# <span id="page-0-0"></span>**Structure of the twist-bend nematic phase with respect to the orientational molecular order of the thioether-linked dimers**

An[t](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9205-449X)oni Kocot <sup>0</sup>,<sup>1,\*,†</sup> B[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8944-602X)rbara Loska,<sup>1,‡</sup> Yuki Arakawa <sup>0,2,§</sup> and Katarzyna Merke[l](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1853-0996) <sup>01,\*,||</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Materials Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Silesia, 75 Pułku Piechoty 1a, Chorzów 41-500, Poland* <sup>2</sup>*Department of Applied Chemistry and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Toyohashi University of Technology, Toyohashi 441-8580, Japan*

> (Received 25 January 2022; accepted 9 March 2022; published 5 April 2022)  $\bigcirc$

An analysis of the IR absorbance for the segmented functional groups of liquid crystal dimers mesogen and linker enabled the orientation order to be determined and information about the dipole interactions in the nematic and twist-bend nematic phases to be obtained. The long axis orientational order increases as the temperature decreases in the nematic phase, although much more slowly than for the classical nematics, and then reverses this trend in the twist-bend nematic phase due to the tilt of the molecules. In the nematic phase, the short axis of the molecule performs an isotropic uniform rotation and has a uniaxial alignment. In the twist-bend nematic phase, however, biaxial ordering occurs and grows significantly in accordance with the helical deformation of the director. Changes in the mean absorbance in the twist-bend nematic phase were observed: a decrease for the longitudinal dipole at the nematic-twist-bend nematic phase transition, thus emphasizing the antiparallel axial interaction of the dipoles, while the absorbance of the transverse dipoles remains unchanged up to 340 K, and then the latter become parallelly correlated.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.105.044701](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.105.044701)

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

An ongoing topic in the science of liquid crystals (LCs) is understanding the interrelationships between the molecular shape and macroscopic self-organization and creating new ordering systems with a new symmetry. The problem of symmetry breaking and symmetry transformation from a molecular to macroscopic (phase) system is one of the most important issues in searching for and studying new LC materials. A perfect example of such a situation is the discovery of the spontaneous formation of the chiral order in fluids, as was evidenced by the macroscopic chiral domains in liquids of achiral bent molecules  $[1,2]$ . The latest and most exciting manifestation of spontaneous chirality in the LC of achiral molecules is the twist-bend nematic  $(N_{TB})$  phase, which was initially proposed theoretically  $[3-6]$  $[3-6]$ , then experimentally confirmed  $[7-11]$  and intensively studied in many systems of bent molecules  $[12-25]$ , in particular, the cyanobiphenyl (CB) dimers (CBC*n*CB, *n* = 7.9,11) [\[8,9,11,15,26–28\]](#page-8-0) and terphenyl-based dimers (DTC*n*C5, *n* = 7,9,11) [\[29–33\]](#page-8-0). In the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase, the molecules simultaneously bend and twist in space forming a helix of the average long molecular axis (director). The structure of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase lacks a coherent modulation of the density that accompanies the helix [\[34\]](#page-8-0), and resonant x-ray diffraction, which describes the molecular orient-ation, revealed a helical phase periodicity

 $[20,24,27,28,35-41]$  $[20,24,27,28,35-41]$ . An unusual feature of this phase is its very short pitch, which is often much smaller than 10 nm. How the helical phase remains a fully three-dimensional liquid in the presence of such a strong, consistent internal orientation order remains an open question. It is also puzzling why molecules with a curved shape first form a nematic (*N*) phase, which is characteristic of calamitic molecules, and then a twist-bend phase. In this paper, we will try to answer the above-mentioned questions. We employed Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) to study the orientational order of cyanobiphenyl-based dimer series to solve this problem. Infrared spectroscopy for anisotropic systems provides information on the orientation of the individual functional groups of molecules as well as specific intra- and intermolecular interactions. The single most characteristic property of a liquid crystalline phase is the orientational order of its constituent molecules as measured by second-rank order parameters,  $S_{\alpha\beta}$ , which was introduced by Saupe for the uniaxial phases [\[42,43\]](#page-9-0):

$$
S_{\alpha\beta}^{l} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (3l_{i,\alpha} l_{i,\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta}) \right\rangle, \tag{1}
$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  signifies the average and  $l_{i,\alpha}$ ,  $l_{i,\beta}$  are the cosine of the angle between the molecular axis  $\alpha, \beta$  and the laboratory axis *i* (*i* = X, Y, Z).

Determining the degree of ordering becomes possible if individual components of absorbance in a laboratory system are expressed as a function of the orientation of the corresponding transition dipole moments as below:

$$
A_{X,Y}/A_0 = 1 + S\left(\frac{3}{2}\sin^2\sigma - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}D\sin^2\sigma\cos 2\varphi, (2a)
$$

$$
A_Z/A_0 = 1 + S(2 - 3\sin^2 \sigma) - D\sin^2 \sigma \cos 2\varphi, \qquad (2b)
$$

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>†</sup>antoni.kocot@us.edu.pl

<sup>‡</sup>barbara.loska@us.edu.pl

<sup>§</sup>arakawa.yuki.xl@tut.jp

<sup>-</sup>Corresponding author: katarzyna.merkel@us.edu.pl

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (a) Molecular frame of reference: *z*: long axis (bowstring); *x*: normal axis to the bent plane; *y*: bow arrow axis; *σ*: polar angle (between transition dipole  $\mu$  and the *z* axis of the molecule);  $\varphi$  is the azimuthal angle that the transition dipole makes with the *x*-*z* plane. (b) Optimized molecular structure of the CBSC7SCB molecule using the DFT method [B3LYP/G-311G( $d$ ,  $p$ )].  $\sigma_{CB}$  is the polar angle of the arm  $[\sigma_{CB} = (180°-108°)/2 = 36°]$ . (c) The orientation of the laboratory frame (X,Y,Z) for the planar sample. In the nematic phase, Z was an axis along and Y was perpendicular to the optical axis (the optical axis coincided with the rubbing direction). In the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase, Z coincided with the helix axis, which was the symmetry elements of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase.

where  $A_0$  is the average absorbance equal to  $(A_X + A_Y)$  $+A_Z$ )/3,  $\sigma$  is the polar angle between the transition dipole  $(μ)$  and the *z* axis of the molecule, and  $φ$  is the azimuthal angle that the transition dipole makes with the *x*-*z* plane in a molecular system (see Fig. 1). The various values of the  $\sigma$ angle for the different bands were obtained from a molecular structure simulation (see the Results and Discussion section). In the paper for bent dimers, the temperature dependencies of two orientational order parameters were determined: one that describes the orientational order of the long axis (*S* parameter) and one that describes the molecular biaxiality parameter *D*:

$$
S = S_{zz}^Z, D = S_{xx}^Z - S_{yy}^Z.
$$
 (3)

The parameter *S* is the measure of the increase in the compatibility of the long molecular *z* axis with the Z axis of the laboratory reference system. At the same time, *D* describes the rotational biasing of the short molecular axes.

