Kinetic uncertainty relation on first-passage time for accumulated current

Ken Hiura and Shin-ichi Sasa

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Received 18 February 2021; accepted 4 May 2021; published 19 May 2021)

The kinetic uncertainty relation (KUR) is a trade-off relation between the precision of an observable and the mean dynamical activity in a fixed time interval for a time-homogeneous and continuous-time Markov chain. In this Letter, we derive the KUR on the first passage time for the time-integrated current from the information inequality at stopping times. The relation shows that the precision of the first passage time is bounded from above by the mean number of jumps up to that time. We apply our result to simple systems and demonstrate that the activity constraint gives a tighter bound than the thermodynamic uncertainty relation in the regime far from equilibrium.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.103.L050103

Introduction. The universal feature of dynamical fluctuations in systems far from equilibrium has been one of the main topics of nonequilibrium statistical physics over the past decades. A remarkable achievement in the field is the discovery of the fluctuation relations governing the fluctuation of the entropy production in generic stochastic systems, which are expressed as the equalities in contrast to the inequalities of the second law of thermodynamics. Recently, a novel inequality called the thermodynamic uncertainty relation (TUR) [1] has been studied; it provides an upper bound on the precision of the time-integrated current in terms of the mean entropy production. As well as the fluctuation relations, this type of inequality is universally valid for various stochastic systems under various situations [2–10]. See also Ref. [11].

In a typical situation of these studies, we observe a stochastic system in a certain observation time interval and investigate the statistics of an observable at the fixed time. In this Letter, we address a complementary problem in which we exchange the roles of the observable and time, and study the statistics of the random time at which the observable first reaches a fixed threshold. Such random times are called first passage times (FPTs). The distributions of first passage times, or more generally stopping times, are extensively studied in various fields, such as the theory of stochastic processes [12,13], reaction rate theory [14], biology [15,16], statistical estimations [17], and finance [18]. Moreover, in nonequilibrium physics, the universal natures of the first-passage-time statistics for thermodynamically relevant quantities were found recently, including the fluctuation relations at stopping times [19,20], the universality of the asymptotic behavior of the first-passage-time distributions [21–23], and several trade-off relations concerning the first passage time [24–26]. In particular, the thermodynamic constraint on the precision of the FPT, which is analogous to the TUR on the precision of an accumulated current at a fixed time, may be useful in measuring the efficiency of the biological clocks beyond merely theoretical interests [27] (see also Refs. [1,28,29]).

In this Letter, we focus on the kinetic uncertainty relations (KURs) on the first passage times for time-integrated currents. Whereas the TUR gives a bound on the precision of an observable in terms of entropy production, the KUR [30] gives a bound in terms of the time-symmetric dynamical activity [31]. Garrahan [25] obtained a kinetic bound on the FPT for a stationary continuous-time Markov chain,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[\tau]^2}{\operatorname{Var}[\tau]} \leqslant n \mathbb{E}[\tau],\tag{1}$$

in the large threshold limit. Here $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ denotes the mean time at which the time-integrated current first reaches a threshold, $\operatorname{Var}[\tau]$ is the variance of τ , and *n* is the mean number of jumps per time in the stationary state. This trade-off relation implies that the smaller the activity of the stochastic system, the larger the uncertainty of the time to reach the threshold. The inequality (1) was derived via the large deviation theory and verified only in the large threshold limit. The main purpose of the Letter is to derive the KUR on the first passage time that is valid for any finite threshold and to simplify the derivation based on the technique recently developed in Refs. [10,30]. The key ingredient of the derivation is the information inequality at stopping times.

Setup and main result. We consider a time-homogeneous and continuous-time Markov chain on a directed multigraph G = (S, E). Here *S* is a discrete state space, and *E* is the set of directed edges between two states. Let $k_e(x, y)$ be the transition rate from state *x* to *y* via the edge $e \in E$ and $\lambda(x) := \sum_e \sum_{y(\neq x)} k_e(x, y)$ be the escape rate from *x* where the summation is taken over edges starting from *x*. For a fixed time $t \ge 0$, $X_{[0,t]} = (X_s)_{s \in [0,t]}$ denotes a single trajectory of the system and is characterized by the discrete-time sequence $(x_0, t_0 = 0; x_1, t_1, e_1; \dots; x_{N_t}, t_{N_t}, e_{N_t})$, which indicates that the total number of jumps for trajectory $X_{[0,t]}$ over [0, t] is N_t , and the transition from x_{i-1} to $x_i(\neq x_{i-1})$ occurs via the edge e_i at times t_i for $i = 1, \dots, N_t$. We focus on a time-integrated current $J_t := J(X_{[0,t]})$ defined as

