# **Thermodynamic limit and dispersive regularization in matrix models**

Costanza Benass[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7254-8559)<sup>[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4901-8573)</sup> and Antonio Moro<sup>o</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, United Kingdom*

(Received 5 April 2019; revised manuscript received 9 March 2020; accepted 10 March 2020; published 18 May 2020)

We show that Hermitian matrix models support the occurrence of a phase transition characterized by dispersive regularization of the order parameter near the critical point. Using the identification of the partition function with a solution of the reduction of the Toda hierarchy known as the Volterra system, we argue that the singularity is resolved by the onset of a multidimensional dispersive shock of the order parameter in the space of coupling constants. This analysis explains the origin and mechanism leading to the emergence of chaotic behaviors observed in *M*<sup>6</sup> matrix models and extends its validity to even nonlinearity of arbitrary order.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.101.052118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.101.052118)

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

Random matrix models, originally introduced as an attempt to model the complex structure of the energy spectra of heavy nuclei, have since become a universal paradigm for modeling complex phenomena. They naturally arise in connection with different areas of mathematics and physics, from quantum field theory to the theory of completely integrable dynamical systems [\[1–3\]](#page-6-0).

For instance, in the context of quantum field theory, Hermitian matrix models can be introduced as a discrete approximation of two-dimensional (2D) quantum gravity. Based on this result, a celebrated conjecture of Witten [\[4\]](#page-6-0), proven by Kontsevich [\[5\]](#page-6-0), establishes the connection between the free energy of 2D quantum gravity and a particular solution of the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation. The KdV equation, a prototypical example of soliton equation [\[6\]](#page-6-0), first appeared in water wave dynamics to model small-amplitude elevation waves in shallow water. Along with solitons, another important class of solutions of the KdV equation consists of dispersive shock waves [\[7,8\]](#page-6-0). Dispersive shock waves occur as a universal regularization mechanism of singularities in dispersive hydrodynamics and effectively explain the emergence of a variety of complex behaviors in hydrodynamic systems  $[7-12]$ . The remarkable mathematical structure of completely integrable systems, of which the KdV equation is possibly the most celebrated example, such as the existence of an infinite number of conservation laws, allows for an effective and detailed description of dispersive shock waves (see, e.g., [\[8–11\]](#page-6-0)). The above-mentioned Witten conjecture established an unexpected connection between quantum field theory and dispersive hydrodynamics via the identification of correlators of 2D gravity with conserved densities of a particular solution of the KdV equation. Thereafter, a similar correspondence between different matrix models on Hermitian, unitary, symmetric, and symplectic ensembles and completely integrable dynamical systems was discovered (see, e.g., [\[13](#page-6-0)[–17\]](#page-7-0) and references therein). The relevance of these connections lies in the fact that methods developed for solving completely

integrable dynamical systems are indeed effective for the study of the associated matrix models and reveal further intriguing mathematical structure and elegance. Moreover, extensive studies of properties of partition functions for matrix models led to remarkable connections between the theory of integrable systems, statistical mechanics, quantum field theory, and algebraic and enumerative geometry [\[4,14,16–](#page-6-0) [19\]](#page-7-0). In this paper we investigate Hermitian matrix models and observe a type of phase transition resulting from a dispersive regularization mechanism of the order parameter in the space of coupling constants and the consequent onset of a dispersive shock. We provide the physical conditions and scaling regime under which this phenomenon occurs. In particular, we find that, unlike classical mean-field models, multivalued solutions at the leading order of the asymptotic expansion do not necessarily correspond to a phase transition. For the sake of simplicity, we focus on the case of a Hermitian matrix model with even nonlinear interaction terms and its formulation in terms of the 1D Toda hierarchy. However, our considerations can be extended to other matrix ensembles. The Toda lattice is an important example of completely integrable nonlinear dynamical system which, in the continuum limit, contains as a particular case several examples of soliton equations, including the KdV equation. We also note that asymptotic properties of partition functions in the thermodynamic limit of one-matrix models with even and odd nonlinearity and their relation to the Toda lattice were previously considered in [\[20,21\]](#page-7-0). A key point in our analysis is that the sequence of partition functions  $Z_n$  for the one-matrix model of  $n \times n$  matrices can be expressed in terms of a particular solution of a suitable restriction of the Toda lattice equations, known as the Volterra lattice (or discrete KdV equation). The complete integrability of the Volterra lattice system implies the existence of infinitely many conservation laws. The Volterra lattice, together with its set of symmetries associated with the conservation laws, constitutes the Volterra hierarchy. We show that the identification of the Volterra hierarchy with the matrix model is based on a one-to-one correspondence between coupling constants and equations of the hierarchy. Each equation of the hierarchy

