Implementing nonuniversal features with a random matrix theory approach: Application to space-to-configuration multiplexing

Philipp del Hougne¹, Dmitry V. Savin², Olivier Legrand, and Ulrich Kuhl¹

¹Institut de Physique de Nice, CNRS UMR 7010, Université Côte d'Azur, 06108 Nice, France

²Department of Mathematics, Brunel University London, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

(Received 10 June 2020; accepted 16 July 2020; published 31 July 2020)

We consider the efficiency of multiplexing spatially encoded information across random configurations of a metasurface-programmable chaotic cavity in the microwave domain. The distribution of the effective rank of the channel matrix is studied to quantify the channel diversity and to assess a specific system's performance. System-specific features such as unstirred field components give rise to nontrivial interchannel correlations and need to be properly accounted for in modeling based on random matrix theory. To address this challenge, we propose a two-step hybrid approach. Based on an ensemble of experimentally measured scattering matrices for different random metasurface configurations, we first learn a system-specific pair of coupling matrix and unstirred contribution to the Hamiltonian, and then add an appropriately weighted stirred contribution. We verify that our method is capable of reproducing the experimentally found distribution of the effective rank with good accuracy. The approach can also be applied to other wave phenomena in complex media.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.102.010201

The phenomenon of wave multiplexing underpins a wide range of applications in wave engineering [1]. Sometimes, multiplexing devices are carefully engineered [2–4]; often, however, multiplexing is a result of the complete scrambling of wave fronts propagating through a complex medium (e.g., multiply scattering layer, chaotic cavity, multimode fiber). The most common example in the microwave domain is spatial multiplexing in multichannel wireless communication due to an irregular propagation environment [5,6]. More recently, in order to circumvent the need for costly coherent receiver networks, the idea of multiplexing spatial information across different frequencies [7–10] or configurations [11–15] of a complex medium gained traction in electromagnetic imaging and sensing.

Common to all multiplexing schemes is the description with the matrix formalism $Y = \mathcal{H}X$, linking the input vector X to the output vector Y via the channel matrix \mathcal{H} . The amount of correlations between different channels determines the quality of the multiplexing: The higher the correlations are, the more redundant information is acquired. Incidentally, this insight recently motivated efforts to tweak the disorder of complex media in order to reduce channel correlations via "disorder engineering," both in space-to-space [16] and space-to-configuration [15] multiplexing. A convenient metric to quantify channel correlations is the effective rank, defined as $R_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{H}) = \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\sigma}_i \ln(\tilde{\sigma}_i)\right]$, where $\tilde{\sigma}_i = \sigma_i / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i$; σ_i are the singular values of \mathcal{H} and n is the smaller one of the two dimensions of \mathcal{H} [17]. Note that only perfectly orthogonal channels yield $R_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{H}) = n$.

The intuition used by the wave engineering community to interpret multiplexing phenomena and to conceive applications building upon them is largely based on the assumption that \mathcal{H} resembles a random matrix with independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) Gaussian (usually complex-valued) entries. Consider for concreteness spaceto-configuration multiplexing with a reconfigurable chaotic cavity, as depicted in Fig. 1(a) and discussed in Refs. [11–15]. Ideally, such a system with considerable losses is indeed characterized by a perfectly stirred field distribution following the Rayleigh model [18,19]. In practice, however, a substantial unstirred field component persists, resulting in additional channel correlations and a lower-than-expected $R_{\rm eff}$ [15]. As a result, the widespread notion of degrees of freedom as corresponding to the dimensions of \mathcal{H} , rather than being related to its singular value spectrum [15,20,21], needs to be revisited [22,23].

The unstirred field component also presents a significant challenge from a modeling point of view. Over the past decades, random matrix theory (RMT) has seen large success in predicting universal features of a wide range of wave-chaotic systems [24–30]. In other words, nonuniversal features are usually removed before comparing experimental data to RMT predictions [31–34]. The crux of the space-to-configuration multiplexing system we consider lies, however, precisely in the nonuniversal features which cause additional correlations between channels. Our goal here is to model the statistical properties of our specific system's scattering matrix and to thereby reproduce the experimentally observed distribution of $R_{\rm eff}$.