$$J(X_{[0,t]}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} g_{e_i}(x_{i-1}, x_i),$$
(2)

where $g_e(x, y)$ weights the contribution of the transition from x to y via edge e. The class of observables of this form includes many important physical quantities. Here we address two significant examples, the number of jumps and the fluctuating entropy production. The total number of jumps via edge fis obtained by taking $g_e = \delta_{e,f}$. This quantity measures how active the system is on edge f and is called dynamical activity. Next, we consider two edges, f connecting from x to y and bconnecting from y to x with $k_f(x, y) \neq 0$ and $k_b(y, x) \neq 0$. We assume that these edges are in contact with the same heat bath and require that the entropy per the Boltzmann constant $k_{\rm B}$ produced in the heat bath during the transition $x \rightarrow y$ is given by $\ln[k_f(x, y)/k_b(y, x)]$. The fluctuating entropy production associated with the paired edges (f, b) is then obtained by taking $g_e = \ln[k_f(x, y)/k_b(y, x)][\delta_{e,f} - \delta_{e,b}]$. The requirement we impose here is called the local detailed balance condition. However, in this Letter, we do not impose any requirements on the weight function g_e , such as non-negativity, symmetry, and antisymmetry.

The FPT τ for the time-integrated current J_t is defined as $\tau := \inf\{t \ge 0: J_t > J_{th}\}$, where J_{th} denotes the threshold value. The FPT is obviously a stochastic variable and accompanies fluctuations. The first time for the system to reach specific state z can be represented in this form by taking $g_e(x, y) = \delta_{y,z}$ and $J_{th} \in (0, 1)$. Methods to analyze the statistics of the FPTs in the class are well established [12,13]. Our concern here is the precision of the FPT quantified by the ratio of the squared mean FPT to the variance $\mathbb{E}[\tau]^2/\text{Var}[\tau]$. Throughout this Letter, we use $\mathbb{E}[f]$ to denote the expectation value of f with respect to the underlying stochastic process and $\text{Var}[f] = \mathbb{E}[(f - \mathbb{E}[f])^2]$ the variance.

We suppose that the mean and variance of τ are finite. We find that the precision of the FPT is bounded from above by the mean dynamical activity, which is quantified by the mean number of jumps,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{x_0}[\tau]^2}{\operatorname{Var}_{x_0}[\tau]} \leqslant \mathbb{E}_{x_0}[N_{\tau}],\tag{3}$$

where N_{τ} is the total number of jumps up to the first passage time τ and $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}[\cdot]$ denotes the expectation value conditioned on the initial configuration $X_0 = x_0$. This activity bound (3) is the main result of this Letter. The inequality (3) implies that the reduction in the number of jumps up to the time for the system to passage the threshold inevitably accompanies the worsening of the optimal precision of the FPT. We note that the inequality (3) is still valid if we replace the conditional expectation $\langle \cdot \rangle_{x_0}$ by the expectation value $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\rho}$ with respect to the arbitrary initial distribution ρ .

We make several remarks on our result. First, our result holds for any finite threshold J_{th} in contrast to the inequality (1) in Ref. [25]. For a sufficiently large threshold J_{th} and ergodic Markov process, we expect that $\mathbb{E}[N_{\tau}]$ nearly equals $n\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ because in that situation the jump number per time is well approximated over a sufficiently long time interval by the stationary value *n*. Hence, the inequality (1) is re-

