Single-big-jump principle in physical modeling

Alessandro Vezzani,^{1,2} Eli Barkai,³ and Raffaella Burioni^{2,4}

¹IMEM, CNR Parco Area delle Scienze 37/A 43124 Parma

²Dipartimento di Matematica, Fisica e Informatica Università degli Studi di Parma, viale G.P. Usberti 7/A, 43100 Parma, Italy
 ³Department of Physics, Institute of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 52900, Israel
 ⁴INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, viale G.P. Usberti 7/A, 43100 Parma, Italy

(Received 19 April 2018; revised manuscript received 6 June 2019; published 8 July 2019)

The big-jump principle is a well-established mathematical result for sums of independent and identically distributed random variables extracted from a fat-tailed distribution. It states that the tail of the distribution of the sum is the same as the distribution of the largest summand. In practice, it means that when in a stochastic process the relevant quantity is a sum of variables, the mechanism leading to rare events is peculiar: Instead of being caused by a set of many small deviations all in the same direction, one jump, the biggest of the lot, provides the main contribution to the rare large fluctuation. We reformulate and elevate the big-jump principle beyond its current status to allow it to deal with correlations, finite cutoffs, continuous paths, memory, and quenched disorder. Doing so we are able to predict rare events using the extended big-jump principle in Lévy walks, in a model of laser cooling, in a scattering process on a heterogeneous structure, and in a class of Lévy walks with memory. We argue that the generalized big-jump principle can serve as an excellent guideline for reliable estimates of risk and probabilities of rare events in many complex processes featuring heavy-tailed distributions, ranging from contamination spreading to active transport in the cell.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.100.012108

I. INTRODUCTION

The estimation of the probability of rare events in mathematics, physics, economics, and geophysics has been investigated for decades in the context of extreme value statistics [1–4] and large deviation theory [5–8]. Rare events, like the crash of a stock market or the overflow of a river or an earthquake are clearly important but difficult to predict. A starting point for this class of problems, in the physical and mathematical literature, is the analysis of the far tail of the distribution in a basic stochastic process, useful in many modeling frameworks, i.e., the position of a random walker. Central limit theorem arguments can be used to predict the shape of the central part of a bunch of walkers, but they do not describe the far tail of the packet, which is driven by the statistics of rare fluctuations. For a random walker, rare events and the characterization of the tail of the density are extremely important. Imagine we model the spreading of some deadly poison in a medium with a random walk process. If an agent in the medium is sensitive to the poison, then one would like to estimate the far tail of the density of the poisonous particles.

We advance here the principle of the *single big jump*, which is used to analyze rare events in (roughly speaking) fat-tailed processes. Very generally, consider a process consisting of random displacements, and our observable is the sum of the displacements, namely the position of a random walker in space. The big jump principle deals with a situation where the far tail of the density of particles starting from a common origin is the same as the distribution of the largest jump in the process. This means that one big jump is dominating the statistics of the rare events of the sum. Thus, instead of experiencing a set of many small displacements all in the same direction, which would lead to a rare large (and exponentially suppressed) fluctuation, one jump, the biggest of the lot, provides the mechanism to rare events.

The big jump effect is believed to be at work in several domains of science, ranging from economics to geophysics. It has been rigorously proven for the sum of independent identically distributed (IID) random variables extracted from fat-tailed distributions [9–11] and in the presence of specific correlations [12,13]. Its extension to more physical processes is still far from being understood. Interestingly, this extension would allow for better estimates of risks and a better forecast of catastrophic events in many complex processes featuring heavy-tailed distributions, from earthquakes to biology. The main purpose of this paper is to show that, after technical and conceptual modifications, we will be able to use the principle to describe rare events in widely applicable physical models.

Mathematically, the big-jump principle is formulated for a set of *N* IID random variables $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ with a common fat-tailed (more precisely, subexponential) distribution and it reads [10,14]:

$$Prob(x_1 + \dots + x_N > X) = Prob(max\{x_1, \dots, x_N\} > X),$$
 (1)

when X is large. This means that the tail of the distribution of the largest summand is the same as the tail of the sum and in this sense the sum is dominated by a single macroscopic jump [15–18]. An example in the IID domain are Lévy flights in dimension one, which deal with a sum of displacements drawn from a power law probability density function $\lambda(x_i) \sim x_i^{-(1+\alpha)}$, and in this case one finds that Eq. (1) is also a simple power law proportional to N and to $X^{-\alpha}$. The case of IID random variables is clearly over simplified in physical modeling. In the context of spreading phenomenon, one simple reason to the breakdown of the simplified IID version of the principle of big jump is that diffusive behavior in its far tails is cutoff by finite speed of propagation. Thus the decay like $X^{-\alpha}$, predicted by the principle in its current form, is unphysical in the situations we are familiar with, that is with a finite observation time. One of our goals is to formulate the principle in more general terms and then show how the far tail of the sum behaves beyond the IID case, when the finite speed of the particles couples nontrivially space and time. This we do with the widely applicable Lévy walk model [19,20].

Second, the most common way to treat stochastic processes is with the use of stochastic differential equations, for example, Langevin equations. In this case the trajectories are continuous and in fact the jumps are infinitesimal, hence at first glance it might seem impossible to use the principle of big jump. However using a level crossing technique [21], we are able to reformulate the big-jump principle also for continuous Langevin processes, thus extending its scope dramatically. For that aim we use a model of cold atoms diffusing in optical lattices [21–23].

Third, the current status of the mathematical theory deals with the case of a sum of IID random variables, as mentioned. Clearly in many physical situations we have complex spatiotemporal correlations and these again demand a rethinking of the formulation of the big-jump principle [24,25]. The case studied here is the Lévy-Lorentz gas, which deals with the motion of test particles with fixed speed colliding with a set of scatterers with very heterogeneous spacing [26,27]. Finally, we will consider a correlated version of Lévy walks, going beyond its renewal assumption, still showing that the principle works and extending it to a wide range of physical processes with memory.

Our approach is based on the splitting of the rare event in two contributions: the first one comes from the typical length scale of the process and amounts to calculating a jump rate function; the latter deals with the estimate of an effective probability of performing a big jump, much larger than the characteristic length of the process. The effects of correlations are then included in the sum over all paths that contribute to the big jump. Moreover, while in simpler models the identification of the biggest jump is somehow obvious, for correlated and continuous processes we encounter new challenges. In all these cases, we are able to obtain an explicit form of the tail of the distribution driven by rare events. We uncover rich physics in the rare events, in the sense that while the typical fluctuations are described by the standard tool-box of central limit theorems, the rare events reveal the details of the underlying processes, like the nonanalytical behaviours found in the Lévy Lorentz gas (see details below).

Both large deviation theory and the big-jump principle investigate statistics of rare events, beyond the traditional central limit theorems. However, here end the similarities, as large deviation theory deals with systems with exponentially small fluctuations, i.e., $P(x) \sim \exp[-NI(x)]$, where N can be the number of steps in a simple random walk (the well established theory is of course much more general). The main focus there is therefore on the calculation of the rate function I(x). However, as discussed by Touchette [6], when a fat tail is present, such as, for example, in the two-sided Pareto distribution of the summands x_i , the rate function is equal to zero, so alternative approaches are needed. Further, our work sheds new light on the so called infinite covariant densities and strong anomalous diffusion [28], as we explain further down.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we start with further discussion of the IID case, and then consider the widely applicable Lévy walk model. In Sec. III we tackle the problem of big-jump definition for continuous trajectories in a Langevin equation modeling cold atom motion, and in Sec. IV we consider the case of quenched disorder in the Lévy-Lorentz gas, with an extension to a correlated random walk in Sec. V. Finally, in Secs. VI and VII we present a discussion, a list of open problems and our perspectives.

II. IID RANDOM VARIABLES, LÉVY WALKS, AND THE SINGLE BIG JUMP

A. IID random variables

Let us first recall the case of IID random variables, which can be considered the well-established starting point for our method. Consider the sum $R = \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i$ of *N* IID random variables all drawn from a common long tailed Probability Density Function (PDF), e.g.,

$$\lambda(L) = \frac{\alpha(L_0)^{\alpha}}{L^{1+\alpha}},\tag{2}$$

with $0 < L_0 < L < \infty$. So in our example, we choose a simple power law for the jump size distribution. Notice that, for IID random variables, the big-jump principle holds for the wider class of subexponential functions [10,14], that includes, for example, the Weibull distribution of the form $\lambda(L) \sim L^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\alpha |L|^{\alpha})$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$. In this case, all the moments of the distribution are finite.

According to the *single-big-jump* principle in Eq. (1), the sum *R* can be estimated, for large *R*, by the largest value of the summands, i.e., $\operatorname{Prob}(R > \tilde{R}) \simeq \operatorname{Prob}(\operatorname{Max}\{L_i\} > \tilde{R})$ [10]. This can be calculated as follows:

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\operatorname{Max}\{L_i\} > \tilde{R}) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(1 - \int_{\tilde{R}}^{\infty} \lambda(L_i) dL_i\right)$$
$$= 1 - \left(1 - \int_{\tilde{R}}^{\infty} \lambda(L) dL\right)^{N}$$
$$\simeq N \int_{\tilde{R}}^{\infty} \lambda(L) dL. \tag{3}$$

Then the PDF of *R* is given by the derivative of Eq. (3):

$$PDF(R) \sim N\alpha(L_0)^{\alpha} R^{-1-\alpha}.$$
 (4)

This well-known result holds for all $\alpha > 0$, including the cases $\alpha > 2$, where the sum is attracted to the Gaussian central limit theorem. The reason for this is that Eq. (1) holds for rare events, namely, for large *X*, where the central limit theorem does not hold. Notice also that technically the bigjump principle is related to the field of extreme value statistics, which deals with the question of the distribution of the largest random variable drawn from a set on *N* random variables [29–32]. In extreme value theories, *N* is usually taken to be



FIG. 1. Numerical verification of the big-jump principle: the sum N of IID random variables extracted from Eq. (2) is compared to their maximum and to the analytic estimate $\alpha N(R/L_0)^{-\alpha-1}$, here $L_0 = 1$.

large, which is not a demand for the principle. In particular, focusing on IID random variables described by Eq. (2), the maximum value follows a Frechét distribution [1–3] when $N \rightarrow \infty$, and for large *R* this decays precisely as a fat-tailed power law, as indicated in Eq. (4). Other types of relations between sums of random variables, not necessarily of power law type and possibly correlated summands are treated in Refs. [13,33].

In Fig. 1 we compare the sum R of N IID random variables extracted from the distribution Eq. (2) with their maximum and with the asymptotic estimate in Eq. (4). The plot shows the efficiency of the single-big-jump principle: in particular, even at large N, we get a reliable estimate of the asymptotic tail also for values of R which are close to the value that corresponds to the peak of the distribution.

In random walk theory, the sum $R = \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i$ represents the displacement of the particle starting on the origin, after Nsteps, and for simplicity we have considered positive random variables, $L_i > 0$. The results are however easily extended to any distribution with power law decay at large L_i . The case where the PDF of the step L_i is symmetric $[\lambda(L_i) = \lambda(-L_i)]$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$ in the physical literature is called the symmetric Lévy flight in dimension one. One can argue, at

least in the context of random walk theory, that the Lévy flight is marginally physical, as the mean-square displacement of the particle is infinity, $\langle R^2 \rangle = \infty$, for any N. The unphysical element of the model is that a long jump takes the same amount of time as a small jump. As mentioned in Sec. I, a more physical but still simple model is the Lévy walk. Here a velocity is introduced into the model, so that in a finite time the walker cannot reach arbitrary large distances and hence the mean-square displacement is always finite [19,20]. The Lévy walk model has found many applications [19]. For example, in the spreading of heat and energy in many body one dimensional systems, under certain conditions the spreading is described by Lévy laws, which are cut off by sound modes, so that the speed of sound is a natural cutoff in these systems. The far tail of the distribution of the Lévy walk was previously investigated, using the moment generating function approach [21,28,34]. As we now show, unlike the IID case, the principle of big jump still holds but it is not completely trivial. We will discuss a heuristic derivation of the principle, that will be useful in the following, and then describe the jump rate method.

