

Experimental observation of resonance manifold shrinking under zonal flow shear

T. Ullmann,^{1,*} B. Schmid,¹ P. Manz^{2,3}, B. van Milligen⁴, G. E. M. Tovar¹, and M. Ramisch¹

¹*Institute for Interfacial Process Engineering and Plasma Technology IGVP, Universität Stuttgart, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany*

²*Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany*

³*Physik-Department E28, Technische Universität München, 85747 Garching, Germany*

⁴*National Fusion Laboratory, CIEMAT, Avda. Complutense 40, 28040 Madrid, Spain*



(Received 20 May 2020; revised 31 July 2020; accepted 20 October 2020; published 2 December 2020)

In two-dimensional turbulent systems the redistribution of energy can be described by quadratic nonlinear three-wave interactions, which are limited by resonance conditions. The set of coupling modes can be understood as resonant manifold. It has been predicted by theory that, in the presence of a shear flow, the resonant manifold in wave-number space shrinks in time favoring large-scale structures. The phenomenon of manifold shrinking in the presence of shear flows is studied the first time experimentally in drift wave turbulence at the stellarator TJ-K by bicoherence analysis. By estimating effective mode numbers characterizing the width of the manifold, it is demonstrated that increasing shear leads to a shrinking of the resonance manifold.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.102.063201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.102.063201)

I. INTRODUCTION

In wave turbulence the nonlinear interaction can be approximately described by resonant N-wave interactions, where the resonance is restricted by the dispersion relation of the wave [1]. Sheared flows can impact the resonance of the wave coupling process. In turbulent two-dimensional (2D) systems, such as, e.g., magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) turbulence in the plane transverse to the external magnetic field [2,3] and Rossby waves in the atmosphere [4,5], mesoscopic shear flows are generated by a self-enhancing mechanism akin to an inverse cascade process. A prominent example is the zonal flow (ZF), which reacts back on the turbulence and suppresses its own driver, leading to predator-prey-like limit cycle oscillations [6,7]. These large-scale flows can regulate turbulent transport perpendicular to their flow direction [8,9]. For magnetized fusion plasmas it is believed that ZFs could play an important role in the formation of the transport barrier, which manifests itself in a strong stationary shear flow in the edge of the confined region, during the transition from low to high confinement regime [10–14]. Therefore, the effect of shear flows on the turbulence and their nonlinear wave interaction becomes the focus of attention. In this work, the effect of self-generated sheared flows (ZFs) on the resonant three-wave interaction of drift waves is studied experimentally. For this investigation, the temporal evolution of the resonance coupling manifold is resolved in wave-number space enabled by measurements with a probe array in the low-temperature plasmas of stellarator experiment TJ-K [15]. We find that the resonance manifold shrinks with increasing shear and extends back when the shear vanishes. The experimental results agree well with model calculations relying on experimental shear data.

II. MANIFOLD-SHRINKING MODEL

Drift-wave turbulence can be described by the Hasegawa-Wakatani equations [16] or, in the adiabatic limit, by the Hasegawa-Mima equation [17]. A Fourier transformation leads to the wave-coupling equation

$$\partial_t \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_3} + i\omega(\mathbf{k}_3)\hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_3} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2=\mathbf{k}_3} \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_1} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_2}, \quad (1)$$

with the nonlinear coupling term on the right-hand side originating from the convective derivative. Here, $\hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_i}$ is the dimensionless potential in Fourier space and $\omega(\mathbf{k}_3)$ is the drift wave dispersion relation. The potential is related to the flow by the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\phi \times \mathbf{B}/B^2$. The coupling coefficient $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2} = \mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{z}(k_2^2 - k_1^2)/(1 + k_3^2)$ determines the strength and direction of the individual couplings. The constraint, under which coupling takes place, is

$$\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_3, \quad (2)$$

the resonance condition for three-wave interaction. It expands to the resonance condition in frequency space, $\omega(\mathbf{k}_1) + \omega(\mathbf{k}_2) - \omega(\mathbf{k}_3) = \Delta\omega \approx 0$, via the dispersion relation $\omega(\mathbf{k}_i) = k_{i,y}/(1 + k_i^2)$, and limits the set of possible couplings in k space [18]. Thus, for a specific mode only a distinct coupling space is permitted: the resonant manifold. The theoretical effect of flow shear on the manifold is examined by Gürçan [19]. The implementation of a constant shear v' in the x direction, i.e., flow in the y direction, leads to a time-dependent k_x component,

$$k'_{i,x} = k_{i,x} - v'\tau k_{i,y} \text{ with } \tau = t + t_0. \quad (3)$$

The initial time t_0 can be chosen freely. Equation (3) enters the dispersion relation such that the coupling space is reduced with increasing time. Therefore, small-scale turbulent structures are forced to couple to the large flow structures, especially the ZF [19]. It is argued that this is the mechanism