Here, we report on an FTIR study for two groups of dimers: a symmetric one containing the thioether linking groups (C-S-C) with the acronym CBSC*n*SCB (*n* = 5, 7) and the ether linking groups (C-O-C) with the abbreviation CBOC*n*OCB  $(n = 7)$  and an asymmetric one that contains both the ether and thioether linking groups, CBSC*n*OCB (*n* = 5, 7). By comparing the ordering parameters for different dimer segments: the cyanobiphenyl core, which represents the dimer arms, the central alkyl linker, and the bridges connecting the rigid core to the linker, we investigated the bending of the molecule in the  $N$  phase. In the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase, the orientational order parameter reverses its trend due to the helical tilt of the director. Using the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  order parameter ratio and the

extrapolated trend from the *N* phase, we calculated the tilt angle of the molecules in the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase. The assessment of the local director bending might also be useful [\[44,45\]](#page-9-0). Based on the obtained results combined with the data obtained from the resonant x-ray scattering [\[24](#page-8-0)[,41\]](#page-9-0), can we answer the question of whether the molecular biaxiality parameter predicts the local director bend, and consequently, the periodicity of a helical structure.

## **II. EXPERIMENT**

#### **A. Materials**

The bending angle of the molecules and the stability of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase are largely dependent on the nature of the bonds connecting the rigid mesogenic arms and the linker. Two groups of dimers were investigated in the study: symmetrical and asymmetric dimers, the mesogenic group of which is cyanobiphenyl (CB), and the linker contained seven or nine units or functional groups (methylene, thioether, or ether groups). The series of symmetrical dimers included the material with the acronym CBC9CB, which contained nine methylene groups in a linker, then the material CBOC7OCB, in which an ether bridge linked the cyanobiphenyls with an alkyl chain with seven methylene groups and the thioether dimers (CBSC*n*SCB), in which a thioether bridge linked the cyanobiphenyls with a chain alkyl with five or seven methylene groups. In the asymmetric dimers with the acronym CBSC*n*OCB, the mesogens were linked on one side to an alkyl chain by a thioether bridge and on the other by an ether bridge. All of the thioether or ether compounds (CBSC*n*SCB, CBSC*n*OCB, CBOC7OCB) were synthesized and developed in collaboration with Professor Y. Arakawa from the Department of Organic Chemistry of the Toyohashi University of Technology in Japan [\[46,47\]](#page-9-0).

## **B. FTIR experiment**

The samples for the IR studies were aligned between two optically polished zinc selenide (ZnSe) and potassium bromide (KBr) windows. The windows were spin coated with an SE-130 commercial polymer aligning agent (Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd) in order to obtain a homogeneous alignment. The cells were assembled with a parallel positioning of the rubbing direction, and Mylar foil was used as a spacer. The thickness of the fabricated cells was determined to be in the range 5.1–5.6  $\mu$ m by the measurements on the interference fringes using a spectrometer interfaced with a PC (Avaspec-2048). The samples were capillary filled by heating an empty cell  $5^\circ$  below the transition to the isotropic phase in the *N* phase. The quality of the alignment was tested using polarizing microscopy. The textures of the samples were monitored using a polarizing microscope (Olympus BX56). Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the structure of a thioether dimer (CBSC7CB) as an example and the molecular and laboratory reference system that was used in the FTIR experiment and in the calculation of the order parameters.

In this study, an Agilent Cary 670 FTIR spectrometer was used to record the infrared spectra. The spectra for the ordered samples were recorded at a resolution of  $2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . In order to improve the data quality, the spectra were collected multiple times (32 scans). The measurements were taken using slow cooling and heating at a rate of  $0.5 \text{ K/min}$ . For the samples with a thioether bridge (CBSC7SCB, CBSC7OCB), an additional measurement was taken with a faster cooling rate (4 K/min) in a temperature range from a few degrees above the *N*-*N*TB transition until the solid phase (glass or crystal) was obtained. The faster cooling rate near the  $N-N_{\text{TB}}$  transition temperature had a fundamental impact on the width of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase for the samples with a sulfide bridge. The temperature of the samples was stabilized using a PID temperature controller with an accuracy of 2 mK. The experiment was performed using the transmission method with a polarized IR beam. An IR-KRS5 grid polarizer was used to polarize the IR beam. The IR spectra were measured as a function of the polarizer rotation angle in the range  $500-4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  of wave numbers.

# **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **A. Orientational order in the nematic phase**

The analysis of the IR spectra towards the calculation orientational order requires knowledge of various angles in the molecular system. Some of these angles are acknowledged to be critically important for the calculations. Molecular structures of the CBSC5SCB, CBSC7SCB, CBSC5OCB, and CBSC7OCB molecules and the harmonic vibrational force constants and absolute IR intensities were calculated using a density functional theory (DFT) [B3LYP/G-311G (*d*, *p*)]. Some details are described in the Supplemental Material [\[48\]](#page-9-0). For the study of the structure in a realistic density of a system, we also optimize the molecule structure in a system with its nearest neighboring molecules for symmetric dimers CBSC7SCB; see Fig. S1 of the Supplemental Material [\[48\]](#page-9-0). The density-functional theory (DFT) calculations of the theoretical vibrational frequencies for symmetric dimers predict the coupling between vibrations in the dimer's same units (tween units). As a result, two vibrations are expected: the first due to the asynchronous vibration of the corresponding units and the second due to their synchronous swing [\[32,33](#page-8-0)[,49\]](#page-9-0). Suppose the elementary units vibrate along the para axis of the rigid core (arm). In that case, the asynchronous vibration produces a transition dipole along the bowstring axis of the dimer. In contrast, the transition dipole has a synchronous swing, which is along the bow arrow axis. The frequencies of the asynchronous mode are higher than the synchronous frequencies. The coupling is significant if the vibrations involve the linker group; then the frequencies of the asynchronous mode are significantly higher than the synchronous frequencies. Otherwise, the coupling is relatively weak, and the resulting frequencies of the synchronous and asynchronous modes are very close to each other and, in reality, not possible to be deconvoluted. In practice, such vibrations can be treated as uncoupled. Thus, their dipole moment remains oriented almost along the para axis of the mesogen core unit.

