Tsallis meets Boltzmann: q-index for a finite ideal gas and its thermodynamic limit

J. A. S. Lima^{1,*} and A. Deppman^{2,†}

¹Departamento de Astronomia (IAG-USP), Universidade de São Paulo, 05508-090 São Paulo SP, Brasil ²Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508-090 São Paulo, Brasil

(Received 25 February 2020; accepted 27 March 2020; published 23 April 2020)

Nonadditive Tsallis *q*-statistics has successfully been applied for a plethora of systems in natural sciences and other branches of knowledge. Nevertheless, its foundations have been severely criticized by some authors based on the standard additive Boltzmann-Gibbs approach, thereby remaining a quite controversial subject. In order to clarify some polemical concepts, the distribution function for an ideal gas with a finite number of point particles and its *q*-index are analytically determined. The two-particle correlation function is also derived. The degree of correlation diminishes continuously with the growth of the number of particles. The ideal finite gas system is usually correlated, becomes less correlated when the number of particles grows, and is finally fully uncorrelated when the molecular chaos regime is reached. It is also advocated that both approaches can be confronted through a careful kinetic spectroscopic experiment. The analytical results derived here suggest that Tsallis *q*-statistics may play a physical role more fundamental than usually discussed in the literature.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.101.040102

Introduction. The so-called nonextensive q-statistics proposed nearly three decades ago by Tsallis [1] has found many applications (from econometry to black hole physics), and prompted studies on the meaning of entropy as a physical and informational concept [2–4]. In a certain sense the route followed by Tsallis can be termed a statistical *top-down* approach. Actually, q-statistics began with a new nonadditive formula for entropy, a key and abstract concept in the core of kinetic theory and statistical mechanics. Impressive mathematical properties of Tsallis q-statistics were derived based on the top-down approach [2–6], and the same occurred with the extended physical description of different Hamiltonian systems [2,3,7–11].

As it appears, Boltzmann entropy is just a particular case of the Tsallis formula. By defining the *q*-logarithm and *q*-exponential functions, $\ln_q f = \frac{f^{q-1}-1}{q-1}$ and $e_q(f) = [1 + (q-1)f]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, so that in the limit $q \to 1$, $\ln_q f = \ln f$, and $\ln_q[e_q(f)] = e_q[\ln_q(f)] = f$, Tsallis entropy in the micro-canonical ensemble [1] provides immediately the Boltzmann-Gibbs result as a limiting case,

$$S_q = k_B \ln_q W, \quad \lim_{q \to 1} S_q = k_B \ln W \quad (BG), \tag{1}$$

where *W* is the number of microscopic accessible states. The *q*-entropy is nonadditive in the sense that $S_q(A + B) = S(A) + S(B) + k_B^{-1}(1 - q)S(A)S(B)$, which implies the existence of correlations (*q* > 1) or anticorrelations (*q* < 1) in the system.

Later on, a *bottom-up* kinetic approach was started by deriving the velocity distribution function (VDF) following the pioneering Maxwell ideas [12]. It was found [13] that the one-particle VDF for an ideal gas assumed in thermodynamic

2470-0045/2020/101(4)/040102(5)

equilibrium can be extended to include the q-Tsallis version by relaxing one of the two basic assumptions originally assumed by Maxwell, namely, the factorization of the distribution function,

$$f_M(v)d^3v = f(v_x)dv_x f(v_y)dv_y f(v_z)dv_z,$$
 (2)

where $v^2 = v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2$. When such a working assumption is relaxed by hypothesizing that the velocity distribution is not factorizable, but assuming (as Maxwell) that the velocity space is isotropic, $F_q(v_x, v_y, v_z) = F_q(v^2)$, the power-law velocity *q*-distribution emerging from an ensemble approach is obtained [13],

$$f_q(v) = A_q \left[1 - (q-1)\frac{mv^2}{2k_BT} \right]^{1/(q-1)} \equiv A_q \, e_q \left(-\frac{mv^2}{2k_BT} \right),$$
(3)

. ...

where A_q is the normalization constant, *m* is the mass of the particles, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ yields $f_q(v) = f_M(v)$. A *q*-generalization of the Boltzmann *H* theorem was also investigated [14]. Since the right-hand side of the Boltzmann equation is merely the total time derivative of the distribution function, its possible modification must appear in the collisional term. In this case, the Boltzmann equation reads

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \mathbf{a} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{a\text{-coll}},\tag{4}$$

where **a** is the particle acceleration, **v** is the particle velocity, and $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{q-\text{coll}}$ is the *q*-collisional term. It was shown that the *q*power law (3) is the unique solution under which the proposed *q*-collisional term is nullified. However, both approaches were unable to determine the *q*-parameter as a function of the extensive quantities. It was constrained using the *H* theorem (*q* > 0) but not quantified in terms of the gas properties (for similar studies in the relativistic domain, see Refs. [15,16]).