*til.ullmann@igvp.uni-stuttgart.de




FIG. 1. Illustration of the effect of shear flow on the extent of the resonance manifold. (left) The manifold (black band), $\mathbf{k}_1 = (0.6, 0.4)$ coupling with $\mathbf{k}_3$, shrinks with time τ in sections I and III. (right) A temporal varying shear v' (black solid line) leads to a dip in the extent of the manifold with respect to $k_{3,y}$.

behind large-scale structure formation in quasi 2D turbulent systems [20], such as atmospheric turbulence or plasma turbulence in toroidal fusion devices. ZFs ($k_y = 0$) hold a special position because they satisfy the resonance condition (2) in a trivial way. These mesoscopic turbulent flows are, therefore, always part of the resonance manifold as persisting component coupling with the drift waves. As being shear flows themselves, this leads to a self-amplification of the ZF and a suppression of the primary instability. Physically, the mechanism is similar to the straining-out process of eddies, which explains the turbulence suppression by tilting and incorporation, rather than a breakup, of the vortices [14,21]. Also, when the background shear is controlled externally, e.g., by plasma biasing, ZF drive is enhanced because the time-averaged resonant manifold is effectively weakened.

To account for dynamic variations in the flow shear, for comparison with the experiment, the coupling manifold is recalculated for a flow shear modeled as $v'(\tau) \propto \exp(-\tau^2)$. The effect on the resonance manifold is illustrated in Fig. 1. For the specific mode $\mathbf{k}_1 = (0.6, 0.4)$ the manifold is shown on the left side as a black band for the three time points $\tau = 0, 0.3$, and 0.6 . With increasing time, the manifold shrinks in its extent (marked as Secs. I and III). Because of the stationary solutions of the ZF ($k_{2,y} = 0, k_{3,y} = 0$), the manifold does not shrink further into Sec. II. From Fig. 1 (right), it can be seen that, for finite lifetimes of the shear, the shrinking effect is also temporally limited, which allows for analyses of manifold shrinking in correlation with zonal potential events. Since flow shear takes effect over time, a time lag between maximum shear as precursor and maximum shrinking may be expected from Fig. 1, too.

III. THE STELLARATOR TJ-K

For a direct experimental test of the manifold shrinking effect the turbulent fluctuations have to be resolved in k space. At the stellarator experiment TJ-K fluctuations in




FIG. 2. Schematic cross section of TJ-K visualizing the experimental setup. For better comparison, measurement positions are shown in the same plane. The probe array (blue cross) is positioned in the edge of the confined region. With a movable probe system radial profiles are obtained from the plasma center across the separatrix.

the floating potential can be accessed in wave-number and frequency space, simultaneously, by means of multiprobe configurations. The low-temperature plasmas allow the use of Langmuir probes throughout the plasma, making it possible to acquire long time traces (2^{20} samples) with up to 1 MHz sampling frequency. Given that temperature fluctuations are small in TJ-K [22], fluctuations in the floating potential can be associated with plasma potential fluctuations, i.e., $\tilde{\phi}_f \approx \tilde{\phi}_{pl}$. For the current analysis, a poloidal probe array was used consisting of 128 Langmuir probes positioned in the edge of the confined region. The probe positions are visualized in Fig. 2 as crosses. With a spatial uncertainty of 2 mm, the averaged poloidal probe spacing of $\Delta y \approx 1.55$ cm is well below the typical structure size of 3 to 5 cm [23–25]. For normalization, the wave numbers are multiplied by the drift scale, $\rho_s = \sqrt{m_i T_e / e B}$, where the electron temperature T_e is obtained by a radially movable swept Langmuir probe (see Fig. 2). At a 2.45 Hz microwave frequency, corresponding to a magnetic field of $B = 72$ mT on axis, the hydrogen plasma is heated with 2.4 kW. This results in a maximum electron temperature of around $T_e \approx 7$ eV and a line-averaged density of $\bar{n}_e = 1.67 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}$, with the latter determined from a microwave interferometer. It has been shown that the normalized quantities of TJ-K plasmas are similar to those in fusion edge plasmas [26] and turbulence is drift-wave dominated [27,28]. Two strong identifiers of drift-wave turbulence, among others, could be experimentally verified for TJ-K plasmas: A finite structure size $l_{\parallel}$ parallel to magnetic-field lines with $l_{\parallel} \gg l_{\perp}$ [28] and $l_{\perp}$ typical perpendicular length scales of turbulent structures as well as a zero phase delay between density and potential fluctuations in a broad range of spatial scales [27]. The cross-phase results from the adiabatic parallel response of electrons to the density perturbation. Self-generated flows have been detected as zonally averaged time-varying potential perturbations [29]. Scans in the poloidal cross section and probe array measurements reveal their spatial structure and turbulent Reynolds stress drive [30]. In particular, their radial localization gives rise to sheared zonal flows. This makes the