The simplest way to calculate the *S* parameter is to use a band with a longitudinal transition dipole  $(\mu_{\parallel})$ . Then, the *S*-order parameter can be calculated using the  $A_Z$  or  $A_X$  component of the absorbance, Eq.  $(2)$ . Please remember that  $A_0$  is temperature dependent and is calculated as  $A_0 =$  $(A_Z + 2A_X)/3$ . It can be shown that for symmetric dimers (CBSC5SCB and CBSC7SCB), only the 1098 cm–1 band has a transition dipole along the long molecular axis. Moreover, for the asymmetric dimers CBSC5OCB and CBSC7OCB, a few bands, e.g., 1098 and  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , are almost along the long molecular axis. The band that is located at the wave number of  $1098 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be assigned to the deformation vibrations of the C-H group in the benzene ring plane ( $\beta$ CH ip CB; ip: in the plane of benzene). This vibration is complex and also involves a thioether linkage and therefore is associated with the asymmetric stretching vibration of the CAr-S group ( $\beta$ CH ip CB +  $v_{as}C_{Ar}S$ ; as: asymmetric vibration; Ar: aromatic ring). This vibration is extremely sensitive to any conformational changes of the dimer. On the other hand, the band at  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is assigned to the breathing deformation vibration of the C-C atoms in the benzene ring ( $\beta$ CC ip CB). The polar angle can be estimated to be  $\sigma < 5^{\circ}$ . The comparison of the experimental spectrum of the CBS7SCB with the theoretical spectrum for different conformations is presented in Figs. S2 and S3 of the Supplemental Material [\[48\]](#page-9-0). For such a case, the second term in Eq.  $(2a)$  can be neglected (as the *D* parameter in the nematic phase is expected to be small) and the order parameter can be calculated directly using the absorbances of a single band,

$$
S = (A_Z/A_0 - 1)/(2 - 3\sin^2 \sigma). \tag{4}
$$

Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows the order parameters of the long molecule axis for the asymmetric dimers (CBS5OCB, CBS7OCB), which were calculated from the  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  band and for the symmetric dimers (CBS5SCB, CBS7SCB), which were calculated from the  $1098 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  band.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Comparison of the *S*-order parameters of the long molecule axis of the thioether dimers. Calculated from the 1098 cm<sup>-1</sup> band for the symmetric dimers (CBSC*n*SCB) and from the 1000 cm–1 band for the asymmetric dimers (CBSC*n*OCB). Dashed lines: fitting experimental data using the power law Eq. (5). Symmetric dimers:  $\triangle$ , CBSC5SCB;  $\triangle$ , CBSC7SCB. Asymmetric dimers:  $\Box$ , CBSC5OCB; ■, CBSC7OCB.

However, for the other cyanobiphenyl bands (2200, 1600,  $1485 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), the transition dipole was significantly inclined from the long molecular axis because the vibrations of the counterparts in the dimer were almost decoupled from one another (see Fig. 3). The inclination angle was somehow associated with the bend angle  $\sigma \cong \beta/2$ , see Fig. [1\(b\),](#page-1-0) but also depended on the population of other (not all-*trans*) conformers. Figure 3 shows the order parameters of the rigid core (para axis of the cyanobiphenyl), which was calculated from the  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  band absorbances that can be assigned to the benzene ring vibration ( $vCC$  ip CB). It is clear that the more bent dimers were less compatible for creating the nematic order. Thus, the inclination angle must have a significant impact on the orientational order parameter. Increasing the inclination angle reduced orientational order relatively, i.e.,



FIG. 3. Comparison of the  $S_{CB}$ -order parameters of the para axis of the rigid core (arms of the dimer). Calculated from the 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> band for all of the dimers. Symbols:  $\bullet$ , CBOC7OCB;  $\Box$ , CBSC7OCB; **△**, CBSC7SCB; ◆, CBC9CB. Dashed lines: fitting experimental data using the power law Eq. (5).

the temperature dependence became weaker with a decrease in temperature. As a result, the whole range of *S* dependence in the *N* phase could not be reproduced by a power law (with the one critical exponent):

$$
S = S_0 \left( 1 - \frac{T}{T_C} \right). \tag{5}
$$

This behavior was more evident when we compared the temperature dependencies of the dimers that formed the  $N_{\text{TB}}$ phase and had the same number of atoms in the linkage as that of the CBO7OCB dimer; see Fig. 3. The temperature dependence of the *S*<sub>CB</sub> parameter for the CBO7OCB dimer, which was calculated for the  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  band, could be well fitted by power law (5) with the critical exponent  $\approx 0.20$ . Conversely, for the dimers that formed the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase, the temperature dependence of S<sub>CB</sub> became significantly less steep after cooling.

It appeared that they formed a twist-bend deformation locally. This can be explained by the condensation of the structure, which was determined by the free volume and dense packing of the molecules, which are dependent on molecular shape [\[50,51\]](#page-9-0). It is crucial to estimate the inclination angle, i.e., the polar angle of the CB group  $\sigma_{CB}$ , as it can provide information about the behavior of the molecular bends with temperature. To do this, one should first calculate the order parameter  $S_{CB}$  of the para axis of the cyanobiphenyl unit,  $S_{CB} = (A_Z/A_0 - 1)/2$ . The latter is understood as an *S* parameter of the molecule long axis by a factor  $3/2\cos^2 \sigma_{CB} - 1$ , which is the Legendre polynomial  $P_2$ :

$$
S_{\rm CB} = SP_2(\cos \sigma_{\rm CB}).\tag{6}
$$

Then, we can estimate  $\sigma_{CB}$ , which is an argument of the *P*<sup>2</sup> Legendre polynomial. The inclination angle was found to be 23°, 20° 15°, and 13° for CBSC5SCB, CBSC7SCB, CBSC5OCB, and CBSC7OCB, respectively. These were well below their expected values for all of the trans conformers and indicated a significant contribution of the more straightened conformers in the nematic phase range.

It is also possible to use the transversal transition dipole moment  $(\mu_{\perp})$  to calculate the orientation order of both the short and long molecular axes. In the FTIR spectra of the thioether dimers (CBSC*n*SCB, CBSC*n*OCB), we found three bands with a transversal dipole: one at  $520 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which could be assigned to the the C-C groups that were out of the benzene plane vibration. This band also involved a thioether bridge and made a significant contribution to the deformation vibration of the sulfur atom *(γCC* op CB +  $\delta$ CS; op: out of the benzene plane*).* Other perpendicular bands were located at the wave numbers  $812 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and were associated with the vibrations of the C-H groups that were out of the benzene plane ( $\gamma$ CH op CB) and at 1395 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which were assigned to the in-plane deformation of the C-H groups *(*βCH ip CB*).* For the ether dimers (CBSC*n*OCB, CBOC*n*OCB), we additionally observed a new maximum at  $820 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This complex band, apart from the fact that it was engaged in the deformation vibrations of the C-H group that were out of the benzene plane, also involved the symmetrical stretching vibration of the C-O group ( $\gamma$ CH op CB +  $\nu$ <sub>s</sub> COC; *s*: symmetric vibration*).*

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 4. The sine squared of the tilt angle of the long molecular axis. Determined from the absorbances of the 1098 and  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  bands. Symbols:  $\blacksquare$  -, CBSC7OCB;  $\Box$ , CBSC5OCB;  $\blacktriangle$ , CBSC7SCB.