<span id="page-1-0"></span>provides the evolution of the order parameter of the theory as a function of the associated coupling constant, which is interpreted as the time variable of the chosen equation. The partition function  $Z_n$  is therefore specified by the state of the *n*th point of the lattice for the corresponding values of the coupling constants, i.e., time parameters of the hierarchy. Most importantly, the dynamics on the lattice is uniquely specified by the initial conditions that are given in terms of the partition function of the free model, i.e., where all coupling constants vanish. In this respect, the model is simpler than the case of 2D gravity studied in [\[4\]](#page-6-0), where the initial condition is specified by additional symmetries that are compatible with the hierarchy, namely, the Virasoro constraints [\[14,](#page-6-0)[19\]](#page-7-0).

We thus exploit the relation between the matrix model under consideration and the Volterra lattice in order to explore the phase diagram of the model. In his pioneering work [\[22\]](#page-7-0), Jurkiewicz observed that a natural order parameter can be introduced by using orthogonal polynomial decompositions and combinatorial considerations [\[23\]](#page-7-0). Such an order parameter develops, in the thermodynamic limit, a singularity that is regularized by oscillations revealing an apparent underlying chaotic behavior of the system  $[22,24]$ . A rigorous proof of the occurrence of asymptotic oscillations of the partition function was found in [\[25,26\]](#page-7-0). In this work we explain the oscillatory behavior of the order parameter as the occurrence of a dispersive shock. Using the fact that the thermodynamic limit ( $n \to \infty$ ) of the random matrix model is equivalent to the continuum limit of the Volterra lattice, given by a system of partial differential equations of hydrodynamic type [\[27–29\]](#page-7-0), we show that the order parameter evolves in the space of the coupling constants as a shock wave solution of the associated hydrodynamic system. The chaotic phase is therefore interpreted as a dispersive shock propagating through the chain in the continuum (thermodynamic) limit. The intriguing complexity of its phase diagram can hence be explained in the context of dispersive hydrodynamics. The physical constraint on the signature of the order parameter determines whether a catastrophe evolves into a dispersive shock.

Considerations above outline the following general scenario: When a thermodynamic system undergoes a phase transition, some specific quantities, the order parameters, develop singularities. In the context of conservation laws of hydrodynamic type, when a singularity (hydrodynamic catastrophe) occurs, viscosity and dispersion underpin two different mechanisms of regularization of such singularity. In the presence of low viscosity the solution develops a sharp but smooth wavefront [\[7\]](#page-6-0). If low viscosity is replaced by weak dispersion, when the wavefront approaches the point of gradient catastrophe the dispersion induces initially small oscillations that further evolve into a dispersive shock  $[8,9,11]$ . In classical mean-field fluid and spin models, phase transitions are associated with classical shocks of order parameters in the space of thermodynamic parameters [\[30–32\]](#page-7-0). In this work we show that the chaotic behavior observed in [\[22\]](#page-7-0) is indeed a phase transition where the order parameter develops a singularity that is resolved via dispersion rather than viscosity as in classical spin models. The observation that phase transitions in matrix models are explicitly connected to the occurrence of a dispersive shock in the order parameter paves the way to the

application of current methods of dispersive hydrodynamics in the context of quantum field theories.

#### **II. HERMITIAN MATRIX MODEL**

We study the model defined by the partition function

$$
Z_n(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} e^{H(M)} dM,\tag{1}
$$

where *M* are Hermitian matrices of order *n*,

$$
H(M) = \text{Tr}\left(-M^2/2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{2j}M^{2j}\right)
$$

is the Hamiltonian, with  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_{2j}\}_{j \geq 1}$  the coupling constants, and *dM* is the Haar measure in the space of Hermitian matrices  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . Based on a classical result by Weyl [\[33\]](#page-7-0), the partition function  $(1)$  is proportional to an integral over the eigenvalues of the matrix *M*, that is,  $Z_n(\mathbf{t}) = c_n \tau_n(\mathbf{t})$ , where  $c_n$ is a constant and

$$
\tau_n(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_n(\lambda)^2 \prod_{i=1}^n (e^{H(\lambda_i)} d\lambda_i), \tag{2}
$$

with  $\Delta_n(\lambda) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$  the Vandermonde determinant. A theorem by Adler and van Moerbeke [\[14\]](#page-6-0) implies that the quantity (2) can be interpreted as a  $\tau$  function of the Toda hierarchy restricted to the even flows

