Critical effects and scaling at meniscus osculation transitions

Andrew O. Parry,¹ Martin Pospíšil⁽⁰⁾,² and Alexandr Malijevský⁽⁰⁾²

¹Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2BZ, United Kingdom

²Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Chemical Technology Prague, Praha 6, 166 28, Czech Republic

and Department of Molecular Modelling, Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals,

Czech Academy of Sciences, 165 02 Prague, Czech Republic

(Received 3 August 2022; accepted 28 October 2022; published 14 November 2022)

We propose a simple scaling theory describing critical effects at rounded meniscus osculation transitions which occur when the Laplace radius of a condensed macroscopic drop of liquid coincides with the local radius of curvature R_w in a confining parabolic geometry. We argue that the exponent β_{osc} characterizing the scale of the interfacial height $\ell_0 \propto R_w^{\beta_{osc}}$ at osculation, for large R_w , falls into two regimes representing fluctuation-dominated and mean-field-like behavior, respectively. These two regimes are separated by an upper critical dimension, which is determined here explicitly and depends on the range of the intermolecular forces. In the fluctuation-dominated regime, representing the universality class of systems with short-range forces, the exponent is related to the value of the interfacial wandering exponent ζ by $\beta_{osc} = 3\zeta/(4 - \zeta)$. In contrast, in the mean-field regime, which was not previously identified and which occurs for systems with longer-range forces (and higher dimensions), the exponent β_{osc} takes the same value as the exponent β_{sc}^{co} for complete wetting, which is determined directly by the intermolecular forces. The prediction $\beta_{osc} = 3/7$ in d = 2 for systems with short-range forces (corresponding to $\zeta = 1/2$) is confirmed using an interfacial Hamiltonian model which determines the exact scaling form for the decay of the interfacial height probability distribution function. A numerical study in d = 3, based on a microscopic model density-functional theory, determines that $\beta_{osc} \approx \beta_s^{co} \approx 0.326$ close to the predicted value of 1/3 appropriate to the mean-field regime for dispersion forces.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.106.054802

I. INTRODUCTION

It has long been recognized that fluids adsorbed at solid substrates display a wealth of new physical phenomena that are not present in the bulk. These include wetting and prewetting transitions at planar walls [1-3] and capillary condensation or evaporation for confinement in pores and between parallel plates [4], which have received extensive theoretical and experimental attention. By sculpting the solid surface, which is now possible in the laboratory, many more examples of surface phase transitions can be induced even in rather simple geometries. For example, wedge filling is an example of an interfacial phase transition that is distinct from wetting [5-13]. Also, by merely capping a capillary, the ensuing condensation can be changed from first order to continuous [14-28]. As well as being of interest to the fundamental statistical mechanical theory of inhomogeneous fluids and surface phase transitions, these studies are also of relevance to microfluidics, for example.

A particularly simple example of a sculpted surface is one which is completely wet (corresponding to zero contact angle) and contoured to the shape of a paraboloid or parabolic groove. Previous theoretical [29,30] and experimental [31,32] studies of adsorption isotherms on this substrate focused on the geometry-dominated growth which occurs as the bulk pressure is increased towards saturation. However, in a recent paper [33] we pointed out that an additional rounded phase transition, which we termed meniscus osculation, occurs when the pressure is tuned so that the radius of curvature of the meniscus coincides with the geometrical radius of curvature of the parabola. This marks the value of the pressure at which the adsorption changes from being microscopic, determined by intermolecular forces or interfacial fluctuations, to being macroscopic due to the local condensation of a liquid drop. Meniscus osculation offers another example of fluid interfacial behavior showing nontrivial scaling and critical effects which is related to but distinct from wetting, filling, and capillary condensation.

In this paper we develop a comprehensive scaling theory for critical effects occurring at meniscus osculation and in particular determine the value of the upper critical dimension which distinguishes a mean-field regime from a fluctuationdominated one. The scaling properties which characterize the adsorption are very different in these two regimes and are related to the underlying wetting properties via distinct critical exponent identities. This improves upon our earlier analysis, which did not identify the upper critical dimension or the mean-field regime. Two explicit calculations, one mesoscopic and the other microscopic, are presented which determine the value of the osculation critical exponent and verify that there are indeed two separate fluctuation regimes. More specifically, we show that analogous to the theory of complete wetting [34–36], meniscus osculation shows two scaling regimes. One is fluctuation dominated, characterized by universal critical exponents that are related to the value of the wandering exponent ζ , which characterizes the scaling relation

$$\xi_{\perp} \propto \xi_{\parallel}^{\zeta} \tag{1}$$

between the perpendicular and parallel correlation lengths for planar interfaces [36]. There is also a mean-field regime where the exponents are sensitive to the range of the intermolecular forces where fluctuation effects are negligible. The values of the critical exponents in the fluctuation-dominated regime, its dependence on ζ , and also the value of the upper critical dimension are different from that of the complete wetting transition. A central result of our paper is that the value of the upper critical dimension for meniscus osculation is given by

$$d_{\rm osc}^* = 3 - \frac{8}{3r+4},\tag{2}$$

where r = 2 corresponds to dispersion forces and $r = \infty$ corresponds to short-range forces. Our predictions are supported in two dimensions (d = 2) using a droplet model treatment of an effective interfacial Hamiltonian [37]. This determines explicitly the tail of the probability distribution for the interfacial height above the groove bottom and identifies that the osculation critical exponent takes the value $\beta_{\rm osc} = 3/7$ in the fluctuation-dominated regime, confirming an earlier scaling prediction which is understood to be valid only in the fluctuation-dominated regime [33]. In three dimensions (d = 3) numerical studies based on a microscopic density-functional theory (DFT) with dispersion forces determines that $\beta_{\rm osc} \approx 0.326$, which is close to the expectation of our scaling theory, $\beta_{\rm osc} = \beta_s^{\rm co} = 1/3$, within the mean-field regime.

