# **Phase transition creates the geometry of the continuum from discrete space**

Robert S. Farr $\mathbb{D}^{1,2,*}$  $\mathbb{D}^{1,2,*}$  $\mathbb{D}^{1,2,*}$  and Thomas M. A. Fink<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Jacobs Douwe Egberts, Banbury OX16 2QU, United Kingdom* <sup>2</sup>*London Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 35a South Street, Mayfair, London, United Kingdom*

(Received 27 March 2019; published 16 August 2019)

Models of discrete space and space-time that exhibit continuum-like behavior at large lengths could have profound implications for physics. They may help tame the infinities arising from quantizing gravity, and remove the need for the machinery of the real numbers; a construct with no direct observational support. However, despite many attempts to build discrete space, researchers have failed to produce even the simplest geometries. Here we investigate graphs as the most elementary discrete models of two-dimensional space. We show that if space is discrete, it must be disordered, by proving that all planar lattice graphs exhibit a taxicab metric similar to square grids. We then give an explicit recipe for growing disordered discrete space by sampling a Boltzmann distribution of graphs at low temperature. Finally, we propose three conditions which any discrete model of Euclid's plane must meet: have a Hausdorff dimension of 2, support unique straight lines, and obey Pythagoras' theorem. Our model satisfies all three, resulting in a discrete model in which continuum-like behavior emerges at large lengths.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.100.022308](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.100.022308)

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The small-scale structure of space has puzzled scientists and philosophers throughout history. Zeno of Elea [\[1\]](#page-7-0) claimed that geometry itself is impossible because there is no consistent form this small-scale structure can take. He argued that a line segment, which can be halved repeatedly, cannot ultimately be composed of pieces of nonzero length, else it would be infinitely long. However, it also cannot be composed of pieces of zero length, for no matter how many are added together, the resulting line will never be longer than zero.

It is a lasting tribute to the optimism of researchers that work on geometry nevertheless carried on. It was not until the 19th century—nearly two and a half millennia later—that Cantor finally resolved the paradox by defining the continuum. He showed that the line must be composed not just of an infinite number of points, but of an uncountably infinite number, so that the second half of Zeno's argument (a proof by induction) fails. This uncountable infinity is described by the mathematical machinery of the real numbers. The continuum is the basis for all descriptions of space and space-time, and therefore all of theoretical physics.

In the 20th century, Weyl [\[2\]](#page-7-0) further claimed that the continuum is the only possible model of space. He constructed a tiling argument, purporting to show that if space is discrete, Pythagoras' theorem—or, equivalently, the Euclidean metric—is false. Weyl's proof, however, contains an unstated assumption which turns out to be the key to its resolution.

Despite this long belief in the necessity of the continuum, researchers are actively pursuing discrete [\[3–5\]](#page-7-0), or at least piecewise flat [\[6–10\]](#page-7-0), models of space and space-time, as they

offer the possibility to remove nonrenormalizable infinities which arise in simple versions of quantum gravity. All these models can be thought of as graphs, where just the graph itself matters, not its embedding into another space. The only natural [\[11\]](#page-7-0) metric in this case is graph geodesic distance: the distance between two nodes is the smallest number of edges joining them.

In two dimensions, toy models of "quantum graphity" [\[12\]](#page-7-0) aim to define Hamiltonians over all graphs with a fixed number of nodes, from which an approximation to a smooth manifold might emerge at low temperature. Recent attempts have aimed to produce planar graphs made up of triangles, but, so far [\[13,14\]](#page-7-0), the low-temperature phases contain defects, conical singularities and multiply connected topologies, so are unlike simple, smooth manifolds. A basic feature of such graphs is their Hausdorff dimension, which in this context is the power with which the number of nodes in a ball of radius *r* grows with *r*. If one deliberately restricts the ensemble of graphs under consideration to triangulations of the plane, a further problem encountered with graph models of two-dimensional space is encountered: Completely random triangulations (i.e., typical graphs chosen at random from this ensemble, and termed "Brownian maps") do not even have Hausdorff dimension 2. They are so crumpled that the number of nodes in a disk of radius *r* scales as  $r^4$ , not  $r^2$  [\[15\]](#page-7-0).

In light of these difficulties, the prospects for building a consistent discrete model of even the Euclidean plane seem poor. In this article, we show that it is in fact possible to discretize space. We do three things. First, we prove that any discrete model of two-dimensional space must be disordered, by showing that all planar lattice graphs have a taxicab-like metric [\[16\]](#page-7-0). Order is the hidden assumption in Weyl's proof of the impossibility of discrete space. Second, we describe a local, statistical process, with an associated temperature, which provides an explicit recipe for growing disordered graphs.

<sup>\*</sup>robert.farr@JDEcoffee.com

<sup>†</sup>tmafink@gmail.com

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. The geometry of the square grid graph. Two nodes *A* and *B* on the square grid graph are separated by 19 edges. There are many possible shortest paths (geodesics) of length 19 edges between the nodes, of which two are shown in black. The resemblance to the possible routes followed by yellow cabs in New York city inspired the term "taxicab metric" for the measure of distance on this graph [\[16\]](#page-7-0).