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{2k}} = \left[\frac{1}{2}(L^{2k})_s, L\right], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,
$$
 (3)

with *L* the tridiagonal symmetric Lax matrix of the form

$$
L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ b_1 & 0 & b_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & b_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)
$$

where  $b_i = \sqrt{\tau_{i+1} \tau_{i-1}/\tau_i^2}$  and  $(L^{2k})_s$  denotes the skewsymmetric part of the matrix  $L^{2k}$  (see, e.g., [\[14\]](#page-6-0)). The solution of interest is specified by the initial conditions  $b_i(0) = \sqrt{n}$ obtained via a direct calculation of Gaussian integrals for the quantities  $\tau_n(\mathbf{0}) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \prod_{j=1}^n j!/n!$ . We note that the Lax matrix of type (4), originally considered in [\[34\]](#page-7-0) and more recently in [\[20\]](#page-7-0), corresponds to a reduction of the even Toda hierarchy known as the Volterra hierarchy. The description of the Volterra hierarchy in terms of the matrix resolvent, its  $\tau$ structure, and application to ribbon graphs and Hodge integrals has been recently studied in [\[35\]](#page-7-0). Incidentally, we also mention that the model with odd nonlinearities is different from the present case and its relation with the Toda hierarchy has been considered in [\[21\]](#page-7-0).

Writing the equations of the hierarchy  $(3)$  in terms of lattice variables  $b_n$ , we have

$$
\frac{\partial b_n}{\partial t_{2k}} = \frac{b_n}{2} [b_{n+1}(L^{2k-1})_{n+1, n+2} - b_{n-1}(L^{2k-1})_{n-1, n}]. \tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Introducing the notation  $B_n = b_n^2$  and  $V_n^{(2k)} = b_n (L^{2k-1})_{n,n+1}$ and multiplying both sides by  $b_n$ , Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-0) reads

$$
\frac{\partial B_n}{\partial t_{2k}} = B_n \big( V_{n+1}^{(2k)} - V_{n-1}^{(2k)} \big), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{6}
$$

One can simply prove by induction that  $V_n^{(2k)}$  is a linear combination of products of the variable  $B_n$  evaluated at different points on the lattice. For instance, for the first three nontrivial flows we have

$$
V_n^{(2)} = B_n,
$$
  
\n
$$
V_n^{(4)} = V_n^{(2)} (V_{n-1}^{(2)} + V_n^{(2)} + V_{n+1}^{(2)}),
$$
  
\n
$$
V_n^{(6)} = V_n^{(2)} (V_{n-1}^{(2)} V_{n+1}^{(2)} + V_{n-1}^{(4)} + V_n^{(4)} + V_{n+1}^{(4)}).
$$

In the following we refer to  $B_n$  as the order parameter of the theory. Based on a result in  $[36]$ , we have proven that the required solution to the above reduction of the even Toda hierarchy is given by the recursive formula (string equation)

$$
n = B_n - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2j t_{2j} V_n^{(2j)}.
$$
 (7)

Indeed, Eq. (7) follows from the string equation for the Toda lattice

$$
[L, P] = 1,\tag{8}
$$

where

$$
P = -\frac{1}{2}L_s + \sum_{k \geq 1} kt_{2k}(L)_{s}^{2k-1}
$$

by considering its restriction to even times only [\[36\]](#page-7-0). Equation (7) allows us to evaluate the order parameter of the  $M^{2q}$ model for arbitrary *q* and generalizes the formula obtained by Jurkiewicz for  $q = 3$  [\[22,37\]](#page-7-0).

### **III. THERMODYNAMIC LIMIT**

We analyze the matrix model in the large-*n* (thermodynamic) regime via the continuum limit of the solution (7) of the reduced Toda hierarchy. Introducing the scale given by a suitably large integer *N* and the rescaled variables  $u_n = B_n/N$ and  $T_{2k} = N^{k-1}t_{2k}$ , Eq. (7) reads

$$
\frac{n}{N} = u_n - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2j T_{2j} W_n^{2j},
$$
\n(9)

where  $W_n^{2j} = V_n^{(2j)}/N^j$ . We then define the interpolating function  $u(x)$  such that  $u_n = u(x)$  for  $x = n/N$  and  $u_{n\pm 1} =$  $u(x \pm \epsilon)$  with the notation  $\epsilon = 1/N$ . Expanding in a Taylor series for small  $\epsilon$ , we obtain an ordinary differential equation as a formal series

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon} = 0,\tag{10}
$$

where  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$  has the form

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon} := -x + (1 - 2T_2)u - 12T_4u^2 - 60T_6u^3
$$
  