Our paper is arranged as follows. We begin with a recap of the scaling theory of the fluctuation regimes and the critical singularities for complete wetting transitions at planar walls before developing a crossover scaling theory which identifies the relevant length scales and critical singularities at meniscus osculation. A general scaling theory is presented which, similar to complete wetting, separates critical singularities into fluctuation-dominated and mean-field regimes. Explicit examples which confirm these predictions in d = 2 and d = 3are presented. We finish our paper with a brief summary and discussion of possible further work.

II. SCALING THEORY FOR COMPLETE WETTING

To begin, we recall some details of the well-developed fluctuation theory of complete wetting [1,34–36] which we will need in our analysis of meniscus osculation. The complete wetting transition refers to the divergence in the adsorption Γ of liquid at a planar wall-gas interface, say, as the pressure p(or chemical potential μ) is increased to saturation p_{sat} , above a wetting temperature, i.e., when the macroscopic contact angle $\theta = 0$. As $\delta p = p_{sat} - p \rightarrow 0$, a number of length scales diverge, in particular

$$\ell_{\pi} \propto \delta p^{-\beta_s^{\rm co}}, \quad \xi_{\parallel} \propto \delta p^{-\nu_{\parallel}^{\rm co}}.$$
 (3)

Here ℓ_{π} is the wetting layer thickness which is related to the adsorption $\Gamma = \Delta \rho \ell_{\pi}$, where $\Delta \rho$ is the difference between

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 106, 054802 (2022)



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic illustration of the equilibrium interfacial thickness $\ell_{\pi} \propto \delta p^{-\beta_s^{\text{co}}}$, parallel correlation length $\xi_{\parallel} \propto \delta \mu^{-\nu_{\parallel}^{\text{co}}}$, and interfacial roughness $\xi_{\perp} \propto \xi_{\parallel}^{\zeta}$ for complete wetting by liquid (blue) at a planar wall-gas interface. (b) Illustration of a droplet configuration in d = 2 constrained to pass through a point at height $\ell \gg \ell_{\pi}$, i.e., one a scale much larger than the length scales shown in (a), far above the wall. The free-energy cost $\Delta F(\ell) \propto \delta p^{1/2} \ell^{3/2}$ of the droplet determines the asymptotic scaling form of the interfacial height probability distribution function $P(\ell)$, identifying explicitly that for systems with short-range forces $\beta_s^{\text{co}} = 1/3$. The droplet area *S*, interfacial length ℓ_m , and the length of contact with the wall ℓ_w are shown.

bulk liquid and gas densities, and ξ_{\parallel} is the parallel correlation length arising from the buildup of capillary-wave-like fluctuations near the unbinding liquid-gas interface, which leads also to the divergence of the interfacial roughness ξ_{\perp} [see Fig. 1(a)]. For pure systems, as pertinent to wall-fluid interfaces, it is well established that the wandering exponent $\zeta = (3 - d)/2$ for dimension d < 3 (with $\xi_{\perp}^2 \propto \ln \xi_{\parallel}$ in d = 3 corresponding to $\zeta = 0$) with its value also known for impure systems (most commonly, random-bond and randomfield disorder) [36]. For complete wetting, an exact sum rule determines that $\partial \Gamma / \partial \mu \propto \xi_{\parallel}^2$, leading to the exact exponent relation [38–40]

$$1 + \beta_s^{\rm co} = 2\nu_{\parallel}^{\rm co}.\tag{4}$$

The values of the critical exponents can be determined quite generally from analysis of the simple interfacial model [34,35]

$$H[\ell] = \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{\gamma}{2} (\nabla \ell)^2 + W(\ell) \right], \tag{5}$$

where $\ell(\mathbf{x})$ is the interfacial coordinate (measuring the local height of the wetting layer at the position \mathbf{x} along the wall), γ is the surface tension which resists interfacial fluctuations,

and

$$W(\ell) = \delta p\ell + \frac{A}{\ell^r} + \cdots$$
 (6)

is the binding potential which includes the effect of intermolecular forces characterized by the exponent *r* (with *A* a Hamaker constant), which maybe derived from more microscopic theory [2]. Heuristic scaling arguments suggest that the interfacial wandering leads to an effective entropic repulsion, decaying as $\ell^{-\tau}$, where $\tau = 2(1 - \zeta)/\zeta$, which competes with the direct intermolecular contribution in $W(\ell)$, leading to two scaling regimes.

Fluctuation-dominated regime. For $r > \tau$, fluctuations dominate leading to scaling behavior, characterized by $\ell_{\pi} \propto \xi_{\perp} \propto \xi_{\parallel}^{\zeta}$, with universal nonclassical critical exponents [34]

$$\beta_s^{\rm co} = \frac{\zeta}{2-\zeta}, \quad \nu_{\parallel}^{\rm co} = \frac{\zeta}{2-\zeta}.$$
 (7)

The dependence on the wandering exponent ζ here is quite general and applies also to impure systems. Thus, in d = 2 the critical exponent $\beta_s^{co} = 1/3$ for pure systems ($\zeta = 1/2$), while $\beta_s^{co} = 1/2$ for systems with random-bond disorder ($\zeta = 2/3$).

