Very special $T\bar{J}$ deformed CFT

Yu Nakayama

Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan

(Received 2 January 2019; published 24 April 2019)

We study a very special class of $T\bar{J}$ deformations of conformal field theories in two dimensions. While the deformations break the Lorentz symmetry, they preserve the twisted Lorentz symmetry. The resulting theory has right-moving Virasoro as well as left-moving translation and left-moving (chiral) scale symmetry without left-moving special conformal symmetry (nor left-moving Virasoro symmetry). As in the original $T\bar{J}$ deformations, they may be regarded as an operator dependent nonlocal change of coordinates. We show concrete examples based on world sheet string theory and discuss how the nonunitary nature enables us to circumvent various no-go theorems.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.99.085008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.085008)

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding ultraviolet completions of a quantum field theory is an important issue. In particular, if the theory under consideration is power-counting nonrenormalizable, the question is nonperturbative in nature and it is quite challenging. The better understanding of the ultraviolet completion would lead to deeper understanding of asymptotic safety scenario or nonperturbative ultraviolet fixed points proposed in the literature. Recently, there has been some progress in this direction in two-dimensional conformal field theories perturbed by integrable nonrenormalizable interactions.

The first example is the so-called $T\bar{T}$ deformations [\[1\]](#page-8-0) of conformal field theories. In [\[2,3\],](#page-8-1) they proposed that the deformations are integrable with a particular renormalization prescription, deriving the universal formula for the spectrum on the torus. It shows the Hagedorn-like ultraviolet behavior and the ultraviolet completion would be nonlocal, but some important features of the local quantum field theories still remain. More recently there has been a study in relation to the holography as well as in (little) string theory [4–[11\]](#page-8-2). Studies of the uniqueness of the deformed partition function that can be realized in random geometries are further discussed in [12–[17\].](#page-9-0) The super-symmetric generalizations can be found in [\[18,19\]](#page-9-1).

Another class of irrelevant deformations studied in the literature is the so-called $T\bar{J}$ deformations [\[20\]](#page-9-2). They break the Lorentz symmetry, but preserve the chiral or rightmoving Virasoro symmetry. The ultraviolet completion

with the Lorentz breaking may be of interest, for such theories can be regarded as a toy model of Lorentz breaking renormalizable completion of nonrenormalizable Lorentz invariant field theories. There is another interest from the holography. The $T\bar{J}$ deformations are candidates for holographic duals with Warped AdS space or near horizon limit of extremal Kerr black holes [\[21](#page-9-3)–24].

The way in which the $T\bar{J}$ deformations break the Lorentz symmetry is special because it has a certain remnant of null directions. This reminds us of the very special relativity studied in four dimensions. In their pursuit in particle phenomenology, Cohen and Glashow asked what would be subgroups of the Poincaré group that preserve a particular null direction, and they classified the resulting Lorentz symmetry breaking pattern [\[25,26\].](#page-9-4) In particular, they argued that some subgroups [i.e., $HOM(2)$ or $SIM(2)$] of the Lorentz symmetry are consistent with the constancy of the speed of light but cannot be realized in local quantum field theories. In [\[27,28\],](#page-9-5) we have generalized the classification by adding the special conformal symmetries. Furthermore, in [\[29\]](#page-9-6), we have found a way to circumvent the no-go argument by Cohen and Glashow to construct the local field realizations by using the twisted Lorentz symmetry to present models of $HOM(2)$ or $SIM(2)$ invariant very special conformal field theories.

Now we may want to ask the similar question in two dimensions. Are there any quantum field theories with the symmetry analogous to the very special conformal symmetry? In the language of Virasoro algebra, the question is if there is any quantum field theory that preserves \bar{L}_0 , $\bar{L}_{\pm 1}$, L_0 , L_1 without L_{-1} , which is similar to the $HOM(2)$ or $SIM(2)$ very special conformal symmetry in four dimensions. We, however, have a couple of no-go theorems here. In a sense, in two dimensions, (chiral) scale invariance implies conformal invariance, so it is quite nontrivial to find scale invariant field theories without conformal invariance.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

Our idea is motivated by the above-mentioned construction in four dimensions. We use the twisted Lorentz symmetry to obtain such theories. By construction, the theory becomes nonunitary to implement the twisted Lorentz algebra, but we show that such theories can arise by very special $T\bar{J}$ deformations of world sheet string theory. This reveals the fact that we need more than finiteness or scale invariance to assure the consistency of the world sheet string theory.

In this paper, we often use the light-cone notations in two-dimensional field theories. Our convention is

$$
x^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(t \pm x) \tag{1}
$$

and we call that the fields only dependent on $x⁻$ as leftmoving and fields only dependent on x^+ as right-moving. For example, a component of the (traceless) energymomentum tensor $T_{-}^{\pm} = -T_{--}$ satisfying $\partial_{+}T_{-}^{\pm} = 0$ is left-moving. In the Euclidean setup $T_{-+} = -T_{-}^{+}$ is identified with the holomorphic energy-momentum tensor $T(z)$.

II. EMERGENCE OF CONFORMAL SYMMETRY

The first question we would like to address is what is the subgroup of (global) conformal symmetry that can be realized in local quantum field theories. Assuming the space-time translation (i.e., L_1 and L_1), we may add the Lorentz symmetry $J = L_0 - \bar{L}_0$, scale symmetry $D = L_0 + \bar{L}_0$, a linear combination thereof or both. A particularly interesting choice is to consider the chiral scale transformation \bar{L}_0 without L_0 . This is known as the chiral scale invariance. Adding the chiral special conformal transformation \bar{L}_{-1} further, we are led to the very special conformal symmetry. The case with \bar{L}_0 , \bar{L}_1 , \bar{L}_{-1} , L_1 without L_0 and L_{-1} has been studied in the literature [\[30\]](#page-9-7). Our focus in this paper is the case with \bar{L}_0 , $\bar{L}_{\pm 1}$, L_0 , L_1 without L_{-1} . Are there any such theories? We actually have some no-go theorems, which we now review.