+  $\epsilon^2 (p_1u_{xx} + p_2u_x^2)$   
+  $\epsilon^4 (q_1u_{xxxx} + q_2u_xu_{xxx} + q_3u_{xx}^2) + O(\epsilon^6)$ . (11)

Here, for simplicity, we have fixed  $T_{2k} = 0$  for  $k > 3$ , with  $p_i$ and *qi* as follows:

$$
p_1 = -4T_4u - 60T_6u^2, \quad p_2 = -30T_6u,
$$
  
\n
$$
q_1 = -\frac{T_4}{3}u - 11T_6u^2, \quad q_2 = -22T_6u, \quad q_3 = -\frac{33T_6}{2}u.
$$

At the leading order we have the polynomial equation in *u* of the form

$$
\Omega_0 := -x + (1 - 2T_2)u - 12T_4u^2 - 60T_6u^3 = 0. \tag{12}
$$

In order to obtain further insight into the behavior of the solution of the recurrence equation (9) in the thermodynamic limit, it is interesting to study the continuum limit of the Volterra hierarchy (6). Proceeding as above, i.e., introducing the interpolating function  $u(x)$  in (6) and expanding  $u(x \pm \epsilon)$ in a Taylor series, one obtains a compatible hierarchy of dispersive partial differential equations of the form

$$
u_{T_{2k}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n g_n^{(k)}(u; u_x, \dots, \partial_x^n u), \qquad (13)
$$

where functions  $g_n^{(k)}$  are differential polynomials of *u*. For instance, the first member of the hierarchy (for  $k = 1$ ), which gives the flow with respect to  $T_2$ , takes the compact form

$$
u_{T_2} = 2u \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sinh(\epsilon \partial_x) \right] u, \tag{14}
$$

where the operator stays for the formal Maclaurin expansion of sinh. In the thermodynamic limit, i.e.,  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , Eq. (14) gives, at the leading order, the Hopf equation

$$
u_{T_2} = 2uu_x. \tag{15}
$$

Similarly, higher flows in  $T_{2k}$  lead to higher members of the so-called Hopf hierarchy

$$
u_{T_{2k}} = C_k u^k u_x \tag{16}
$$

for suitable constants  $C_k$ , the solution of which, for the assigned initial condition, is implicitly given by the polynomial equation (12). The effect of the corrections in the parameter  $\epsilon$ will be discussed in the following section.

For illustrative purposes, we consider the case  $t_{2k} = T_{2k} =$ 0 for  $k > 3$ , i.e., Eq. (12) is a cubic equation for the order parameter  $u$ . In this case, Eq.  $(12)$  provides the condition for extremizing the free-energy functional  $F = \int_0^\beta f_0(u) dx$ , for some  $\beta > 0$ , of density

$$
f_0[u] = -xu + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2T_2)u^2 - 4T_4u^3 - 15T_6u^4.
$$
 (17)

In particular, local minima and maxima depend on the signature of the discriminant  $\Delta(x, T_2, T_4, T_6)$  of the cubic equation (12). If  $\Delta > 0$  the free energy has two local minima and one local maximum; if  $\Delta < 0$  the free energy presents one local minimum only. The set in the space of parameters such that  $\Delta = 0$  corresponds to the critical set where a phase transition occurs. For example, in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) we plot the set  $\Delta = 0$  in the  $x - T_6$  plane for a given choice of  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  [note that in order to ensure convergence of the integral  $(1)$ , we have  $T_6 < 0$ . The convex sector corresponds to the region where the equation of state (12) admits three real solutions that correspond to the stationary points of the free-energy density. Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Critical set  $\Delta = 0$  in the *x*-*T*<sub>6</sub> plane. The convex sector identifies the region  $\Delta > 0$  where Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0) admits multiple roots for the chosen values of parameters.

the free-energy density as a function of *u* for two different values of  $T_6$ , with fixed  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ , and  $x$ . For these values of the parameters the discriminant of the cubic is positive and the free energy has three extremal points. We see that for  $T_6 =$ −0.0051 the difference in the values of the free-energy density at its local minima is particularly pronounced compared with the case  $T_6 = -0.0067$ .

The above scenario is compatible with the well-known fact that the generic solution of the Hopf hierarchy  $(16)$  develops singular behavior for finite value of the time variables  $T_{2k}$  [\[7\]](#page-6-0). In the next section, we study these singularities in relation to the occurrence of phase transitions. A phase transition is associated with the occurrence of a dispersive shock induced by dispersive corrections to the Hopf hierarchy. Equation [\(10\)](#page-2-0)



FIG. 2. Free-energy density for chosen fixed values of the parameters in the region  $\Delta > 0$ . The chosen values of  $T_6$  and x correspond, respectively, to the intersection of the line  $x = 0.22$  and the lines  $T_6 = -0.0067$  (dashed line) and  $T_6 = -0.0051$  (dotted line) as shown in Fig. 1.