Mean-field regime. For $r < \tau$, on the other hand, the intermolecular forces dominate, leading to mean-field-like critical behavior for which $\ell_{\pi} \gg \xi_{\perp}$ with critical exponents

$$\beta_s^{\rm co} = \frac{1}{1+r}, \quad \nu_{\parallel}^{\rm co} = \frac{2+r}{2(1+r)},$$
(8)

which follow from simple minimization of the binding potential.

For fixed r and systems with just thermal disorder, these regimes determine the upper critical dimension

$$d^* = 3 - \frac{4}{r+2},\tag{9}$$

below which fluctuations dominate and above which they are negligible [34]. In d = 3 and with dispersion forces (r = 2) this implies $\beta_s^{co} = 1/3$ (and $\nu_{\parallel}^{co} = 2/3$), as predicted many years ago by Derjaguin and which has been confirmed exhaustively in numerous experiments [1,3].

In d = 2 these heuristic expectations are also fully confirmed using discrete and continuum interfacial Hamiltonians [35,41]. The partition function for the interfacial model (5) can be determined exactly using continuum transfer-matrix methods, equivalent to solving the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the Schrodinger-like equation

$$-\frac{1}{2\beta^2\gamma}\psi_n''(\ell) + W(\ell)\psi_n(\ell) = E_n\psi_n(\ell), \qquad (10)$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$, which we hereafter set to unity. This elegant transfer-matrix method determines, for example, that the probability distribution function (PDF) of finding the interface at height ℓ is $P(\ell) = |\psi_0(\ell)|^2$, which determines both ℓ_{π} and ξ_{\perp} , and also identifies that $\xi_{\parallel} = 1/(E_1 - E_0)$. For the systems with short-range forces (representing the scaling regime for r > 2), the eigenfunctions are given by $\psi_n(\ell) \propto \operatorname{Ai}[(2\gamma \delta p)^{1/3}\ell + \lambda_n]$, where the λ_n are the zeros of the Airy function Ai(x) with corresponding eigenvalues $E_n = 2^{-1/3} |\lambda_n| (\delta p)^{2/3}$. The power-law dependence on δp within $P(\ell)$ immediately determines that $\beta_s^{co} = 1/3$, consistent with

(7) on setting $\zeta = 1/2$ as appropriate to d = 2. The decay of the Airy function then determines that far from the wall the PDF decays as

$$P(\ell) \propto \frac{1}{\ell^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{4}{3} (2\gamma \delta p)^{1/2} \ell^{3/2}\right],$$
 (11)

which of course still reveals the value of the complete wetting exponent β_s^{co} . This asymptotic behavior is completely consistent with the *droplet* model expectation that the PDF decays as [37]

$$P(\ell) \propto e^{-\Delta F(\ell)},$$
 (12)

where $\Delta F(\ell)$ is the free-energy cost, in units of k_BT , of forming a constrained droplet of liquid which rises above the wall forming a cusp at height ℓ [see Fig. 1(b)]. For large ℓ this free-energy cost is macroscopic and is simply given by

$$\Delta F(\ell) = \delta p S + \gamma (\ell_m - \ell_w), \tag{13}$$

where *S* is the area of the droplet, ℓ_m is the interfacial length, and ℓ_w is the length of contact with the wall [see Fig. 1(b)]. On either side of the cusp the droplet has a parabolic shape with curvature $\delta p/\gamma$ and a very simple calculation shows that both the area and interfacial length contributions to the free-energy cost are the same, determining that $\Delta F(\ell) = \frac{4}{3}(2\gamma\delta p)^{1/2}\ell^{3/2}$, in precise agreement with the transfer-matrix analysis (11). The algebraic prefactor in (11) is related to the interfacial wandering at the points of contact of the droplet with the wall, similar to discussions of the magnetization profile in the semi-infinite Ising model [37]. We will use this droplet model trick later in application to the meniscus osculation transition.

III. SCALING THEORY FOR MENISCUS OSCULATION

We now turn our attention to the adsorption of fluid near a completely wet wall which has the shape of a parabolic groove (or parabolic pit) of cross section

$$Z(x) = \frac{x^2}{2R_w},\tag{14}$$

where R_w is the geometrical radius of curvature at the bottom. The adsorption falls into two regimes depending on the deviation from bulk coexistence δp . Close to coexistence, when $R > R_w$, where $R = \gamma/\delta p$ is the Laplace radius, the groove induces the local condensation of a macroscopic liquid drop near the bottom. The drop is characterized by a circular meniscus of radius *R* that meets the walls tangentially (since $\theta = 0$) [see Fig. 2(a)]. The size of this drop is determined trivially. For example, the local height ℓ_0 and lateral extension x_0 of the drop are given by [33]

$$\ell_0 = \frac{(R - R_w)^2}{2R_w}$$
(15)

and

$$x_0 = \sqrt{R^2 - R_w^2},$$
 (16)

respectively. As we approach coexistence these diverge as $\ell_0 \propto R^2$ and $x_0 \propto R$, which is the expected geometrydependent behavior for the adsorption in a parabola [29]. However, these results also indicate that these length scales