In this case, we had to find two variables, *S* and *D;* thus at least two bands had to be considered in order to solve the problem. In the *N* phase, however, it was shown that the *D*-order parameter was negligible, and therefore, the *S* parameter was obtained from the absorbance component of the transversal band (1395, 812 or 820, and  $520 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) using

$$
S_{ap} = 2A_X/A_0 - 2.\t\t(7)
$$

#### **B. Orientational order in the twist-bend nematic phase**

At the transition to the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase, the temperature dependence reversed its increasing trend after cooling. This behavior was caused by the gradual tilting of the molecules with respect to the aligning direction. As a result, the order parameter declined from its trend in the *N* phase, which was extrapolated by power law  $(5)$ ; see Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) The extrapolation of the *S* parameter from the *N* phase  $(S_N:$  order parameter of the long axis of the dimer in the *N* phase) was performed in a temperature range of more than 15 K above the  $N$ - $N_{\text{TB}}$ transition. As can be seen from the experimental values in the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase, the *S* parameter was reduced by the  $P_2$  Legendre polynomial with respect to the extrapolated parameters (the  $S_{\text{TB}}$  order parameter of the long axis of the dimer in the twistbend phase):

$$
S_{\rm TB} = S_N P_2(\cos \theta),\tag{8}
$$

where  $\theta$  is the cone angle of the helix (indicates the tilt of the long molecular axis in the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase). Figure 4 shows the sine squared of the tilt angle of the long molecular axis, which was calculated using Eq. (8).

#### **C. Surface anchoring of the dimers**

As follows from Eq. (2), the average band absorbance *A*<sub>0</sub> was related to the product of  $\left[\frac{d\mu_i}{dQ_i}\right]^2$  [\[52\]](#page-9-0) and the number density (number of molecules per unit volume). In the range of the *N* phase, this clearly followed a formula, i.e., the average absorbance increased as the number density of the molecules, which incidentally confirmed that the transition dipole appeared to be constant in this temperature





FIG. 5. Comparison of the average absorbance behavior for several bands (811, 1395, and  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) of the thioether dimer (CBS7SCB) as a function of temperature depending on the different surface anchoring effects. KBr substrate:  $\circ$ ,  $\beta$ CH ip CB at the 1395 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\mu_{\perp}$ );  $\Box$ ,  $\gamma$ CH op CB at 811 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\mu_{\perp}$ ). ZnSe substrate:  $\triangle$ , γCH op CB at 811 cm<sup>-1</sup> (μ<sub>⊥</sub>);  $\diamondsuit$ , νCC benzene ring at 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\mu_{\parallel})$ .

range. In the temperature range below the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  transition, the behavior was dependent on the substrate of the cell windows and was different for the symmetric and asymmetric dimers.

For the symmetric CBSC7SCB dimer, in the cell composed of polycrystalline ZnSe windows, the average absorbance of the transversal dipole  $(811 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  maintained its trend until the temperature of crystallization. In contrast, the band absorbance that corresponded to the longitudinal transition dipole at  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (or  $2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which was assigned to the stretching vibration of the cyano group, ν*CN*) underwent a significant decrease just after entering the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase; Fig. 5. This behavior clearly indicated that the longitudinal dipoles of the neighboring cyanobiphenyl groups had a tendency to create antiparallel arrangements with respect to each other. The strong dipole of the CN group induced a dipole in the adjacent biphenyl molecule. As a result, we observed the intermolecular van der Waals interactions (more precisely, the London dispersion forces), which led to the stabilization of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase. We expected that a nanosegregation process might occur along the *Z* axis of the system.

This behavior has already been reported for cyanobiphenyl LC, which had an overlapping of both ends of the molecules [\[50,53\]](#page-9-0). At the same time, few changes were observed in the perpendicular direction as the absorbance of the transversal dipoles remained unchanged. In the cell composed of polycrystalline ZnSe windows, the average absorbance of the transversal dipole  $(811 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  maintained its trend until the crystallization temperature was reached. However, this behavior changed when we inserted a dimer between the KBr crystalline windows.

Then, in the transition to the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase, we observed an increase in absorbance for the transversal dipole. This increase was even greater for the absorbance of the 1395 cm<sup>-1</sup> band; see Fig. 5. This difference was probably due to the slightly different azimuthal angle that was created by the corresponding transition dipole moment  $(1395 \text{ or } 811 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  with the



FIG. 6. The average absorbance behavior for several bands  $(811, 820,$  and  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  of the asymmetric thioether dimers (CBSC*n*OCB) as a function of temperature. Absorbances of the transversal dipole ( $\mu_{\perp}$ ): 811 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\gamma$ CH op CB for the rigid core with a sulfur linkage C-S-C),  $\circ$ , CBSC5OCB;  $\bullet$ , CBSC7OCB; 820 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\gamma$ CH op CB for the rigid core with an oxygen linkage C-O-C),  $\Box$ , CBSC5OCB;  $\Box$ , CBSC7OCB. Absorbance of the longitudinal dipole  $(\mu_{\parallel})$ : 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> (νCC benzene ring),  $\triangle$ , CBSC5OCB;  $\triangle$ , CBSC7OCB.

*x*-*z* plane of the dimer. It appeared the polar interaction with crystalline KBr influenced the orientation of the transversal dipoles of the dimer and likely induced the biaxiality of the *N*<sub>TB</sub> phase [\[54,55\]](#page-9-0).

For the asymmetric dimers (CBSC*n*OCB), we could clearly distinguish two regions of the temperature range of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase: the first extending more than 20 K below the  $N$ - $N_{\text{TB}}$  transition temperature and the next below  $\sim$ 340 K and approaching almost room temperature. In the first region, the transversal dipole's absorbances maintained their trend (due to an increase in the number density) until the temperature was 340 K, which was similar to the CBSC7SCB dimer in the ZnSe substrate. However, the band absorbance  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (or  $2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) dropped significantly on entering the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase (Fig. 6) for the same reason as for the CBSC7SCB dimer. By contrast, in the lower temperature region below 340 K, the lateral dipole changed its behavior with a decreasing temperature and disappeared from the trend that was associated with the density number, which was accompanied by another absorbance drop of the longitudinal dipole.

Such behavior of the absorbance of the transversal dipole may indicate that some new intermolecular interactions had led to the orientation of the bond. It seems that the sulfur group is either involved in the London dispersion forces,  $\pi$ -stacking interactions, or in specific hydrogen bonds with neighboring molecules. It is worth noting that the vibration involving the sulfur linkage ( $\sim 811 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) had an increase in absorbance while that of the oxygen linkage ( $\sim 820 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) had a decrease in absorbance.

Such a difference in the behavior of the transverse dipoles suggests that the phase symmetry was no longer uniaxial. This was probably induced by the interaction of the transversal dipoles with the cell substrate [\[56,57\]](#page-9-0). Moreover, the most probable conformations of the dimers changed and became specific due to the possible rotation around the sulfur bridge.