B. Lévy walks

Let us now consider a one-dimensional Lévy walk where the length of the jumps $L_i > 0$ is again extracted from the distribution $\lambda(L)$ in Eq. (2) but in each jump the distance L_i is covered with probability 1/2 at velocity $v_i = v$ and with probability 1/2 at velocity $v_i = -v$ (v > 0). An event corresponding to the extraction of a new jump can be considered as a collision. The step lengths and the velocities are mutually independent random variables and the process is renewed after each jump. Each step is covered in the finite time $\tau_i = L_i/v$, and one can equivalently define the model by extracting the duration of each step from the distribution $\psi(\tau) = v\lambda(\tau v)$. At time T = 0, the walker begins its motion extracting the first jump, then we observe the system at the measurement time T. The number of collisions N at time T is now given by the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i \leq T < \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \tau_i$, so here N is random, unlike the previously studied case of Lévy flights. The time $\tau_B = L_B / v = T - \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i$ is called the backward recurrence time. The relevant quantity now is the PDF P(R, T), i.e., the probability for the walker to be at time *T* at distance *R* from the starting point: $R = |\sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i v_i + \tau_B v_{i+1}| = |\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i v_i / v_i + L_B v_{i+1} / v|$. The process is symmetric with respect to the origin and therefore the distance R fully describes the density of particles in space. Due to the finite velocity, the walker in a time T cannot reach distances larger than vT. Hence we expect that P(R, T) = 0 for R > vT so that the moments $\langle R^q(T) \rangle$ are clearly finite for any value of q.

The Lévy-Gauss central limit theorem can be used to show that the PDF P(R, T) displays the following scaling form: $P(R, T) = \ell(T)^{-1} f[R/\ell(T)]$ where the scaling length behaves as $\ell(T) \sim T^{1/2}$ for $\alpha > 2$, $\ell(T) \sim T^{1/\alpha}$ for $1 < \alpha <$ 2 and as $\ell(T) \sim T$ for $\alpha < 1$ [19,20]. These dynamical phases are called normal for $\alpha > 2$ [since the scaling function f(.)is Gaussian], superdiffusive for $1 < \alpha < 2$ (since the meansquare displacement grows faster than normal) and ballistic for $\alpha < 1$. The form of the scaling function f(.), the moments of the process and its extensions, for example, to higher dimensions, were obtained in previous works [19,20,35].

The big-jump principle does not deal with the scaling of the PDF P(R, T) on the typical length scale $\ell(T)$. The focus here is on rare events, when *R* is large and of the order of vT. The big-jump principle then suggests that, asymptotically, when \tilde{R} is large,

$$\operatorname{Prob}(R > \tilde{R}) = \operatorname{Prob}(\bar{R}_M > \tilde{R}), \tag{5}$$

where $\bar{R}_M = \max\{L_1, ..., L_B\}$.

Following the derivation for IID presented in the previous section, the PDF P(R, T) for large R can be estimated as follows. During a big jump, which is of order of vT, the trajectory does not renew itself in a time interval R/v < T. In the total remaining time T - R/v the walker is generating attempts (renewals) to make the big jump. For $\alpha > 1$ the average time between collision events $\langle \tau \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\tau \psi(\tau)\tau$ is finite, and this is the case investigated here. The renewal rate is $\langle \tau \rangle^{-1}$ and so the typical number of renewals is

$$N_{\rm eff} \sim (T - R/v)/\langle \tau \rangle,$$
 (6)

which provides a nice estimate for large times: $T \gg \langle \tau \rangle$. We can argue that for $L_0 \ll \tilde{R} < vT$, $\operatorname{Prob}(R > \tilde{R}) = \operatorname{Prob}(\bar{R}_M > \tilde{R})$ is given by the number of renewals times the probability for a jump to bring the particle a distance larger than \tilde{R} :

. .

$$\operatorname{Prob}(R > \tilde{R}) \simeq N_{\text{eff}} \int_{\tilde{R}}^{\infty} \lambda(L) dL$$
$$= \frac{T - \tilde{R}/v}{\langle \tau \rangle} \int_{\tilde{R}}^{\infty} \lambda(L) dL \ll 1, \qquad (7)$$

while for $\tilde{R} > vT$, $\operatorname{Prob}(R > \tilde{R}) = 0$. Now we obtain the PDF by taking the derivative. For large *R* we get P(R, T) = 0 if R > vT and

$$P(R,T) \simeq \frac{1}{\nu\langle\tau\rangle} \int_{R}^{\infty} \lambda(L) dL + \frac{T - R/\nu}{\langle\tau\rangle} \lambda(R)$$
$$\simeq B_0(R,T) + B_1(R,T), \tag{8}$$

if R < vT, with

$$B_0(R,T) = \frac{1}{v\langle \tau \rangle} (L_0)^{\alpha} R^{-\alpha}$$
(9)

and

$$B_1(R,T) = \frac{T - R/v}{\langle \tau \rangle} \alpha(L_0)^{\alpha} R^{-1-\alpha}, \qquad (10)$$

which is the exact result for the tail of the PDF of the Lévy walk, obtained by a different method [28,34]. Compared with the IID case, all we did was to replace N with N_{eff} , still this heuristic argument provides the known result. This is an indication that the big-jump principle is a useful simple tool to obtain asymptotic results at ease, and this will be now extended to interpret the physical meaning of the two terms B_0 and B_1 . This form of the PDF holds for the scaling region $R \sim vT$, namely for rare events, while for $R \sim \ell(T)$ the distribution is described by the Lévy-Gauss central limit theorem. So for $\alpha > 1$ the principle of big jump gives the far tail of the distribution and hence is complementary to the central limit theorem.

Notice that $B_0(R, T) + B_1(R, T) \equiv T^{-\alpha}I_{\alpha}(R/vT)$ in Eq. (8), with

$$I_{\alpha}(y) = C[\alpha y^{-(1+\alpha)} - (\alpha - 1)y^{-\alpha}], \qquad (11)$$

is not normalized, as its integration diverges due to the pole in $y \rightarrow 0$ in Eq. (11), which stems from $B_1(R, T)$. This is hardly surprising, since as we have just mentioned this equation works only for $R \sim vT$ and the divergence stems from the $R \rightarrow 0$ limit. The nonnormalized expression $T^{-\alpha}I_{\alpha}(R/vT)$ is called an infinite density, since while being nonnormalized (hence the term infinite) it can be used to compute exactly the moments $\langle R^q \rangle$ with $q > \alpha$. The idea is that moments which are integrable with respect to this nonnormalizable function can be computed as if it was a standard density. In other words, the function $R^q I_{\alpha}(R/vT)/T^{\alpha}$ is integrable, as R^q cures the divergence of the density on $R \to 0$ when $q > \alpha$. Infinite densities play an important role also in ergodic theory. We remark that in $I_{\alpha}(R/vT)$ there is a scaling length that grows linearly with time. This means that, when the single big jump dominates the dynamics, the typical space-time relation of the single step is ballistic (for any α), and this ballistic scale controls the behavior of the far tail of the density.

C. Lévy walks: The jump rate and the big jump

In Lévy walks, the mean waiting time between renewals is finite for $\alpha > 1$. We will therefore use now an alternative approach to derive the tail of the PDF P(R, T), based on the *rate of attempts* of making a big jump. This will provide a physical interpretation of the terms $B_0(R, T)$ and $B_1(R, T)$ and it will be useful when we will apply the big-jump principle in more complex processes further on.

Let us consider N_T the average number of jumps up to time T, we define the jump rate $r_{\text{eff}}(T_w) = dN_T/dT$. For the sake of the analysis performed in the next sections, r_{eff} can depend on time, while here $r_{\text{eff}}(T_w) = \langle \tau \rangle^{-1}$, since the mean duration of a step is constant and finite for $\alpha > 1$. We also define $p_{\text{tot}}(L, T_w)dLdT_w$, i.e., the probability that the walker in the time interval $[T_w, T_w + dT_w]$ performs a jump of length between L and L + dL. Since the jump length L is uncorrelated from the jumping time, it follows that $p_{\text{tot}}(L, T_w)dLdT_w = r_{\text{eff}}(T_w)\lambda(L)dLdT_w$.

Now, at large *R* the big-jump principle states that the PDF P(R, T) is determined by the largest jump occurring up to time *T*. Let us analyze heuristically the motion, following Fig. 2: at a time $T_w \in [0, T]$ the walker takes its longest step $L \sim vT$. The propagation of the walker up to T_w is of order of $\ell(T_w) \ll L$ and it can be neglected. After this big jump, the motion of the walker can again be neglected since it covers a distance of order $\ell(T - R/v - T_w) \ll L$. Summing up, in the big-jump picture: the walker remains at the starting point up to time T_w , then it performs a jump of length $L \sim vT$, after that it remains in *L*. We now have to sum $p_{tot}(L, T_w) dLdT_w$ over all the paths (*L* and T_w) that take the walker at a distance *R* at time *T* and, as shown in Fig. 2, two different kind of processes are possible.

In the first path in Fig. 2(a), $L > v(T - T_w)$, the walker is still moving in the big jump at T and $R = v(T - T_w)$, i.e., L > R, $T_w = T - R/v$ and $dT_w = dR/v$. Clearly all the jumps of length L > R contribute to the process ending in R,



FIG. 2. The big jump starts at time T_w and this jump can either lead you to the time horizon of the Lévy walk (left panel) or it may start and end before the completion of the process at time T (right panel). These processes are used in the text to explain the meaning of the two terms contributing to the far tail distribution of the Lévy walker. To investigate rare fluctuations of the total displacement, we need to consider only the big jump, while in the time interval $(0, T_w)$ we generate attempts to make the big jump with a rate specified in the text.

so the probability density $B_0(R, T)$ of this process is

$$B_0(R, T)dR = r_{\rm eff}(T - R/v)dR/v \int_R^\infty \lambda(L)dL$$
$$= \frac{dR}{v\langle\tau\rangle} \left(\frac{L_0}{R}\right)^\alpha, \tag{12}$$

since here $r_{\rm eff}(T - R/v) = r_{\rm eff}(T_w) = \langle \tau \rangle^{-1}$. If $L < v(T - T_w)$ [Fig. 2(b)], then the walker ends its motion in *L* so that R = L and dR = dL. This process is possible for all the T_w such that $T_w < T - R/v$. The probability of reaching *R* is then obtained integrating over the different T_w arriving at the same position:

$$B_{1}(R,T)dR = \frac{\alpha L_{0}^{\alpha} dR}{R^{1+\alpha}} \int_{0}^{T-R/\nu} r_{\text{eff}}(T_{w})dT_{w}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha L_{0}^{\alpha}(T-R/\nu)}{\langle \tau \rangle R^{1+\alpha}} dR.$$
(13)

Summing Eqs. (12) and (13) we get Eq. (8). This explains that two different processes give rise to the terms $B_0(R, T)$ and $B_1(R, T)$.

The results obtained here can be easily generalized to different definitions of Lévy walks. For example, in dimensions larger than one, or in the case of random velocity (e.g., Gaussian velocity distribution). In the wait first model [19,36,37], where the particle is localized in space, and then makes an instantaneous displacement, big jumps can be generated only by the second process since the particle is at rest at the moment of observation *T*, and the tail of P(R, T) is given by $B_1(R, T)$ only. Another possible extension where the big-jump principle can be applied is the model where the motion of the particle is not ballistic [38]. An important example of accelerated motion will be discussed in detail in Sec. III.