System-specific features arise from reflections at the ports due to impedance mismatches [35–37], from rays connecting two ports without ergodically exploring the cavity [38,39], and from ergodic rays that are not affected by the stirring mechanism. References [40–42] report efforts to capture system-specific properties via semiclassical calculations of the average impedance matrix in terms of ray trajectories between ports. Such an analysis is not feasible for a geometrically complex three-dimensional cavity like the one we



FIG. 1. (a) Experimental setup: Eight modulated signals are injected into a metasurface-reconfigurable chaotic cavity; the resulting field is probed with a ninth antenna. (b) The $P \times (M - 1)$ channel matrix \mathcal{H} is constructed for space-to-configuration multiplexing from entries of the $M \times M$ scattering matrix S for P different configurations of the system.

consider (see Fig. 1(a)). An approach recently proposed in Refs. [43,44] addresses yet another system-specific mechanism: the presence of an established direct transmission mediated by a resonant mode coupled to the (isolated) complex environment. This approach is not applicable in our case, where scattering has a multimode nature with both modes and channels being coupled mainly to the same chaotic environment.

To overcome the above limitations, we propose a two-step approach to incorporate nonuniversal features in an RMT framework relying on an effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian to describe the open wave system. First, we learn a systemspecific coupling matrix. Second, we determine an appropriate weighting between the unstirred (deterministic) and stirred (chaotic) components of the Hamiltonian by employing a variant of parametric motion modeling [45]. Rather than relying on knowing exact geometrical details of our system, we extract the scattering distributions of our system from experimental measurements of the scattering matrix for an ensemble of configurations. We find good agreement between the distributions of $R_{\rm eff}$ observed in our experiments and RMT simulations.

Our experiment, depicted in Fig. 1(a), consists of an irregularly shaped electrically large metallic cavity whose walls are partially covered with a reconfigurable reflect-array metasurface [15]. At the working frequency of 5.6 GHz, each of its 304 elements can be configured individually via the bias voltage of a *p-i-n* diode to mimic Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions [46]. By choosing different random configurations, the field inside the chaotic cavity

can therefore be stirred in an all-electronic manner [11,13-15,47]. We modulate *in situ* eight electromagnetic signals in amplitude and phase and inject them into the cavity via eight randomly located antennas. These eight pieces of information are multiplexed across P configurations of the system by probing the field inside the cavity with a single antenna for Prandom metasurface configurations. In total, M = 9 antennas are thus connected to the cavity. For concreteness, we consider P = 8 in this work. As illustrated in Fig. 1(b), each row of the resulting 8×8 channel matrix \mathcal{H} is part of a different system's 9×9 scattering matrix S. In our experiment, considering the ninth port as receiver, based on 500 realizations we find the ensemble-averaged $\langle R_{\rm eff} \rangle = 4.11 \pm 0.20$ which is well below the value of 6.48 ± 0.23 expected for an 8×8 matrix whose random complex entries are i.i.d. Gaussian distributed [15].

Following the RMT scattering approach [24,48–50], we model our system as consisting of N levels (modes) which are coupled to the environment via M scattering channels. The coupling is described by an $N \times M$ real matrix V. The (energy-dependent) $M \times M$ scattering matrix $S^{\text{RMT}}(E)$ is represented in terms of the $N \times N$ Hamiltonian H of the closed system and the coupling matrix V as

$$S^{\text{RMT}}(E) = I_M - iV^T \frac{1}{\left(E + i\frac{\Gamma_a}{2}\right)I_N - \left(H - \frac{i}{2}VV^T\right)}V, \quad (1)$$

where I_N denotes the $N \times N$ identity matrix and Γ_a represents the dominant global absorption contribution to the resonance width. Conventionally, for an open chaotic system with time-reversal symmetry, H is a real symmetric random matrix drawn from the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) and the entries of V are mutually independent zero-mean real Gaussian random variables. This ensures that S^{RMT} is a symmetric matrix. We evaluate S^{RMT} at E = 0 (the center of the GOE spectrum) and choose the normalization of the mean level spacing $\Delta = 1$ at this point.

