_s = (2\pi)/k_s$. Throughout the analysis, we have only varied the forcing amplitude, A_s , and forcing frequency, f_s . The coefficient α in Eq. (2) is given by the expression $\alpha = [\delta^2 + (3\delta + \sigma)\sigma + (\delta/2)(1 + \delta)\sigma + (\delta/2)(0 + \delta)\sigma + (\delta/2)(0 + \delta)\sigma + (\delta/2)(0 +$ σ^2)]/($\delta - 1$)² [55] and $\beta = 0.5$. We evaluate $\alpha = 2.3$ with $\sigma = T_{i0}/T_{e0} = 0.0036$ where electron and ion temperatures are $T_{e0} = 7$ eV and $T_{i0} = 0.025$ eV, respectively, and $\delta =$ $n_{i0}/n_{e0} = 3.4$ where electron and ion densities are $n_{e0} = 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and $n_{i0} = 6.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-3}$, respectively. The nonlinearity parameters α was measured from experimental parameters reported by Flanagan et al. [58] for a wave experiment using a setup similar to that of Ruhunusiri *et al.* [14]. Since there is no measurement of the viscosity parameter in Flanagan et al. [58] and no value is reported for the experimental setup of Ruhunusiri et al. [14], we treat the viscosity coefficient to be a free parameter, which we adjust to obtain a good quantitative agreement with the signatures of dissipation in the experimental data of Ruhunusiri et al. [14], namely the Arnold tongues. A value of $\eta = 0.0025$ best fits the experimental data. Using the experimental plasma parameters [58] and assuming dust temperature $T_d = 2$ eV, we calculate Coulomb coupling strength $\Gamma = 92$ and Debye screening parameter $\kappa_D = 2.8$. Referring to molecular dynamics simulations for dusty plasmas for the corresponding closest $\Gamma = 100$ and $\kappa_D = 3$, the value of normalized viscosity is $\eta^* = 0.04$ [68,69]. This value of viscosity translates to $\eta = 0.0027$ as per the KdV-B equation normalization, which is fairly close to our chosen value of viscosity for the simulations of the fKdV-B model. Furthermore, we take the same experimental values of the natural and driver frequencies as reported in the experiment [14] to carry out numerical solutions of the fKdV-B model, i.e., Eq. (2). Also, based on the chosen parameters α , β , κ_0 , and k_0 , the initial perturbation has amplitude $A_0 = 46.32$ and frequency $f_0 = 22$ Hz, which are derived using the relationship provided by Mir et al. [60]. The amplitude of the initial perturbation chosen in this fashion will be governed by the exact solution of the KdV and will be a stable solution of KdV for this particular amplitude.

We evolve the initial perturbation in Eq. (2) over long times for these various different parameter values. During the spatiotemporal evolution, we collect a time series of the density field at a fixed spatial location and use it to calculate the power spectral density. The PSD provides a useful tool for distinguishing between synchronized and unsynchronized states.

As an illustrative example, we show in Fig. 1 the PSD, the time series, and the phase space plot of the solution, obtained for a KdV (solid line) equation [Eq. (2) for $\eta = A_s = 0$]. The time-series data has been collected up to $t_{\text{max}} = 80 \omega_{pd}^{-1}$ with a time step $dt = 10^{-5} \omega_{pd}^{-1}$. The maximum sampling frequency $f_S = 1/dt$ and the Nyquist frequency is $f_N = f_S/2$. This leads to a frequency resolution of $df = 1/t_{\text{max}}$ for the collected time series. The time-series data corresponding to the first few tens of periods are discarded to remove transient effects while constructing the PSD. In Fig. 1, the nonlinear character of the mode is evident from the presence of the higher harmonics in the PSD and from the shape of wave form in the time series. The natural mode of KdV has a frequency



FIG. 1. PSD for the times series of KdV (solid line) and KdV-B (dash-dotted line) equations with initial perturbation Eq. (4). Insets (I) and (II) show the phase space plots and time series, respectively, for KdV (solid line) and KdV-B (dash-dotted line) models.

