Power-law trapping in the volume-preserving Arnold-Beltrami-Childress map

Swetamber Da[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2669-0842) \mathbb{D}^1 and A[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4321-8099)nd Bäcker $\mathbb{D}^{2,1}$

¹*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany* ²*Technische Universität Dresden, Institut für Theoretische Physik and Center for Dynamics, 01062 Dresden, Germany*

(Received 19 November 2019; accepted 30 January 2020; published 2 March 2020)

Understanding stickiness and power-law behavior of Poincaré recurrence statistics is an open problem for higher-dimensional systems, in contrast to the well-understood case of systems with two degrees of freedom. We study such intermittent behavior of chaotic orbits in three-dimensional volume-preserving maps using the example of the Arnold-Beltrami-Childress map. The map has a mixed phase space with a cylindrical regular region surrounded by a chaotic sea for the considered parameters. We observe a characteristic overall power-law decay of the cumulative Poincaré recurrence statistics with significant oscillations superimposed. This slow decay is caused by orbits which spend long times close to the surface of the regular region. Representing such long-trapped orbits in frequency space shows clear signatures of partial barriers and reveals that coupled resonances play an essential role. Using a small number of the most relevant resonances allows for classifying long-trapped orbits. From this the Poincaré recurrence statistics can be divided into different exponentially decaying contributions, which very accurately explains the overall power-law behavior including the oscillations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.101.032201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.101.032201)

I. INTRODUCTION

Hamiltonian systems generically have a mixed phase space in which regular and chaotic motion coexist. A typical chaotic trajectory is often trapped intermittently close to regular regions of phase space for arbitrary long but finite times. The existence of such sticky behavior is known to have important consequences for chaotic transport, e.g., the existence of anomalous kinetics, Lévy processes, and Lévy flights, and finds interesting applications in a variety of physical contexts such as chaotic advection in fluids [\[1\]](#page-11-0), in the three-body problem [\[2\]](#page-11-0), driven coupled Morse oscillators [\[3\]](#page-12-0), confinement in particle accelerators $[4]$, and plasma physics $[5]$, to name a few. The behavior of stickiness in a mixed phase space can be characterized by the cumulative Poincaré recurrence statistics $P(t)$, which is the probability that a chaotic orbit has not returned to an initial region until time *t* [\[6\]](#page-12-0). Fully chaotic systems typically show an exponential decay of *P*(*t*) $[7-10]$. However, systems with a mixed phase space usually display a much slower decay, usually well described by a power law $[2,11-36]$ $[2,11-36]$. This so-called power-law trapping has striking implications for transport in many physical systems $[2,3,37-40]$ $[2,3,37-40]$.

Power-law trapping is well understood for Hamiltonian systems and maps with two degrees of freedom [\[15,17,33,41–](#page-12-0) [47\]](#page-13-0). For example, in area-preserving maps, regular tori are one-dimensional (1D) and therefore act as complete barriers

to transport in phase space. If such tori break up they may turn into so-called cantori, which provide partial barriers with slow transport across them. Usually there is a whole hierarchy of such partial barriers which is the origin of the power-law trapping. In contrast, in higher-dimensional systems regular tori have an insufficient dimension to provide complete barriers to transport. For example, for a four-dimensional (4D) symplectic map, the regular tori are two-dimensional (2D) and therefore cannot divide the phase space into dynamically disconnected regions. Thus already purely for topological reasons additional types of transport are possible, leading, for example, to the famous Arnold diffusion [\[48–50\]](#page-13-0).

Still, power-law trapping is observed in higherdimensional systems $[20, 28, 29, 34, 36]$, but not due to a generalized hierarchy [\[34,36\]](#page-12-0), so that the mechanism of stickiness is different from that in 2D area-preserving maps. While some generalizations have been proposed $[29,51]$ $[29,51]$ [53\]](#page-13-0), a profound understanding of power-law trapping in higher-dimensional systems remains a significant open question [\[47\]](#page-13-0).

This motivates us to investigate power-law trapping for three-dimensional (3D) volume-preserving maps, whether features of 2D maps still apply, while those present for 4D maps might already become relevant. For example, in a 3D volume-preserving map there can be 2D regular tori, which therefore are characterized by two frequencies, as in 4D symplectic maps. On the other hand, the 2D tori can provide absolute barriers to the motion in a 3D volume-preserving map as in 2D area-preserving maps. The dynamical properties of 3D volume-preserving maps have been studied in much detail; see, e.g., Refs. [\[54–70\]](#page-13-0). However, there are only a few studies of power-law trapping in 3D volume-preserving maps; see Refs. $[71-73]$. In Ref. $[71]$ it is argued that stickiness in their model originates around the hyperbolic invariant sets embedded in the sticky domain. In Ref. [\[72\]](#page-13-0) recurrence times

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Open access publication funded by the Max Planck Society.

statistics of an extended standard map have been analyzed. Power-law decay in the presence of accelerator modes is found in Ref. [\[73\]](#page-13-0).

In this paper, we investigate power-law trapping in threedimensional volume-preserving maps using the specific example of the Arnold-Beltrami-Childress (ABC) map. The ABC map is a discretized version of the ABC flows with time-periodic forcing [\[74,75\]](#page-13-0). The ABC flows are a class of nonturbulent flows which appear as a solution of the 3D Euler equation and is used as a simple model for the study of chaos in 3D steady incompressible flows. The 3D phase space of the flow and the corresponding map are known to have invariant surfaces and chaotic streamlines. The flow was first introduced by Arnold [\[76\]](#page-13-0), and later Childress [\[77\]](#page-13-0) demonstrated that these are important models for the kinematic dynamo effect in astrophysical plasma; i.e., a magnetic field can be generated and sustained by the motion of an electrically conducting fluid. The flow also serves as a prototypical model of a force-free magnetic field to study spatial diffusion of charged particles [\[78\]](#page-13-0). The ABC map exhibits most of the basic features of a typical 3D, time-periodic, volume-preserving ABC flow. The map has a mixed phase space with periodic, quasiperiodic, and chaotic behavior in the 3D phase space. For specific parameters of the map, the phenomenon of resonanceinduced diffusion has been observed, which leads to global transport in phase space [\[75\]](#page-13-0). The map has been employed as a representative of volume-preserving flows to study chaotic advection of inertial particles in bailout embedding models [\[79–81\]](#page-13-0).

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section Π describes the ABC map and its basic properties, and illustrates regular orbits in the 3D phase space in Sec. II A. The variation of the regular region is illustrated in Sec. [II B](#page-3-0) using a sequence of 2D plots of the finite-time Lyapunov exponent. The frequency space of the system is introduced in Sec. $\overline{I\!I}C$, and a boundary cylinder, separating regular and chaotic motion, is identified. In Sec. [III](#page-6-0) the stickiness near the regular region is studied using the Poincaré recurrence statistics, and power-law trapping is explained in Sec. [III A.](#page-6-0) As illustration, one long-trapped orbit is displayed in the 3D phase space, on a 2D Poincaré section, and in frequency-time representation in Sec. [III B.](#page-6-0) A quantitative analysis of the approach to the boundary cylinder is done in Sec. [III C,](#page-8-0) demonstrating the significant role played by coupled resonances. Furthermore, in Sec. [III D](#page-8-0) we use the frequency analysis to identify a small number of the most relevant resonances. This allows for classifying long-trapped orbits and by this to divide the Poincaré recurrence statistics into different exponentially decaying contributions. This very accurately explains the overall power-law behavior including the oscillations. In Sec. [III E](#page-11-0) possible partial barriers are briefly discussed. Section [IV](#page-11-0) gives a summary and outlook.

