Classical Goldstone modes in long-range interacting systems

T. M. R[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7865-4085)cha Filho

Instituto de Física and International Center for Condensed Matter Physics, Universidade de Brasília, Campus Universitário Darcy Ribeiro, Asa Norte, 70919-970-Brasília, Brazil

B. Marcos

Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire J.-A. Dieudonné, 06109-Nice, France

(Received 6 May 2020; accepted 23 July 2020; published 14 September 2020)

For a classical system with long-range interactions, a soft mode exists whenever a stationary state spontaneously breaks a continuous symmetry of the Hamiltonian. Besides that, if the corresponding coordinate associated to the symmetry breaking is periodic, then the same energy of the different stationary states and finite *N* thermal fluctuations result in a superdiffusive motion of the center of mass for total zero momentum, that tends to a normal diffusion for very long times. As examples of this, we provide a two-dimensional self-gravitating system, a free electron laser, and the Hamiltonian mean-field (HMF) model. For the latter, a detailed theory for the motion of the center of mass is given. We also discuss how the coupling of the soft mode to the mean-field motion of individual particles may lead to strong chaotic behavior for a finite particle number, as illustrated by the HMF model.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.102.032122](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.102.032122)

I. INTRODUCTION

Most of the literature on classical statistical mechanics and thermodynamics deals with systems with short-range interparticle interactions, in the sense that the interaction energy at interfaces is negligible with respect to the energy of the bulk of the system. This ensures that energy, as well as entropy, are additive and extensive, two fundamental properties for the theoretical framework of equilibrium statistical mechanics and thermodynamics [\[1–3\]](#page-14-0). Yet many real systems fall outside this scope, such as self-gravitating systems, charged plasmas, wave-plasma interaction, dipolar systems, and twodimensional turbulence [\[4–9\]](#page-14-0), where the interaction is longrange, i.e., with an interparticle potential $v(r)$ that decays at large distances as $1/r^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha < d$ and *d* the spatial dimension. As a consequence, the total energy is no longer additive, which can lead to some interesting phenomena as ensembleinequivalence, negative specific heat, non-Gaussian stationary states (in the limit of an infinite number of particles), and more importantly for the present work, anomalous diffusion.

Let us consider an *N*-particle systems with Hamiltonian

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j=1}^{N} v(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|),\tag{1}
$$

where \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{p}_i are the position and conjugate momentum of the *i*th particle, respectively. The 1/*N* factor in the potential energy term is a Kac factor $[10]$ introduced for the energy to be extensive quantity (on this point see for instance the discussion in chapter 2 of Ref. [\[9\]](#page-14-0)). Under suitable conditions, in the $N \to \infty$ limit the dynamics described by the

Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is mathematically equivalent to a mean-field description with the one-particle distribution function satisfying the Vlasov equation $[11–13]$, i.e., all particles are uncorrelated.

If the original Hamiltonian is invariant with respect to translation of one coordinate, and the equilibrium (or stationary) state spontaneously breaks this symmetry, then a soft mode, i.e., a Goldstone mode, exists with zero energy cost to go from one equilibrium state to another [\[14–16\]](#page-14-0). Besides, if the coordinate associated to the broken symmetry is periodic, then thermal excitations of this soft mode lead to a diffusion of the center of mass of the equilibrium state, as discussed below. Our aim in the present work is then to show how classical Goldstone modes are realized in long-range interacting systems when a symmetry of the Hamiltonian is broken, either for an equilibrium or a nonequilibrium stationary state, and how, in the case of a cyclic coordinate, thermal fluctuations lead to a superdiffusive, ballistic in an initial regime, motion of the center of mass of the system. This behavior is expected to be ubiquitous for all systems with long-range interactions and periodic coordinates, under the stated conditions. We illustrate this phenomenology for three paradigmatic models with long-range interactions: the Hamiltonian mean-field (HMF) model [\[9,17\]](#page-14-0), two-dimensional self-gravitating particles [\[18\]](#page-14-0), and the single-pass free-electron laser [\[9,19–22\]](#page-14-0). Due to its inherent simplicity, yet retaining the main characteristics of systems with long-range interactions, the HMF model has been extensively studied in the literature. This simplicity will allow us here to present a more detailed theoretical description of this soft mode and of the superdiffusive motion of the center of mass of the system.

The paper is structured as follows: In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we explain the physical mechanism for the diffusive motion of the center of mass of a statistical stationary state, the thermal excitation

^{*}marciano@fis.unb.br

of the Goldstone mode, and its relation to the diffusion of individual particles. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0) we illustrate this for the HMF model, for both equilibrium and nonequilibrium states, and present a theoretical approach for determining the properties of the diffusive motion of the center of mass. The enhancement of chaos due to the presence of the soft mode is discussed in Sec. [IV](#page-10-0) and illustrated for the HMF model. In Sec. [V](#page-11-0) we show that the same diffusive motion of the center of mass is observed in two other systems with long-range interactions: a two-dimensional self-gravitating system and a free-electron laser, illustrating the generality of this behavior. We close the paper with some concluding remarks and perspectives in Sec. [VI.](#page-13-0)

II. GOLDSTONE MODES IN CLASSICAL STATISTICAL MECHANICS OF SYSTEMS WITH LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS

Spontaneous symmetry breaking is one of the landmarks of the developments of theoretical physics in the last halfcentury, occurring from subatomic up to macroscopic systems [\[14,15\]](#page-14-0), as exemplified by the Brout-Englert-Higgs phenomenon, superconductivity, soft-mode turbulence, phonons in solids, and plasmons, among others [\[14,15,23,24\]](#page-14-0). Although usually first introduced for quantum systems, Goldstone modes can also be defined in a classical context [\[25,26\]](#page-14-0), provided a few conditions are met. The system must have an infinite number of degrees of freedom, with its dynamics having the property that the space of physical states is divided in disconnected islands stable under time evolution. Here disconnected means that a state from one island cannot be reached from a state of a different island by physically realizable process without external intervention. In Statistical Mechanics, each island corresponds to a given state of thermodynamic equilibrium (which is not unique for a given energy if a symmetry is broken), and all those states that evolve into it. For long-range interacting systems one has to also consider islands associated to stationary states other than the Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) equilibrium distributions. Indeed, in the thermodynamics limit, there are an infinite number of such non-Gaussian states which never evolve to equilibrium, and as a consequence, each such state is part of a disconnected island, again with all states that evolve towards it, in the same sense as for equilibrium states. A symmetry breaking occurs in a given island when it is unstable by the operation of a symmetry subgroup of the whole symmetry group of the system (the symmetries of the Hamiltonian). The Goldstone theorem for classical systems then states (see Ref. [\[26\]](#page-14-0) for additional mathematical details) that, for each broken symmetry in a given island, there exists a solution of the dynamics satisfying the free wave equation (Goldstone modes).

For a finite but still a large number of particles *N*, the islands referred above are no longer, strictly speaking, invariant under the system dynamics. A stationary state for finite *N* acquires a life-time and is now called a quasistationary state (QSS) and can leave an island by evolving in time into the final MB thermodynamic equilibrium [\[9,27](#page-14-0)[,28\]](#page-15-0). Although the invariance of the islands is lost, the timescale, i.e., the relaxation time over which the QSS evolves is typically very

FIG. 1. We consider two particles with a periodic coordinate in the interval $[-L, L)$: (a) In the initial state the total momentum vanishes as both particles have opposite velocities, and the position of the center of mass (CM) is indicated by the vertical arrow. (b) The particles have moved freely, but one of the particles reaches one boundary before the other, and appears at the other side of the periodic one-dimensional space. As a consequence, the center of mass is now at a different position.

large, and one can still consider the free wave solution states as long lived Goldstone modes, that slowly relax to the mode corresponding to the final equilibrium state, as discussed below.