 $f_0 = 22$ Hz. The single-cycle phase space plot (solid line) with its form resembling a separatrix curve indicates an undamped nonlinear periodic wave, in this case the exact cnoidal-square wave. Also, for comparison, we present in Fig. 1 the corresponding results for the undriven KdV-B (dashdotted) equation [Eq. (2) for $\eta = 0.0025$ and $A_s = 0$] on top of the KdV (solid line) equation. The effect of viscous damping is seen in the frequency shift of the fundamental component in the PSD toward a lower value of $f_0^{\eta} = 15$ Hz, the reduced amplitude in the time series, and the spiraling of the phase space plot (dash-dotted) toward the origin. It is clear that in the presence of finite viscosity the cnoidal-square wave can no longer be sustained as a nonlinear solution of Eq. (2)with $F_s = 0$ and the initial perturbation decays in time. The question is whether by driving the system with a periodic source one can revive and sustain a nonlinear solution that is also synchronized with the driver. The answer is in the positive and we next present our results on such a phenomenon.

III. SYNCHRONIZATION IN FKDV-B MODEL

In this section, we present the main results of our work, namely, the synchronization of the solutions of Eq. (2) to an external driver of the form given by Eq. (3). We begin by discussing harmonic (1:1) synchronization for which we choose the driving frequency to be slightly away from the fundamental frequency of $f_0 = 22$ Hz that is characteristic fundamental frequency of the undriven system. Two cases are considered, namely, $f_s = 21$ and $f_s = 23$ Hz. The driving amplitude in both cases is taken to be $A_s = 0.40A_0$. Figure 2 shows the attainment of harmonic (1:1) synchronization for both these cases with Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) devoted to $f_s = 21$ Hz and Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) to $f_s = 23$ Hz, respectively. As can be seen from the time-series plots in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c), the driven solutions are indeed locked to the driver. This is also clearly seen in the PSDs where the fundamental frequencies of the driven solutions are indeed at the frequency of the driver. Furthermore, the phase space plots in Figs. 2(b) and 2(d) show that these solutions constitute undamped nonlinear periodic waves that are maintained by a balance between the nonlinear steepening, dispersive broadening, viscous damping, and amplification due to the external pumping by the driving term. The resultant phase space curve that has the characteristic shape of a separatrix represents a stationary cnoidal wave solution. The presence of dissipation seems to be necessary for sustaining this synchronized driven solution. We have found that in the partial differential equation (2), including not just nonlinear and dispersive terms but also a linear dissipative term allowed achieving synchronization of a wave. When we turned off dissipation, by setting the viscosity coefficient to zero in Eq. (2), we did not observe synchronization of the wave, for the conditions that we studied here. This is different from the case of a point oscillator, as described by the Van der Pol oscillator Eq. (1), which requires a nonlinear dissipation term to obtain synchronization. In the absence of viscosity, one only gets nonlinear mixing from the model as has been reported earlier in Mir et al. [60,67]. The amount of viscosity also determines the threshold condition for the driver amplitude.

To explore superharmonic (1:2) synchronization, we again consider two cases of $f_s = 43$ Hz and $f_s = 45$ Hz which are slightly below and above the first harmonic frequency $2f_0 = 44$ Hz of the undriven system. The results are shown in Fig. 3 where Figs. 3(a) and 3(c) are devoted to $f_s = 43$ Hz and Figs. 3(b) and 3(d) to $f_s = 45$ Hz, respectively. As in the previous case of harmonic synchronization, we see clear evidence of superharmonic (2:1) synchronization in the time-series plots, the PSDs, and the phase space plots. The Lissajous figures have a figure eight-like trajectory which is indicative of a (1:2) synchronized state. One significant difference from the harmonic synchronization case is that the minimum threshold amplitude for the driver to achieve a (1:2) state is different for the cases $f_s < 2f_0$ and $f_s > 2f_0$. They are $A_s = 0.60A_0$ and $A_s = 0.50A_0$, respectively.

Finally, in Fig. 4 we present a consolidated picture of the existence domain of these synchronized states in the parameter space of the driver frequency f_s and driver amplitude A_s in form of an Arnold tongue diagram. To obtain the Arnold tongue diagram, A_s is varied in steps of 4.63 (which is $0.10A_0$) from 0 to 32.42 (which is $0.70A_0$) while f_s is varied in steps of 0.5 Hz for harmonic synchronization and 1.0 Hz for the superharmonic case. Figure 4 shows the (1:1) and (1:2) entrained state tongues in the fKdV-B model.