Third, we propose three tests which any model of Euclidean space must pass. We find that graphs grown by our thermal process, at low temperature, achieve the required properties: they have a Hausdorff dimension of 2, support the existence of unique straight lines, and satisfy Pythagoras' theorem.

## **II. LATTICE GRAPHS ARE TAXICAB GRAPHS**

The natural way to measure the distance between two nodes on a graph is to count the edges in the shortest path which separates them. A shortest path of this kind is called a geodesic. It is well known that with this measure of distance, the square grid graph has a taxicab geometry  $[16]$ , where the distance between two nodes is the sum of the magnitude of the differences of their Cartesian coordinates (Fig. 1). On this graph there are typically many geodesics between two nodes a distance λ apart, each resembling an irregular staircase. Together these form a geodesic bundle comprising  $N_{\rm{geo}} \propto \lambda^2$  nodes. More complex lattice graphs show a similar phenomenon [Fig.  $2(a)$ ].

In general, any doubly periodic planar graph must belong to one of the wallpaper groups, familiar from crystallography, and be composed of unit cells containing one or more nodes. We prove that all doubly periodic planar graphs have the taxicab metric, regardless of the complexity of the unit cell. That is to say, geodesics in all but a finite number of directions form broad, parallelogram bundles (the number of exceptional directions may, however, be large for sufficiently complex unit cells [\[17\]](#page-7-0)). Such graphs therefore do not satisfy Euclid's axiom of a unique straight line between two points, nor Pythagoras' theorem. Our proof is in two parts, which we call geodesic composition and geodesic rearrangement. We sketch the proof here, and give full details in the Methods section.

## **Sketch of the proof**

If we have a geodesic on a graph between two nodes, it is clear that cutting it in two yields two paths, each of which is a geodesic between its respective end nodes (were that not so, it would be possible to create a shorter path between the original



FIG. 2. Geodesic confinement is not found in planar lattice graphs but is in planar disordered graphs. (a) In a doubly-periodic triangulation (a modified snub square tiling), two nodes marked as circles are 22 edges apart. We call the set of all geodesics between them (shown in black) the geodesic bundle, containing a number of nodes proportional to the square of the geodesic length. (b) In a random triangulation, the geodesic bundle between two nodes 22 edges apart is confined to a narrow region. We call this phenomenon geodesic confinement. (c) A nonplanar doubly periodic graph (all nodes shown as circles) has neither a taxicab nor Euclidean metric.

two nodes). Even in classical geometry, however, putting two geodesics (straight lines) end to end does not always give a geodesic: they need to be parallel. The situation with graphs is more interesting still.

Equivalent nodes in different unit cells are said to be of the same type. We first construct a geodesic between two nodes of the same type, which are separated by a vector distance of  $(m, n)$  unit cells. If we choose the node type so that this is the shortest of all such geodesics (or one of the shortest, if the choice is not unique), then we are able to prove that many copies of this path can be concatenated end to end, and the result is still a geodesic between the now widely separated endpoints. We call this the geodesic composition property. It is not trivial, since it relies on the assumption of planarity; a nonplanar counterexample is shown in Fig. 2(c).

Next, we show that a long concatenation of this single type of geodesic can, apart from short tails at the ends, be broken down into many alternating copies of two different geodesics. The proof uses Dedekind's pigeonhole principle [\[18\]](#page-7-0), applied to the number of nodes in the unit cell. If *m* and *n* are relatively prime, these two geodesics are not parallel. They therefore perform the role of the coordinate directions in the square grid graph and, in the same way, can be rearranged in any order to produce many irregular staircase-like geodesics, all of the same length. The set of these geodesics forms the broad geodesic bundle, with an area proportional to the square of its length—a complete contrast to the narrow lines required by Euclidean geometry.

## **III. GROWING DISORDERED GRAPHS**

In light of the impossibility of generating Euclidean geometry from planar lattice graphs, we turn to disordered graphs which triangulate the 2-sphere. Triangulations here are graphs composed of triangles which, when embedded in the 2-sphere, are planar [\[19\]](#page-7-0). We also require that they contain no tetrahedra, so that we only need to keep track of nodes and edges, not faces. As a seed graph, we start from the octahedron (Fig. [4](#page-2-0) ), a simple triangulation of the 2-sphere. All

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 3. Steinitz moves on a portion of a triangulation. The push move (left to right) consists of choosing a node *A* and two opposite neighbors *P* and *Q* (or nearly opposite, if the degree of *A* is odd). Node *A* is divided into nodes *A* and *B*. The pop move (right to left) consists of choosing a node *A* , and then one of its neighbors *B*, which are then merged to a single node *A*. In contrast to Ref. [\[20\]](#page-7-0), which keeps track of triangular faces, we avoid tetrahedra and bottlenecks smaller than four edges, so faces can be assigned unambiguously, if desired. To ensure no tetrahedra are formed during a pop move, we make an additional check before the merger of  $A'$  and  $B$ : we require that no neighbor of  $A'$  that is not  $P$ ,  $Q$ , or  $B$  is connected to a neighbor of *B* that is not *P*, *Q*, or *A* .

triangulations of the 2-sphere are known to be transformable into one another by Steinitz moves [\[20\]](#page-7-0), illustrated in Fig. 3, which are local, and add ("push") or remove ("pop") nodes while preserving the property of being a triangulation.