FIG. 3. Numerical verification of the big-jump principle for the Lévy Walk: P(R, T) is compared to $P(\bar{R}_m, T)$ and to the analytic estimate $I_{\alpha}(R/T)$.

In Fig. 3 we present our main results and compare them with finite time simulations. We plot the far tail of the PDF as a function of R/T, for $\alpha = 1.5$ and $\alpha = 2.2$. As expected, in the long-time limit the densities fully agree with the big-jump approximation. We also plot the distribution of the largest jump \bar{R}_M . The agreement between the distribution of \bar{R}_M and the distribution of the total displacements for large R is clearly visible and both distributions converge to the asymptotic results in a finite timescale. Figure 4 shows indeed that the biggest jump \bar{R}_M and the final position R are correlated: each dot represent \bar{R}_M and R for a single walker at $T = 2^{16}$. For large R we observe that $\bar{R}_M \approx R$, while for short distances large fluctuations are present due to multiple processes.

III. ANOMALOUS DIFFUSION FOR COLD ATOMS IN OPTICAL LATTICES

Both for IID random variables and for Lévy walks, the concept of "jump" is very clear: the displacement between renewals. But in real data we may have continuous trajectories, where the jump is not well defined (we are ignoring here sampling effects, which naturally lead to jumps. The



FIG. 4. Numerical verification of the direct correlation between the final position of the walker R and $\bar{R}_M = \max\{L_1, ..., L_B\}$. Dots represent the final position R of the walker at $T = 2^{16}$ as a function of the maximum jump \bar{R}_M of the same walker. Red line correspond to the linear plot $R = \bar{R}_M$.

topic of sampling is left for future work). Hence, now we turn to a model known to generate Lévy statistics, both in theory [21,39] and in the laboratory [40], based on a nonlinear Langevin equation. This is Sisyphus cooling [39,41,42]. Within this theory, energy dissipation of atoms in an optical traps can be described by the Langevin equation

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{v}{1+v^2} + \sqrt{2D}\xi(t),$$
 (14)

where $\xi(t)$ is a white Gaussian noise with zero mean: $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')$ and v represents the atom velocity. In Eq. (14) and all along this section we are using dimensionless variables for velocity, time and space (see details in Ref. [22]). The space R covered by the atom in a time T is

$$R(T) = \int_0^T v(t)dt.$$
 (15)



FIG. 5. A realization of a path of the Langevin Eq. (14) for an atom in an optical lattice. The zero crossing define time intervals between renewal events since the underlying Langevin process is Markovian. We show the waiting times, $\{\tau_1, ..., \tau^*\}$ which are known to follow power law statistics, and in red we show the big jump. Notice that at observation time *T* the process is not crossing zero, so the last time interval called the backward recurrence time τ^* , must be treated differently. For further details see Ref. [23].

The motion of the atoms in this framework has been studied in Refs. [21–23]. The dynamical evolution can be described in terms of a random walk where each step is defined by two subsequent events with v(t) = 0, as described in Fig. 5. Thus, we will use the zero crossings of the velocity process to describe a jump and with this we will check the validity of the big-jump principle [43]. More precisely the size of each jump is the area under the velocity curve between two zero crossings. Using this definition, according to Eq. (14), the steps of the walker are uncorrelated but the duration and the length of each single step should be extracted by a complex distribution relating in a non trivial way space and time. In particular, the joint distribution for a step having length *L* and duration τ is

$$\Phi_E(L,\tau) = g(\tau)\phi_E(L|\tau), \tag{16}$$

where $g(\tau)$ is the PDF for a step of duration τ and $\phi_E(L|\tau)$ is the conditional PDF for *L* given τ . In Ref. [22] it has been shown that for large τ

$$g(\tau) \simeq g_* \tau^{-1-3\nu/2},$$
 (17)

where g_* is a numerical constant and the exponent ν depends on the noise *D* in Eq. (14) as

$$\nu = \frac{1+D}{3D}.$$
 (18)

The conditional PDF $\phi_E(L|\tau)$ obeys the following scaling property:

$$\phi_E(L|\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}} B_E(L/\tau^{3/2}). \tag{19}$$

The scaling function $B_E(x)$ exponentially decays to zero at large x and its analytic expression has been evaluated in Ref. [22]. Equation (19) shows that a step of length L is

covered in a time of order $\tau^{3/2}$. This accelerated motion corresponds to the ballistic motion of a single step in the Lévy walks. From Eqs. (14)–(19) we also get that the probability that a step has length *L* is $q(L) \sim L^{-\nu-1}$.

In Ref. [21] the motion of the walker at short distances has been studied using techniques similar to the Lévy walk case, with ν playing the same role of the exponent α . In particular for $\nu > 2$ (i.e., D < 1/5) both the mean-square displacement $\langle L^2 \rangle$ and the mean duration $\langle \tau \rangle$ of a step are finite; therefore, P(R, T) is a Gaussian with a characteristic length $\ell(T) \sim$ $T^{1/2}$. For $2/3 < \nu < 2$ (i.e., 1/5 < D < 1) the mean duration of a step is finite but the mean-square displacement diverges; in this case P(R, T) is described by a Lévy scaling function $L_{\nu}(\cdot)$ i.e., $P(R, T) = \ell(T)^{-1}L_{\nu}[R/\ell(T)]$, where $\ell(T) \sim T^{1/\nu}$. Finally for $\nu < 2/3$ (i.e., D > 1) the motion is accelerated as $T^{3/2}$ and $P(R, T) = \ell(T)^{-1}f_{\nu}[R/\ell(T)]$ with $\ell(T) \sim T^{3/2}$ $[f_{\nu}(\cdot)$ is a ν dependent scaling function].

In the case $\nu > 2/3$ we expect that the probability of finding a particle in a position $R \sim T^{3/2} \gg \ell(T)$ can be evaluated considering a single big jump leading it to a distance $L \sim T^{3/2} \gg \ell(T)$. In particular we can consider, at time T_w , the probability $p_{\text{tot}}(L, T_w, \tau) dL dT_w d\tau$ that the particle makes a jump of length *L* and duration τ : Taking into account that the probability of making a step is $\langle \tau \rangle^{-1}$ independently of T_w , we have

$$p_{\text{tot}}(L, T_w, \tau) = \frac{\Phi_E(L, \tau)}{\langle \tau \rangle}.$$
 (20)

The PDF P(R, T) can be calculated taking into account the different processes driving the particle at a distance R at time T with a single jump of length L. As in the case of Lévy walks there are two possibilities. First the particle can make a jump of length L = R and duration τ ; such a jump can be made at any $T_w \in [0, T - \tau]$. Moreover, all the values of $\tau \in [0, T]$ have to be taken into account. Since dR = dL, we get the contribution of this process to P(R, T) by integrating over all possible τ and T_w :

$$\int_{0}^{T} d\tau \Phi_{E}(R,\tau) \int_{0}^{T-\tau} \frac{dT_{w}}{\langle \tau \rangle}$$
$$\sim \int_{0}^{T} d\tau \frac{g_{*}(T-\tau)}{\langle \tau \rangle \tau^{\frac{5}{2}+\frac{3y}{2}}} B_{E}\left(\frac{R}{\tau^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) = B_{1}(R,T), \quad (21)$$

where the second expression holds for large *R* and *T*. In this case, the single step is characterized by a superballistic motion where in a time *T* the particle covers a distance of order $T^{3/2}$, therefore the natural rescaled variable is $z = R/T^{3/2}$. Defining $y = R/\tau^{3/2}$ we get

$$B_{1}(z,T) = \frac{g_{*}}{T^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\nu}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle z^{1+\nu}} \int_{z}^{\infty} dy \left(1 - (z/y)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right) y^{\nu} B_{E}(y).$$
(22)

As for the Lévy walk, another kind of process provides a non trivial contribution to P(R, T), i.e., when at time T the walker is still moving in the big jump. In this case, one has to consider the probability to perform a jump longer than R. Since the motion is the result of a Langevin stochastic process, the distance R can be covered in different times τ^* , hence, we call $\Psi_M(R, \tau^*)$ the probability to cover in a step a distance larger than R arriving in R exactly at τ^* . According to Refs. [21,23] we can write

$$\Psi_M(R, \tau^*) = w(\tau^*) \frac{1}{\tau^{*\frac{3}{2}}} B_M\left(\frac{R}{\tau^{*\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \tag{23}$$

where $w(\tau^*) = \int_{\tau^*}^{\infty} g(\tau) d\tau$ is the probability of making a jump of duration longer than τ^* , while $\psi_M(R|\tau^*) = \tau^{*-3/2} B_M(R/\tau^{*3/2})$ is the conditional probability of covering a distance larger than R given τ^* . We remark that $\Psi_M(R, \tau^*)$ can be introduced also in Lévy walks where ballistic motion entails that trivially: $\Psi_M(R, \tau^*) = \delta(R - v\tau^*) \int_R^{\infty} \lambda(L) dL$. However, if the motion during the step is determined by Eq. (14), then $B_M(\cdot)$ displays a nontrivial behavior (see Ref. [22] for details). We notice that only the jumps occurring at $T_w = T - \tau^*$ bring the walker in R at time T. Therefore, integration over T_w is not necessary or equivalently we can insert a delta function. However, different τ^* provide different contributions to the process, so we have to integrate over the possible $\tau^* \in [0, T]$. Taking into account that the jumping rate $\langle \tau \rangle^{-1}$ is independent of T_w , the contribution to the PDF is

$$\int_0^T d\tau^* \Psi_M(R, \tau^*) \int_0^T dT_w \frac{\delta(T_w - T + \tau_*)}{\langle \tau \rangle}$$
$$\sim \frac{g_*}{\langle \tau \rangle} \frac{2}{3\nu} \int_0^T d\tau^* \frac{1}{\tau^{*\frac{3}{2}(1+\nu)}} B_M\left(\frac{R}{\tau^{*\frac{3}{2}}}\right) = B_0(R, T), \quad (24)$$

where we take into account that for large τ^* we have $w(\tau^*) \sim g_* \int_{\tau^*}^{\infty} \tau^{-1-3\nu/2} d\tau = g_*(2/3\nu)\tau^{*-3\nu/2}$. Introducing in Eq. (25) the rescaled variable $z = R/T^{3/2}$ and defining $y = R/\tau^{*3/2}$ we get

$$B_0(z,T) = \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\nu}{2}}} \frac{2g_*}{3\nu\langle \tau \rangle z^{1+\nu}} \int_z^\infty dy (z/y)^{\frac{2}{3}} y^\nu B_M(y).$$
(25)

Summing the contributions to P(R, T) in Eqs (22) and (25) we get

$$P(R,T) \sim B_0(z,T) + B_1(z,T) = \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\nu}{2}}} I_\nu \left(R/T^{\frac{3}{2}} \right), \quad (26)$$

i.e., the expression obtained in Ref. [23] with a totally different method. In Ref. [23] a comparison of Eq. (26) with numerical simulations is also presented showing a very nice agreement in the asymptotic regime. As discussed in details in Ref. [23], Eq. (26) is not normalized, since $I_{\nu}(x)$ diverges for $x \rightarrow 0$. This again is hardly surprising since Eq. (26) works for large values of *R*. Still the big-jump principle provides the moments of order $q > \nu$, and as such it gives the infinite density of the process (like the simpler Lévy walk case). We remark that also in this case the long tails are described by the same scaling length characterizing the single jump dynamics, i.e., $T^{3/2}$ which plays the same role of the ballistic motion in the Lévy walk case.