FIG. 2. (a) Schematic illustration of the height $\ell_0 = (R - R_w)^2/2R_w$ and width $x_0 = \sqrt{R^2 - R_w^2}$ of a macroscopic drop adsorbed in a parabolic groove in the regime $R > R_w$ close to bulk coexistence (with $R = \gamma/\delta p$ the Laplace radius). For $R < R_w$ there is no macroscopic drop and the adsorption remains microscopic arising from interfacial fluctuations or intermolecular forces. (b) Illustration of the constrained fluctuation droplet configuration in d = 2 which determines the free energy and asymptotic scaling form of the PDF for the interfacial height (above the groove bottom) allowing us to identify that $\beta_{osc} = 3/7$.

vanish as the pressure is reduced and $R \to R_w$ and hence that further away from coexistence, corresponding to pressures such that $R < R_w$, there is no local condensation and the adsorption of fluid is microscopic. We refer to the vanishing of the meniscus at $R = R_w$ as meniscus osculation. At a macroscopic level this is a continuous surface phase transition associated with a singular contribution to the surface freeenergy which vanishes as $F_{\text{sing}} \approx (R - R_w)^{7/2}$ [33].

Beyond macroscopic considerations, meniscus osculation must correspond to a rounded phase transition since there must still be some residual microscopic adsorption in the pressure regime $\delta p > \gamma/R_w$. The rounding at meniscus osculation leads to novel scaling behavior characterizing the influence of the geometry on the fluid adsorption at the borderline of the macroscopic and microscopic regimes. Consider, for example, the height ℓ_0 of the liquid interface above the groove bottom exactly at osculation $R = R_w$. Since the wall is completely wet, ℓ_0 must increase with R_w (maintaining the condition that $R_w = R$), allowing us to define an osculation exponent β_{osc} , which characterizes the local divergence of the film thickness as we flatten the groove and recover the infinite adsorption of a wet planar wall.

To determine the value of this exponent we suppose that the macroscopic osculation transition is rounded over a microscopic scale $\lambda \ll R$ to be determined. It is natural to speculate that this must be related to length scales which characterize the underlying complete wetting phenomena discussed above. Crossover scaling then suggests that, in the vicinity of the phase boundary $R \approx R_w$, the macroscopic results (15) and (16) are modified as

 $\ell_0 = \frac{(R - R_w)^2}{2Rw} \mathcal{L}_{\rm osc} \left(\frac{R - R_w}{\lambda_{\rm osc}}\right)$

and

$$x_0 = \sqrt{R^2 - R_w^2} \mathcal{X}_{\rm osc} \left(\frac{R - R_w}{\lambda_{\rm osc}}\right),\tag{19}$$

(18)

where $\mathcal{L}_{osc}(x)$ and $\mathcal{X}_{osc}(x)$ are scaling functions of the dimensionless variable $x = (R - R_w)/\lambda$. Note that the microscopic length scale λ is still allowed to diverge as bulk coexistence is approached, but we require that it is always much smaller than the purely macroscopic length scale R. We require that both scaling functions tend to unity as $x \to \infty$ and that both vanish as $x \to -\infty$ in order to recover the macroscopic results. The crossover length scale determines the values of ℓ_0 and x_0 at the macroscopic phase boundary $R = R_w$. In order that these are finite and nonvanishing we require that $\mathcal{L}_{osc} \sim |x|^{-2}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{osc} \sim |x|^{-1/2}$ as $x \to 0$, which identifies that

$$\ell_0 \propto \frac{\lambda^2}{R}, \quad x_0 \propto \sqrt{R\lambda}, \quad R = R_w.$$
 (20)

From these we can immediately rule out that λ is similar to the planar wetting layer thickness since in that case ℓ_0 does not diverge with R_w as required. In Ref. [33] we argued there were likely two possibilities. The simplest, and perhaps most natural, hypothesis is that $\lambda \sim \xi_{\parallel}$. This is indeed the length scale which controls the crossover scaling and rounding at meniscus depinning transitions [42,43] and also wetting on rough surfaces (where it is sometimes referred to as the healing length [44]). With this ansatz it follows from (4) and (20) that $\ell_0 \propto \ell_{\pi}$ so that $\beta_{\rm osc} = \beta_s^{\rm co}$, i.e., the parabola does not significantly enhance the film thickness compared to that at a planar wall, although it is likely to be a multiple of it. However, there is an alternate possibility that is also justifiable, which is that deep in the preosculation regime $(R \ll R_w)$ the influence of the geometry on the film thickness is to shift and reduce the effective pressure from δp to $\delta p - \gamma / R_w$. This geometrically induced shift would be consistent with the effective increase in the pressure, which is known for wetting on the outside of a sphere or cylinder [45-50]. This means that as $R_w \to \infty$ the local height tends to $\ell_0 \propto (1/R - 1/R_w)^{-\beta_s^{co}}$, which is only compatible with the scaling hypothesis (18) if $\lambda^{2+\beta_s^{co}} \propto R_w^{1+2\beta_s^{co}} R_w^{\beta_s^{co}}$. With this identification for the rounding length scale it follows from (20) that the value of ℓ_0 is much larger than ℓ_{π} and diverges on approaching coexistence with exponent $\beta_{\rm osc} = 3\beta_s^{\rm co}/(2+\beta_s^{\rm co})$, which is larger than $\beta_s^{\rm co}$.