To account for system-specific nonuniversal features, we alter two details in the above-described conventional RMT approach. First, we compose the Hamiltonian of an unstirred component H^0 and a stirred component H^s , weighted by a parameter λ :

$$H = \cos(\lambda)H^0 + \sin(\lambda)H^s.$$
 (2)

Both H^0 and H^s are drawn from the GOE, but H^0 is kept fixed for different system realizations. The cosine and sine terms ensure that the Hamiltonian's eigenvalue probability density function (PDF) is not altered through our modification (see Ref. [51] as well as Sec. 11.10 in Ref. [45]). Second, while H^0 is chosen randomly, we optimize V and λ such that the statistical properties of the resulting ensemble of S^{RMT} match as closely as possible those of the experimental ensemble of S^{EXP} . We assume that the nondiagonal nature of the ensemble-averaged scattering matrix $\langle S \rangle$, i.e., the unstirred field components, are related to correlations between V and H^0 in light of Eq. (1); in principle, one could thus also keep V fixed and optimize H^0 [52].

Our two-step optimization is based on the intuition that V and λ determine center and diameter, respectively, of the clouds of $S_{i,j}$ in the complex Argand diagram. We



FIG. 2. (a) Comparison of $\langle S^{\text{EXP}} \rangle$, S_0^{RMT} , and $\langle S^{\text{RMT}} \rangle$ in terms of magnitude and phase. The magnitude of the difference of the latter two from $\langle S^{\text{EXP}} \rangle$ is also shown. (b) Dependence of ϵ_{SD} on λ considering all entries, only diagonal entries, or only off-diagonal entries of *S*. (c) SD of S^{EXP} and S^{RMT} and PDF of the SD of the off-diagonal entries of *S*.

hypothesize that using $H = H^0$ or $H = \cos(\lambda)H^0 + \sin(\lambda)H^s$ in Eq. (1) will approximately yield the same $\langle S^{\text{RMT}} \rangle$ —an intuitive assumption that we observe to be heuristically verified in Fig. 2(a). Therefore, using $H = H^0$ (and hence independent of λ), we can first optimize V to ensure that $S_0^{\text{RMT}} = \langle S^{\text{EXP}} \rangle$, where S_0^{RMT} is the scattering matrix obtained via Eq. (1) with $H = H^0$. Once V is fixed, we identify a suitable value of λ to ensure that the standard deviations (SDs) of the $S_{i,j}$, a measure of the cloud sizes in the Argand diagram, match the experimentally observed ones.

We choose N = 250 which is sufficiently large to ensure the local character of fluctuations but at the same time not too large in terms of the computational cost of optimizing V. Assuming that global absorption effects dominate, we extract $\Gamma_a = 7.4$ (in units of the mean level spacing) from the average decay rate of inverse Fourier transforms of experimentally measured transmission spectra. The optimization of V is based on sequential least-squares programming as originally introduced in Ref. [53] and achieves equality of S_0^{RMT} and $\langle S^{\text{EXP}} \rangle$ within large precision, as evidenced in Fig. 2(a). The conventional RMT approach would assume that $\langle S \rangle$ is diagonal and norm $(V_i) \approx \sqrt{2\kappa_i N \Delta/\pi}$, where $1 - |\langle S_{i,i} \rangle|^2 = 4\kappa_i/(1 + \kappa_i)^2$ (see Refs. [50,54]). For our optimized coupling matrix, this is no longer the case in order to account for the above-mentioned correlations between *V* and H^0 . Moreover, we observe that for different optimization runs (for the same objective ensemble of S^{EXP} but using a different H^0), completely different norm (V_i) are obtained. This is a typical observation in inverse problems, where usually a large number of different configurations (local optima) yields optimization outcomes of comparable quality.