We grow the seed graph to a size of *N* nodes through push moves, and then apply 8*N* alternating push and pop moves to ensure equilibration. This equilibration stage is necessary, since some graph properties (such as mean node eccentricity; see Sec. [IV\)](#page-3-0) change slightly, converging (presumably to thermal equilibrium values) after around 4*N* alternating push-pop moves.

Let  $Z_i$  be the degree of node *i*, and  $\langle Z \rangle$  the mean degree of all nodes in the triangulation. Because every triangular face has three edges, and every edge belongs to two triangles, Euler's polyhedron theorem [\[21\]](#page-7-0) implies that

$$
\langle Z \rangle = 6 - 12/N. \tag{1}
$$

Since the integrated Gaussian curvature over a smooth, closed surface is  $4\pi$  [\[22\]](#page-7-0), we see that  $\kappa_i \equiv 6 - Z_i$  is a natural measure of the local, discrete equivalent of Gaussian curvature for the triangulation, up to a constant factor. If we consider a patch of the graph consisting of  $N_{\text{pat}}$  nodes, with  $e$  exiting edges, and with a simple closed-path perimeter of length  $p \geqslant$ 3 edges, then we find that the Euler characteristic implies the average discrete curvature over all nodes in the patch, which is

$$
\langle \kappa \rangle_{\text{pat}} = (6 + 2p - e) / N_{\text{pat}}.\tag{2}
$$

This can be shown by considering a new triangulation, formed from two copies of the patch, and identifying nodes and edges on the perimeters, then correcting for the exiting edges. Thus a Steinitz push move locally decreases  $|\langle \kappa \rangle_{\text{pat}}|$ , and a pop move increases it.

To create an ensemble of graphs, we first define an energy *E* for every graph. We then repeatedly select a random node as a candidate for a push or pop move, and calculate the energy change  $\Delta E$  that would result. We perform the move with a probability given by the Metropolis algorithm [\[23\]](#page-7-0) with an associated temperature  $T$ . Thus, the move is always accepted if  $\Delta E$  is negative, and accepted with probability  $\exp(-\Delta E/T)$  if  $\Delta E$  is positive.

#### **A. Curvature model**

The most obvious choice of energy to reduce curvature fluctuations at low temperature is  $E_{\text{curv}} = \sum_i \kappa_i^2$ , where the sum is over all nodes *i*. As shown in Fig. 4 and [5](#page-3-0) and also considered in [\[24\]](#page-7-0), this does indeed drive the local curvature to zero almost everywhere at low temperature, but it does so



FIG. 4. Growing graphs at high and low temperatures; the third column shows the main result of this article: a discrete model of Euclidean space. A small octahedral triangulation, with  $N = 6$  can be grown and equilibrated into larger graphs with  $N = 2^8$ ,  $2^{10}$ , and  $2^{12}$  nodes at (a) high temperature, (b)  $T = 0.5$  in the curvature model, or (c) low temperature in the walker model. The illustrative embedding into space shown here is irrelevant to our results; we are only interested in the graph.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 5. Stereograms of graphs with 6144 nodes. Top two images: high temperature graph. Middle two images: curvature model at  $T = 0.5$ . Bottom two images: walker model at low temperature. The nodes are coloured according to degree, as shown in the legend at the top of the figure. To view as stereograms, the figure should be held approximately 30 cm away, while looking through the page until the two images fuse.

by creating a branched polymer phase consisting of thin tubes with curvature trapped at their ends and junctions [Fig. [4\(b\)\]](#page-2-0). The result of this "curvature model" is far from flat. We attribute this to the energy functional failing to sufficiently penalize small curvatures spread over large areas.

### **B. Walker model**

To address the deficiency of the curvature model, we introduce a second statistical process by putting walkers on the graph. Walker models have previously been used to create both local-cluster structure  $[25-27]$  as well as scale-free  $[28]$ graphs from local rules [\[29,30\]](#page-7-0); but here we are interested in Euclidean behavior. At each time step, we add  $\kappa$  walkers of type +1 to every node with curvature  $\kappa > 0$ , and  $|\kappa|$  walkers of type  $-1$  to every node with  $\kappa < 0$ . Additionally, 12 walkers of type −1 are added to random nodes to maintain the mean walker number [this requirement can be seen from Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0)]. The walkers then diffuse by moving to a random neighboring node. Whenever  $a +1$  and  $a -1$  walker occupy the same node, both walkers annihilate. Walker moves alternate with push-pop moves. To define the dynamics, we replace  $E_{\text{curv}}$ 

with a new energy  $E_{\text{walk}}$  for the graph under push-pop moves:

$$
E_{\text{walk}} = -\sum_{i} w_i |w_i|, \tag{3}
$$

where  $w_i$  is the net number of walkers on node *i*. At low temperatures, this energy tends to shrink regions of positive curvature and grow regions of negative curvature. We call this new evolution scheme, which biases the graph towards flatness on long length scales, the "walker model."

The walker model generates a triangulation which, at low temperature and long lengths, appears qualitatively to have minimal curvature  $[Fig. 4(c)]$  $[Fig. 4(c)]$ . To establish that these graphs satisfy Euclidean geometry at long length scales, we subject them to three tests: a Hausdorff dimension of 2 geodesic confinement and the Pythagorean theorem.