Here we are interested in Goldstone modes realized in long-range systems with periodic boundary condition (described using a periodic coordinate). For that purpose, let us suppose that the energy is invariant under translations of a periodic coordinate θ with periodicity 2π , with conjugate momentum p_{θ} , and that the system is in a (quasi)stationary state or in the true thermodynamic equilibrium. If such a state spontaneously breaks the translation symmetry with respect to θ for a finite number of particles *N*, then the corresponding Goldstone and thermal fluctuations due to the finite number of particles results in a diffusive motion of the center of mass of the system with vanishing total momentum (see below). This is not a contradictory statement as illustrated by the simple example in Fig. 1. We observe that this is a completely different phenomenon from the nonconservation of angular momentum in simulations with artificial periodic boundary conditions [\[29\]](#page-15-0). In the latter case, periodicity is a nonphysical computational artifact to simplify numerical simulations, and has as a side-effect the nonconservation of angular momentum. Here angular momentum is always strictly conserved and the periodic boundary is truly physical.

The equilibrium state (or a quasistationary state) with zero average momentum is represented by the distribution function $f_0(\theta, p)$, considered to be centered initially at $\theta = 0$, with fluctuations described by $\delta f(\theta, p; t)$, that can be considered to be of order $1/\sqrt{N}$ and preserving the total (zero) momentum, i.e.,

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp f_0(\theta, p) = 1,
$$
 (2)

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, p \, f_0(\theta, p) = 0,\tag{3}
$$

and

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \,\delta f(\theta, p; t) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, p \,\delta f(\theta, p; t) = 0,
$$
\n(4)

with $f_0 + \delta f \ge 0$. As $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi)$ with periodic boundary conditions, we denote the number of particles per unit of time crossing from positive values of θ at the boundary at $\theta = \pi$ as N_{+} and the particles crossing by unit of time from negative values of θ at $\theta = -\pi$ as *N*_−. We then have that

$$
N_{+} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dp[f_{0}(\pi, p) + \delta f(\pi, p, t)]p, \qquad (5)
$$

and

$$
N_{-} = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} dp[f_0(-\pi, p) + \delta f(-\pi, p, t)]p.
$$
 (6)

The net flux of particles at the boundary $\theta = \pi$ is then given by

$$
\Delta N = N_+ - N_- = \int_0^\infty dp[\delta f(\pi, p; t) - \delta f(-\pi, -p; t)]p
$$

$$
+ \int_{-\infty}^\infty dp f_0(\pi, p)p,\tag{7}
$$

where we used explicitly the periodicity in space of $f_0(\theta, p)$. The last term in the right-hand side of Eq. (7) vanishes identically, which is equivalent to say that the net flux of particles at the borders for the unperturbed distribution f_0 is zero. Using the fact that δf must also be periodic in θ , we obtain

$$
\Delta N = \int_0^\infty dp[\delta f(\pi, p; t) - \delta f(\pi, -p; t)]p. \tag{8}
$$

The important point is that $\delta f(\pi, p; t)$ does not have to be equal to $\delta f(\pi, -p; t)$, but yet complying with a total vanishing momentum. This shows that the periodic boundary conditions together with a nonsymmetric fluctuation with respect to *p* implies a net movement of the stationary state, which is governed by the nature of finite *N* fluctuations.

The time derivative of the position of the center of mass $\phi \equiv \langle \theta \rangle$ is then obtained from the considerations in the previous paragraph as

$$
\dot{\phi}(t) = -\frac{2\pi}{N} \Delta N
$$

= $-\frac{2\pi}{N} \int_0^\infty dp[\delta f(\pi, p; t) - \delta f(\pi, -p; t)]p.$ (9)

To show that the motion of the center of mass corresponds to a diffusive process, we write the variance of its position as

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^{2}(t) = \langle [\phi(t) - \phi(0)]^{2} \rangle, \qquad (10)
$$

where

$$
\phi(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i(t) \tag{11}
$$

and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ stands for an average over different realizations for the same (macroscopic) initial state. By choosing the origin

such that $\phi(0) = 0$ we have

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^{2}(t) = \left\langle \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{i}(t) \right]^{2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{i}^{2}(t) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \theta_{i}(t) \theta_{j}(t) \right\rangle.
$$
\n(12)

Although the position angles are restricted to the interval $[-\pi,\pi)$, for considering diffusive processes it is useful to consider both the center of mass and particle position to evolve on the whole real axis, and from now, we define ϕ in this way. By folding back to the original interval we recover the motion on the circle. We now note that interparticle correlations for a long-range interacting system with a potential regularized by a Kac factor are of order 1/*N* [\[12\]](#page-14-0), and therefore $\langle \theta_i \theta_j \rangle = \langle \theta_i \rangle \langle \theta_j \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$. Since the average of the position of any particle over many realization must vanish by construction, the last term in the right-hand side of Eq. (12) is of order $1/N^3$ and is therefore negligible for large *N*. From the definition of the variance of the position of the particles in the system,

$$
\left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i^2 \right\rangle = \sigma_\theta^2,\tag{13}
$$

we thus have that

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^2(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sigma_{\theta}^2(t). \tag{14}
$$

The particles are initially confined in the interval $-\pi \le \theta$ π , and since typically | θ | gets much greater than π with time, we can write with a minor error that becomes negligible with increasing time that

$$
\sigma_{\theta}^2 \to \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [\theta_i(t) - \theta_i(0)]^2.
$$
 (15)

We conclude that the diffusion of center of mass of the system is due to the diffusion of individual particles viewed as interacting on an infinite space with a periodic interparticle potential. As a consequence, the dynamics of center of mass position can be described by the same type of equations that describe the diffusion in the system. For instance, if a Langevin equation is known for the motion of a single particle, then a corresponding Langevin equation can be written for the center of mass by a simple rescaling by a factor 1/*N*. The study of diffusion in position for particles with longrange interactions is not a simple task and was studied in the literature, but a more complete theory is still lacking (see Refs. [\[30–35\]](#page-15-0) and references therein). However, for the much studied HMF model, a more detailed description of the phenomenon is possible for the initial ballistic diffusion regime, as will be shown in the next section.

FIG. 2. Total magnetization and component M_x and M_y for the HMF model at thermodynamic equilibrium for two time windows, with energy per particle $e = 0.4$, $N = 10000$ particles, time step $\Delta t = 0.5$, and energy relative error of order 10^{-4} .

III. THE HAMILTONIAN MEAN-FIELD MODEL

The HMF model is formed by *N* particles on a ring globally coupled by a cosine potential and Hamiltonian [\[9,17\]](#page-14-0):

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j=1}^{N} [1 - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j)]. \tag{16}
$$

This model is widely studied in the literature due to its inherent simplicity. Particularly, due to the form of its interparticle potential the numerical effort in molecular dynamics simulations scales linearly with N , instead of N^2 , which allows very long simulation times for very large number of particles (see Refs. [\[4](#page-14-0)[,36\]](#page-15-0) and references therein). The magnetization components for the HMF model are defined by

$$
M_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos(\theta_i), \qquad M_y = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sin(\theta_i), \tag{17}
$$

and the total magnetization by $M = \sqrt{M_x^2 + M_y^2}$. The system is solvable and the one particle equilibrium distribution is given by [\[17](#page-14-0)[,37\]](#page-15-0)

$$
f_{\text{eq}}(\theta, p) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{(2\pi)^{3/2} I_0(\beta M)}
$$

$$
\times \exp\left\{-\beta \left[\frac{p^2}{2} - M_x \cos(\theta) - M_y \sin(\theta)\right]\right\} (18)
$$

where I_k is the modified Bessel function of the first kind with index *k*. The magnetization *M* as a function of the inverse temperature β is obtained from the solution of the equation:

$$
M = \frac{I_1(\beta M)}{I_0(\beta M)}.\t(19)
$$

We denote the total energy per particle as $e \equiv H/N$, with *H* the total Hamiltonian of the system. The system has a second order phase transition from a ferromagnetic phase at lower energies to a homogeneous nonmagnetic phase at higher energies with a critical energy per particle $e = 0.75$. Since only the modulus *M* is determined for a given temperature, the equilibrium state is infinitely degenerate for $M \neq 0$, and the rotational symmetry of the total Hamiltonian is spontaneously broken.