covered in this asymptotic limit from our result. Although the precision of the FPT for the integrated current is also bounded from above by the mean entropy production, the thermodynamic bound of the form in Ref. [24] is guaranteed only in this asymptotic limit. We illustrate the violation of the TUR with examples in the next section. Second, the thermodynamic bound is tighter than the activity bound around equilibrium because in the regime close to equilibrium the mean entropy production tends to zero, but the mean number of jumps remains finite. In contrast, even when the mean entropy production goes to infinity in the regime far from equilibrium, the mean activity up to the first passage time may be finite due to a nonequilibrium force driving the system to the threshold. In that case, the KUR provides a tighter bound than the TUR. Third, the KUR is applicable even if the Markov chain is not ergodic or does not satisfy the reversibility condition, i.e., $k(x, y) \neq 0$ iff $k(y, x) \neq 0$. Examples of stochastic processes violating the reversibility condition are models including absorbing states in the population dynamics and stochastic resetting systems [32]. Our final remark is that the mean and variance of the FPT may diverge; in such circumstances, the KUR (3) may be violated. For instance, when the accumulated current has a positive drift, the FPT for a negative threshold takes an infinite value with positive probability. However, the modified KUR still holds in the following form see the Supplemental Material [33]:

$$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{x_0}[\tau]^2}{\widetilde{\operatorname{Var}}_{x_0}[\tau]} \leqslant \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{x_0}[N_{\tau}].$$
(4)

Here $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[f] := \mathbb{E}_{x_0}[f \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}}]$ is the integration of f over the restricted region $\{\tau < \infty\}$ and $\widetilde{\operatorname{Var}}_{x_0}[f] := \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[(f - \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[f])^2]$ the corresponding variance. We note that if the probability that the first passage time is infinite is positive, the modified probability distribution is unnormalized $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[1] = \operatorname{Prob}(\tau < \infty) < 1$.

Applications. We examine the KUR (3) in two paradigmatic examples. The first example is the biased random walk X_t on \mathbb{Z} starting from $X_0 = 0$. The transition rates between neighboring sites are set to $k_{\pm} := k(x, x \pm 1) = ae^{\pm \epsilon/2}$, and other transitions do not occur. Here a > 0 and $\epsilon > 0$ are positive constants. Suppose that this system describes a colloid under an external driving force f in a channel having a periodic structure of length l and filled with water in equilibrium at temperature T [Fig. 1(a)]. According to the local detailed balance condition $\epsilon = f l / k_{\rm B} T = \ln(k_+ / k_-)$ is the entropy per $k_{\rm B}$ produced in the water by the one forward jump. We consider the random time $\tau_x = \inf\{t \ge t\}$ $0: X_t = x$ at which the colloid first reaches the site x > 0. The entropy production along the path $X_{[0,t]}$ is given by $\Sigma_t := \epsilon X_t$ and, therefore, the stationary entropy production is $\sigma := \mathbb{E}[\Sigma_t]/t = 2\epsilon \sinh(\epsilon/2)$. We easily find that the precision of τ_x is given by $\mathbb{E}[\tau_x]^2/\text{Var}[\tau_x] = x \tanh(\epsilon/2)$ and the TUR [24], $\mathbb{E}[\tau_x]^2/\operatorname{Var}[\tau_x] \leq \sigma \mathbb{E}[\tau_x]/2$ is directly verified for any thresholds [34]. In addition, the mean dynamical activity is given by $\mathbb{E}[N_{\tau_x}] = x \operatorname{coth}(\epsilon/2)$ and is in agreement with the KUR $\mathbb{E}[\tau_x]^2/\text{Var}[\tau_x] \leq \mathbb{E}[N_{\tau_x}]$ see the Supplemental Material [33]. In Fig. 1(b), we see that whereas the TUR is tighter near the equilibrium $\epsilon \lesssim 1$, the KUR becomes relevant as the nonequilibrium driving force increases. We remark that the TUR may be violated, in general, for finite thresholds. As



FIG. 1. (a) Schematics of a colloid being driven by an external force f in a periodic channel filled with water at temperature T and one dimensional biased random walk describing the dynamics of the colloid. (b) Plots showing the precision $\mathbb{E}[\tau_x]^2/(x \operatorname{Var}[\tau_x])$ (blue solid line), the TUR bound $\sigma \mathbb{E}[\tau_x]/(2x)$ (red dashed line), and the KUR bound $\mathbb{E}[N_{\tau_x}]/x$ (green dashed-dot line) as functions of ϵ . The dimensionless parameter $\epsilon = fl/k_{\rm B}T$ measures a distance from equilibrium in this model.

an example, we consider a random walk with a reflecting boundary condition at the origin, i.e., k(0, -1) = 0 and a precision of the FPT for the threshold x = 1. The distribution of τ_1 is the exponential distribution with the decay rate k_+ and, therefore, $\mathbb{E}[\tau_1]^2/\text{Var}[\tau_1] = 1 = \mathbb{E}[N_{\tau_1}]$ for any ϵ , whereas the upper bound of the TUR $\sigma \mathbb{E}[\tau_1] = \epsilon/2$ becomes less than 1 for sufficiently small ϵ .