Here we argue that both these possibilities are realized and that they are characteristic of the rounding occurring in two different scaling regimes demarcated by an upper critical dimension. Consider, for example, the rounding and scaling resulting from the assertion that the substrate curvature decreases the effective pressure δp to $\delta p - \gamma/R_w$. It is natural to assume that this purely geometrical consideration occurs for systems with sufficiently short-range forces where the influence of intermolecular forces can be neglected. This is somewhat analogous to the wedge covariance known for wetting and filling phenomena in systems with short-range forces (in both pure and impure systems) which exactly relates thermodynamic observables in a wedge (with tilt angle α) to those at a planar wall via an effective shift in the contact angle $\theta \rightarrow \theta - \alpha$ [51]. Combining the exponent relation $\beta_{\rm osc} = 3\beta_s^{\rm co}/(2+\beta_s^{\rm co})$ with the result $\beta_s^{\rm co} = \zeta/(2-\zeta)$ for short-range complete wetting leads to the explicit identification $\beta_{\rm osc} = 3\zeta/(4-\zeta)$ for meniscus osculation. This is greater than the corresponding value $\beta_s^{co} = \zeta/(2-\zeta)$ for complete wetting for all $\zeta < 1$, that is, for all dimensions above the lower critical dimension for bulk phase separation. Nevertheless, this is precisely what we should expect if we assume that the phenomena arise from interfacial fluctuations, since in that case we can also anticipate that $\ell_0 \propto x_0^{\zeta}$, that is, the wandering exponent is unchanged by the geometry. Combining this expectation with the crossover scaling result (20) identifies that, at osculation, $\lambda \propto R^{(2+\zeta)/(4-\zeta)}$, which consistently and *independently* identifies that $\beta_{osc} = 3\zeta/(4-\zeta)$. This, we conjecture, is the appropriate rounding length scale and value of the osculation exponent for systems with sufficiently short-range forces. However, this scaling cannot apply universally. As we increase the dimensionality the value of ζ decreases and eventually the osculation critical exponent reaches the value $\beta_{\rm osc} = 1/(r+1)$, implying that $\ell_0 \propto \ell_{\pi}$ and $\lambda \propto \xi_{\parallel}$. Since $\beta_{\rm osc}$ cannot take a smaller value than the corresponding value of β_s^{co} (the confining geometry cannot diminish the adsorption) it is natural to assume that this scaling applies also in all higher dimensions. Thus, analogous to complete wetting, we conjecture that meniscus osculation falls into one of two scaling regimes.

Fluctuation-dominated regime. For $r > 4(1 - \zeta)/3\zeta$, fluctuations dominate and the osculation exponent takes the universal value

$$\beta_{\rm osc} = \frac{3\zeta}{4-\zeta}.$$
 (21)

In this scaling regime $\ell_0 \sim x_0^{\zeta}$, implying that the geometry significantly enhances the adsorption such that $\ell_0 \gg \ell_{\pi}$. The crossover scaling and rounding of the meniscus osculation transition is controlled by a length scale $\lambda \approx R^{(2+\zeta)/(4-\zeta)}$, which is larger than the corresponding value of ξ_{\parallel} (at this pressure). Thus, in d = 2 we predict that the meniscus osculation is characterized by the exponent $\beta_{\rm osc} = 3/7$ for pure systems ($\zeta = 1/2$) and $\beta_{\rm osc} = 3/5$ for random-bond disorder ($\zeta = 2/3$). These contrast with the corresponding prediction for compete wetting $\beta_s^{\rm co} = 1/3$ (for $\zeta = 1/2$) and $\beta_{\rm sc}^{\rm co} = 1/2$ (for $\zeta = 2/3$).

Mean-field regime. For $r < 4(1 - \zeta)/3\zeta$, the intermolecular forces dominate and the osculation exponent takes the value

$$\beta_{\rm osc} = \frac{1}{r+1},\tag{22}$$

which is identical to the value of β_s^{co} . This implies that the local interfacial height scales with the wetting layer thickness, i.e., $\ell_0 \propto \ell_{\pi}$. We anticipate that in general the constant of proportionality is greater than unity, so the geometry still enhances the local adsorption of fluid. The rounding of the phase transition in this regime is controlled by a crossover length scale $\lambda \propto \xi_{\parallel}$. For fixed value of *r*, these two scaling regimes identify that for pure systems the upper critical dimension is

$$d_{\rm osc}^* = 3 - \frac{8}{3r+4},\tag{23}$$

which is larger than the upper critical dimension for complete wetting, except for systems with purely short-range forces, for which $d^* = d^*_{osc} = 3$.

IV. MODEL CALCULATIONS

To finish our article, we test these predictions for the two cases that are most relevant to experiments and studies of microscopic models: d = 2 with short-range forces $(r = \infty)$ and d = 3 with dispersion forces (r = 2). In both these cases the values of the complete wetting exponents are identical with $\beta_s^{co} = 1/3$ and $\nu_{\parallel}^{co} = 2/3$, although these correspond to distinct fluctuation and mean-field regimes, respectively. The predictions of the scaling theory developed above are that in d = 2 the osculation exponent $\beta_{osc} = 3/7$, different from that for complete wetting, while in d = 3 it remains $\beta_{osc} = \beta_s^{co} = 1/3$.