FIG. 3. Same as described in the caption of Fig. 2 but with *N* = 1 000 000 particles and energy relative error of order 10−⁵ and final total momentum per particle of order 10−7.

FIG. 4. Total momentum per particle for the simulations described in the captions of Figs. [2](#page-3-0) and [3.](#page-3-0)

As the thermodynamic limit is equivalent to the mean-field description and particles are uncorrelated [\[11\]](#page-14-0), it is straightforward to show that the time derivatives of M_x and M_y vanish. Nevertheless, for finite *N*, small correlations are present and result in a slow variation of the magnetization components with time. Figures 2 and 3 show the time evolution of the magnetization components, with a total constant magnetization up to small fluctuations, for an equilibrium magnetized (nonhomogeneous) state for $N = 10000$ and 1000000, and total energy per particle $e = 0.4$. The total momentum remains zero and constant up to very small numeric errors as shown in Fig. 4. Figure 5 shows the displacement of the angular position of the center of mass, which coincides with the phase of the magnetization given by $M_x + iM_y = M \exp(i\phi)$, for the case in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) for $N = 10000$, with a typical diffusive random motion behavior. The discrete nature of this motion is evidenced on the right-panel of Fig. 5, as the center of mass jumps by $\pm \pi/N$ for each particle traversing the periodic boundary. Comparing Figs. 4 and 5 it is evident that the motion of the center of mass is orders of magnitude bigger that would be expected from the small errors in the numeric integrator. The oscillations are quasiperiodic with chaotic intermittencies and never damp, as the long time window of the simulation shows clearly. For all times the system is in a degenerate equilibrium state, with a time varying position of its center of mass caused by thermal fluctuations for finite *N*. This time dependence of the phase of the magnetization was first noted for the HMF model by Ginelli *et al.* in Ref. [\[38\]](#page-15-0), and also by Manos and Ruffo relating it to the transition from weak to strong chaos for the same model [\[39\]](#page-15-0). We will discuss this last point with more details in Sec. [IV.](#page-10-0)

Nonequilibrium states also display the same behavior for finite *N* as long as the magnetization is not zero. Let us take as initial condition a waterbag state:

$$
f(p,\theta) = \begin{cases} 1/(4p_0\theta_0), & \text{if } -p_0 < p < p_0 \\ \text{and } -\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{20}
$$

Figure [6](#page-5-0) shows the dynamical evolution of an initial unstable waterbag state with $M = 0$ ($\theta_0 = \pi$). It goes though an initial violent relaxation and then settles into a magnetized quasistationary state, with a time varying phase of the magnetization similar to the what is observed at thermodynamic equilibrium.

To characterize the diffusive movement of the center of mass of the HMF model we compute the square root displacement $\sigma_{\phi}(t) = \sqrt{\langle \phi(t)^2 \rangle}$, with $\langle \phi(t) \rangle = 0$ [recall that ϕ is defined in the extended space i.e., $\phi \in (-\infty, \infty)$]. Figure [7](#page-5-0) shows the results for $e = 0.4$ and $N = 5000$. A power law fit for the initial and final parts of the plot, shows that the motion is initially superdiffusive close to ballistic and tends to normal diffusion asymptotically. The variance of individual particle position $\sigma_{\theta}^2(t)$ is also shown in the figure rescaled by a factor *N*, showing a very good agreement with Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0). Figure [8](#page-6-0) shows the variance σ_{ϕ}^2 as a function of time for different values of N and fixed energy (left panel), and different values of the energy per particle e for $N = 5000$. The diffusion is close to ballistic for the time window considered, and tends to disappear for lower energies as the probability of a particle to reach the boundary of the physical space (with respect to the peak of the distribution) goes to zero as $e \rightarrow 0$.

The anomalous diffusion of particles in the HMF model and the periodic boundary conditions translate into an anomalous diffusion of the center of mass of the whole system. As commented above, anomalous diffusion in the HMF model

FIG. 5. Left Panel: Center of mass of particles for the same case as in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) but with a time step of $\Delta t = 10^{-2}$. Right Panel: Zoom over the initial portion of the graphic in the left panel, showing the discrete nature of the center of mass motion.

FIG. 6. Left panel: Kinetic (*K*) and potential (*V*) energies per particle for an out of equilibrium evolution of waterbag initial state with total energy per particle $e = 0.5$ and initial magnetization $M_0 = 0$ of the HMF model, with $N = 1000000$. Right panel: total magnetization and its components corresponding to the left panel. The initial violent relaxation is clearly visible, as well as the final oscillatory behavior of the magnetization.

was studied by some authors [\[30,31,33,40–42\]](#page-15-0), and superdiffusion was shown to be a common feature, even at equilibrium.

A. Dynamics of the center of mass

For the HMF model a complete theoretical characterization of the initial ballistic diffusive motion of the center of mass is possible. We consider here the case of the equilibrium state but the approach can be easily generalized for more general (quasi)stationary states. We first characterize the jumps of the position of the center of mass by showing that is given by the difference of two Poisson processes. Then we discuss how to compute the coefficient of the initial ballistic diffusion and why it tends to normal diffusion due to finite *N* effects, i.e., collisions or granularity effects.

FIG. 7. Variance $\sigma_{\phi}^2(t)$ for the position of the center of mass at equilibrium for an equilibrium state of the HMF model with $e = 0.4$, $N = 5000$ and 500 realizations. The variance $\sigma_{\theta}^{2}(t)$ for the position variables of each individual particle is also shown rescaled by the number of particles *N* which collapses to the values of $\sigma_{\phi}^2(t)$, in agreement with Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0).

B. Statistics of the center of mass jumps

Let us consider the equilibrium one-particle distribution function given in Eq. [\(18\)](#page-3-0), initially centered at $\theta = 0$ ($M_v = 0$) and $M_x = M$). The probability that a given particle crosses at $\theta = \pi$ with $p > 0$ during a small time interval Δt is given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{+} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dp \int_{\pi - p\Delta t}^{\pi} d\theta \, f_{\text{eq}}(\theta, p) = \frac{e^{-\beta M} \Delta t}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sqrt{\beta} I_0(\beta M)},\tag{21}
$$

and the probability that a given particle traverses at $\theta = -\pi$ with $p < 0$ is

$$
\mathcal{P}_{-} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dp \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi + p\Delta t} d\theta \, f_{\text{eq}}(\theta, p) = \frac{e^{-\beta M} \Delta t}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sqrt{\beta} I_{0}(\beta M)},\tag{22}
$$

which is, obviously, the same as P_+ . Thus, the probability that one particle, no matter which, crosses at each one of the boundaries at $\theta = \pm \pi$ is $\mathcal{P} = N\mathcal{P}_+$. Now supposing that for sufficiently small Δt the crossings of particles are independent from each other, the probability that ΔN particles cross at one of the boundaries is given by the Poisson distribution:

$$
P(\Delta N) = e^{-\mathcal{P}} \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\Delta N}}{\Delta N!}.
$$
 (23)