II. ARNOLD-BELTRAMI-CHILDRESS MAP

The ABC map [\[75\]](#page-13-0) is the discrete-time dynamical system $(x_n, y_n, z_n) \mapsto (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1})$ given by

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n + A \sin(z_n) + C \cos(y_n),
$$

\n
$$
y_{n+1} = y_n + B \sin(x_{n+1}) + A \cos(z_n),
$$

\n
$$
z_{n+1} = z_n + C \sin(y_{n+1}) + B \cos(x_{n+1}),
$$
\n(1)

FIG. 1. Phase space for the 2D area-preserving map (2) for $(A, B) = (2.0, 1.5)$. Shown are one chaotic orbit (gray dots) and 16 pairs of regular tori for the central island and its symmetry-related island in the same color.

where $x_n, y_n, z_n \in [0, 2\pi[$ and periodic boundary conditions are imposed. The map is volume preserving for any choice of the real parameters *A*, *B*, and *C*. The dynamics of the system can be very different, showing a mixed phase space or chaotic motion [\[56,75\]](#page-13-0). For the rest of the paper, we fix the parameters $(A, B) = (2.0, 1.5)$ and consider different values of *C*.

For $C = 0$ the dynamics in (x, z) becomes independent of the motion in the *y* coordinate and therefore reduces to a 2D area-preserving subsystem:

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n + A \sin(z_n),
$$

\n
$$
z_{n+1} = z_n + B \cos(x_{n+1}).
$$
\n(2)

Figure 1 shows the 2D phase space for this map for $(A, B) =$ (2.0, 1.5), which has the typical structure of a mixed phase space with regular and chaotic motion. The fixed point at $(x, z) = (3\pi/2, \pi)$ is elliptic and therefore surrounded by regular orbits forming invariant tori (full curves). Embedded within this regular region one has a prominent period-4 regular island. In addition to this central regular island one has a symmetry-related island around the elliptic fixed point at $(x, z) = (\pi/2, 0)$. Outside of these regular islands one has a large area with predominantly chaotic motion, as illustrated by a longer orbit in the figure. Due to the symmetry, dynamical properties, such as stickiness at the islands, are identical, so that we can restrict our study to the central region around the fixed point at $(x, z) = (3\pi/2, \pi)$.

A. 3D phase space

When considering the full 3D map (1) for $C = 0$, one has in addition to the 2D dynamics (2) the motion in the *y* direction

FIG. 2. Plots of 2D tori in the 3D phase space of the ABC map [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $(A, B) = (2.0, 1.5)$ and (a) $C = 0$ and (b) $C = 0.06$.

given by

$$
y_{n+1} = y_n + B\sin(x_{n+1}) + A\cos(z_n). \tag{3}
$$

As the 2D system [\(2\)](#page-1-0) decouples from the motion in the *y* direction when $C = 0$, the stable fixed points and periodic points of the 2D map turn into elliptic 1D invariant lines for the 3D map. The surrounding regular tori turn into 2D regular tori forming concentrically nested cylinders. This is illustrated in Fig. $2(a)$. Unstable fixed points and unstable periodic points of the 2D map turn into hyperbolic 1D invariant lines.

For $C > 0$ the dynamics in the (x, z) subsystem couples with the motion in the *y* direction. Starting from $C = 0$ and increasing*C*, the lines of the 1D tori begin to distort gradually,

FIG. 3. Location of 1D tori for (a) $C = 0.0$ and (b) $C = 0.06$ of the central regular region. (a) For $C = 0.0$ there are four 1D tori corresponding to the periodic orbit of period 4 of the 2D map [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and one central 1D torus. (b) For $C = 0.06$ all these 1D tori are deformed.

and the surrounding cylinders of 2D tori also deform. This is illustrated in Fig. $2(b)$ for the 2D tori and in Fig. 3 where five 1D tori are shown, which for $C = 0$ form straight lines in the 3D phase space and become distorted with increasing *C*. We obtain these 1D tori by the "contraction method" starting from 2D tori and iteratively reducing the radius in the (x, z) plane [\[82\]](#page-13-0).

Such elliptic 1D tori are surrounded by 2D tori. If these form a cylinder extending along the whole *y* direction, they act as a full barrier to the motion; i.e., orbits started inside will never be able to move outside and vice versa. This is therefore similar to the case of 2D area-preserving maps, where 1D tori form absolute barriers to the motion $[43,47]$ $[43,47]$. With increasing perturbation, more and more of these 2D tori break up, and resonances can lead to gaps in the families of 2D tori; see Sec. [II C](#page-3-0) below.

A representation similar to Fig. [1](#page-1-0) can be obtained for the 3D map by using a 2D slice at $y = \pi$: only those points (x_n, z_n) of an orbit for which

$$
|y_n - \pi| < \varepsilon = 10^{-3} \tag{4}
$$

holds are plotted (see Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0) for $C = 0.06$. The parameter ε determines the resolution of the resulting plot, and smaller

FIG. 4. Plot of orbits of the 3D ABC map in the 2D slice [\(4\)](#page-2-0). The blue dots represent a chaotic orbit. The orbits corresponding to the 2D tori shown in $2(b)$ belong to this central island. There exist chaotic layers inside the regular island. One such layer is shown in green. The outmost curve in black represents the boundary cylinder (bc), which separates the inside of the "regular" region from the chaotic sea.

values require more iterations to obtain the same number of points of an orbit in the slice. While there are orbits which never fulfill the slice condition [\(4\)](#page-2-0), much of the geometry of the regular orbits can be seen in this plot. Usually 1D tori correspond to single points in the 2D slice, and 2D tori lead to one or several loops. Thus the visual appearance is similar to that of the 2D map shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) In particular there still seems to be some outer regular curve which corresponds to a 2D cylinder in the 3D phase space. Numerically no apparent holes in this surface have been found. Thus such an outer 2D torus forms an absolute barrier separating the regular region from the chaotic sea. Therefore it can be considered as an analog of the boundary circle in 2D maps [\[83\]](#page-13-0). We will refer to this as the boundary cylinder in the following; see Sec. [III](#page-6-0) for further discussion of its numerical determination.

Additionally, inside the region enclosed by the boundary cylinder there are some chaotic regions, of which the most prominent one is shown as green dots. It seems that none of these inner chaotic regions is dynamically connected to the outer chaotic sea. Several smaller regular islands are embedded within the chaotic region; some of them are shown in Fig. 4. The orbit in blue is a chaotic orbit which stays outside of the region enclosed by the boundary cylinder.

B. Finite-time Lyapunov exponent

To get an overview of how the regular structures are affected when increasing the coupling *C* we use the finite-time-Lyapunov exponent (FTLE) as a chaos indicator $[84–86]$. The FTLE estimates the rate of separation of orbits started at nearby initial conditions. Large values of the FTLE indicate chaotic motion. Figure [5](#page-4-0) shows the FTLE for a sequence of values for *C*, computed in the plane $y = \pi$ and a grid of 1000×1000 points for $x \in [\pi, 2\pi]$ and $z \in [\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$, corresponding to the surrounding of the central regular region. For $C = 0$, regular motion with small FTLE appears as a large island. Already for $C = 0.02$ the region with small FTLE has substantially reduced, and a significant outer part of the former regular region has turned into a region with intermediate values of the FTLE. Typically, initial conditions in this region will stay there for long time before they eventually leave towards the chaotic sea. This sticky region is of particular relevance for the power-law trapping studied in Sec. [III.](#page-6-0) Embedded in this region is the period-4 elliptic 1D torus and its surrounding 2D tori, as reflected in the smaller FTLE.

With increasing *C*, the values of the intermediate FTLE become larger until at $C = 0.1$ the same values as in the surrounding chaotic sea are obtained. Thus the regular region erodes from the outside with increasing coupling *C*. At the same time also the regular orbits around the former period-4 elliptic 1D tori are no longer visible. Finally, at $C = 0.1$ [see Fig. $5(f)$] the main regular island shrinks considerably.

This gradual transition is also reflected in the sequence of histograms of the FTLE shown in Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) For $C = 0.02$ one has a large peak at small values of the FTLE indicating the large regular region. Chaotic motion corresponds to the peak at larger values, and the peak in between corresponds to the intermediate type of motion surrounding the central regular part seen in Fig. [5\(b\).](#page-4-0) With increasing *C* this peak moves towards larger values of the FTLE, indicating more chaotic motion, and eventually merges at $C = 0.1$. with the peak associated with the chaotic sea. In addition, the fraction of regular orbits substantially decreases with increasing *C*.