In two space-time dimensions, it is typical that the conformal symmetry emerges in quantum field theories with smaller space-time symmetries. In order to better understand the very special TJ deformations of conformal field theories that do not show such enhancement, which are examples of very special conformal field theories, we first review the theoretical origin of the symmetry enhancement. We emphasize that the causality and unitarity plays a significant role in the emergence of the conformal symmetry.

A. From scale invariance to conformal invariance

Let us begin with the claim that in Poincaré invariant field theories scale invariance implies conformal invariance in two dimensions [\[31\]](#page-9-8). We assume that the space-time symmetry is realized by local conserved current, i.e., energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ in the canonical manner. The Poincaré invariance together with the scale invariance demands the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor and the special properties of its trace:

$$
\partial_{+}T_{-}^{+} + \partial_{-}T_{-}^{-} = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{+}T_{+}^{+} + \partial_{-}T_{+}^{-} = 0
$$

$$
T_{-}^{-} + \partial_{+}s^{+} + \partial_{-}s^{-} = 0
$$

$$
T_{+}^{+} + \partial_{+}\tilde{s}^{+} + \partial_{-}\tilde{s}_{-} = 0.
$$
 (2)

The vector fields s^{\pm} and \tilde{s}^{\pm} play the role of the so-called Virial current and can be an obstruction for the special conformal invariance (even with the scale invariance). Here the energy-momentum tensor can be improved

$$
T_{-} \rightarrow T_{-} + \partial_{+} l^{+}
$$

\n
$$
T_{-}^{+} \rightarrow T_{-}^{+} - \partial_{-} l^{+}
$$

\n
$$
s^{+} \rightarrow s^{+} - l^{+}
$$

\n
$$
T_{+}^{+} \rightarrow T_{+}^{+} + \partial_{-} l^{-}
$$

\n
$$
T_{+}^{-} \rightarrow T_{+}^{-} - \partial_{+} l^{-}
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{s}^{-} \rightarrow \tilde{s}^{-} - l^{-}
$$
\n(3)

with arbitrary l^+ and l^- , which does not change the conservation law [\(2\).](#page-1-0) With this freedom, s^+ and \tilde{s}^- are actually arbitrary. In particular we can choose $s^+ = \tilde{s}^+$ and $s^- = \tilde{s}^-$ so that the energy-momentum tensor is symmetric $T = T_{+}^{+}$ as is known as the Belinfante prescription.

Assuming the energy-momentum tensor T^{\pm} has canonical scaling dimensions, i.e., $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (2, 0)$, we have

$$
\langle T_{-}^{+}(x)T_{-}^{+}(0)\rangle = \frac{c}{(x^{-})^{4}}\tag{4}
$$

which means

$$
\langle \partial_+ T_-^+(x) \partial_+ T_-^+(0) \rangle = 0 \tag{5}
$$

up to possible contact terms. This implies $\partial_+ T^+_\square(x) |0\rangle = 0$ acting on the Lorentz invariant vacuum $|0\rangle$ from the unitarity. Then "the state operator correspondence" valid in relativistic quantum field theories implies $\partial_+T^{\perp}_{-}(x) = 0$ as an operator identity. With this chiral conservation, we can construct the left-moving Virasoro generators

$$
L_{-n+1} = \int dx (x^{-})^{n} T_{-}^{+}
$$
 (6)

which is conserved from

$$
\partial_{+}((x^{-})^{n}T_{-}^{+}(x)) = 0. \tag{7}
$$

transformation.

The same argument also applies to the right-moving sector:

$$
\partial_{-}((x^{+})^{n}T_{+}^{-}(x)) = 0, \qquad (8)
$$

resulting in the right-moving Virasoro generator

$$
\bar{L}_{-n+1} = \int dx (x^+)^n T_+^-. \tag{9}
$$

The conservation of the energy-momentum tensor further implies

$$
\langle T_{-}^{-}(x)T_{-}^{-}(0)\rangle = \langle T_{+}^{+}(x)T_{+}^{+}(0)\rangle = 0, \qquad (10)
$$

which follows taking ∂_{-} derivatives of the left and side of [\(10\)](#page-2-0) and comparing it with [\(5\).](#page-1-1) Then the unitarity requires that the energy-momentum tensor is traceless. This completes the argument that scale invariance implies conformal invariance in Poincaré invariant field theories in two dimensions.

The assumption to derive the conformal symmetry above can be further relaxed. For example, in [\[32\]](#page-9-9) they argued that the Lorentz symmetry can be replaced by a causality (e.g., the commutators of local operators vanish outside of the certain "light-cone"). On the other hand, in [\[30\]](#page-9-7), they replaced the scale symmetry D and the Lorentz symmetry J by the chiral scale symmetry $D - J$ alone (without $D + J$).

B. From chiral scale invariance to chiral conformal invariance

In the case of chiral scale invariance, the space-time translation symmetry and the chiral scale symmetry demands the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor as

$$
\partial_{+}T_{-}^{+} + \partial_{-}T_{-}^{-} = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{+}T_{+}^{+} + \partial_{-}T_{+}^{-} = 0
$$

$$
T_{+}^{+} + \partial_{+}s^{+} + \partial_{-}s^{-} = 0
$$
(11)

instead of [\(2\)](#page-1-0). The vector fields s^{\pm} play the role of the socalled Virial current and can be an obstruction for the special conformal invariance (even with the scale invariance). Again s^- is arbitrary because the conservation [\(11\)](#page-2-1) is not modified under the improvement

$$
T_{+}^{+} \rightarrow T_{+}^{+} + \partial_{-}l^{-}
$$

\n
$$
T_{+}^{-} \rightarrow T_{+}^{-} - \partial_{+}l^{-}
$$

\n
$$
s^{-} \rightarrow s^{-} - l^{-}
$$
 (12)

with arbitrary l^- .