The probability for the value of the difference $c = a$ *b* of two Poisson distributed random variables *a* and *b*, with respective averages \bar{a} and \bar{b} , is given by the Skellam distribution [\[43\]](#page-15-0):

$$
S(c) = e^{-(\overline{a}+\overline{b})} \left(\frac{\overline{a}}{\overline{b}}\right)^c I_c \left(2\sqrt{\overline{a}\overline{b}}\right),\tag{24}
$$

with *Ic* a modified Bessel function with index *c*. Now considering that ΔN_+ and ΔN_- particles cross at $\theta = \pi$ and $\theta = -\pi$, respectively, in the time interval Δt , and noting that $\overline{a} = b = \mathcal{P}$, the probability that the difference, i.e., the net flux, is $\Delta N = \Delta N_+ - \Delta N_-$ is given by

$$
S(\Delta N) = e^{-2\mathcal{P}} I_{|\Delta N|}(2\mathcal{P}). \tag{25}
$$

For a given net flux of particles at the border ΔN , the center of mass moves by $\Delta \phi = -2\pi \Delta N/N$. Hence the probability

FIG. 8. Left panel: Variance $\sigma_{\phi}^2(t)$ for the position of the center of mass at equilibrium for an equilibrium state of the HMF model with $e = 0.4$, 100 realizations and a few values of *N*. The dashed line is proportional to $t²$ and is given for comparison purposes. Right panel: Variance $\sigma_{\phi}^2(t)$ for the equilibrium state for $N = 5000$ and different values of energy per particle. For very low energies there is almost no diffusion, as expected.

that the center of mass moves by $\Delta \phi$ in the same time interval Δt is

$$
S(\Delta \phi) = e^{-2\mathcal{P}} I_{|N \Delta \phi/2\pi|}(2\mathcal{P}). \tag{26}
$$

Since the possible values of $\Delta \phi$ are discrete there is no extra multiplication factor resulting from going from Eq. (25) to Eq. (26). Figure 9 shows the frequencies (histograms) of $\Delta \phi$ obtained from a very long run and the theoretical distribution in Eq. (26) with a very good agreement. For ΔN large, the Skellam distribution tends to a Gaussian distribution of the form [\[43\]](#page-15-0)

$$
S(\Delta \phi) \to \frac{N}{2\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \exp\left(-\frac{N^2 \Delta \phi^2}{4\pi^2 \mathcal{P}}\right). \tag{27}
$$

We will see in the next sections that the statistics of the jumps is *not* sufficient to fully characterize the diffusion

FIG. 9. Normalized histograms (vertical bars) from a numeric simulation for the frequency of increments $\Delta \phi$ of the center of mass position recorded after each time step $\Delta t = 0.01$, total simulation time $t_f = 10^5$, energy $e = 0.4$ and $N = 1000000$ compared to the distribution in Eq. (26) (diamonds).

process. Time-correlation in the jumps are very important, as we will detail below.

C. The variance of the position of the center of mass *φ*

The variance of the position of the center of mass of the system is written as

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^{2}(t) = \langle [\phi(t)]^{2} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{i}(t) \times \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=j}^{N} \theta_{j}(t) \right\rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left\langle \int_{0}^{t} dt' p_{i}(t') \int_{0}^{t} dt'' p_{j}(t'') \right\rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{t}{N} \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \ C_{p}(\tau), \qquad (28)
$$

where we used the property $C_p \equiv \langle p(0)p(\tau) \rangle =$ $\langle p(t)p(t+\tau) \rangle$, valid for a stationary state. In function of the convergence properties of C_p in Eq. (28), the center of mass ϕ will experiment ballistic or normal diffusion.

D. Ballistic diffusion

Long-term memory of the initial condition is a characteristic property of systems with long-range interactions, and one consequence is anomalous diffusion [\[44\]](#page-15-0). The ballistic initial diffusion of the center of mass can be explained by the fact that, for a mean-field system, the momentum autocorrelation function tends to zero after a collisional characteristic time τ_{coll} , which is the time interval collisional effects destroy the memory of the initial state. It is well known that in spatially inhomogeneous configurations of the HMF system, τ_{coll} scales linearly with *N* [\[27,](#page-14-0)[28,45\]](#page-15-0). In particular, in the limit $N \to \infty$, the momentum autocorrelation never vanishes.

In a stationary state in the thermodynamic limit the motion of a particle obeys the equations of a pendulum,

$$
\dot{\theta} = p, \quad \dot{p} = -M\sin(\theta), \tag{29}
$$

with known closed form solution in terms of an elliptic function for initial conditions $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ and $p(0) = p_0$, and

FIG. 10. Momentum autocorrelation function $C_p(t)$ obtained from Eq. (30) and from a numeric simulation for $e = 0.4$ and $N =$ 1 000 000. The dotted line was introduced for reference. We see that $C_p(t)$ tends asymptotically to a nonvanishing value.

therefore the autocorrelation function \mathcal{C}_p for this stationary state can be determined exactly (up to two integrations) as

$$
\mathcal{C}_p(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_0 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_0 f_{\rm st}(\theta_0, p_0) p_0 p(\tau), \qquad (30)
$$

which is valid for time $t \ll \tau_{\text{coll}}$ and where f_{st} denotes the one-particle distribution function for the stationary state. For the equilibrium state f_{st} is given by Eq. [\(18\)](#page-3-0) and and $p(t)$ is the solution of the equation

$$
Q[p(t)] - Q(p_0) = t,\t\t(31)
$$

with

$$
Q(p) = \pm \sqrt{2} \frac{\sin(p/2)}{\sqrt{e - M}} \mathcal{F}\left(\cos(p/2), \sqrt{\frac{2M}{M - e}}\right), \quad (32)
$$

where $\mathcal F$ is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind. The plus and minus sign in the right-hand side of Eq. (32) represent the two different branches of the solution. An easy way to overcome the analytical computation of the resulting cumbersome integral in Eq. (30) is to compute it numerically with any desired accuracy and a small numeric effort. Figure 10 shows the autocorrelation function at equilibrium for $e = 0.4$ obtained from Eq. (30) , and the same function obtained from a fully numeric molecular dynamics simulation, with a very good agreement. We see that for $t \ll \tau_{\text{coll}}$, or equivalently in the limit $N \to \infty$ for any time, the correlation function takes a nonvanishing value \tilde{C}_p . Using Eq. [\(28\)](#page-6-0) the variance of position of the center of mass is then

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^2(t) = \frac{t}{N} \int_0^t d\tau \,\tilde{C}_p = \frac{\tilde{C}_p}{N} \, t^2 \equiv \sigma_N^2 \, t^2. \tag{33}
$$

This explains why the diffusion is initially ballistic, or close to ballistic for $t \ll \tau_{\text{coll}}$. In Fig. 10, we can see that it is indeed the case. After a transient between $t = 0$ and $t \approx 200$, the momentum autocorrelation function takes a constant value. By replacing f_{eq} in the above expression for any stationary state, all results above remain valid.

The value of the constant σ_N^2 can be obtained explicitly using the fact that the one-particle phase space is divided by a separatrix for points corresponding to a libration (outside the separatrix), and bounded motion (inside the separatrix). The separatrix is defined such that the one-particle energy equals the maximum of the mean-field potential. The particles which contribute to the ballistic diffusion are those which are librating, i.e., outside the separatrix. This is because the positions of the particles which are outside the separatrix can increase indefinitely whereas this is not the case for those which lie inside the separatrix. We can therefore write, after a transient time, the position of the center of mass as

$$
\phi \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^+} \theta_i^+(t),\tag{34}
$$

where θ^+ are the N^+ particles which lie outside the separatrix, and thus

$$
\langle \phi^2 \rangle \simeq \frac{1}{N} \langle (\theta^+)^2 \rangle \simeq \frac{1}{N} \langle (\upsilon^+)^2 \rangle t^2, \tag{35}
$$

where $\langle (v^+)^2 \rangle$ is the variance of the velocity of the particles outside the separatrix. We have therefore

$$
\sigma_N^2 \simeq \langle (v^+)^2 \rangle. \tag{36}
$$

Note that, as the system is at equilibrium, the quantity $\langle (v^+)^2 \rangle$ does not depend on time. We need first to compute the velocity distribution of the particles with an energy larger than the separatrix, which we will call $P^+(v)$. For a system with an average magnetization *M*, particles are outside the separatrix if their energy *e* is larger than the average magnetization, i.e.,

$$
e = \frac{v^2}{2} - M\cos\theta \ge M,\tag{37}
$$

where we have used without loss of generality that $M_y = 0$ and then $M = M_x$. The first step in the calculation is to compute the probability density of $\cos \theta$. Using the equilibrium distribution function in Eq. (18) we get

$$
P(X = \cos \theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{\exp(\beta M \cos \theta)}{2\pi I_0(\beta M)} \delta(X - \cos \theta)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\pi I_0(\beta M)} \frac{\exp(\beta M X)}{\sqrt{1 - X^2}}.
$$
(38)

We are interested in the probability

$$
P\left(-1 \leqslant \cos \theta \leqslant \frac{v^2}{2M} - 1\right) \equiv F(v, \beta)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\pi I_0(\beta M)} \int_{-1}^{\frac{v^2}{2M} - 1} dX \frac{\exp(\beta MX)}{\sqrt{1 - X^2}}.
$$
(39)

The integral in this equation cannot be performed analytically.