Interestingly, the way how the 2D tori break up for the considered ABC map leads to a quite large chaotic layer inside the regular island (see Fig. 4) which is responsible for the additional peak in the FTLE histograms. This seems to be more pronounced than that for 1D tori in a typical area-preserving map. For example, the FTLE distribution for the standard map makes a transition from bimodal to unimodal (Gaussian) when increasing the chaoticity in the system [\[85\]](#page-13-0). Also for other parameters the histograms appear essentially bimodal.

C. Frequency space

The frequency-space representation is an important complementary approach to investigate the organization of regular motion in higher-dimensional dynamical systems. With every regular 2D torus in the 3D phase space we associate two fundamental frequencies $(v_1, v_2) \in [0, 1)^2$ and display them in a 2D frequency space. This is numerically done using a Fourier-transform-based frequency analysis [\[51](#page-13-0)[,87,88\]](#page-14-0). For a given initial condition (x_0, y_0, z_0) we use 4096 points of the orbit and compute the fundamental frequencies v_1 and v_2 using two complex signals, $\cos y_n + i \sin y_n$ and $x_n + i z_n$ respectively. These correspond to the motion in the *y* direction and the projection on the (*x*,*z*) plane, respectively.

FIG. 5. Finite-time Lyapunov exponent λ for the ABC map around the central regular region on the $y = \pi$ plane for (a) $C = 0.0$, (b) $C =$ 0.02, (c) $C = 0.04$, (d) $C = 0.06$, (e) $C = 0.08$, and (f) $C = 0.10$. In (d) the boundary cylinder, restricted to the 2D slice, is shown as a thin white line.

To decide whether an orbit is regular or chaotic, two consecutive segments of 4096 points are compared, giving frequencies (v_1, v_2) and (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2). As chaos indicator we use

$$
\delta = \max(|\nu_1 - \tilde{\nu}_1|, |\nu_2 - \tilde{\nu}_2|) < \delta_{\text{reg}}.\tag{5}
$$

For a regular orbit, the frequencies of the consecutive segments are very similar so that δ is very small. On the other hand, for a chaotic orbit, the frequencies of successive segments will be very different. Thus an orbit is accepted as regular if $\delta < \delta_{\text{reg}}$. It is important to point out that this analysis

FIG. 6. Distribution $N(\lambda)$ of the finite-time Lyapunov exponents λ for the ABC map for the plots shown in Fig. 5 for (a) $C = 0.02$, (b) $C = 0.06$, and (c) $C = 0.1$. An initially trimodal distribution in (a) gradually changes to bimodal in (c).

FIG. 7. Frequency space of the ABC map [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $(A, B, C) = (2.0, 1.5, 0.06)$ showing 2.3×10^6 frequency pairs (black dots), each corresponding to a regular 2D torus of the central regular region. Some selected resonance lines are shown as dashed lines. For three resonances corresponding orbits are shown to the right: (1) 2:0:1 (blue), (2) 1:5:2 (green), and (3) 0:4:1 (pink). The location of the boundary cylinder (v_1^{bc}, v_2^{bc}) is indicated as a red cross and the central 1D torus (v_1^c, v_2^c) as a blue cross.

is based on finite-time information. Hence, some orbits which are accepted as regular 2D tori may actually be chaotic orbits. However, this is a common limitation of any tool for chaos detection; see, e.g., Ref. [\[89\]](#page-14-0).

For the central regular region we use $10⁸$ random initial conditions with $x \in [\pi, 2\pi]$, $z \in [\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$ and $y \in [0, 2\pi]$ and use $\delta_{reg} = 10^{-9}$. This gives a total of 2.3 × 10⁶ regular tori for $C = 0.06$. These frequency pairs are shown in the 2D frequency space in Fig. 7. They are essentially arranged along a 1D curve, emanating from the point of the frequencies of the central 1D torus at $(v_1^c, v_2^c) \approx (0.4495, 0.325)$. This illustrates that the regular orbits form a one-parameter family in the volume-preserving case [\[63](#page-13-0)[,90\]](#page-14-0). Note that in contrast in 4D symplectic maps regular orbits occur as two-parameter families, leading to 2D regions in frequency space [\[91\]](#page-14-0).

Of particular importance for the dynamics are so-called resonances for which the frequencies fulfill

$$
m_1v_1 + m_2v_2 = n,\t\t(6)
$$

where $m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ without a common divisor and at least one of m_1 or m_2 is not zero. The order of a resonance is given by $|m_1| + |m_2|$. These resonance lines, denoted by $m_1 : m_2 : n$, form a dense resonance web in frequency space. In Fig. 7 the curve of regular 2D tori in frequency is interrupted by several gaps. These gaps are related to resonance lines for which the corresponding original regular 2D tori are destroyed.

The number of independent resonance conditions for a given frequency pair (v_1, v_2) constitute the rank of the resonance and correspond to different types of dynamics:

(1) If the frequency pair fulfills no resonance conditions, it is of rank-0. The motion on the corresponding 2D torus is quasiperiodic filling it densely. This corresponds to the dynamics on the regular cylinders illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

(2) If only one resonance condition is satisfied, the resonance is either (a) uncoupled, i.e., $m_1:0:n$ or $0:m_2:n$, or (b) coupled, i.e., $m_1: m_2: n$ with both m_1 and m_2 nonzero. Such rank-1 resonances correspond to quasiperiodic motion on a 1D invariant set, which consists either of one component in the case of coupled resonances or of m_1 (or m_2) dynamically connected components in the case of uncoupled resonances. We would like to point out that this is the most important type of resonance for stickiness considered in the next section.

In Fig. 7 orbits belonging to the 2:0:1 resonance, the 0:4:1 resonance, and the 1:5:2 resonance, respectively, are shown. Note that the 2:0:1 resonance provides an example of regular orbits which do not fulfill the slice condition [\(4\)](#page-2-0) and are therefore not visible in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0)

(3) For rank-2 resonances, two independent resonance conditions are fulfilled simultaneously. While there are a few examples of such double resonances for the ABC map, they appear to be of no relevance for the stickiness of orbits considered in the next section.

Due to the resonances, which form a dense set of lines in frequency space, the one-parameter family of 2D tori has infinitely many holes. Typically, resonances of low order lead to large gaps, such as the 2:0:1 resonance. To the right and below the frequencies (v_1^{bc}, v_2^{bc}) of the boundary cylinder, all regular tori have to be resonant, as any nonresonant 2D torus would form a larger boundary cylinder. Numerically this is not easy to confirm, in particular for large orders. Moreover, as mentioned above, there could also be orbits for which the frequency criterion [\(5\)](#page-4-0) is fulfilled, but which escape to the chaotic region for very long times.

III. STICKINESS AND POWER-LAW TRAPPING

To characterize transport and stickiness in a mixed phase space, a powerful approach is based on the Poincaré recurrence theorem. It states that for a measure-preserving map with invariant probability measure μ , almost all orbits started in a region Λ in phase space will return to that region at a later time [\[92\]](#page-14-0). It is numerically convenient to consider the cumulative Poincaré recurrence statistics defined as

$$
P(t) = \frac{N(t)}{N(0)},\tag{7}
$$

where $N(0)$ is the number of orbits initially started in Λ and $N(t)$ is the number of orbits which have not yet returned to Λ in the time interval [1, *t*]. By definition, $P(0) = 1$ and $P(t)$ decreases monotonically with time.

A. Poincaré recurrence statistics *P***(***t***)**

The nature of the decay of $P(t)$ is determined by the dynamical properties of a given system. For a fully chaotic system, the decay is usually exponential $[7–10]$. However, generic Hamiltonian systems with a mixed phase space display anomalous transport and typically exhibit much slower power-law decay [\[11–17,23–27,30,32,33,35,36](#page-12-0)[,72,82\]](#page-13-0).

For the ABC map, we choose the following initial region inside the chaotic sea:

$$
\Lambda = \left\{ (x, y, z) : \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{3} \right], [0, 2\pi], [\pi - 1, \pi + 1] \right\}.
$$
 (8)

For the determination of the Poincaré recurrence statistics, we choose $N(0) = 10^{12}$ initial conditions randomly from a uniform distribution in Λ . Figure 8 shows the Poincaré recurrence statistics $P(t)$ for the system at $C = 0.06$. An overall power-law decay is found, with several significant oscillations superimposed. We will explain their origin in Sec. [III D.](#page-8-0) Note that the same type of behavior is also found for other values of $C \in [0, 1]$ (not shown).