Having optimized V, we now identify the optimal value of λ based on the SDs of $S_{i,i}$ across 500 realizations. For $SD[S^{EXP}]$, shown in Fig. 2(c), we notice that the average of the diagonal SDs (0.0673) is roughly double that of the off-diagonal SDs (0.0302). Moreover, the distribution of offdiagonal SDs shown in Fig. 2(c) is narrowly peaked close to the average value but a weaker second peak at roughly double the value indicates that for a few entries of S the SD is much stronger than on average. The fluctuations of the diagonal SDs for the experimental data are much larger than for the RMT data. To optimize λ , we search for the value that yields the lowest average error of the SDs of $S_{i,j}$, ϵ_{SD} . As shown in Fig. 2(b), $\lambda \approx 0.05$ is optimal if the error of the SD is averaged over all entries of S or only over the off-diagonal entries of S. For the diagonal entries of S, however, $\lambda \approx 0.09$ would be ideal. We use the former since our channel matrix is exclusively built from off-diagonal entries of S.

Using the optimized V and λ in combination with an ensemble of 500 realizations of H^s drawn from the GOE, we obtain an ensemble of 500 realizations of S^{RMT} via Eq. (1). As seen in Fig. 2(a), its average value $\langle S^{\text{RMT}} \rangle$ is still extremely similar to $\langle S^{\text{EXP}} \rangle$, heuristically confirming our hypothesis that $\langle S^{\text{RMT}} \rangle$ is approximately independent of λ . The SDs obtained for the RMT ensemble, shown in Fig. 2(c), nicely match the experimental ones for most off-diagonal entries. We notice that the RMT SDs are very uniform without any outliers, as evidenced by the narrow single-peaked PDF of the off-diagonal SDs. Consequently, our RMT model essentially appears to assume that all off-diagonal entries have the same SD of 0.03 and that all diagonal entries have a SD of 0.04. Different optimization runs starting with a different random H^0 yield very similar results.

Given our system-specific RMT model, we proceed with constructing the space-to-configuration multiplexing channel matrix \mathcal{H} by picking eight random realizations out of the 500 available ones. We repeat this 10^5 times to compute the PDF of $R_{\rm eff}$. We perform this analysis for all nine possible choices of receiving antenna and for five optimization runs starting with a different random H^0 . The results are summarized in Fig. 3. To evidence how closely our proposed RMT model matches the experimental distribution in contrast to conventional RMT, we include the latter as a benchmark in Fig. 3, too. Specifically, for each of the five runs with conventional RMT, we generate 500 scattering matrices by drawing 500 Hamiltonians from the GOE but keeping the random coupling vector V fixed for all 500 realizations. We also plot the PDF of $R_{\rm eff}$ obtained for an 8×8 matrix for which the real and imaginary components of the entries are simply drawn from a normal distribution.



FIG. 3. For the nine different possible choices of receiving port (number indicated as inset), we compare the PDF of R_{eff} extracted from S^{EXP} with that extracted from S^{RMT} . For the latter, five different optimization runs (starting with a different random H^0) are shown in different colors (blue for run No. 1, etc.). A Gaussian fit to the experimental distribution is also shown. Moreover, we show the PDFs for five different ensembles with conventional RMT as well as for the case of \mathcal{H} being a simple complex Gaussian matrix with i.i.d. entries.

It is evident in Fig. 3 that the PDFs of R_{eff} for conventional RMT and for such a Gaussian matrix are identical and independent of the choice of receiving port (i.e., of antenna coupling, etc.). They clearly fail completely to predict the experimentally observed PDF, which motivates this Rapid Communication. Moreover, it can be seen that the experimentally observed PDF is very well fitted with a Gaussian normal distribution. The PDFs resulting from five runs with our proposed RMT model differ slightly from run to run. Overall, the agreement of our proposed RMT model's PDF with the experimentally observed one is occasionally perfect (receiving port No. 7) but always decent such that our proposed model serves its purpose.