IV. THE SINGLE BIG JUMP IN THE LÉVY-LORENTZ GAS

A. The Lévy-Lorentz gas

The approach introduced for the Lévy walk, which takes into account the different contributions to the big jumps in the PDF, can be applied to the case of a walker moving in a random sequence of 1D scatterers spaced according to a Lévy distribution [26], i.e., a Lévy-Lorentz gas. This is a prototypical model where the highly non trivial correlation



FIG. 6. Short-distance scaling of the PDF for the Lévy-Lorentz gas according to Eqs. (27) and (28). A Gaussian PDF is observed for $\alpha > 1$.

among the steps is introduced by the quenched disorder, that is the positions of the scatterers in a sample. We build the system placing a scatterer on the origin and spacing the others in the positive and negative directions so that the probability density for two consecutive scatterers to be at distance L is $\lambda(L)$ as defined in Eq. (2). A continuous time random walk [44] is naturally defined on the 1D quenched scatterers distribution: a walker starts from the scatterer in the origin, then it moves with constant velocity v until it reaches one of the scatterers, and then it is transmitted or reflected with probability 1/2. We consider walkers starting from a scattering point. Indeed it is known that for $\alpha < 1$, i.e., when the average distance between scatterers diverges, the results in the asymptotic region depend on the initial position of the walker [26,45]. Moreover, the PDF to be at distance R from the origin at time T P(R, T) has been obtained by averaging both on the walker trajectories and on the realizations of the disorder.

In Refs. [27,46], using an analogy with an equivalent electrical model [47], it has been shown that the bulk part of P(R, T) displays a scaling behavior with a characteristic length $\ell(T)$ growing as

$$\ell(T) \sim \begin{cases} T^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ T^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \end{cases}.$$
 (27)

In particular, the scaling form of P(R, T) reads

$$P(R,T) = \ell^{-1}(T)f[R/\ell(T)] + B(R,T),$$
(28)

with a convergence in probability to $\ell^{-1}(T)f[R/\ell(T)]$,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_0^\infty |P(R, T) - \ell^{-1}(T) f[R/\ell(T)]| dR = 0.$$
 (29)

The leading contribution to P(R, T) is hence $\ell^{-1}(T)$ $f[R/\ell(T)]$, which is significantly different from zero only for $R \leq \ell(T)$. The short-distance behavior described by Eqs. (27)–(29) has been tested in numerical simulations, as shown in Fig. 6, and then rigorously proved in a series of recent papers [48–51].

The subleading term B(R, T) (that satisfies $\lim_{T\to\infty} \int |B(R, T)| dR = 0$), describes the behavior of P(R, T) at larger distances, i.e., $\ell(T) \ll R < vT$ [since the velocity v is finite, B(R, T) is strictly zero for R > vT]. Notice that B(R, T) can provide important contributions to higher moments of the distribution:

$$\langle R^{q}(T) \rangle = \int_{0}^{K\ell(T)} \ell^{-1}(T) f(R/\ell(T)) R^{q} dR$$
$$+ \int_{K\ell(T)}^{vT} B(R,T) R^{q} dR, \qquad (30)$$



FIG. 7. Rescaling of the PDF for the Lévy-Lorentz gas according to Eq. (34). The data at $\alpha = 0.5$, $\alpha = 1.2$, $\alpha = 1.5$, and $\alpha = 2.2$ are obtained by averaging over 5×10^7 , 5×10^8 , 10^9 , and 4×10^9 walkers moving on different realizations of the structure. Notice the discontinuities at R/(vT) = 1/3, 1/5, 1/7..., which are found for all values of α .

where K is a finite constant, as the first term can be subleading with respect to the second integral for large enough q. Notice that Eq. (30) contains once again the natural cutoff vT, which is the maximum distance that the walker can cover in a time T. This suggests that the ballistic scaling length vTcharacterizing each single step becomes dominant at large distance.

We will show that B(R, T) exhibits the following scaling:

$$B(R,T) \sim \begin{cases} T^{-\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^2}{1+\alpha}} I_{\alpha}\left(\frac{R}{vT}\right) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ T^{-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\frac{R}{vT}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha \end{cases},$$
(31)

where $I_{\alpha}(x)$ is an α -dependent scaling function that we will evaluate analytically using the big-jump principle. The single jump dynamics gives rise to a ballistic scaling length vT. We will show that $\int I_{\alpha}(x)dx = \infty$ and therefore $I_{\alpha}(.)$ is an infinite density [28,34] and as discussed in Eq. (30) for large enough p > 0, $I_{\alpha}(x)$ can be used to estimate the moments of the process. In particular, the competition of timescales in Eqs. (27)–(31) provides the full behavior of $\langle R^q(T) \rangle$ as a function of time [27,52]:

$$\langle R^{q}(t) \rangle \sim \begin{cases} T^{\frac{q}{1+\alpha}} \sim \ell(T)^{q} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \ q < \alpha \\ T^{\frac{q(1+\alpha)-\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \ q > \alpha \\ T^{\frac{q}{2}} \sim \ell(T)^{q} & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \ q < 2\alpha - 1 \\ T^{\frac{1}{2}+q-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \ q > 2\alpha - 1 \end{cases}$$
(32)

In Ref. [27] the asymptotic behaviors of Eqs. (32) has been obtained using a single big-jump heuristic argument and it has been shown that the results are consistent with numerical simulations. Similar estimates, always based on single big-jump arguments, have been obtained in higher dimensions [53,54].

However, $I_{\alpha}(x)$ is not merely a mean to generate moments, as it describes the far tails of P(R, T). In particular, numerical simulations presented in Fig. 7 show that Eq. (31) provides the correct scaling behavior for P(R, T) at large *R*. Furthermore it is evident from these figures that the far tail of the spreading particles is nontrivial in the sense that the packet exhibits nonanalytical behaviors and surprising step like structures.



FIG. 8. The single big-jump process for in Lévy Lorentz gas. Panels (a)–(c) refer to the cases with 0, 1, and 2 reflections, respectively. The distance of the scattering points *L* corresponding to the big jump is in orange, the final position *R* is in magenta and the total traveled distance in the big jump $v(T - T_w)$ is in blue.

B. An analytical estimate of the big jump

Let us now introduce our derivation following the reasoning applied for the simple Lévy Walk. We assume that the motion of the walker at large distance $R \sim vT$ is determined by a single stochastic event occurring at the crossing time T_w . At T_w , the walker crosses a scattering point, and this scatterer is followed by a large jump of length $L \sim vT$, where the walker moves ballistically. Up to time T_w , the motion of the walker can be neglected since it is of order $R \sim \ell(T_w) \ll vT$. After crossing this long gap, the motion of the walker can be considered deterministic, since the borders of the gap acts as a perfect reflective walls at least on timescale of order T. Indeed for a recurrent random walk the probability that the walker is not reflected vanishes at long times. So, the motion, shown in Fig. 8, is the following: up to time T_w the walker remains at the starting point, then it bounces back and forth in the gap of length L for a time $T - T_w$.

First we discuss the property of the crossing time T_w . We call $N_{\text{eff}}(T_w)$ the number of distinct sites crossed by the walker up to time T_w . $N_{\text{eff}}(T_w)$ has been studied in Ref. [27], where it is shown that for large enough times $N_{\text{eff}}(T_w) \sim (T_w/\tau_0)^{1/2}$ if

j

 $\alpha > 1$ and $N_{\rm eff}(T_w) \sim (T_w/\tau_0)^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}$ if $\alpha < 1$ (τ_0 is a suitable time constant). This estimate is obtained taking into account that before entering the large gap the walker has typically moved of $\ell(T)$ and the number of scatterer within a distance $\ell(t)$ is proportional to $\ell(t)$ if $\alpha > 1$ and to $\ell(T)^{\alpha}$ if $\alpha < 1$; see Ref. [47]. We define $r_{\rm eff}(T_w)$ as the (now time dependent) rate at which the walker crosses scattering sites that have never been reached before, i.e.,

$$r_{\rm eff}(T_w) = \frac{dN_{\rm eff}(T_w)}{dT_w} \sim \begin{cases} T_w^{-\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} \tau_0^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ T_w^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha \end{cases}$$
(33)

The value of τ_0 is in general not known since we evaluate N_{eff} using a scaling argument which provides only the functional form of $N_{\text{eff}}(T_w)$. However, in the final result for $B(R, T) \tau_0$ only determines the value of a global factor which has to be suitably fixed in the comparison with numerical simulations.

We introduce the probability $p_{tot}(L, T_w)dT_wdL$ $(L_0/v \ll T_w < T)$ at time T_w that the walker enters into a gap of length L never visited before $(L \sim vT)$. Since the distribution of the gap length $\lambda(L)$ is time independent we have $p_{tot}(L, T_w) = r_{eff}(T_w)\lambda(L)$ where $r_{eff}(T_w)dT_w$ is the probability that at T_w the walker crosses a site never visited before and $\lambda(L)dL$ is the probability that this site is followed by a gap of length L. Now we estimate P(R, T) by integrating $p_{tot}(L, T_w)dT_wdL$ over all the paths that at T reach the same distance R and then we change the integration variables from L and T_w to R. Once again, it is convenient to study separately the processes performing a different number of reflections and evaluate the contribution that each process gives to B(R, T). We obtain the scaling form described in Eq. (31) with

$$I_{\alpha}(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n,\alpha}(r), \qquad (34)$$

where the functions $f_{n,\alpha}(r)$ describe the processes with *n* reflections; see Appendix A for details. In particular, if the walker does not perform any reflection, then we have

$$f_{0,\alpha}(r) = \frac{L_0^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_0^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}r^{\alpha}} \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1$$
$$f_{0,\alpha}(r) = \frac{L_0^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}r^{\alpha}} \quad \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha, \qquad (35)$$

while for an odd number and an even number n > 0 of reflections we get, respectively,

$$f_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{L_{0}^{\alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha}\alpha}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \theta(1-nr) \int_{0}^{1-nr} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(1+r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1$$

$$f_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{L_{0}^{\alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha}\alpha}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \theta(1-nr) \int_{0}^{1-nr} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \quad \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha$$

$$f_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{L_{0}^{\alpha}n^{\alpha}\alpha}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \theta[1-(n+1)r] \int_{0}^{1-(n+1)r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(1-r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1$$

$$f_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{L_{0}^{\alpha}n^{\alpha}\alpha}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \theta[1-(n+1)r] \int_{0}^{1-(n+1)r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(1-r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1$$

$$(37)$$

The integral defining $f_{n,\alpha}(r)$ can be evaluated numerically. Due to the θ -functions in $f_{n,\alpha}(r)$, for any value of r only a finite number of terms gives a non zero contribution to the sum in Eq. (34). In particular, as $r \to 0$ the number of terms must be increased, while for r > 1/3 only two terms are needed. This means that $I_{\alpha}(r)$ is nonanalytic (the derivatives are discontinuous) when

$$r = \frac{1}{2m+1}$$
 with $m = 1, 2, ...$ (38)

This is a consequence of the non analytic dynamics at the reflections in 0 and L.

In Appendix A we also analyze the behavior of $I_{\alpha}(r)$ at small r showing that $I_{\alpha}(r) \sim r^{-(1+\alpha)}$ for $r \to 0$. This means that $I_{\alpha}(r)$ is not an integrable function in r = 0 and therefore it is an infinite density as in previous cases.

C. Numerical results

Let us now compare the analytical results with numerical simulations. In Fig. 7 the PDF is rescaled according to Eq. (34) and the theoretical scaling function $I_{\alpha}(r)$ is plotted with a thick magenta line. Here we used the same simulation data of Fig. 6, introducing only a different scaling procedure. $I_{\alpha}(r)$ has been evaluated summing up to 100 terms in Eq. (34) so that the numerical error on the analytic result is negligible at least for r > 0.01. The curves scale quite well and they collapse on the predicted function. Clearly numerical results are closer to the analytical prediction for large times and large r. Indeed our result is exact in the limit $R \gg \ell(T)$ and $T \gg \ell(T)$. The figure shows the nonanalytic behavior of $I_{\alpha}(r)$ with a discontinuity in its derivative for $r = \frac{1}{2m+1}$ with $m = 1, 2, \dots$ Also these nonanalyticities in simulations are observed only in the long asymptotic regime when the reflection time is negligible with respect to the evolution time, giving rise to instantaneous nonanalytic reflections.