There are two possible cases according to the velocity of the particles:

(1) If $|v| > 2\sqrt{M}$, then the particle automatically lies outside the separatrix.

(2) If $|v| < 2\sqrt{M}$, then the particle is outside the separatrix only if $\cos \theta < v^2/2M - 1$.

FIG. 11. Comparison of the distribution in Eq. (40) (dashed line) and a numerical realization (circles) with $N = 10^8$ particles and $\beta =$ 2.26.

The velocity distribution of the particles outside the separatrix is then

$$
P^{+}(v,\beta) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} \exp(-\beta v^{2}/2), & \text{if } |v| > 2\sqrt{M}, \\ \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} \exp(-\beta v^{2}/2)F(v,\beta), & \text{if } |v| < 2\sqrt{M}. \end{cases}
$$
(40)

The distribution in Eq. (40) is shown in Fig. 11 with a comparison to a numerical realization with $N = 10^6$ particles.

We compute now the variance of the velocity of the particles outside the separatrix:

$$
\langle (v^+)^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \, v^2 P^+(v, \beta). \tag{41}
$$

Using Eq. (40), we get to the contribution of the integral for $|v| > 2\sqrt{M}$:

$$
2\int_{2\sqrt{M}}^{\infty} dv \, v^2 P^+(v,\,\beta) = 2\sqrt{\frac{2M}{\pi \beta}} + \text{Erfc}\left(\sqrt{2\beta M}\right). \tag{42}
$$

For sufficiently large β (i.e., not too close to the phase transition $\beta = 2$), and using that, for these values of β ,

$$
M \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2\beta} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\beta^2}\right),\tag{43}
$$

this expression can be approximated with

$$
2\int_{2\sqrt{M}}^{\infty} dv \, v^2 P^+(v,\beta) = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \beta}} e^{1-2\beta + \mathcal{O}(1/\beta)}. \tag{44}
$$

To get an analytic approximation of the contribution of integral Eq. (41) for $|v| > 2\sqrt{M}$ it is convenient to invert the order of integration between *x* and *v*. We get

$$
2\int_0^{2\sqrt{M}} dv \, v^2 P^+(v,\,\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi I_0(\beta M)} \int_{-1}^1 dx \, \frac{e^{\beta Mx}}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} g(x,\,\beta),\tag{45}
$$

where

$$
g(x,\beta) = \frac{\text{Erf}(\sqrt{2\beta M}) + \text{Erfc}(\sqrt{\beta M(x+1)}) + \frac{2\left(e^{-\beta M(x+1)}\sqrt{\beta M(x+1)} - \sqrt{2}e^{-2\beta M}\sqrt{\beta M}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} - 1}{2\beta}.
$$
\n(46)

Since integral Eq. (45) is dominated by the region $x \sim 1$, to get an analytical approximation, it is possible to expand the function Erfc[$\sqrt{bM(x+1)}$] in power series around $x = 1$. It is then possible to find an analytical expression for Eq. (45), which is, for sufficiently large β ,

$$
2\int_0^{2\sqrt{M}} dv \, v^2 P^+(v, \beta) F(v, \beta)
$$

= $\left[\frac{8}{\pi} - \frac{33}{8\sqrt{2\pi\beta}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right] e^{1-2\beta+\mathcal{O}(1/\beta)}$. (47)

Combining Eqs. (42) and (47) we obtain that, at leading order,

$$
\sigma_N^2 = \frac{\tilde{C}_p}{N} \simeq \frac{8}{\pi} e^{1-2\beta}.
$$
 (48)

A comparison of \tilde{C}_p obtained from Eq. (41) with numeric simulations for different values of β is shown in the leftpanel of Fig. [12](#page-9-0) with a good very agreement. The spatial distribution function obtained using Eq. [\(18\)](#page-3-0) is

$$
\rho(\theta, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(\beta M)} e^{\beta M \cos[\theta + \phi(t)]}
$$
(49)

and is shown on the right-hand panel of the same figure. From Eq. (21) we have that the number of particles that cross at the boundary at $\theta = \pi$ during the time interval Δt is thus given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{+} = \frac{\Delta t}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta}} \rho(\pi). \tag{50}
$$

We see that σ_N^2 is roughly proportional to $\rho(\pi)$, the value of the spatial density at $\theta = \pi$ for $\phi = 0$. This illustrates the fact that the diffusive ballistic motion is indeed due to an excess of particles crossing at the boundaries into different directions at the boundary of the periodic variable θ .

E. Normal diffusive regime

For finite *N*, collisional effects destroy the memory of the initial state on a timescale proportional to the order of the strength of the interaction, which for nonhomogeneous states is 1/*N* [\[27,](#page-14-0)[28,45\]](#page-15-0), causing the autocorrelation function to slowly approach zero, as exemplified in Fig. [13.](#page-9-0) Consequently the diffusion tends to normal in this same timescale, after which the variance of the center of mass position satisfies $\sigma_{\phi}(t)^2 = Dt$, with *D* the (normal) diffusion coefficient. The precise theoretical determination of the crossover time between anomalous and normal diffusion and the value of *D* is a very difficult task in kinetic theory, and well beyond the scope

FIG. 12. Left panel: Ballistic diffusion coefficient \tilde{C}_p from Eq. [\(30\)](#page-7-0), molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, theoretical prediction Eqs. [\(36\)](#page-7-0) and [\(41\)](#page-8-0), and analytical approximation Eq. [\(48\)](#page-8-0). Right panel: Spatial distribution function at $\theta = \pi$ from Eq. [\(49\)](#page-8-0). We see that σ_N^2 is roughly proportional to $\rho(\pi)$ when the center of mass is located at the origin, as expected, and the flow of particles is proportional to $\rho(\pi)$.

of the present work. We can, however, determine the diffusion coefficient using an approximation for the exact expression for the variance of position of the center of mass:

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^2(t) = \frac{t}{N} \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \, C_p(\tau). \tag{51}
$$

We know that the correlation coefficient has the form

$$
\mathcal{C}_p(\tau) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_p f(\tau, \beta),\tag{52}
$$

where $f(\tau,\beta)$ is an unknown function of time and β related to the collisional relaxation process with $f(0, \beta) = 1, f(\tau \rightarrow$ ∞ , β) = 0 and \tilde{C}_p defined in Eq. [\(33\)](#page-7-0). This describes the behavior of the correlation function observed in Fig. 13 for a particular value of β. If we assume that the function *f* does not depend strongly on $β$, then we can write

$$
\mathcal{C}_p(\tau) \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_p f(\tau),\tag{53}
$$

FIG. 13. Momentum autocorrelation function $C_p(t)$ at equilibrium for very long times, $e = 0.4$, $N = 10000$. Note that the time required for C_p to reach zero corresponds to the crossover time from nonnormal to normal diffusion in Fig. [7](#page-5-0)

and then for the variance of position of the center of mass,

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^{2}(t) \simeq \frac{t}{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{p} f(\tau) = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{p}}{N} t \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau f(\tau). \tag{54}
$$

We compute numerically the last integral in the right-hand side of Eq. (54) for $e = 0.4$, obtaining

$$
\int_0^\infty d\tau f(\tau) \approx 730. \tag{55}
$$

Using this result and the analytical expression for \tilde{C}_p in Eq. [\(48\)](#page-8-0) we show in Fig. 14 the normal diffusion coefficient *D* a function of β with a good agreement between theory and simulation. Note that to obtain the numerical estimate requires a considerable numeric effort with very long integration times, and with the caveat that the higher the value of *N* the higher

FIG. 14. Normal diffusion coefficient *D* at equilibrium of the center of mass as a function of β . The simulation has been performed for $N = 1000$, 50 realizations and total simulation time $t_f = 10^6$.

