## Reply to "Comment on 'Osmotic pressure of compressed lattice knots'"

E. J. Janse van Rensburg

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M3J 1P3

(Received 2 December 2019; published 9 January 2020)

The free energy of a model of uniformly weighted lattice knots of length *n* and knot type *K* confined to a lattice cube of side length L-1 is given by  $F_L(\phi) = -\frac{1}{V} \log p_{n,L}(K)$ , where  $V = L^3$  and where  $\phi = n/V$ is the concentration of monomers of the lattice knot in the confining cube. The limiting free energy of the model is  $F_{\infty}(\phi) = \lim_{L \to \infty} F_L(\phi)$  and the limiting osmotic pressure of monomers leaving the lattice knot to become solvent molecules is defined by  $\Pi_{\infty}(\phi) = \phi^2 \frac{d}{d\phi} [F_{\infty}(\phi)/\phi]$ . I show that, under very mild assumptions, the functions  $P_L(\phi) = \phi^2 \frac{d}{d\phi} [F_L(\phi)/\phi]|_n$  and  $\Pi_L(\phi) = \phi^2 \frac{d}{d\phi} [F_L(\phi)/\phi]|_L$  are finite-size approximations of  $\Pi_{\infty}(\phi)$ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.101.016502

The comment by A. Y. Grosberg contains useful information. However, some of this information is not relevant in context of the original manuscript, which appeared in Physical Review E [1]. The discrete nature of the lattice makes determining the osmotic pressure of monomers in a lattice knot confined to a cubical cavity or confining cube (see Fig. 2 in Ref. [1]) sufficiently complicated that other approaches must be followed (and in particular since it is not numerically feasible to work with lattices larger than  $15^3$ ).

Suppose the confining cube has side length L-1 so that it contains  $V = L^3$  lattice sites (V is the *volume* of the cube). The length of the lattice knot is *n*, and if each vertex in the lattice knot is a monomer, then the monomer concentration is  $\phi = \frac{n}{V}$  in lattice units. The free energy per unit volume is defined for rational values of  $\phi$  by

$$F_L(\phi) = -\frac{1}{V} \log p_{n,L}(K), \qquad (1)$$

where  $p_{n,L}(K)$  is the number of distinct lattice knots of type K, of length n, in a cube of volume  $V = L^3$ . This, in particular, is defined for rational values of  $\phi = \frac{n}{V}$ , and as  $L \to \infty$  the rational values of  $\phi$  becomes dense in [0,1] so that the limit

$$F_{\infty}(\phi) = \lim_{L \to \infty} F_L(\phi) \tag{2}$$

exists under very mild assumptions for every rational  $\phi$  on [0,1]. Thus,  $F_{\infty}(\phi)$  can be extended to a continuous function on [0,1]. While it seems difficult to prove, it is expected, and supported by numerical data, that  $F_{\infty}(\phi)$  is a convex function, and so is continuous and is differentiable almost everywhere in [0,1]. By interpolating the function  $F_L(\phi)$ , it can be extended to a continuous and differentiable function of  $\phi$  on [0,1] as well, in which case Eq. (2) still applies, but now for every  $\phi \in [0, 1]$ .

Thus  $F_L(\phi)$  converges to  $F_{\infty}(\phi)$  and differs from it only by finite-size corrections. Notice that  $F_{\infty}(\phi)$  is *only* a function of  $\phi$ ; that is,

$$F_L(\phi) = F_{\infty}(\phi) + \epsilon(n, L), \qquad (3)$$

where  $\epsilon(n, L)$  is a function of *n* and *L* (and so of  $\phi$ ) and  $\lim_{L\to\infty} \epsilon(n, L) = 0$  identically for any sequence of *n* [that is,  $\epsilon(n, L) = o(1)$ ].

The limiting osmotic pressure is defined by

$$\Pi_{\infty}(\phi) = -\lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{dF_{\text{tot}}}{dV} = \phi^2 \frac{d}{d\phi} \left[ \frac{1}{\phi} F_{\infty}(\phi) \right], \qquad (4)$$

where  $F_{\text{tot}}$  is the total free energy of the model. Using a reasonable approximation to  $F_{\infty}(\phi)$ , e.g., a Flory-Huggins formula, shows that  $\Pi_{\infty}(\phi)$  is a non-negative function of  $\phi$  (as argued in the Comment by Grosberg).