The origin of the slow decay of $P(t)$ is chaotic orbits which spend long times at either of the two regular regions. As both regular regions are related by symmetry, the contributions to $P(t)$ should statistically be the same. To confirm this, we classify all long-trapped orbits to belong to either of the regions using the average value of their *x* coordinate. Both types of orbits give identical contributions to $P(t)$ for $t > 10^4$, while initial differences are due to the nonsymmetric location of Λ . Therefore, in the following analysis of long-trapped orbits, we restrict our study to those orbits which belong to the central region.

B. Long-trapped orbits

Long-trapped orbits are found to stay close to the surface of the boundary cylinder, which constitutes an impenetrable barrier. As a representative example we consider in the following

FIG. 8. Poincaré recurrence statistics *P*(*t*) for the ABC map [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $(A, B, C) = (2.0, 1.5, 0.06)$. The dashed line indicates a powerlaw decay $\sim t^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.4$. The inset shows the phase space as in Fig. $2(b)$ with the initial region Λ [see Eq. (8)] as a gray box.

the longest trapped orbit found in our numerical studies with $t_{\text{rec}} = 3 \times 10^8$. Figure 9 shows the last 50 000 iterates of this orbit in the 3D phase space with time encoded in color. It fills a cylindrical surface outside of the boundary cylinder before moving away to the chaotic sea, as shown by the red scattered dots.

The approach to the boundary cylinder is more clearly seen in the 2D slice by plotting all points of the long-trapped orbit fulfilling the slice condition (4) ; see Fig. [10.](#page-7-0) The magnification in the inset reveals that a small region is left out, which

FIG. 9. Long-trapped orbit with a recurrence time $t_{\text{rec}} = 3 \times 10^8$ in the 3D phase space of the ABC map [\(1\)](#page-1-0). This orbit is trapped close to the surface of the boundary cylinder. Only the last 5×10^4 iterates are shown with time encoded in color.

FIG. 10. Same long-trapped orbit as in Fig. [9](#page-6-0) displayed in the 2D slice $y = \pi$; i.e., only those points (x_n, z_n) are shown for which $|y_n - \pi| < 10^{-3}$ with time encoded in color. The black curve shows the boundary cylinder in the 2D slice. The location of the central 1D torus in indicated by $+$. The 5:15:7 resonance appears as 15 resonance islands, enclosed by the trapped orbit, as seen by the magnification in the inset.

is due to the 5:15:7 resonance. In the 3D phase space the corresponding elliptic 1D torus completes 15 rotations on the *x*-*z* plane around the central 1D torus, while it goes around five times in the *y* direction. This therefore leads to 15 small resonance islands in the 2D slice.

To determine the boundary cylinder, shown as black curve in the 2D slice in Fig. [4](#page-3-0) and in Fig. 10, we consider several initial conditions along a line parallel to the *z* axis starting from the central 1D torus; see Fig. 10. For each initial condition the frequencies (v_1, v_2) for the first 4096 points and (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2) for 4096 points after $10⁹$ iterates are computed. The last initial condition for which the frequency criterion [\(5\)](#page-4-0) is fulfilled provides a good approximation to the boundary cylinder, for which we get $(v_1^{bc}, v_2^{bc}) \approx (0.5399, 0.2868)$. Note that this numerical approach of course cannot guarantee that this really is a 2D torus as for a very large number of iterations it could eventually escape towards the chaotic region.

For a long-trapped orbit, such as shown in Fig. [9](#page-6-0) and Fig. 10, the motion is close to the boundary cylinder. Therefore one can associate finite-time frequencies by computing a sequence of frequency pairs (v_1^k, v_2^k) , each determined from 4096 consecutive points of the orbit. As the regular tori form a 1D line in frequency space (see Fig. [7\)](#page-5-0) the approach to the boundary cylinder can be quantified by either v_1 or v_2 . Here we use v_1 , which corresponds to the motion in the *y* direction.

Figure 11 shows for the long-trapped orbit the frequencies v_1^k as function of time. In addition the frequency v_1^{bc} of the boundary cylinder and the most relevant resonance line 5:15:7 is shown. Crossing the $20: -3:10$ resonance, the orbit rapidly moves towards the frequency of the boundary cylinder and

FIG. 11. Long-trapped orbit with a recurrence time $t_{\text{rec}} = 3 \times$ $10⁸$ in frequency-time representation. This orbit stays close to the coupled resonance 5:15:7 for most of the time. The dashed red line indicates v_1^{bc} of the boundary cylinder. Additionally, the 20: – 3:10 resonance is shown, which is one of the most dominant ones; see Sec. [III C.](#page-8-0)

stays around the resonance line for most of the time. The same orbit is shown in frequency space in Fig. 12 with points colored according to time. While the initial (blue) and final (orange) part extend over some more scattered range in both v_1 and v_2 , most of the time is spent close to (v_1^{bc}, v_2^{bc}) . In addition several gaps are visible which are related to resonance lines, as we will discuss in more detail in the next section.

Note that to associate a frequency v_1 with a resonance line we use the fact that the regular 2D tori form an essentially 1D

FIG. 12. Long-trapped orbit with $t_{\text{rec}} = 3 \times 10^8$ displayed in frequency space with color encoding time. A set of 5×10^6 pairs of frequencies representing regular 2D tori is shown in black. The orbit approaches the boundary cylinder (v_1^{bc}, v_2^{bc}) , which is indicated by "+" in red, and is trapped most of the time around the 5:15:7 resonance.

TABLE I. Long-trapped orbits categorized in seven time windows according to their return times and the corresponding number of orbits.

Time window	Number of orbits
$[10^4, 5 \times 10^4]$	1 153 386
$[5 \times 10^4, 10^5]$	200 898
$[10^5, 5 \times 10^5]$	103 392
$[5 \times 10^5, 10^6]$	29 9 22
$[10^6, 5 \times 10^6]$	21 298
$[5 \times 10^6, 10^7]$	749
$[10^7, 5 \times 10^7]$	167

curve in frequency space, as seen in Fig. [7.](#page-5-0) Thus this line intersects a given resonance line $m_1 : m_2 : n$ only in one point which provides a unique v_1 , as displayed in Fig. [11.](#page-7-0) Numerically we fit the data for the regular 2D tori by a quadratic polynomial which is then used to compute the intersection points.

C. Approach to the boundary cylinder

Based on the set of long-trapped orbits determined using the Poincaré recurrence statistics we now analyze their statistical properties. In particular we identify the most relevant resonance lines and then use these to split the contributions to $P(t)$ to explain the overall power-law behavior and the superimposed oscillations.

1. Identification of resonance lines

To identify the most relevant resonance lines, a set of all resonance lines up to order 25 is generated in the rectangle $v_1 \in [0.51, 0.59], v_2 \in [0.26, 0.30]$ in frequency space. For one given long-trapped orbit the sequence of frequency pairs (v_1^k, v_2^k) is computed, and for each frequency pair the distance to a resonance line $m_1 : m_2 : n$ is determined by

$$
\sigma^k = |m_1 v_1^k + m_2 v_2^k - n|.
$$
 (9)

The resonance with the smallest distance less than a threshold (set here as 10−9) is associated with the *k*th orbit segment. The resulting six most dominant resonance lines with which most of the frequency pairs of all orbits are associated are $9:9:8$, $1:20:6$, $5: -3:2$, $-1:2:0$, $-1:9:2$, and $20: -$ 3: − 10. Interestingly, all of them are coupled resonances. These lines are shown in frequency space in Fig. [12.](#page-7-0)

When chaotic orbits approach the boundary cylinder, these most significant resonances are successively accessed. The approach is characterized by the distance to the boundary cylinder:

$$
\Delta v \equiv \Delta v^k = \left| v_1^k - v_1^{\text{bc}} \right|. \tag{10}
$$