Figure 2(c) already hints at the reason why the agreement with the experiments is not perfect: the centered distributions of the $S_{i,j}$ are not identical for all *i*, *j*. To shed some light on this limitation of our model, we plot in Fig. 4 the distribution of selected $S_{i,j}$ in the complex Argand diagram as well as



FIG. 4. Distribution of selected $S_{i,j}$ in the complex plane and corresponding PDFs of $\text{Re}[S_{i,j}]$ and $\text{Im}[S_{i,j}]$. Experiment and simulation are presented in blue and red, respectively.

PDFs of real and imaginary components, using the data from the optimization run already considered in Fig. 2. The first example, $S_{4,7}$, is representative of the behavior seen for most off-diagonal entries of S: the cloud in the complex plane is circular and the PDFs from our proposed RMT model match the experimentally obtained ones. One exception, illustrated by $S_{2,8}$, is due to clouds with a diameter that is significantly larger than the average. Another exception, namely, $S_{1,4}$, is a case in which the cloud is not circular but elliptical. In both cases, our model fails to reproduce the PDFs correctly. For the off-diagonal entries of S, as already obvious in Fig. 2(c), our model's prediction is not very good. In general the diameter of the cloud is much larger in the experimental data, e.g., for $S_{1,1}$, and in some cases the cloud is not circular, as for $S_{8,8}$.

The $S_{i,j}$ clouds thus occasionally deviate from the expected behavior, either having a different radius or being deformed. Deformed (noncircular) clouds of $S_{i,j}$ were not seen in Ref. [47], possibly by chance because only a single $S_{i,j}$ was considered. Such exceptions imply that simply using centered quantities $S_{i,j} - \langle S_{i,j} \rangle$ does not always guarantee Rayleigh statistics in a tunable-metasurface-stirred chaotic cavity. Indeed, it was recently shown that in the presence of a deterministic scattering component the transmission amplitude and phase develop nontrivial statistical correlations even at strong absorption [55]. Such correlations may also impact techniques using such centered quantities, for instance, for antenna characterization in reverberation chambers [56].

Finally, we mention an alternative parametric model in which $H = H^0 + \lambda CC^{\dagger}$, where C is a $N \times N_{\text{pix}}$ matrix whose

entries are zero-mean real Gaussian random variables and N_{pix} is the (effective) number of metasurface pixels. This model could be motivated by a treatment of each metasurface element as an active scattering center or "channel" in one of two possible distinct reflecting states [57], which can be described by a low-rank perturbation of that type [58]. The weighted sum of a Gaussian Wigner and a Wishart matrix [59,60] brings about complications related to the distortion of the Hamiltonian's eigenvalue PDF. Our findings with this model, summarized in Ref. [61], show

that overall it is not as compatible with our optimization protocol as is the model we presented above. In particular, we saw that using $H = H^0$ or $H = H^0 + \lambda CC^{\dagger}$ does not yield the same $\langle S \rangle$ (within reasonable precision), a crucial assumption for the applicability of our two-step optimization procedure.

To summarize, we have introduced a modified RMT framework to capture system-specific features based on the mea-