By setting $s^- = 0$, and assuming the canonical (chiral) scaling dimension $\bar{\Delta} = 0$ of s^+ , we have

$$
\langle s^+(x)s^+(0)\rangle = s(x^-),\tag{13}
$$

which depends only on $x⁻$. Now taking the derivative with respect to x^+ , one obtains

$$
\langle \partial_+ s^+(x) \partial_+ s^+(0) \rangle = 0. \tag{14}
$$

If we assume unitarity and the state operator correspondence,¹ we must have $\partial_+ s^+(x) = 0$. From [\(11\)](#page-2-1) with $s^- = 0$, we deduce $T_+^+ = 0$. The conservation of the energy-momentum tensor then requires $\partial_- T_+^{\dagger} = 0$. Therefore, we have the right-moving Virasoro generators

$$
\bar{L}_{-n+1} = \int dx (x^+)^n T_+^- \tag{15}
$$

from

$$
\partial_{-}((x^{+})^{n}T_{+}^{-}(x)) = 0 \tag{16}
$$

including the right-moving special conformal transformation with $n = 2$.

Considering the left-mover, the canonical (chiral) scaling dimension $\bar{\Delta} = 0$ of $T_{-}^{+}(x)$ demands

$$
\langle T_{-}^{+}(x)T_{-}^{+}(0)\rangle = t(x^{-})
$$
\n(17)

depends only on $x⁻$. By taking derivative with respect to x^+ , we have

$$
\langle \partial_+ T^+_- (x) \partial_+ T^+_- (0) \rangle = 0. \tag{18}
$$

If we assume the unitarity and the state operator correspondence, we must have $\partial_+ T^+_- (x) = 0$ as an operator identity. As long as T^{\pm} is nonzero, we can now construct the enhanced left-moving Virasoro generators

$$
L_{-n+1} = \int dx (x^{-})^{n} T_{-}^{+} \tag{19}
$$

from

$$
\partial_{+}((x^{-})^{n}T_{-}^{+}(x)) = 0. \tag{20}
$$

If $T^+ = 0$, then T^- must be nonzero because otherwise there would be no $P_$. In this case, the conservation demands ∂ -T⁻ = 0. Then we can construct the rightmoving current algebra

¹One way to assure the state operator correspondence is to assume the causality by demanding commutators of local operators vanish outside of a certain "light cone", and use the analogue of the Reeh-Schlieder theorem valid here.

$$
\partial_{-}((x^{+})^{n}T_{-}^{-}(x)) = 0 \tag{21}
$$

whose zero mode $(n = 0)$ generates the left-moving translation P−. This completes the argument for the enhancement of symmetries in chiral scale invariant field theories in two dimensions.

III. GENERAL STRUCTURE OF VERY SPECIAL TJ DEFORMATIONS

In this section, we propose a general recipe to construct very special conformal field theories with the right-moving Virasoro, left-moving translation and left-moving twisted scale symmetry from the very special TJ deformations. To appreciate the very special nature, we begin with the ordinary $T\bar{J}$ deformations of conformal field theories.

A. $T\bar{J}$ deformed conformal field theories

Let us consider a two-dimensional conformal field theory with a conserved $U(1)$ current J. The energy-momentum tensor is taken to be traceless so that $T_+^+ = T_-^- = 0$. Then the conservation becomes

$$
\partial_{+} T_{-}^{+}(x^{-}) = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{-} T_{+}^{-}(x^{+}) = 0.
$$
 (22)

The $U(1)$ current conservation is

$$
\partial_+ J^+ + \partial_- J^- = 0,\tag{23}
$$

but if the theory is unitary and it has a discrete spectrum, it implies that the left-mover and right-mover are conserved separately

$$
\partial_{+}J^{+}(x^{-}) = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{-}J^{-}(x^{+}) = 0
$$
 (24)

and the current is taken to be purely left-moving $(x⁻)$ or right-moving (x^+) . We assume this is the case for simplicity. We further assume J^- does not vanish, otherwise we could switch the left-mover and right-mover in the following.

Let us now deform the conformal field theory by introducing the irrelevant Lorentz breaking term in the action

$$
\delta S = \mu \int dx dt J^-(x^+) T^+(x^-) \tag{25}
$$

with an appropriate ultraviolet completion. This is called the $T\bar{J}$ deformation in the literature. Note that the deformation breaks the Lorentz invariance in a manner such that it preserves a particular null direction x^+ .

Treating the deformation as a perturbative series with respect to μ , the energy-momentum tensor as well as the current conservation are modified

$$
\partial_{+}T_{-}^{+} + \partial_{-}(\mu J^{-}T_{-}^{+}) = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{-}T_{+}^{-} = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{-}J^{-} = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{+}J^{+} + \partial_{-}(\mu J^{+}J^{-}) = 0
$$
(26)

at the first order in μ . One may therefore observe that $\mu J^-T^+_-$ is identified with the deformed energy-momentum tensor $T_$ so that the left-moving translation is generated by the energy-momentum tensor with the modified conservation $\partial_+ T^+ + \partial_- T^- = 0$. At this order, on the other hand, we see that the right-moving energy-momentum tensor is intact and the theory preserves the right-moving Virasoro symmetry from the conservation

$$
\partial_{-}((x^{+})^{n}T_{+}^{-}(x)) = 0. \tag{27}
$$

Note that the left-moving (chiral) scale and the left-moving special conformal transformation are (apparently) broken because $\partial_+ T^+ \neq 0$.