FIG. 15. Magnetization components for the HMF model with $N = 10000$ and energies per particle $e = 0.17$ (a), $e = 0.175$ (b), $e = 0.18$ (c), and $e = 0.2$ (d).

the crossover time. As expected, *D* tends to zero for decreasing energy (increasing β).

IV. CLASSICAL GOLDSTONE MODES AND CHAOS

In nematic liquid crystals the coupling of a roll pattern of electroconvection with a Goldstone mode, due to the symmetry breaking of the alignment of the nematic molecules, results in what is known as soft-mode turbulence $[46]$. We show now that, similarly, the coupling of the thermal excitations of a Goldstone mode, related to a periodic coordinate in long-range systems, to the mean-field motion of the particles, may lead to what is called strong chaotic behavior.

In the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$, the dynamics being exactly described by a mean-field approach, the motion of each particle is statistically uncorrelated from that of all other particles, with the force given by the mean-field force as the statistical average of the forces due to all other particles in the system. Let us consider the case of the HMF model where the equations of motion of particle *i* are given by

$$
\dot{\theta}_i = p_i,
$$

\n
$$
\dot{p}_i = -M_x \sin \theta_i + M_y \cos \theta_i = -M \sin(\theta_i + \phi).
$$
 (56)

In an equilibrium or stationary state in the thermodynamic limit, the magnetization M and phase ϕ are constant and each particle behaves as a pendulum subject to a constant force *M* in the direction specified by the phase of the magnetization. As a result, all particles act as uncoupled pendula, and the system is integrable, i.e., nonchaotic. For finite *N* the system is chaotic as its largest Lyapunov exponent [\[47\]](#page-15-0) does not vanish [\[48–50\]](#page-15-0). Manos and Ruffo [\[39\]](#page-15-0) showed that a crossover from weak to strong chaos, corresponding to a fraction of chaotic orbits less than 1% (weak chaos) and close to 100% (strong chaos), occurs at an energy value such that the time dependence of the phase, i.e., the excitation of the Goldstone mode, becomes important. This is also reflected by the value of the Lyapunov exponent as a function of energy [\[39,48,49\]](#page-15-0). In fact, for energies above the phase transition, where the magnetization vanishes in the thermodynamic limit, the Lyapunov exponent tends to zero very fast with increasing *N*, according to a power law $N^{-\gamma}$, with $\gamma \approx 1/3$, while for energy values corresponding to strong chaos, the decrease of Lyapunov exponent is at least one order of magnitude slower as given by the exponent γ [\[49\]](#page-15-0). Figure [12](#page-9-0) at the right shows the value of the equilibrium spatial distribution function in Eq. [\(49\)](#page-8-0) at $\theta = \pi$ with $\phi = 0$. If $\rho(\pi)$ is not significantly different from zero, then the net flux of particles at the boundary is also very small, and the Goldstone mode is not excited. As a consequence, no net motion of the center of mass of the system is observed for energies below a threshold. Figure 15 shows the behavior of the magnetization components for a few energy values at equilibrium. A significant diffusive motion of the center of mass of the system starts for energies greater than

FIG. 16. Left panel: Largest Lyapunov exponent for the pendulum with phase given by a Gaussian colored noise corresponding to the equation of motion in Eq. [\(56\)](#page-10-0) with $\alpha = 0.01$. Right Panel: Largest Lyapunov exponent for the HMF model in an equilibrium state with $N = 1000000$.

 $e_g \approx 0.17$, the energy value corresponding to the crossover from weak to strong chaos.

To illustrate the relation of the coupling of the diffusive motion of the center of mass and chaos, let us consider a single oscillator with the same equations of motion as in Eq. (56) and phase ϕ given by

$$
\phi(K\Delta t) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \Delta \phi_i, \qquad (57)
$$

with Δt a small fixed time interval, *K* an integer, $\Delta \phi_i$ a realization of an exponentially correlated colored noise, i.e., given by a random variable with zero mean, a Gaussian distribution and exponential correlation function

$$
\langle \Delta \phi_i \Delta \phi_j \rangle = e^{-K(j-i)\alpha},\tag{58}
$$

with α constant. The variance of the Gaussian distribution of the random variable $\Delta \phi$ is chosen to be the same as the Gaussian distribution for jumps of the center of mass of the HMF model in Eq. [\(27\)](#page-6-0). The numerical algorithm for generating such a random number is given in Ref. [\[51\]](#page-15-0). The largest Lyapunov exponent can be obtained from standard methods [\[52\]](#page-15-0) and is shown as a function of energy in Fig. 16. The dynamics of the HMF model for finite *N* is of course much more complex than that of a single pendulum with constant force intensity and random phase, as different particles interact with each other and with fluctuations in the total magnetization, creating feedback effects. The timescales are also different, which are relevant for the magnitude of the Lyapunov exponent. Despite that, a comparison of the graphics in Fig. 16 with Fig. [2](#page-3-0) of Ref. [\[48\]](#page-15-0) shows that the coupling of the Goldstone mode to the motion of a single particle is related to the strong chaotic behavior in the nonhomogeneous phase, with the Lyapunov exponent increasing rapidly for energies above the crossover from weak to strong chaos.

It is an interesting question for further studies to understand in closer details the chaos enhancing mechanism for the HMF model and other long-range interacting systems where the thermal excitation of a similar soft mode also occurs, such as in self-gravitating systems and a free electron laser. This change of regime from weak to strong chaos can also be associated to the flow of particles close to the separatrix, into

and outside the region inside it, which are the particles that most contribute to the Lyapunov exponent [\[50\]](#page-15-0). This flow of particles determines the diffusive properties of the particles in the system, and therefore also that of the center of mass.

V. GOLDSTONE MODE IN OTHER LONG-RANGE SYSTEMS WITH A PERIODIC COORDINATE

We discussed above that the spontaneous symmetry breaking in a long-range interacting system leads to a Goldstone mode, and if the spatial coordinate associated to the broken symmetry is periodic, then a diffusive motion of the center of mass of the system ensues. To illustrate the generality of this phenomenon we show that it occurs also in two very different systems: a self-gravitating system in two dimensions and a free electron laser.