## **IV. TESTING OUR GRAPHS**

Euclidean geometry is defined through five axioms. These are neither as logically primitive as they first appear, nor do they readily translate into conditions for discrete models of space. We therefore propose three conditions for any discrete model, including ours, purporting to capture Euclid's geometry at large lengths. The first, Hausdorff dimension, sits outside the original axioms, since they concerned the plane. The second condition is the appearance of straight lines in the large length limit, which we call geodesic confinement. The third is the Euclidean metric itself, commonly known as Pythagoras' theorem, which is a synthesis of all the axioms.

### **A. Hausdorff dimension**

If the number of nodes in a ball of radius *r* scales as  $N \propto r^{d_H}$ , then  $d_H$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the graph. As we noted earlier, planarity is not indicative of dimension: random triangulations of the 2-sphere have  $d_H = 4$  as they converge, in the large node limit, to "Brownian maps" [\[15\]](#page-7-0). To calculate the dimension of our graphs, we define the halfcircumference *H* of a graph as the average over all nodes of the node eccentricity, where the eccentricity of a node is the greatest geodesic distance between it and any other node in the graph. If nodes are a measure of area, then we would expect a graph which approximates a smooth spherical surface with  $d_H = 2$  to satisfy the scaling  $H \propto N^{1/2}$ . This is not the case for the curvature model Fig.  $6(a)$ , but is true for the walker model in the low temperature limit for a large number of nodes [Fig.  $6(b)$ ]. The upwards curvature of the solid gray lines in Fig.  $6(b)$  shows evidence that this  $d<sub>H</sub> = 2$  phase survives to temperatures above zero.

### **B. Geodesic confinement**

In a doubly periodic graph, the total number of nodes  $N_{\text{geo}}$ in the geodesic bundle between two nodes a distance  $\lambda$  apart scales as  $N_{\text{geo}} \propto \lambda^2$ . From Figs. [6\(c\)](#page-4-0) and [6\(d\),](#page-4-0) we see that the scaling of  $N_{\text{geo}}$  with  $N$  also approximates a power law for the low-temperature walker model, but with a different exponent:

$$
N_{\rm geo} \propto N^{\gamma} \quad \text{with } \gamma \approx 1.1. \tag{4}
$$

An exponent  $\gamma$  < 2 implies qualitatively different behavior to the doubly periodic lattice case, and, in the limit  $N \to \infty$ ,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 6. Statistical tests for Euclidean behavior of our graphs. Top row: The mean node eccentricity *H* and standard deviation for example points, divided by  $N^{1/2}$ , where *N* is the number of nodes. (a) The curvature model with  $T = 0.5$  (black),  $2^0$ ,  $2^2$ ,  $2^4$ ,  $2^6$  (gray), and  $10^5$  (dashed). (b) The walker model, with  $T = 2^{-3}$ (black),  $2^2$ ,  $2^3$ ,  $2^4$ ,...,  $2^8$  (gray), and  $10^5$  (dashed). Middle row: (c) The number of nodes  $N_{\text{geo}}$  in geodesic bundles of different lengths  $\lambda$  on a low-temperature walker model graph with  $N = 2^{15}$  nodes. (d) Fitted values for  $\gamma$ , where  $N_{\text{geo}} \propto N^{\gamma}$  for graphs of different *N*. Bottom row: *R* is the ratio of the perpendicular length to the edge side of an equilateral triangle drawn on a low-temperature walker model with  $N = 2^{15}$  nodes.  $R_{\text{sph}}$  is the exact equivalent on a smooth sphere [Eq. (5)]. (e) *R* plotted against  $R_{\rm sph} - \sqrt{3}$  (we show a random sample of 250 from the full set of 6078 points). The line is a linear regression and we extract the intercept as a graph-theoretic estimate of  $\sqrt{3}$ . (f) Estimates of  $\sqrt{3}$  by this method for graphs of different size *N*. The dashed gray horizontal line is the exact value.

it is consistent with the narrow geodesics ("straight lines") familiar from Euclidean geometry. We call the collapse of the broad,  $N_{\text{geo}} \propto \lambda^2$  geodesic bundles "geodesic confinement"  $[Fig. 2(b)]$  $[Fig. 2(b)]$ , by analogy to the flux tubes and color confinement seen in strong-force interactions [\[31\]](#page-7-0).

### **C. Pythagoras' theorem**

Finally, we consider the validity of Pythagoras' theorem on graphs generated by the walker model. Although this can be proved in general for Euclidean geometry, on graphs we test it empirically by calculating the length of the perpendicular of an equilateral triangle. If Pythagoras' theorem holds, this will be  $\sqrt{3}$  times half the side length.