The second example is a two-level system in contact with two heat baths at different temperatures [Fig. 2(a)]. The lower (respectively, higher) energy level is coded by 0 (respectively, 1), and the energy gap is set to $\Delta > 0$. The transition rate from $x \in \{0, 1\}$ to $y \neq x$) associated with the heat bath at the inverse temperature β_e is given by $k_e(x, y) > 0$ for $e \in \{h, c\}$. We assume that $\beta_h < \beta_c$ and define $\overline{\beta} := (\beta_c + \beta_h)/2$. The local detailed balance condition imposes $k_e(1, 0)/k_e(0, 1) = e^{\beta_e \Delta}$ for each bath e. We observe the heat produced in the cold bath e = c and measure the accumulated heat current per $\beta_c \Delta$ from the system into the cold bath $J_t := \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} [\delta_{x_{i-1},1} \delta_{x_{i,0}} - \delta_{x_{i-1},0} \delta_{x_{i,1}}] \delta_{e_i,c}$. Our interest is the variation in precision of the first-passage-time $\tau_m = \inf\{t \ge 0: J_t = m\} \ (m \in \mathbb{Z})$ along with the temperature difference, which quantifies a distance from equilibrium in this model. To measure the degree by which temperatures differ, we introduce a dimensionless parameter $\epsilon := (\beta_c - \beta_h)/\overline{\beta} \in [0, 2]$. We define the efficiencies



FIG. 2. (a) Schematic of a two-level system. (b) Efficiencies associated with the TUR (red circles) and KUR (blue diamonds) obtained from the Monte Carlo simulations for 10^7 samples for various temperature differences. We set $X_0 = 0$, $k_c(0, 1) = 1$, $k_c(1, 0) = e^{\beta_c \Delta}$, $k_h(0, 1) = 1$, $k_h(1, 0) = e^{\beta_h \Delta}$, $\overline{\beta} \Delta = 10$, m = 1 in our simulation.

associated with the TUR and KUR as

$$\eta_{\text{TUR}} \coloneqq \frac{2}{\sigma} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tau_m]}{\text{Var}[\tau_m]}, \quad \eta_{\text{KUR}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[N_{\tau_m}]} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tau_m]^2}{\text{Var}[\tau_m]} \leqslant 1, \quad (5)$$

respectively. From the plot of the efficiencies obtained by Monte Carlo simulations [Fig. 2(b)], we see that, although they are actually reversed as the temperature difference increases, the efficiency associated with the KUR is always far from optimal.

Sketch of the derivation of (3). We sketch a derivation of (3) via the information inequality at stopping times see the Supplemental Material [33]. Let us consider a family of stochastic processes with path probability distributions P_{θ} smoothly parametrized by a real parameter θ . We use $p_{\theta}^{t} := dP_{\theta}^{t}/dP_{0}^{t}$ to denote the likelihood ratio function up to time twith respect to the reference process $\theta = 0$. A stopping time τ is defined informally as a $[0, \infty]$ -valued random time that does not depend on the trajectory in the future $X_{(\tau,\infty)}$. Typical examples of stopping times are first passage times. Under several regularity conditions, the information inequality [35,36] claims that for a general nonanticipating observable A_t , which depends only on $X_{[0,t]}$, and a stopping time τ with $P_{\theta}\{0 < \tau < \infty\} = 1$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{(\partial_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[A_{\tau}])^2}{\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}[A_{\tau}]} \leqslant I_{\tau}(\theta).$$
(6)

Here $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\cdot]$ denotes the expectation with respect to P_{θ} and $I_{\tau}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[-\partial_{\theta}^2 \ln p_{\theta}^{\tau}]$ the Fisher information at the stopping time τ . We apply this inequality to the first passage time for a time-integrated current J_t and a family of continuous-time