We also remark that at small α (i.e., $\alpha < 1$) the numerical simulations converge to the scaling function at very long times, indeed in this case $\ell(T)$ grows faster and the condition $\ell(T) \ll vT$ is realized at larger *T*. However, for small α large time simulations can be numerically afforded quite easily, as the computational times grows with the number of scattering events and not with *T*. However, for large α (i.e., $\alpha > 2$) the curves converge at small times but simulations are very demanding: They require an average over a huge number of disorder realizations, since in this case the ballistic stretch with $L \sim vT$ are extremely rare events (see the number of realizations for data at $\alpha = 2.2$ which are still very noisy).

In Fig. 9 using a logarithmic scale we show that $I_{\alpha}(r) \sim r^{-(1+\alpha)}$ at small R/(vT), i.e., one has to consider to be valid both the regimes $R \gg \ell(T)$ and $R \ll vT$. We notice that in simulations the timescales are too small to sample this power-law regime.

V. CORRELATED LÉVY WALKS

The Lévy-Lorentz gas can be considered a peculiar example of a correlated Lévy walk. Indeed, jumps in the spatial region which has not been yet reached by the walker are renewals of the motion, i.e., one can say that step lengths are randomly extracted from $\lambda(L)$. On the contrary, in regions which have been already visited by the walker, the motion is strongly correlated. Indeed, in the same framework, the length of the jumps is fixed by the previous evolution of the walker which determines the position of the scattering points. Therefore, one can argue that the big-jump argument can be applied in a wide range of correlated random walks with memory characterized by subexponential big jumps. Let us introduce an example clarifying this possibility.

We consider a random walk that at each step covers with probability (1-p)/2 at velocity v a distance L extracted from $\lambda(L)$ defined in Eq. (2); with the same probability it covers the same distance L but at velocity -v; finally, with probability p the walker makes a jump of the same length of the jump in the previous step, but it moves with opposite velocity, i.e., its reflected to the starting point of the previous step. This dynamical rule gives rise to a correlation in the motion of the walkers and an analytic study of the PDF P(R, T) is non trivial due to memory effects. However, correlations decays exponentially with the number of steps as p^n ; therefore, the universal behavior is the same of the standard Lévy walks. In particular, as we show in Appendix B at short distances for $\alpha > 1$ one recovers the same behavior of standard Lévy walk provided that time is rescaled by a factor (1 - p) and space is rescaled by $(1 + p)^{1/2}$ for $\alpha > 2$ and by $(1 + p)^{1/\alpha}$ for $1 < \alpha < 2$. Numerical simulations in Fig. 10 show indeed that for $\alpha > 2$ the PDF is Gaussian and it has a diffusive scaling. For $1 < \alpha < 2$ we show that after rescaling space and time the scaling length becomes $\ell(T) \sim$ $[(1-p)/(1+p)T]^{1/\alpha}$ so that the PDF scales according to a typical Lévy behavior $P(R, T) = \ell(T)^{-1}L_{\alpha}[R/\ell(T)]$ where $L_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ is this is the symmetric stable Lévy density independently of p. Finally, for $\alpha < 1$ the ballistic motion dominates and $P(R, T) = T^{-1} f_{\alpha,p}(R/T)$ where $f_{\alpha,p}(T)$ is a nonuniversal scaling function depending both on α and p.

Let us show that, for $\alpha > 1$, one can use the single jump approach to calculate the behavior of the PDF at large R[i.e., $R \sim T \gg \ell(t)$]. We introduce the probability at time $T_w p_{tot}(L, T_w) dL dT_w$ of making a jump of length L, with $L_0/v \ll T_w < T$. For $\alpha > 1$ the average duration of a step $\langle \tau \rangle$ is finite, so that the jump rate at T_w is $1/\langle \tau \rangle$ independently of T_w and we have: $p_{\text{tot}}(L, T_w) = (1 - p)\lambda(L)/\langle \tau \rangle$; where the factor (1 - p) takes into account the reflection probability. Also in this case we have to consider all the path driving the walker in R at time T. In this framework, apart the big jump, all other steps can be neglected. Therefore, the effective motion can be described as follows: the walker at T_w performs a jump of length L with probability $p_{tot}(L, T_w) dL dT_w$. At the end of this jump the walker is reflected with probability p or it remains stuck in L with probability 1 - p; after the reflection the walker returns to the origin where again it can be reflected with probability p or it halts with probability 1 - p, and so on. That is, the origin of the walker and the point at distance L act as scattering points where the walker is reflected or absorbed with probability p or 1 - p, respectively. In analogy with Lévy walks and Lévy Lorentz gas one can evaluate the contribution of the different processes to the PDF P(R, T). In this case, once T_w and L are fixed, the final position at T is not fully determined and one have to consider the probabilities of different paths depending on p. In particular,



FIG. 9. The same data of Fig. 7 plotted in a log-log scale. Here the approach of the scaled solution to the nonnormalizable $R^{-1-\alpha}$ pole on the origin is visible.

if $L > T - T_w$ the walker is still covering the big jump at T and the contribution of this process is

$$\tilde{B}_0(r,T) = \frac{1}{T^{\alpha}} \frac{(1-p)L_0^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha} \langle \tau \rangle} \frac{1}{r^{\alpha}},$$
(39)

where r = R/vT. The probability to be in motion at the position *R* after *n* reflections is

$$\tilde{B}_{n}^{r}(r,T) = p^{n} \frac{1}{T^{\alpha}} \frac{(1-p)L_{0}^{\alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\langle \tau \rangle} \theta(1-nr) \\ \times \left\{ \frac{1}{[(n+1)r]^{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{1+r} \right\},$$
(40)

if *n* is odd and

$$\tilde{B}_{n}^{r}(r,T) = p^{n} \frac{1}{T^{\alpha}} \frac{(1-p)L_{0}^{\alpha}n^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\langle\tau\rangle} \theta[1-(n+1)r]$$

$$\times \left\{\frac{1}{(nr)^{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{1-r}\right\}$$
(41)

if *n* is even. Eqs. (40) and (41) are analogous to Eqs. (36) and (37) since both describes reflection of the walker in a gap of length L integrated over all possible lengths. In this

second case, integrals can be evaluated exactly since the jump rate is independent of T_w providing a more simple integrand function. Moreover, here we have the factor p^n representing the probability that *n* reflections occur during the evolution and the walker does not halt before time *T*. Finally, one has to consider the contributions when the walker remain stuck in *L* or in 0 at the *n*-th scattering event. For *n* odd (i.e., the scattering occurs in *L*) we have

$$\tilde{B}_{n}^{s}(r,T) = (1-p)p^{n-1} \frac{1}{T^{\alpha}} \frac{(1-p)L_{0}^{\alpha}\alpha}{v^{1+\alpha}\langle\tau\rangle} \cdot \theta(1-nr) \frac{1-nr}{r^{\alpha+1}}.$$
(42)

Equation (42) is analogous to Eq. (13) for Lévy walks since in both cases they describes a walker that halts in L = R. Clearly in Lévy walks reflections are not possible so p = 0 and n = 1. The factor $(1 - p)p^{n-1}$ is the probability that the walker is reflected for the first n - 1 scattering events and absorbed at the *n*th event. The θ -function takes into account that if there are *n* scattering the distance cannot be larger of vT/n. Finally if the walker halts at the *n*-th scattering event with *n* even, i.e., it halts in the origin, the process does not give any contribution to P(R, T) since it is not a big jump. This means $\tilde{B}_n^s(r, T) = 0$



FIG. 10. Scaling at short distances for the PDF in the correlated Lévy walk model. The case $\alpha = 1.7$ shows that for $\alpha > 1$ a suitable rescaling of space and time allows to rescale data relevant to different values of *p*, while the case $\alpha = 0.5$ clarifies that for $\alpha < 1$ the scaling function is not universal depending both on α and *p*. Finally, for $\alpha > 2$ the standard Gaussian behavior is recovered due to central limit theorem.

for *n* even. The rescaled PDF P(R, T) can then be evaluated by summing Eqs. (39)–(42), i.e.,

$$P(R,T) = \frac{1}{T^{\alpha}} I_{\alpha,p} \left(\frac{R}{vT}, T\right)$$
$$= \tilde{B}_0 \left(\frac{R}{vT}, T\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_n^r \left(\frac{R}{vT}, T\right) + \tilde{B}_n^s \left(\frac{R}{vT}, T\right)$$
(43)

 $I_{\alpha,p}(r)$ is an infinite density since it diverges for $r \to 0$ as $r^{-1-\alpha}$. We notice that $I_{\alpha,p}(r)$ is nonanalytic for $r = \frac{1}{2m+1}$ with m = 1, 2, ... i.e., the same values of the Lévy Lorentz gas. In Fig. 11 we compare the analytical results with numerical simulations finding a good agreement. In the case $\alpha = 1.7$ we show that $I_{\alpha,p}(r)$ depends explicitly on the parameter p; therefore it is not an universal scaling function. For $\alpha = 1.7$ and p = 0.9 we also show the nonanalytic points of $I_{\alpha,p}(r)$ which are in general less pronounced than in Lévy Lorentz gas. Since this points are originated, also in this dynamics, by the reflections they become more visible when p is closed

to 1, i.e., when these reflections are more probable. As in the previous cases, at large α , since rare events are always less probable, simulations become computationally very demanding (see the huge number of realizations).

VI. DISCUSSION AND OPEN PROBLEMS

The single-big-jump principle is a statement about the origin of rare events in fat-tailed processes: It allows us to identify the mechanisms that lead to the rare fluctuations. Then, we can use different techniques to calculate the contribution of the big jump and we showed that several approaches can be applied. For the Lévy walk, we used a heuristic argument that matches the results for the calculation of rare events obtained from the moment generating function and infinite densities approach. For more complex cases, we applied a rate approach, that consists in splitting the problem in short-time and long-time dynamics. We first calculated the PDF of performing a jump of length much larger than the characteristic length of the process, by determining the effective rate $r_{\rm eff}$ at which jumps are made. Then we summed



FIG. 11. Scaling at large distances for the PDF in the correlated Lévy walk model. Data are obtained considering 5×10^6 realization of the process for $\alpha = 1.2$ and $\alpha = 1.7$; while 2×10^8 realizations have been considered for $\alpha = 2.3$.

over all paths that reached that distance. In this way, the short-distance dynamics is condensed in the rate, while the role of correlations is resumed in the sum over the different paths. Within this scheme, the big-jump principle allows for a direct physical interpretation of the processes reaching large distances, and at the same time it provides an effective tool for calculations. Interestingly, for the Lévy Lorentz gas the short-time dynamics is unknown, however an estimate of the rate $r_{\rm eff}$ is enough to apply the principle and calculate the non trivial shape of the PDF at large *L*.

For the cases under study in this work, we observe a nonuniform description of the PDF: typical and rare events do not scale with time in the same manner. More precisely, from central limit theorem the bulk of the PDF of random walks is described in term of a single characteristic scaling length which grows with time T as $\ell(T) \sim T^{\gamma}$, where γ smaller, equal or larger than 1/2 stands for sub-, normal, and super-diffusion, respectively. The study of the far tail requires a different picture since the spatiotemporal correlations in the single step give rise to a new scaling length, which determines the behavior of the single big-jump regime. We have shown that the competition between these two scaling lengths produces a dual scaling of the moments, which has been termed by Vulpiani and coworkers as strong anomalous diffusion [55]. This means that for $q > q_c$ we have $\langle |x(T)|^q \rangle \not\sim \ell(T)^q$: large moments are not determined by the bulk distribution but by its far tail. This in turn implies that the single jump is needed, at least in some cases, for the investigation of the mean-square displacement of the spreading process, which is easily considered the main quantifier of diffusion. Since strong anomalous diffusion is observed in a wide range of systems, we believe the principle of large jump has wide spread applications [56–60].