A. Case of d = 2, short-range forces

In d = 2 we may study meniscus osculation using a continuum interfacial Hamiltonian adopting the same droplet model method described earlier for complete wetting. This, we anticipate, will exactly determine the scaling form of the asymptotic probability distribution for the local interfacial height above the groove bottom. That is, we assume that

$$P_{\rm osc}(\ell) \propto \exp[-\Delta F_{\rm osc}(\ell)],$$
 (24)

where $\Delta F_{\text{osc}}(\ell)$ is the free-energy cost (in units of $k_B T$) for an interfacial fluctuation that forms a droplet which is constrained to pass through a point at height ℓ at x = 0 [see Fig. 2(b)]. Since no direct intermolecular forces are present, the free-energy cost of this droplet fluctuation is again given by

$$\Delta F_{\rm osc}(\ell) = \delta p \mathcal{S} + \gamma (\ell_m - \ell_w), \qquad (25)$$

where S is the area, ℓ_m is the interfacial length, and ℓ_w is the length of contact with the parabolic wall. The droplet has the shape of a symmetric cusp formed from two circular menisci of Laplace radius R, centered at $x = \pm \xi$, that meet the walls tangentially at $x = \pm x_0$. For x > 0 the local interfacial height is therefore described by the function

$$\ell(x) = \ell - \sqrt{R^2 - (x - \xi)^2} + \sqrt{R^2 - \xi^2},$$
 (26)

which we may expand, keeping terms of quartic order

$$\ell(x) = \ell - \frac{\xi^2}{2R} - \frac{\xi^4}{8R^3} + \frac{(x-\xi)^2}{2R} + \frac{(x-\xi)^4}{8R^3}, \quad (27)$$

which is the order required to determine the scaling behavior. We now sit exactly at osculation $R = R_w$ and define reduced variables $\tilde{\ell} = \ell/R$, $\tilde{\xi} = \xi/R$ and $\tilde{x}_0 = x_0/R$. Matching the interface and wall heights $\ell(x_0) = Z(x_0)$ and derivatives $\ell'(x_0) = Z'(x_0)$ determines that

$$\tilde{x}_0 = \tilde{\xi} + (2\tilde{\xi})^{1/3}$$
 (28)

and

$$\tilde{\ell} = \frac{3}{8}\tilde{\xi}^{4/3} + \tilde{\xi}^2 + \tilde{\xi}^4.$$
(29)

Using these, it is a straightforward matter to determine the interfacial area $S = 2 \int_0^{x_0} [\ell(x) - \Psi(x)] dx$, yielding

$$\frac{S}{R^2} = \frac{3}{10} (2\tilde{\xi})^{5/3} + \frac{3}{16} (2\tilde{\xi})^{7/3} + \cdots, \qquad (30)$$

where the higher-order terms are of $O(\tilde{\xi}^3)$, which may be neglected. Similarly, the surface terms, relating to the excess length of the droplet $\ell_m - \ell_w = 2 \int_0^{x_0} dx [\sqrt{1 + \ell'(x)^2} - \sqrt{1 + \psi'(x)^2}]$, follow as

$$\frac{\ell_m - \ell_w}{R} = -\frac{3}{10} (2\tilde{\xi})^{5/3} - \frac{1}{8} (2\tilde{\xi})^{7/3} + \cdots, \qquad (31)$$

where the higher-order terms are also $O(\tilde{\xi}^3)$. The leadingorder terms in the area and length contributions cancel, implying that, exactly at osculation, the free-energy cost of the drop scales with the local height as

$$\Delta F_{\rm osc} = \frac{\gamma}{4} R^{-3/4} \left(\frac{8\ell}{3}\right)^{7/4}.$$
 (32)

Substitution in (24) then immediately determines that the osculation exponent takes the predicted value

$$\beta_{\rm osc} = \frac{3}{7}.\tag{33}$$

Fluctuations are important at this rounded phase transition so that, for example, the interfacial roughness also scales as $\xi_{\perp} \propto R_w^{3/7}$. We anticipate that in Eq. (24) there is also an algebraic prefactor associated with the interfacial wandering of the points of contact, similar to the droplet model for complete wetting, although this is not relevant to the scaling behavior and the identification of $\beta_{\rm osc}$.

B. Case of d = 3, long-range forces

To study meniscus osculation in d = 3 we employ a fully microscopic classical DFT which is based on the minimization of a grand potential functional $\Omega[\rho]$ with respect to the density distribution of the fluid particles $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ [52]:

$$\Omega[\rho] = F[\rho] + \int d\mathbf{r}\rho(\mathbf{r})[V(\mathbf{r}) - \mu].$$
(34)

Here $F[\rho]$ is the Helmholtz free-energy functional which contains all the information about the fluid interactions, while $V(\mathbf{r})$ is the potential of the parabolic wall whose cross section along the *x*-*z* plane is given by Eq. (14). The wall is formed of atoms which are distributed uniformly with a density ρ_w over the whole space below its surface demarcated by the curve (14) assuming translation invariance along the *y* axis. The wall atoms interact with the fluid atoms via a purely



FIG. 3. Numerical DFT results for the equilibrium density profile $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ at osculation for a completely dry parabolic wall ($R_w = 100\sigma$) in contact with a bulk liquid showing the preferential adsorption of low-density gas at the bottom.

repulsive potential $\phi = 4\varepsilon (\sigma/r)^6$; hence the net wall potential is

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_w \int \phi(|\mathbf{r} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}|) d\tilde{\mathbf{r}},$$
(35)

where the integration domain is the volume of the wall. Here ε is the strength of the potential, while σ is molecular radius. The repulsive tail of the wall potential models dispersion interactions which, within the mesoscopic interfacial model (5), generate a binding potential decaying asymptotically according to a power law with r = 2. However, we note that in this context, because the intermolecular interaction is purely repulsive, we consider the analogous drying phenomena when the repulsive wall is brought in contact with bulk liquid. An advantage of this is that the drying layer of gas does not exhibit volume exclusion effects, allowing us to access a greater range of R_w values.