 $T_2 = 0$ ,  $T_4 = 0.1$ ,  $T_6 = -0.008$ ,  $\epsilon = 0.01$ 



FIG. 3. Comparison of the order parameter evaluated using Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-2-0) and [\(12\)](#page-2-0). (a)  $\Delta < 0$  for all *x*. (b)  $\Delta = 0$  at the point of gradient catastrophe  $x = 5/18 \approx 0.28$  of the solution *u* of Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0). The inset shows a close-up around  $x = 5/18$ . As  $T_6$  increases we observe a steepening of the profile of the order parameter  $u_n$  and the onset of oscillations in the vicinity of the point of gradient catastrophe.

obtained as the continuum limit of [\(9\)](#page-2-0) provides quasitrivial deformations of the Hopf hierarchy and the behavior near the singularity that are universally described by a solution of the fourth-order analog of the Painlevé I equation [\[38–40\]](#page-7-0).

#### **IV. DISPERSIVE REGULARIZATION**

In the thermodynamic limit, the evolution of the order parameter in the space of coupling constants is governed by Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-0) provided derivatives of *u* are bounded. In the vicinity of the gradient catastrophe, dispersive corrections in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-0) induce fast oscillations responsible for a rich and interesting phenomenon known as a dispersive shock wave  $[8,10]$ . In this section we illustrate the general phenomenology by considering the particular case  $T_{2k} = t_{2k} = 0$  for all  $k > 3$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 4. Comparison of the order parameter evaluated by using Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0) and Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0) for different values of  $T_6$ . The choice of values of the coupling constants coincides with the ones in Fig. [2;](#page-3-0) the dotted vertical line marks the value  $x = 0.22$  where the free-energy density shows two local minima.

so that  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ , and  $T_6$  are the only nonzero coupling constants. This choice allows for a simple but sufficiently general analysis demonstrating that chaotic behaviors observed in [\[37\]](#page-7-0) correspond to a type of phase transition consisting of a dispersive shock of the order parameter. The shock occurs as a dispersive regularization mechanism of a particular solution of the hierarchy  $(6)$  in the continuum limit.

In Fig. [3](#page-3-0) we compare the order parameter  $u(x)$  obtained as the solution of the recurrence equation [\(9\)](#page-2-0) and the limit equation [\(12\)](#page-2-0). The values of  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ , and  $T_6$  are chosen in such a way that the solution of the cubic equation [\(12\)](#page-2-0) is single valued. Figure  $3(a)$  shows that the two solutions fully overlap for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ , but, as shown in Fig. [3\(b\),](#page-3-0) a relevant deviation is observed in the vicinity of the point of gradient catastrophe of the solution to Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0).

Figures  $4(a)$  and  $4(b)$  show a comparison between the cubic solution  $(12)$  and the exact solution  $(9)$  for different values





FIG. 5. (a) Multivalued solution of Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0). (b) Comparison of the order parameter evaluated by using Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0) and Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0). The catastrophe occurs at  $x < 0$  and generates a dispersive shock propagating across the origin and for  $x > 0$ .

of  $T_6$  within the convex region shown in Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) where the solution of [\(12\)](#page-2-0) is multivalued. Both figures demonstrate the onset of a dispersive shock wave. This behavior is intriguing as, unlike classical statistical mechanical systems, e.g., mag-netic and fluid models [\[41\]](#page-7-0), the order parameter  $u(x)$  develops oscillations in the form of a dispersive shock in conjunction with the existence of additional stationary points for the free energy such as unstable and metastable states. The emergence of such oscillations is therefore explained as a result of higherorder corrections in the string equation [\(10\)](#page-2-0) of which the cubic equation [\(12\)](#page-2-0) is the leading-order approximation. Based on the results in [\[38–40,42\]](#page-7-0), the mechanism of formation of such oscillations in the vicinity of the critical points of the solution to Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0) is universal and it is given by a particular real analytic solution of the second member of the Painlevé I hierarchy, known as the Painlevé I2 equation.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



FIG. 6. (a) Multivalued solution of Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0). (b) Comparison of the order parameter evaluated by using Eq.  $(9)$  and Eq.  $(12)$  for the same values of the thermodynamic parameters. The dispersive shock is generated by a gradient catastrophe at *x* > 0.