Further, for the simpler models under investigation, i.e., Lévy walks and cold atom system, the single big jump allows a new insight on nonnormalized covariant densities [28,34]. As mentioned, the far tails of the density, obtained from the big-jump principle, controls the behavior of moments of interest. These in turn can be calculated from certain nonnormalized densities, so the principle used here explains precisely the physical origin of these mathematical tools: They stem from one big jump.

Interestingly, in the physical literature the single-big-jump principle has also been related to condensation in probability space: The probability of the sum $x = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$ condenses to the probability of a single variable [15,61–63], which is the maximum value of x_i . In condensation problems, the phenomenon where a large macroscopic portion of particles occupies a single state is well known. For example, distribution of masses occupying lattice sites in a system can contain, in certain conditions, one region where a vast majority of mass is located, while other regions are sparsely populated. In practice, the summands we consider do not have to be displacements, instead they can be masses condensing on a lattice, so that N would be the system size, or it could be energy, etc. Therefore, the approach could be extended to systems interacting with a reservoir of particles and energy, so that the total mass, energy, etc. can fluctuate, providing therefore a general background for large fluctuations estimates in different frameworks.

There are still many open problems, and we briefly discuss some of them.

(1) For the case of summation of IID random variables the principle of big jump works for any N. It is therefore natural

to ask, for the more general case: Does the principle hold for all times? For intermediate or short times the analytical predictions presented in this manuscript are not valid, since we have used the long-time limit. Clearly this does not mean that the principle is not valid at all times, but rather that the analytical formulas are not elegant or attainable at short times. For short times the initial conditions play a special role, however this holds both for the maximal displacement and the total displacement. We leave it for future work to check the principle, and this could be done numerically, for example, for the Lévy walk, by a calculation of the far tail of the total displacement and comparing with the statistics of the biggest jump. Our first simulations presented in Fig. 3 show that in the tail the two distributions agree for all times we have considered.

(2) For the Lévy walk, we focused our attention on the case when $\alpha > 1$. Hence, the mean time between collision events or zero crossings for all the models was finite. It is expected that the big-jump principle holds also for $0 < \alpha < 1$, however the explicit formulas for the far tails of the density need to be analyzed with different tools than those presented here. Work in this direction is required to further establish the generality of the principle.

(3) Biscaling of moments was observed for tracer particles diffusing in the cell [64]. Can we use the principle in the context of diffusion of particles in that case? Active transport mediated by ATP, i.e., the pumping of energy to the system is responsible for this behavior. From data analysis one can see many small displacement of the tracer particle, and a few large jump events. Importantly, when removing the large jumps from the data set, one can see monoscaling, thus the observed strong anomalous diffusion is clearly related to large jumps (we cannot say if a few or a single jump). We believe that rare events in this system will be described by the big-jump principle. In principle, this is easy to check, considering the distribution of the sum of displacement of the particle and comparing it to the distribution of the maximum of the displacements. In turn, the characterization of the tails of density of particles diffusing in the cell, is clearly important, since this helps in the understanding of active transport, and also since these rare events are important for the exploration of the cell environment. Imagine a particle diffusing some time in the cell, looking for a target (a reaction center): If the target is not found within some time interval, then it might be beneficial for the particle to relocate and start its search yet again. However, the efficiency of such search is controlled by the large jumps, and hence quantification and verification of the big-jump principle, in the context of diffusion of molecules in the cell, might turn out to be important.

(4) The principle of biggest jump is related to the calculation of distribution of forces in long ranged interacting systems, governed by Coloumb or gravitational fields, like plasma and astrophysics [65,66]. For example, the distribution of the force acting on a unit mass (or charge) embedded in a sea of masses (or charges). Considering the former case, with the masses uniformly distributed in space, the force acting on a single element is a sum many forces, and for long range gravitational or Coulomb forces this leads to the well known Holtsmark distribution for the forces [67–71]. This problem in different variants appears in many systems [72]. One can argue that the influence of the nearest neighbor is most important, namely instead of summing over all forces, we need to consider only the nearest neighbor, and this is certainly in spirit of the big-jump principle. When the masses (charges) are uniformly distributed, the problem is related to summation of IID random variables, and indeed Lévy central limit theorem is known to describe the statistics of this problem. It would be interesting to check the fluctuations of the forces, in the limit of large forces, based on the biggest jump/force for cases where the masses are arranged more realistically in space. On an operational level, the big-jump principle suggests that, for the sake of the large fluctuations of the forces, we need only partial information on the system, namely the random distance to nearest neighbor.

(5) Of course an interesting topic is the estimation of the big-jump statistics from data, for example, when we follow a trajectory of a single molecule in the cell, or when we sample the trajectory with a given rate, for finite time, and the number of trajectories might not be very large. Another important step is how to extract the big jump from time series of events, and this could be done by analyzing a correlation plot, analogous to the one presented in Fig. 4 of Sec. II.B for the Lévy walk. These sampling effects should be further investigated.

VII. PERSPECTIVES

The big-jump principle applied to physical modeling is an extremely powerful tool that can be used to estimate probabilities of rare events in a wide range of interesting problems, in the presence of fat-tailed distributions. The principle was reformulated, extended, and tested far beyond the case of a sum of IID random variables. It was extended to correlated processes, continuous processes, systems with quenched disorder and processes with a finite upper speed, all of which lead to important modifications of the principle of big jump in its original form. Simply said, the mentioned effects make the subexponential tail far from trivial, while the case of IID random variables leads to a simple power law tail, which is not applicable as we have shown.

Given the fact that extreme events are model specific, we find it very encouraging that we can at all formulate a general principle to describe their behavior. Thus, while the shape of the packet density in its far tails varies from one model to the other, all of them are described by the statistics of the biggest jump. At the same time, this is a warning sign to any one dealing with predictions of rare events. If one events is controlling the statistics of extremes, then we might understand better the inherent difficulties in predictions, but at the same time understand how to quantify these extremes better. For example, consider the accumulated rain fall in say one month in some region. The accumulated rain fall is important, for example, if we plan a reservoir which holds the water, or if the total rain fall per fixed time (say one month) is of critical importance. There are strong experimental evidences that the statistics of rain falls could be a test bed for our theory [73]. First, with the principle at hand we can use records, or models to see if the principle works. For example, comparing the total rain fall within a month to the maximum of rainfall per day. Then we may determine if a systems behavior is close or not to the principle of big jump. At least in principle, policy takers

could reach educated decisions, as the answer to the following question could be tackled with wisdom: Do we get prepared for one big event (one day of massive water fall) or do we prepare for many accumulated events?

While this demands further work, our theory is already shedding light on important physical processes, beyond the IID case [24]. We have recently worked on models of active particles propagation and contamination spreading in the field of Hydrology. Here deep traps in the spirit of the trap model and continuous time random walks are extensively used. In this case all the spatial jumps are small, and narrowly distributed, so there is no spatial big jump. However, one can apply our principle to the concept of time jumps, namely look for the longest stalling time in these processes. This, as we will show in a later publication, gives insight on the mentioned processes, shedding new light on the far tails of the spreading phenomenon. Thus, while we dealt with models where the particles are always in motion, and never trapped, we can extend our work to model trapping events, where the motion is typically considered slower than normal. These in turn are widely applicable, in a vast number of systems, hence we know that the principle of big jump can be a turning point in the analysis of rare events in many systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The support of Israel Science Foundation grant 1898/17 (E.B.) and of the CSEIA Foundation (R.B.) is acknowledged. E.B. thanks David Kessler and Erez Aghion for discussions.

APPENDIX A: SINGLE BIG JUMP IN THE LÉVY LORENTZ GAS

Let us call $B_n(R, T)$ the contribution to B(R, T), which is obtained integrating $p_{tot}(L, T_w)$ over all the processes that in a time *T* arrives in *R* after *n* reflections. If $L > v(T - T_w)$, then no reflection occurs and $R = v(T - T_w)$, i.e., L > R, $T_w = T - R/v$ and $dT_w = dR/v$. Clearly, all the jumps of length L > R contribute to the process ending in *R*, so $B_0(R, T)$ is

$$B_{0}(R,T)dR = r_{\rm eff}(T - R/v)dR/v \int_{R}^{\infty} \lambda(L)dL$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{dR\left(\frac{L_{0}}{R}\right)^{\alpha}}{v\tau_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}(T - R/v)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ \frac{dR\left(\frac{L_{0}}{R}\right)^{\alpha}}{v\tau_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(T - R/v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha \end{cases}$$
(A1)

Introducing the rescaled adimensional variables r = R/(vT)(0 < r < 1) and the rescaled function \tilde{B}_0 , we get

$$\tilde{B}_0(r,T) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^2}{1+\alpha}}} f_{0,\alpha}(r) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} f_{0,\alpha}(r) & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha \end{cases}, \quad (A2)$$

where $f_{0,\alpha}(r)$ is given by Eq. (35).

If $L < v(T - T_w)$, then the walker is reflected in *L* then it moves in the opposite direction, and if $v(T - T_w) < 2L$, then the second reflection in R = 0 does not occur before *T*. Let us call *D* the distance covered by the walker after the reflection in *L*. We have $L + D = v(T - T_w)$ and R = L - D, so we get $2L = R + v(T - T_w)$. Imposing $v(T - T_w)/2 < L < v(T - T_w)$ we get $v(T - T_w)/2 < R + (T - T_w)/2 < v(T - T_w)$. The first inequality is trivially satisfied while the second gives the condition $T_w < T - R/v$. To get the probability of reaching *R*, we can integrate over the processes that for different T_w arrive at the same position:

$$B_1(R,T)dR = \frac{dR}{2} \int_0^{T-\frac{R}{v}} r_{\rm eff}(T_w) \lambda \bigg[\frac{R+v(T-T_w)}{2} \bigg] dT_w,$$
(A3)

where we use the fact that dL = dR/2. We can then evaluate $B_1(R, T)$ in the rescaled variable r = R/(vT):

$$\tilde{B}_{1}(r,T) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}}} \frac{2^{\alpha}\alpha L_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{\frac{\alpha+\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \int_{0}^{1-r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\alpha}}(1+r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} = \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}}} f_{1,\alpha}(r) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} \frac{2^{\alpha}\alpha L_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{1-r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} = \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} f_{1,\alpha}(r) & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha \end{cases},$$
(A4)

where we introduced the integration variable $t_w = T/T_w$.

If $L < v(T - T_w)/2$ and $v(T - T_w)/3 < L$, then the motion displays two reflections and the final position satisfies the equation: $2L + R = v(T - T_w)$, so that $v(T - T_w)/3 < (v(T - T_w) - R)/2 < v(T - T_w)/2$. The second inequality is trivial, while the first gives $T_w < T - 3R/v$. The inequality cannot be satisfied if R > vT/3; indeed this process does not give contributions to distances larger than vT/3. Taking into account that dL = dR/2, we calculate the contribution of the process with two reflections obtained in the rescaled variables:

$$\tilde{B}_{2}(r,T) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}}} \frac{2^{\alpha}\alpha L_{0}^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{1+\alpha}} \theta(1-3r) \int_{0}^{1-3r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(1-r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} = \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha}}} f_{2,\alpha}(r) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} \frac{2^{\alpha}\alpha L_{0}^{\alpha}}{v^{1+\alpha}\tau_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \theta(1-3r) \int_{0}^{1-3r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} = \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} f_{2,\alpha}(r) & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha \end{cases},$$
(A5)

where the θ function takes into account that this process does not give contributions for R > vT/3.