^{*}jas.lima@iag.usp.br

[†]deppman@if.usp.br

In this Rapid Communication, in order to fill this gap, we also address other closely related problems and questions underlying the Tsallis *q*-statistics: (i) What is the *q*-index expression for an ideal finite gas far from the molecular chaos regime and how may one quantify the degree of correlation in the Tsallis regime? (ii) What is the nature of such correlations whether "in or out" of thermodynamic equilibrium? (iii) Is there a "transition" describing the emergency of the uncorrelated molecular chaos from the correlated Tsallis regime? Finally, we also discuss the key question: (iv) How can Tsallis' regime be experimentally probed for this unique simple system?

In order to avoid theoretical subtleties and controversial issues involving the nonadditive entropy definition (see, for instance, Refs. [4,17]), in what follows we adopt the *bottom-up* approach for answering the points listed above. Our attention will be concentrated on the kinetic behavior of an ideal gas with a finite number of particles. This system has been kinetically studied before by several authors, however, focused on the so-called thermodynamic limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$ (see, for instance, Refs. [18–20]). This ideal finite gas is the simplest statistical system for which a bottom-up extended framework can be applied, and, as such, it can be dubbed an ideal *q*-gas in the sense of Tsallis [21].

Our recurring theme is that the Tsallis power-law regime and its Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) limit must emerge naturally from the Liouville kinetic description of finite systems. As we shall see, for a gas of N particles, the above four aspects can be elucidated at once. In particular, it will be demonstrated that the finite ideal gas is usually correlated, becomes less correlated when the number of particles grows, and is finally fully uncorrelated when the molecular chaos regime is reached. Based on such results we also discuss a simple spectroscopic experiment to confront the Tsallis and Boltzmann approaches, as well as to delimit when the predicted change of regimes starts in the q-gas.

From Liouville N-particle distribution to the one-particle VDF of a q-ideal gas. In the Liouville description, the state of an ideal gas composed by N particles is a point in the 6N-dimensional Γ space whose coordinates are the spatial coordinates and velocities of all particles. The location of the *i*th particle in the Γ space is the six-dimensional vector $\Sigma_i = (x_i, v_i)$. In addition, the probability that a Γ point is found in a unit volume of Γ space at time *t* is given by the *N*-particle distribution function $f_N(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_N, t)$. It is normalized

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_N(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N, t) d^6 \Sigma_1 \cdots d^6 \Sigma_N = 1, \qquad (5)$$

where $d\Sigma_i = d^3x_i d^3v_i$. As is widely known, the convective derivative f_N satisfies the collisionless Boltzmann equation $df_N/dt = 0$. Since we are describing velocity-independent forces in a time-reversible Hamiltonian system, this also means that Tsallis' entropy remains constant. In other words, all the information is in principle available in the Liouville equation. The one- and two-particle VDFs are the reduced distributions by integrating out all the irrelevant degrees of freedom.

Let us now consider a homogeneous monatomic gas with particles of mass m in thermal equilibrium at temperature T,

within a box of volume V. The total energy of the gas reads

$$E = \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i^2 = Nm\epsilon \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i^2 = 2N\epsilon, \qquad (6)$$

where ϵ is the average energy per unit mass of the gas which is assumed to be a measurable quantity, that is, macroscopically determined.