Because we are generating approximations to a spherical surface, rather than a plane, we want to make use of as much



FIG. 7. Equilateral triangles on the plane and on a graph. (a) An equilateral triangle drawn on the Euclidean plane with straightedge  $\sqrt{3}$ . (b) The same construction using geodesics on a low-temperature and compass, where *M* is halfway between *A* and *B*, and  $MC/AM =$ "walker model" graph (which approximates a smooth sphere) with  $N = 2^{16}$  nodes and triangle side length of 32.

of the graph as possible, rather than a small patch on which statistics will be poor. We therefore perform the analogous calculation using spherical, rather than plane trigonometry. If we draw an equilateral spherical triangle on a smooth 2 sphere, with side length  $\Lambda$  times the half-circumference, the ratio of the length of the perpendicular of the triangle to half its side length will be

$$
R_{\rm sph}(\Lambda) \equiv \frac{2}{\pi \Lambda} \arccos \left[ \frac{\cos(\pi \Lambda)}{\cos(\pi \Lambda/2)} \right] = \sqrt{3} + O(\Lambda^2). \tag{5}
$$

The same ratio *R* can be calculated for a graph formed by the low-temperature walker model [Figs.  $6(e)$ ,  $6(f)$ , and 7], and although the fluctuations are significant, they appear to be unbiased, so that performing linear regression of *R* against  $R_{\rm sph}$  gives an estimate for  $\sqrt{3}$  which is only one standard deviation from the traditional value:

$$
\sqrt{3}_{est} = 1.726 \pm 0.005. \tag{6}
$$

We believe this is a nontrivial result, unlikely to emerge accidentally, and so we take it as strong evidence that Pythagoras' theorem is satisfied in general for the low-temperature walker model. Since the straightedge and compass operations of drawing circles of any radius, and drawing and measuring (but not extending) lines are simple operations on graphs, many other constructions of classical geometry may readily be tested.

### **V. METHODS**

Our proof that all planar lattice graphs satisfy the taxicab metric is in two parts, which we call geodesic composition and geodesic rearrangement.

### **A. Proof of geodesic composition**

Consider a doubly periodic planar graph made up of identical unit cells, each of which comprises  $\omega$  distinct nodes. Equivalent nodes in different unit cells are said to be of the same type. Let  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(\mathbf{v})$  denote a particular geodesic between two *p*-type nodes separated by  $\mathbf{v} = (m, n)$  unit cells.

We first prove that for any displacement **v**, for at least one node type *p*, the concatenation  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(k\mathbf{v})$  of *k* copies of  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(\mathbf{v})$  is also a geodesic [Figs.  $8(a) - 8(d)$ ]. Let *p* be the node type which

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 8. All doubly periodic planar graphs have a taxicab metric on long length scales. (a)–(d) A grid of unit cells forms a doubly periodic planar graph; nodes within the unit cells not shown. For some node type  $p$ , if  $p_0 p_1$  is a shortest path between nodes separated by  $\mathbf{v} = (m, n)$  unit cells, then  $p_0 p_1 \dots p_k$  is the shortest path between nodes separated by *k***v** unit cells. (e),(f) For *m* and *n* relatively prime, the geodesic  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(k\mathbf{v})$  is the concatenation of  $k-1$  copies of both  $\mathcal{G}_{qq}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{qq}(\mathbf{u}')$ , with tails at either end. See the text for details.

minimizes  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(\mathbf{v})$ . Call this the optimal node assumption. Let  $p_0 p_1$  of length  $|p_0 p_1| = \lambda$  be a geodesic between  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ , which are both of type  $p$ , but displaced **v** units cells from one another [Fig.  $8(a)$ ]. Call this the **v**-geodesic assumption. Let  $p_0 p_1 p_2$  be two copies of  $p_0 p_1$  placed end-to-end.

Now suppose there is a path  $p_0abp_2$  with length  $|p_0abp_2| < |p_0p_1p_2| = 2\lambda$  [Fig. 8(b)]; because the graph is planar, nodes *a* and *b* exist. Then  $|ab| < \lambda$  or  $|p_0a| + |bp_2|$  $\lambda$ . If the former, then we contradict the optimal node assumption. If the latter, we contradict the **v**-geodesic assumption. Therefore  $p_0 p_1 p_2$  is a geodesic between  $p_0$  and  $p_2$ . That is to say,  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(2v)$ , which is the concatenation of two copies of  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(\mathbf{v})$ , is a geodesic. Call this the 2**v**-geodesic property.

We now show that the  $(k - 1)\mathbf{v}$ -geodesic property implies the *k***v**-geodesic property [Fig.  $8(c)$  for  $k = 3$ ]. Suppose there is a path  $p_0abp_k$  with length  $|p_0abp_k| < |p_0p_1 \dots p_k| = k\lambda$ . Then  $|ab| < \lambda$  or  $|p_0a| + |b p_k| < (k - 1)\lambda$  [Fig. 8(d) for  $k =$ 3]. If the former, then we contradict the optimal node assumption. If the latter, then we contradict the  $(k - 1)\mathbf{v}$ -geodesic property. Therefore  $p_0 p_1 \ldots p_k$  is a geodesic between  $p_0$  and  $p_k$ . This completes the first part of the proof.