The case with a generic number *n* of reflections occurs if $v(T - T_w)/(n + 1) < L < v(T - T_w)/n$. For *n* even we have n/2 reflections in R = L and n/2 in R = 0. Then nL + R = 1

 $v(T - T_w)$, $L = (v(T - T_w) - R)/n$, $T_w < T - (n + 1)R/v$ and R < vT/(n + 1). Taking into account that dL = dR/none can evaluate the contribution of this process obtaining for $f_{n,\alpha}(r)$ the result in Eq. (37). For odd *n* we have (n + 1)/2reflections at R = L and (n - 1)/2 reflection in R = 0. Then $(n + 1)L - R = v(T - T_w), L = (v(T - T_w) - R)/(n + 1),$ $T_w < T - nR/v$ and dL = dR/(n + 1). In this case we obtain Eq. (36). We can now sum all the contributions recovering Eq. (34).

Let us analyze the behavior of $I_{\alpha}(r)$ at small *r*. First we notice that for $r \sim 0$ the integrals in Eqs. (36) and (37) display the following behavior:

$$\int_{0}^{1-(n+1)r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(1-r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \sim \int_{0}^{1-(n+1)r} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha(nr)^{\alpha}} \quad (A6)$$

for even *n*, while for odd *n*,

$$\int_{0}^{1-nr} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(1+r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \sim \int_{0}^{1-nr} \frac{dt_{w}}{t_{w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+r-t_{w})^{1+\alpha}} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha[(n+1)r]^{\alpha}}.$$
 (A7)

So that for small *r*,

$$f_{n,\alpha}(r) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\theta[1-(n+1)r]}{r^{\alpha}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{\theta(1-nr)}{r^{\alpha}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$
 (A8)

Letting $r \to 0$ in Eq. (35) we get for $f_{0,\alpha}(r)$ the same expression of Eq. (A8) (*n* even). Summing over *n* we get $I_{\alpha}(r) \sim r^{-\alpha} \mathcal{I}(r)$; where $\mathcal{I}(r)$ represents the largest odd integer smaller than r^{-1} . Since for small *r*, $\mathcal{I}(r) \sim r^{-1}$, we get $I_{\alpha}(r) \sim r^{-(1+\alpha)}$ and hence

$$\tilde{B}(r,T) \sim \begin{cases} T^{-\frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^2}{1+\alpha}} r^{-(1+\alpha)} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1\\ T^{-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} r^{-(1+\alpha)} & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \alpha \end{cases}$$
(A9)

which is the same equation obtained in Ref. [27] using a simple heuristic argument. Our calculation shows that the $r^{-(1+\alpha)}$ behavior at small *r* is given by two factors: the infinite density of a single reflection process diverges at small *r* as $r^{-\alpha}$, but the number of processes (reflections) arriving in *r* grows as r^{-1} for $r \rightarrow 0$. This means that the density gets smoother close to the small *r* limit, which is totally expected since it needs to match the smooth bulk statistics.

APPENDIX B: CORRELATED LÉVY WALKS IN THE SHORT-TIME REGIME

Let us call Q(R, T) the probability of making a jump at position *R* and time *T* and extracting at *T* a new length from $\lambda(L)$. One can write

$$Q(R,T) = \delta(R)\delta(T) + \frac{(1-p)}{2} \int [Q(R-L,T-L/v) + Q(R+L,T-L/v)]\lambda(L)dL + (1-p)p \int Q(R,T-2L/V)\lambda(L)dL + \frac{(1-p)}{2}p^2 \int [Q(R-L,T-3L/v)]\lambda(L)dL + (1-p)p^3 \int Q(R,T-4L/V)\lambda(L)dL + \cdots$$
(B1)

In the first term of the second member, the δ function takes into account that at time T = 0 the walker is in R = 0 and it makes a step choosing a new step length. The second term represents processes where a new step length is extracted immediately before T without any reflection; the third term represents events where the walker makes a reflection before T notice that in this case the length extraction occurs exactly in R since in two steps the walker returns to the starting point; the fourth term represent events where the walker makes two reflections and so on.

Now we can sum over all the possible scattering events, obtaining

$$Q(R,T) = \delta(R)\delta(T) + \frac{(1-p)}{2} \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n} \int \{Q[R-L,T-(2n+1)L/v] + Q[R+L,T-(2n+1)L/v]\}\lambda(L)dL + (1-p) \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n+1} \int Q[R,T-2(n+1)L/V]\lambda(L)dL.$$
(B2)

The probability P(R, T) can be reconstructed from Q(R, T), taking into account that a walker can arrive in *R* only with a step of length L' > R - L, where R - L is the position where L' has been extracted form $\lambda(L')$. We have

$$P(R,T) = \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n} \int dL [Q(R-L,T-L/\nu-2nL'/\nu) + Q(R+L,T-L/\nu-2nL'/\nu)] \int_{L}^{\infty} dL'\lambda(L') + \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n+1} \int dL [Q(R-L,T+L/\nu-2nL'/\nu) + Q(R+L,T+L/\nu-2nL'/\nu)] \int_{L}^{\infty} dL'\lambda(L').$$
(B3)

The first sum represents all processes performing an even number 2n of reflections between the extraction of the step length L' and time T; while the second term describes the events where an odd number 2n + 1 of reflections occurs. T - L/v - 2nL'/v and T + L/v - 2nL'/v are the starting times for getting in R at time T after 2n or 2n + 1 reflections, respectively.

$$\tilde{Q}(k,\omega) = 1 + \tilde{Q}(k,\omega) \frac{(1-p)}{2} \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n} \{\tilde{\lambda}(\omega(2n+1)/\nu + k) + \tilde{\lambda}[\omega(2n+1)/\nu - k]\} + \tilde{Q}(k,\omega)(1-p) \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n+1} \tilde{\lambda}[\omega(2n+2)/\nu],$$
(B4)

where $\tilde{\lambda}(\cdot)$ is the Fourier transform of $\lambda(\cdot)$. Now we can expand $\tilde{\lambda}(\cdot)$ for small ω and *k*; keeping only the leading terms in Eq. (B4) for $\alpha > 2$ we have

$$\tilde{Q}(k,\omega) = 1 + \tilde{Q}(k,\omega)(1-p)$$

$$\times \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n} [1 + (2n+1)i\omega \langle L \rangle / v - k^2 \langle L^2 \rangle / 2]$$

$$+ \tilde{Q}(k,\omega)(1-p) \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n+1} [1 + (2n+2)i\omega \langle L \rangle / v].$$
(B5)

Summing over *n* we have

$$\tilde{Q}(k,\omega) = 1 + \tilde{Q}(k,\omega) \left[1 + \frac{i\omega\langle L\rangle}{(1-p)v} - \frac{k^2\langle L^2\rangle}{2(1+p)} \right], \quad (B6)$$

i.e.,

$$\tilde{Q}(k,\omega) = \frac{1}{\frac{k^2 \langle L^2 \rangle}{2(1+p)} - \frac{i\omega \langle L \rangle}{(1-p)v}}.$$
(B7)

For $1 < \alpha < 2$ we get

$$\tilde{Q}(k,\omega) = 1 + \tilde{Q}(k,\omega)(1-p)$$

$$\times \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n} \Big[1 + (2n+1)i\omega \langle L \rangle / v - |k|^{\alpha} C_{\alpha} L_{0}^{\alpha} \Big]$$

$$+ \tilde{Q}(k,\omega)(1-p) \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n+1} [1 + (2n+2)i\omega \langle L \rangle / v],$$
(B8)

where C_{α} is a number depending only on α and L_0 is the cutoff in Eq. (2). Then summing we have

$$\tilde{Q}(k,\omega) = \frac{1}{\frac{k^a L_0^a C_a}{(1+p)} - \frac{i\omega\langle L \rangle}{(1-p)v}}.$$
(B9)

Fourier transforming Eq. (B3) after some algebra one obtain that for $\alpha > 1$ we have $\tilde{P}(k, \omega) = \tilde{Q}(k, \omega) \langle L \rangle / [v(1-p)]$, so that for $\alpha > 2$,

$$\tilde{P}(k,\omega) = \frac{\frac{\langle L \rangle}{(1-p)v}}{\frac{k^2 \langle L^2 \rangle}{2(1+p)} - \frac{i\omega \langle L \rangle}{(1-p)v}},$$
(B10)

and for $1 < \alpha < 2$,

$$\tilde{P}(k,\omega) = \frac{\frac{\langle L \rangle}{(1-p)v}}{\frac{k^{\alpha}L_{0}^{\alpha}C_{\alpha}}{(1+p)} - \frac{i\omega\langle L \rangle}{(1-p)v}}.$$
(B11)

From Eqs. (B10) and (B11) we immediately have that introducing the new variables $\omega' = \omega/(1-p)$, $k' = k/(1+p)^{1/2}$ for $\alpha > 2$ and $\omega' = \omega/(1-p)$, $k' = k/(1+p)^{1/\alpha}$ for $1 < \alpha < 2$ we obtain the standard PDF functions for a Lévy walks. In particular, we get a Gaussian scaling function for $\alpha > 2$ and a Lévy-like scaling function depending only on α for $1 < \alpha < 2$. In this framework, we can introduce the scaling length $\ell(T) \sim [(1-p)/(1+p)T]^{1/2}$ and $\ell(T) \sim [(1-p)/(1+p)T]^{1/\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 2$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$, respectively; in this way, we obtain a perfect rescaling of the PDF for different values of the parameter *p* as shown in Fig. 10(c).

Let us finally consider the case $\alpha < 1$; if we expand $\tilde{Q}(k, \omega)$ at small k and ω , then we get

$$\begin{split} \hat{Q}(k,\omega) &= 1 + \hat{Q}(k,\omega)(1-p) \\ &\times \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n} \{ 1 + [|(2n+1)\omega/v - k|^{\alpha} \\ &+ |(2n+1)\omega/v + k|^{\alpha}] C_{\alpha} L_{0}^{\alpha} \} + \tilde{Q}(k,\omega)(1-p) \\ &\times \sum_{n}^{\infty} p^{2n+1} [1 + |(2n+1)\omega/v|^{\alpha} C_{\alpha} L_{0}^{\alpha}], \end{split}$$
(B12)

where C_{α} are suitable complex coefficients. Since ω and k always appears in a linear combination linear ballistic relation between space and time is expected in this case. However, a simple summation of the different terms corresponding to different n is not possible and a scaling function which depends nontrivially on p is clearly expected from Eq. (B12). Moreover, in this case also the relation between $\tilde{P}(k, \omega)$ and $\tilde{Q}(k, \omega)$ is not a simple proportionality.

- [1] E. J. Gumbel, *Statistics of Extremes* (Dover Publications, Mineola, 2004).
- [2] J. P. Bouchaud and M. Mézard, Universality classes for extreme-value statistics, J. Phys. A 30, 7997 (1997).
- [3] *Extreme Events in Nature and Society*, edited by S. Albeverio, V. Jentsch, and H. Kantz (Springer, Berlin, 2005).
- [4] K. Lindenberg and B. West, The first, the biggest, and other considerations, J. Stat. Phys. 42, 201 (1986).
- [5] F. den Hollander, *Large Deviations* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008).
- [6] H. Touchette, The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics, Phys. Rep. 478, 1 (2009).
- [7] Large Deviations in Physics: The legacy of the Law of Large Numbers, edited by A. Vulpiani, F. Cecconi, M. Cencini, A. Puglisi, and D. Vergni, Lect. Notes Phys. 885 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2014).