The *N*-particle distribution function for an ideal gas depends only on the kinetic energy. Then, it is natural to assume f_N proportional to a δ function [19,20],

$$f_N(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N) = B_N \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^N v_i^2 - 2N\epsilon\right), \tag{7}$$

where the constant B_N can be determined from (5). By integrating over all space variables, one finds

$$B_N V^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^N v_i^2 - 2N\epsilon\right) d^3 v_1 \cdots d^3 v_N = 1, \quad (8)$$

which can be solved transforming to polar coordinates, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i^2 = R^2$ with $d^3v_1 \cdots d^3v_N = R^{3N-1}dRd^{3N}S$. Note that $d^{3N}S$ is the surface element of a unit sphere in 3N dimensions. As one may check, the normalized f_N now takes the form

$$f_N(v_1,\ldots,v_N) = \frac{2}{\Omega_{3N}(2N\epsilon)^{3N-2}V^N} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^N v_i^2 - 2N\epsilon\right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Omega_{3N} = \int d^{3N}S = \frac{2\pi^{3N/2}}{\Gamma(3N/2)}$$
(10)

is the surface of a unit sphere in 3N dimensions [18].

The one-particle VDF yields the probability to find at random a molecule between Σ_1 and $\Sigma_1 + d\Sigma_1$ regardless of the Γ -space position of the other molecules. Now, by taking $v_1 = v$ and following the tradition (see, for instance, Refs. [18]), we also normalize f(v) to the particle concentration n = N/V,

$$f(v) = Nf_1 = NV^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_N(v, v_2, \dots, v_N) d^3v_2 \cdots d^3v_N,$$
(11)

where the term V^{N-1} is merely the volume integrated over the remaining coordinates. By inserting the value of f_N from (9) and using again the polar coordinates, the integration over the remaining velocities results in

$$f(v) = \frac{n}{(2N\epsilon)^{3/2}} \frac{\Omega_{(3N-3)}}{\Omega_{3N}} \left[1 - \frac{v^2}{2N\epsilon} \right]^{(3N-5)/2}, \quad (12)$$

and by inserting the Ω prefactors defined by (10), it follows that

$$f(v) = n \left(\frac{3}{4\pi\epsilon}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\left(\frac{3N}{2}\right)^{-3/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3N}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\right)} \left[1 - \frac{v^2}{2N\epsilon}\right]^{(3N-5)/2}.$$
(13)

Note that for a finite value of N, this result describes a power law with *cutoff* in the velocity space, $v \leq v_m = (2N\epsilon)^{1/2}$. It is readily checked that in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the above expression reduces to the MB result,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} f(v) = f_M(v) = n \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{mv^2}{2k_B T}},$$
 (14)

where now we have inserted

$$\epsilon = \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_B T}{m},\tag{15}$$

as usually demonstrated for the thermodynamic limit. This measurable value is macroscopically determined through the pressure of perfect gases, $P = nk_BT$. Its validity has been demonstrated for a dilute gas when the molecular chaos is already established. However, since the focus of our Rapid Communication is the finite system whose VDF is described by (13), it is natural to ask whether Eq. (15) still remains valid. In order to show that, let us consider the kinetic gas pressure as predicted by distribution (13) when the cutoff in the particle velocities is taken into account. In this case, the pressure *P* is defined by [18]

$$P = \frac{m}{3} \int_0^{v_m} f(v) v^2 d^3 v = \frac{4\pi m}{3} \int_0^{v_m} f(v) v^4 dv, \qquad (16)$$

and takes the following form for a finite gas,

$$P = \frac{4\pi nm}{3} \left(\frac{3}{4\pi\epsilon}\right)^{3/2} D_N \int_0^{v_m} v^4 \left[1 - \frac{v^2}{2N\epsilon}\right]^{(3N-5)/2} dv,$$
(17)

where $D_N = \frac{(\frac{3N}{2})^{-3/2}\Gamma(\frac{3N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3N}{2}-\frac{3}{2})}$. By defining a new variable, $x = v^2/2N\epsilon$, the integral is reduced to a complete beta function [22], $B(z, w) = \Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)/\Gamma(z+w)$, with z = 5/2 and w = (3N-3)/2. As a result of the integration process, all terms appearing in D_N cancel out and the pressure becomes $P = \frac{3}{2}nm\epsilon$ (the same Maxwellian value). This means that small systems, those with different finite values of \overline{N} and \overline{V} , but the same concentration, $n = \overline{N}/\overline{V} = N/V$, are able to maintain the equilibrium conditions because the mean free path $(\ell \simeq 1/n\pi\sigma^2)$ is not altered (σ is the diameter of the hard spheres). Therefore, all these monatomic gases are endowed with different extensive quantities, but obey $P = nk_BT$. Hence, by equaling both expressions for the pressure, we obtain exactly the same energy per unit mass given by (15).