FIG. 2. The harmonic (1:1) synchronization in the fKdV-B model with $f_s < f_0$ and $f_s > f_0$. The time series of the fKdV-B model (solid line) and the forcing (dash-dotted line) at driver frequency (a) $f_s = 21$ Hz with threshold amplitude $A_s = 0.40A_0$ and (b) $f_s = 23$ Hz with threshold amplitude $A_s = 0.40A_0$. (c) PSD of time series (a). (d) PSD of time series (b). The inset (I) is the phase space plot and the inset (II) is the Lissajous figure which reflects the frequency locking at the driver frequency.

We observe several interesting features in the Arnold tongue diagram. To start with, there is always a threshold amplitude A_s below which no synchronization occurs. For the harmonic (1:1) synchronization, it is $A_s = 0.10A_0$ for $\eta = 0.0025$. This is unlike the harmonic synchronization phenomenon observed in a driven Van der Pol model where no

such threshold is found [70]. Another important feature is a distinctive branching of the Arnold tongue that is clearly seen for the (1:1) states at low forcing amplitudes marked with arrows. The branching gives rise to a nonsynchronized region between the frequencies $f_s = 22$ Hz to $f_s = 18$ Hz at driver amplitude $A_s = 0.10A_0$. This branching narrows down



FIG. 3. The superharmonic (1:2) synchronization in the fKdV-B model with $f_s < 2f_0$ and $f_s > 2f_0$. The time series of the fKdV-B model (solid line) and the forcing (dash-dotted line) at driver frequency (a) $f_s = 43$ Hz with threshold amplitude $A_s = 0.60A_0$ and (b) $f_s = 45$ Hz with threshold amplitude $A_s = 0.50A_0$. (c) PSD of times series (a). (d) PSD of time series (b). The inset (I) is the phase space plot and the inset (II) is the Lissajous figure which reflects the frequency locking at half of the driver frequency.



FIG. 4. The Arnold tongue diagram for harmonic (1:1) and superharmonic (1:2) synchronization states in the fKdV-B model. The amplitude is varied from $A_s = 0.10A_0$ to $A_s = 0.70A_0$ for 1:1, and $A_s = 0.20A_0$ to $A_s = 0.70A_0$ for 1:2 synchronization.

with the increase in A_s . Another branch is seen in between $f_s = 18$ Hz and $f_s = 16.5$ Hz, which also narrows down with increase in A_s . A third feature is the asymmetric nature of the tongue structures about f_0 . The frequency width over which synchronization can be obtained is much broader for $f_s < f_0$ compared to $f_s > f_0$.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have studied the phenomenon of synchronization of dust acoustic waves to an external periodic driver in a model system described by the forced Korteweg– de Vries–Burgers equation. This equation provides a proper theoretical framework and a better physical model compared to the Van der Pol oscillator model for studying the dynamics of nonlinear dust acoustic waves by properly accounting for nonlinear, dispersive, and dissipative influences on the waves. Using the model, we have successfully demonstrated harmonic (1:1) and superharmonic (1:2) synchronization states of DAWs for the experimental values reported by Ruhunusiri et al. [14]. In particular, comparison of our theoretical Arnold tongue diagram with their experimental one shows the following common features. As in the experimental Arnold tongue diagram, we see the existence of amplitude thresholds as well as clear evidence of the branching phenomena. However, there are also important differences. With our model, we have not been able to obtain subharmonic synchronization that have been observed in the experiment. Furthermore, our model uses an external driver that closely resembles a nonlinear natural mode of the system whereas in the experiment a purely timevarying external sinusoidal driver has been used. However, it is not clear what form this driver takes inside the plasma system and whether it manifests itself as a spatiotemporally varying perturbation. These and other questions, such as the absence of subharmonic synchronization in the equation and the neglect of dissipation arising from gas friction on the dust particles, remain to be explored in the future in order to further improve the model.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work done by S.T. and A.M. was supported by the Indian Institute of Technology Jammu Seed Grant No. SG0012. A.S. acknowledges the Indian National Science Academy (INSA) for support as an INSA Honorary Scientist. C.C. and G.G. acknowledge NASA-JPL Subcontract No. 1573108 and NRL Base Funds. J.G. was supported by U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-SC0014566, NASA/JPL RSA No. 1672641, and National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-1740379.