There is an alternative look at the $T\bar{J}$ deformations as an operator dependent nonlocal change of coordinates. The deformation, at the first order in μ is induced by the operator dependent left-moving coordinate transformation

$$
x^{+} \rightarrow x^{+}
$$

$$
x^{-} \rightarrow x^{-} - \mu \int^{x^{+}} d\tilde{x}^{+} J^{-}(\tilde{x}^{+})
$$
 (28)

to the original conformal field theories. In the simple situation in which the left-moving sector and right-moving sector effectively decouples, the coordinate transformation can be performed explicitly and the deformations become integrable. In relation, [\[21\]](#page-9-3) observed that the deformed theory may possess the infinitely many nonlocal charges

$$
\mathcal{L}_{-n+1} = \int dx \left(x^- - \mu \int^{x^+} d\tilde{x}^+ J^-(\tilde{x}^+) \right)^n (T_-^+ - T_-^-)
$$

=
$$
\int dx \left(x^- - \mu \int^{x^+} d\tilde{x}^+ J^-(\tilde{x}^+) \right)^n (1 - \mu J^-) T_-^+
$$
(29)

which can be understood as the fate of the original leftmoving Virasoro charges after the nonlocal operator dependent coordinate changes.

One may also regard the $T\bar{J}$ deformations as an operator dependent nonlocal gauge transformations for the symmetry generated by the \bar{J} current. Under the gauge transformations with the parameter $\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{x} - T_{-}^+(\tilde{x}^-)$, the first order deformations of the action is given by the $T\bar{J}$ deformations. However, the chiral nature of $T^+_\text{-}(\tilde{x}^-)$ is lost, unlike $J^-(\tilde{x}^+)$, at the first order in perturbation, so this way of understanding the $T\bar{J}$ deformations may not lead to the integrable structure beyond the first order in μ .

In this paper, we are mostly interested in local charges, and we do not study the nonlocal charges such as [\(29\)](#page-3-0) in the following. Comparing the situation with the claim in [\[30\]](#page-9-7), which we have reviewed in the previous section, there is a small puzzle here because they claim that the local symmetry must be enhanced. To see the puzzle more explicitly, let us study the perturbed two-point functions

$$
\langle T_{-}^{+}(x)T_{-}^{+}(y)\rangle = \frac{c}{(x^{-}-y^{-})^{4}} + \mu^{2}t(x-y) + O(\mu^{3})
$$

$$
\langle T_{-}^{-}(x)T_{-}^{-}(y)\rangle = \mu^{2}s(x-y) + O(\mu^{3})
$$

$$
\langle J_{-}^{-}(x)J_{-}^{-}(y)\rangle = \frac{k_{J}}{(x^{+}-y^{+})^{2}} + O(\mu).
$$
(30)

As we saw, if the chiral scale invariance holds in a strict sense, $t(x - y)$ is a function of $x^{-} - y^{-}$ only and does not depend on $x^+ - y^+$, so taking derivatives with respect to x^+ and y^+ and using the energy-momentum tensor conservation, we obtain

$$
\langle \partial_+ T_-^+(x) \partial_+ T_-^+(y) \rangle = \langle \partial_- T_-^-(x) \partial_- T_-^-(y) \rangle = 0 \quad (31)
$$

possibly up to contact terms.

On the other hand, the explicit computation gives

$$
\langle T_{-}(x)T_{-}(y)\rangle = \mu^{2}\langle J^{-}T_{-}^{+}(x)J^{-}T_{-}^{+}(y)\rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{\mu^{2}k_{J}c}{(x^{-}-y^{-})^{4}(x^{+}-y^{+})^{2}} + O(\mu^{3}) \quad (32)
$$

at the first nontrivial order in μ . We see that [\(31\)](#page-4-0) does not hold unless c or k_j vanishes. To understand what is going on, we use the conservation law $\partial_+ T_-^+ + \partial_- T_-^- = 0$ in [\(32\)](#page-4-1) and integrate twice with respect to x^+ and y^+ , we obtain

$$
t(x - y) \sim ck_J \frac{\log(x^{+} - y^{+})}{(x^{-} - y^{-})^{6}}
$$
 (33)

which does depend on $x^+ - y^+$ in a logarithmic manner, and the right-moving scale symmetry is anomalously broken. The problem arises from the bad ultraviolet behavior of the perturbative computations of

$$
\langle T_{-}^{+}(x)T_{-}^{+}(y)\rangle \sim \mu^{2} \langle T_{-}^{+}(x)T_{-}^{+}(y)\int d^{2}z_{1}J^{-}(z_{1}^{+})T_{-}^{+}(z_{1}^{-})
$$

$$
\times \int d^{2}z_{2}J^{-}(z_{2}^{+})T_{-}^{+}(z_{2}^{-})\rangle_{\mu=0}.
$$
 (34)

This is badly divergent, but one may take the derivatives ∂_{\perp} to make it finite by using the prescription (with a proper contour deformation)

$$
\partial_+\frac{1}{x^- - z^-} = \pi i \delta(x - y). \tag{35}
$$

Indeed, this is how the modified conservation is obtained from the beginning. This however results in the anomalous violation of the chiral scale symmetry. This subtlety is due to the (apparent) nonrenormalizability of the $T\bar{J}$ deformation, which will not be present in the very special $T\bar{J}$ deformations.

B. Very special $T\bar{J}$ deformed conformal field theories

Let us now turn to a very special class of the $T\bar{J}$ deformations to preserve extra twisted Lorentz symmetry. The idea is to choose \bar{J} as a null component of the rightmoving $SL(2)$ current algebra so that in the original conformal field theory, we had the operator product expansions:

$$
J^{-}(x)J^{-}(y) = 0
$$

\n
$$
J^{-}(x)K^{-}(y) = \frac{J^{-}(y)}{(x^{+} - y^{+})}
$$
 (36)

Here K^- generates the Cartan part of the $SL(2)$ current algebra, under which J^- is charged. Note that in order to have a null current as in the first line of (36) , the theory must be nonunitary.