### **V. ANALYSIS OF SCENARIOS**

As discussed above, a dispersive shock in the order parameter develops for values of the couplings such that the density of free energy admits multiple stationary points. Hence, the signature of the discriminant of the cubic in Eq.  $(12)$  determines a necessary condition for the occurrence of a dispersive shock and therefore a phase transition. We now focus on the different scenarios in regimes where the discriminant  $\Delta$  is positive and Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0) admits three real and distinct solutions. In particular, different cases need to be considered depending on whether the coefficients of the cubic equation  $(12)$  are negative or positive. Necessarily, in order to ensure convergence of the integral  $(1)$ , it is  $T_6 < 0$ . Hence, we have four distinct cases, depending on the signs of the coefficient  $1 - 2T_2$  and −12*T*<sup>4</sup> in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0).



FIG. 7. (a) Multivalued solution of Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0). (b) Comparison of the order parameter evaluated by using Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0) with the nonnegative branch of the solution of Eq.  $(12)$  shows a perfect overlap. In this case, the presence of only one local minimum of the free-energy density, where the order parameter is positive, suppresses the formation of a dispersive shock.

*Scenario 1:*  $T_2$  <  $1/2$  *and*  $T_4$  > 0. This choice corresponds to the case analyzed in [\[22,37\]](#page-7-0); hence it allows for a direct comparison. As by definition  $u(x) \ge 0$ , only non-negative branches of  $u(x)$  correspond to admissible states of the system. In fact, in both Figs.  $4(a)$  and  $4(b)$ , the three branches of the cubic equation which correspond to stationary points of the free energy are positive.

*Scenario 2:*  $T_2 > 1/2$  *and*  $T_4 < 0$ . In this case, as illustrated, for example, in Fig.  $5$ , the solution to Eq.  $(12)$ , shown in Fig.  $5(a)$ , is multivalued but one root associated with a local minimum is negative and therefore does not correspond to a state of the system. However, two concurrent states, <span id="page-6-0"></span>although of different nature, one stable and one unstable, underlie a dispersive shock, shown in Fig.  $5(b)$ . Notice that for  $x > 0$  the solution to Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0) has one non-negative branch only.

Nonetheless,  $u(x)$  develops a dispersive shock profile at positive *x*, although this is originated by a catastrophe located at  $x < 0$ . The solution to Eq.  $(12)$  is multivalued with two nonnegative branches for a small interval of negative values of *x*.

*Scenario 3:*  $T_2 > 1/2$  *and*  $T_4 > 0$ *. Similarly to case 2,*  $u(x)$ has only one positive solution for  $x > 0$  [see Fig.  $6(a)$ ] and as shown in Fig.  $6(b)$ , a dispersive shock arises in correspondence to two non-negative roots for negative *x*.

*Scenario 4:*  $T_2$  <  $1/2$  *and*  $T_4$  < 0. The last scenario is given by the case, illustrated in Fig.  $7(a)$ , where the cubic solution is multivalued with one positive branch for all values of *x* and therefore only one solution corresponds to a state that is accessible by the system. Interestingly, as shown in Fig.  $7(b)$ , the solution of the recurrence equation [\(9\)](#page-2-0) overlaps with the cubic solution and no oscillations occur. This suggests that the dispersive regularization in the form of a dispersive shock of the order parameter is related to the existence of accessible (meta)stable or unstable states. This phenomenon is rather unexpected as, from the point of view of the governing hierarchy of dispersive partial differential equations of Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-0), one would expect that for a generic initial condition multivaluedness would be replaced by a dispersive shock originated by the gradient catastrophe.

### **VI. CONCLUSION**

We have analyzed the Hermitian matrix model with an even degree of nonlinearity for which the order parameter of the model is obtained as a particular solution of the Volterra

- [1] E. P. Wigner, On the statistical distribution of the widths and spacings of nuclear resonance levels, [Math. Proc. Cambridge](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100027237) **[47](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100027237)**, [790](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100027237) [\(1951\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100027237).
- [2] F. Dyson, Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I, [J. Math Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703773) **[3](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703773)**, [140](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703773) [\(1962\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703773); Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I, **[3](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703774)**, [157](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703774) [\(1962\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703774); Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. III, **[3](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703775)**, [166](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703775) [\(1962\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703775).
- [3] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 2nd ed. (Academic, Boston, 1991).
- [4] E. Witten, Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space, [Surv. Diff. Geom.](https://doi.org/10.4310/SDG.1990.v1.n1.a5) **[1](https://doi.org/10.4310/SDG.1990.v1.n1.a5)**, [243](https://doi.org/10.4310/SDG.1990.v1.n1.a5) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.4310/SDG.1990.v1.n1.a5).
- [5] M. Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099526) **[147](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099526)**, [1](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099526) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099526).
- [6] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1981).
- [7] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves* (Wiley, New York, 1974).
- [8] A. V. Gurevich and L. P. Pitaevskiy, Nonstationary structure of a collisionless shock wave, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 590 (1973) [\[Sov. Phys.-JETP](http://www.jetp.ac.ru/cgi-bin/e/index/e/38/2/p291?a=list) **38**, 291 (1974)].