A. Two-dimensional self-gravitating systems

To show how generic this phenomena is we first turn our attention to two-dimensional self-gravitating systems, with Hamiltonian [\[18,](#page-14-0)[53,54\]](#page-15-0)

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i < j=1}^{N} \log(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j + \epsilon),\tag{59}
$$

where \mathbf{r}_i is the vector position of particle *i* in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbf{p}_i its conjugate momentum. A small softening parameter ϵ was introduced in the argument of the logarithm function in Eq. (59) to avoid divergences in numerical simulations at zero interparticle distance. Conditions for an instability threshold for spontaneous symmetry breaking after the violent relaxation in self-gravitating systems were discussed in Ref. [\[55\]](#page-15-0). We consider an initial state with all particles at rest, and spatially uniform on an annulus with inner and outer radius R_1 and *R*2, respectively. After going through a violent relaxation, the system settles on a quasistationary sate with a broken rotational symmetry forming a bar structure, as shown in Fig. [17](#page-12-0) for some different time values, where we observe an effective (differential) rotation of the bar, similar to what was discussed above for the HMF model. This is caused by thermal fluctuations of the distribution function and can

FIG. 17. Positions of particles in the two-dimensional self gravitating system with vanishing total angular momentum for $N = 32,768$, time step $\Delta t = 0.05$, $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$ and a uniform spatial initial distribution in a circular strip with inner and outer radius $R_1 = 40.0$ and $R_2 = 50.0$ with all particles at rest. The system evolves through the violent relaxation and reaches a quasistationary state displaying a symmetry breaking.

be better understood by using polar coordinates and writing down the one-particle distribution function as $f(p_r, p_\theta, r, \theta)$, where r and θ are the radial and angular coordinates, and p_r and p_θ their canonically conjugate momenta, respectively. The same reasoning as for the HMF model applies here for the angular coordinate. The asymmetry of *f* with respect to θ induced by momentum preserving fluctuations causes a motion of the preferred direction with zero total angular momentum. This motion can be characterized using the inertia moments with respect to two orthogonal axis, say *x* and *y*, divided by the total mass, and given by

$$
\sigma_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2,
$$

\n
$$
\sigma_y = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i^2.
$$
\n(60)

Figure 18 shows the time evolution of σ_x and σ_y . The rotation of the system is evident albeit the vanishing total angular momentum.

This classical Goldstone mode is the outcome of a symmetry breaking with respect to a periodic coordinate, and its motion is a result of excitations by thermal fluctuations. Since the equilibrium state has no symmetry breaking, the oscillations for the present case are slowly damped with time and vanish once the system reaches thermodynamic

equilibrium. Figure [19](#page-13-0) shows the standard deviation σ_{ϕ} for the position angle. The relation in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0) remains valid here for the angular variable. The position angle of the bar structure in Fig. 18 varies in time with an approximately constant angular velocity, at least for the small time window

FIG. 18. Position standard deviation $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}$, and standard deviations for the *x* and *y* coordinates for the same simulation as in Fig. 17. The system is initially left to evolve though the initial violent relaxation for a total time of $t = 1000$.

FIG. 19. Variance σ_{ϕ}^2 of the angular position ϕ of the particles for the same simulation as in Fig. [18.](#page-12-0) The initial position for computing the displacement $\phi(t) - \phi(0)$ is taken at time $t = 1000$, so that the initial violent relaxation has ended and the system has settled in a quasistationary state. A least squares fit of a power law, shown in the figure as a dashed line, yields $\sigma_{\theta}^2 \propto t^{1.97}$, i.e., close to the ballistic diffusion.

of the simulation. From the discussion in the previous section, this is a consequence of the ballistic diffusion of the individual particles in the angular direction. Figure 19 shows the variance $\sigma_{\phi}(t)^2 = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i(t)$ of the position angular variables $\phi_i(t)$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ as a function of time, and as expected it scales almost as t^2 , i.e., very close to ballistic diffusion. A more detailed study of gravitational systems is beyond the scope of the present work, and will be the subject of a future publication.

B. Free electron laser

A free electron laser is a tunable source of coherent radiation that uses a relativistic electron beam as a lasing medium. This beam propagates in a periodic external magnetostatic field due to an undulator (or wiggler) inducing an oscillatory motion of the electrons, which then emit synchrotron radiation that is amplified as the beam moves along the undulator [\[19,](#page-14-0)[56\]](#page-15-0). Assuming a one-dimensional motion along the undulator, the equations governing the motion of the electrons in a single pass FEL for small beam current and emittance are given by $[9, 19-22]$

$$
\frac{d\theta_j}{dz} = p_j,
$$

\n
$$
\frac{dp_j}{dz} = -\sum_h F_h(A_h e^{ih\theta_j} + A_h^* e^{-ih\theta_j}),
$$

\n
$$
\frac{dA_h}{dz} = F_h b_h,
$$
\n(61)

where *z* is the distance along the undulator, $A_h = A_h^x + iA_h^y$ is the *h*th harmonic of the field with A_h^x and A_h^y its transverse components, F_h are coupling parameters and b_h the bunching parameters given by

$$
b_h = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{-ih\theta_j}.
$$
 (62)

Equations (61) derive from the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{p_j^2}{2} - i \sum_{h} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{F_h}{h} \Big[A_h e^{ih\theta_j} - A_h^* e^{-ih\theta_j} \Big],\qquad(63)
$$

with canonically conjugate variables (θ_j, p_j) and $(\sqrt{N}A_j, \sqrt{N}A_j^*)$. The phase of the *j*th particle with respect to the *h*th harmonic is given by $h\theta_i$. Here the spatial coordinate *z* assumes the role of the time variable. In this sense, besides the Hamiltonian in Eq. (63), the total momentum $P = \sum_j p_j + I$ is also conserved, where the total field intensity is given by $I = \sum_{h} |A_h|^2.$

A diffusive motion of the center of mass of the electrons in the coordinate θ can be observed along the undulator coordinate *z*, analogous to what we observed in the HMF model, but with nonvanishing total momentum of the electrons $\sum_j p_j$, and approaching a constant value as the total field intensity *I* tends to a constant. We again define the average value of the angular coordinate using Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-0) with *z* replacing *t*. By performing different realizations of simulations with the same macroscopic initial conditions, the diffusion process of the center of mass then shows up as small deviations around $\langle \phi(z) \rangle$ along the coordinate *z*, and can be quantified by the variance

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^{2}(z) = \langle (\phi(z) - \langle \phi(z) \rangle)^{2} \rangle. \tag{64}
$$

The left panel of Fig. [20](#page-14-0) shows the variance σ_{ϕ}^2 as a function of *z*, where a superdiffusive behavior is clearly observed. The evolution value of $\phi(z)$ for one of the realizations is shown on the right panel.

A more thorough study of this system using the methods introduced above will also be the subject of future research, as for other long-range systems.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We showed that, for a many-particle system with longrange interactions, if the equilibrium or a (quasi)stationary state spontaneously breaks a symmetry of the Hamiltonian, then a soft (Goldstone) mode exists with zero energy cost to go from one equilibrium states to another equivalent one. Besides that, if the coordinate associated to this symmetry breaking is periodic, then this mode can be excited by thermal fluctuations due to finite *N* effects, resulting in a superdiffusive motion of the center of mass of the system at zero momentum, due to the ambiguity of the position of center of mass. The existence of this soft mode was illustrated for a two-dimensional self-gravitating system, a free electron laser, and, in more details, for the HMF model. For the latter, a theory for the ballistic motion of the center of mass was given, with expressions for relevant quantities. An equivalent theory for more general systems rests on the development of a theory for diffusion of nonhomogeneous states, which has still to be developed. Such finite *N* effects cannot be described from a purely kinetic equation approach, similarly to the case of a

FIG. 20. Left panel: Variance $\sigma_{\phi}^2(z)$ in Eq. [\(64\)](#page-13-0) considering a single harmonic and a waterbag initial condition with $p_0 = 0.5$ and $\theta_0 = 0.1$, for a few values of *N* and 100 realizations, with a time step $\Delta t = 0.05$. The dashed line introduced for reference is proportional to z^2 . Right panel: value of $\phi(z)$ for one of the realizations as given by Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-0) for $N = 20480000$ along the undulator.

single wave propagating in a plasma system, where separatrix crossing also plays an important role [\[57\]](#page-15-0).