Considering the high rotational barrier around the bond connecting the cyanobiphenyl to the alkyl linker, which is much higher in the case of the oxygen bridge than for the sulfur bridge [\[41\]](#page-9-0), we expected that the oxygen bridge with phenyl group would remain in the z-y plane. In contrast, the sulfur bridge might rotate by some significant angle with respect to the plane of the phenyl. If we assume that the absorbance changes at 340 K were due to the order rearrangement (phase reorganization), we might consider a phase biaxiality.

Mandle *et al.* performed a detailed study of bent dimers with different linking groups that yielded a variety of bend angles and linker flexibility  $[58]$ . They showed that the  $N_{\text{TB}}$ phase could accommodate a range of bend angles, but that the alkyl linker provided the most thermodynamic stability for the phase. Archbold *et al.* followed up this study by calculating the conformational distributions for several of the reported materials [\[59\]](#page-9-0). They found that the thermodynamic stability of the *N*<sub>TB</sub> phase results not only from the average bend angle of the molecule but is also dependent on the distribution of the conformers of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$ -forming LC and the flexibility of the spacer. The relationship between the molecular bend and the properties and stability of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase is still not clear. The LC community has yet to settle on the underlying molecular design rules for  $N_{\text{TB}}$  materials, which help guide a chemical synthesis beyond meeting the essential requirement of a molecule with a bend. This issue will continue to be a challenge in the future.

## **D. Molecular biaxiality**

Figure [7](#page-6-0) compares the temperature dependence for the uniaxial *S*-order parameter that was calculated from the vibration with a longitudinal dipole with the apparent parameter that was calculated for the transverse dipole. It is worth noting that the increasing difference between  $S_{ap} = 2A_X/A_0 - 2$ [Eq. [\(7\)](#page-4-0)], which was obtained for the transverse transition dipole, did not follow the value that was reported for the longitudinal dipole *S*. This clearly indicates that the molecular biaxiality *D* cannot be further ignored in the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase. Therefore, it is worth determining the biaxiality parameter *D* by using the absorbances  $A_X$  and  $A_0$  of the transverse dipole and the order parameter for the long molecular axis and the *S* parameter as follows using Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0):  $D = 2A_X/A_0 - 2-S$ .

Figure [8](#page-6-0) shows the temperature dependence of the calculated molecular biaxiality for the asymmetric dimers (CBSC5SOB, CBSC7OCB) and the symmetric CBSC7SCB dimer in the temperature range of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase. The results were calculated for two bands:  $1098 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to the longitudinal dipole, and  $811 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which describes the transverse dipole for the CBSC*n*SOB dimers. In the case of the CBSC7SCB dimer, we selected the band at  $1395 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  as the transverse dipole.

By the definition of the pitch, we have  $q \equiv d\alpha/dz$ , and from the geometry of the helix, we can connect  $q$  [\[41\]](#page-9-0) with the bend magnitude of the helix,  $B = q \cos\theta \sin\theta$  [\[44\]](#page-9-0), where  $\alpha$  is the azimuthal rotation of the director per half the molecule length,  $d_2$  (see in Fig. [9\)](#page-6-0). Then, the molecular bend can also

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

FIG. 7. Comparison of the temperature dependence for the uniaxial *S*-order parameter that was calculated from the vibration with a longitudinal dipole  $(||)$  with the apparent parameter  $S_{ap}$  that was calculated for the transverse dipole  $(\perp)$ . Open: *S* for the longitudinal dipole (||) calculated from the mode at  $1098$  and  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the symmetric (CBSC7SCB) and asymmetric dimers (CBSC5OCB, CBC7OCB), respectively. Solid: transverse dipole  $(\perp)$  calculated from the mode at  $811 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for all of the dimers.

be associated with the bend magnitude of the helix:

$$
\sin \beta = \text{tg}(\alpha/2) \sin \theta \cong 0.5d_2 q \cos \theta \sin \theta. \tag{9}
$$

It was already shown [\[45\]](#page-9-0) that the director bending,  $\sin\beta/(d_2\cos\theta)$ , is linearly dependent on  $\sin\theta$ . In a molecular system, however, the bending vector has to be considered statistically, and therefore its actual size,  $\langle \sin^2 \beta \rangle^{0.5}$ , is the result of transforming the bend of the molecule,  $sin \beta$ , into its statistically averaged value, i.e., the view of the molecule that is perceived by the system:

$$
\langle \sin^2 \beta \rangle \cong D \sin^2 \beta. \tag{10}
$$

As a result, the molecular biaxiality parameter *D* due to Eqs. (9) and (10) is accordingly dependent on  $\sin^2\theta$ ,

$$
D \cong \langle \sin^2 \beta \rangle / (d_2^2 \sin^2 \beta) \cong C \sin^2 \theta, \tag{11}
$$

where  $C = (d_2 \sin \beta)^{-2}$  is the slope of "*D* vs  $\sin^2 \theta$ ." As was previously shown for terphenyl dimers [\[33\]](#page-8-0), the *D* parameter can be associated with the square of the tilt angle; Fig. [10.](#page-7-0)

We compared the behavior of several series of LC dimers. The chemical nature of the linking groups between the mesogenic units and the spacer was systematically varied in order to include methylene, ether, and thioether units. For the dimers containing an odd number of atoms in the spacer, the ether linked is the most linear and the thioether linked is the most





FIG. 8. Molecular biaxiality parameter (*D*). Solid lines: navy, CBSC7OCB; black, CBSC5OCB; red, CBSC7SCB. The local bending,  $\langle \sin^2(\beta_2) \rangle$  calculated for the dimers:  $\Box$ , CBSC7OCB; O,  $CBSC5OCB; \triangle$ , CBSC7SCB.

bent. This increasing molecular curvature decreases the *N*isotropic transition temperature and affects the birefringence of the nematic phase. Theoretical studies of the rigid V-shaped molecules predict that the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase formation and its stability depend on molecular curvature [\[60,61\]](#page-9-0). Experimentally, the  $N$ - $N_{\text{TB}}$  transition temperature is the highest for the dimers containing a methylene spacer and is similar for the corresponding ether and thioether materials. However, the thioether



FIG. 9. Geometrical model of the helical structure composed of dimer molecules. The model shows the azimuthal rotation  $\alpha/2$  of the director per half the molecule length  $d_2$ . Here  $\theta$  is the molecule tilt and is the  $\beta$  bend of the molecule.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

FIG. 10. Molecular biaxiality parameter *D* vs  $\sin^2\theta_t$ , for the thioether dimers.  $\Box$ , CBSC7OCB;  $\Diamond$ , CBSC5OCB;  $\triangle$ , CBSC7SCB. Solid lines are the linear fits to the data (0.0 origin).

dimers are more bent than their methylene counterparts. It appears that the optimal molecular curvature for the formation of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase is around 120 $^{\circ}$ .