## **B. Proof of geodesic rearrangement**

We next prove that for most displacements **v**, for at least one node type *p*, the geodesic  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(k\mathbf{v})$  consists of three parts: a tail at each end, which joins the nodes  $p_0$  and  $p_k$ to copies of some other type of node *q*, and, between the

tails,  $k - 1$  alternating copies of  $\mathcal{G}_{qq}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{qq}(\mathbf{u}')$  for some displacement vectors **u** and **u**' [Figs.  $8(e)$  and  $8(f)$ ]. We now only consider displacement vectors  $\mathbf{v} = (m, n)$  such that *m* and *n* are relatively prime (which occurs [\[32\]](#page-7-0) for random *m* and *n* with probability  $6/\pi^2 \approx 0.61$  and large enough so that  $\lambda > 2\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the number of distinct nodes in the unit cell. By Dedekind's pigeonhole principle [\[18\]](#page-7-0), since  $\lambda/\omega > 2$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(\mathbf{v})$  must pass through at least two nodes of some other type  $q$  different from type  $p$  [Fig. 8(e)]. Therefore we can define a subgeodesic  $\mathcal{G}_{qq}(\mathbf{u})$  within  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(\mathbf{v})$ , and a second geodesic  $\mathcal{G}_{qq}(\mathbf{u}')$  between the node *q* in adjacent copies of  $\mathcal{G}_{pp}(\mathbf{v})$  [Fig. 8(f)].

Because  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime,  $\bf{u}$  and  $\bf{u}'$  cannot be parallel. To see why, let the displacement **u** be  $(i, j)$  and the displacement **u**' be  $(i', j')$  and assume  $i' \geq i$ . Since **u**  $\|$  **u**' implies  $i/j = i' / j'$ ,  $(m, n) = (i + i', j + j') =$  $(1 + i'/i)(i, j)$ , where  $i'/i$  is an integer, contradicting  $(m, n)$ being relatively prime.

The  $k - 1$  alternating geodesics can be rearranged in any order, forming a set of staircases between the end *q* nodes [Fig.  $8(f)$ ]. Therefore the geodesic bundle occupies an area of  $mn(k-1)^2$  unit cells. This completes the proof.

## **C. Computer code**

See Supplemental Material [\[33\]](#page-7-0) for the computer code (in the C programming language) used to generate the data in Fig. [6,](#page-4-0) as well as the pictures in Figs. [4,](#page-2-0) [5,](#page-3-0) and [7.](#page-4-0) There is also a video showing the different network models' dynamics.

### **VI. DISCUSSION**

We have shown that discrete space and Euclidean space, thought by many to be at odds, are indeed compatible. We avoid Zeno's paradox because we do not require our model to be infinitely divisible. We avoid Weyl's tiling argument because our model is disordered. Weyl's argument is in fact an observation that certain nonplanar lattices display the taxicab metric, which is unsurprising given our proof that all planar lattice graphs do.

Our model shows the emergence of Euclidean space on long length scales, from a local statistical process that employs only the intrinsic geometry of a graph. Beyond providing a discrete model of the Euclidean plane, we believe our results draw together several disparate fields of physics, and prompt many intriguing questions that merit further investigation.

### **A. No embedding space**

Smooth surfaces which are discrete at an atomic scale frequently arise in nature, such as liquid menisci or crystal surfaces [\[34\]](#page-7-0). These atomic systems are embedded in a background manifold, consisting of ordinary, flat, threedimensional space. This embedding manifold allows distance on the surface to be defined in the usual Euclidean manner, and also means that normals to the surface exist. The system energy can then depend on extrinsic curvature (the spatial gradient of these normals), as well as intrinsic (Gaussian) curvature. Our graphs, by contrast, do not live in a background space. Instead, our measures of distance and curvature are only intrinsic, defined in terms of edges (distance) and node degree (curvature) that are properties of the graph itself. No normal vectors to our graph manifolds exist, nor can they be defined. Our graphs are themselves the space, and their edges

are the quantum of distance. We note that because our graphs approximate boundaryless two-dimensional manifolds, we can approximate the plane only by forming a very large 2-sphere (since a large, smooth 2 sphere is locally close to a plane), or by forming a topological 2-torus. Our model in its current form is not able to grow any manifold with a boundary, such as a disk.

### **B. Phase transition**

Phase transitions which create or destroy smoothness are well known in physics. A roughening transition [\[34\]](#page-7-0) can turn flat crystal facets into smooth, curved surfaces, as measured with the metric of the embedding space. However, this embedding space is needed to define what smooth curvature means in this case.

More strikingly, the crumpling transition of membranes [\[35\]](#page-7-0) turns flat crystalline membranes into crumpled objects, confined in a small region of space. However, the irregular, jagged curvature of the crumpled phase is entirely extrinsic: a function of its embedding in three-dimensional space. The intrinsic, ordered, taxicab geometry of the membrane itself is unchanged through the crumpling transition.

In contrast, the phase transition we find at low temperature in the walker model changes the *intrinsic* metric of the graph from a crumpled, non-Euclidean "Brownian map" [\[15\]](#page-7-0) into smooth, Euclidean space. It is unclear, at present, whether the phase transition occurs at finite or infinite temperature. A renormalization group analysis of the model may shed light on this question.