Under these extra assumptions, we deform the original conformal field theories by the twisted marginal deformations

$$
\delta S = \mu \int dx dt J^{-}(x^{+}) T_{-}^{+}(x^{-}). \qquad (37)
$$

We call it twisted marginal because the deformations had $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (2, 1)$, but we may assign the twisted Lorentz charge $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta - K^-$. Then $(\tilde{\Delta}, \bar{\Delta}) = (1, 1)$ because J^- is charged under K^- and the deformation can be regarded as marginal under the twisted scaling.

Treating the deformation as a perturbative series with respect to μ , the energy-momentum tensor as well as the current conservation is modified

$$
\partial_{+}T_{-}^{+} + \partial_{-}(\mu J^{-}T_{-}^{+}) = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{-}T_{+}^{-} = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{-}J^{-} = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{+}J^{+} + \partial_{-}(\mu J^{+}J^{-}) = 0
$$
(38)

as before. In addition, since J^- is charged under K^- , K^- is no longer conserved after the $T\bar{J}$ deformation

$$
\partial_- K^- = \mu J^- T_-^+.\tag{39}
$$

Our very special $T\bar{J}$ deformations can be regarded as a nonlocal operator dependent change of coordinates as in the original $T\bar{J}$ deformations, so the deformations are integrable, but it has further interesting features. The point here is that the existence of the $SL(2)$ current algebra of the original conformal field theories enable us to construct the left-moving scale current or twisted Lorentz current from

$$
\partial_{+}(x^{-}T_{-}^{+}) + \partial_{-}(x^{-}T_{-}^{-} - K^{-}) = 0 \tag{40}
$$

with $T = \mu J^- T^+$. Therefore our theory still preserves the "twisted Lorentz symmetry" even after the deformation unlike the original Lorentz breaking $T\bar{J}$ deformations.²

Generically, K^- is not a derivative of local operators, so the theory is not invariant under the left-moving special conformal transformation. If $K^- = \partial_-O + \partial_+\tilde{O}$ with a local operator O , \tilde{O} for some reasons, however, then we may further preserve the left-moving special conformal transformation

$$
\partial_{+}((x^{-})^{2}T_{-}^{+}+2\tilde{O}) + \partial_{-}((x^{-})^{2}T_{-}^{-}-2x^{-}K^{-}+2O) = 0.
$$
\n(41)

Even in this case, there will be no (further enhanced) leftmoving Virasoro symmetry that is realized in a local manner.

Due to the existence of the extra twisted Lorentz symmetry, the ultraviolet behavior of the perturbation is improved. Indeed, the conservation of the original $SL(2)$ charge dictates that the two-point functions of the energymomentum tensor is not modified

$$
\langle \partial_+ T_-^+(x) \partial_+ T_-^+(y) \rangle = \langle \partial_- T_-^-(x) \partial_- T_-^-(y) \rangle = 0 \quad (42)
$$

in accordance with the argument in [\[30\]](#page-9-7) which we have reviewed in the previous section. Note, however, that because of the lack of the unitarity, one cannot conclude that $\partial_+ T^+_- (x) = 0$. Indeed it is nonzero and the left-moving special conformal transformation is absent in more general correlation functions.

IV. EXAMPLES: VERY SPECIAL TJ DEFORMED WORLD SHEET THEORY

In this section, we construct the very special $T\bar{J}$ deformed conformal field theories from free fermions. Since we use the nonunitary timelike fermions, the most familiar situation is in the world sheet string theory. There we naturally have a fermion with a timelike kinetic term and the ghost fermions. The current \bar{J} is then taken to be a null target space Lorentz current (possibly with the ghost directions included).

We begin with a free conformal field theory with three Majorana fermions

$$
S_0^{c=1} = \int dxdt \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b\partial_+ c + c\partial_+ b) + \psi \partial_+ \psi \right. + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{b}\partial_- \tilde{c} + \tilde{c}\partial_- \tilde{b}) + \tilde{\psi} \partial_- \tilde{\psi} \right).
$$
 (43)

Out of three fermions, one of them has a timelike kinetic term and we combine one timelike fermion and one spacelike fermion as a bc ghost system. Here we are going to choose the energy-momentum tensor so that the bc ghost system has the Virasoro central charge $c = 1$. The $SL(2)$, out of which we construct J^- and K^- are taken to be "target" space Lorentz transformation" acting on three fermions.

We now consider the very special $T\bar{J}$ deformation by adding

$$
S_{\mu}^{c=1} = \int dxdt \bigg(\mu \tilde{b} \,\tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{2}b\partial_{-}c + \frac{1}{2}c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi\right)\bigg). \tag{44}
$$

Varying the deformed action, we derive the equations of motion

$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}b + \mu\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{-}(\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}b) + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}b)\right)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}c + \mu\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{-}(\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}c) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}c\right)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}2\partial_{+}\psi + \mu(\partial_{-}(\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\psi) + \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}\psi)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{b}
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{c} + \mu\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{2}b\partial_{-}c + \frac{1}{2}c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi\right)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}(2\partial_{-}\tilde{\psi}) - \mu\tilde{b}\left(\frac{1}{2}b\partial_{-}c + \frac{1}{2}c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi\right), \quad (45)
$$

some of which can be simplified as

$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}b + \mu \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}b
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}c + \mu \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}c
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}\psi + \mu \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}\psi
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{b}
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{c} + \mu \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{2}b\partial_{-}c + \frac{1}{2}c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi\right)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}(2\partial_{-}\tilde{\psi}) - \mu \tilde{b}\left(\frac{1}{2}b\partial_{-}c + \frac{1}{2}c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi\right).
$$
 (46)

 2^2 The conservation equations we used are based on the first order perturbation in μ . If we could define the deformed theory by the renormalized action [\(37\),](#page-4-3) the twisted Lorentz symmetry would be preserved beyond the first order perturbation. In the original $T\bar{J}$ deformation, the deformation is naively nonrenormalizable and the necessity of the infinite number of counterterms could have spoiled the expected symmetry. In our very special $T\bar{J}$ deformation, however, the UV behavior may be improved since \bar{J} is chosen to be a null current. See the following discussions.