The VDF (13) can be rewritten in the standard Tsallis form because the term in the square brackets, $\left[1 - \frac{v^2}{\alpha}\right]^{\alpha}$, is already in the form of a power law which becomes the MB exponential factor in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$. To show that, we first observe that $\Gamma(x)$ is a sharply peaked and rapidly varying function. Thus, by neglecting the factor of a few only in the power index of (13) and comparing with (3), the *q*-parameter is obtained,

$$\frac{3N}{2} = \frac{1}{q-1} \to q = 1 + \frac{2}{3N},\tag{18}$$

thereby fixing naturally the MB limit,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} q(N) = 1.$$
(19)

Note also that the VDF (13) now assumes a Tsallian form [cf. (3)],

$$f(v) = n \left(\frac{m(q-1)}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1} - \frac{3}{2}\right)} \left[1 - (q-1)\frac{mv^2}{2k_B T}\right]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}.$$
(20)

As should be expected, the MB velocity distribution is also recovered from the VDF above by taking the limit $q \rightarrow 1$.

On the other hand, applying the transformation $q \rightarrow q' = 1 - \frac{2}{3N}$, we find that q + q' = 2, which recovers the so-called additive duality relation in this context [4]. Note also that (20) under duality transformation becomes

$$f(v) = n \left(\frac{m(1-q')}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-q'}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-q'} - \frac{3}{2}\right)} \times \left[1 - (1-q')\frac{mv^2}{2k_B T}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q'}},$$
 (21)

which is also a *q*-power law with a cutoff because the duality relation implies that q' < 1.

It is also worth noticing that all averages and marginal probabilities were calculated here in the traditional manner. In other words, q-average values of physical quantities and non-standard calculations of marginal probabilities, as sometimes adopted [4,23,24], are not necessary in the present context.

At this point we recall that several authors investigated the properties of finite systems based on the "top-down" entropic approach, including different expressions for the q-parameter, possible rescaling properties, and even conceivable effects for different nonstandard entropies [7–11]. In principle, the "bottom-up" results derived here may also have consequences for such studies. It should be also stressed that the nonextensive ideal gas is in thermodynamic equilibrium from the very beginning with the standard concepts of temperature, pressure, and average energy per particle rigorously preserved. Interestingly, as it will be discussed below, the homogeneity and simplicity of the q-gas distribution facilitate all higher-order calculations so that its nonextensivity degree can also be understood based on the analytical two-particle correlation function.

Correlations from two-particle VDF. As remarked earlier, the two-particle function $f(v_1, v_2) \equiv f(v, v')$ in this context is a trivial generalization of the one-particle case. It is obtained by integrating out over the irrelevant N - 2 degrees of freedom. Following standard lines [see also the discussion above (11)], let us normalize f(v, v') by $N(N - 1) \simeq N^2$, thereby obtaining

$$f(v,v')=N^2V^{N-2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f_N(v,v',v_3,\ldots,v_N)d^3v_3\cdots d^3v_N.$$

By inserting f_N from (9), this integral is solved as before by changing to polar coordinates. Then, using the same value of ϵ and also the q-definition from (18), we obtain for N greater

than a few,

$$f(v, v') = n^2 G_q \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^3 \\ \times \left[1 - (q-1)\frac{m(v^2 + v'^2)}{2k_B T}\right]^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \neq f(v) \cdot f(v'),$$
(22)

where $G_q = (2 - q)(3 - 2q)(4 - 3q)$. The two-particle correlation function is also a *q*-power law with cutoff (q > 1) satisfying the duality relation. In addition, since the final inequality indicates that it cannot be factorized, the *q*-gas does not satisfy the extramechanical Boltzmann hypothesis, the basis of his *H* theorem. However, for very large values of *N* $(q \rightarrow 1)$, and the same limit yields $G_1 = 1$, thereby obtaining [compare with Eq. (14)]

$$f(v, v') = n^2 \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^3 e^{-\frac{m\left(v^2 + v'^2\right)}{2k_B T}} = f(v) \cdot f(v'), \quad (23)$$

showing that the correlation vanishes, as expected for the MB limit. These results also suggest that the phenomenological nonextensive Boltzmann H theorem [14] can rigorously be formulated for a q-gas.