### **C. Walker model**

The phase transition which creates continuum geometry is driven by a statistical walker process. The motivation for this comes from the naïve curvature model, which minimizes the sum of the squares of the local discrete curvature  $\kappa$ , but disappointingly gives rise to a "Medusa" phase  $[Fig. 4(b)]$  $[Fig. 4(b)]$ . This pathological behavior is consistent with previous investigations of triangulations, which lead to branched polymer phases and other exotic geometries rather than smooth, homogenous space [\[24,36\]](#page-7-0). The pathologies are due to concentrations of discrete curvature in confined regions; in other words, large, local curvature fluctuations. Our walker process—which solves a discrete version of Poisson's equation, with the charge being the curvature  $\kappa$ —is sensitive to small curvatures on large length scales, and so, through the energy functional  $E_{\text{walk}}$ , ultimately acts to spread these fluctuations over the whole graph.

#### **D. A background for simulations**

A practical application of our Euclidean graphs is as a background for simulations. Lattices, such as the square grid, are intrinsically anisotropic, so special care is often needed when designing simulations to run on them. The rotational symmetry of our graphs therefore make them suitable spaces

on which to perform algorithms such as lattice gas cellular automata [\[37\]](#page-7-0).

#### **E. Higher dimensions**

We have built a discrete, graph model that behaves like two-dimensional Euclidean space at large lengths. Can the same be done for higher dimensions? While more computationally intensive, our walker model should generalize naturally to dimensions greater than 2. In three dimensions, the key step is extending the Steinitz moves in Fig. [3](#page-2-0) to add and subtract tetrahedra, rather than triangles, as nodes divide and fuse. Whether the resulting graph will be Euclidean is, however, unknown. Our tests for geodesic confinement and the applicability of Pythagoras' theorem are benchmarks for this and any other discrete models attempting to capture Euclidean geometry at large lengths.

We conjecture that the absence of geodesic confinement carries over to higher dimensional lattices, as it clearly does for the three-dimensional regular cubic grid. Unfortunately, the proof does not readily follow from our theorem in two dimensions, which relies on planarity, since all threedimensional lattices are non-planar. Figure  $2(c)$  gives an indication of the subtlety. It shows a nonplanar, two-dimensional lattice not satisfying geodesic composition, a key step in our proof (see Methods).

Closely related results have been proved for more general cases. It is known that for asymptotically large radii, balls around a node in a lattice graph of any dimension can never be ellipsoids, but are rational polytopes [\[17\]](#page-7-0). Isotropic behavior of geodesics is therefore impossible, and if these polytopes were exact, rather than having finite length whiskers in certain directions [the eventuality of Fig.  $2(c)$ ], broad geodesic bundles would follow from the partial incidence of the faces of polytopes of radius *r* around two points separated by 2*r*.

#### **F. The Minkowski metric**

We have shown how to grow graphs with a Euclidean metric, that is, that satisfy Pythagoras' theorem,  $d^2 = x^2 + y^2$ , where *d* is distance and *x* and *y* orthogonal directions. What about other metrics? The most important is the Minkowski metric of special relativity, the two-dimensional analog of which is  $d^2 = t^2 - x^2$ , where *t* is a time direction. How to represent this as a graph is an open question, because nodes must be intricately connected at large coordinate displacements. Taking an approach similar to causal set theory  $[3,4]$ , but with neighbors separated by unit proper time, would suggest that the degree of each node diverges with the logarithm of the volume of space-time (or worse, as a power, for higher dimensions). Furthermore, unlike Euclidean space, where the square grid graph at least models a fourfold rotational symmetry, it is not possible to construct a lattice graph which is symmetric under even a discrete version of the Lorentz transformation. Thus, it remains to be seen whether some variant of the walker process can be defined to probe and engender the fabric of space-time.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors thank Prof. Y.-H. He and Dr. I. Teimouri for useful discussions.