FIG. 3. Scheme of the bicoherence spectrum in k space, where the projection of the resonance manifold on k_y can be identified (see text for further information). For a specific wave number $k_1 = \text{const.}$, the manifold (dashed line) is suspected to shrink in section I (positive wave numbers) and section III (negative wave numbers).

experiment ideal for comparison with the theory presented above.

IV. MANIFOLD UNDER ZF SHEAR AT TJ-K

An experimental proof of the change in coupling manifold turns out to be quite challenging since the dispersion relation is subject to statistical scatter. Therefore, the coupling space itself is analyzed by a bicoherence spectrum in wave-number and frequency space. This method intrinsically represents three-wave interactions which follow the resonance condition [Eq. (2)] in accordance with the dispersion relation. Due to the low spatial resolution in the radial direction, only the size of the manifold in k_y is regarded and we refer to $k_{i,y}$ as k_i from here on. The (auto) bicoherence applied on the potential fluctuations is

$$b^2(k_1, k_2, \omega_1, \omega_2, \tau) = \frac{|\langle \hat{\phi}_j(k_1, \omega_1, \tau) \hat{\phi}_j(k_2, \omega_2, \tau) \hat{\phi}_j^*(k_3, \omega_3, \tau) \rangle|^2}{\langle |\hat{\phi}_j(k_1, \omega_1, \tau)|^2 \rangle \langle |\hat{\phi}_j(k_2, \omega_2, \tau)|^2 \rangle \langle |\hat{\phi}_j(k_3, \omega_3, \tau)|^2 \rangle}. \quad (4)$$

Given that the study of the coupling space requires a time-dependent bicoherence, the coefficients $\hat{\phi}_j$ are calculated with a wavelet transformation [31], analogous to Refs. [32]. The asterisk denotes the complex conjugate and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ the ensemble average. The triple product of the wavelet coefficients [numerator in Eq. (4)] is a measure of the phase coupling between the three components (k_1, ω_1) , (k_2, ω_2) , and (k_3, ω_3) . For statistically independent modes, the sum of the individual phases average out and the bicoherence vanishes. With the normalization to the cross and auto power spectrum [denominator in Eq. (4)], the bicoherence is limited to the range $[0, 1]$, resulting from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The resultant bicoherence spectrum spans in a four-dimensional coupling space $(k_1, k_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$. Since we are interested in the extent




FIG. 4. Measurement of the effective extent of the resonant manifold during ZF occurrence. (top) Conditionally averaged ZF potential with uncertainty (light gray), which evolution can be regarded equivalent to the radial shear. (below) Time evolution of the effective wave number k_{eff} in section I (middle) and section III (bottom) shown as filled dots and uncertainty. Both time traces exhibit a drop of the effective wave number when the shear increases. The results are compared with numerical calculations (black solid lines).

of the manifold in k space, the spectrum is averaged over all frequencies where the bicoherence is above the significance level, i.e., greater than $1/\sqrt{N}$ [33,34], leading to the reduced bicoherence spectrum $b^2(k_1, k_2, \tau)$. In Fig. 3, a common representation of such a spectrum for a single time point is shown schematically. For discrete time traces the domain of definition is restricted to the outlined part. The wave numbers k_1 , k_2 , and $k_3 = k_1 + k_2$ are limited to wave numbers below the Nyquist wave number $\pm k_{Ny}$. The diagonal and counter diagonal are distinguished with the requirement $k_1 - k_2 = 0$ and $k_1 + k_2 = 0$, respectively. Because of symmetry to these axes, i.e., $b^2(k_1, k_2) = b^2(k_2, k_1) = b^2(-k_1, -k_2)$ [35], only one quarter of the plane contains independent information (shaded area in Fig. 3). For an arbitrarily chosen mode k_1 , all corresponding interactions are located on the vertical line $k_1 = \text{const.}$ (dashed line). This is the coupling manifold for the respective mode k_1 in a projection onto the k_y axis (cf.