The derived index q = 1 + 2/3N together with (22) means that the q-gas is only moderately correlated. The basic reason is very simple. A finite gas with 10² particles departs from the MB limit nearly one part in 10⁻², whereas for a gas with $N = 10^4$ particles the effect is only one part in 10⁻⁴. Since the system is in thermodynamic equilibrium, such results complete the answer to the problems and questions (i), (ii), and (iii) outlined in the Introduction. Note also that the existence of such correlations much before the molecular chaos regime may be closely related with the energy conservation law during the collisions. In principle, measurements to find these nonextensive effects in a finite ideal gas are possible and of great interest. However, as it will discussed next, experiments with a relatively small number of particles and a high degree of accuracy are needed.

Probing nonextensive effects in the q-gas. Let us now discuss how to find possible nonextensive signatures in the finite gas. Several experiments have already verified Maxwellian [25,26] and non-Maxwellian distributions (see Refs. [27–30] and references therein). However, for a finite gas, additional care must be taken with respect to such experiments: (i) The earlier ones were done when the universal validity of the MB distribution was not being contested, (ii) many experiments involve a very large number of particles (thermodynamic limit), and (iii) power laws can be easily observed, but possibly only for strongly correlated systems.

On the other hand, predictions related to the *q*-gas must be tested in its proper regime, that is, far from the MB limit $(N \rightarrow \infty)$, for example, through an experiment where the measured quantity in the MB limit depends only on the temperature whereas in the nonextensive domain N is activated by virtue of the correlations. Some possibilities are related to spectroscopic methods. Probably the simplest one is the well-known thermal Doppler broadening (TDB) arising from random motions of the radiating atoms. Its phenomenology is remarkable simple: The light emitted from atoms moving to the observer is blueshifted and those moving away contribute to a redshift of the spectral lines [26]. For a given atom with velocity v_z , the observed wavelength λ and the rest frame λ_0 are related by $\lambda \simeq \lambda_0(1 + v_z/c)$, where *c* is the light velocity. Since v_z and λ are linearly related, the linewidth must be a *q*-Gaussian peaked at λ_0 . In the MB limit (*q* = 1), the TDB at the rest central wavelength λ_0 (full width at half maximum) reads [31]

$$\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \equiv \frac{\Delta \lambda_D}{\lambda_0} = \left(\frac{8k_BT}{mc^2} \ln 2\right)^{1/2},$$
 (24)

whereas for a finite gas it depends explicitly on $q = 1 + \frac{2}{3}N$. By using the *q*-logarithm definition,

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda_D}{\lambda_0} = \left(\frac{8k_B T}{mc^2} \ln_q 2\right)^{1/2} \to \frac{\Delta\lambda_D}{\lambda_0} = \frac{\Delta\lambda_D}{\lambda_0} \left(\frac{\ln_q 2}{\ln 2}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(25)

In terms of N, the above Tsallian expression reads

$$\left(\frac{\Delta\lambda_D}{\lambda_0}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\Delta\lambda_D}{\lambda_0}\right)_{\rm MB} \left(\frac{2-2^{1+2/3N}}{3N\ln 2}\right)^{1/2},\qquad(26)$$

with the MB result (24) being recovered when $N \rightarrow \infty$. In principle, this kind of experiment may provide definitive proof of the nonextensive effects in this simplest system and the reality of the ideal *q*-gas.

Final remarks. In this Rapid Communication, we have discussed the derivation of the velocity distribution function for what we have dubbed the ideal *q*-gas, that is, an ideal gas containing a finite number of particles. The main results derived here may be summarized as follows:

(i) The finite q-gas has been discussed by using the conventional kinetic approach without taking the thermodynamic limit. As a result, we derived the Tsallis power-law index $q = 1 + \frac{2}{3N}$. In the limit $(N \to \infty, q \to 1)$, the standard Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution is recovered.

(ii) The two-point correlation function of the q-gas has also been derived. It was found that it is only moderately correlated. The existence of correlation seems to be a simple manifestation of the energy conservation law for a relatively small number of particles, a kind of "memory effect" of small systems, vanishing when the molecular chaos regime is attained. The adopted kinetic analysis implies that the entropy is also conserved, and, as such, although correlated, the system is in thermodynamic equilibrium. The q-gas provides a simple analytical example that molecular chaos can no longer be considered a central concept for systems with a relatively small number of particles.