- [1] P. Sebbah, *Waves and Imaging Through Complex Media* (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, 2001).
- [2] C. M. Lalau-Keraly, S. Bhargava, O. D. Miller, and E. Yablonovitch, Adjoint shape optimization applied to electromagnetic design, Opt. Express 21, 21693 (2013).
- [3] S. Molesky, Z. Lin, A. Y. Piggott, W. Jin, J. Vucković, and A. W. Rodriguez, Inverse design in nanophotonics, Nat. Photonics 12, 659 (2018).
- [4] D. Pande, J. Gollub, R. Zecca, D. L. Marks, and D. R. Smith, Symphotic multiplexing medium at microwave frequencies, Phys. Rev. Appl. 13, 024033 (2020).
- [5] E. Telatar, Capacity of multi-antenna Gaussian channels, Eur. Trans. Telecomm. 10, 585 (1999).
- [6] S. H. Simon, A. L. Moustakas, M. Stoytchev, and H. Safar, Communication in a disordered world, Phys. Today 54 (9), 38 (2001).
- [7] J. Hunt, T. Driscoll, A. Mrozack, G. Lipworth, M. Reynolds, D. Brady, and D. R. Smith, Metamaterial apertures for computational imaging, Science 339, 310 (2013).
- [8] T. Fromenteze, C. Decroze, and D. Carsenat, Waveform coding for passive multiplexing: Application to microwave imaging, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 63, 593 (2014).
- [9] T. Fromenteze, O. Yurduseven, M. F. Imani, J. Gollub, C. Decroze, D. Carsenat, and D. R. Smith, Computational imaging using a mode-mixing cavity at microwave frequencies, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 194104 (2015).
- [10] T. Fromenteze, E. L. Kpré, D. Carsenat, C. Decroze, and T. Sakamoto, Single-shot compressive multiple-inputs multipleoutputs radar imaging using a two-port passive device, IEEE Access 4, 1050 (2016).
- [11] T. Sleasman, M. F. Imani, J. N. Gollub, and D. R. Smith, Microwave imaging using a disordered cavity with a dynamically tunable impedance surface, Phys. Rev. Appl. 6, 054019 (2016).
- [12] M. Asefi and J. LoVetri, Use of field-perturbing elements to increase nonredundant data for microwave imaging systems, IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech. 65, 3172 (2017).
- [13] P. del Hougne, M. F. Imani, T. Sleasman, J. N. Gollub, M. Fink, G. Lerosey, and D. R. Smith, Dynamic metasurface aperture as smart around-the-corner motion detector, Sci. Rep. 8, 1 (2018).

surements of an ensemble of realizations of the experimental scattering matrix. Our approach, first, optimizes the coupling matrix to ensure the correct $\langle S \rangle$ is obtained and, second, adds an appropriately weighted stirred contribution to the Hamiltonian to adjust the fluctuations of the scattering parameters. We found good agreement with the experimentally obtained distribution of R_{eff} that characterizes our space-to-configuration multiplexing system. Our modified RMT scheme can also be applied to other scenarios where an ensemble of realizations of a chaotic system contains nonuniversal features, for instance, in the context of antenna characterization in stirred reverberation chambers [56,62].

P.d.H., O.L., and U.K. are supported by the French "Agence Nationale de la Recherche" under reference ANR-17-ASTR-0017. The metasurface prototypes were purchased from Greenerwave. The authors acknowledge fruitful discussions with Fabrice Mortessagne.

- [14] P. del Hougne, M. F. Imani, M. Fink, D. R. Smith, and G. Lerosey, Precise Localization of Multiple Noncooperative Objects in a Disordered Cavity by Wave Front Shaping, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 063901 (2018).
- [15] P. del Hougne, M. Davy, and U. Kuhl, Optimal multiplexing of spatially encoded information across custom-tailored configurations of a metasurface-tunable chaotic cavity, Phys. Rev. Appl. 13, 041004 (2020).
- [16] P. del Hougne, M. Fink, and G. Lerosey, Optimally diverse communication channels in disordered environments with tuned randomness, Nat. Electron. 2, 36 (2019).
- [17] O. Roy and M. Vetterli, The effective rank: A measure of effective dimensionality, in 20117 15th European Signal Processing Conference, Poznan (IEEE, New York, 2007), pp. 606–610.
- [18] J.-H. Yeh, T. M. Antonsen, E. Ott, and S. M. Anlage, Firstprinciples model of time-dependent variations in transmission through a fluctuating scattering environment, Phys. Rev. E 85, 015202(R) (2012).
- [19] S. Kumar, A. Nock, H.-J. Sommers, T. Guhr, B. Dietz, M. Miski-Oglu, A. Richter, and F. Schäfer, Distribution of Scattering Matrix Elements in Quantum Chaotic Scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 030403 (2013).
- [20] M. Davy, Z. Shi, and A. Z. Genack, Focusing through random media: Eigenchannel participation number and intensity correlation, Phys. Rev. B 85, 035105 (2012).
- [21] G. Gradoni, F. Moglie, and V. M. Primiani, Correlation matrix methods to assess the stirring performance of electromagnetic reverberation chambers, Wave Motion 87, 213 (2019).
- [22] A. Cozza, A skeptic's view of unstirred components, in 10th International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility (IEEE, New York, 2011), pp. 174–179.
- [23] X. Chen, Experimental investigation of the number of independent samples and the measurement uncertainty in a reverberation chamber, IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat. 55, 816 (2013).
- [24] H.-J. Stöckmann, Quantum Chaos: An Introduction (Cambridge, University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000).
- [25] U. Kuhl, H. Stöckmann, and R. Weaver, Classical wave experiments on chaotic scattering, J. Phys. A 38, 10433 (2005).