Surprisingly, despite the different molecular structures, the helical pitch length, which is far from the  $N-N_{\text{TB}}$  transition, corresponded to four longitudinal molecular distances in all of the studied materials. On approaching the transition to the *N* phase, the pitch began to unwind and the number of molecules per turn increased critically with the *N*-*N*<sub>TB</sub> transition being weakly of the first order. There is much work yet to be done, both experimental and theoretical, in order to understand these fascinating systems.

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Concerning the molecule shape, the dimers that were more bent were found to be less compatible for creating the nematic order. Thus, the opening angle must have a significant impact on the orientational order parameter. An increase of bend angle relatively reduced the orientational order. Namely, the temperature dependence became weaker as the temperature dropped. The molecular biaxiality parameter was found to be

negligible in the *N* phase and then began to increase upon entering the  $N_{\text{TB}}$  phase. The local director deformation was found to be well correlated with the molecular biaxiality parameter *D*. Changes in the mean absorbance in the  $N_{\text{TB}}$ phase were observed as a result of the intermolecular interactions: a decrease for the longitudinal dipole at the  $N$ - $N_{\text{TB}}$ transition, which emphasized the antiparallel axial interaction of the dipoles, while the absorbance of the transverse dipoles remained unchanged up to 340 K when the latter became parallelly correlated.

The main problem, which had some limitations in the results, was the great deal of difficulty in obtaining a homeotropic alignment for the cyanobiphenyl dimers. Measurements of all three components of the absorbance  $(A_X,$ *A*Y, *A*Z) requires at least two types of alignment (planar and homeotropic). In the case of the dimers with a cyanobiphenyl core, an attempt to determine the homeotropic order using the standard methods (a suitable surfactant or a strong magnetic field) led to a hairpin conformation of the molecules more than a bent conformation. In this paper, we determined one perpendicular and one parallel absorbance component. To calculate the average  $A_0$ , we assumed that the perpendicular component  $A_X$  was equal to  $A_0 = (A_Z + 2A_X)/3$ . This approach led to the assumption that the observed twist-bend phase was uniaxial. In the case of our considerations on the surface-induced biaxiality below 340 K, it was necessary to measure the second perpendicular component in order to unequivocally calculate the biaxial phase order parameters (*C, P*).

This work will be the basis for developing a model that, based on the molecular parameters that are obtained from polarized FTIR spectra measurements and a DFT structure simulation, will enable the essential properties of the  $N_{\text{TB}}$ phase such as cone angle, director bending, and indirectly helical pitch to be predicted. The development of such a model will enable the theoretical estimation of the helix pitch parameter, which is extremely important when such a measurement is impossible. The most crucial issue, especially in photonic applications, is spatial periodicity.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

K.M. and A.K. thank the National Science Centre for funding through Grant No. 2018/31/B/ST3/03609. B.L. thanks the National Science Centre for funding through Project No. 2020/39/O/ST5/03460.

All DFT calculations were carried out using the PL-Grid Infrastructure on the ZEUS and Prometheus cluster.

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

- [1] N. A. Clark and R. B. Meyer, Strain-induced instability of [monodomain smectic a and cholesteric liquid crystals,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1654481) Appl. Phys. Lett. **22**, 493 (1973).
- [2] D. R. Link, G. Natale, R. Shao, J. E. Maclennan, N. A. Clark, E. Körblova, and D. M. Walba, Spontaneous formation of macroscopic chiral domains in a fluid [smectic phase of achiral molecules,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5345.1924) Science **278**, 1924 (1997).
- [3] [M. J. Freiser, Ordered States of a Nematic Liquid, Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.1041) Rev. Lett. **24**, 1041 (1970).
- [4] I. Dozov, On the spontaneous symmetry breaking in the [mesophases of achiral banana-shaped molecules,](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2001-00513-x) Europhys. Lett. **56**, 247 (2001).
- [5] R. Memmer, Liquid crystal phases of achiral banana-shaped [molecules: A computer simulation study,](https://doi.org/10.1080/02678290110104586) Liq. Cryst. **29**, 483 (2002).
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[6] V. P. Panov, M. Nagaraj, J. K. Vij, Y. P. Panarin, A. Kohlmeier, M. G. Tamba, R. A. Lewis, and G. H. Mehl, Spontaneous Periodic Deformations in Nonchiral Planar-Aligned Bimesogens with a Nematic-Nematic Transition and a Negative Elastic Constant, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**[, 167801 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.167801)
- [7] M. Cestari, S. Diez-Berart, D. A. Dunmur, A. Ferrarini, M. R. de la Fuente, D. J. B. Jackson, D. O. Lopez, G. R. Luckhurst, M. A. Perez-Jubindo, R. M. Richardson, J. Salud, B. A. Timimi, and H. Zimmermann, Phase behavior and properties of the liquid-crystal dimer 1'', 7''-bis(4-cyanobiphenyl-4'-yl) heptane: [A twist-bend nematic liquid crystal,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.031704) Phys. Rev. E **84**, 031704 (2011).
- [8] V. P. Panov, R. Balachandran, M. Nagaraj, J. K. Vij, M. G. Tamba, A. Kohlmeier, and G. H. Mehl, Microsecond linear optical response in the unusual nematic phase of achiral bimesogens, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3671996) **99**, 261903 (2011).
- [9] L. Beguin, J. W. Emsley, M. Lelli, A. Lesage, G. R. Luckhurst, B. A. Timimi, and H. Zimmermann, The chirality of a twist– [bend nematic phase identified by NMR spectroscopy,](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp302705n) J. Phys. Chem. B **116**, 7940 (2012).
- [10] D. Chen, J. H. Porada, J. B. Hooper, A. Klittnick, Y. Shen, M. R. Tuchband, E. Korblova, D. Bedrov, D. M. Walba, M. A. Glaser, J. E. Maclennan, and N. A. Clark, Chiral heliconical ground state of nanoscale pitch in a nematic liquid crystal of achiral molecular dimers, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314654110) **110**, 15931 (2013).
- [11] V. Borshch, Y.-K. Kim, J. Xiang, M. Gao, A. Jákli, V. P. Panov, J. K. Vij, C. T. Imrie, M. G. Tamba, G. H. Mehl, and O. D. Lavrentovich, Nematic twist-bend phase with nanoscale [modulation of molecular orientation,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3635) Nat. Commun. **4**, 2635 (2013).
- [12] K. Adlem, M. Čopič, G. R. Luckhurst, A. Mertelj, O. Parri, R. M. Richardson, B. D. Snow, B. A. Timimi, R. P. Tuffin, and D. Wilkes, Chemically induced twist-bend nematic liquid crystals, [liquid crystal dimers, and negative elastic constants,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.022503) Phys. Rev. E **88**, 022503 (2013).
- [13] J. P. Jokisaari, G. R. Luckhurst, B. A. Timimi, J. Zhu, and H. Zimmermann, Twist-bend nematic phase of the liquid crystal dimer CB7CB: Orientational order and conical angle determined by 129Xe and <sup>2</sup> H [NMR spectroscopy,](https://doi.org/10.1080/02678292.2015.1037576) Liq. Cryst. **42**, 708 (2015).
- [14] M. G. Tamba, S. M. Salili, C. Zhang, A. Jákli, G. H. Mehl, R. Stannarius, and A. Eremin, A fibre forming smectic twist–bent liquid crystalline phase, RSC Adv. **5**[, 11207 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA14669G)
- [15] Z. Zhang, V. P. Panov, M. Nagaraj, R. J. Mandle, J. W. Goodby, G. R. Luckhurst, J. C. Jones, and H. F. Gleeson, Raman scattering studies of order parameters in liquid crystalline dimers [exhibiting the nematic and twist-bend nematic phases,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TC02174J) J. Mater. Chem. C **3**, 10007 (2015).
- [16] R. J. Mandle and J. W. Goodby, A twist-bend nematic to an intercalated, anticlinic, biaxial phase transition in liquid crystal bimesogens, Soft Matter **12**[, 1436 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5SM02720A)
- [17] V. P. Panov, J. K. Vij, and G. H. Mehl, Twist-bend nematic phase in cyanobiphenyls and difluoroterphenyls bimesogens, Liq. Cryst. **44**[, 147 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/02678292.2016.1254289)
- [18] E. Górecka, M. Salamończyk, A. Zep, D. Pociecha, C. Welch, Z. Ahmed, and G. H. Mehl, Do the short helices exist in the nematic TB phase?, [Liq. Cryst.](https://doi.org/10.1080/02678292.2014.984646) **42**, 1 (2015).
- [19] T. Ivšić, M. Vinković, U. Baumeister, A. Mikleušević, and A. Lesac, Towards understanding the NTB phase: A combined ex-