hierarchy. The critical properties of the model are obtained in terms of a solution to the continuum limit of the Volterra hierarchy. We have shown that the chaotic behavior observed in previous literature can be described as a dispersive shock propagating in the space of thermodynamic parameters. Also, the profile of the order parameter, specifically the form of the envelope, appears to be highly sensitive to the choice of the parameters  $T_{2k}$ . For instance, Figs.  $4(a)$ ,  $4(b)$ ,  $5(b)$ , and  $6(b)$ show the onset of dispersive shocks whose envelope displays very distinctive features.

A further detailed study of this intriguing behavior will involve the construction of the asymptotic genus expansion of the solution [\(9\)](#page-2-0) and the Whitham modulation theory for solutions of related integrable hierarchy. We finally anticipate that the rich phenomenology described in this paper reflects the fact that the dispersive shock given by the solution [\(9\)](#page-2-0) is an intrinsic multidimensional object arising from the simultaneous solution of equations of the hierarchy [\(6\)](#page-2-0) in the continuum limit. In fact, the solution to the Volterra hierarchy [\(6\)](#page-2-0) satisfies the modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy similarly to how the solution of the Toda hierarchy satisfies the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy. Hence, the description of the dispersive shock solution entails the development of the Whitham modulation theory of a  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional integrable dispersive equations. This further development will be presented elsewhere.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We are grateful to Boris Dubrovin for the insightful discussions which have inspired the original ideas of this work. This work was funded by The Leverhulme Trust Research Project Grant No. RPG-2017-228.

- [9] G. El, Resolution of a shock in hyperbolic systems modified by weak dispersion, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1947120) **[15](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1947120)**, [037103](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1947120) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1947120).
- [10] G. El and M. Hoefer, Dispersive shock waves and modulation theory, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2016.04.006) **[333](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2016.04.006)**, [11](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2016.04.006) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2016.04.006).
- [11] G. El, M. Hoefer, and M. Shearer, Dispersive and diffusive[dispersive shock waves for nonconvex conservation laws,](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1015650) SIAM Rev. **[59](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1015650)**, [3](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1015650) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1015650).
- [12] T. Congy, G. El, Gennady, M. Hoefer, and M. Shearer, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and the universal description of dispersive shock wave structure, [Stud. Appl. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1111/sapm.12247) **[142](https://doi.org/10.1111/sapm.12247)**, [241](https://doi.org/10.1111/sapm.12247) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1111/sapm.12247).
- [13] A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, and A. Orlov, Matrix models of two-dimensional gravity and Toda theory, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90482-D) **[357](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90482-D)**, [565](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90482-D) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90482-D).
- [14] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, Matrix integrals, Toda symme[tries, Virasoro constraints, and orthogonal polynomials,](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-95-08029-6) Duke Math. J. **[80](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-95-08029-6)**, [863](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-95-08029-6) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-95-08029-6).
- [15] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, Integrals over classical groups, [random permutations, Toda and Toeplitz lattices,](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0312(200102)54:2<153::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-5) Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **[54](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0312(200102)54:2<153::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-5)**, [153](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0312(200102)54:2<153::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-5) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0312(200102)54:2<153::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-5).
- [16] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, and C. Vafa, in *Quantum Calabi-Yau and Classical Crystals*, edited by P. Etingof,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>V. Retakh, and I. M. Singer, The Unity of Mathematics. Progress in Mathematics Vol. 244 (Birkhäuser Boston, Cambridge, 2006).