All computed long-trapped orbits with $t_{\text{rec}} \geq 10^4$ are categorized in seven time windows according to their return times; see Table I. For each of these time windows, we plot a histogram of Δv ; see Fig. [13.](#page-9-0) One clearly sees that overall small values of the distance Δv to the boundary cylinder correspond to large recurrence times. Moreover, the histograms show strong peaks at the selected dominant resonances lines (shown as dashed gray lines). Their importance changes with

increasing time. For example, initially for (a) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^4, 5 \times$ 10^4] and (b) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [5 \times 10^4, 10^5]$ the 1:20:6 resonance is most relevant, while the −1:2:0 becomes important for intermediate timescale, and from $t_{\text{rec}} = 5 \times 10^6$ on the 20: – 3:10 resonance dominates. Still, even for orbits dominantly trapped near the $20: -3:10$ resonance, they may spend significant times near any of the other resonances with larger Δv , i.e., farther away from the boundary cylinder. Moreover, as seen in Fig. [13\(c\)](#page-9-0) there can be resonances with larger $\Delta \nu$ playing a significant role for some intermediate times even if the majority of the orbit is already trapped with smaller Δv . In addition, a higher order resonance 10:37:16 appears to be relevant only for orbits $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^7, 5 \times 10^7]$ in Fig. [13\(g\).](#page-9-0) In fact, the longest-trapped orbit shown in Fig. [11](#page-7-0) is initially trapped around this resonance for about $10⁷$ iterations before it approaches the 5:15:7 resonances.

2. Examples of coupled resonance

Figure [14](#page-10-0) shows the frequency v_1 as function of time for two examples illustrating the relevance and geometry of coupled resonances. In Fig. $14(a)$ an orbit with $t_{\text{rec}} = 2.2 \times$ 10^7 is shown, which initially approaches v_1^{bc} and fluctuates around the frequency of the $20: -3:10$ resonance. Finally, the orbits sticks on the coupled −1:2:0 resonance for an even longer time. The corresponding dynamics in the 3D phase space is illustrated in the inset and consists of two separate but dynamically connected parts. In Fig. [14\(b\)](#page-10-0) an orbit with return time $t_{\text{rec}} = 7.3 \times 10^7$ is shown. Here 5: $-3:2$ is the dominant resonance. The corresponding dynamics in the 3D phase space shown in the inset spirals five times around in the *y* direction and three times around the central 1D torus. For both orbits v_1 shows on this scale essentially no fluctuations around the frequency of the corresponding resonance. A closer inspection using the representation in the 2D slice shows no indication that higher order resonances deeper in the class hierarchy around the corresponding resonance islands are accessed. Still, we expect that this is possible for even longer trapped orbits.

D. Splitting of $P(t)$

The plot in Fig. $13(h)$ shows the complete distribution of Δv for all long-trapped orbits for $t_{\text{rec}} \geq 10^4$. The minima of this distribution indicate frequencies which should correspond to most restrictive partial barriers. Based on visual inspection, we classify this distribution in nine chambers as displayed in Fig. [15.](#page-10-0) The aim is to quantify the contributions to the Poincaré recurrence statistics *P*(*t*) from each of these chambers. For this we associate, based on Δv , with each longtrapped orbit the most relevant chamber, i.e., the one for which it spends the longest time. By this the total $P(t)$ is split into contributions $P_i(t)$, determined from those orbits associated with the *i*th chamber. Figure [16](#page-10-0) shows these contributions together with the full $P(t)$. Several of the $P_i(t)$ show an initially exponential decay. In contrast, for $P_2(t)$ an overall power-law is found for $t \gtrsim 10^5$. This suggests that there is some generalized hierarchy (e.g., involving the equivalent of the class hierarchy in 2D maps $[42]$), which is accessed by orbits in this chamber. Moreover, chamber 2 also contributes

FIG. 13. Histogram $N(\Delta v)$ of $\Delta v = v_1 - v_1^{bc}$ for all long-trapped orbits with recurrence time $t_{\text{rec}} > 10^4$, divided into seven time windows: (a) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^4, 5 \times 10^4]$, (b) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [5 \times 10^4, 10^5]$, (c) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^5, 5 \times 10^5]$, (d) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [5 \times 10^5, 10^6]$, (e) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^6, 5 \times 10^6]$, (f) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [5 \times 10^6, 10^7]$, (g) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^7, 5 \times 10^7]$, and (h) $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^4, 5 \times 10^7]$ (all). This sequence of plots illustrates the approach to the boundary cylinder. Some relevant coupled resonance lines are also displayed. These resonances become prominent in different time windows and play a significant role in the trapping.

FIG. 14. Two examples of long-trapped orbits in frequency-time representation. (a) Orbit with $t_{\text{rec}} = 2.2 \times 10^7$. The orbit initially approaches the boundary cylinder followed by sticking successively to the coupled resonances $20: -3:10$ and $-1:2:0$. The inset shows a part of the orbit corresponding to the −1:2:0 resonance in the 3D phase space. (b) Orbit with $t_{\text{rec}} = 7.3 \times 10^7$, which sticks for a very long time to the coupled resonance 5: − 3:2. The inset illustrates the corresponding dynamics in phase space.

FIG. 15. Histogram $N(\Delta v)$ of $\Delta v = v_1 - v_1^{\text{bc}}$ of all orbits with $t_{\text{rec}} \in [10^4, 5 \times 10^7]$ divided into nine different chambers by visual inspection.

FIG. 16. Poincaré recurrence statistics *P*(*t*) split in contributions $P_i(t)$ of the chambers identified in Fig. 15. For comparison, the full *P*(*t*) is shown in red.

significantly in the initial decay up to $t \approx 7 \times 10^4$ of the full *P*(*t*) shown in red.

From $t \approx 7 \times 10^4$ on the most relevant contribution comes from chamber 3 for which $P_3(t)$ shows good agreement with an exponential decay, as manifested in a semilogarithmic plot giving a straight line over several orders of magnitude (not shown). Starting from approximately $t = 3 \times 10^6$ one sees that $P_8(t)$ gives the most important contribution, again well described by an exponential. In particular, the sum of the four most important $P_i(t)$ provides a good approximation of the full *P*(*t*),

$$
P(t) \approx P_2(t) + P_3(t) + P_8(t) + P_9(t); \tag{11}
$$

see Fig. 17. This therefore on the one hand explains the overall power-law behavior of *P*(*t*) as sum of exponentially decaying contributions, in the spirit of the explanation of power-law trapping in 2D systems [\[16\]](#page-12-0). On the other hand,

FIG. 17. The full Poincaré recurrence statistics *P*(*t*) is well approximated by Eq. (11) , i.e., by the sum of only four contributions $P_i(t)$ with $i = 2, 3, 8, 9$, as identified in Fig. 15.

a power law requires a particular scaling of the individual contributions and is expected to hold only asymptotically for large *t*. The observed superimposed oscillations can be traced back to the individual contributions $P_i(t)$. In particular $P_3(t)$ is responsible for the prominent hump near $t = 10^6$. In general it has been shown that uncorrelated fluctuations in the transition rates between regions separated by partial barriers lead to slowly decaying oscillations in $P(t)$ [\[32\]](#page-12-0).

E. Partial barriers

Overall, the mechanism of trapping in the 3D ABC map appears to be similar to the well-known trapping in 2D maps: Long-trapped orbits approach a boundary cylinder, and longer trapping times correspond to a closer approach, which is similar to the level hierarchy [\[93\]](#page-14-0). Also trapping within resonances is found, which is similar to the class hierarchy [\[42\]](#page-12-0). In the 2D case these hierarchies can be arranged in a binary tree [\[41](#page-12-0)[,94\]](#page-14-0), which under certain statistical assumptions leads to a universal asymptotic decay [\[30,35\]](#page-12-0). However, an important difference to the 2D case is that for the 3D ABC map coupled resonances are possible and appear to play the key role for long-trapped orbits. The numerics suggests that there must be some kind of partial barriers which define the borders between the chambers containing the identified resonances. Geometrically such partial barriers should correspond to broken cylindrical 2D tori. Here 2D tori which are farthest away from resonances should persist longest with increasing perturbation from the uncoupled case. The break-up of invariant tori in volumepreserving maps has been studied recently in Refs. [\[66,69\]](#page-13-0) showing that tori seem to stretch near break-up, which might lead to analogs of gaps in cantori for 2D maps. Computing a sequence of 1D tori approximating such partial barriers and determining the transport through them for volume-preserving maps such as the ABC map is an interesting nontrivial task left for future studies.

IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this paper, we study stickiness in volume-preserving maps using the example of the ABC map. The 3D phase space of the map is mixed with two disjoint regular regions embedded in a chaotic sea. The map reduces to a $(2 + 1)$ dimensional system when $C = 0$. In this case the motion in the *y* direction decouples from the 2D area-preserving *x*-*z* subsystem, which has two elliptic fixed points. For the uncoupled case regular regions of the 3D map are in the form of concentric cylinders organized around 1D elliptic tori corresponding to the fixed points of the 2D subsystem. With increasing $C > 0$ these cylinders are deformed. As long as these deformed cylinders acquire no holes, they form absolute barriers to the motion. If a cylinder is destroyed, this is

expected to lead to a partial barrier to transport for chaotic orbits. For a finite*C*, destruction of 2D tori around 1D tori may occur inside the regular regions in addition to the interface of the chaotic and regular region outside. Thus chaotic layers appear inside the regular regions which are not yet connected to the chaotic sea, as is nicely seen in the sequence of plots of the finite-time Lyapunov exponents in Fig. [5.](#page-4-0) Representing the 2D regular tori in frequency space shows that they lead to an essentially 1D curve which is attached to the frequencies of the central elliptic 1D torus. Resonances lead to gaps within the family of 2D tori. An outermost regular torus is identified as a boundary cylinder, which provides the separation to the chaotic sea.

Using the cumulative Poincaré recurrence statistics $P(t)$ allows us to investigate the stickiness of chaotic orbits. For the ABC map we find an overall power-law decay with significant oscillations superimposed. Long-trapped orbits approach the boundary cylinder, and their segment-wise frequency-time representation reveals that they spend long times near six most relevant resonances. Using the distribution of all frequencies of all long-trapped orbits shows that they can be classified into nine chambers. Each long-trapped orbit is classified according to the chamber in which it spends the largest amount of time. From this we obtain a splitting of the cumulative Poincaré recurrence statistics $P(t)$ into different contributions $P_i(t)$. These show good agreement with exponential decays, and the sum of only four of them gives an excellent approximation to the full $P(t)$. This explains both the overall approximate power-law decay, as a superposition of individual exponential decays, and also the superimposed oscillations due to the individual $P_i(t)$. This also suggests the existence of some kind of partial barriers separating the different chambers. Most likely, these are broken 2D tori, similar to cantori in 2D maps.

An important task for the future is to explicitly determine the partial barriers, e.g., by sequences of approximating families of 1D tori, and to identify the turnstile and to determine the corresponding flux. This should eventually allow for setting up a quantitative statistical prediction of the observed power-law trapping. Moreover, a fundamental question concerns whether there is a universal value of the exponent γ of the power-law decay, similar to that in area-preserving maps. In addition, power-law trapping indicates anomalous diffusion, so that a detailed study of the diffusive properties in the ABC map would be very interesting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for discussions with M. Firmbach, F. Hübner, R. Ketzmerick, and J. Meiss. Furthermore, we acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Grant No. KE 537/6–1. All 3D visualizations were created using MAYAVI [\[95\]](#page-14-0).

[1] H. Aref, J. R. Blake, M. Budišic, S. S. S. Cardoso, ´ J. H. E. Cartwright, H. J. H. Clercx, K. El Omari, U. Feudel, R. Golestanian, E. Gouillart, G. F. van Heijst, T. S. Krasnopolskaya, Y. Le Guer, R. S. MacKay, V. V. Meleshko, G. Metcalfe, I. Mezic, A. P. S. de Moura, O. Piro, M. F. M. ´ Speetjens, R. Sturman, J.-L. Thiffeault, and I. Tuval, Frontiers of chaotic advection, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.025007) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.025007)**, [025007](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.025007) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.025007).

^[2] D. L. Shepelyansky, Poincaré recurrences in Hamiltonian systems with a few degrees of freedom, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.055202) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.055202)**, [055202\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.055202) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.055202).