For finite *L* the derivative at fixed *n*,

$$P_L(\phi) = \phi^2 \frac{d}{d\phi} \left[ F_L(\phi)/\phi \right]|_n, \tag{5}$$

is identified as the finite size osmotic pressure in the Comment by Grosberg (and the derivative to  $\phi$  is taken by varying L (or the volume V) while fixing n. In particular, it is expected that

$$\Pi_{\infty}(\phi) = \lim_{L \to \infty} P_L(\phi).$$
 (6)

Define, similarly to the above,

$$\Pi_L(\phi) = \phi^2 \frac{d}{d\phi} \left[ F_L(\phi)/\phi \right] |_L.$$
(7)

This corresponds to  $\Pi_{\text{wrong}}$  in the Comment by Grosberg [see Eq. (3) therein]. Substitute Eq. (3) and simplify to see that

$$\Pi_L(\phi) - \Pi_\infty(\phi) = n^2 \frac{d}{dn} \left[ \epsilon(n, L)/n \right] |_L.$$
(8)

Since  $\epsilon(n, L) \to 0$  as  $L \to \infty$ , it follows again, under mild assumptions [namely, that  $\epsilon(n, L) = o(1)$  and  $\epsilon'(n, L) = o(n^{-1})$ ], that the right-hand side vanishes as L approaches infinity (and so as *n* approaches infinity at fixed  $\phi$ ). This results in

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \Pi_L(\phi) = \lim_{L \to \infty} P_L(\phi) = \Pi_\infty(\phi).$$
(9)

In other words, both  $\Pi_L(\phi)$  [Eq. (7)] and  $P_L(\phi)$  [Eq. (5)] are finite-size approximations to the limiting osmotic pressure  $\Pi_{\infty}(\phi)$ , as should be expected.

The function  $\Pi_L(\phi)$  is numerically accessible, and it is determined in the original paper [1].  $P_L(\phi)$  is not well defined in the lattice, in particular since a derivative to V (or to L) is not easily defined or computed in the lattice. Using finite differences to L involves relatively large changes in volume which gives unreliable results or gives results with strong correction terms with parity effects. Thus, it is more prudent to compute  $\Pi_L(\phi)$  as a finite approximation to  $\Pi_{\infty}(\phi)$  using numerical derivatives on discrete data obtained by varying the length of the lattice knot while keeping L fixed.

The convergence of  $F_L(\phi)$  to  $F_{\infty}(\phi)$  is shown in Fig. 4 of Ref. [1], and these curves converge quickly to the limiting free energy  $F_{\infty}(\phi)$ . When L = 15 the finite-size corrections are small as seen, for example, in the collapse of  $\Pi_L(\phi)$  to a limiting curve in Fig. 5 of Ref. [1]. A physical interpretation of  $\Pi_L(\phi)$  is given following Eq. (3) in Ref. [1]. The numerical results are plotted in Fig. 5 of that paper for unknots. Rescaling the data for unknots in Fig. 7 shows that there is a regime where the approximations are negative (this regime moves to smaller concentration  $\phi$  with increasing L, and vanishes, as expected, in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ ). These negative values arise in finite-size corrections and is caused by entropic forces driving monomers into or out of the lattice knot (keeping its length near an equilibrium value). In the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  these results are consistent with  $\Pi_{\infty}(\phi) \ge 0$ . Similar results are seen for the trefoil and the figure eight knot.

The scaling of the negative interval uncovered in Figs. 7, 9, and 10 is predicted by a scaling analysis involving the metric exponent of lattice polygons in Ref. [1]. This supports the notion that  $\Pi_L(\phi)$  is a measure of the entropic forces that drive the exchange of monomers between the solvent and the lattice knot. Overall I am very confident in the prediction of stable equilibrium lengths for the lattice knots simulated in this study (for example, the lattice trefoil will have a stable average length approximately equal to  $3.94 L^{5/3}$  for values of *L* which are not too small).

One final observation: In a strict sense the lattice model is not athermal. The partition sum is  $p_{n,L}(K)$  [see Eq. (1) in Ref. [1]] so that the state space has a uniform distribution; that is, this model is at infinite temperature (since all states have equal weight). On the other hand, athermal conditions would hold if monomer-monomer interactions are energetically indistinguishable from monomer-solvent interactions. In this lattice model, the monomer-monomer interaction is a hard-core repulsion, and the monomer-solvent interaction is zero (that is, lattice sites are empty and do not interact with monomers). In an athermal system the Flory interaction parameter  $\chi = 0$ , and the numerical simulation in Ref. [2] shows emphatically (and unsurprisingly) that  $\chi > 0$  numerically for this model.

E.J.J.v.R. is grateful for support from NSERC (Canada) in the form of a Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2019-06303).

[1] E. J. Janse van Rensburg, Phys. Rev. E 100, 012501 (2019).

[2] E. J. Janse van Rensburg, J. Phys. A 53, 015002 (2019).