Markov chains with the transition rates $k_{e,\theta} = (1 + \theta)k_e$ starting from the initial condition $X_0 = x_0$. The transformation of the transition rates $k \mapsto (1 + \theta)k$ corresponds to a rescaling of the timescale of the stochastic evolution. Therefore, the expectation of the FPT for the observable of the form (2) transforms as $\mathbb{E}_{\theta,x_0}[\tau] = (1 + \theta)^{-1}\mathbb{E}_{x_0}[\tau]$. The logarithm-likelihood ratio function is given by

$$\ln p_{\theta}^{t} = N_{t} \ln(1+\theta) - \theta \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(X_{s}) ds, \qquad (7)$$

from the Girsanov formula [37]. The Fisher information for this family is, thus, obtained as $I_{\tau}(\theta) = (1 + \theta)^{-2} \mathbb{E}_{x_0}[N_{\tau}]$. By substituting these results into Eq. (6) and setting $\theta = 0$, we have the KUR (3). It is straightforward to include initial distributions in the derivation.

We make a remark on the derivation of the thermodynamic uncertainty relation from the information inequality. In Ref. [10], Dechant and Sasa derived the finite-time TUR on a time-integrated current from the information inequality. Specifically, they found a family of stationary continuoustime Markov chains $\{P_{\theta}\}$ for which $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[J_t] = (1 + \theta)\mathbb{E}[J_t]$ and $I_t(\theta) \leq \mathbb{E}[\Sigma_t]/2$, where Σ_t is the total entropy production over [0, t]. Although one may expect that the mean first passage time for J_t has rescaling property $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\tau] = (1 + \theta)^{-1}\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ for this family and the finite-threshold TUR on the FPT can be derived from (6), it is not true. This is because the statistics of the first passage time depends on the transition probability. Although the perturbation considered in Ref. [10] corresponds to the time rescaling of the single-time probability distribution and current, it does not have the same property at the level of the transition probability.

Discussion. We have derived the kinetic bound (3) on the first passage time for the time-integrated current that is valid for any finite threshold. In contrast to the TURs, the KUR may be relevant for a system far from equilibrium. An interesting challenge is to apply our result to biological systems, such as circadian clocks and molecular motors, and measure the efficiency of these systems from the perspective of the precision of the first passage time.

References [24,25] use the connection between the rate functions for current statistics and first-passage-time statistics to derive the same type of inequality. Nevertheless, our derivation is based on the idea given in Refs. [10,30] that finite-time TUR and KUR are obtained from the information inequalities for virtually perturbed systems. This method significantly simplifies the derivation and extends the range of applicability.

Our result is widely applicable to generic continuous-time and time-homogeneous Markov chains including nonthermodynamic systems. Extending the KUR on the FPT to diffusion processes and quantum systems under continuous measurement [38] remains an open problem.

Acknowledgments. We thank A. Dechant for valuable discussions. K.H. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 20J12143. S.-i.S. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. JP17H01148, No. JP19H05795, and No. JP20K20425.

- A. C. Barato and U. Seifert, Thermodynamic Uncertainty Relation for Biomolecular Processes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 158101 (2015).
- [2] T. R. Gingrich, J. M. Horowitz, N. Perunov, and J. L. England, Dissipation Bounds All Steady-State Current Fluctuations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 120601 (2016).
- [3] J. M. Horowitz and T. R. Gingrich, Proof of the finite-time thermodynamic uncertainty relation for steady-state currents, Phys. Rev. E 96, 020103(R) (2017).
- [4] A. Dechant and S. Sasa, Current fluctuations and transport efficiency for general Langevin systems, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. (2018) 063209.
- [5] K. Proesmans and C. Van den Broek, Discrete-time thermodynamic uncertainty relation, Europhys. Lett. 119, 20001 (2017).
- [6] A. C. Barato, R. Chetrite, A. Faggionato, and D. Gabrielli, Bounds on current fluctuations in periodically driven systems, New J. Phys. 20, 103023 (2018).
- [7] T. Koyuk, U. Seifert, and P. Pietzonka, A generalization of the thermodynamic uncertainty relation to periodically driven systems, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52, 02LT02 (2018).
- [8] T. Van Vu and Y. Hasegawa, Uncertainty relation under information measurement and feedback control, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 53, 075001 (2020).
- [9] P. P. Potts and P. Samuelsson, Thermodynamic uncertainty relations including measurement and feedback, Phys. Rev. E 100, 052137 (2019).
- [10] A. Dechant and S. Sasa, Fluctuation-response inequality out of equilibrium, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 6430 (2020).