Due to the translational invariance, we can always construct the canonical Noether energy-momentum tensor

$$
T_{-}^{+} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_{+} \Psi_{i}} \partial_{-} \Psi_{i}
$$

\n
$$
T_{-}^{-} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_{-} \Psi_{i}} \partial_{-} \Psi_{i} - L
$$

\n
$$
T_{+}^{+} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_{+} \Psi_{i}} \partial_{+} \Psi_{i} - L
$$

\n
$$
T_{+}^{-} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_{-} \Psi_{i}} \partial_{+} \Psi_{i},
$$
\n(47)

where the right derivative is assumed. They are conserved

$$
\partial_{-}T_{-}^{-} + \partial_{+}T_{-}^{+} = 0
$$

$$
\partial_{-}T_{+}^{-} + \partial_{+}T_{+}^{+} = 0
$$
 (48)

by using the equations of motion.

As we have discussed in the previous section, the currents are still conserved

$$
J^{+} = ib\psi \qquad J^{-} = i\tilde{b}\,\tilde{\psi} \tag{49}
$$

with

$$
\partial_+ J^+ + \partial_- (2\mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} b\psi) = 0 \qquad \partial_- J^- = 0. \tag{50}
$$

Note that J^- is still purely right-moving. On the other hand, $K^- = i\tilde{b}\tilde{c}$ is broken by the interaction

$$
\partial_- K^- = -2\mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b \partial_- c + c \partial_- b) + \psi \partial_- \psi\right). \tag{51}
$$

Now the canonical energy-momentum tensor reads

$$
T_{+}^{-} = \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{b} \partial_{+} \tilde{c} + \tilde{c} \partial_{+} \tilde{b}) + \tilde{\psi} \partial_{+} \tilde{\psi} \right) + \mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b \partial_{+} c + c \partial_{+} b) + \psi \partial_{+} \psi \right) T_{-}^{+} = \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b \partial_{-} c + c \partial_{-} b) + \psi \partial_{-} \psi \right) T_{-}^{-} = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b \partial_{+} c + c \partial_{+} b) + \psi \partial_{+} \psi \right) T_{+}^{+} = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{b} \partial_{-} \tilde{c} + \tilde{c} \partial_{-} \tilde{b}) + \tilde{\psi} \partial_{-} \tilde{\psi} \right) - \mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b \partial_{-} c + c \partial_{-} b) + \psi \partial_{-} \psi \right).
$$
 (52)

By using the equations of motion, we can simplify them as

$$
T_{+}^{-} = \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{b} \partial_{+} \tilde{c} + \tilde{c} \partial_{+} \tilde{b}) + \tilde{\psi} \partial_{+} \tilde{\psi} \right)
$$

\n
$$
T_{-}^{+} = \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b \partial_{-} c + c \partial_{-} b) + \psi \partial_{-} \psi \right)
$$

\n
$$
T_{-}^{-} = \mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{2} (b \partial_{-} c + c \partial_{-} b) + \psi \partial_{-} \psi \right)
$$

\n
$$
T_{+}^{+} = 0.
$$
\n(53)

Due to the chiral conservation ∂ ^{−T}_{$\dot{=}$} = 0, the theory has the right-moving Virasoro symmetry from $\partial_{-}((x^{+})^{n}T_{+}^{-})=0$. In addition, the theory possesses the hidden (or twisted) leftmoving invariance. To see this, we note that upon the use of the equations of motion

$$
T_{-}^{-} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{-}K^{-},\tag{54}
$$

we have the additional conservation

$$
\partial_{+}(x^{-}T_{-}^{+}) + \partial_{-}\left(x^{-}T_{-}^{-} + \frac{1}{2}K^{-}\right) = 0, \qquad (55)
$$

which generates the twisted left-moving scale transformation. Since K^- is not (apparently) a derivative of something further, 3 we do not have the left-moving special conformal current (nor left-moving Virasoro current).

Indeed the action [\(44\)](#page-5-0) is invariant under the two chiral rotations with the charges

$$
Q_L(\partial_-,\partial_+,b,c,\psi,\tilde{b},\tilde{c},\tilde{\psi}) = (1,0,1/2,1/2,1/2,-1,1,0)
$$

$$
Q_R(\partial_-,\partial_+,b,c,\psi,\tilde{b},\tilde{c},\tilde{\psi}) = (0,1,0,0,0,1/2,1/2,1/2),
$$

(56)

which is regarded as the twisted Lorentz transformation. Note that Q_L is unbounded below unlike Q_R due to the lack of unitarity.