- [3] A. Sethi and S. Keshavamurthy, Driven coupled Morse oscillators: Visualizing the phase space and characterizing the transport, [Mol. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2012.667166) **[110](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2012.667166)**, [717](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2012.667166) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2012.667166).
- [4] Y. Papaphilippou, Detecting chaos in particle accelerators through the frequency map analysis method, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4884495) **[24](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4884495)**, [024412](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4884495) (2014) .
- [5] R. S. Oyarzabal, J. D. Szezech, A. M. Batista, S. L. T. de Souza, I. L. Caldas, R. L. Viana, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Transient chaotic transport in dissipative drift motion, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.03.012) **[380](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.03.012)**, [1621](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.03.012) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2016.03.012).
- [6] H. Poincaré, Sur le problème des trois corps et les équations de la dynamique, Acta Math. **13**, 1 (1890).
- [7] W. Bauer and G. F. Bertsch, Decay of Ordered and Chaotic Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.2213) **[65](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.2213)**, [2213](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.2213) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.2213).
- [8] G. M. Zaslavsky and M. K. Tippett, Connection Between [Recurrence-Time Statistics and Anomalous Transport,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.3251) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[67](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.3251)**, [3251](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.3251) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.3251).
- [9] M. Hirata, B. Saussol, and S. Vaienti, Statistics of return times: [A general framework and new applications,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050697) Commun. Math. Phys. **[206](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050697)**, [33](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050697) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050697).
- [10] E. G. Altmann, E. C. da Silva, and I. L. Caldas, Recurrence time statistics for finite size intervals, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1795491) **[14](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1795491)**, [975](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1795491) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1795491).
- [11] S. R. Channon and J. L. Lebowitz, Numerical experiments in stochasticity and homoclinic oscillation, [Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb29680.x) **[357](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb29680.x)**, [108](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb29680.x) [\(1980\)](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb29680.x).
- [12] B. V. Chirikov and D. L. Shepelyansky, Statistics of Poincaré recurrences and the structure of the stochastic layer of a nonlinear resonance (1983), in *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Nonlinear Oscillations, Kiev, 1981; Qualitative methods of the theory of nonlinear oscillators*, Vol. 2, edited by Yu. A. Mitropolsky (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1984), pp. 421–424, English translation: Princeton University Report No. PPPL-TRANS-133 (1983).
- [13] C. F. F. Karney, Long-time correlations in the stochastic regime, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90232-4) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90232-4)**, [360](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90232-4) [\(1983\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90232-4).
- [14] B. V. Chirikov and D. L. Shepelyansky, Correlation properties of dynamical chaos in Hamiltonian systems, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90140-4) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90140-4)**, [395](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90140-4) [\(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90140-4).
- [15] R. S. MacKay, J. D. Meiss, and I. C. Percival, Stochasticity and Transport in Hamiltonian Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.697) **[52](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.697)**, [697](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.697) [\(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.697).
- [16] J. D. Hanson, J. R. Cary, and J. D. Meiss, Algebraic decay in self-similar Markov chains, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01018666) **[39](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01018666)**, [327](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01018666) [\(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01018666).
- [17] J. D. Meiss and E. Ott, Markov-Tree Model of Intrinsic Transport in Hamiltonian Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2741) **[55](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2741)**, [2741](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2741) [\(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2741).
- [18] K. Kaneko and T. Konishi, Diffusion in Hamiltonian dynamical systems with many degrees of freedom, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.40.6130) **[40](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.40.6130)**, [6130](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.40.6130) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.40.6130).
- [19] T. Konishi and K. Kaneko, Diffusion in Hamiltonian chaos and its size dependence, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/23/15/004) **[23](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/23/15/004)**, [L715](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/23/15/004) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/23/15/004).
- [20] M. Ding, T. Bountis, and E. Ott, Algebraic escape in higher dimensional Hamiltonian systems, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90910-G) **[151](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90910-G)**, [395](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90910-G) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(90)90910-G).
- [21] B. V. Chirikov and V. V. Vecheslavov, Theory of fast Arnold diffusion in many-frequency systems, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048098) **[71](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048098)**, [243](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048098) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048098).
- [22] B. V. Chirikov and V. V. Vecheslavov, Arnold diffusion in large systems, [J. Exp. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.558346) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.558346)**, [616](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.558346) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.558346).
- [23] G. M. Zaslavsky, M. Edelmann, and B. A. Niyazov, Selfsimilarity, renormalization, and phase space nonuniformity of Hamiltonian chaotic dynamics, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166252) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166252)**, [159](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166252) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166252).
- [24] S. Benkadda, S. Kassibrakis, R. B. White, and G. M. Zaslavsky, Self-similarity and transport in the standard map, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.4909) **[55](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.4909)**, [4909](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.4909) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.55.4909).
- [25] B. V. Chirikov and D. L. Shepelyansky, Asymptotic Statistics of Poincaré Recurrences in Hamiltonian Systems with Divided Phase Space, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.528) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.528)**, [528](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.528) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.528).
- [26] G. M. Zaslavsky and M. Edelmann, Hierarchical structures in the phase space and fractional kinetics: I. Classical systems, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166481) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166481)**, [135](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166481) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.166481).
- [27] M. Weiss, L. Hufnagel, and R. Ketzmerick, Can simple renormalization theories describe the trapping of chaotic trajectories in mixed systems? [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.046209) **[67](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.046209)**, [046209](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.046209) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.046209).
- [28] E. G. Altmann and H. Kantz, Hypothesis of strong chaos and [anomalous diffusion in coupled symplectic maps,](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/78/10008) Europhys. Lett. **[78](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/78/10008)**, [10008](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/78/10008) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/78/10008).
- [29] A. Shojiguchi, C.-B. Li, T. Komatsuzaki, and M. Toda, Fractional behavior in multidimensional Hamiltonian systems describing reactions, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.056205) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.056205)**, [056205](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.056205) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.056205); Erratum: Fractional behavior in multidimensional Hamiltonian systems describing reactions [Phys. Rev. E **76**, 056205 (2007)], **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.019902)**, [019902\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.019902) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.019902).
- [30] G. Cristadoro and R. Ketzmerick, Universality of Algebraic Decays in Hamiltonian Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.184101) **[100](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.184101)**, [184101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.184101) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.184101).
- [31] R. Venegeroles, Universality of Algebraic Laws in Hamiltonian Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.064101) **[102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.064101)**, [064101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.064101) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.064101).
- [32] R. Ceder and O. Agam, Fluctuations in the relaxation dynamics of mixed chaotic systems, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.012918) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.012918)**, [012918](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.012918) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.012918).
- [33] O. Alus, S. Fishman, and J. D. Meiss, Statistics of the island[around-island hierarchy in Hamiltonian phase space,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062923) Phys. Rev. E **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062923)**, [062923](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062923) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.062923).
- [34] S. Lange, A. Bäcker, and R. Ketzmerick, What is the mechanism of power-law distributed Poincaré recurrences in higherdimensional systems? [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/116/30002) **[116](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/116/30002)**, [30002](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/116/30002) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/116/30002).
- [35] O. Alus, S. Fishman, and J. D. Meiss, Universal exponent for transport in mixed Hamiltonian dynamics, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032204) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032204)**, [032204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032204) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032204).
- [36] M. Firmbach, S. Lange, R. Ketzmerick, and A. Bäcker, Threedimensional billiards: Visualization of regular structures and trapping of chaotic trajectories, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022214) **[98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022214)**, [022214](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022214) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022214).
- [37] A. Buchleitner, D. Delande, J. Zakrzewski, R. N. Mantegna, M. Arndt, and H. Walther, Multiple Time Scales in the Microwave Ionization of Rydberg Atoms, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3818) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3818)**, [3818](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3818) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3818).
- [38] G. Benenti, G. Casati, G. Maspero, and D. L. Shepelyansky, Quantum Poincaré Recurrences for a Hydrogen Atom in a Microwave Field, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4088) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4088)**, [4088](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4088) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4088).
- [39] G. S. Ezra and S. Wiggins, Phase-space geometry and reaction dynamics near index 2 saddles, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/20/205101) **[42](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/20/205101)**, [205101](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/20/205101) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/20/205101).
- [40] A. K. Mazur and D. Shepelyansky, Algebraic Statistics of Poincaré Recurrences in a DNA Molecule, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.188104) **[115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.188104)**, [188104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.188104) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.188104).
- [41] R. S. MacKay, J. D. Meiss, and I. C. Percival, Transport in Hamiltonian systems, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7)**, [55](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7) [\(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90270-7).
- [42] J. D. Meiss, Class renormalization: Islands around islands, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.34.2375) **[34](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.34.2375)**, [2375](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.34.2375) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.34.2375).
- [43] J. D. Meiss, Symplectic maps, variational principles, and transport, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.64.795) **[64](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.64.795)**, [795](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.64.795) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.64.795).
- [44] E. G. Altmann and H. Kantz, Recurrence time analysis, longterm correlations, and extreme events, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.056106) **[71](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.056106)**, [056106](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.056106) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.056106).
- [45] A. E. Motter, A. P. S. de Moura, C. Grebogi, and H. Kantz, Effective dynamics in Hamiltonian systems with mixed phase space, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.036215) **[71](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.036215)**, [036215](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.036215) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.036215).
- [46] E. G. Altmann, A. E. Motter, and H. Kantz, Stickiness in Hamiltonian systems: From sharply divided to hierarchical phase space, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.026207) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.026207)**, [026207](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.026207) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.026207).
- [47] J. D. Meiss, Thirty years of turnstiles and transport, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4915831) **[25](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4915831)**, [097602](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4915831) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4915831).
- [48] V. I. Arnol'd, Instability of dynamical systems with several degrees of freedom, [Sov. Math. Dokl.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01742-1_26) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01742-1_26)**, [423](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01742-1_26) [\(1964\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01742-1_26).