Fig. 1). The points $k_2 = 0$ and $k_3 = 0$ (white dots) mark the interaction with the ZF. Hence, the sections described before can be identified in the bicoherence spectrum. The segment $k_2 > 0$ refers to section I, $0 \geq k_2 \geq -k_1$ to section II, and $k_2 < -k_1$ to section III. Only sections I (positive wave numbers) and III (negative wave numbers) are of interest for the analysis of the shrinking effect, because only here can a statement about the behavior of the manifold be made. For each section an effective wave number k_{eff} is calculated as a measure for the width of the coupling space,

$$k_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{k_2} w(k_2) k_2 \text{ with } w = \frac{b(k_2)}{\sum_{k_2} b(k_2)}. \quad (5)$$

The weighting factor w accounts for the individual coupling strength each mode exhibits. High and low absolute values of k_{eff} indicate a broad and narrow coupling space, respectively.

To study the temporal evolution of the flow shear a conditional averaging technique is used to create the ensemble average in the calculation of the bicoherence [Eq. (5)]. The ZF, regarded as poloidal shear flow, is linked to a coherent potential fluctuation on the complete flux surface ($k_\theta = 0$), which the experiment directly gives access to. Hence the zonal potential is used as trigger signal with the condition $+2\sigma$, triggering on the signal maximum as previously done in studies on related particle [29] and momentum [30] transport. With subwindows of $256 \mu\text{s}$, the ensemble average is build from more than 1000 realizations to reflect the averaged dynamics around the ZF event. The temporal evolution of the zonal potential $\langle \phi \rangle_{\text{FS}}$ is shown on the top of Fig. 4. For the quantification of the size of the coupling manifold, the effective wave number is calculated for each time point τ , according to Eq. (5). The evolution of $k_{\text{eff}}(\tau)$ for $k_1 \rho_s = 0.48$, as an example, is shown in Fig. 4 in the two lower plots for both of the meaningful sections. When the ZF advances, and thus the shear increases, the effective wave number in section I decreases. Vice versa, the effective wave number in section III increases, so the manifold shrinks. The minimum extent is reached when the zonal potential is maximal ($\tau \approx 128 \mu\text{s}$). A possible shift between both is small and hard to identify. This is due to the fact that the overall time duration of the ZF is short and the connected shear is relatively weak, with the same fluctuation amplitude as the ambient turbulence. At falling ZF amplitude the manifold increases again to the original size. The solid lines in the plots represent the shrinking that would be expected from the manifold shrinking model [Eq. (3)], using the time-dependent experimental shear as estimated from the zonal potential in Fig. 4

(top). The initial wave number for the calculation is estimated to $k \rho_s \approx (0.40, 0.48)$. Both time traces taken together reveal the drop in the size of the manifold, following the dynamics of the ZF. The temporal behavior of the experimentally found effective wave numbers agree qualitatively well with the model calculations.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

To summarize, a reduction of the coupling manifold by shear flows has been experimentally observed. In wave turbulence, wave coupling takes place among modes of a resonant manifold in consequence of the waves' dispersion relation. According to Gürçan [19], this manifold in drift-wave turbulence is predicted to shrink under flow shear. A combined conditional wavelet-based bispectral analysis method in wave number and frequency space, applied to multiprobe measurements of the plasma potential in the stellarator experiment TJ-K, has been used to demonstrate that the resonant manifold indeed shrinks in correlation with occurring ZF activity. Physically, this corresponds to the straining-out process of eddies, in which the dynamics evolves in favor of large-scale structures. As a persisting contribution within the shrinking manifold, ZFs gain relative importance in the turbulence's three-wave interactions, which may be reflected in an enhanced efficiency in turbulence-flow energy transfer. Moreover, the mechanism is not limited to time-varying flow shear but also applies to background shear flows as well, which demonstrates the important role equilibrium shear flows may play in the coupling process between small-scale turbulence and large-scale structures—in particular, in the turbulence suppression and transport barrier formation scenario in magnetically confined fusion plasmas. In future steps, quantitative aspects of turbulence reduction as reflected in turbulent amplitudes or growth rates should be addressed in comparison with changes in the nonlinear coupling behavior.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The contributions of P. Manz and B. van Milligan have been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under Grant Agreement No. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. The research has been sponsored in part by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades of Spain under Project No. PGC2018-097279-B-I00.