Now let us consider the same action with a different energy-momentum tensor (i.e., $c = -26$)

$$
S_0^{c=-26} = \int dx dt \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (2b\partial_+ c - c\partial_+ b + \psi \partial_+ \psi \right) + 2\tilde{b}\partial_- \tilde{c} - \tilde{c}\partial_+ \tilde{b} + \tilde{\psi}\partial_- \tilde{\psi} \right).
$$
 (57)

The action is the same up to the surface term, but the way we order the fields and derivatives change the canonical energy-momentum tensor by the improvement terms. Since we use the different energy-momentum tensor, the $T\bar{J}$ deformation is changed accordingly:

³One may try to bosonize the fermions, but the signature of the fermionic kinetic term makes it nontrivial.

$$
S_{\mu}^{c=-26} = \int dx dt (\mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} (2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi \partial_{-}\psi)).
$$
 (58)

Varying the action, we obtain the equations of motion

$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}b + \mu(2\partial_{-}(\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}b) - (\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}b))
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}c + \mu(-\partial_{-}(\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}c) + 2\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}c)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}2\partial_{+}\psi + \mu(\partial_{-}(\tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\psi) + \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}\psi)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{b}
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{c} + \mu\tilde{\psi}(2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}(2\partial_{-}\tilde{\psi}) - \mu\tilde{b}(2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi), \qquad (59)
$$

some of which can be simplified as

$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}b + \mu \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}b
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}c + \mu \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}c
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{+}\psi + \mu \tilde{b}\tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}\psi
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{b}
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{c} + \mu \tilde{\psi}(2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi)
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}(2\partial_{-}\tilde{\psi}) - \mu \tilde{b}(2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi).
$$
 (60)

The canonical energy-momentum tensor can be computed as

$$
T_{+}^{-} = \frac{i}{2} (2\tilde{b}\partial_{+}\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}\partial_{+}\tilde{b} + \tilde{\psi}\partial_{+}\tilde{\psi})
$$

+ $\mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} (2b\partial_{+}c - c\partial_{+}b + \psi\partial_{+}\psi)$

$$
T_{-}^{+} = \frac{i}{2} (2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi)
$$

$$
T_{-}^{-} = -\frac{i}{2} (2b\partial_{+}c - c\partial_{+}b + \psi\partial_{+}\psi)
$$

$$
T_{+}^{+} = -\frac{i}{2} (2\tilde{b}\partial_{-}\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}\partial_{-}\tilde{b} + \tilde{\psi}\partial_{-}\tilde{\psi})
$$

- $\mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} (2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi).$ (61)

When $\mu = 0$, the energy-momentum tensor of the bc system is such that Virasoro central charge is $c = -26$ as in the bc ghost in world sheet string theory.

By using the equations of motion, we can simplify them as

$$
T_{+}^{-} = \frac{i}{2} (2\tilde{b}\partial_{+}\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}\partial_{+}\tilde{b} + \tilde{\psi}\partial_{+}\tilde{\psi})
$$

\n
$$
T_{-}^{+} = \frac{i}{2} (2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi)
$$

\n
$$
T_{-}^{-} = \mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} (2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi)
$$

\n
$$
T_{+}^{+} = \frac{3}{2} \mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} (2b\partial_{-}c - c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi).
$$
 (62)

Due to the interaction $K^- = i\tilde{b}\tilde{c}$ is broken

$$
\partial_- K^- = -2\mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} (2b \partial_- c - c \partial_- b + \psi \partial_- \psi) \tag{63}
$$

but the combination [\(56\)](#page-6-0) is preserved.

One may improve the energy-momentum tensor so that the right-moving Virasoro symmetry is manifest

$$
\breve{T}_{+}^{+} = T_{+}^{+} - \frac{3}{4} \partial_{-} \breve{K}
$$
\n
$$
\breve{T}_{+}^{-} = T_{+}^{-} + \frac{3}{4} \partial_{+} \breve{K}
$$
\n(64)

so that $\check{T}^+_{+} = 0$ and $\partial_- \check{T}^-_{+} = 0$. We cannot simultaneously improve the other component of the energy-momentum tensor T_{-} , but it satisfies

$$
T_{-}^{-} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{-}K^{-} \tag{65}
$$

so the left-moving scale symmetry does exist while there is no left-moving special conformal nor Virasoro symmetry.

As in $\mu = 0$ case, we now argue that the above two theories are actually equivalent in the flat space-time. To see this, the difference between the two action (up to surface terms) is

$$
\Delta S = S_{\mu}^{c=-26} - S_{\mu}^{c=1}
$$

=
$$
\int dx dt \left(\mu \tilde{b} \tilde{\psi} \frac{3}{2} \partial_{-}(bc)\right).
$$
 (66)

This is proportional to the equations of motion, so one should be able to remove it by a field redefinition. One can see it explicitly by performing the change of variable

$$
c \to c + 3i\tilde{\psi}bc \qquad \psi \to \psi + \frac{3}{2}i\tilde{b}bc \tag{67}
$$

and see that the two actions become identical (in flat space-time).

We now sketch how to solve the equations of motions in flat space-time. Since the theory is equivalent, we work in the $c = 1$ case. A systematic way to solve the equations of motion goes as follows. We begin with the right-moving part of \tilde{b} , \tilde{c} , and $\tilde{\psi}$ by specifying arbitrary functions of x^+

$$
\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}(x^+)
$$
 $\tilde{c}_0 = \tilde{c}_0(x^+)$ $\tilde{\psi}_0 = \tilde{\psi}_0(x^+).$ (68)

They determine the conserved current \tilde{J}

$$
\tilde{J}(x^+) = i\tilde{b}(x^+)\tilde{\psi}_0(x^+). \tag{69}
$$

Now, we may solve

$$
b = b\left(x^- + 2\mu \int^{x+} d\tilde{x}^+ \tilde{J}(\tilde{x}^+)\right)
$$

\n
$$
c = c\left(x^- + 2\mu \int^{x+} d\tilde{x}^+ \tilde{J}(\tilde{x}^+)\right)
$$

\n
$$
\psi = \psi\left(x^- + 2\mu \int^{x+} d\tilde{x}^+ \tilde{J}(\tilde{x}^+)\right) \tag{70}
$$

with arbitrary functions of b, c , and ψ .