- [49] P. Lochak, Hamiltonian perturbation theory: Periodic orbits, resonances and intermittency, [Nonlinearity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/6/6/003) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/6/6/003)**, [885](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/6/6/003) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/6/6/003).
- [50] H. S. Dumas, *The KAM Story: A Friendly Introduction to the Content, History, and Significance of Classical Kolmogorov– Arnold–Moser Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2014).
- [51] C. C. Martens, M. J. Davis, and G. S. Ezra, Local frequency analysis of chaotic motion in multidimensional systems: Energy transport and bottlenecks in planar OCS, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(87)80655-3) **[142](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(87)80655-3)**, [519](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(87)80655-3) [\(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(87)80655-3).
- [52] S. Wiggins, On the geometry of transport in phase space I. Transport in *k*-degree-of-freedom Hamiltonian systems, $2 \leq$ $k < \infty$, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(90)90159-M) [44](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(90)90159-M), [471](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(90)90159-M) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(90)90159-M).
- [53] R. S. MacKay and J. D. Meiss, Cantori for symplectic maps near the anti-integrable limit, [Nonlinearity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/5/1/006) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/5/1/006)**, [149](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/5/1/006) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/5/1/006).
- [54] C.-Q. Cheng and Y.-S. Sun, Existence of invariant tori in three[dimensional measure-preserving mappings,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053456) Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. **[47](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053456)**, [275](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053456) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053456).
- [55] C.-Q. Cheng and Y.-S. Sun, Existence of periodically invariant [curves in 3-dimensional measure-preserving mappings,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053457) Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. **[47](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053457)**, [293](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053457) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053457).
- [56] J. H. E. Cartwright, M. Feingold, and O. Piro, Passive scalars and three-dimensional Liouvillian maps, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(94)90247-X) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(94)90247-X)**, [22](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(94)90247-X) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(94)90247-X).
- [57] H. E. Lomelí and J. D. Meiss, Quadratic volume-preserving maps, [Nonlinearity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/11/3/009) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/11/3/009)**, [557](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/11/3/009) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/11/3/009).
- [58] I. Mezić, Break-up of invariant surfaces in action–angle–angle maps and flows, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(01)00226-3) **[154](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(01)00226-3)**, [51](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(01)00226-3) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(01)00226-3).
- [59] H. E. Lomelí and J. D. Meiss, Heteroclinic intersections between invariant circles of volume-preserving maps, [Nonlinearity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/16/5/302) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/16/5/302)**, [1573](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/16/5/302) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/16/5/302).
- [60] H. E. Lomelí and J. D. Meiss, Generating forms for exact volume-preserving maps, [Discrete Contin. Dyn. Sys. Ser. S](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2009.2.361) **[2](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2009.2.361)**, [361](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2009.2.361) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2009.2.361).
- [61] J. D. Mireles James and H. Lomelí, Computation of heteroclinic arcs with application to the volume preserving Hénon family, [SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1137/090776329) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1137/090776329)**, [919](https://doi.org/10.1137/090776329) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/090776329).
- [62] N. Korneev, Perturbation series for calculation of invariant surface splitting in volume-preserving maps, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3496401) **[20](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3496401)**, [043102](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3496401) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3496401).
- [63] H. R. Dullin and J. D. Meiss, Resonances and twist in volumepreserving mappings, [SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1137/110846865) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1137/110846865)**, [319](https://doi.org/10.1137/110846865) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/110846865).
- [64] J. D. Meiss, The destruction of tori in volume-preserving maps, [Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.04.014) **[17](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.04.014)**, [2108](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.04.014) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.04.014).
- [65] U. Vaidya and I. Mezić, Existence of invariant tori in three dimensional maps with degeneracy, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.03.004) **[241](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.03.004)**, [1136](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.03.004) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.03.004).
- [66] A. M. Fox and J. D. Meiss, Greene's residue criterion for the breakup of invariant tori of volume-preserving maps, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.09.005) **[243](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.09.005)**, [45](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.09.005) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.09.005).
- [67] J. D. Mireles James, Quadratic volume-preserving maps: (Un)stable manifolds, hyperbolic dynamics, and vortex-bubble bifurcations, [J. Nonlinear Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-012-9162-1) **[23](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-012-9162-1)**, [585](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-012-9162-1) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-012-9162-1).
- [68] A. M. Fox and R. de la Llave, Barriers to transport and mixing [in volume-preserving maps with nonzero flux,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2014.12.003) [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2014.12.003) **295– 296**, [1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2014.12.003) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2014.12.003).
- [69] A. M. Fox and J. D. Meiss, Computing the conjugacy of [invariant tori for volume-preserving maps,](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1022859) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **[15](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1022859)**, [557](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1022859) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1022859).
- [70] B. Maelfeyt, S. Smith, and K. Mitchell, Using invariant manifolds to construct symbolic dynamics for three-dimensional volume-preserving maps, [SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1086108) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1086108)**, [729](https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1086108) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1086108).
- [71] Y.-S. Sun and L.-Y. Zhou, Stickiness in three-dimensional volume preserving mappings, [Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10569-008-9173-2) **[103](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10569-008-9173-2)**, [119](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10569-008-9173-2) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10569-008-9173-2).
- [72] R. M. da Silva, M. W. Beims, and C. Manchein, Recurrencetime statistics in non-Hamiltonian volume-preserving maps and flows, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.022921) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.022921)**, [022921](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.022921) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.022921).
- [73] J. D. Meiss, N. Miguel, C. Simó, and A. Vieiro, Accelerator modes and anomalous diffusion in 3D volume-preserving maps, [Nonlinearity](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aae69f) **[31](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aae69f)**, [5615](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aae69f) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aae69f).
- [74] T. Dombre, U. Frisch, J. M. Greene, M. Hénon, A. Mehr, and [A. M. Soward, Chaotic streamlines in the ABC flows,](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112086002859) J. Fluid Mech. **[167](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112086002859)**, [353](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112086002859) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112086002859).
- [75] M. Feingold, L. P. Kadanoff, and O. Piro, Passive scalars, threedimensional volume-preserving maps, and chaos, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01026490) **[50](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01026490)**, [529](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01026490) [\(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01026490).
- [76] V. Arnold, Sur la topologie des écoulements stationnaires des fluides parfaits, [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31031-7_3) **[261](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31031-7_3)**, [17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31031-7_3) [\(1965\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31031-7_3).
- [77] S. Childress, New solutions of the kinematic dynamo problem, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665095) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665095)**, [3063](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665095) [\(1970\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665095).
- [78] A. K. Ram, B. Dasgupta, V. Krishnamurthy, and D. Mitra, Anomalous diffusion of field lines and charged particles in [Arnold-Beltrami-Childress force-free magnetic fields,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890360) Phys. Plasmas **[21](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890360)**, [072309](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890360) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890360).
- [79] J. H. E. Cartwright, M. O. Magnasco, O. Piro, and I. Tuval, Bailout Embeddings and Neutrally Buoyant Particles in Three-Dimensional Flows, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.264501) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.264501)**, [264501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.264501) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.264501).
- [80] S. Das and N. Gupte, Dynamics of impurities in a threedimensional volume-preserving map, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.012906) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.012906)**, [012906](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.012906) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.012906).
- [81] S. Das and N. Gupte, Transport, diffusion, and energy studies in the Arnold-Beltrami-Childress map, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032210) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032210)**, [032210](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032210) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.032210).
- [82] S. Lange, M. Richter, F. Onken, A. Bäcker, and R. Ketzmerick, Global structure of regular tori in a generic 4D symplectic map, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4882163) **[24](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4882163)**, [024409](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4882163) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4882163).
- [83] J. M. Greene, R. S. MacKay, and J. Stark, Boundary circles for area-preserving maps, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90005-9) **[21](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90005-9)**, [267](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90005-9) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90005-9).
- [84] J. P. Eckmann and D. Ruelle, Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.57.617) **[57](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.57.617)**, [617](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.57.617) [\(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.57.617).
- [85] J. D. Szezech, Jr., S. R. Lopes, and R. L. Viana, Finite-time Lyapunov spectrum for chaotic orbits of non-integrable Hamiltonian systems, [Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2004.12.058) **[335](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2004.12.058)**, [394](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2004.12.058) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2004.12.058).
- [86] C. Manchein, M. W. Beims, and J. M. Rost, Characterizing the dynamics of higher dimensional nonintegrable conservative systems, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4748855) **[22](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4748855)**, [033137](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4748855) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4748855).
- [87] J. Laskar, Frequency analysis for multi-dimensional systems. Global dynamics and diffusion, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(93)90210-R) **[67](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(93)90210-R)**, [257](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(93)90210-R) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(93)90210-R).
- [88] R. Bartolini, A. Bazzani, M. Giovannozzi, W. Scandale, and E. Todesco, Tune evaluation in simulations and experiments, Part. Accel. **52**, 147 (1996).
- [89] Ch. Skokos, G. A. Gottwald, and J. Laskar (eds.), *Chaos Detection and Predictability*, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 915 (Springer, Berlin, 2016).
- [90] H. R. Dullin and J. D. Meiss, Quadratic volume-preserving [maps: Invariant circles and bifurcations,](https://doi.org/10.1137/080728160) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **[8](https://doi.org/10.1137/080728160)**, [76](https://doi.org/10.1137/080728160) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/080728160).
- [91] F. Onken, S. Lange, R. Ketzmerick, and A. Bäcker, Bifurcations of families of 1D-tori in 4D symplectic maps, [Chaos](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954024) **[26](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954024)**, [063124](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954024) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954024).
- [92] H. Poincaré, Sur l'équilibre d'une masse fluide animée d'un mouvement de rotation, [Acta Math.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02402204) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02402204)**, [259](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02402204) [\(1885\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02402204).
- [93] R. S. MacKay, A renormalization approach to invariant circles in area-preserving maps, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90131-8) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90131-8)**, [283](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90131-8) [\(1983\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90131-8).
- [94] J. D. Meiss and E. Ott, Markov tree model of transport in areapreserving maps, [Physica D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90041-2) **[20](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90041-2)**, [387](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90041-2) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90041-2).
- [95] P. Ramachandran and G. Varoquaux, Mayavi: 3D visualization of scientific data, [Comput. Sci. Eng.](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.35) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.35)**, [40](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.35) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.35).