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[1] A. Hagar A, *Discrete or Continuous? The Quest for Fundamental Length in Modern Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2014).
- [2] H. Weyl, *Philosophy of Mathematics and the Natural Sciences* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1949).
- [3] L. Bombelli, J. Lee, D. Meyer, and R. D. Sorkin, Space-Time As a Causal Set, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521) **[59](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521)**, [521](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521) [\(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521).
- [4] D. M. T. Benincasa and F. Dowker, Scalar Curvature of a Causal Set, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.181301) **[104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.181301)**, [181301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.181301) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.181301).
- [5] A. Hamma, F. Markopoulou, S. Lloyd, F. Caravelli, S. Severini, and K. Markström, Quantum Bose-Hubbard model with an [evolving graph as a toy model for emergent spacetime,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.104032) Phys. Rev. D, **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.104032)**, [104032](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.104032) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.104032).
- [6] [T. Regge, General relativity without coordinates,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02733251) Nuovo Cimento **[19](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02733251)**, [558](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02733251) [\(1961\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02733251).
- [7] [T. Regge and R. M. Williams, Discrete structures in gravity,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.533333) J. Math. Phys. **[41](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.533333)**, [3964](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.533333) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.533333).
- [8] J. Ambjørn, J. Jurkiewicz, and R. Loll, Spectral Dimension of the Universe, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.171301) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.171301)**, [171301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.171301) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.171301).
- [9] J. Ambjørn, J. Jurkiewicz, and R. Loll, Emergence of a 4D World from Causal Quantum Gravity, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.131301) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.131301)**, [131301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.131301) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.131301).
- [10] P. Horava, Spectral Dimension of the Universe in Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.161301) **[102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.161301)**, [161301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.161301) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.161301).
- [11] F. Leymarie and M. D. Levine, Fast raster scan distance [propagation on the discrete rectangular lattice,](https://doi.org/10.1016/1049-9660(92)90008-Q) CVGIP: Image Understanding **[55](https://doi.org/10.1016/1049-9660(92)90008-Q)**, [84](https://doi.org/10.1016/1049-9660(92)90008-Q) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/1049-9660(92)90008-Q).
- [12] T. Konopka, F. Markopoulou, and S. Severini, Quantum graphity: A model of emergent locality, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.104029) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.104029)**, [104029](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.104029) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.104029).
- [13] [F. Conrady, Space as a low-temperature regime of graphs,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0135-9) J. Stat. Phys. **[142](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0135-9)**, [898](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0135-9) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0135-9).
- [14] S. Chen and S. S. Plotkin, Statistical mechanics of graph models [and their implications for emergent spacetime manifolds,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.084011) Phys. Rev. D **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.084011)**, [084011](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.084011) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.084011).
- [15] J. F. le Gall, Random geometry on the sphere, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Seoul*, Vol. 1 (Kyung Moon Sa Co. Ltd., 2014), p. 421.
- [16] E. F. Krause, *Taxicab Geometry, an Adventure in Non-Euclidean Geometry* (Addison-Wesley, Boston, 1975).
- [17] T. Fritz, Velocity polytopes of periodic graphs and a no-go theorem for digital physics, [Discrete Math.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.02.010) **[313](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.02.010)**, [1289](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.02.010) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.02.010).
- [18] [P. Erdös and R. Rado, A partition calculus in set theory,](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1956-10036-0) Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **[62](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1956-10036-0)**, [427](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1956-10036-0) [\(1956\)](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1956-10036-0).
- [19] F. H. Lutz, Enumeration and random realization of triangulated surfaces, in *Discrete Differential Geometry*, edited by A. I.

Bobenko, J. M. Sullivan, P. Schröder and G. M. Ziegler, Oberwolfach Seminars, Vol. 38 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008).

- [20] E. Steinitz and H. Rademacher, *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der Polyeder* (Springer, Berlin, 1934).
- [21] L. Euler, Elementa doctrinæ solidorum, Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Imp. Petropol. **4**, 109 (1753).
- [22] O. Bonnet, Mémoire sur la théorie générale des surfaces, J. École Polytech. **19**, 1 (1848).
- [23] N. Metropolis, A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. H. Teller, and E. Teller, Equations of state calculations by fast computing machines, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699114) **[21](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699114)**, [1087](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699114) [\(1953\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699114).
- [24] T. Aste and D. Sherrington, Glass transition in self-organizing cellular patterns, [J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/41/301) **[32](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/41/301)**, [7049](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/41/301) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/32/41/301).
- [25] N. Ikeda, Network formation determined by the diffusion process of random walkers, [J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/41/23/235005) **[41](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/41/23/235005)**, [235005](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/41/23/235005) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/41/23/235005).
- [26] A. Vázquez, Growing network with local rules: Preferential [attachment, clustering hierarchy, and degree correlations,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.056104) Phys. Rev. E **[67](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.056104)**, [056104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.056104) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.056104).
- [27] R. Toivonen, J.-P. Onnela, J. Saramäki, J. Hyvönen, and K. Kaski, A model for social networks, [Physica A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2006.03.050) **[371](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2006.03.050)**, [851](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2006.03.050) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2006.03.050).
- [28] P. L. Krapivsky, S. Redner, and F. Leyvraz, Connectivity of Growing Random Networks, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4629) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4629)**, [4629](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4629) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4629).
- [29] J. Saramäki and K. Kaski, Scale-free networks generated by random walkers, [Physica A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2004.04.110) **[341](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2004.04.110)**, [80](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2004.04.110) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2004.04.110).
- [30] F. Caravelli, A. Hamma, and M. Di Ventra, Scale-free networks as an epiphenomenon of memory, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/109/28006) **[109](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/109/28006)**, [28006](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/109/28006) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/109/28006).
- [31] K. G. Wilson, Confinement of quarks, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2445) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2445)**, [2445](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2445) [\(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2445).
- [32] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introductin to the Theory of Numbers* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008).
- [33] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevE.100.022308) 10.1103/PhysRevE.100.022308 for the code used in this work as well as a video of network model dynamics.
- [34] W. K. Burton, N. Cabrera, and F. C. Frank, The growth of [crystals and the equilibrium structure of their surfaces,](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1951.0006) Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London A **[243](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1951.0006)**, [299](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1951.0006) [\(1951\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1951.0006).
- [35] M. J. Bowick and A. Travesset, The statistical mechanics of membranes, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00128-9) **[344](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00128-9)**, [255](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00128-9) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00128-9).
- [36] [R. Gurau and J. Ryan, Melons are branched polymers,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-013-0291-3) Ann. Henri Poincaré **[15](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-013-0291-3)**, [2085](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-013-0291-3) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-013-0291-3).
- [37] U. Frisch, B. Hasslacher, and Y. Pomeau, Lattice-Gas Automata for the Navier-Stokes Equation, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.1505) **[56](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.1505)**, [1505](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.1505) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.1505).