- [8] S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, *Large Deviations* ICTS Newsletter (2017), Vol. 3, issue 2.
- [9] V. P. Chistyakov, A theorem on sums of independent positive pandom variables and its applications to branching random processes, Theory Probab. Appl. 9, 640 (1964).
- [10] S. Foss, D. Korshunov, and S. Zachary, An Introduction to Heavy-Tailed and Subexponential Distributions (Springer, Berlin, 2013).
- [11] S. Foss, T. Konstantopoulos, and S. Zachary, A discrete and continuous time modulated random walks with heavy-tailed increments, J. Theor. Probab. 20, 581 (2007).
- [12] J. Geluk and Q. Tang, Asymptotic tail probabilities of sums of dependent subexponential random variables, J. Theor. Probab. 22, 871 (2009).
- [13] E. Bertin and M. Clusel, Generalized extreme value statistics and sum of correlated variables, J. Phys. A 39, 7607 (2006).
- [14] P. Embrechts, C. Klppelberg, and T. Mikosch, *Modeling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance* (Springer, Berlin, 1997).
- [15] S. N. Majumdar, M. R. Evans, and R. K. P. Zia, Nature of the Condensate in Mass Transport Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 180601 (2005).
- [16] C. de Mulatier, A. Rosso, and F. Schehr, Asymmetric Lévy flights in the presence of absorbing boundaries, J. Stat. Mech. (2013) P10006.
- [17] J. P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: Statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications, Phys. Rep. 195, 127 (1990).
- [18] R. Kutner, Extreme events as foundation of Lévy walks with varying velocity Chem. Phys. 284, 481 (2002).
- [19] V. Zaburdaev, S. Denisov, and J. Klafter, Lévy walks, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 483 (2015).
- [20] G. Zumofen and J. Klafter, Scale-invariant motion in intermittent chaotic systems, Phys. Rev. E 47, 851 (1993).
- [21] D. A. Kessler and E. Barkai, Theory of Fractional Lévy Kinetics for Cold Atoms Diffusing in Optical Lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 230602 (2012).
- [22] E. Barkai, E. Aghion, and D. A. Kessler, From the Area Under the Bessel Excursion to Anomalous Diffusion of Cold Atoms, Phys. Rev. X 4, 021036 (2014).
- [23] E. Aghion, D. A. Kessler, and E. Barkai, Large Fluctuations for Spatial Diffusion of Cold Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 260601 (2017).
- [24] L. de Arcangelis, C. Godano, J. R. Grasso, and E. Lippiello, Statistical physics approach to earthquake occurrence and forecasting, Phys. Rep. 628, 1 (2016).
- [25] E. Lippiello, L. de Arcangelis, and C. Godano, Influence of Time and Space Correlations on Earthquake Magnitude, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 038501 (2008).
- [26] E. Barkai, V. Fleurov, and J. Klafter, One-dimensional stochastic Lévy-Lorentz gas, Phys. Rev. E 61, 1164 (2000).
- [27] R. Burioni, L. Caniparoli, and A. Vezzani, Lévy walks and scaling in quenched disordered media, Phys. Rev. E 81, 060101(R) (2010).
- [28] A. Rebenshtok, S. Denisov, P. Hanggi, and E. Barkai, Nonnormalizable Densities in Strong Anomalous Diffusion: Beyond the Central Limit Theorem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 110601 (2014).

- [29] D. S. Dean and S. N. Majumdar, Large Deviations of Extreme Eigenvalues of Random Matrices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 160201 (2006).
- [30] Y. V. Fyodorov and J. P. Bouchaud, Freezing and extremevalue statistics in a random energy model with logarithmically correlated potential, J. Phys. A 41, 372001 (2008).
- [31] S. N. Majumdar and R. M. Ziff, Universal Record Statistics of Random Walks and Lévy Flights, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 050601 (2008).
- [32] C. Godrèche, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, Record statistics of a strongly correlated time series: Random walks and Lévy flights, J. Phys. A 50, 333001 (2017).
- [33] M. Clusel and E. Bertin, Global fluctuations in physical systems: A subtle interplay between sum and extreme value statistics., Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 22, 3311 (2008).
- [34] A. Rebenshtok, S. Denisov, and P. Hanggi, E. Barkai, Infinite densities for Lévy walks, Phys. Rev. E 90, 062135 (2014).
- [35] V. Zaburdaev, I. Fouxon, S. Denisov, and E. Barkai, Superdiffusive Dispersals Impart the Geometry of Underlying Random Walks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 270601 (2016).
- [36] M. F. Shlesinger, J. Klafter, and Y. Wong, Random walks with infinite spatial and temporal moments, J. Stat. Phys. 27, 499 (1982).
- [37] E. Barkai, CTRW pathways to the fractional diffusion equation, Chem. Phys. 284, 13 (2002).
- [38] M. Dentz, D. R. Lester, T. Le Borgne, and F. P. J. de Barros, Coupled continuous-time random walks for fluid stretching in two-dimensional heterogeneous media, Phys. Rev. E 94, 061102(R) (2016).
- [39] S. Marksteiner, K. Ellinger, and P. Zoller, Anomalous diffusion and Lévy walks in optical lattices, Phys. Rev. A 53, 3409 (1996).
- [40] Y. Sagi, M. Brook, I. Almog, and N. Davidson, Observation of Anomalous Diffusion and Fractional Self-Similarity in One Dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 093002 (2012).
- [41] C. N. Cohen-Tannoudji and W. D. Philips, New mechanisms for laser cooling, Phys. Today 43(10), 33 (1990).
- [42] Y. Castin, J. Dalibard, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, *The Limits of Sisyphus Cooling, in Light Induced Kinetic Effects on Atoms, Ions and Molecules*, edited by L. Moi *et al.* (ETS Editrice, Pisa, 1991).
- [43] It should be noted that the number of zero crossing is in fact infinite, since the paths are continuous, but still we claim we may consider the biggest jump. In practice, in simulations one has a finite Δt element, so one can check that while the size of the jump is decreasing, the number of zero crossing is increasing, the distribution of the biggest jump is stable with respect to the discretization of time.
- [44] E. W. Montroll and G. H. Weiss, Random walks on lattices. II, J. Math. Phys. 6, 167 (1965).
- [45] R. Burioni, L. Caniparoli, S. Lepri, and A. Vezzani, Lévy-type diffusion on one-dimensional directed Cantor graphs, Phys. Rev. E 81, 011127 (2010).
- [46] R. Burioni, S. di Santo, S. Lepri, and A. Vezzani, Scattering lengths and universality in superdiffusive Lévy materials, Phys. Rev. E 86, 031125 (2012); P. Bernabò, R. Burioni, S. Lepri, and A. Vezzani, Anomalous transmission and drifts in onedimensional Lévy structures, Chaos, Sol. Fract. 67, 11 (2014).
- [47] C. W. J. Beenakker, C. W. Groth, and A. R. Akhmerov, Nonalgebraic length dependence of transmission through a chain

of barriers with a Lévy spacing distribution, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 024204 (2009).

- [48] A. Bianchi, G. Cristadoro, M. Lenci, and M. Ligabò, Random walks in a one-dimensional Lévy random environment, J. Stat. Phys. 163, 22 (2016).
- [49] M. Magdziarz and W. Szczotka, Diffusion limit of Lévy-Lorentz gas is Brownian motion, Commun. Nonlinear. Sci. Numer. Simul. 60, 100 (2018).
- [50] A. Bianchi, M. Lenci, and F. Pène, Continuous-time random walk between Lévy-spaced targets in the real line, Stoc. Processes Appl., doi: 10.1016/j.spa.2019.03.010.
- [51] R. Artuso, G. Cristadoro, M. Onofri, and M. Radice, Nonhomogeneous persistent random walks and averaged environment for the Lévy-Lorentz gas, J. Stat. Mech. (2018) 083209.
- [52] C. Giberti, L. Rondoni, M. Tayyab, and J. Vollmer, Equivalence of position–position auto-correlations in the Slicer Map and the Lévy-Lorentz gas, Nonlinearity 32, 2302 (2019).
- [53] P. Buonsante, R. Burioni, and A. Vezzani, Transport and scaling in quenched two- and three-dimensional Lévy quasicrystals, Phys. Rev. E 84, 021105 (2011).
- [54] R. Burioni, E. Ubaldi, and A. Vezzani, Superdiffusion and transport in two-dimensional systems with Lévy-like quenched disorder, Phys. Rev. E 89, 022135 (2014).
- [55] P. Castiglione, A. Mazzino, P. Muratore-Ginanneschi, and A. Vulpiani, On strong anomalous diffusion, Physica D (Amsterdam) 134, 75 (1999).
- [56] D. Xiong, Heat perturbation spreading in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam- β system with next-nearest-neighbor coupling: Competition between phonon dispersion and nonlinearity, Phys. Rev. E **95**, 062140 (2017).
- [57] P. C. Holz, A. Dechant, and E. Lutz, Infinite density for cold atoms in shallow optical lattices, Europhys. Lett. 109, 23001 (2015).
- [58] D. Krapf, G. Campagnola, K. Nepal, and O. B. Peersen, Strange kinetics of bulk-mediated diffusion on lipid bilayers, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 18, 12633 (2016).
- [59] F. Cagnetta, G. Gonnella, A. Mossa, and S. Ruffo, Strong anomalous diffusion of the phase of a chaotic pendulum, Europhys. Lett. 111, 10002 (2015).

- [60] P. de Anna, T. Le Borgne, M. Dentz, A. M. Tartakovsky, D. Bolster, and P. Davy, Flow Intermittency, Dispersion, and Correlated Continuous Time Random Walks in Porous Media, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 184502 (2013).
- [61] J. Szavits-Nossan, M. R. Evans, and S. N. Majumdar, Constraint-Driven Condensation in Large Fluctuations of Linear Statistics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 020602 (2014).
- [62] M. Filiasi, G. Livan, M. Marsili, M. Peressi, E. Vesselli, and E. Zarinelli, On the concentration of large deviations for fat-tailed distributions, with application to financial data, J. Stat. Mech. (2014) P09030.
- [63] F. Corberi, Development and regression of a large fluctuation, Phys. Rev. E 95, 032136 (2017).
- [64] N. Gal and D. Weihs, Experimental evidence of strong anomalous diffusion in living cells, Phys. Rev. E 81, 020903(R) (2010).
- [65] M. Baranger and B. Mozer, Electric field distributions in an ionized gas, Phys. Rev. 115, 521 (1959).
- [66] H. R. Griem, A. C. Kolb, and K. Y. Shen, Stark broadening of hydrogen lines in a plasma, Phys. Rev. 116, 4 (1959).
- [67] J. Holtsmark, Über die Verbreiterung von Spektrallinien, Ann. Phys. 363, 577 (1919).
- [68] A. Campa, T. Dauxois, D. Fanelli, and S. Ruffo, *Physics of Long-Range Interacting Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014).
- [69] S. Chandrasekhar and J. von Neumann, The statistics of the gravitational field arising from a random distribution of stars. I. The speed of fluctuations, Astrophys. J. 95, 489 (1942).
- [70] P. H. Chavanis and C. Sire, Statistics of velocity fluctuations arising from a random distribution of point vortices: The speed of fluctuations and the diffusion coefficient, Phys. Rev. E 62, 490 (2000).
- [71] P. H. Chavanis, Statistics of the gravitational force in various dimensions of space: From Gaussian to Lévy laws, Eur. Phys. J. B 70, 413 (2009).
- [72] B. N. Kuvshinov and T. J. Schep, Holtsmark Distribution in Point-Vortex Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 650 (2000).
- [73] S. M. Papalexiou and D. Koutsoyiannis, Battle of extreme value distributions: A global survey on extreme daily rainfall, Wat. Res. 49, 187 (2013).