- [26] Y. V. Fyodorov, D. Savin, and H. Sommers, Scattering, reflection and impedance of waves in chaotic and disordered systems with absorption, J. Phys. A 38, 10731 (2005).
- [27] U. Kuhl, O. Legrand, and F. Mortessagne, Microwave experiments using open chaotic cavities in the realm of the effective Hamiltonian formalism, Fortschr. Phys. 61, 404 (2013).
- [28] S. Kumar, B. Dietz, T. Guhr, and A. Richter, Distribution of Off-Diagonal Cross Sections in Quantum Chaotic Scattering: Exact Results and Data Comparison, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 244102 (2017).
- [29] Y. V. Fyodorov, S. Suwunnarat, and T. Kottos, Distribution of zeros of the S-matrix of chaotic cavities with localized losses and coherent perfect absorption: Non-perturbative results, J. Phys. A 50, 30LT01 (2017).
- [30] S. B. Fedeli and Y. V. Fyodorov, Statistics of off-diagonal entries of Wigner *K*-matrix for chaotic wave systems with absorption, J. Phys. A 53, 165701 (2020).
- [31] C. Engelbrecht and H. A. Weidenmüller, Hauser-Feshbach theory and Ericson fluctuations in the presence of direct reactions, Phys. Rev. C 8, 859 (1973).
- [32] S. Hemmady, X. Zheng, E. Ott, T. M. Antonsen, and S. M. Anlage, Universal Impedance Fluctuations in Wave Chaotic Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 014102 (2005).
- [33] S. Hemmady, X. Zheng, J. Hart, T. M. Antonsen, Jr., E. Ott, and S. M. Anlage, Universal properties of two-port scattering, impedance, and admittance matrices of wave-chaotic systems, Phys. Rev. E 74, 036213 (2006).
- [34] M. Ławniczak and L. Sirko, Investigation of the diagonal elements of the Wigner's reaction matrix for networks with violated time reversal invariance, Sci. Rep. 9, 5630 (2019).
- [35] P. W. Brouwer, Generalized circular ensemble of scattering matrices for a chaotic cavity with nonideal leads, Phys. Rev. B 51, 16878 (1995).
- [36] U. Kuhl, M. Martínez-Mares, R. A. Méndez-Sánchez, and H.-J. Stöckmann, Direct Processes in Chaotic Microwave Cavities in the Presence of Absorption, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 144101 (2005).
- [37] Y. V. Fyodorov and D. V. Savin, Statistics of impedance, local density of states, and reflection in quantum chaotic systems with absorption, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 80, 725 (2004).
- [38] H. Baranger and P. Mello, Short paths and information theory in quantum chaotic scattering: Transport through quantum dots, Europhys. Lett. 33, 465 (1996).
- [39] E. N. Bulgakov, V. A. Gopar, P. A. Mello, and I. Rotter, Statistical study of the conductance and shot noise in open quantum-chaotic cavities: Contribution from whispering gallery modes, Phys. Rev. B 73, 155302 (2006).
- [40] J. A. Hart, T. M. Antonsen, Jr., and E. Ott, Effect of short ray trajectories on the scattering statistics of wave chaotic systems, Phys. Rev. E 80, 041109 (2009).
- [41] J.-H. Yeh, J. A. Hart, E. Bradshaw, T. M. Antonsen, E. Ott, and S. M. Anlage, Universal and nonuniversal properties of wavechaotic scattering systems, Phys. Rev. E 81, 025201(R) (2010).
- [42] J.-H. Yeh, J. A. Hart, E. Bradshaw, T. M. Antonsen, E. Ott, and S. M. Anlage, Experimental examination of the effect of short ray trajectories in two-port wave-chaotic scattering systems, Phys. Rev. E 82, 041114 (2010).
- [43] D. V. Savin, M. Richter, U. Kuhl, O. Legrand, and F. Mortessagne, Fluctuations in an established transmission in the presence of a complex environment, Phys. Rev. E 96, 032221 (2017).