- [1] S. Nazarenko, *Wave Turbulence*, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011), Vol. 825.
- [2] C. S. Ng and A. Bhattacharjee, *Phys. Plasmas* **4**, 605 (1997).
- [3] S. Galtier, S. V. Nazarenko, A. C. Newell, and A. Pouquet, *J. Plasma Phys.* **63**, 447 (2000).
- [4] D. Y. Manin and S. V. Nazarenko, *Phys. Fluids* **6**, 1158 (1994).
- [5] A. Hasegawa, C. G. MacLennan, and Y. Kodama, *Phys. Fluids* **22**, 2122 (1979).

- [6] P. H. Diamond, S.-I. Itoh, K. Itoh, and T. S. Hahm, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **47**, R35 (2005).
- [7] P. Manz, M. Ramisch, and U. Stroth, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 056403 (2010).
- [8] P. W. Terry, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72**, 109 (2000).
- [9] M. Leconte and R. Singh, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **61**, 095004 (2019).
- [10] G. D. Conway, C. Angioni, F. Ryter, P. Sauter, and J. Vicente, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 065001 (2011).

- [11] T. Estrada, T. Happel, L. Eliseev, López-Bruna *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **51**, 124015 (2009).
- [12] P. Manz, G. S. Xu, B. N. Wan, H. Q. Wang *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **19**, 072311 (2012).
- [13] F. Wagner, *Eur. Phys. J. H* **43**, 523 (2018).
- [14] M. Xu, G. R. Tynan, P. H. Diamond, C. Holland, J. H. Yu, and Z. Yan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 055003 (2011).
- [15] N. Krause, C. Lechte, J. Stöber, U. Stroth *et al.*, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **73**, 3474 (2002).
- [16] A. Hasegawa and M. Wakatani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 682 (1983).
- [17] A. Hasegawa and K. Mima, *Phys. Fluids* **21**, 87 (1978).
- [18] W. Horton and A. Hasegawa, *Chaos* **4**, 227 (1994).
- [19] Ö. D. Gürçan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 155006 (2012).
- [20] A. M. Balk, V. E. Zakharov, and S. V. Nazarenko, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **98**, 446 (1990) [*JETP* **71**, 249 (1990)].
- [21] P. Manz, M. Ramisch, and U. Stroth, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 165004 (2009).
- [22] N. Mahdizadeh, F. Greiner, M. Ramisch, U. Stroth *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **47**, 569 (2005).
- [23] M. Ramisch, N. Mahdizadeh, U. Stroth, F. Greiner *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 032504 (2005).
- [24] G. Fuchert, G. Birkenmeier, B. Nold, M. Ramisch *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **55**, 125002 (2013).
- [25] G. Birkenmeier, M. Ramisch, G. Fuchert, A. Köhn *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **55**, 015003 (2013).
- [26] U. Stroth, F. Greiner, C. Lechte, N. Mahdizadeh *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **11**, 2558 (2004).
- [27] C. Lechte, S. Niedner, and U. Stroth, *New J. Phys.* **4**, 34 (2002).
- [28] N. Mahdizadeh, F. Greiner, T. Happel, A. Kendl *et al.*, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **49**, 1005 (2007).
- [29] G. Birkenmeier, M. Ramisch, B. Schmid, and U. Stroth, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 145004 (2013).
- [30] B. Schmid, P. Manz, M. Ramisch, and U. Stroth, *New J. Phys.* **19**, 055003 (2017).
- [31] C. Torrence and G. P. Compo, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **79**, 61 (1998).
- [32] B. P. van Milligen, E. Sánchez, T. Estrada, C. Hidalgo *et al.*, *Phys. Plasmas* **2**, 3017 (1995).
- [33] R. A. Haubrich, *J. Geophys. Res.* **70**, 1415 (1965).
- [34] Z. Ge, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 056311 (2010).
- [35] Y. C. Kim and E. J. Powers, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **7**, 120 (1979).