We also discussed how the coupling of the Goldstone mode to the mean-field motion of individual particles may enhance the chaotic behavior of the system, and illustrated this possibility again for the HMF model. This seems to be an important mechanism of chaos enhancement in systems with long-range interactions with spontaneous symmetry breaking with respect to a periodic coordinate, and is certainly also a point worth of further research for other similar systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to J. B. Fouvry for many discussions and comments. They thank S. Ruffo for fruitful discussions and also Y. Elskens for the long discussions and for carefully reading our manuscript. T.M.R.F. also acknowledges partial financial support from CNPq (Brazil) Grant No. 305842/2017-0, from Laboratoire J.A. Dieudonné and from the "Fédération Doeblin." B.M. acknowledges support by the Grant Segal ANR-19-CE31-0017 of the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (France).

- [1] J. W. Gibbs, *Elementary Principles in Statistical Mechanics* (Charles Scribner's Sons, New York, 1902).
- [2] D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics: Rigourous Results* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).
- [3] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and Introduction to Thermostatistics*, 2nd ed. (John Wiley, New York, 1985).
- [4] A. Campa, T. Dauxois, and S. Ruffo, Phys. Rep. **480**[, 57 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2009.07.001)
- [5] Y. Levin, R. Pakter, F. B. Rizzato, T. N. Teles, and F. P. C. Benetti, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2013.10.001) **535**, 1 (2014).
- [6] *Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Systems with Long-Range Interactions*, T. Dauxois, S. Ruffo, E. Arimondo, and M. Wilkens Eds. (Springer, Berlin, 2002).
- [7] *Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Systems with Long-Range Interactions: Theory and Experiments*, edited by A. Campa, A. Giansanti, G. Morigi, and F. S. Labini, AIP Conference Proceedings, Vol. 970 (2008).
- [8] *Long-Range Interacting Systems, Les Houches 2008, Session XC*, edited by T. Dauxois, S. Ruffo, and L. F. Cugliandolo (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010).
- [9] A. Campa, T. Dauxois, D. Fanelli, and S. Ruffo, *Physics of Long-Range Interacting Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014).
- [10] [P.C. Hemmer, M. Kac, and G. Uhlenbieck,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1704065) J. Math. Phys. **5**, 60 (1964).
- [11] W. Braun and K. Hepp, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01611497) **56**, 101 (1977).
- [12] T. M. Rocha Filho, M. A. Amato, A. E. Santana, A. Figueiredo, and J. R. Steiner, Phys. Rev. E **89**[, 032116 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.032116)
- [13] L. Brenig, Y. Chaffi and T. M. Rocha Filho, Long velocity tails in plasmas and gravitational systems, [arXiv:1605.05981.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1605.05981)
- [14] J. Goldstone, [Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02812722) **19**, 154 (1961).
- [15] [J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.127.965) Phys. Rev. **127**, 965 (1962) .
- [16] Ch. Gruber and P. A. Martin, *Goldstone Theorem in Statistical Mechanics, in Mathematical Problems in Theoretical Physics* (Springer, Berlin Conference, 1981).
- [17] M. Antoni and S. Ruffo, Phys. Rev. E **52**[, 2361 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.52.2361)
- [18] [B. N. Miller, K. Yawn, and P. Youngkins,](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb11263.x) Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. **867**, 268 (2008).
- [19] R. Bonifacio, F. Casagrande, G. Cerchioni, L. De Salvo [Souza, P. Pierini and N. Piovella,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02770850) Riv. Nuovo Cimento **13**, 1 (1990).
- [20] [M. Antoni, Y. Elskens, and D. F. Escande,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872651) Phys. Plasmas **5**, 841 (1998).
- [21] M.-C. Firpo and Y. Elskens, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000026732.51044.87) **93**, 193 (1988).
- [22] [A. Antoniazzi, Y. Elskens, D. Fanelli, and S. Ruffo,](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2006-00175-0) Eur. Phys. J. B **50**, 603 (2006).
- [23] G. Morchio and F. Strocchi, Ann. Phys. **170**[, 310 \(1986\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(86)90095-3)
- [24] [A. G. Rossberg, A. Hertrich, L. Kramer, and W. Pesch,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4729) Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4729 (1996).
- [25] F. Strocchi, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(00)00066-9) **267**, 40 (2000).
- [26] F. Strocchi, *Symmetry Breaking, Lect. Notes Phys.* vol. 643 (Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2005).
- [27] T. M. Rocha Filho, A. E. Santana, M. A. Amato, and A. Figueiredo, Phys. Rev. E **90**[, 032133 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.032133)
- [28] [A. S. Freitas and D. F. de Albuquerque,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.012117) Phys. Rev. E **91**, 012117 (2015).
- [29] Z. A. Kuzkin, [Z. Angew. Math. Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.201400045) **95**, 1290 (2015).
- [30] [Y. Y. Yamaguchi, F. Bouchet, and T. Dauxois,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2007/01/P01020) J. Stat. Mech. (2007) P01020.
- [31] Y. Y. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. E **68**[, 066210 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.066210)
- [32] F. Bouchet and T. Dauxois, Phys. Rev. E **72**[, 045103\(R\) \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.045103)
- [33] [L. G. Moyano and C. Anteneodo,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.74.021118) Phys. Rev. E **74**, 021118 (2006).
- [34] P.-H. Chavanis, Physica A **377**[, 469 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2006.11.078)
- [35] F. P. C. Benetti and B. Marcos, Phys. Rev. E **95**[, 022111 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.022111)
- [36] T. M. Rocha Filho, [Comp. Phys. Comm.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2014.01.008) **185**, 1364 (2014).
- [37] T. M. Rocha Filho, J. Phys. A **42**[, 165001 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/16/165001)
- [38] F. Ginelli, K. A. Takeuchi, H. Chaté, A. Politi, and A. Torcini, Phys. Rev. E **84**[, 066211 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.066211)
- [39] T. Manos and S. Ruffo, [Transp. Theory Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00411450.2011.651035) **40**, 360-381 (2011).
- [40] [V. Latora, A. Rapisarda, and S. Ruffo,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.2104) Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2104 (1999).
- [41] F. Bouchet and T. Dauxois, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/7/1/003) **7**, 34 (2005).
- [42] P.-H. Chavanis, [Eur. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2006-00267-9) **52**, 47 (2006).
- [43] J. G. Skellam, [J. Royal Stat. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2981372) **109**, 296 (1946).
- [44] R. Morgado, F. A. Oliveira, G. G. Batrouni, and A. Hansen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**[, 100601 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.100601)
- [45] R. Balescu, *Statistical Dynamics—Matter out of Equilibrium* (Imperial College Press, London, 1997).
- [46] [Y. Hidaka, K. Tamura, and S. Kai,](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.161.1) Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **161**, 1 (2006).
- [47] E. Ott, *Chaos in Dynamical Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [48] M.-C. Firpo, Phys. Rev. E **57**[, 6599 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.57.6599)
- [49] [L. H. Miranda Filho, M. A. Amato, and T. M. Rocha Filho,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aaa784) J. Stat. Mech. (2018) 033204.
- [50] L. H. Miranda Filho, M. A. Amato, Y. Elskens and T. M. Rocha Filho, [Commun. Nonlin. Sci. Numer. Simulat.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.03.011) **74**, 236 (2019).
- [51] [R. F. Fox, I. R. Gatland, R. Roy, and G. Vemuri,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.5938) *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 5938 (1988).
- [52] T. S. Parker and L. O. Chua, *Practical Numerical Algorithms for Chaotics Systems* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).
- [53] T. N. Teles, Y. Levin, R. Pakter, and F. Rizzato, J. Stat. Mech. (2010) P05007.
- [54] [R. Pakter, B. Marcos, and Y. Levin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.230603) Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 230603 (2013).
- [55] B. Marcos, Phys. Rev. E **88**[, 032112 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.032112)
- [56] W. B. Colson, Phys. Lett. **59**[, 187 \(1976\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(76)90561-2)
- [57] M-C. Firpo, F. Doveil, Y. Elskens, P. Bertrand, M. Poleni, and D. Guyomarc'h, Phys. Rev. E **64**[, 026407 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.64.026407)