The fluid-fluid interaction is modeled by a (short-range) truncated Lennard-Jones potential (of strength ε) and its contribution to the free-energy functional is described by a combination of Rosenfeld's fundamental measure theory [53] (approximating the repulsive part of the interaction) and a simple mean-field treatment of the attractive part of the interaction. More details about the construction of the approximative $F[\rho]$ and the numerical details of minimization of $\Omega[\rho]$ can be found in Ref. [33], where the same fluid model has been adopted.

In order to determine the exponent β_{osc} , we first found the equilibrium density profiles for various parabolic walls with different curvatures with fixed chemical potential $\mu_{osc} =$ $\mu_{\rm sat} + \gamma / R_w \Delta \rho$, ensuring that we sit right at the osculation transition (see Fig. 3). From each density profile we determined the interfacial height above the groove bottom ℓ_0 using the mid-density rule. In Fig. 4 we display the log-log dependence of ℓ_0 with $\delta \mu_{\rm osc}$ (with $\delta \mu_{\rm osc} \equiv \mu_{\rm osc} - \mu_{\rm sat}$), comparing it also with the corresponding divergence of the planar wetting thickness ℓ_{π} for the same range of chemical potentials. This shows convincingly that ℓ_0 and ℓ_{π} diverge with the same critical exponent which we estimate as $\beta_{\rm osc} \approx \beta_s^{\rm co} \approx 0.326$, in excellent agreement with the predicted value of $\beta_{osc} =$ 1/3. Our results indicate that the ratio $\ell_0/\ell_\pi \approx 2$, showing that at meniscus osculation within this mean-field regime the geometry increases the amplitude of the local adsorption but not the critical exponent.



FIG. 4. A log-log plot showing the growth of the interfacial height ℓ_0 (open circles) and the planar wetting thickness ℓ_{π} (closed circles) for different radii of curvature R_w maintaining the condition of meniscus osculation $\mu_{osc} = \mu_{sat} + \gamma / \Delta \rho R_w$. The two straight lines shown are nearly parallel, identifying that $\beta_{osc} \approx \beta_s^{co} \approx 0.326$ with corresponding amplitude ratio $\ell_0 / \ell_{\pi} \approx 2$.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have developed a simple scaling theory for critical effects which arise from the rounding of the meniscus osculation transition occurring when the Laplace pressure of a condensed macroscopic drop of liquid coincides with local radius of curvature R_w in a confining parabolic geometry. We have argued that the exponent β_{osc} , characterizing the scale of the interfacial height $\ell_0 \propto R_w^{\beta_{osc}}$ at osculation, falls into one of two regimes representing fluctuation-dominated and mean-field-like behavior. In the fluctuation-dominated regime, representing the universality class of systems with short-range forces, the exponent is related to the value of

wandering exponent by $\beta_{\rm osc} = 3\zeta/(4-\zeta)$, which is different from the relation $\beta_s^{co} = 2/(2-\zeta)$ pertinent for complete wetting. This exponent relation can be understood to arise in two equivalent ways, either by assuming that when fluctuations dominate the height ℓ_0 and lateral size x_0 of the adsorbed layer scale as $\ell_0 \sim x_0^{\zeta}$ or by enforcing a condition on the crossover scaling function that in the preosculation regime the geometry serves to lower the effective partial pressure $\delta p \rightarrow$ $\delta p - \gamma / R_w$. These simple scaling considerations do not apply if the forces are sufficiently long range, in which case the midpoint interfacial height $\ell_0 \propto \ell_{\pi}$ and rounding length scale $\lambda \approx \xi_{\parallel}$ are more directly and simply related to wetting length scales. Our prediction that in d = 2 and for short-range forces the meniscus osculation exponent takes the value $\beta_{osc} = 3/7$ was confirmed by a droplet model calculation based on an interfacial Hamiltonian which determined the scaling form of the asymptotic decay of the PDF for the local interfacial height. Future studies could seek to extend this and determine, for example, the whole PDF including the short-distance expansion near the wall, which we anticipate can be related to exact sum rules, similar to studies of continuous wetting at planar walls [54]. In d = 3 our DFT study indicates that in the mean-field regime with dispersion forces the ratio of the interfacial heights $\ell_0/\ell_\pi \approx 2$. It would be interesting to see if the value of this amplitude can be understood using simple interfacial Hamiltonian models, which also allow for the presence of long-range forces [16]. This would have implications for understanding adsorption on other types of surface [29,30]. Finally, the adsorption of fluids in substrates with parabolic pits has previously been considered experimentally [31,32], although the meniscus osculation was not addressed. We hope that the present work stimulates such studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Czech Science Foundation Project No. GA20-14547S.