- [17] T. Nakatsu and K. Takasaki, Melting crystal, quantum torus and Toda hierarchy, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0583-5) **[285](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0583-5)**, [445](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0583-5) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0583-5).
- [18] B. Dubrovin, Integrable systems in topological field theory, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90137-Z) **[379](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90137-Z)**, [627](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90137-Z) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90137-Z).
- [19] B. Dubrovin, Gromov-Witten invariants and integrable hierarchies of topological type, [Am. Math. Soc. Transl.: Series 2](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/234) **[234](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/234)**, [141](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/234) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/234).
- [20] N. M. Ercolani, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, and V. U. Pierce, Random matrices, graphical enumeration and the continuum limit of Toda lattices, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0395-z) **[278](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0395-z)**, [31](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0395-z) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0395-z).
- [21] N. M. Ercolani and V. U. Pierce, The continuum limit of Toda [lattices for random matrices with odd weights,](https://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2012.v10.n1.a13) Commun. Math. Sci. **[10](https://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2012.v10.n1.a13)**, [267](https://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2012.v10.n1.a13) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2012.v10.n1.a13).
- [22] [J. Jurkiewicz, Chaotic behaviour in one-matrix models,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90325-K) *Phys.* Lett. B **[261](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90325-K)**, [260](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90325-K) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90325-K).
- [23] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. B. Zuber, Planar diagrams, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01614153) **[59](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01614153)**, [35](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01614153) [\(1978\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01614153).
- [24] [D. Sénéchal, Chaos in the Hermitian one-matrix model,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X9200065X) Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **[7](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X9200065X)**, [1491](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X9200065X) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X9200065X).
- [25] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials [with respect to exponential weights,](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199912)52:12<1491::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-%23) Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **[52](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199912)52:12<1491::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-%23)**, [1491](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199912)52:12<1491::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-%23) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199912)52:12<1491::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-%23).
- [26] T. Claeys, T. Grava, K. D. T.-R. McLaughlin, Asymptotics for the partition function in two-cut random matrix models, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2412-y) **[339](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2412-y)**, [513](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2412-y) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2412-y).
- [27] P. Deift and K. T.-R. McLaughlin, *A Continuum Limit of the Toda Lattice*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 624 (AMS, Providence, 1998), p. 131.
- [28] A. M. Bloch and Y. Kodama, Dispersive regularization of the Whitham equation for the Toda lattice, [SIAM J. Appl. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1137/0152052) **[52](https://doi.org/10.1137/0152052)**, [909](https://doi.org/10.1137/0152052) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/0152052).
- 
- [29] J. Michor, Wave phenomena of the Toda lattice with steplike initial data, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.01.033) **[380](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.01.033)**, [1110](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.01.033) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.01.033).
- [30] A. Moro, Shock dynamics of phase diagrams, [Ann. Phys. \(NY\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.01.011) **[343](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.01.011)**, [49](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.01.011) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.01.011).
- [31] A. Barra, A. Di Lorenzo, F. Guerra, and A. Moro, On quantum and relativistic mechanical analogues in mean-field spin models, [Proc. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2014.0589) **[470](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2014.0589)**, [20140589](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2014.0589) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2014.0589).
- [32] G. Genovese and A. Barra, A mechanical approach to mean field spin models, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3131687) **[50](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3131687)**, [053303](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3131687) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3131687).
- [33] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Their Representations*, 2nd ed. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946).
- [34] M. Kac and P. van Moerbeke, On an explicitly soluble system of nonlinear differential equations related to certain Toda lattices, [Adv. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(75)90148-6) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(75)90148-6)**, [160](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(75)90148-6) [\(1975\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(75)90148-6).
- [35] B. Dubrovin and D. Yang, Matrix resolvent and the discrete KdV hierarchy, [arXiv:1903.11578.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1903.11578)
- [36] M. Bonora, L. Martellini, and C. S. Xiong, Integrable discrete linear systems and one-matrix random models, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90040-I) **[375](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90040-I)**, [453](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90040-I) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90040-I).
- [37] [J. Jurkiewicz, Regularization of one-matrix models,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)90130-X) *Phys. Lett.* B **[245](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)90130-X)**, [178](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)90130-X) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)90130-X).
- [38] B. Dubrovin, On Hamiltonian perturbations of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws: II, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0021-5) **[267](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0021-5)**, [117](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0021-5) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0021-5).
- [39] B. Dubrovin, On universality of critical behaviour in Hamiltonian PDEs, [Am. Math. Soc. Transl.: Series 2](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/224) **[224](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/224)**, [59](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/224) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1090/trans2/224).
- [40] B. Dubrovin, T. Grava, C. Klein, and A. Moro, On critical behaviour in systems of Hamiltonian partial differential equations, [J. Nonlinear Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-015-9236-y) **[25](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-015-9236-y)**, [631](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-015-9236-y) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-015-9236-y).
- [41] R. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (Academic, New York, 1982).
- [42] T. Claeys and M. Vanlessen, The existence of a real pole-free solution of the fourth order analogue of the Painlevé I equation, [Nonlinearity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/20/5/006) **[20](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/20/5/006)**, [1163](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/20/5/006) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/20/5/006).