- [44] D. V. Savin, Statistics of a simple transmission mode on a lossy chaotic background, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 013246 (2020).
- [45] F. Haake, S. Gnutzmann, and M. Kuś, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos*, Springer Series in Synergetics (Springer, New York, 2018).
- [46] M. Dupré, P. del Hougne, M. Fink, F. Lemoult, and G. Lerosey, Wave-Field Shaping in Cavities: Waves Trapped in a Box with Controllable Boundaries, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 017701 (2015).
- [47] J.-B. Gros, P. del Hougne, and G. Lerosey, Tuning a regular cavity to wave chaos with metasurface-reconfigurable walls, Phys. Rev. A 101, 061801(R) (2020).
- [48] J. Verbaarschot, H. A. Weidenmüller, and M. R. Zirnbauer, Grassmann integration in stochastic quantum physics: The case of compound-nucleus scattering, Phys. Rep. 129, 367 (1985).
- [49] G. E. Mitchell, A. Richter, and H. A. Weidenmüller, "Random matrices and chaos in nuclear physics: Nuclear reactions," Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2845 (2010).
- [50] Y. V. Fyodorov and D. V. Savin, Resonance scattering of waves in chaotic systems, in *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, edited by G. Akemann, J. Baik, and P. Di Francesco (Oxford University Press, UK, 2011), Chap. 34, pp. 703–722, [arXiv:1003.0702].
- [51] A. Pandey and M. Mehta, Gaussian ensembles of random Hermitian matrices intermediate between orthogonal and unitary ones, Commun. Math. Phys. 87, 449 (1983).
- [52] Optimizing H^0 rather than V has the inconvenience of resulting in $N^2 + 1$ as opposed to NM + 1 parameters to be optimized.
- [53] D. Kraft, A software package for sequential quadratic programming (1988).
- [54] C. Poli, D. V. Savin, O. Legrand, and F. Mortessagne, Statistics of resonance states in open chaotic systems: A perturbative approach, Phys. Rev. E 80, 046203 (2009).
- [55] D. V. Savin, Envelope and phase distribution of a resonance transmission through a complex environment, Phys. Rev. E 97, 062202 (2018).
- [56] C. L. Holloway, H. A. Shah, R. J. Pirkl, W. F. Young, D. A. Hill, and J. Ladbury, Reverberation chamber techniques for determining the radiation and total efficiency of antennas, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 60, 1758 (2012).
- [57] J.-B. Gros and G. Lerosey, Random matrix model of wave front shaping in chaotic cavities, Complex2018 summer school: Transport, mesoscopy and imaging of waves in complex media (2018).
- [58] B. Köber, U. Kuhl, H.-J. Stöckmann, T. Gorin, D. V. Savin, and T. H. Seligman, Microwave fidelity studies by varying antenna coupling, Phys. Rev. E 82, 036207 (2010).
- [59] S. Kumar, Random matrix ensembles involving Gaussian Wigner and Wishart matrices, and biorthogonal structure, Phys. Rev. E 92, 032903 (2015).
- [60] S. Kumar, Laguerre unitary ensemble to Gaussian unitary ensemble crossover: Eigenvalue statistics, Indian Acad. Sci. Conf. Ser. 2, 131 (2019).
- [61] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.102.010201 for details on the alternative parametric model.
- [62] M. Davy, J. de Rosny, and P. Besnier, Green's Function Retrieval with Absorbing Probes in Reverberating Cavities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 213902 (2016).