- [11] J. M. Horowitz and T. R. Gingrich, Thermodynamic uncertainty relations constrain non-equilibrium fluctuations, Nat. Phys. 16, 15 (2020).
- [12] S. Redner, A Guide to First-Passage Processes (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001).
- [13] N. G. van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007).
- [14] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, Reaction-rate theory: fifty years after Kramers, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 251 (1990).
- [15] S. Iyer-Biswas and A. Zilman, First-passage processes in cellular biology, Adv. Chem. Phys. 160, 261 (2016).
- [16] W. J. Ewens, *Mathematical Population Genetics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004).
- [17] M. Ghosh, N. Mukhopadhyay, and P. K. Sen, *Sequential Esti*mation (Wiley, New York, 1997).
- [18] J.-P. Bouchaud, J. Bonart, J. Donier, and M. Gould, *Trades*, *Quotes and Prices* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2018).
- [19] I. Neri, É. Roldán, and F. Jülicher, Statistics of Infima and Stopping Times of Entropy Production and Applications to Active Molecular Processes, Phys. Rev. X 7, 011019 (2017).
- [20] G. Manzano, D. Subero, O. Maillet, R. Fazio, J. P. Pekola, and É. Roldán, Thermodynamics of Gambling Demons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 080603 (2021).
- [21] K. Saito and A. Dhar, Waiting for rare entropic fluctuations, Europhys. Lett. 114, 50004 (2016).
- [22] K. Ptraszyński, First-passage times in renewal and nonrenewal systems, Phys. Rev. E 97, 012127 (2018).

- [23] S. Singh, P. Menczel, D. S. Golubev, I. M. Khaymovich, J. T. Peltonen, C. Flindt, K. Saito, É. Roldán, and J. P. Pekola, Universal First-Passage-Time Distribution of Non-Gaussian Currents, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 230602 (2019).
- [24] T. R. Gingrich and J. M. Horowitz, Fundamental Bounds on First Passage Time Fluctuations for Currents, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 170601 (2017).
- [25] J. P. Garrahan, Simple bounds on fluctuations and uncertainty relations for first-passage times of counting observables, Phys. Rev. E 95, 032134 (2017).
- [26] G. Falasco and M. Esposito, Dissipation-Time Uncertainty Relation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 120604 (2020).
- [27] R. Marsland, W. Cui, and J. M. Horowitz, The thermodynamic uncertainty relation in biochemical oscillations, J. R. Soc., Interface 16, 20190098 (2019).
- [28] A. C. Barato and U. Seifert, Cost and Precision of Brownian Clocks, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041053 (2016).
- [29] A. C. Barato and U. Seifert, Coherence of biochemical oscillations is bounded by driving force and network topology, Phys. Rev. E 95, 062409 (2017).
- [30] I. D. Terlizzi and M. Baiesi, Kinetic uncertainty relation, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52, 02LT03 (2019).

- [31] C. Maes, Frenesy: Time-symmetric dynamical activity in nonequilibria, Phys. Rep. 850, 1 (2020).
- [32] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, Stochastic resetting and applications, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 53, 193001 (2020).
- [33] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.103.L050103 for details of the derivation and calculations of our results.
- [34] A. Guillet, E. Roldán, and F. Jülicher, Extreme-value statistics of stochastic transport processes, New J. Phys. 22, 123038 (2020).
- [35] R. Magiera, On the inequality of information type in sequential estimation theory, Appl. Math. **14**, 227 (1974).
- [36] J. Franz and R. Magiera, On information inequalities in sequential estimation for stochastic processes, Mathematical Methods of Operations Research 46, 1 (1997).
- [37] C. Kipnis and C. Landim, Scaling Limits of Interacting Particle Systems (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
- [38] Y. Hasegawa, Thermodynamic Uncertainty Relation for General Open Quantum Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 010602 (2021).