- A. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum, and J. Kurths, *Synchronization: A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001).
- [2] S. Strogatz, Sync: The Emerging Science of Spontaneous Order (Penguin, London, 2004).
- [3] A. Balanov, N. Janson, D. Postnov, and O. Sosnovtseva, Synchronization: From Simple to Complex (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009).
- [4] J. A. Acebrón, L. L. Bonilla, C. J. Pérez Vicente, F. Ritort, and R. Spigler, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 137 (2005).
- [5] Y. Kuramoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 79, 223 (1984).
- [6] D. Aronson, G. Ermentrout, and N. Kopell, Phys. D (Amsterdam, Neth.) 41, 403 (1990).
- [7] Y. Kuramoto, *Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence* (Dover, New York, 2003).
- [8] J. D. Williams and J. Duff, Phys. Plasmas 17, 033702 (2010).
- [9] D. Block, A. Piel, C. Schröder, and T. Klinger, Phys. Rev. E 63, 056401 (2001).

- [10] T. Klinger, A. Piel, F. Seddighi, and C. Wilke, Phys. Lett. A 182, 312 (1993).
- [11] T. Klinger, F. Greiner, A. Rohde, A. Piel, and M. E. Koepke, Phys. Rev. E 52, 4316 (1995).
- [12] T. Gyergyek, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 41, 175 (1999).
- [13] M. Nurujjaman and A. N. S. Iyengar, Phys. Rev. E 82, 056210 (2010).
- [14] W. D. S. Ruhunusiri and J. Goree, Phys. Rev. E 85, 046401 (2012).
- [15] I. Pilch, T. Reichstein, and A. Piel, Phys. Plasmas 16, 123709 (2009).
- [16] J. Williams, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 46, 806 (2018).
- [17] J. D. Williams, Phys. Rev. E 90, 043103 (2014).
- [18] B. E. Keen and W. H. W. Fletcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 760 (1969).
- [19] T. Mausbach, T. Klinger, A. Piel, A. Atipo, T. Pierre, and G. Bonhomme, Phys. Lett. A 228, 373 (1997).

- [20] M. E. Koepke, A. Dinklage, T. Klinger, and C. Wilke, Phys. Plasmas 8, 1432 (2001).
- [21] F. Brochard, G. Bonhomme, E. Gravier, S. Oldenbürger, and M. Philipp, Phys. Plasmas 13, 052509 (2006).
- [22] C. Schröder, T. Klinger, D. Block, A. Piel, G. Bonhomme, and V. Naulin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5711 (2001).
- [23] T. Gyergyek, M. Čerček, N. Jelić, and M. Stanojević, Phys. Lett. A 177, 54 (1993).
- [24] N. Chaubey, S. Mukherjee, A. N. Sekar Iyengar, and A. Sen, Phys. Plasmas 22, 022312 (2015).
- [25] T. Fukuyama, R. Kozakov, H. Testrich, and C. Wilke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 024101 (2006).
- [26] N. Chaubey, S. Mukherjee, A. Sen, and A. N. S. Iyengar, Phys. Rev. E 94, 061201(R) (2016).
- [27] P. K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 25 (2009).
- [28] G. E. Morfill and A. V. Ivlev, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1353 (2009).
- [29] P. K. Shukla and A. A. Mamun, *Introduction to Dusty Plasma Physics* (Institute of Physics, Bristol, UK, 2001).
- [30] C. Thompson, A. Barkan, N. D'Angelo, and R. L. Merlino, Phys. Plasmas 4, 2331 (1997).
- [31] M. Schwabe, M. Rubin-Zuzic, S. Zhdanov, H. M. Thomas, and G. E. Morfill, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 095002 (2007).
- [32] A. Barkan, R. L. Merlino, and N. D'Angelo, Phys. Plasmas 2, 3563 (1995).
- [33] J. Heinrich, S.-H. Kim, and R. L. Merlino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 115002 (2009).
- [34] P. Bandyopadhyay, G. Prasad, A. Sen, and P. K. Kaw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 065006 (2008).
- [35] T. Deka, A. Boruah, S. K. Sharma, and H. Bailung, Phys. Plasmas 24, 093706 (2017).
- [36] A. Piel and A. Melzer, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 44, R1 (2002).
- [37] N. N. Rao, P. K. Shukla, and M. Y. Yu, Planet. Space Sci. 38, 543 (1990).
- [38] B. Liu, J. Goree, T. M. Flanagan, A. Sen, S. K. Tiwari, G. Ganguli, and C. Crabtree, Phys. Plasmas 25, 113701 (2018).
- [39] C. Thompson, A. Barkan, R. Merlino, and N. D'Angelo, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 27, 146 (1999).
- [40] W. Don, S. Ruhunusiri, and J. Goree, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 42, 2688 (2014).
- [41] Y. Feng, J. Goree, and B. Liu, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 78, 053704 (2007).
- [42] A. Piel, M. Klindworth, O. Arp, A. Melzer, and M. Wolter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 205009 (2006).
- [43] P. Bajaj, S. Khrapak, V. Yaroshenko, and M. Schwabe, Phys. Rev. E 105, 025202 (2022).