(iii) The properties of both regimes (Tsallis and Maxwell-Boltzmann) can be probed by preparing systems with different numbers of particles and volumes, but sharing the same concentration. In principle, several crucial experiments are possible. One of them, the Doppler broadening of spectral lines, has been discussed in some detail. In Tsallis' regime, the prediction of the broadening, $\Delta\lambda/\lambda_0$, is different from the standard value [see Eqs. (24)–(26)], and may reveal the reality of the *q*-gas description.

(iv) The fact that the *q*-gas is only moderately correlated and acted on by short-range forces (binary collisions) means that *q*-statistics signatures are not necessarily related to long-range forces or even to strongly correlated systems (including fractals). Naturally, systems endowed with such ingredients must strengthen the nonextensive effects, thereby explaining the ubiquity of power laws. However, our results suggest that moderate signatures in less extreme systems may open another route to the theoretical and experimental understanding of such effects. Hence, although relatively rare in nature, their investigation is by no means less important and challenging.

Finally, we stress that the approach followed here for the ideal q-gas may also be useful to determine the q-parameter

- [1] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988).
- [2] Nonextensive Entropy, edited by M. Gell-Man and C. Tsallis (Oxford University Press, Oxford, U.K., 2004).
- [3] C. Tsallis, Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics -Approaching a Complex World (Springer, New York, 2009).
- [4] C. Tsallis, Braz. J. Phys. 39, 337 (2009).
- [5] E. P. Borges, Physica A 340, 95 (2004).
- [6] H. Suyari, Physica A **368**, 63 (2006).
- [7] A. R. Plastino and A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A 193, 140 (1994).
- [8] M. P. Almeida, Physica A **300**, 424 (2001).
- [9] A. B. Adib *et al.*, Physica A **322**, 276 (2003).
- [10] R. Hanel and S. Thurner, Physica A 365, 162 (2006).
- [11] J. Korbel, Phys. Lett. A 381, 2588 (2017).
- [12] J. C. Maxwell, Philos. Mag. 20, 21 (1860).
- [13] R. Silva, A. R. Plastino, and J. A. S. Lima, Phys. Lett. A 249, 401 (1998).
- [14] J. A. S. Lima, R. Silva, and A. R. Plastino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2938 (2001).
- [15] R. Silva and J. A. S. Lima, Phys. Rev. E 72, 057101 (2005).
- [16] A. Lavagno, Phys. Lett. A **301**, 13 (2002).
- [17] P. Jizba and J. Korbel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 120601 (2019).
- [18] K. Huang, Statistical Mechanics (Wiley, New York, 1987).

for similar systems in different fields. If experimentally verified, these results suggest that Tsallis *q*-statistics for finite systems may play a more fundamental physical role than Boltzmann statistics.

Acknowledgments. J.A.S.L. is partially supported by CNPq (310038/2019-7), CAPES (88881.068485/2014), and FAPESP (LLAMA Project No. 11/51676-9). A.D. is partially supported by CNPq (304244/2018-0), INCT-FNA (464898/2014-5), and FAPESP (2016/17612-7).

- [19] C. Cercignani, *Mathematical Methods in Kinetic Theory* (Plenum, New York, 1969).
- [20] C. Cercignani, *The Boltzmann Equation and its Applications* (Springer, New York, 1988).
- [21] J. A. S. Lima and R. Silva, Phys. Lett. A 338, 272 (2005).
- [22] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series Vol. 55 (National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., 1964).
- [23] S. Abe and G. B. Bagci, Phys. Rev. E 71, 016139 (2005).
- [24] G. L. Ferri, S. Martinez, and A. Plastino, Physica A 347, 205 (2005).
- [25] M. Huang et al., Spectrochim. Acta B 45, 511 (1990).
- [26] R. D. Present, *Kinetic Theory of Gases* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958).
- [27] B. M. Boghosian, Phys. Rev. E 53, 4754 (1996).
- [28] B. M. Boghosian, Braz. J. Phys. 29, 91 (1999).
- [29] J. A. S. Lima, R. Silva, Jr., and J. Santos, Phys. Rev. E 61, 3260 (2000).
- [30] K. V. Beausang et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 82, 033514 (2011).
- [31] A. E. Siegman, *Lasers* (University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, 1986).