- M. Schick, in *Liquids and Interfaces*, edited by J. Chorvolin, J. F. Joanny, and J. Zinn-Justin (Elsevier, New York, 1990).
- [2] S. Dietrich, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic, New York, 1988), Vol. 12.
- [3] D. E. Sullivan and M. M. Telo da Gama, in *Fluid Interfacial Phenomena*, edited by C. A. Croxton (Wiley, New York, 1985).
- [4] R. Evans, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2, 8989 (1990).
- [5] E. H. Hauge, Phys. Rev. A 46, 4994 (1992).
- [6] K. Rejmer, S. Dietrich, and M. Napiórkowski, Phys. Rev. E 60, 4027 (1999).
- [7] A. O. Parry, C. Rascón, and A. J. Wood, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5535 (1999).
- [8] D. B. Abraham and Maciołek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 286101 (2002).
- [9] G. Delfino and A. Squarcini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 066101 (2014).
- [10] A. Milchev, M. Müller, K. Binder, and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 136101 (2003); A. Milchev, Phys. Rev. E 68, 031601 (2003).

- [11] N. R. Bernardino, A. O. Parry, and J. M. Romero-Enrique, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 182202 (2012).
- [12] A. Malijevský and A. O. Parry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 166101 (2013).
- [13] A. Malijevský and A. O. Parry, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 305005 (2013).
- [14] G. A. Darbellay and J. M. Yeomans, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25, 4275 (1992).
- [15] A. O. Parry, C. Rascón, N. B. Wilding, and R. Evans, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 226101 (2007).
- [16] M. Tasinkevych and S. Dietrich, Eur. Phys. J. E 23, 117 (2007).
- [17] L. Bruschi and G. Mistura, J. Low Temp. Phys. 157, 206 (2009).
- [18] T. Hofmann, M. Tasinkevych, A. Checco, E. Dobisz, S. Dietrich, and B. M. Ocko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 106102 (2010).
- [19] H. Bohlen, A. O. Parry, E. Diaz-Herrera, and M. Schoen, Eur. Phys. J. E 25, 103 (2008).
- [20] A. Malijevský, J. Chem. Phys. 137, 214704 (2012).
- [21] C. Rascón, A. O. Parry, R. Nürnberg, A. Pozzato, M. Tormen, L. Bruschi, and G. Mistura, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 192101 (2013).

- [22] G. Mistura, A. Pozzato, G. Grenci, L. Bruschi, and M. Tormen, Nat. Commun. 4, 2966 (2013).
- [23] A. Malijevský and A. O. Parry, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 26, 355003 (2014).
- [24] D. Schneider, R. Valiullin, and P. A. Monsosn, Langmuir 30, 1290 (2014).
- [25] C. Fan, D. D. Do, and D. Nicholson, Mol. Simul. 41, 245 (2015).
- [26] A. O. Parry, A. Malijevský, and C. Rascón, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 146101 (2014).
- [27] L. Bruschi, G. Mistura, P. T. M. Nguyen, D. D. Do, D. Nicholson, S. J. Park, and W. Lee, Nanoscale 7, 2587 (2015).
- [28] A. Malijevský and A. O. Parry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 135701 (2018).
- [29] C. Rascón and A. O. Parry, Nature 407, 986 (2000).
- [30] C. Rascón, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 199801 (2007).
- [31] L. Bruschi, A. Carlin, and G. Mistura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 166101 (2002).
- [32] O. Gang, K. J. Alvine, M. Fukuto, P. S. Pershan, C. T. Black, and B. M. Ocko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 217801 (2005).
- [33] M. Pospíšil, A. O. Parry, and A. Malijevský, Phys. Rev. E 105, 064801 (2022).
- [34] R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1429 (1984).
- [35] R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. B 32, 1731 (1985).
- [36] M. E. Fisher, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2 82, 1569 (1986).
- [37] D. B. Abraham and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 38, 7169 (1988).

- [38] J. S. Rowlinson and B. Widom, *Molecular Theory of Capillarity* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1989).
- [39] J. R. Henderson, in *Fundamentals of Inhomogeneous Fluids*, edited by D. Henderson (Dekker, New York, 1992).
- [40] R. Evans, in *Liquids and Interfaces*, edited by J. Chorvolin, J. F. Joanny, and J. Zinn-Justin (Elsevier, New York, 1990).
- [41] D. B. Abraham and E. R. Smith, J. Stat. Phys. 43, 621 (1986).
- [42] A. Malijevský and A. O. Parry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 115703 (2021).
- [43] A. Malijevský and A. O. Parry, Phys. Rev. E 104, 044801 (2021).
- [44] D. Andelman, J.-F. Joanny, and M. O. Robbins, Europhys. Lett. 7, 731 (1988).
- [45] R. Lipowsky and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 36, 2126 (1987).
- [46] M. P. Gelfand and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8725 (1987).
- [47] T. Bieker and S. Dietrich, Physica A 252, 85 (1998).
- [48] M. C. Stewart and R. Evans, Phys. Rev. E 71, 011602 (2005).
- [49] A. O. Parry, C. Rascón, and L. Morgan, J. Chem. Phys. 124, 151101 (2006).
- [50] A. Nold, A. Malijevský, and S. Kalliadasis, Phys. Rev. E 84, 021603 (2011).
- [51] A. O. Parry, M. J. Greenall, and A. J. Wood, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 1169 (2002).
- [52] R. Evans, Adv. Phys. 28, 143 (1979).
- [53] Y. Rosenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 980 (1989).
- [54] A. O. Parry, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24, 1335 (1991).