- [44] L.-W. Teng, M.-C. Chang, Y.-P. Tseng, and L. I, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 245005 (2009).
- [45] S. Jaiswal, M. Y. Pustylnik, S. Zhdanov, H. M. Thomas, A. M. Lipaev, A. D. Usachev, V. I. Molotkov, V. E. Fortov, M. H. Thoma, and O. V. Novitskii, Phys. Plasmas 25, 083705 (2018).
- [46] T. Deka, B. Chutia, Y. Bailung, S. K. Sharma, and H. Bailung, Plasma Sci. Technol. 22, 045002 (2020).
- [47] B. Liu, J. Goree, S. Schütt, A. Melzer, M. Y. Pustylnik, H. M. Thomas, V. E. Fortov, A. M. Lipaev, A. D. Usachev, O. F. Petrov, A. V. Zobnin, and M. H. Thoma, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 49, 3958 (2021).
- [48] S. Sarkar, M. Bose, S. Mukherjee, and J. Pramanik, Phys. Plasmas 20, 064502 (2013).
- [49] B. van der Pol, Philos. Mag. 3, 65 (1927).
- [50] D. P. Kovalev and P. D. Kovalev, Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos 27, 1750195 (2017).
- [51] K. O. Menzel, O. Arp, and A. Piel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 235002 (2010).
- [52] K. O. Menzel, O. Arp, and A. Piel, Phys. Rev. E 84, 016405 (2011).
- [53] A. Sen, S. Tiwari, S. Mishra, and P. Kaw, Adv. Space Res. 56, 429 (2015).
- [54] S. Kumar Tiwari and A. Sen, Phys. Plasmas 23, 022301 (2016).
- [55] S. Jaiswal, P. Bandyopadhyay, and A. Sen, Phys. Rev. E 93, 041201(R) (2016).
- [56] Y. Nakamura, H. Bailung, and P. K. Shukla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1602 (1999).
- [57] Y. Nakamura and A. Sarma, Phys. Plasmas 8, 3921 (2001).
- [58] T. M. Flanagan and J. Goree, Phys. Plasmas 17, 123702 (2010).
- [59] T. M. Flanagan and J. Goree, Phys. Plasmas 18, 013705 (2011).
- [60] A. A. Mir, S. K. Tiwari, J. Goree, A. Sen, C. Crabtree, and G. Ganguli, Phys. Plasmas 27, 113701 (2020).
- [61] V. Nosenko, K. Avinash, J. Goree, and B. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 085001 (2004).
- [62] B. M. Veeresha, S. K. Tiwari, A. Sen, P. K. Kaw, and A. Das, Phys. Rev. E 81, 036407 (2010).
- [63] S. Jaiswal, P. Bandyopadhyay, and A. Sen, Phys. Plasmas 23, 083701 (2016).
- [64] A. Orłowski, Phys. Rev. E 49, 2465 (1994).
- [65] P. C. Rech, Eur. Phys. J. B 86, 356 (2013).
- [66] J. P. Boyd, Chebyshev and Fourier Spectral Methods (Dover, New York, 2003).
- [67] A. Mir, S. Tiwari, and A. Sen, Phys. Plasmas 29, 032303 (2022).
- [68] Z. Donkó and P. Hartmann, Phys. Rev. E 78, 026408 (2008).
- [69] T. Saigo and S. Hamaguchi, Phys. Plasmas 9, 1210 (2002).
- [70] R. V. Jensen, Am. J. Phys. 70, 607 (2002).