Finally, one may integrate

$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}(2\partial_{-}\tilde{\psi}) - \mu \tilde{b}\left(\frac{1}{2}b\partial_{-}c + \frac{1}{2}c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi\right), \qquad (71)
$$

and then

$$
0 = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-}\tilde{c} + \mu \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{2}b\partial_{-}c + \frac{1}{2}c\partial_{-}b + \psi\partial_{-}\psi\right) \tag{72}
$$

to determine the inhomogeneous part of \tilde{c} and $\tilde{\psi}$. Note that the homogeneous part has been already fixed by specifying \tilde{c}_0 and $\tilde{\psi}_0$ above. The resulting expression is consistent with our claim that the $T\bar{J}$ deformations can be regarded as the operator dependent change of coordinate

$$
x^+ \to x^+ \qquad x^- \to x^- - \mu \int^{x^+} d\tilde{x}^+ J^-(\tilde{x}^+). \tag{73}
$$

V. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we have studied a very special class of $T\bar{J}$ deformations of conformal field theories in two dimensions, which preserves right-moving Virasoro as well as left-moving translation and left-moving scale symmetry without left-moving special conformal symmetry. As in higher dimensional very special conformal field theories, unitarity and locality gives a no-go argument for the existence of such theories, but in this paper, we employed the twisted Lorentz symmetry to circumvent the argument. We showed concrete examples in perturbing the world sheet string theory with the $T\bar{J}$ deformations.

There are couple of directions to be pursued in the future. First of all, it is an interesting question if the similar construction can be performed in higher dimensions to give concrete examples of very special (conformal) field theories whose spectrum is integrable. This should give better understanding of the nonrenormalizable nature of very special (conformal) field theories from the view point of the Lorentz invariant scaling. Another interesting direction is to study a holographic construction of very special $T\bar{J}$ deformations and its stringy embedding. At the superficial level, the model should be embedded in $SL(2) \times SL(2) \times$ $SL(2)$ Chern-Simons theory, where two of $SL(2)$ give the duals of (original) Virasoro and the other $SL(2)$ gives the current algebra in the very special $T\bar{J}$ deformations. Finally, we have pointed out that the (very special) $T\bar{J}$ deformations admit two interpretations, The one is to regard the deformations as the operator dependent coordinate transformation, and the other is to regard the deformations as the operator dependent gauge transformations. The duality of the two perspectives would lead to a new way to look at the integrable nature of the $T\bar{J}$ deformations.

Finally, implementing $T\bar{J}$ deformations on the lattice is a challenging question because of the chiral nature of the interaction. It would reveal the nature of the ultraviolet completion with possibly infinite number of degrees of freedom.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is in part supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 17K14301.

- [1] A. B. Zamolodchikov, [arXiv:hep-th/0401146](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0401146).
- [2] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.12.014) **B915**, [363 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.12.014).
- [3] A. Cavaglia, S. Negro, I. M. Szecsenyi, and R. Tateo, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2016)112)2016) 112.
- [4] A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki, and D. Kutasov, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2017)122) [07 \(2017\) 122.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2017)122)
- [5] A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki, and D. Kutasov, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)155) [12 \(2017\) 155.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)155)
- [6] M. Asrat, A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki, and D. Kutasov, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.05.005) Phys. B932[, 241 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.05.005)
- [7] G. Giribet, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2018\) 114.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)114)
- [8] P. Kraus, J. Liu, and D. Marolf, [J. High Energy Phys. 07](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)027) [\(2018\) 027.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)027)
- [9] W. Cottrell and A. Hashimoto, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.09.068) 789, 251 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.09.068)
- [10] M. Baggio and A. Sfondrini, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.021902) 98, 021902 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.021902)
- [11] J. P. Babaro, V. F. Foit, G. Giribet, and M. Leoni, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)096) [Energy Phys. 08 \(2018\) 096.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)096)
- [12] J. Cardy, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2018\) 186.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)186)
- [13] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko, and G. Hernandez-Chifflet, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)158)2018) 158.
- [14] S. Datta and Y. Jiang, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2018\) 106.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)106)
- [15] O. Aharony, S. Datta, A. Giveon, Y. Jiang, and D. Kutasov, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2019\) 086.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)086)
- [16] G. Bonelli, N. Doroud, and M. Zhu, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2018)149) [06 \(2018\) 149.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2018)149)
- [17] R. Conti, S. Negro, and R. Tateo, [J. High Energy Phys. 02](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2019)085) [\(2019\) 085.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2019)085)
- [18] M. Baggio, A. Sfondrini, G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, and H. Walsh, [arXiv:1811.00533](http://arXiv.org/abs/1811.00533).
- [19] C. Chang, C. Ferko, and S. Sethi, [arXiv:1811.01895.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1811.01895)
- [20] M. Guica, [SciPost Phys.](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.5.5.048) **5**, 048 (2018).
- [21] A. Bzowski and M. Guica, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)198) [198.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)198)
- [22] S. Chakraborty, A. Giveon, and D. Kutasov, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)057) [Phys. 10 \(2018\) 057.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)057)
- [23] L. Apolo and W. Song, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)165) [165.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)165)
- [24] O. Aharony, S. Datta, A. Giveon, Y. Jiang, and D. Kutasov, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2019\) 085.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)085)
- [25] A. G. Cohen and S. L. Glashow, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.021601) 97, 021601 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.021601)
- [26] A. G. Cohen and S. L. Glashow, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605036.](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605036)
- [27] Y. Nakayama, Phys. Rev. D **97**[, 065003 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.065003)
- [28] Y. Nakayama, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.09.051) **786**, 245 (2018).
- [29] Y. Nakayama, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 025007 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.025007)
- [30] D. M. Hofman and A. Strominger, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.161601) 107, [161601 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.161601)
- [31] J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. **B303**[, 226 \(1988\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(88)90179-4)
- [32] S. Sibiryakov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**[, 241602 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.241602).