[perimental, computational and spectroscopic study,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA26078G) RSC Adv. **6**, 5000 (2016).

- [20] M. Salamończyk, N. Vaupotič, D. Pociecha, C. Wang, C. Zhu, and E. Górecka, Structure of nanoscale-pitch helical phases: Blue phase and twist-bend nematic phase resolved by resonant soft X-ray scattering, Soft Matter **13**[, 6694 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7SM00967D)
- [21] K. Merkel, A. Kocot, J. K. Vij, and G. Shanker, Distortions in structures of the twist bend nematic phase of a bent-core liquid crystal by the electric field, Phys. Rev. E **98**[, 022704 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022704)
- [22] K. Merkel, A. Kocot, C. Welch, and G. H. Mehl, Soft modes of the dielectric response in the twist–bend nematic phase and identification of the transition to a nematic splay bend phase in the CBC7CB dimer, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP04952E) **21**, 22839 (2019).
- [23] J. Shi, H. Sidky, and J. K. Whitmer, Novel elastic response in twist-bend nematic models, Soft Matter **15**[, 8219 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SM01395D)
- [24] E. Cruickshank, M. Salamończyk, D. Pociecha, G. J. Strachan, J. M. D. Storey, C. Wang, J. Feng, C. Zhu, E. Górecka, and C. T. Imrie, Sulfur-linked cyanobiphenyl-based liquid crystal dimers and the twist-bend nematic phase, Liq. Cryst. **46**[, 1595 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/02678292.2019.1641638)
- [25] L. Longa and W. Tomczyk, Twist–Bend Nematic phase from the Landau−[de Gennes perspective,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c05711) J. Phys. Chem. C **124**, 22761 (2020).
- [26] C. Meyer, G. R. Luckhurst, and I. Dozov, The temperature dependence of the heliconical tilt angle in the twist–bend ne[matic phase of the odd dimer CB7CB,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TC01927J) J. Mater. Chem. C **3**, 318 (2015).
- [27] W. Stevenson, Z. Ahmed, X. Zeng, C. Welch, G. Ungar, and G. Mehl, Molecular organisation in the twist–bend nematic phase by resonant X-ray scattering at the Se K-edge and by [SAXS, WAXS and GIXRD,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP01404J) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **19**, 13449 (2017).
- [28] M. Salamończyk, R. J. Mandle, A. Makal, A. Liebman-Peláez, J. Feng, J. W. Goodby, and C. Zhu, Double helical structure of the twist-bend nematic phase investigated by resonant X-ray [scattering at the carbon and sulfur K-edges,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SM01215F) Soft Matter **14**, 9760 (2018).
- [29] J. W. Emsley, M. Lelli, H. Joy, M.-G. Tamba, and G. H. Mehl, Similarities and differences between molecular order in the nematic and twist-bend nematic phases of a symmetric liquid crystal dimer, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP07304A) **18**, 9419 (2016).
- [30] R. Saha, G. Babakhanova, Z. Parsouzi, M. Rajabi, P. Gyawali, C. Welch, G. H. Mehl, J. Gleeson, O. D. Lavrentovich, S.Sprunt, and A. Jákli, Oligomeric odd-even effect in liquid crystals, Mater. Horiz. **6**[, 1905 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/c9mh00428a)
- [31] R. Saha, C. Feng, C. Welch, G. H. Mehl, J. Feng, C. Zhu, J. Gleeson, S. Sprunt, and A. Jákli, The interplay between spatial and heliconical orientational order in twist-bend nematic materials, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP06633H) **23**, 4055 (2021).
- [32] K. Merkel, B. Loska, C. Welch, G. H. Mehl, and A. Kocot, The role of intermolecular interactions in stabilising the structure of the nematic twist-bend phase, RSC Adv. **11**[, 2917 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA10481G)
- [33] K. Merkel, B. Loska, C. Welch, G. H. Mehl, and A. Kocot, Molecular biaxiality determines the helical structure – infrared measurements of the molecular order in the nematic twist-bend [phase of difluoro terphenyl dimer,](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP00187F) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **23**, 4151 (2021).
- [34] M. Gao, Y.-K. Kim, C. Zhang, V. Borshch, S. Zhou, H.-S. Park, A. Jákli, O. D. Lavrentovich, M.-G. Tamba, A. Kohlmeier, G. H. Mehl, W. Weissflog, D. Studer, B. Zuber, H. Gnägi, and

<span id="page-9-0"></span>F. Lin, Direct observation of liquid crystals using Cryo-TEM: [Specimen preparation and low-dose imaging,](https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.22397) Microsc. Res. Technol. **77**, 754 (2014).

- [35] C. Zhu, C. Wang, A. Young, F. Liu, I. Gunkel, D. Chen, D. Walba, J. Maclennan, N. A. Clark, and A. Hexemer, Probing [and controlling liquid crystal helical nanofilaments,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b00760) Nano Lett. **15**, 3420 (2015).
- [36] C. Zhu, M. R. Tuchband, A. Young, M. Shuai, A. Scarbrough, D. M. Walba, J. E. Maclennan, C. Wang, A. Hexemer, and N. A. Clark, Resonant Carbon K-edge Soft X-ray Scattering from Lattice-Free Heliconical Molecular Ordering: Soft Dila[tive Elasticity of the Twist-Bend Liquid Crystal Phase,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.147803) Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 147803 (2016).
- [37] M. R. Tuchband, D. A. Paterson, M. Salamończyk, V. A. Norman, A. N. Scarbrough, E. Forsyth, E. Garcia, C. Wang, J. M. Storey, D. M. Walba, S. Sprunt, A. Jákli, C. Zhu, C. T. Imrie, and N. A. Clark, Distinct differences in the nanoscale behaviors of the twist–bend liquid crystal phase of a flexible linear trimer and homologous dimer, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1821372116) **116**, 10698 (2019).
- [38] Y. Cao, C. Feng, A. Jakli, C. Zhu, and F. Liu, Deciphering chiral structures in soft materials via resonant soft and tender X-ray scattering, Giant **2**[, 100018 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giant.2020.100018)
- [39] C. Feng, J. Feng, R. Saha, Y. Arakawa, J. Gleeson, S. Sprunt, C. Zhu, and A. Jákli, Manipulation of the nanoscale heliconical structure of a twist-bend nematic material with polarised light, [Phys. Rev. Research](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.032004) **2**, 032004(R) (2020).
- [40] Y. Arakawa, K. Komatsu, J. Feng, Ch. Zhu, and H. Tsuji, Distinct twist-bend nematic phase behaviors associated with the ester-linkage direction of thioether-linked liquid crystal dimers, Mater. Adv. **2**[, 261 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0MA00746C)
- [41] Y. Cao, J. Feng, A. Nallapaneni, Y. Arakawa, K. Zhao, H. Zhang, G. H. Mehl, C. Zhu, and F. Liu, Deciphering helix assembly in the heliconical nematic phase via tender resonant X-ray scattering, [J. Mater. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1TC02027G) **9**, 10020 (2021).
- [42] P. G. deGennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, 2nd ed. (Oxford Science, Oxford, 1993).
- [43] D. Dunmur and K. Toriyama, in *Handbook of Liquid Crystals*, edited by D. Demus, J. Goodby, G. W. Gray, H.-W. Spiess, and V. Vill (2001), Vol. 1A, Chap. VII, pp. 189–281.
- [44] M. R. Tuchband, M. Shuai, K. A. Graber, D. Chen, C. Zhu, L. Radzihovsky, A. Klittnick, L. M. Foley, A. Scarbrough, J. H. Porada, M. Moran, J. Yelk, D. Bedrov, E. Korblova, D. M. Walba, A. Hexemer, J. E. Maclennan, M. A. Glaser, and N. A. Clark, Double-helical tiled chain structure of the twist-bend liquid crystal phase in CB7CB. [arXiv:1703.10787v1.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1703.10787v1)
- [45] W. D. Stevenson, H-X. Zou, X-B. Zeng, C. Welch, G. Ungar, and G. H. Mehl, Dynamic calorimetry and XRD studies of the nematic and twist-bend nematic phase transitions in a series of [dimers with increasing spacer length,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP05744C) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **20**, 25268 (2018).
- [46] Y. Arakawa, K. Komatsu, and H. Tsuji, Twist-bend nematic [liquid crystals based on thioether linkage,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NJ06456C) New J. Chem. **43**, 6786 (2019).
- [47] Y. Arakawa, Y. Ishida, and H. Tsuji, Ether- and thioether-linked

naphthalene-based liquid-crystal dimers: influence of chalcogen linkage and mesogenic-arm symmetry on the incidence and [stability of the twist–bend nematic phase,](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201905208) Chem. Eur. J. **26**, 3767 (2020).

- [48] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevE.105.044701) 10.1103/PhysRevE.105.044701 for a detailed description of the DFT simulation and figures showing the comparison of the polarized experimental and the theoretical spectra for the CBSC7SCB dimer.
- [49] K. Merkel, R. Wrzalik, and A. Kocot, Calculation of vibrational [spectra for cyanobiphenyl liquid crystals,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2860(00)00891-7) J. Mol. Struc. **536- 564**, 477 (2001).
- [50] J. W. Goodby, Free volume, molecular grains, self-organisation, [and anisotropic entropy: Machining materials,](https://doi.org/10.1080/02678292.2017.1347293) Liq. Cryst. **44**, 1755 (2017).
- [51] V. P. Panov, J-K. Song, G. H. Mehl, and J. K. Vij, The beauty of twist-bend nematic phase: Fast switching domains, first order [fréedericksz transition and a hierarchy of structures,](https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst11060621) Crystals **11**, 621 (2021).
- [52] E. B. Wilson, J. C. Decius, and P. C. Cross, *Molecular Vibrations* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955).
- [53] M. T. Sims, L. C. Abbott, J. W. Goodby, and J. N. Moore, Shape segregation in molecular organisation: A combined Xray scattering and molecular dynamics study of smectic liquid crystals, Soft Matter **15**[, 7722 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SM01527B)
- [54] W. Tomczyk and L. Longa, Role of molecular bend angle and biaxiality in the stabilisation of the twist-bend nematic phase, Soft Matter **16**[, 4350 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SM00078G)
- [55] K. Merkel, C. Welch, Z. Ahmed, W. Piecek, and G. H. Mehl, Dielectric response of electric-field distortions of the twist-bend [nematic phase for LC dimers,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5114824) J. Chem. Phys. **151**, 114908 (2019).
- [56] B. Orgasinska, A. Kocot, K. Merkel, R. Wrzalik, J. Zioło, T. ´ Perova, and J. K. Vij, Infrared study of the orientational order of the mesogen in discotic phases of hexapentyloxytriphenylene [and hexaheptyloxytriphenylene,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2860(99)00167-2) J. Mol. Struct. **511–512**, 271 (1999).
- [57] B. Orgasińska, T. S. Perova, K. Merkel, A. Kocot, and J. K. Vij, Surface phenomena in discotic liquid crystals investigated using [polarised FTIR transmission spectroscopy,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0928-4931(99)00067-3) Mater. Sci. Eng. C **8–9**, 283 (1999).
- [58] R. J. Mandle, C. T. Archbold, J. P. Sarju, J. L. Andrews, and J. W. Goodby, The dependency of nematic and twist-bend mesophase formation on bend angle, Sci. Rep. **6**[, 36682 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36682)
- [59] C. T. Archbold, R. J. Mandle, J. L. Andrews, S. J. Cowling, and J. W. Goodby, Conformational landscapes of bimesogenic compounds and their implications for the formation of modulated nematic phases, Liq. Cryst. **44**[, 2079 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/02678292.2017.1360954)
- [60] C. Greco, G. R. Luckhurst, and A. Ferrarini, Molecular geometry, twist-bend nematic phase and unconventional elasticity: A generalised Maier-Saupe theory, Soft Matter **10**[, 9318 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SM02173H)
- [61] T. B. T. To, T. J. Sluckin, and G. R. Luckhurst, Molecular field theory for biaxial nematics formed from liquid crystal dimers [and inhibited by the twist-bend nematic,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP04350C) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **19**, 29321 (2017).